



Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention

Updated 2023

© 2023 Global Initiative for Asthma



Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (2023 update)

The reader acknowledges that this report is intended as an evidence-based asthma management strategy, for the use of health professionals and policy-makers. It is based, to the best of our knowledge, on current best evidence and medical knowledge and practice at the date of publication. When assessing and treating patients, health professionals are strongly advised to use their own professional judgment, and to take into account local and national regulations and guidelines. GINA cannot be held liable or responsible for inappropriate healthcare associated with the use of this document, including any use which is not in accordance with applicable local or national regulations or guidelines.

Suggested citation: Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2023. Updated July 2023. Available from: www.ginasthma.org

Table of contents

Tables and figures	5
Preface	7
Members of GINA committees (2022–23)	8
Abbreviations	10
Methodology	13
What’s new in GINA 2023?	17
SECTION 1. ADULTS, ADOLESCENTS AND CHILDREN 6 YEARS AND OLDER	21
Chapter 1. Definition, description, and diagnosis of asthma	21
Definition of asthma	22
Description of asthma	22
Making the initial diagnosis	23
Confirming the diagnosis of asthma in patients already taking ICS-containing treatment	29
Differential diagnosis	30
How to make the diagnosis of asthma in other contexts	31
Chapter 2. Assessment of asthma	33
Overview	35
Assessing asthma symptom control	36
Assessing future risk of adverse outcomes	40
Role of lung function in assessing asthma control	41
Assessing asthma severity	42
Chapter 3. Treating asthma to control symptoms and minimize risk	47
3.1. General principles of asthma management	48
Long-term goals of asthma management	49
The patient-health care provider partnership	49
Personalized control-based asthma management	50
3.2. Medications and strategies for symptom control and risk reduction	53
Asthma medications	55
Asthma treatment steps for adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years	68
STEP 1	68
STEP 2	71
STEP 3	74
STEP 4	76
STEP 5	78
Reviewing response and adjusting treatment	81
Other strategies for adjusting asthma treatment	84

Treating other modifiable risk factors.....	84
Other therapies	86
Non-pharmacological strategies	88
Indications for referral for expert advice	97
3.3. Guided asthma self-management education and skills training	98
Skills training for effective use of inhaler devices	98
Shared decision-making for choice of inhaler device	98
Adherence with medications and with other advice.....	101
Asthma information	102
Training in guided asthma self-management	103
3.4. Managing asthma with multimorbidity and in specific populations	106
Managing multimorbidity	106
Managing asthma during the COVID-19 pandemic.....	109
Managing asthma in specific populations or settings	112
3.5. Difficult-to-treat and severe asthma in adults and adolescents	120
Definitions: uncontrolled, difficult-to-treat and severe asthma.....	121
Prevalence: how many people have severe asthma?	121
Importance: the impact of severe asthma.....	122
Investigate and manage difficult-to-treat asthma in adults and adolescents.....	127
Assess and treat severe asthma phenotypes.....	129
Manage and monitor severe asthma treatment.....	136
Chapter 4. Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations	139
Overview	141
Diagnosis of exacerbations.....	142
Self-management of exacerbations with a written asthma action plan	142
Management of asthma exacerbations in primary care (adults, adolescents, children 6–11 years)	147
Management of asthma exacerbations in the emergency department (adults, adolescents, children 6–11 years).....	150
Discharge planning and follow-up.....	156
Chapter 5. Diagnosis and initial treatment of adults with features of asthma, COPD or both	159
Objectives	161
Background to diagnosing asthma and/or COPD in adult patients	161
Assessment and management of patients with chronic respiratory symptoms.....	162
Future research.....	167
SECTION 2. CHILDREN 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER	169
Chapter 6. Diagnosis and management of asthma in children 5 years and younger.....	169
6.1. Diagnosis	170

Asthma and wheezing in young children.....	170
Clinical diagnosis of asthma.....	171
Tests to assist in diagnosis.....	173
Differential diagnosis.....	175
6.2. Assessment and management.....	177
Goals of asthma management.....	177
Assessment of asthma.....	177
Medications for symptom control and risk reduction.....	179
Asthma treatment steps for children aged 5 years and younger.....	181
Reviewing response and adjusting treatment.....	184
Choice of inhaler device.....	184
Asthma self-management education for carers of young children.....	186
6.3. Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations in children 5 years and younger.....	187
Diagnosis of exacerbations.....	187
Initial home management of asthma exacerbations.....	188
Primary care or hospital management of acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years or younger.....	190
Chapter 7. Primary prevention of asthma in children.....	195
Factors contributing to the development of asthma in children.....	196
Factors associated with increased or decreased risk of asthma in children.....	196
Advice about primary prevention of asthma.....	199
SECTION 3. TRANSLATION INTO CLINICAL PRACTICE.....	201
Chapter 8. Implementing asthma management strategies into health systems.....	201
Introduction.....	202
Adapting and implementing asthma clinical practice guidelines.....	202
Barriers and facilitators.....	204
Examples of high impact implementation interventions.....	204
Evaluation of the implementation process.....	205
How can GINA help with implementation?.....	205
REFERENCES.....	206

Tables and figures

DIAGNOSIS

Box 1-1.	Diagnostic flowchart for clinical practice	24
Box 1-2.	Diagnostic criteria for asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years	25
Box 1-3.	Steps for confirming the diagnosis of asthma in a patient already taking ICS-containing treatment	28
Box 1-4.	How to step down ICS-containing treatment to help confirm the diagnosis of asthma	29
Box 1-5.	Differential diagnosis of asthma in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years	30

ASSESSMENT

Box 2-1.	Assessment of asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years	35
Box 2-2.	GINA assessment of asthma control in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years	38
Box 2-3.	Specific questions for assessment of asthma in children 6–11 years	39
Box 2-4.	Investigating a patient with poor symptom control and/or exacerbations despite treatment	45

ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

Box 3-1.	Communication strategies for health care providers	49
Box 3-2.	The asthma management cycle for personalized asthma care	50
Box 3-3.	Population level versus patient level decisions about asthma treatment	52
Box 3-4.	Terminology for asthma medications	56
Box 3-5.	Asthma treatment tracks for adults and adolescents	58

Initial treatment choices

Box 3-6.	Initial asthma treatment – recommended options for adults and adolescents	59
Box 3-7.	Selecting initial treatment in adults and adolescents with a diagnosis of asthma	60
Box 3-8.	Flowchart for selecting initial treatment in adults and adolescents with a diagnosis of asthma	61
Box 3-9.	Initial asthma treatment – recommended options for children aged 6–11 years	62
Box 3-10.	Selecting initial treatment in children aged 6–11 years with a diagnosis of asthma	63
Box 3-11.	Flowchart for selecting initial treatment in children aged 6–11 years with a diagnosis of asthma	64

Main treatment figures

Box 3-12.	Personalized management for adults and adolescents to control symptoms and minimize future risk	65
Box 3-13.	Personalized management for children 6–11 years to control symptoms and minimize future risk	66
Box 3-14.	Low, medium and high daily metered doses of inhaled corticosteroids (alone or with LABA)	67
Box 3-15.	Medications and doses for GINA Track 1: anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR)-based therapy	80

Ongoing management

Box 3-16.	Options for stepping down treatment in adults and adolescents once asthma is well controlled	83
Box 3-17.	Treating potentially modifiable risk factors to reduce exacerbations	85
Box 3-18.	Non-pharmacological interventions – summary	88
Box 3-19.	Effectiveness of avoidance measures for indoor allergens	93
Box 3-20.	Indications for considering referral for expert advice, where available	97
Box 3-21.	Shared decision-making between health professional and patient about choice of inhalers	99
Box 3-22.	Choice and effective use of inhaler devices	100
Box 3-23.	Poor adherence with prescribed maintenance treatment in asthma	102
Box 3-24.	Asthma information	103

Difficult-to-treat and severe asthma

Box 3-25.	What proportion of adults have difficult-to-treat or severe asthma?	121
Box 3-26.	Decision tree – investigate and manage difficult to treat asthma in adult and adolescent patients	123
Box 3-27.	Decision tree – assess and treat severe asthma phenotypes	124
Box 3-28.	Decision tree – consider add-on biologic Type 2-targeted treatments	125
Box 3-29.	Decision tree – monitor and manage severe asthma treatment	126

EXACERBATIONS

Box 4-1.	Factors that increase the risk of asthma-related death	141
Box 4-2.	Self-management of worsening asthma in adults and adolescents with a written asthma action plan	146
Box 4-3.	Management of asthma exacerbations in primary care (adults, adolescents, children 6–11 years)	148
Box 4-4.	Management of asthma exacerbations in acute care facility, e.g. emergency department	152
Box 4-5.	Discharge management after hospital or emergency department care for asthma	157

ASTHMA, COPD AND ASTHMA+COPD

Box 5-1.	Current definitions of asthma and COPD, and clinical description of asthma-COPD overlap	162
Box 5-2.	Approach to initial treatment in patients with asthma and/or COPD	163
Box 5-3.	Spirometric measures in asthma and COPD	164
Box 5-4.	Specialized investigations sometimes used in patients with features of asthma and COPD	166

CHILDREN 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER

Box 6-1.	Probability of asthma diagnosis in children 5 years and younger	171
Box 6-2.	Features suggesting a diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger	172
Box 6-3.	Questions that can be used to elicit features suggestive of asthma	173
Box 6-4.	Common differential diagnoses of asthma in children 5 years and younger	175
Box 6-5.	GINA assessment of asthma control in children 5 years and younger	178
Box 6-6.	Personalized management of asthma in children 5 years and younger	183
Box 6-7.	Low daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids for children 5 years and younger	184
Box 6-8.	Choosing an inhaler device for children 5 years and younger	185
Box 6-9.	Management of acute asthma or wheezing in children 5 years and younger	189
Box 6-10.	Initial assessment of acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger	190
Box 6-11.	Indications for immediate transfer to hospital for children 5 years and younger	191
Box 6-12.	Initial emergency department management of asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger	192

PRIMARY PREVENTION OF ASTHMA IN CHILDREN

Box 7-1.	Advice about primary prevention of asthma in children 5 years and younger	199
----------	---	-----

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Box 8-1.	Approach to implementation of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention	203
Box 8-2.	Essential elements required to implement a health-related strategy	203
Box 8-3.	Examples of barriers to the implementation of evidence-based recommendations	204
Box 8-4.	Examples of high-impact implementation interventions in asthma management	204

Preface

Asthma is a serious global health problem affecting all age groups. Its prevalence is increasing in many countries, especially among children. Although some countries have seen a decline in hospitalizations and deaths from asthma, asthma still imposes an unacceptable burden on health care systems, and on society through loss of productivity in the workplace and, especially for pediatric asthma, disruption to the family.

This year, the Global Initiative for Asthma celebrates 30 years of working to improve the lives of people with asthma by translating medical evidence into better asthma care worldwide. Established in 1993 by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the World Health Organization, GINA works with healthcare professionals, researchers, patients and public health officials around the world to reduce asthma prevalence, morbidity and mortality. The Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention ('GINA Strategy Report') was first published in 1995, and has been updated annually since 2002 by the GINA Science Committee. It contains guidance for primary care practitioners, specialists and allied health professionals, based on the latest high quality evidence available. More resources and supporting material are provided online at www.ginasthma.org.

GINA supports global efforts to achieve environmental sustainability in health care, while ensuring that our guidance reflects an optimal balance between clinical and environmental priorities, with a particular focus on patient safety. GINA also supports efforts to ensure global availability of, and access to, effective quality-assured medications, to reduce the burden of asthma mortality and morbidity. Since 2001, GINA has organized the annual World Asthma Day, a focus for local and national activities to raise awareness of asthma and educate families and health care professionals about effective asthma care. In 2023, the theme for World Asthma Day is 'Asthma Care for All'.

GINA is an independent organization funded solely through sale and licensing of its educational publications. Members of the GINA Board of Directors are drawn globally from leaders with an outstanding demonstrated commitment to asthma research, asthma clinical management, public health and patient advocacy. GINA Science Committee members are highly experienced asthma experts from around the world, who continually review and synthesize scientific evidence to provide guidance on asthma prevention, diagnosis and management. The GINA Dissemination Task Group is responsible for promoting GINA resources throughout the world. Members work with an international network of patient representatives and leaders in asthma care (GINA Advocates), to implement asthma education programs and support evidence-based care. GINA support staff comprise the Executive Director and Project Manager.

We acknowledge the superlative work of all who have contributed to the success of the GINA program. In particular, we recognize the outstanding long-term dedication of founding Scientific Director Dr Suzanne Hurd and founding Executive Director Dr Claude Lenfant in fostering GINA's development until their retirement in 2015. We acknowledge the invaluable commitment and skill of our current Executive Director Ms Rebecca Decker, BS, MSJ. We continue to recognize the contribution of Prof J Mark FitzGerald to GINA for over 25 years until his passing in 2022. We also thank all members of the Science Committee, who receive no honoraria or reimbursement for their many hours of work in reviewing evidence and attending meetings, and the GINA Dissemination Task Group and Advocates.

