



TARGET PRODUCT PROFILE
for a a gambiense human
African trypanosomiasis
high-throughput test for
verification of elimination

TARGET PRODUCT PROFILE

for a **gambiense human African trypanosomiasis** high-throughput test
for verification of elimination

Target product profile for a gambiense human African trypanosomiasis high-throughput test for verification of elimination

ISBN 978-92-4-006423-2 (electronic version)

ISBN 978-92-4-006424-9 (print version)

© World Health Organization 2022

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: “This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition”.

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization (<http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules/>).

Suggested citation. Target product profile for a gambiense human African trypanosomiasis high-throughput test for verification of elimination. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

Sales, rights and licensing. To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <https://www.who.int/copyright>.

Third-party materials. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

General disclaimers. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Contents

Process of document development	iv
Acknowledgements	iv
1. Background	1
2. Use case	1
3. Technical scope	1
4. Medical need	2
5. Target product profile (TPP)	3

Process of document development

The development of this target product profile (TPP) was led by the WHO Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTD) following standard WHO guidance for TPP development. In order to identify and prioritize diagnostic needs, a WHO NTD Diagnostics Technical Advisory Group (DTAG) was formed, and different subgroups were created to advise on specific NTDs, including a subgroup working on the human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) diagnostic innovation needs. This group of independent experts included leading scientists, public health officials and endemic-country end-user representatives. Standard WHO Declaration of Interest procedures were followed. A landscape analysis of the available products and of the development pipeline was conducted, and the salient areas with unmet needs were identified. Through meetings and remote consultations, the subgroup developed use-cases for the hypothetical tools considered as the main gaps and gave them an order of priority. A template adapted to the HAT context was agreed and used for the development of HAT TPPs. The draft of this TPP (rated as priority N° 4) underwent several rounds of review by the subgroup members. The ensuing version was reviewed by the DTAG members. Draft version 0.1 was posted on the WHO website for public consultation for 28 days with a proforma comment form.

Acknowledgements

WHO gratefully acknowledges the independent experts who provided input throughout the TPP development process. Special thanks are due to the NTD DTAG human African trypanosomiasis Sub-Group members Veerle Lejon, Philippe Büscher, Joseph Ndungu'u, Dieudonné Mumba, Vincent Jamonneau and Enock Matovu (Chair) as well as invited expert participants as observers: Paul Verlé and Nick Van Reet. We thank Camilla Ducker, Lakshmi Jonnalagedda and Rosa María Perea for organizational support, and members of the NTD DTAG for guidance. WHO staff members Daniel Argaw Dagne, Jonathan King, Jose Ramon Franco, Gerardo Priotto, Pere Simarro, Dieudonné Sankara, Augustin Kadima Ebeja and Anthony Solomon gave support and input to the TPP development process.

1. Background

Human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) is a life-threatening parasitic infection transmitted by the tsetse fly, that is endemic in Sub-Saharan Africa. Having caused devastating epidemics during the 20th century, its incidence has now fallen to historically low levels thanks to sustained and coordinated efforts over the past 20 years. Two trypanosome subspecies cause the disease, with distinct epidemiology: *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense* (*Tbr*), found in eastern and southern Africa, is harboured by wild and domestic animals which constitute its reservoir, being transmitted occasionally to humans; and *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* (*Tbg*), in western and central Africa, with humans as the main reservoir, accounting for about 95% of the total caseload.

HAT diagnosis relies on laboratory techniques because clinical signs and symptoms are unspecific. Serodiagnostic tests exist only for *Tbg* and are based on the detection of specific antibodies, thus they are not confirmatory of infection. With the current low disease prevalence, the positive predictive value of serological tests is particularly low. Field-applicable tools include the card agglutination test for trypanosomiasis (CATT) used mainly in active screening by specialized mobile teams, and the rapid diagnostic tests that are more suitable for individual testing at point-of-care. Confirmation of *Tbg* infection requires microscopic examination of body fluids, necessitating specific training. The best performing methods are laborious and reach 85–95% diagnostic sensitivity when performed by skilled personnel. Because trypanosomes are identified visually by their characteristic movement, microscopic examination must be done a short time after sampling (< 1 hour).

