# Buruli ulcer disease: rethinking diagnostic techniques

## Shivani Jain<sup>1</sup>; Rie Yotsu MD, MIPH, DTM&H, PhD<sup>2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-New Orleans, LA, United States; <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease, Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA, United States; <sup>3</sup>Dept. Of Dermatology, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>4</sup>School of Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nagasaki University

## Background

- Buruli ulcer (BU), caused by *Mycobacterium ulcerans*, is a progressive necrotizing skin infection endemic to rural and deforested areas in 33 countries, mostly in Africa.<sup>1</sup> In 2022, there were 2,121 new cases reported to WHO, yet BU is considered to be vastly underreported.<sup>1</sup>
- Pathogenesis linked to production of polyketide toxin known as mycolactone ulletwhich is cytotoxic and has immunomodulatory properties that induce debilitating lesions, and not from growth of bacteria like in other cutaneous non-tuberculous mycobacterial diseases.<sup>2</sup>
- Diagnosis is crucial for timely treatment and prevention of permanent disability, but there are no suitable point-of-care diagnostic tests.<sup>1,3</sup>
- Mycolactone detection may be an early diagnostic metric; efforts are underway to develop a point-of-care test based on its detection.<sup>2,4</sup>



Nodules

**Pre-ulcerative forms** 

Edema

Figure 1. Clinical course of Buruli ulcer. Ulcerations develop 2-3 months after the initial lesion. Consent obtained for all photographs.

Table 1. Currently available diagnostic tests for Buruli ulcer and their limitations.

| Туре                  | Details   | Gaps   |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| PCR                   | Identification of /S2404  | <ul> <li>High rate</li> <li>Access to</li> </ul>   |
| Histopathology        | Necrosis in subcutaneous adipose tissue, acid-fast<br>bacilli (+) with Ziehl-Neelsen stain  | <ul> <li>Invasive to</li> <li>Access to</li> </ul> |
| Smear test            | Acid-fast bacilli (+) with Ziehl-Neelsen stain  | - Access to  |
| Mycobacterial culture | Culture in mycobacterial media (Löwenstein-Jensen medium, Brown and Buckle or Ogawa medium) at 29-<br>33 °C ( <i>M. tuberculosis</i> grows at 37 °C ) | <ul> <li>Access to</li> <li>Long incu</li> </ul>   |

# Study Design

- **Objective:** To explore an alternative diagnostic approach using mycolactone levels measured via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)
- **Study population:** 32 individuals with suspected Buruli ulcer disease in Côte d'Ivoire who tested positive for mycolactone by ELISA

### • Methods:

- 6 wound swabs were collected from each patient and sent to Institut Pasteur de Côte d'Ivoire and to Swiss Tropical And Public Health Institute (STPH) for laboratory analyses
- PCR and ELISA were performed according to protocols<sup>5</sup> described by Warryn et al. 2020
- Likelihood of BU based on clinical signs was independently assessed by 2 dermatology experts





Ulceration

- of false negatives
- b lab facilities
- to patients
- o lab facilities
- b lab facilities
- o lab facilities
- ubation period (months)

Figure 2. Collection of swabs from Buruli ulcer wounds.

### **Key Findings**

- Median (range) age: 25 years (1 91 years)
- Sex assigned at birth: 15/32 (47%) Female
- Median disease duration: 8 months (Range: 7 days 14 years)
- *Morphological presentation:* 
  - Ulcers were most common lesion type, present in 27/32 (84%)
  - 2 participants had nodules,1 had plaques, and 29 had overlying edema
  - 14/32 (44%) exhibited necrotic tissue
- Median wound area: 30 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Based on clinical presentation alone, 22/32 (69%) were scored to have very high likelihood of having BU before any diagnostic tests were performed.
- 8/32 (25%) had negative PCR and culture results.
- Mycolactone levels captured by ELISA exhibited a wide range of values across participants.
  - Median = 4.9 ng [Range: 0.7-1810.9 ng]
  - Nine samples had > 20 ng and 4 samples had > 1000 ng
  - Importantly, no significant differences in sex, age, lesion type, and clinical BU likelihood score among those with > 1000 ng compared against all others

- in BU, in addition to or potentially to replace PCR
- documented<sup>4,6</sup>

# **Acknowledgements & References**

- 10.1128/JCM.01507-17.
- 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007155.



## Results

regardless of PCR+ or PCR- status.



## Conclusions

Results support hypothesis that mycolactone detection can be used as target marker for effective new diagnostic tool

Observed discrepancies within any patient may be due to sample-to-sample variations, efficiency of sample collection, and nature of sequential sampling of 6 swabs per lesion. Low concentration of mycolactone detected in some samples may be due to degradation between field sites and laboratory facilities.

Although PCR is current gold standard, many challenges with false-negative and false-positive findings have been

An increased understanding of the relationship between mycolactone levels and clinical presentation of BU can aid in early detection and management, going towards efforts to reduce burden of this neglected cutaneous disease

• Study Partners: Dziedzom K. de Souza (Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), Switzerland; Noguchi Memorial Institute, Ghana); Louisa Warryn, Gerd Pluschke (Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Switzerland); Marco Biamonte (Drugs & Diagnostics for Tropical Diseases, San Diego, USA); Aubin Yao (Hope Commission International, Côte d'Ivoire); Aka N'guessan (Pasteur Institute of Côte d'Ivoire). Study was funded by the Global Health Innovative Technology (GHIT) Fund (G2020-202) through FIND, Geneva, Switzerland.

• [1] Guarner J. Buruli Ulcer: Review of a Neglected Skin Mycobacterial Disease. J Clin Microbiol. 2018 Mar 26;56(4):e01507-17. doi:

• [2] Sarfo FS, Phillips R, Wansbrough-Jones M, Simmonds RE. Recent advances: role of mycolactone in the pathogenesis and monitoring of Mycobacterium ulcerans infection/Buruli ulcer disease. Cell Microbiol. 2016 Jan;18(1):17-29. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12547. • [3] Frimpong M, Ahor HS, Wahed AAE, Agbavor B, Sarpong FN, Laing K, Wansbrough-Jones M, Phillips RO. Rapid detection of Mycobacterium ulcerans with isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification assay. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019 Feb 1;13(2):e0007155. doi:

• [4] van der Werf TS. Diagnostic Tests for Buruli Ulcer: Clinical Judgment Revisited. Clin Infect Dis. 2018 Aug 31;67(6):835-836. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciy203. • [5] Warryn L, Dangy JP, Gersbach P, Gehringer M, Schäfer A, Ruf MT, Ruggli N, Karl-Heinz Altmann KH, Pluschke G. Development of an ELISA for the quantification of mycolactone, the cytotoxic macrolide toxin of Mycobacterium ulcerans. PLoS Negl Trop Dis (2020) 14(6). • [6] O'Brien DP, Globan M, Fyfe JM, Lavender CJ, Murrie A, Flanagan D, Meggyesy P, Priestley JT, Leslie D. Diagnosis of Mycobacterium ulcerans disease: be alert to the possibility of negative initial PCR results. Med J Aust. 2019 May;210(9):416. doi: 10.5694/mja2.50046.