We hope you find this report to be a useful resource in the management of asthma and that it will help you work with each of your patients to provide the best personalized care,

Helen K Reddel, MBBS PhD
Chair, GINA Science Committee

Arzu Yorgancioğlu, MD
Chair, GINA Board of Directors

Members of GINA committees (2022–23)

GINA SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Helen K. Reddel, MBBS PhD, *Chair*
Woolcock Institute of Medical Research,
Macquarie University
Sydney, Australia

Leonard B. Bacharier, MD
Vanderbilt University Medical Center
Nashville, TN, USA

Eric D. Bateman, MD
University of Cape Town Lung Institute
Cape Town, South Africa

Matteo Bonini MD, PhD
Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Sciences,
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Rome, Italy
National Heart and Lung Institute (NHLI), Imperial College
London, UK

Louis-Philippe Boulet, MD
Université Laval
Québec, QC, Canada

Arnaud Bourdin, MD, PhD
Department of Respiratory Diseases, University of
Montpellier
Montpellier, France

Christopher Brightling, FMedSci, PhD
Leicester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre,
University of Leicester
Leicester, UK

Guy Brusselle, MD, PhD
Ghent University Hospital
Ghent, Belgium

Roland Buhl, MD PhD
Mainz University Hospital
Mainz, Germany

Jeffrey M. Drazen, MD
Brigham and Woman's Hospital
Boston, MA, USA

Francine Ducharme, MD
Departments of Pediatrics and of Social and Preventive
Medicine, Sainte-Justine University Health Centre,
University of Montreal
Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Liesbeth Duijts, MD MSc PhD
University Medical Center
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Louise Fleming, MBChB MD
Royal Brompton Hospital
London, United Kingdom

Hiromasa Inoue, MD
Kagoshima University
Kagoshima, Japan

Fanny Wai-san Ko, MD
The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong

Refiloe Masekela MBBCh, PhD
Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University of
KwaZulu Natal
Durban, South Africa

Kevin Mortimer, BA/MA, MB/BChir, PhD
Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine
Liverpool, UK
Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, College of
Health Sciences, School of Clinical Medicine, University of
KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

Paulo Pitrez, MD, PhD
Hospital Moinhos de Vento
Porto Alegre, Brazil

Sundeep Salvi MD, PhD
Pulmocare Research and Education (PURE) Foundation
Pune, India

Aziz Sheikh, BSc, MBBS, MSc, MD
The University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, United Kingdom

GINA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Arzu Yorgancioglu, MD *Chair*
Celal Bayar University
Department of Pulmonology
Manisa, Turkey

Keith Allan, CBiol, MRSB
Patient Partner
University Hospitals of Leicester
Leicester, UK

Eric D. Bateman, MD
University of Cape Town Lung Institute
Cape Town, South Africa

Guy Brusselle, MD, PhD
Ghent University Hospital
Ghent, Belgium

Muhwa Jeremiah Chakaya, MD
Respiratory Society of Kenya
Department of Medicine, Therapeutics, Dermatology and
Psychiatry, Kenyatta University
Nairobi, Kenya

Alvaro A. Cruz, MD
Federal University of Bahia
Salvador, BA, Brazil

Hiromasa Inoue, MD
Kagoshima University
Kagoshima, Japan

Jerry A. Krishnan, MD PhD
University of Illinois Hospital & Health Sciences System
Chicago, IL, USA

Mark L. Levy, MD
Locum GP
London, UK

Helen K. Reddel, MBBS PhD
Woolcock Institute of Medical Research,
Macquarie University
Sydney, Australia

GINA DISSEMINATION TASK GROUP

Mark L. Levy, MD (*Chair*)
Locum GP
London, UK

Keith Allan, CBiol, MRSB
Patient Partner
University Hospitals of Leicester
Leicester, UK

Hiromasa Inoue, MD
Kagoshima University
Kagoshima, Japan

Helen K. Reddel, MBBS PhD, *Chair*
Woolcock Institute of Medical Research,
Macquarie University
Sydney, Australia

Arzu Yorgancioglu, MD
Celal Bayar University
Department of Pulmonology
Manisa, Turkey

GINA PROGRAM

Rebecca Decker, BS, MSJ
Kristi Rurey, AS

EDITORIAL ASSISTANCE

Charu Grover, PhD
Jenni Harman, BVSc, BA

GRAPHICS ASSISTANCE

Kate Chisnall

INFORMATION DESIGN

Tomoko Ichikawa, MS
Hugh Musick, MBA
Institute for Healthcare Delivery Design
University of Illinois, Chicago, USA

Disclosures for members of GINA committees can be found at www.ginasthma.org

Abbreviations

ABPA	Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis
ACE	Angiotensin-converting enzyme
ACQ	Asthma Control Questionnaire
ACT	Asthma Control Test (see also cACT)
AERD	Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease
AIR	Anti-inflammatory reliever (see Box 3-4, p.56)
ANCA	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody
Anti-IL4R α	Anti-interleukin 4 receptor alpha (monoclonal antibody)
Anti-IL5	Anti-interleukin 5 (monoclonal antibody)
Anti-IL5R	Anti-interleukin 5 receptor (monoclonal antibody)
Anti-TSLP	Anti-thymic stromal lymphopoietin (monoclonal antibody)
APGAR	Activities, Persistent, triGgers, Asthma medications, Response to therapy
ATS/ERS	American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society
BDP	Beclometasone dipropionate
BD	Bronchodilator
BMI	Body mass index
BNP	B-type natriuretic peptide
bpm	Beats per minute
BTS	British Thoracic Society
cACT	Childhood Asthma Control Test
CBC	Complete blood count (also known as full blood count [FBC])
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [USA]
CI	Confidence interval
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
CRP	C-reactive protein
CRSwNP	Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps
CRSsNP	Chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps
CT	Computerized tomography
CXR	Chest X-ray
DLCO	Diffusing capacity in the lung for carbon monoxide
DEXA	Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
DPI	Dry-powder inhaler
ED	Emergency department
EGPA	Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis
FeNO	Fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide
FEV ₁	Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (measured by spirometry)

FVC	Forced vital capacity (measured by spirometry)
FEV ₁ /FVC	Ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 second to forced vital capacity
GERD	Gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GORD in some countries)
GOLD	Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
GRADE	Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (an approach to clinical practice guideline development)
HDM	House dust mite
HEPA	High-efficiency particulate air
HFA	Hydrofluoroalkane propellant
HIV/AIDS	Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
ICS	Inhaled corticosteroid
Ig	Immunoglobulin
IL	Interleukin
IM	Intramuscular
ICU	Intensive care unit
IV	Intravenous
LABA	Long-acting-beta ₂ agonist
LAMA	Long-acting muscarinic antagonist (also called long-acting anticholinergic)
LMIC	Low- and middle-income countries
LTRA	Leukotriene receptor antagonist (also called leukotriene modifier)
MART	Maintenance-and-reliever therapy (with ICS-formoterol); in some countries called SMART (single-inhaler maintenance-and-reliever therapy)
n.a	Not applicable
NSAID	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide (air pollutant)
O ₂	Oxygen
OCS	Oral corticosteroids
OSA	Obstructive sleep apnea
PaCO ₂	Arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide
PaO ₂	Arterial partial pressure of oxygen
PEF	Peak expiratory flow
PM ₁₀	Particulate matter with a particle diameter of 10 micrometers or less (air pollution)
pMDI	Pressurized metered-dose inhaler
QTc	Corrected QT interval on electrocardiogram
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
SABA	Short-acting beta ₂ agonist
SC	Subcutaneous
SCIT	Subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy

sIgE	Specific immunoglobulin E
SLIT	Sublingual immunotherapy
SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide (air pollutant)
T2	Type 2 airway inflammation (an asthma phenotype)
TSLP	Thymic stromal lymphopoietin
URTI	Upper respiratory tract infection
VCD	Vocal cord dysfunction (included in inducible laryngeal obstruction)
WHO	World Health Organization
WHO-PEN	The World Health Organization Package of Essential Noncommunicable disease interventions for primary care

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

Methodology

GINA SCIENCE COMMITTEE

The GINA Science Committee was established in 2002 to review published research on asthma management and prevention, to evaluate the impact of this research on recommendations in GINA documents, and to provide yearly updates to these documents. The members are recognized leaders in asthma research and clinical practice, with the scientific expertise to contribute to the task of the Committee. They are invited to serve for a limited period and in a voluntary capacity. The Committee is broadly representative of adult and pediatric disciplines, and members are drawn from diverse geographic regions. The Science Committee normally meets twice yearly, in conjunction with the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory Society (ERS) international conferences, to review asthma-related scientific literature. During COVID-19, meetings of the Science Committee were held online each month. Statements of interest for Committee members are found on the GINA website www.ginasthma.org.

PROCESSES FOR UPDATES AND REVISIONS OF THE GINA STRATEGY REPORT

Literature search

Details are provided on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org/about-us/methodology). In summary, two PubMed searches are performed each year, each covering the previous 18 months, using filters established by the Science Committee. The search terms include asthma, all ages, only items with abstracts, clinical trial or meta-analysis or systematic review, and human. The search is not limited to specific PICOT (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, Time) questions. The 'clinical trial' publication type includes not only conventional randomized controlled trials, but also pragmatic, real-life and observational studies. The search for systematic reviews includes, but is not limited to, those conducted using GRADE methodology.¹ An additional search is conducted for guidelines documents published by other international organizations. The respiratory community is also invited to submit any other fully published peer-reviewed publications for consideration, providing that the full paper is submitted in (or translated into) English; however, because of the comprehensive process for literature review, such *ad hoc* submissions have rarely resulted in substantial changes to the report.

Systematic reviews

Unique among evidence-based recommendations in asthma, and most other therapeutic areas, GINA conducts an ongoing twice-yearly update of the evidence base for its recommendations. GINA does not carry out or commission its own GRADE-based reviews, because of the current cost of such reviews, the large number of PICOT questions that would be necessary for a comprehensive practical report of this scope, and because it would limit the responsiveness of the GINA Strategy Report to emerging evidence and new developments in asthma management. However, the Science Committee reviews relevant systematic reviews conducted with GRADE methodology as part of its normal review process, once such reviews are published. GINA recommendations are constantly being reviewed and considered for update as new evidence (including GRADE-based systematic reviews on specific topics) is identified and indicates the need.

Literature screening and review

Each article identified by the literature search, after removal of duplicates and those already reviewed, is pre-screened in Covidence for relevance and major quality issues by the Editorial Assistant and by at least two non-conflicted members of the Science Committee. Each publication selected from screening is reviewed for quality and relevance by at least two members of the Science Committee, neither of whom may be an author (or co-author) or declare a conflict of interest in relation to the publication. Articles that have been accepted for publication and are online in advance of print are eligible for full text review if the approved/corrected copy-edited proof is available. All members receive a copy of all abstracts and full text publications, and non-conflicted members have the opportunity to provide comments during the pre-meeting review period. Members evaluate the abstract and the full text publication, and answer written questions in a review template about whether the scientific data impact on GINA recommendations, and if so, what specific changes

should be made. In 2020, the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist² was provided in the review template to assist in evaluation of systematic reviews. A list of all publications reviewed by the Committee is posted on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org).

Discussion and decisions during Science Committee meetings

Each publication that is assessed by at least one reviewer to potentially impact on the GINA Strategy Report is discussed in a Science Committee meeting (virtual or face-to-face). This process comprises three parts, as follows:

1. Quality and relevance of original research and systematic review publications. First, the Committee considers the relevance of the publication to the GINA Strategy Report, the quality of the study, the reliability of the findings, and the interpretation of the results, based on the responses from reviewers and discussion by members of the Committee. For systematic reviews, GRADE assessments, if available, are taken into account. However, for any systematic review, GINA members also independently consider the clinical relevance of the question addressed by the review, and the scientific and clinical validity of the included populations and study design. During this discussion, a member who is an author (or was involved in the study) may be requested to provide clarification or respond to questions about the study, but they may not otherwise take part in this discussion about the quality and relevance of the publication.

2. Decision about inclusion of the evidence. During this phase, the Committee decides whether the publication or its findings affect GINA recommendations or statements and should be included in the GINA Strategy Report. These decisions to modify the report or its references are made by consensus by Committee members present and, again, any member with a conflict of interest is excluded from these decisions. If the chair is an author on a publication being reviewed, an alternative chair is appointed to lead the discussion in part 1 and the decision in part 2 for that publication.

3. Discussion about related changes to the GINA Strategy Report. If the committee resolves to include the publication or its findings in the report, an author or conflicted member, if present, is permitted to take part in the subsequent discussions about and decisions on changes to the report, including the positioning of the study findings in the report and the way that they would be integrated with existing (or other new) components of the GINA management strategy. These discussions may take place immediately, or over the course of the year as new evidence emerges or as other changes to the report are agreed and implemented. The approach to managing conflicts of interest, as described above, also applies to members of the GINA Board who ex-officio attend GINA Science Committee meetings.

As with all previous GINA Strategy Reports, levels of evidence are assigned to management recommendations where appropriate. Current criteria (Table A; p.15) are based on those originally developed by the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. From 2019, GINA has included in 'Level A' strong observational evidence that provides a consistent pattern of findings in the population for which the recommendation is made, and has also described the values and preferences that were taken into account in making major new recommendations. The table was updated in 2021 to avoid ambiguity about the positioning of observational data and systematic reviews.

New therapies and indications

The GINA Strategy Report is a global strategy document. Since regulatory approvals differ from country to country, and manufacturers do not necessarily make regulatory submissions in all countries, some GINA recommendations are likely to be off-label in some countries. This is a particular issue for pediatrics, where across different diseases, many treatment recommendations for pre-school children and for children aged 6–11 years are off-label.

For new therapies, GINA's aim is to provide clinicians with evidence-based guidance about new therapies and their positioning in the overall asthma treatment strategy as soon as possible; otherwise the gap between regulatory approval and the periodic update of many national guidelines is filled only by advertising or educational material produced by the manufacturer or distributor. For new therapies for which the GINA Science Committee considers there is sufficient good-quality evidence for safety and efficacy or effectiveness in relevant asthma populations, recommendations may be held until after approval for asthma by at least one major regulatory agency (e.g. European Medicines Agency or US Food and Drug Administration), since regulators often receive substantially more safety and/or efficacy data on new medications than are available to GINA through peer-reviewed literature. However, decisions by GINA to make or not make a recommendation about any therapy, or about its use in any specific population, are based on the best available

peer-reviewed evidence and not on labeling directives from regulators.

For existing therapies with evidence for new regimens or in different populations, the Science Committee may, where relevant, make recommendations that are not necessarily covered by regulatory indications in any country at the time, provided the Committee is satisfied with the available evidence around safety and efficacy/effectiveness. Since the GINA Strategy Report represents a global strategy, the report does not refer to recommendations being ‘off-label’. However, readers are advised that, when assessing and treating patients, they should use their own professional judgment and should also take into account local and national guidelines and eligibility criteria, as well as locally licensed drug doses.

External review

Prior to publication each year, the GINA Strategy Report undergoes extensive external review by patient advocates and by asthma care experts from primary and specialist care in multiple countries. There is also continuous external review throughout the year in the form of feedback from end-users and stakeholders through the contact form on the GINA website.