HAT has been targeted for elimination as a public health problem, defined as a five years' mean of < 1 case/10 000 inhabitants in all endemic districts in a given country. This status has been reached in several countries which have been or will soon be validated by WHO. The next target is the elimination of transmission of gambiense HAT, defined as zero autochthonous case for at least five consecutive years. Endemic countries reaching either of these goals need to maintain dedicated surveillance because of the persisting risk of re-emergence or re-introduction of HAT.

The progress in HAT elimination is leading to an unintended gradual loss of specialized personnel, while there is clearly need for large-scale testing of populations considered at risk in order to verify the absence of *Tbg* transmission. This calls for feasible methods using non-specialized personnel, because currently available diagnostic tools are too complex and resource-intensive.

2. Use case

A high throughput test for verification of elimination of *Tbg*.

3. Technical scope

A method for testing in parallel numerous samples collected in remote rural areas. Ideally, possible to be performed in-country, in national or sub-national reference laboratories. Acceptable at regional reference laboratories, knowing that shipping samples to other countries is often complex and subject to strict regulations.

It requires high sensitivity and specificity. Positives may need to be characterized further with additional testing, to discard false positives.

Ideally, the test should be also applicable in animals¹ which could help with assessing the parasite circulation in a region. The use in vectors² is less important as infection rates in the vector are very low.

Sampling: Ideally non-invasive. Acceptably, finger-prick or venous blood, serum or plasma (stabilized in whatever carrier) with a stability of 4 weeks at 40 °C, 12 months at 4 °C. It should require a simple specimen collection procedure with no cold chain requirement to transfer samples to reference laboratories.

After arrival of the specimens in the laboratory, results – if thousands of specimens are to be analysed – should be available in a relatively short time (high throughput format). Total cost per specimen, when analysed in batches of hundreds or thousands, should remain low.

To aid interpretation, it should be established for how long the test may remain positive in an individual after a *Tbg* infection has cleared: for example, antibody tests may remain positive for years. For molecular tests, the clearance of DNA and in particular RNA from blood is within days. However, persistence of DNA in blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was observed in around 20% of patients long after treatment was considered successful, which remains to be explained. As a consequence, specimens from former HAT patients can be collected and, where applicable, their data should be documented and interpreted in consideration of their HAT history, or, alternatively, former HAT patients can be excluded from sampling.

4. Medical need

The incidence of gambiense HAT has been strongly declining globally, and some historically endemic countries have not reported new cases for a number of years, either country-wide or in some historical foci. Unfortunately, this is often accompanied by a loss of case detection capacities, which are becoming increasingly difficult to maintain.

Therefore the need is increasing for high-throughput methods that can complement the classic strategies of passive and active screening, each with its own limitations, with appropriate tools for population-level cross-cutting surveillance of *Tbg* transmission.

These tools and methods would allow for testing with more comprehensive coverage of populations considered at risk, and particularly of populations thought to have become risk-free where absence of transmission needs verification.

¹ In this document, “animals” refers to non-human vertebrates.

² In this document, “vectors” refers to tsetse flies.

Target product profile (TPP no. 4)