Table A. Description of levels of evidence used in this report

Evidence level	Sources of evidence	Definition
A	Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, observational evidence. Rich body of data.	Evidence is from endpoints of well-designed RCTs, systematic reviews of relevant studies or observational studies that provide a consistent pattern of findings in the population for which the recommendation is made. Category A requires substantial numbers of studies involving substantial numbers of participants.
B	Randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews. Limited body of data.	Evidence is from endpoints of intervention studies that include only a limited number of patients, post hoc or subgroup analysis of RCTs or systematic reviews of such RCTs. In general, Category B applies when few randomized trials exist, they are small in size, they were undertaken in a population that differs from the target population of the recommendation, or the results are somewhat inconsistent.
C	Nonrandomized trials or observational studies.	Evidence is from non-randomized trials or observational studies.
D	Panel consensus judgment.	This category is used only in cases where the provision of some guidance was deemed valuable but the clinical literature addressing the subject was insufficient to justify placement in one of the other categories. The Panel Consensus is based on clinical experience or knowledge that does not meet the above listed criteria.

LITERATURE REVIEWED FOR GINA 2023 UPDATE

The GINA Strategy Report has been updated in 2023 following the routine twice-yearly review of the literature by the GINA Science Committee. The literature searches for ‘clinical’ publication types (see above), systematic reviews and guidelines identified a total of 3698 publications, of which 3118 duplicates/animal studies/non-asthma/pilot studies and protocols were removed. A total of 580 publications underwent screening of title and abstract by at least two reviewers, and 491 were screened out for relevance and/or quality. A total of 89 publications underwent full-text review by at least two members of the Science Committee, and 52 publications were subsequently discussed at meetings of the Science Committee. A list of key changes in GINA 2023 can be found starting on p.17, and a tracked changes copy is archived on the GINA website at www.ginasthma.org/archived-reports/.

FUTURE CHALLENGES

Despite laudable efforts to improve asthma care over the past 30 years, and the availability of effective medications, many patients globally have not benefited from advances in asthma treatment and often lack even the rudiments of care. Many of the world's population live in areas with inadequate medical facilities and meager financial resources. GINA recognizes that the recommendations found in this report must be adapted to fit local practices and the availability of healthcare resources. To improve asthma care and patient outcomes, evidence-based recommendations must also be disseminated and implemented nationally and locally, and integrated into health systems and clinical practice. Implementation requires an evidence-based strategy involving professional groups and stakeholders and considering local cultural and socioeconomic conditions. GINA is a partner organization in the Global Alliance against Chronic Respiratory Diseases (GARD). Through the work of GINA, and in cooperation with GARD and the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (IUATLD), substantial progress toward better care for all patients with asthma should be achieved in the next decade.

At the most fundamental level, patients in many areas do not have access to any inhaled corticosteroid-containing medications, which are the cornerstone of care for asthma patients of all severity. More broadly, medications remain the major contributor to the overall costs of asthma management, so the access to and pricing of high quality asthma medications continues to be an issue of urgent need and a growing area of research interest.³⁻⁵ The safest and most effective approach to asthma treatment in adolescents and adults, which also avoids the consequences of starting treatment with SABA alone, depends on access to ICS–formoterol across all asthma severity levels.^{6,7} Budesonide-formoterol is included in the World Health Organization (WHO) essential medicines list, so the fundamental changes to treatment of mild asthma first included in the 2019 GINA Strategy Report may provide a feasible solution to reduce the risk of severe exacerbations in low- and middle-income countries.⁷

The urgent need to ensure access to affordable, quality-assured inhaled asthma medications as part of universal health coverage must now be prioritized by all relevant stakeholders, particularly manufacturers of relevant inhalers. GINA is collaborating with IUATLD and other organizations to work towards a World Health Assembly Resolution to improve equitable access to affordable care, including inhaled medicines, for children, adolescents and adults with asthma.⁵

What's new in GINA 2023?

The GINA Strategy Report has been updated in 2023 following the routine twice-yearly cumulative review of the literature by the GINA Scientific Committee. A copy showing tracked changes from the GINA 2022 report is archived on the GINA website.

KEY CHANGES

- **Clarification of terminology for asthma medications:** a new table (Box 3-4, p.56) has been added to clarify terminology for types of asthma medications, including the different meanings of 'maintenance' and 'controller' medications. The term 'controller' has been replaced where appropriate by 'maintenance treatment' or 'ICS-containing treatment'. The term anti-inflammatory reliever ('AIR'), including as-needed ICS-formoterol and as-needed ICS-SABA, reflects the dual purpose of these reliever inhalers. It is important to distinguish between as-needed use of an anti-inflammatory reliever on its own ('AIR-only') in Steps 1–2, and maintenance and reliever therapy ('MART') with ICS-formoterol in Steps 3–5. We suggest including these definitions in health professional education.
- **New commentary explaining the asthma management cycle:** Commentary has been added to Box 3-2 (p.50) to assist clinicians to explain the asthma management cycle (assessment – adjustment – review) to patients, and to health professionals during education presentations. This figure is repeated in the GINA treatment figures for all age-groups, as a reminder about the key elements of asthma management.
- **Addition of as-needed ICS-SABA to GINA 2023 treatment figure for adults and adolescents:** The figure (Box 3-12, p.65) has been updated to include as-needed combination ICS-SABA (an anti-inflammatory reliever) in GINA Track 2. This addition was based on a clinical trial in patients taking GINA Steps 3–5 maintenance treatment, showing that using budesonide-salbutamol (budesonide-albuterol) as the reliever reduced the risk of severe exacerbations, compared with a salbutamol (albuterol) reliever. Most of the benefit was seen in patients taking Step 3 treatment. Additional details are found on p.74, p.76 and p.78. Only one small randomized controlled trial has examined as-needed-only ICS-SABA for Steps 1–2, and more studies are needed in children and adolescents. ICS-SABA is not recommended for regular maintenance therapy, so it cannot be used for maintenance and reliever therapy.

Despite the addition of ICS-SABA reliever in Track 2, GINA Track 1 with as-needed ICS-formoterol remains the preferred treatment approach for adults and adolescents (Box 3-12, p.65). The reasons are: (a) the evidence from multiple large randomized controlled trials (RCTs), both highly controlled and pragmatic, that ICS-formoterol reliever is superior to SABA for reducing severe exacerbations. Evidence supporting ICS-SABA is comparatively limited: a single RCT comparing as-needed ICS-SABA and as-needed SABA in Steps 3–5, and a small proof-of-concept study with as-needed-only ICS-SABA in Step 2; (b) the reduction in emergency department visits or hospitalizations with as-needed-only ICS-formoterol in patients previously taking SABA alone, low-dose ICS or LTRA: by 65% compared with SABA alone, and by 37% compared with daily ICS plus as-needed SABA; and (c) the simplicity of the Track 1 approach for patients and clinicians, because the same medication is used for both symptom relief and, if needed, maintenance treatment. Treatment can be adjusted up or down, when clinically appropriate, by adding/removing maintenance doses, without needing to add a different medication or inhaler device.

- **Practical guidance on medications and doses for GINA Track 1:** More details have been provided in a new table (Box 3-15, p.80). Details about doses are also included where relevant throughout the report, including in sections on treatment steps (starting on p.68), and asthma action plans (p.142). This information has been added in response to requests by clinicians and academics, and to address incorrect advice about dosage of ICS-formoterol in some commonly used external clinical resources. We also cite an article written by authors from GINA and the 2020 NAEPP Focused Updates to US asthma guidelines,⁸ which provides practical advice about MART for clinicians, including a template for a written asthma action plan customized for use with this regimen.
- **Update of GINA 2023 treatment figure for children 6–11 years (Box 3-13, p.66):** Mepolizumab, an anti-interleukin-5 antibody given by subcutaneous injection, has been added to the preferred maintenance treatment options at Step 5 for children with severe eosinophilic asthma, after specialist referral and optimization of treatment. A

double-blind RCT in urban children taking high dose ICS or ICS-LABA demonstrated a reduction in severe exacerbations with add-on mepolizumab compared with placebo (p.133).

- **Clarification of GINA 2023 treatment figure for children 5 years and younger (Box 6-6, p.183):** To avoid confusion, the Step 1 box for 'Preferred controller' now states that there is 'Insufficient evidence for maintenance treatment'.
- **Addition of environmental considerations for inhaler choice:** The section about choice of inhalers has been expanded, and a new figure added (Box 3-21, p.99), with more details about the issues that should be considered, including the environmental impact of the inhalers themselves. The issues include, first, choosing the most appropriate medication for the patient to reduce exacerbations and control symptoms and then, from the inhalers available for that medication, assessing which inhaler the patient can use correctly after training; if there is more than one option, which of them has the lowest environmental impact, and whether the patient is satisfied with the inhaler. Reducing the risk of asthma exacerbations is a high-priority objective because of their burden to patients and to the healthcare system; urgent health care also has a high environmental impact. As always, GINA stresses the importance of regularly checking inhaler technique for every patient, and correcting it when faulty.
- **Additional advice on management of asthma in low- and middle-income countries:** Advice has been provided about management of asthma in countries that lack access to essential inhaled asthma medications (p.112).⁹ GINA is actively contributing to international efforts to improve access to affordable essential asthma medications for all.^{4,5,7,10}
- **Updated advice on definition of mild asthma:** Further discussion has been added about the limitations of the current retrospective definition of mild asthma, and the contrast between this academic definition and the common usage of 'mild asthma' in respiratory literature and in clinical practice. Interim advice about how to describe asthma severity in various scenarios (clinical practice, education of health professionals, epidemiologic studies and clinical trials) has been expanded and clarified (p.42). GINA is continuing discussion on this topic with a range of groups, including patients and primary and specialist health professionals.
- **Updated guidance on managing severe asthma:** This includes clarification that, regardless of regulatory approvals, GINA recommends biologic therapy for asthma only if asthma is severe, and only if existing treatment has been optimized. The sections about classes of biologic therapy in Chapter 3.5 provide a brief summary of the now-extensive evidence base, not a detailed review. Because of the variability between populations, settings and background exacerbation rates in different studies of biologic therapies, specific results are reported from meta-analyses as a general indication of magnitude of effect, but not from individual studies, with the exception of data on OCS reduction. With expanding indications for biologic therapy, additional non-asthma indications are mentioned only if they are relevant to asthma management, or if the condition is commonly associated with asthma. Other updates in Chapter 3.5 include the recommendation for mepolizumab in children aged ≥ 6 years with eosinophilic asthma, noted above; and a double-blind study of withdrawal of mepolizumab in adults with eosinophilic asthma, that found more exacerbations in those who ceased mepolizumab than those who continued treatment (p.136).
- **New practical guide to management of asthma exacerbations:** Following multiple requests, additional details have been added about medications and doses for as-needed ICS-formoterol and as-needed ICS-SABA in the section on written asthma action plans, together with a reference for a downloadable action plan customized for maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) with ICS-formoterol (p.142). Information has also been added about studies supporting the use of budesonide-formoterol for acute asthma in emergency department settings (p.150).

Safety issues

- **Pulse oximetry:** the FDA has issued a safety communication about the potential overestimation of oxygen saturation from pulse oximetry in people with dark skin color (p.151).
- **Advice about potential drug interactions** between anti-COVID-19 treatment such as ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir (NMV/r) and asthma medications including ICS-salmeterol and ICS-vilanterol. This advice is included because several key drug interaction websites recommend that LABAs should be stopped if NMV/r is prescribed, without advising that

this could result in an asthma exacerbation. Advice about drug interactions has also been added in the section about management of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (p.118).

Other changes include the following:

- **Imaging:** a brief section has been added about the role of imaging in the diagnosis of asthma (p.28).
- **Pertussis** has been added as a differential diagnosis for asthma (p.30), and for exacerbations of asthma (p.139).
- **Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ):** GINA again advises use of the 5-item version (ACQ-5), rather than ACQ-6 or ACQ-7 (p.37). Data for ACQ-5, ACQ-6 and ACQ-7 cannot be combined for meta-analysis.
- **Composite asthma control tools:** Because patients with good symptom control can still be at risk of severe exacerbations, and because of the many modifiable risk factors for exacerbations that are independent of symptom control (Box 2-2B, p.38), GINA does not recommend assessment tools that combine symptom control with exacerbation history; both symptom control and individual risk factors should be assessed (p.35).
- **Advice about managing asthma during the COVID-19 pandemic:** Advice has been added about management of asthma if patients acquire COVID-19, including potential drug interactions (p.118).
- **FeNO-guided treatment:** A well-conducted multinational study in children found that adding FeNO to symptom-guided treatment did not result in fewer exacerbations (p.84). Updates of the 2016 Cochrane reviews on this topic to include additional studies in children and adults are awaited.
- **Update of evidence on digital interventions for adherence:** A Cochrane review found that a variety of digital intervention strategies improved adherence to maintenance asthma medications, reduced exacerbations, and improved asthma control, in studies of up to 2 years' duration in adults and children. Effective interventions included electronic monitoring of maintenance inhaler use and text messages (p.101).
- **Nasal and sinus disease:** this material (p.108) has been divided into separate sections about rhinitis and sinusitis, and chronic rhinosinusitis with and without nasal polyps (CRSwNP and CRSsNP respectively).
- **Transitioning of adolescents from pediatric to adult care:** Additional information has been provided about important issues to consider during this vulnerable period, based on new guidelines from the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (p.113)
- **Fragility fractures:** the cumulative adverse effects of exposure to oral corticosteroids include not just osteoporosis, but also fragility fractures, which in the elderly can have serious effects on quality of life, and often require healthcare utilization. The risk of fragility fractures has been included in several places.
- **Non-pharmacologic strategies:** New evidence has been included about the benefit of physical activity in adults with moderate/severe asthma (p.90). A systematic review of comprehensive social risk interventions in the USA found a reduction in emergency room visits. Evidence from other countries and settings is needed (p.95).
- **Outdoor air pollution:** use of digital monitoring devices identified an impact of higher pollution on asthma medication utilization, with a lag of 0–3 days (p.95). This may be useful in predicting need for additional resources at healthcare facilities.
- **Vaccinations:** additional evidence is included about the safety of influenza vaccination in people with asthma. There is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal or pertussis vaccination in adults (p.87).

Structure and format

- **Appendix:** The Appendix will be replaced by a yearly supplement published after the GINA Strategy Report, explaining the evidence and rationale for recent major changes in more detail than is possible in this 'What's New' summary. Appendices published up to 2020 are available in the online archive.
- **Treatment steps for adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years:** Information in Chapter 3.2 about new or updated treatment recommendations has been restructured, where possible, to provide details under the headings of population, evidence, other considerations and practice points.

- **Boxes and tables:** For simplicity, boxes (tables and figures) have been renumbered consecutively within each chapter. Abbreviations have been removed from figures and tables to reduce crowding, and they are now included at the beginning of the report, accessed by a hyperlink from underneath each Box. The publication year has been added to the main treatment figures to help avoid external use of out-of-date versions.
- **COVID-19:** Given the marked reduction in COVID-19 cases in most countries, the section on management of asthma during the pandemic has been moved to Chapter 3.3 (p.109).
- **Short guide on difficult-to-treat and severe asthma:** Chapter 3.5 of the GINA 2023 report about difficult-to-treat and severe asthma, including the decision tree, will be published shortly as a stand-alone resource, now in a larger format than the previous 'pocket' guide, to improve its readability and utility.

Topics still under discussion

- **Diagnosis of asthma:** Discussion is ongoing about the diagnosis of asthma in adults and in children, following review of recent guidelines on diagnosis of asthma in children aged 5–16 years¹¹ and in adults.¹² Further work is needed to evaluate the utility for clinical practice of their consensus-based algorithms. Both algorithms recommended FeNO testing instead of bronchodilator responsiveness testing for patients whose prebronchodilator lung function is above specified criteria; this would require testing on a second day, since FeNO must be performed before spirometry. The ATS/ERS technical standard on interpretive strategies for routine lung function tests¹³ was also reviewed. The authors recommended a change in the criterion for significant bronchodilator responsiveness in FEV₁ or FVC to 10% of the predicted value, but cautioned against over-reliance on strict cut-offs. As bronchodilator responsiveness defined by the conventional criterion of change >12% and >200 mL was used as a gold standard for the evaluation of diagnostic tests by the above two guidelines groups, it would be helpful for the effect of the proposed new bronchodilator responsiveness criterion on the sensitivity and specificity of these diagnostic tests to be assessed. For the present, no changes have been made to the GINA box about diagnostic investigations (Box 1-2, p.25), except to reverse the order of confirming variability in lung function, and confirming that there is evidence of expiratory airflow limitation, to address some ambiguity with the previous wording. The GINA diagnostic flow-chart (Box 1-2, p.24) starts by assessing whether the patient or child's symptoms are typical of asthma or more typical of other conditions, as the latter should prompt alternative investigations rather than necessarily proceeding to spirometry.
- **Assessment of symptom control:** GINA continues to seek evidence relevant to the assessment of symptom control in patients whose reliever is ICS-formoterol.
- **Allergen immunotherapy:** A review of updated evidence on subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy (SCIT) and sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) for patients with asthma is nearing completion.
- **Severe asthma in children 6–11 years:** a pocket guide is in development.
- **Digital formats for GINA resources:** investigation of digital options is ongoing, with the aim of facilitating access to GINA resources on portable devices and smartphones. Presentation of the GINA Strategy Report as an eBook is not feasible at present because bibliographic referencing programs are not yet compatible with any of the current e-Book platforms, so references would need to be re-entered manually every year.
- **Advice about COVID-19** will be updated promptly on the GINA website as relevant information becomes available.

Note: minor corrections and clarifications 06 July 2023

- Box 3-9 (p.62): Text corrected: the preferred initial treatment for children 6–11 years with infrequent symptoms is ICS taken whenever SABA is taken; the alternative is daily maintenance low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA.
- Box 3-12 (p.65): Order of Track 2 reliever options reversed, and footnote edited, to clarify that anti-inflammatory relievers comprise as-needed ICS-formoterol and as-needed ICS-SABA, but not SABA alone.
- Box 3-15 (p.80): Minor reformatting and table and text edits for clarity including that, for children 6–11 years, there is no evidence for use of anti-inflammatory reliever alone in Step 1 or for MART in Step 5.
- A small number of minor corrections have been made to text, reference citation numbers and incomplete citations.

Chapter 1.

**Definition,
description, and diagnosis
of asthma**

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

KEY POINTS

What is asthma?

- Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms, such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough, that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation. Airflow limitation may later become persistent.
- Asthma is usually associated with airway hyperresponsiveness and airway inflammation, but these are not necessary or sufficient to make the diagnosis.
- Recognizable clusters of demographic, clinical and/or pathophysiological characteristics are often called 'asthma phenotypes'; however, these do not correlate strongly with specific pathological processes or treatment responses.

How is asthma diagnosed?

- The diagnosis of asthma is based on the history of characteristic symptom patterns and evidence of variable expiratory airflow limitation. This should be documented from bronchodilator reversibility testing or other tests.
- Test before treating, wherever possible, i.e. document the evidence for the diagnosis of asthma before starting ICS-containing treatment, as it is often more difficult to confirm the diagnosis once asthma control has improved.
- Additional or alternative strategies may be needed to confirm the diagnosis of asthma in particular populations, including patients already on ICS-containing treatment, the elderly, and those in low-resource settings.

DEFINITION OF ASTHMA

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms, such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough, that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation.

This definition was reached by consensus, based on consideration of the characteristics that are typical of asthma before ICS-containing treatment is commenced, and that distinguish it from other respiratory conditions. However, airflow limitation may become persistent later in the course of the disease.

DESCRIPTION OF ASTHMA

Asthma is a common, chronic respiratory disease affecting 1–29% of the population in different countries.^{14,15} Asthma is characterized by variable symptoms of wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and/or cough, and by variable expiratory airflow limitation. Both symptoms and airflow limitation characteristically vary over time and in intensity. These variations are often triggered by factors such as exercise, allergen or irritant exposure, change in weather, or viral respiratory infections.

Symptoms and airflow limitation may resolve spontaneously or in response to medication, and may sometimes be absent for weeks or months at a time. On the other hand, patients can experience episodic flare-ups (exacerbations) of asthma that may be life-threatening and carry a significant burden to patients and the community. The majority of asthma deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries.⁴ Asthma is usually associated with airway hyperresponsiveness to direct or indirect stimuli, and with chronic airway inflammation. These features usually persist, even when symptoms are absent or lung function is normal, but may normalize with treatment.

Asthma phenotypes

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, with different underlying disease processes. Recognizable clusters of demographic, clinical and/or pathophysiological characteristics are often called 'asthma phenotypes'.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ In patients with

more severe asthma, some phenotype-guided treatments are available. However, except in patients with severe asthma, no strong relationship has been found between specific pathological features and particular clinical patterns or treatment responses. More research is needed to understand the clinical utility of phenotypic classification in asthma.

Many clinical phenotypes of asthma have been identified.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Some of the most common are:

- *Allergic asthma*: this is the most easily recognized asthma phenotype, which often commences in childhood and is associated with a past and/or family history of allergic disease such as eczema, allergic rhinitis, or food or drug allergy. Examination of the induced sputum of these patients before treatment often reveals eosinophilic airway inflammation. Patients with this asthma phenotype usually respond well to inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) treatment.
- *Non-allergic asthma*: some patients have asthma that is not associated with allergy. The cellular profile of the sputum of these patients may be neutrophilic, eosinophilic or contain only a few inflammatory cells (paucigranulocytic). Patients with non-allergic asthma often demonstrate a lesser short-term response to ICS.
- *Adult-onset (late-onset) asthma*: some adults, particularly women, present with asthma for the first time in adult life. These patients tend to be non-allergic, and often require higher doses of ICS or are relatively refractory to corticosteroid treatment. Occupational asthma (i.e. asthma due to exposures at work) should be ruled out in patients presenting with adult-onset asthma.
- *Asthma with persistent airflow limitation*: some patients with long-standing asthma develop airflow limitation that is persistent or incompletely reversible. This is thought to be due to airway wall remodeling.
- *Asthma with obesity*: some obese patients with asthma have prominent respiratory symptoms and little eosinophilic airway inflammation.

There is little evidence about the natural history of asthma after diagnosis, but one longitudinal study showed that approximately 16% of adults with recently diagnosed asthma may experience clinical remission (no symptoms or asthma medication for at least 1 year) within 5 years.¹⁹

MAKING THE INITIAL DIAGNOSIS

Making the diagnosis of asthma before treatment is started, as shown in Box 1-1 (p.24) is based on identifying both a characteristic pattern of respiratory symptoms such as wheezing, shortness of breath (dyspnea), chest tightness or cough, and variable expiratory airflow limitation.²⁰ The pattern of symptoms is important, as respiratory symptoms may be due to acute or chronic conditions other than asthma (see Box 1-3 (p.30)). If possible, the evidence supporting a diagnosis of asthma (Box 1-2, p.25) should be documented when the patient first presents, as the features that are characteristic of asthma may improve spontaneously or with treatment. As a result, it is often more difficult to confirm a diagnosis of asthma once the patient has been started on ICS-containing treatment, because this reduces variability of both symptoms and lung function.

Patterns of respiratory symptoms that are characteristic of asthma

The following features are typical of asthma and, if present, *increase* the probability that the patient has asthma:²⁰


Respiratory symptoms of wheeze, shortness of breath, cough and/or chest tightness:

- Patients (especially adults) experience more than one of these types of symptoms.
- Symptoms are often worse at night or in the early morning.
- Symptoms vary over time and in intensity.
- Symptoms are triggered by viral infections (colds), exercise, allergen exposure, changes in weather, laughter, or irritants such as car exhaust fumes, smoke or strong smells.

The following features *decrease* the probability that respiratory symptoms are due to asthma:

- Isolated cough with no other respiratory symptoms (see p.31)
- Chronic production of sputum
- Shortness of breath associated with dizziness, light-headedness or peripheral tingling (paresthesia)
- Chest pain
- Exercise-induced dyspnea with noisy inspiration.

Box 1-1. Diagnostic flowchart for clinical practice



PEF: peak expiratory flow (highest of three readings). When measuring PEF, use the same meter each time as the value may vary by up to 20% between different meters; pm: as-needed. See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Bronchodilator responsiveness (reversibility) may be lost during severe exacerbations or viral infections, and in long-standing asthma, and it usually decreases with inhaled corticosteroid treatment. If bronchodilator responsiveness is not found at initial presentation, the next step depends on the availability of tests and the clinical urgency of need for treatment.

Box 1-2. Diagnostic criteria for asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years

1. HISTORY OF TYPICAL VARIABLE RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS	
<i>Feature</i>	<i>Symptoms or features that support the diagnosis of asthma</i>
Wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough (Descriptors may vary between cultures and by age)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than one type of respiratory symptom (in adults, isolated cough is seldom due to asthma) • Symptoms occur variably over time and vary in intensity • Symptoms are often worse at night or on waking • Symptoms are often triggered by exercise, laughter, allergens, cold air • Symptoms often appear or worsen with viral infections
2. CONFIRMED VARIABLE EXPIRATORY AIRFLOW LIMITATION	
<i>Feature</i>	<i>Considerations, definitions, criteria</i>
1. Documented* excessive variability in lung function* (one or more of the following):	The greater the variations, or the more occasions excess variation is seen, the more confident the diagnosis. If initially negative, tests can be repeated during symptoms or in the early morning.
• Positive bronchodilator (BD) responsiveness (reversibility) test	<i>Adults:</i> increase in FEV ₁ of >12% and >200 mL (greater confidence if increase is >15% and >400 mL). <i>Children:</i> increase in FEV ₁ from baseline of >12% predicted. Measure change 10–15 minutes after 200–400 mcg salbutamol (albuterol) or equivalent, compared with pre-BD readings. Positive test more likely if BD withheld before test: SABA ≥4 hours, twice-daily LABA 24 hours, once-daily LABA 36 hours
• Excessive variability in twice-daily PEF over 2 weeks	<i>Adults:</i> average daily diurnal PEF variability >10%* <i>Children:</i> average daily diurnal PEF variability >13%*
• Increase in lung function after 4 weeks of treatment	<i>Adults:</i> increase in FEV ₁ by >12% and >200 mL (or PEF [†] by >20%) from baseline after 4 weeks of ICS-containing treatment, outside respiratory infections
• Positive exercise challenge test	<i>Adults:</i> fall in FEV ₁ of >10% and >200 mL from baseline <i>Children:</i> fall in FEV ₁ of >12% predicted, or PEF >15% from baseline
• Positive bronchial challenge test (usually only for adults)	Fall in FEV ₁ from baseline of ≥20% with standard doses of methacholine, or ≥15% with standardized hyperventilation, hypertonic saline or mannitol challenge
• Excessive variation in lung function between visits (good specificity but poor sensitivity)	<i>Adults:</i> variation in FEV ₁ of >12% and >200 mL between visits, outside of respiratory infections. <i>Children:</i> variation in FEV ₁ of >12% in FEV ₁ or >15% in PEF [†] between visits (may include respiratory infections)
AND	
2 Documented* expiratory airflow limitation	At a time when FEV ₁ is reduced (e.g. during testing above), confirm that FEV ₁ /FVC is also reduced compared with the lower limit of normal (it is usually >0.75–0.80 in adults, >0.90 in children ²¹)

See list of abbreviations (p.10). For bronchodilator responsiveness testing, use either a SABA or a rapid-acting ICS-LABA. See Box 1-3 (p.28) for how to confirm the diagnosis in patients already taking ICS-containing treatment. *Daily diurnal PEF variability is calculated from twice daily PEF as (day's highest minus day's lowest) divided by (mean of day's highest and lowest), averaged over one week. For each PEF measurement, use the highest of 3 readings. †For PEF, use the same meter each time, as PEF may vary by up to 20% between different meters. BD responsiveness may be lost during severe exacerbations or viral infections,²² and airflow limitation may become persistent over time. If reversibility is not present at initial presentation, the next step depends on the availability of other tests and the urgency of the need for treatment. In a situation of clinical urgency, asthma treatment may be commenced and diagnostic testing arranged within the next few weeks (Box 1-4, p.29), but other conditions that can mimic asthma (Box 1-5) should be considered, and the diagnosis confirmed as soon as possible.

Why is it important to confirm the diagnosis of asthma?