Diagnostic test attribute	Minimally acceptable	Desirable	Annotations
1. Intended use			
Target taxon/species/subspecies/type	<i>Trypanozoon</i>	<i>T. b. gambiense (Tbg)</i>	Specificity of subspecies is important in particular if vectors or animals are tested
Target population	Populations (human) at risk of g-HAT	Populations (human, animal or vector) at risk of being infected with <i>Tbg</i>	
Use of information obtained	Establish recent circulation of Tbg in humans	Establish current circulation of <i>Tbg</i> in humans, animals and/or vectors	
Type of specimen collected	Minimally invasive specimen (finger-prick or venous blood)	Non-invasively collected specimen Room-temperature storage/shipment	Minimally invasive: e.g. finger-prick, venous blood; non-invasive: e.g. saliva, urine, tears. In animals: easy collection (no need to capture animal, e.g. faeces) or limited discomfort to animal and collector. Invasiveness not applicable in vectors.
Analyte to be detected	Antibodies, antigens, whole parasite or nucleic acids	Antigens, whole parasite or RNA	Antibodies may persist in a previously infected and cured patient. RNA is a better marker for current infection than DNA.
Nature of the result	Qualitative	Qualitative	
Infrastructure level and operating environment	Laboratory at national level, or even international reference laboratory	Laboratory at sub-national or national level	There may be a trade-off between international shipment of many samples and set-up of capacity to perform this test in endemic countries.
Intended user	Trained laboratory technician	Trained laboratory technician	

2. Assay performance characteristics (individual (patient) or population needs)			
Clinical sensitivity	> 95%	> 99%	It should be at least equal to the most sensitive parasitological tests currently used.
Clinical specificity	> 99%	> 99.5%	In case of positive result, might be combined with confirmatory testing.
Analytical specificity/ cross reactivity	<i>Trypanozoon</i> -specific for humans, <i>Tbg</i> -specific for animals/vectors	<i>Tbg</i> type 1	Should be <i>Tbg</i> type 1 if applied in animals. For human testing <i>Trypanozoon</i> might be sufficient to raise concern, yet only infections with <i>Tbg1</i> are a threat to g-HAT elimination.
Analytical sensitivity	Corresponding to ≤ 50 parasites/mL	Corresponding to ≤ 10 parasites/mL	Tests detecting antigens or nucleic acid sequences may reach lower detection thresholds than those detecting whole parasites.
Repeatability Intra-reader agreement (different tests, same instruments/ environment, same sample, same reader)	Kappa > 0.8	Kappa > 0.9	
Reproducibility Inter-reader agreement (different tests, other instruments/ environment, same sample, same reader or different readers)	Kappa > 0.8	Kappa > 0.9	Given the importance of this test in verification of HAT elimination, repeatability and reproducibility should be as high as possible.
Quality control	Control of functionality, positive and negative controls for batch testing and per run.	Control of functionality, positive and negative controls for batch testing and per run.	A proficiency panel would be useful.
3. Regulatory and normative needs			
Regulatory approvals and standards	Test components manufactured according to GMP (ISO 13485:2016)	CE marking or other comparable regulatory approval. QMS ISO 13485:2016	New, more demanding CE marking rules, may entail unrealistic production costs. Alternative registration (e.g. Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration) may be considered. Quality management system should be defined. Dependence on commercial availability.
Promotional and marketing material	Not applicable	Not applicable	