This is important to avoid unnecessary treatment or over-treatment, and to avoid missing other important diagnoses. In adults with an asthma diagnosis in the last 5 years, one-third could not be confirmed as having asthma after repeated testing over 12 months and staged withdrawal of ICS-containing treatment. The diagnosis of asthma was less likely to be confirmed in patients who had not had lung function testing performed at the time of initial diagnosis. Some patients (2%) had serious cardiorespiratory conditions that had been misdiagnosed as asthma.²³

History and family history

Commencement of respiratory symptoms in childhood, a history of allergic rhinitis or eczema, or a family history of asthma or allergy, increases the probability that the respiratory symptoms are due to asthma. However, these features are not specific for asthma and are not seen in all asthma phenotypes. Patients with allergic rhinitis or atopic dermatitis should be asked specifically about respiratory symptoms.

Physical examination

Physical examination in people with asthma is often normal. The most frequent abnormality is expiratory wheezing (rhonchi) on auscultation, but this may be absent or only heard on forced expiration. Wheezing may also be absent during severe asthma exacerbations, due to severely reduced airflow (so called 'silent chest'), but at such times, other physical signs of respiratory failure are usually present. Wheezing may also be heard with inducible laryngeal obstruction, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), respiratory infections, tracheomalacia, or inhaled foreign body. Crackles (crepitations) and inspiratory wheezing are not features of asthma. Examination of the nose may reveal signs of allergic rhinitis or nasal polyps.

Lung function testing to document variable expiratory airflow limitation

Asthma is characterized by variable expiratory airflow limitation, i.e., expiratory lung function varies over time, and in magnitude, to a greater extent than in healthy populations. In asthma, lung function may vary between completely normal and severely obstructed in the same patient. Poorly controlled asthma is associated with greater variability in lung function than well-controlled asthma.²²

Lung function testing should be carried out by well-trained operators with well-maintained and regularly calibrated equipment,²⁴ with an inline filter to protect against transmission of infection.²⁵ Forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) from spirometry is more reliable than peak expiratory flow (PEF). If PEF is used, the same meter should be used each time, as measurements may differ from meter to meter by up to 20%.²⁶

A reduced FEV₁ may be found with many other lung diseases (or poor spirometric technique), but a reduced ratio of FEV₁ to forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC), compared with the lower limit of normal, indicates expiratory airflow limitation. Many spirometers now include multi-ethnic age-specific predicted values.²¹

In clinical practice, once an obstructive defect has been confirmed, variation in airflow limitation is generally assessed from variation in FEV₁ or PEF. '*Variability*' refers to improvement and/or deterioration in symptoms and lung function. Excessive variability may be identified over the course of one day (diurnal variability), from day to day, from visit to visit, or seasonally, or from a reversibility test. '*Reversibility*' (now called 'responsiveness')²⁴ generally refers to rapid improvements in FEV₁ (or PEF), measured within minutes after inhalation of a rapid-acting bronchodilator such as 200–400 mcg salbutamol,²⁷ or more sustained improvement over days or weeks after the introduction of treatment such as ICS.²⁷

In a patient with typical respiratory symptoms, obtaining evidence of excessive variability in expiratory lung function is an essential component of the diagnosis of asthma. Some specific examples are:

- An increase in lung function after administration of a bronchodilator, or after a trial of ICS-containing treatment
- A decrease in lung function after exercise or during a bronchial provocation test
- Variation in lung function beyond the normal range when it is repeated over time, either on separate visits, or on home monitoring over at least 1–2 weeks.

Specific criteria for demonstrating excessive variability in expiratory lung function are listed in Box 1-2 (p.25). A decrease in lung function during a respiratory infection, while commonly seen in asthma, does not necessarily indicate that a person has asthma, as it may also be seen in otherwise healthy individuals or people with COPD.

How much variation in expiratory airflow is consistent with asthma?

There is overlap in bronchodilator reversibility and other measures of variation between health and disease.²⁸ In a patient with respiratory symptoms, the greater the variations in their lung function, or the more times excess variation is seen, the more likely the diagnosis is to be asthma (Box 1-2, p.25). Generally, in adults with respiratory symptoms typical of asthma, an increase or decrease in FEV₁ of >12% and >200 mL from baseline, or (if spirometry is not available) a change in PEF of at least 20%, is accepted as being consistent with asthma.

Diurnal PEF variability is calculated from twice daily readings as the daily amplitude percent mean, i.e.:

$$([\text{Day's highest} - \text{day's lowest}] / \text{mean of day's highest and lowest}) \times 100$$

Then the average of each day's value is calculated over 1–2 weeks. The upper 95% confidence limit of diurnal variability (amplitude percent mean) from twice daily readings is 9% in healthy adults,²⁹ and 12.3% in healthy children,³⁰ so in general, diurnal variability >10% for adults and >13% for children is regarded as excessive.

If FEV₁ is within the predicted normal range when the patient is experiencing symptoms, this reduces the probability that the symptoms are due to asthma. However, patients whose baseline FEV₁ is >80% predicted can have a clinically important increase in lung function with bronchodilator or ICS-containing treatment. Predicted normal ranges (especially for PEF) have limitations, so the patient's own best reading ('personal best') is recommended as their 'normal' value.

When can variable expiratory airflow limitation be documented?

If possible, evidence of variable expiratory airflow limitation should be documented before treatment is started. This is because variability usually decreases with ICS treatment as lung function improves. In addition, any increase in lung function after initiating ICS-containing treatment can help to confirm the diagnosis of asthma. Bronchodilator responsiveness may not be present between symptoms, during viral infections or if the patient has used a beta₂-agonist within the previous few hours; and in some patients, airflow limitation may become persistent or irreversible over time.

If spirometry is not available, or variable expiratory airflow limitation is not documented, a decision about whether to investigate further or start ICS-containing treatment immediately depends on clinical urgency and access to other tests. Box 1-3 (p.29) describes how to confirm the diagnosis of asthma in a patient already taking ICS-containing treatment.

Other tests

Bronchial provocation tests

One option for documenting variable expiratory airflow limitation is to refer the patient for bronchial provocation testing to assess airway hyperresponsiveness. Challenge agents include inhaled methacholine,³¹ histamine, exercise,³² eucapnic voluntary hyperventilation or inhaled mannitol. These tests are moderately sensitive for a diagnosis of asthma but have limited specificity.^{31,32} For example, airway hyperresponsiveness to inhaled methacholine has been described in patients with allergic rhinitis,³³ cystic fibrosis,³⁴ bronchopulmonary dysplasia³⁵ and COPD.³⁶ This means that a negative test in a patient not taking ICS can help to exclude asthma, but a positive test does not always mean that a patient has asthma – the pattern of symptoms (Box 1-2, p.25) and other clinical features (Box 1-3, p.28) must also be considered.

Allergy tests

The presence of atopy increases the probability that a patient with respiratory symptoms has allergic asthma, but this is not specific for asthma nor is it present in all asthma phenotypes. Atopic status can be identified by skin prick testing or by measuring the level of specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE) in serum. Skin prick testing with common environmental allergens is simple and rapid to perform and, when performed by an experienced tester with standardized extracts, is inexpensive and has a high sensitivity. Measurement of sIgE is no more reliable than skin tests and is more expensive, but may be preferred for uncooperative patients, those with widespread skin disease, or if the history suggests a risk of

anaphylaxis.³⁷ The presence of a positive skin test or positive sIgE, however, does not mean that the allergen is causing symptoms – the relevance of allergen exposure and its relation to symptoms must be confirmed by the patient’s history.

Imaging

Imaging studies are not routinely used in the diagnosis of asthma, but may be useful to investigate the possibility of comorbid conditions or alternative diagnoses in adults with difficult-to-treat asthma. Imaging may also be used to identify congenital abnormalities in infants with asthma-like symptoms, and alternative diagnoses in children with difficult-to-treat asthma. High-resolution computed tomography (CT) of the lungs can identify conditions such as bronchiectasis, emphysema, lung nodules, airway wall thickening and lung distension, and may assess airway distensibility. The presence of radiographically detected emphysema is considered when differentiating asthma from COPD (Box 5-4, p.166), but there is no accepted threshold and these conditions can coexist. Moreover, air trapping (which may be present in asthma, and is also a feature of ageing) can be difficult to distinguish from emphysema. Chest imaging is not currently recommended to predict treatment outcomes or lung function decline, or to assess treatment response.

CT of the sinuses can identify changes suggestive of chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyps (p.108), which in patients with severe asthma may help with choice of biologic therapy (see Box 3-28, p.125).

Box 1-3. Steps for confirming the diagnosis of asthma in a patient already taking ICS-containing treatment

Current status	Steps to confirm the diagnosis of asthma
Variable respiratory symptoms and variable airflow limitation	Diagnosis of asthma is confirmed. Assess the level of asthma control (Box 2-2, p.38) and review ICS-containing treatment (Box 3-12, p.65, Box 3-13, p.66).
Variable respiratory symptoms but no variable airflow limitation	Consider repeating spirometry after withholding bronchodilator (4 hrs for SABA, 24 hrs for twice-daily ICS-LABA, 36 hrs for once-daily ICS-LABA) or during symptoms. Check between-visit variability of FEV ₁ , and bronchodilator responsiveness. If still normal, consider other diagnoses (Box 1-5, p.30). <i>If FEV₁ is >70% predicted:</i> consider stepping down ICS-containing treatment (see Box 1-5) and reassess in 2–4 weeks, then consider bronchial provocation test or repeating bronchodilator responsiveness. <i>If FEV₁ is <70% predicted:</i> consider stepping up ICS-containing treatment for 3 months (Box 3-12, p.65), then reassess symptoms and lung function. If no response, resume previous treatment and refer patient for diagnosis and investigation.
Few respiratory symptoms, normal lung function, and no variable airflow limitation	Consider repeating BD responsiveness test again after withholding bronchodilator as above or during symptoms. If normal, consider alternative diagnoses (Box 1-5, p.30). Consider stepping down ICS-containing treatment (see Box 1-5): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If symptoms emerge and lung function falls:</i> asthma is confirmed. Step up ICS-containing treatment to previous lowest effective dose. <i>If no change in symptoms or lung function at lowest controller step:</i> consider ceasing ICS-containing, and monitor patient closely for at least 12 months (Box 3-16).
Persistent shortness of breath and persistent airflow limitation	Consider stepping up ICS-containing treatment for 3 months (Box 3-12, p.65), then reassess symptoms and lung function. If no response, resume previous treatment and refer patient for diagnosis and investigation. Consider asthma–COPD overlap (Chapter 5, p.159).

‘Variable airflow limitation’ refers to expiratory airflow. See list of abbreviations (p.10).

CONFIRMING THE DIAGNOSIS OF ASTHMA IN PATIENTS ALREADY TAKING ICS-CONTAINING TREATMENT

If the basis of a patient's diagnosis of asthma has not previously been documented, confirmation with objective testing should be sought. Many patients (25–35%) with a diagnosis of asthma in primary care cannot be confirmed as having asthma.^{23,38-41}

The process for confirming the diagnosis in patients already on ICS-containing treatment depends on the patient's symptoms and lung function (Box 1-3, p.28). In some patients, this may include a trial of either a lower or a higher dose of ICS-containing treatment. If the diagnosis of asthma cannot be confirmed, refer the patient for expert investigation and diagnosis. For some patients, it may be necessary to step down the ICS-containing treatment in order to confirm the diagnosis of asthma. The process is described in Box 1-4, p.29.

Box 1-4. How to step down ICS-containing treatment to help confirm the diagnosis of asthma

1. ASSESS

- Document the patient's current status including asthma control (Box 2-2, p.38) and lung function. If the patient has risk factors for asthma exacerbations (Box 2-2B), step down treatment only with close supervision.
- Choose a suitable time (e.g., no respiratory infection, not going away on vacation, not pregnant).
- Provide a written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p.146) so the patient knows how to recognize and respond if symptoms worsen. Ensure they have enough medication to resume their previous dose if their asthma worsens.

2. ADJUST

- Show the patient how to reduce their ICS dose by 25–50%, or stop other maintenance medication (e.g., LABA, leukotriene receptor antagonist) if being used. See step-down options in Box 3-16, p.83. Schedule a review visit for 2–4 weeks.

3. REVIEW RESPONSE

- Repeat assessment of asthma control and lung function tests in 2–4 weeks (Box 1-2, p.25).
- If symptoms increase and variable expiratory airflow limitation is confirmed after stepping down treatment, the diagnosis of asthma is confirmed. The patient should be returned to their lowest previous effective treatment.
- If, after stepping down to a low-dose ICS-containing treatment, symptoms do not worsen and there is still no evidence of variable expiratory airflow limitation, consider ceasing ICS-containing treatment and repeating asthma control assessment and lung function tests in 2–3 weeks, but follow the patient for at least 12 months.²³

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The differential diagnosis in a patient with suspected asthma varies with age (Box 1-5). Any of these alternative diagnoses may also be found *together with* asthma. See Chapter 3.4 (p.106) for management of multimorbidity.

Box 1-5. Differential diagnosis of asthma in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years

Age	Symptoms	Condition
6–11 years	Sneezing, itching, blocked nose, throat-clearing Sudden onset of symptoms, unilateral wheeze Recurrent infections, productive cough Recurrent infections, productive cough, sinusitis Cardiac murmurs Pre-term delivery, symptoms since birth Excessive cough and mucus production, gastrointestinal symptoms	Chronic upper airway cough syndrome Inhaled foreign body Bronchiectasis Primary ciliary dyskinesia Congenital heart disease Bronchopulmonary dysplasia Cystic fibrosis
12–39 years	Sneezing, itching, blocked nose, throat-clearing Dyspnea, inspiratory wheezing (stridor) Dizziness, paresthesia, sighing Productive cough, recurrent infections Excessive cough and mucus production Cardiac murmurs Shortness of breath, family history of early emphysema Sudden onset of symptoms	Chronic upper airway cough syndrome Inducible laryngeal obstruction Hyperventilation, dysfunctional breathing Bronchiectasis Cystic fibrosis Congenital heart disease Alpha ₁ -antitrypsin deficiency Inhaled foreign body
40+ years	Dyspnea, inspiratory wheezing (stridor) Dizziness, paresthesia, sighing Cough, sputum, dyspnea on exertion, smoking or noxious exposure Productive cough, recurrent infections Dyspnea with exertion, nocturnal symptoms, ankle edema Treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor Dyspnea with exertion, non-productive cough, finger clubbing Sudden onset of dyspnea, chest pain Dyspnea, unresponsive to bronchodilators	Inducible laryngeal obstruction Hyperventilation, dysfunctional breathing COPD* Bronchiectasis Cardiac failure Medication-related cough Parenchymal lung disease Pulmonary embolism Central airway obstruction
All ages	Chronic cough, hemoptysis, dyspnea; and/or fatigue, fever, (night) sweats, anorexia, weight loss	Tuberculosis
	Prolonged paroxysms of coughing, sometimes stridor	Pertussis

See list of abbreviations (p.10). *For more detail, see Chapter 5 (p.159). Any of the above conditions may also contribute to respiratory symptoms in patients with confirmed asthma.

What is the role of exhaled nitric oxide in the diagnosis of asthma?

The fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) is modestly associated with levels of sputum and blood eosinophils.⁴² FeNO has not been established as useful for ruling in or ruling out a diagnosis of asthma (defined on p.22) because, while FeNO is higher in asthma that is characterized by Type 2 airway inflammation,⁴³ it is also elevated in non-asthma conditions (e.g. eosinophilic bronchitis, atopy, allergic rhinitis, eczema), and it is not elevated in some asthma phenotypes (e.g. neutrophilic asthma). FeNO is lower in smokers and during bronchoconstriction⁴⁴ and the early phases of allergic response;⁴⁵ it may be increased or decreased during viral respiratory infections.⁴⁴ See Chapter 3.2, p.57 for discussion about FeNO in the context of decisions about initial asthma treatment.

HOW TO MAKE THE DIAGNOSIS OF ASTHMA IN OTHER CONTEXTS

Patients presenting with persistent non-productive cough as the only respiratory symptom

Diagnoses to be considered are chronic upper airway cough syndrome (often called 'postnasal drip'), cough induced by angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, gastroesophageal reflux, chronic sinusitis, and inducible laryngeal obstruction.^{46,47} Patients with so-called 'cough-variant asthma' have persistent cough as their principal or only symptom, associated with airway hyperresponsiveness. It is often more problematic at night. Lung function may be normal, and for these patients, documentation of variability in lung function (Box 1-2, p.25) is important.⁴⁸ Cough-variant asthma must be distinguished from eosinophilic bronchitis in which patients have cough and sputum eosinophilia but normal spirometry and airway responsiveness.⁴⁸

Occupational asthma and work-exacerbated asthma

Asthma acquired in the workplace is frequently missed. Asthma may be induced or (more commonly) aggravated by exposure to allergens or other sensitizing agents at work, or sometimes from a single, massive exposure. Occupational rhinitis may precede asthma by up to a year and early diagnosis is essential, as persistent exposure is associated with worse outcomes.^{49,50}

An estimated 5–20% of new cases of adult-onset asthma can be attributed to occupational exposure.⁴⁹ Adult-onset asthma requires a systematic inquiry about work history and exposures, including hobbies. Asking patients whether their symptoms improve when they are away from work (weekends or vacation) is an essential screening question.⁵¹ It is important to confirm the diagnosis of occupational asthma objectively as it may lead to the patient changing their occupation, which may have legal and socioeconomic implications. Specialist referral is usually necessary, and frequent PEF monitoring at and away from work is often used to help confirm the diagnosis. Further information about occupational asthma is found in Chapter 3 (p.116) and in specific guidelines.⁴⁹

Athletes

The diagnosis of asthma in athletes should be confirmed by lung function tests, usually with bronchial provocation testing.⁵² Conditions that may either mimic or be associated with asthma, such as rhinitis, laryngeal disorders (e.g., inducible laryngeal obstruction⁴⁷), dysfunctional breathing, cardiac conditions and over-training, must be excluded.⁵³

Pregnant women

Pregnant women and women planning a pregnancy should be asked whether they have asthma so that appropriate advice about asthma management and medications can be given (see Chapter 3: *Managing asthma with multimorbidity and in specific populations*, p.114).⁵⁴ If objective confirmation of the diagnosis is needed, it would not be advisable to carry out a bronchial provocation test or to step down ICS-containing treatment until after delivery.

The elderly

Asthma is frequently undiagnosed in the elderly,⁵⁵ due to poor perception of airflow limitation; acceptance of dyspnea as being 'normal' in old age; lack of fitness; and reduced physical activity. The presence of multimorbidity also complicates the diagnosis. In a large population based survey of asthma patients older than 65 years, factors associated with a history of asthma hospitalization included co-diagnosis of COPD, coronary artery disease, depression, diabetes mellitus, and difficulty accessing medications or clinical care because of cost.⁵⁶ Symptoms of wheezing, breathlessness and cough that are worse on exercise or at night can also be caused by cardiovascular disease or left ventricular failure, which are common in this age group. A careful history and physical examination, combined with an electrocardiogram and chest X-ray, will assist in the diagnosis.⁵⁷ Measurement of plasma brain natriuretic polypeptide and assessment of cardiac function with echocardiography may also be helpful.⁵⁸ In older people with a history of smoking or biomass fuel exposure, COPD and overlapping asthma and COPD (asthma–COPD overlap) should be considered (Chapter 5, p.159).

Smokers and ex-smokers

Asthma and COPD may be difficult to distinguish in clinical practice, particularly in older patients and smokers and ex-smokers, and these conditions may overlap (asthma-COPD overlap). The *Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD (GOLD) 2023*⁵⁹ defines COPD on the basis of chronic respiratory symptoms, environmental exposures such as smoking or inhalation of toxic particles or gases, with confirmation by post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC <0.7. Clinically important bronchodilator reversibility (>12% and >200 mL) is often found in COPD.⁶⁰ Low diffusion capacity is more common in COPD than asthma. The history and pattern of symptoms and past records can help to distinguish these patients from those with long-standing asthma who have developed persistent airflow limitation (see Chapter 5, p.159). Uncertainty in the diagnosis should prompt early referral for specialized investigation and treatment recommendations, as patients with asthma-COPD overlap have worse outcomes than those with asthma or COPD alone.⁶¹

Obese patients

While asthma is more common in obese than non-obese people,⁶² respiratory symptoms associated with obesity can mimic asthma. In obese patients with dyspnea on exertion, it is important to confirm the diagnosis of asthma with objective measurement of variable expiratory airflow limitation. One study found that non-obese patients were just as likely to be over-diagnosed with asthma as obese patients (around 30% in each group).³⁸ Another study found both over- and under-diagnosis of asthma in obese patients.⁶³

Low- and middle-income countries

As described above, asthma is a clinical diagnosis, based on the history of characteristic symptom patterns and evidence of variable expiratory airflow limitation. However, in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), access to lung function testing is often very limited, and even when available, may be substantially underused (e.g. unaffordable for the patient or health system,⁶⁴ too time-consuming in a busy clinic, or impractical because requiring repeated visits of indigent patients.⁷

In addition, in LMICs, the differential diagnosis of asthma may include other endemic respiratory disease (e.g., tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS-associated lung diseases, and parasitic or fungal lung diseases), so clinicians tend to place greater reliance on clinical findings and often use a syndromic approach to diagnosis and initial management.⁶⁵ This comes at the cost of precision but is based on the assumption (valid in most LMICs) that under-diagnosis and under-treatment of asthma is more likely⁶⁶ than the overdiagnosis and overtreatment often seen in high income countries.^{23,67}

While acknowledging that poor access to lung function testing is a common barrier to asthma diagnosis in LMICs, GINA does not recommend that diagnosis should be solely based on syndromic clinical patterns. When spirometry is not available, the presence of variable expiratory airflow limitation (including reversible obstruction) can be confirmed by PEF (Box 1-2, p.25). The World Health Organization (WHO) Package of essential noncommunicable (PEN) disease interventions for primary care⁶⁸ lists the PEF meter as an essential tool in the management of chronic respiratory diseases. WHO-PEN proposes use of PEF in support of a clinical diagnosis: a $\geq 20\%$ improvement in PEF 15 minutes after giving 2 puffs of albuterol increases the likelihood of a diagnosis of asthma versus COPD and other diagnoses.⁶⁸ GINA also suggests that improvement in symptoms and PEF after a 4-week therapeutic trial with anti-inflammatory therapy, with a 1-week course of oral corticosteroids if necessary, can help to confirm the diagnosis of asthma (or prompt investigation for alternative diagnoses) before starting long-term ICS-containing treatment.

A structured algorithmic approach to patients presenting with respiratory symptoms forms part of several strategies developed for improving respiratory disease management in LMICs.⁷ These strategies are of particular use in countries where, owing to the high prevalence of tuberculosis, large numbers of patients with respiratory symptoms present for assessment at tuberculosis clinics.

There is a pressing need for access to affordable diagnostic tools (peak flow meters and spirometry), and training in their use, to be substantially scaled up in LMICs.⁹

Chapter 2.

**Assessment of
asthma**

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

KEY POINTS

Asthma control

- The level of asthma control is the extent to which the features of asthma can be observed in the patient, or have been reduced or removed by treatment.
- Asthma control is assessed in two domains: *symptom control* and *risk* of adverse outcomes. Poor symptom control is burdensome to patients and increases the risk of exacerbations, but patients with good symptom control can still have severe exacerbations.

Asthma severity

- The current definition of asthma severity is based on retrospective assessment, after at least 2–3 months of asthma treatment, from the treatment required to control symptoms and exacerbations.
- This definition is clinically useful for severe asthma, as it identifies patients whose asthma is relatively refractory to conventional treatment with a combination of high-dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and a long-acting beta₂ agonist (LABA) and who may benefit from additional treatment such as biologic therapy. It is important to distinguish between severe asthma and asthma that is uncontrolled due to modifiable factors such as incorrect inhaler technique and/or poor adherence.
- However, the clinical utility of a retrospective definition of mild asthma as ‘easy to treat’ is less clear, as patients with few interval symptoms can have exacerbations triggered by external factors such as viral infections or allergen exposure, and short-acting beta₂ agonist (SABA)-only treatment is a significant risk factor for exacerbations.
- In clinical practice and in the general community, the term ‘mild asthma’ is often used to mean infrequent or mild symptoms, and patients often incorrectly assume that it means they are not at risk and do not need ICS-containing treatment.
- For these reasons, GINA suggests that the term ‘mild asthma’ should generally be avoided in clinical practice or, if used, qualified with a reminder that patients with infrequent symptoms can still have severe or fatal exacerbations, and that this risk is substantially reduced with ICS-containing treatment.
- GINA is continuing to engage in stakeholder discussions about the definition of mild asthma, to obtain agreement about the implications for clinical practice and clinical research of the changes in knowledge about asthma pathophysiology and treatment since the current definition of asthma severity was published.

How to assess a patient with asthma

- Assess symptom control from the frequency of daytime and night-time asthma symptoms, night waking and activity limitation and, for patients using SABA reliever, their frequency of SABA use. Other symptom control tools include Asthma Control Test (ACT) and Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ).
- Also, separately, assess the patient’s risk factors for exacerbations, even if their symptom control is good. Risk factors for exacerbations that are independent of symptom control include not only a history of ≥ 1 exacerbation in the previous year, but also SABA-only treatment (without any ICS), over-use of SABA, socioeconomic problems, poor adherence, incorrect inhaler technique, low forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁), exposures such as smoking, and blood eosinophilia. To date, there are no suitable composite tools for assessing exacerbation risk.
- Also assess risk factors for persistent airflow limitation and medication side-effects, treatment issues such as inhaler technique and adherence, and comorbidities, and ask the patient about their asthma goals and treatment preferences.
- Once the diagnosis of asthma has been made, the main role of lung function testing is in the assessment of future risk. It should be recorded at diagnosis, 3–6 months after starting treatment, and periodically thereafter.
- Investigate for impaired perception of bronchoconstriction if there are few symptoms but low lung function, and investigate for alternative diagnoses if there are frequent symptoms despite good lung function.

OVERVIEW

For every patient, assessment of asthma should include the assessment of asthma control (both symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes), treatment issues particularly inhaler technique and adherence, and any comorbidities that could contribute to symptom burden and poor quality of life (Box 2-1, p.35). Lung function, particularly FEV₁ as a percentage of predicted, is an important part of the assessment of future risk.

The use of digital technology, telemedicine and telehealthcare in the monitoring of patients with asthma is rapidly increasing, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, the types of interactions are diverse, and high-quality studies are needed to evaluate their utility and effectiveness..

What is meant by 'asthma control'?

The level of asthma control is the extent to which the manifestations of asthma can be observed in the patient, or have been reduced or removed by treatment.^{29,69} It is determined by the interaction between the patient's genetic background, underlying disease processes, the treatment that they are taking, environment, and psychosocial factors.⁶⁹

Asthma control has two domains: symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes (Box 2-2, p.38). Both should always be assessed. Lung function is an important part of the assessment of future risk; it should be measured at the start of treatment, after 3–6 months of treatment (to identify the patient's personal best), and periodically thereafter for ongoing risk assessment.

How to describe a patient's asthma control

Asthma control should be described in terms of both symptom control and future risk domains. For example:

Ms X has good asthma symptom control, but she is at increased risk of future exacerbations because she has had a severe exacerbation within the last year. Mr Y has poor asthma symptom control. He also has several additional risk factors for future exacerbations including low lung function, current smoking, and poor medication adherence.

What does the term 'asthma control' mean to patients?

Many studies describe discordance between the patient's and health provider's assessment of the patient's level of asthma control. This does not necessarily mean that patients 'over-estimate' their level of control or 'under-estimate' its severity, but that patients understand and use the word 'control' differently from health professionals, e.g. based on how quickly their symptoms resolve when they take reliever medication.^{69,70} If the term 'asthma control' is used with patients, the meaning should always be explained.

Box 2-1. Assessment of asthma in adults, adolescents, and children 6–11 years

1. Assess asthma control = symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes

- Assess symptom control over the last 4 weeks (Box 2-2A).
- Identify any other risk factors for exacerbations, persistent airflow limitation or side-effects (Box 2-2B).
- Measure lung function at diagnosis/start of treatment, 3–6 months after starting ICS-containing treatment, then periodically, e.g., at least once every 1–2 years, but more often in at-risk patients and those with severe asthma.