4. Health care system needs			
4.1. Environment description			
Operating environment	Can be operated at 10–30 °C at 40–70% relative humidity	Can be operated at 10–40 °C at 10–88% relative humidity	This test will be applied in laboratories where temperature and humidity will be rather controlled.
Workflow requirements	Specimen preparation in the field in < 5 steps, minimal need for precision liquid handling, and minimal need for specialized material (generally available or provided in a specimen collection kit). Specimen shipment needs minimal security measures (minimal infection risk) and no or limited cold chain. Testing is much automatized, with < 5 manual steps; > 100 specimens tested daily.	Specimen preparation in the field in < 2 steps, no need for precision liquid handling, and no need for specialized material. Specimen shipment needs no special security measures (no infection risk) nor cold chain. Testing is substantially automatized, with < 2 manual steps. No need for precision liquid handling; > 500 specimens tested daily.	Analysing pooled samples instead of individual ones could also be considered.
4.2. Instrument and device characteristics			
Instrumentation needed	Requiring instrumentation and devices that can be implemented at laboratories at national level	Requiring instrumentation and devices usually present at laboratories at national or sub-national level	
4.3. Information and communication technology			
Test result	Test results scored visually or by read-out of a device. Test result stable for at least 15 minutes	Test results scored by read-out of a device. Test result stable for at least 30 minutes	
Recording of results and data capture	Results are recorded in a computer, either automatically or manually.	Results recorded in a computer. Integrable into national data and reporting. Test results can be stored for retrospective interpretation (e.g. electronic result, optical density or intensity, etc., electronic image or video). Automatic interpretation of result (positive/negative)	Data should include results and demographics/other information. Data should be exportable to any database if needed. Storage needs may vary per programme.
Transmission	Test results transmitted electronically	Data automatically integrated in server databases without need of additional equipment	Transmission should be adaptable to connectivity. Data format should be compatible with healthcare databases (ISON, DHIS2) supporting seamless transmission to them if required.
4.4 Reagent and control handling			
Reagents, storage and packaging	Reagents stable at 4-8 °C and 40-88% relative humidity for at least 12 months. Operating instructions and bench aids available. Reagents ready to use, or within 15 mins with max 5 additional steps.	Reagents stable at 4-45 °C and 40-88% relative humidity for ≥ 24 months. 1 week transport stress at 50 °C. Transport not needing cold chain Operating instructions and bench aids available. Reagents ready to use or max 2 additional steps needed.	The stability should consider the time frame for distribution from manufacturer, passage through customs and local distribution.

4.5. Sample handling			
Sample volumes	Depending on the type of specimen. For blood (or serum or plasma) ≤ 5 mL.	Depending on the type of specimen. For blood ≤ 0.07 mL (finger-prick, capillary tube).	Extra specimen material can be collected at the same time for repeat and/or remote testing if needed. For other tissues or body fluids, volumes can be specified later on.
Specimen collection and processing	Specific collecting devices provided as a kit. Some specimen processing. Transfer of samples within 1 week. Cold chain recommended but not strict. Thousands of samples can be managed in a reasonable time. Specimen shipment needs minimal security measures (minimal infection risk).	Routinely used collecting devices, minimal or no specimen processing. Transfer of samples not urgent (e.g. 4 weeks) and not requiring cold chain. Thousands of samples can be managed quickly. Specimen shipment needs no special security measures (no infection risk).	Occasionally, left-over specimens could be preserved and transported under certain conditions.
Waste management and biosafety	Amenable to standard biosafety measures for handling potentially infectious materials. Waste disposal in biosafety bin and sharps containers following standard guidelines.	Amenable to standard biosafety measures for handling potentially infectious materials. Waste disposal in biosafety bin and sharps containers following standard guidelines.	
4.6. Distribution, training and support			
Training (sampling)	Specific training needed (< 4 hours)	Basic training needed (< 1 hour)	
Training (laboratory testing)	Extended specific training needed (7 days)	Specific training needed (max 1–2 days)	
Instrument and test supply reliability	Supply guaranteed for ≥ 5 years after marketing. Manufacturer should replace non-functioning tests or instruments	Supply guaranteed for ≥ 7 years after marketing. Manufacturer should replace non-functioning tests or instruments	
Service and support response time	External support available. Support response within 1 week.	External support available. Support response within 1 day.	
5. Commercial and sustainability aspects			
Sustainability	Sustainable production	Sustainable production	As it is a non-profitable area, sustainable funding and a production/access innovative model is needed, with donors ensuring affordability. Advocacy needed.
Pricing per sample collected	≤ 0.5 USD	≤ 0.1 USD	Costs of hardware, shipment of material, and human resources, are not included here.
Pricing per sample tested	≤ 5 USD	≤ 0.5 USD	All logistics, operational laboratory costs, investments, hardware, shipment of material, and salaries, are not included here. Molecular methods cost is a trade-off with clinical sensitivity

Neglected tropical diseases
20 Avenue Appia
1211 Geneva 27
Switzerland

neglected.diseases@who.int

<https://www.who.int/teams/control-of-neglected-tropical-diseases>