2. Assess treatment issues

- Document the patient's current treatment step (Box 3-12, p.65).
- Watch inhaler technique (Box 3-22, p.100), assess adherence (Box 3-23, p.102) and side-effects.
- Check that the patient has a written asthma action plan.
- Ask about the patient's attitudes and goals for their asthma and medications.

3. Assess multimorbidity

- Rhinitis, rhinosinusitis, gastroesophageal reflux, obesity, obstructive sleep apnea, depression and anxiety can contribute to symptoms and poor quality of life, and sometimes to poor asthma control (see Chapter 3.4, p.106).

ASSESSING ASTHMA SYMPTOM CONTROL

Asthma symptoms such as wheeze, chest tightness, shortness of breath and cough typically vary in frequency and intensity, and contribute to the burden of asthma for the patient. Poor symptom control is also strongly associated with an increased risk of asthma exacerbations.⁷¹⁻⁷³

Asthma symptom control should be assessed at every opportunity, including during routine prescribing or dispensing. Directed questioning is important, as the frequency or severity of symptoms that patients regard as unacceptable or bothersome may vary from current recommendations about the goals of asthma treatment, and may differ from patient to patient. For example, despite having low lung function, a person with a sedentary lifestyle may not experience bothersome symptoms and so may appear to have good symptom control.

To assess symptom control (Box 2-2A) ask about the following in the past four weeks: frequency of asthma symptoms (days per week), any night waking due to asthma or limitation of activity and, for patients using a SABA reliever, frequency of its use for relief of symptoms. In general, do not include reliever taken before exercise, because some people take this routinely without knowing whether they need it.

Frequency of reliever use

Historically, frequency of SABA reliever use (<2 or ≥2 days/week) has been included in the composite assessment of symptom control. This distinction was arbitrary, based on the assumption that if SABA was used on >2 days in a week, the patient needed to start maintenance ICS-containing therapy or increase the dose. In addition, higher average use of SABA over a year is associated with a higher risk of severe exacerbations,^{74,75} and in the shorter term, increasing use of as-needed SABA is associated with an increased likelihood of a severe exacerbation in subsequent days or weeks.⁷⁶

However, for patients prescribed an anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR) such as as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol (GINA Track 1, Box 3-12, p.65), use of this reliever more than 2 days/week is *already* providing additional ICS therapy, so further dose escalation may not be needed. In addition, increasing use of as-needed ICS-formoterol is associated with a significantly lower risk of severe exacerbation in subsequent days or weeks compared with if the reliever is SABA,^{77,78} or compared with if the patient is using SABA alone.⁷⁹

For these reasons, while the assessment of symptom control in Box 2-2A includes a criterion for SABA reliever use on ≤2 versus >2 days/week, it does *not* include a similar criterion for an anti-inflammatory reliever such as as-needed ICS-formoterol. However, the patient's *average* frequency of as-needed ICS-formoterol use over the past 4 weeks should be assessed, and taken into account when the patient's maintenance ICS dose (or need for maintenance ICS-formoterol) is reviewed. This issue will be reviewed again when further data are available.

Asthma symptom control tools for adults and adolescents

Simple screening tools: these can be used in primary care to quickly identify patients who need more detailed assessment. Examples include the consensus-based GINA symptom control tool (Part A, Box 2-2A). This classification correlates with assessments made using numerical asthma control scores.^{80,81} It can be used, together with a risk assessment (Box 2-2B), to guide treatment decisions (Box 3-12, p.65). Other examples are the Primary Care Asthma Control Screening Tool (PACS),⁸² and the 30-second Asthma Test, which also includes time off work/school.⁸³

Categorical symptom control tools: e.g., the consensus-based 'Royal College of Physicians (RCP) Three Questions' tool,⁸⁴ which asks about difficulty sleeping, daytime symptoms and activity limitation due to asthma in the previous month. The Asthma APGAR tool includes a patient-completed asthma control assessment covering 5 domains: activity limitations, daytime and nighttime symptom frequency (based on US criteria for frequency of night waking), triggers, adherence, and patient-perceived response to treatment. This assessment is linked to a care algorithm for identifying problems and adjusting treatment up or down. A study in the US showed that introduction of the Asthma APGAR tools for patients aged 5–45 in primary care was associated with improved rates of asthma control; reduced asthma-related urgent care, and hospital visits; and increased practices' adherence to asthma management guidelines.⁸⁵

Numerical 'asthma control' tools: these tools provide scores and cut points to distinguish different levels of symptom control, validated against health care provider assessment. Many translations are available. These scores may be useful for assessing patient progress; they are commonly used in clinical research, but may be subject to copyright restrictions. Numerical asthma control tools are more sensitive to change in symptom control than categorical tools.⁸⁰

Examples of numerical asthma control tools for assessing symptom control are:

- **Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ):**^{86,87} Scores range from 0–6 (higher is worse), with scores calculated as the average from all questions. The authors stated that ACQ ≤ 0.75 indicated a high probability that asthma was well controlled; 0.75–1.5 as a 'grey zone'; and ≥ 1.5 a high probability that asthma was poorly controlled, based on concepts of asthma control at the time; they later added that the crossover point between 'well-controlled' and 'not well-controlled' asthma was close to 1.00.⁸⁸ The 5-item ACQ (ACQ-5), comprises five symptom questions. Two additional versions were published: ACQ-6 includes SABA frequency, and ACQ-7 also includes pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ % predicted. The minimum clinically important difference for all three versions of ACQ is 0.5.⁸⁸ GINA prefers ACQ-5 over ACQ-6 or 7 because the reliever question assumes regular rather than as-needed use of SABA, there is no option between zero SABA use in a week and SABA use every day, and ACQ has not been validated with ICS-formoterol or ICS-SABA as the reliever. In addition, if ACQ-7 were to be used in adjustment of treatment, the inclusion of FEV₁ in the composite score could lead to repeated step-up in ICS dose for patients with persistent airflow limitation. For these reasons, data for ACQ-5, ACQ-6 and ACQ-7 cannot be combined for meta-analysis.
- **Asthma Control Test (ACT):**^{81,89,90} Scores range from 5–25 (higher is better). Scores of 20–25 are classified as well-controlled; 16–19 as not well-controlled; and 5–15 as very poorly controlled asthma. The ACT has four symptom/reliever questions plus patient self-assessed control. The minimum clinically important difference is 3 points.⁹⁰

Because patients with good symptom control can still be at risk of future severe exacerbations or asthma-related death, and because of the many modifiable risk factors for exacerbations that are independent of symptom control (Box 2-2B, p.38), GINA does not recommend assessment tools that combine symptom control with exacerbation history.

When different tools are used for assessing asthma symptom control, the results correlate broadly with each other, but are not identical. Respiratory symptoms may be non-specific so, when assessing changes in symptom control, it is important to clarify that symptoms are due to asthma.

Asthma symptom control tools for children aged 6–11 years

In children, as in adults, assessment of asthma symptom control is based on symptoms, limitation of activities and use of rescue medication. Careful review of the impact of asthma on a child's daily activities, including sports, play and social life, and on school absenteeism, is important. Many children with poorly controlled asthma avoid strenuous exercise so their asthma may appear to be well controlled. This may lead to poor fitness and a higher risk of obesity.

Children vary considerably in the degree of airflow limitation observed before they complain of dyspnea or use their reliever therapy, and marked reduction in lung function is often seen before it is recognized by the parent or caregiver. They may report irritability, tiredness, and changes in mood in their child as the main problems when the child's asthma is not controlled. Parents/caregivers have a longer recall period than children, who may recall only the last few days; therefore, it is important to include information from both the parent/caregiver and the child when the level of symptom control is being assessed.

Several numeric asthma control scores have been developed for children. These include:

- **Childhood Asthma Control Test (c-ACT)**⁹¹ with separate sections for parent/caregiver and child to complete
- **Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)**^{92,93}

Some asthma control scores for children include history of exacerbations with symptoms, but these may have the same limitations as described above for adults. They include the Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK)⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ and the Composite Asthma Severity Index (CASI).⁹⁷

The results of these various tests correlate to some extent with each other and with the GINA classification of symptom control. Box 2-3 (p.39) provides more details about assessing asthma control in children.

Box 2-2. GINA assessment of asthma control in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years

A. Asthma symptom control		Well controlled	Partly controlled	Uncontrolled
In the past 4 weeks, has the patient had:				
• Daytime asthma symptoms more than twice/week?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	None of these	1–2 of these	3–4 of these
• Any night waking due to asthma?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
• SABA* reliever for symptoms more than twice/week?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
• Any activity limitation due to asthma?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
B. Risk factors for poor asthma outcomes				
Assess risk factors at diagnosis and periodically, particularly for patients experiencing exacerbations.				
Measure FEV ₁ at start of treatment, after 3–6 months of ICS-containing treatment to record the patient's personal best lung function, then periodically for ongoing risk assessment.				
a. Risk factors for exacerbations				
Uncontrolled asthma symptoms	Having uncontrolled asthma symptoms is an important risk factor for exacerbations. ⁹⁸			
Factors that increase the risk of exacerbations even if the patient has few asthma symptoms†	<i>Medications</i>	High SABA use (≥3 x 200-dose canisters/year associated with increased risk of exacerbations, increased mortality particularly if ≥1 canister per month) ^{74,75,99,100} Inadequate ICS: not prescribed ICS, poor adherence, ¹⁰¹ or incorrect inhaler technique ¹⁰²		
	<i>Other medical conditions</i>	Obesity, ^{103,104} chronic rhinosinusitis, ¹⁰⁴ GERD, ¹⁰⁴ confirmed food allergy, ¹⁰⁵ pregnancy ¹⁰⁶		
	<i>Exposures</i>	Smoking, ¹⁰⁷ e-cigarettes, ¹⁰⁸ allergen exposure if sensitized, ¹⁰⁷ air pollution ¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹²		
	<i>Psychosocial</i>	Major psychological or socioeconomic problems ^{113,114}		
	<i>Lung function</i>	Low FEV ₁ (especially <60% predicted), ^{107,115} high bronchodilator responsiveness ^{104,116,117}		
	<i>Type 2 inflammatory markers</i>	Higher blood eosinophils, ^{104,118,119} elevated FeNO (in adults with allergic asthma taking ICS) ¹²⁰		
	<i>Exacerbation history</i>	Ever intubated or in intensive care unit for asthma, ¹²¹ ≥1 severe exacerbation in last 12 months ^{122,123}		
b. Risk factors for developing persistent airflow limitation				
	<i>History</i>	Preterm birth, low birth weight and greater infant weight gain, ¹²⁴ chronic mucus hypersecretion ^{125,126}		
	<i>Medications</i>	Lack of ICS treatment in patient with history of severe exacerbation ¹²⁷		
	<i>Exposures</i>	Tobacco smoke, ¹²⁵ noxious chemicals; occupational or domestic exposures ⁴⁹		
	<i>Investigation findings</i>	Low initial FEV ₁ , ¹²⁶ sputum or blood eosinophilia ¹²⁶		
c. Risk factors for medication side-effects				
	<i>Systemic</i>	Frequent OCS, long-term, high-dose and/or potent ICS, P450 inhibitors ¹²⁸		
	<i>Local</i>	High-dose or potent ICS, ^{128,129} poor inhaler technique ¹³⁰		

See list of abbreviations (p.10). *Based on SABA (as-needed ICS-formoterol reliever not included); see page 36; excludes reliever taken before exercise. †'Independent' risk factors are those that are significant after adjustment for the level of symptom control. Cytochrome P450 inhibitors such as ritonavir, ketoconazole, itraconazole may increase systemic exposure to some types of ICS and some LABAs; see drug interaction websites and p.111 for details. For children 6–11 years, also refer to Box 2-3, p.39. See Box 3-17, p.85 for specific risk reduction strategies.

Box 2-3. Specific questions for assessment of asthma in children 6–11 years

Asthma symptom control	
Day symptoms	Ask: How often does the child have cough, wheeze, dyspnea or heavy breathing (number of times per week or day)? What triggers the symptoms? How are symptoms managed?
Night symptoms	Cough, awakenings, tiredness during the day? (If the only symptom is cough, consider other diagnoses such as rhinitis or gastroesophageal reflux disease).
Reliever use	How often is reliever medication used? (check date on inhaler or last prescription) Distinguish between pre-exercise use (sports) and use for relief of symptoms.
Level of activity	What sports/hobbies/interests does the child have, at school and in their spare time? How does the child's level of activity compare with their peers or siblings? How many days is the child absent from school? Try to get an accurate picture of the child's day from the child without interruption from the parent/caregiver.
Risk factors for adverse outcomes	
Exacerbations	Ask: How do viral infections affect the child's asthma? Do symptoms interfere with school or sports? How long do the symptoms last? How many episodes have occurred since their last medical review? Any urgent doctor/emergency department visits? Is there a written action plan? Risk factors for exacerbations include a history of exacerbations, poor symptom control, poor adherence and poverty, ¹²³ and persistent bronchodilator reversibility even if the child has few symptoms. ¹¹⁷
Lung function	Check curves and technique. Main focus is on FEV ₁ and FEV ₁ /FVC ratio. Plot these values as percent predicted to see trends over time.
Side-effects	Check the child's height at least yearly, as poorly controlled asthma can affect growth, ¹³¹ and growth velocity may be lower in the first 1–2 years of ICS treatment. ¹³² Ask about frequency and dose of ICS and OCS.
Treatment factors	
Inhaler technique	Ask the child to show how they use their inhaler. Compare with a device-specific checklist.
Adherence	Is there any of the child's prescribed maintenance medication (inhalers and/or tablets) in the home at present? On how many days in a week does the child use it (e.g., 0, 2, 4, 7 days)? Is it easier to remember to use it in the morning or evening? Where is the medication kept – is it in plain view to reduce forgetting? Check date on inhaler.
Goals/concerns	Does the child or their parent or caregiver have any concerns about their asthma (e.g., fear of medication, side-effects, interference with activity)? What are their goals for treatment?
Comorbidities	
Allergic rhinitis	Itching, sneezing, nasal obstruction? Can the child breathe through their nose? What medications are being taken for nasal symptoms?
Eczema	Sleep disturbance, topical corticosteroids?
Food allergy	Is the child allergic to any foods? (Confirmed food allergy is a risk factor for asthma-related death. ¹⁰⁵)
Obesity	Check age-adjusted BMI. Ask about diet and physical activity.
Other investigations (if needed)	
2-week diary	If no clear assessment can be made based on the above questions, ask the child or parent/caregiver to keep a daily diary of asthma symptoms, reliever use and peak expiratory flow (best of three) for 2 weeks.
Exercise challenge (laboratory)	Provides information about airway hyperresponsiveness and fitness (Box 1-2, p.25). Only undertake a challenge if it is otherwise difficult to assess asthma control.

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

ASSESSING FUTURE RISK OF ADVERSE OUTCOMES

The second component of assessing asthma control (Box 2-2B, p.38) is to identify whether the patient is at risk of adverse asthma outcomes, particularly exacerbations, persistent airflow limitation, and side-effects of medications (Box 2-2B). Asthma symptoms, although an important outcome for patients, and themselves a strong predictor of future risk of exacerbations, are not sufficient on their own for assessing asthma because:

- Asthma symptoms can be controlled by placebo or sham treatments^{133,134} or by inappropriate use of long-acting beta₂-agonist (LABA) alone,¹³⁵ which leaves airway inflammation untreated.
- Respiratory symptoms may be due to other conditions such as lack of fitness, or comorbidities such as inducible laryngeal obstruction.⁴⁷
- Anxiety or depression may contribute to symptom reporting.
- Some patients have impaired perception of bronchoconstriction, with few symptoms despite low lung function.¹³⁶

Asthma symptom control and exacerbation risk should not be simply combined numerically, as poor control of symptoms and of exacerbations may have different causes and may need different treatment approaches.

Risk factors for exacerbations

Poor asthma symptom control itself substantially increases the risk of exacerbations.⁷¹⁻⁷³ However, several additional independent risk factors have been identified, i.e., factors that, when present, increase the patient's risk of exacerbations even if symptoms are few. These risk factors (Box 2-2B, p.38) include a history of ≥ 1 exacerbation in the previous year, poor adherence, incorrect inhaler technique, chronic sinusitis and smoking, all of which can be assessed in primary care.¹³⁷ The risk of severe exacerbations and mortality increases incrementally with higher SABA use, independent of treatment step.⁷⁵ Prescribing of three or more 200-dose SABA inhalers in a year, corresponding to more than daily use, is associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations^{74,75} and, in one study, increased mortality.⁷⁵ Risk factors and comorbidities that are modifiable (or potentially modifiable) are sometimes called 'treatable traits'.¹³⁸

In children, the risk of exacerbations is greatly increased if there is a history of previous exacerbations; it is also increased with poor symptom control, suboptimal drug regimen, comorbid allergic disease and poverty.¹²³

Risk factors for development of persistent airflow limitation

The average rate of decline in FEV₁ in non-smoking healthy adults is 15–20 mL/year.¹³⁹ People with asthma may have an accelerated decline in lung function and develop airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. This is often associated with more persistent dyspnea. Independent risk factors that have been identified for persistent airflow limitation include exposure to cigarette smoke or noxious agents, chronic mucus hypersecretion, and asthma exacerbations in patients not taking ICS¹²⁷ (see Box 2-2B, p.38). Children with persistent asthma may have reduced growth in lung function, and some are at risk of accelerated decline in lung function in early adult life.¹⁴⁰

Risk factors for medication side-effects

Choices with any medication are based on the balance of benefit and risk. Most people using asthma medications do not experience any side-effects. The risk of side-effects increases with higher doses of medications, but these are needed in few patients. Systemic side-effects that may be seen with long-term, high-dose ICS include easy bruising, an increase beyond the usual age-related risk of osteoporosis and fragility fractures, cataracts, glaucoma, and adrenal suppression. Local side-effects of ICS include oral thrush and dysphonia. Patients are at greater risk of ICS side-effects with higher doses or more potent formulations^{128,129} and, for local side-effects, with incorrect inhaler technique.¹³⁰

Drug interactions with asthma medications: concomitant treatment with cytochrome P450 inhibitors such as ketoconazole, ritonavir, itraconazole, erythromycin and clarithromycin may increase the risk of ICS adverse effects such as adrenal suppression, and with short-term use, may increase the risk of cardiovascular adverse effects of the LABAs salmeterol and vilanterol (alone or in combination with ICS). Concomitant use of these medications is not recommended (see also p.111).¹⁴¹

ROLE OF LUNG FUNCTION IN ASSESSING ASTHMA CONTROL

Does lung function relate to other asthma control measures?

Lung function does not correlate strongly with asthma symptoms in adults¹⁴² or children.¹⁴³ In some asthma control tools, lung function is numerically averaged or added with symptoms,^{86,144} but if the tool includes several symptom items, these can outweigh clinically important differences in lung function.¹⁴⁵ In addition, low FEV₁ is a strong independent predictor of risk of exacerbations, even after adjustment for symptom frequency.

Lung function should be assessed at diagnosis or start of treatment, after 3–6 months of ICS-containing treatment to assess the patient's personal best FEV₁, and periodically thereafter. For example, in most adult patients, lung function should be recorded at least every 1–2 years, but more frequently in higher risk patients including those with exacerbations and those at risk of decline in lung function (see Box 2-2B, p.38). Lung function should also be recorded more frequently in children based on asthma severity and clinical course (Evidence D).

Once the diagnosis of asthma has been confirmed, it is not generally necessary to ask patients to withhold their regular or as-needed medications before visits,²⁹ but preferably the same conditions should apply at each visit.

How to interpret lung function test results in asthma

A low FEV₁ percent predicted:

- Identifies patients at risk of asthma exacerbations, independent of symptom levels, especially if FEV₁ is <60% predicted^{107,115,146,147}
- Is a risk factor for lung function decline, independent of symptom levels¹²⁶
- If symptoms are few, suggests limitation of lifestyle, or poor perception of airflow limitation,¹⁴⁸ which may be due to untreated airway inflammation.¹³⁶

A 'normal' or near-normal FEV₁ in a patient with frequent respiratory symptoms (especially when symptomatic):

- Prompts consideration of alternative causes for the symptoms; e.g., cardiac disease, or cough due to post-nasal drip or gastroesophageal reflux disease (Box 1-3, p.28).

Persistent bronchodilator responsiveness:

- Finding significant bronchodilator responsiveness (increase in FEV₁ >12% and >200 mL from baseline²⁷) in a patient taking ICS-containing treatment, or who has taken a SABA within 4 hours, or a LABA within 12 hours (or 24 hours for a once-daily LABA), suggests uncontrolled asthma.

In children, spirometry cannot be reliably obtained until age 5 years or more, and it is less useful than in adults. Many children with uncontrolled asthma have normal lung function between flare-ups (exacerbations).

How to interpret changes in lung function in clinical practice

With regular ICS treatment, FEV₁ starts to improve within days, and reaches a plateau after around 2 months.¹⁴⁹ The patient's highest FEV₁ reading (personal best) should be documented, as this provides a more useful comparison for clinical practice than FEV₁ percent predicted. If predicted values are used in children, measure their height at each visit.

Some patients may have a faster than average decrease in lung function, and develop persistent (incompletely reversible) airflow limitation. While a trial of higher dose ICS-LABA and/or systemic corticosteroids may be appropriate to see if FEV₁ can be improved, high doses should not be continued if there is no response.

The between-visit variability of FEV₁ (up to 12% week to week or 15% year to year in healthy individuals²⁷) limits its use in adjusting asthma treatment or identifying accelerated decline in clinical practice. The minimal important difference for improvement and worsening in FEV₁ based on patient perception of change has been reported to be about 10%.^{150,151}

The role of short-term and long-term PEF monitoring

Once the diagnosis of asthma is made, short-term peak expiratory flow (PEF) monitoring may be used to assess response to treatment, to evaluate triggers (including at work) for worsening symptoms, or to establish a baseline for action plans. After starting ICS, personal best PEF (from twice daily readings) is reached on average within

2 weeks.¹⁵² Average PEF continues to increase, and diurnal PEF variability to decrease, for about 3 months.^{142,152} Excessive variation in PEF suggests suboptimal asthma control, and increases the risk of exacerbations.¹⁵³

Long-term PEF monitoring is now generally only recommended for patients with severe asthma, or those with impaired perception of airflow limitation.^{136,154-157} For clinical practice, displaying PEF results on a standardized chart may improve accuracy of interpretation.¹⁵⁸

ASSESSING ASTHMA SEVERITY

The current concept of asthma severity is based on 'difficulty to treat'

The current concept of asthma severity, recommended by an ATS/ERS Task Force^{29,69} and included in most asthma guidelines, is that asthma severity should be assessed retrospectively from how difficult the patient's asthma is to treat. This is reflected by the level of treatment required to control the patient's symptoms and exacerbations, i.e., after at least several months of treatment.^{29,69,159} By this definition:

- **Severe asthma** is defined as asthma that remains uncontrolled despite optimized treatment with high-dose ICS-LABA, or that requires high-dose ICS-LABA to prevent it from becoming uncontrolled. Severe asthma must be distinguished from asthma that is difficult to treat due to inadequate or inappropriate treatment, or persistent problems with adherence or comorbidities such as chronic rhinosinusitis or obesity,¹⁵⁹ as they need very different treatment compared with if asthma is relatively refractory to high-dose ICS-LABA or even oral corticosteroids (OCS).¹⁵⁹ See Box 2-4 (p.45) for how to distinguish difficult-to-treat and severe asthma, and Chapter 3.5 (p.120) for more detail about assessment, referral and treatment in this population.
- **Moderate asthma** is currently defined as asthma that is well controlled with Step 3 or Step 4 treatment e.g. with low- or medium-dose ICS-LABA in either treatment track.
- **Mild asthma** is currently defined as asthma that is well controlled with low-intensity treatment, i.e., as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol, or low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA.

By this retrospective definition, asthma severity cannot be assessed unless good asthma control has been achieved and treatment stepped down to find the patient's minimum effective dose at which their asthma remains well-controlled (p.83), or unless asthma remains uncontrolled despite at least several months of optimized maximal therapy.

The terms 'severe asthma' and 'mild asthma' are often used with different meanings than this

In the community and in primary care, the terms 'severe' or 'mild' asthma are more commonly based on the frequency or severity of symptoms or exacerbations, irrespective of treatment. For example, asthma is commonly called 'severe' if patients have frequent or troublesome asthma symptoms, regardless of their treatment, and 'mild asthma' is commonly used if patients do not have daily symptoms or if symptoms are quickly relieved.

In epidemiological studies, asthma is often classified as 'mild', 'moderate' or 'severe' based only on the prescribed treatment by GINA or BTS Step, regardless of patients' level of asthma control. This assumes that the prescribed treatment was appropriate for the patient's needs, whereas asthma is often under-treated or over-treated.

Most *clinical trials of biologic therapy* enroll patients with asthma that is uncontrolled despite taking medium- or high-dose ICS-LABA, but do not assess and treat contributory factors such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, or comorbidities before considering the patient's eligibility for enrolment.^{160,161} Some clinical trial participants may therefore have 'difficult-to-treat', rather than severe asthma.

Some guidelines^{162,163} also retain an older classification of asthma severity based on symptom and SABA frequency, night waking, lung function and exacerbations *before* ICS-containing treatment is started.^{29,69} This classification also distinguishes between 'intermittent' and 'mild persistent' asthma, but this historical distinction was arbitrary: it was not evidence-based, but was based on an untested assumption that patients with symptoms ≤ 2 days/week were not at risk and would not benefit from ICS, so should be treated with SABA alone. However, it is now known that patients with so-called 'intermittent' asthma can have severe or fatal exacerbations,^{164,165} and that their risk is substantially reduced by ICS-containing treatment compared with SABA alone.¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁶⁸ Although this symptom-based classification is stated to apply to patients not on ICS-containing treatment,^{162,163} it is often used more broadly. This can cause confusion, as a patient's asthma may be classified differently, and they may be prescribed different treatment, depending on which definition the clinician uses.

For *low resource countries* without access to effective medications such as ICS, the World Health Organization definition of severe asthma¹⁶⁹ includes a category of 'untreated severe asthma'. This category corresponds to uncontrolled asthma in patients not taking any ICS-containing treatment.

The patient's view of asthma severity

Patients may perceive their asthma as severe if they have intense or frequent symptoms, but this does not necessarily indicate underlying severe disease, as symptoms and lung function can rapidly become well controlled with commencement of ICS-containing treatment, or improved inhaler technique or adherence.^{29,69} Likewise, patients often perceive their asthma as mild if they have symptoms that are easily relieved by SABA, or that are infrequent.^{29,69} Of concern, patients often interpret the term 'mild asthma' to mean that they are not at risk of severe exacerbations and do not need to take ICS-containing treatment. This is often described as patients 'underestimating' their asthma severity, but instead it reflects their different interpretation of the words 'severity' and 'mild' compared with the academic usage of these terms.^{29,69}

How useful is the current retrospective definition of asthma severity?

The retrospective definition of *severe asthma* based on 'difficulty to treat' has been widely accepted in guidelines and in specialist clinical practice. It has obvious clinical utility as it identifies patients who, because of their burden of disease and incomplete response to optimized conventional ICS-based treatment, may benefit from referral to a respiratory physician (if available) for further investigation, phenotyping, and consideration of additional treatment such as biologic therapy (See Chapter 3.5, p.120). It is appropriate to classify asthma as 'difficult-to-treat' rather than severe if there are modifiable factors such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence or untreated comorbidities, because asthma may become well controlled when such issues are addressed.^{29,69,159}

By contrast, the clinical utility of the retrospective definition of *mild asthma* is much less clear. There is substantial variation in opinions about the specific criteria that should be used, for example whether FEV₁ should be ≥80% predicted in order for asthma to be considered 'mild', and whether the occurrence of any exacerbation precludes a patient's asthma being classified as 'mild' for the next 12 months.¹⁷⁰ There are too few studies of the underlying pathology to discern whether isolated exacerbations necessarily imply greater inherent severity, especially given the contribution of external triggers such as viral infections or allergen exposure to sporadic exacerbations.

Further, by this definition, asthma can be classified as 'mild' only after several months of ICS-containing treatment, and only if asthma is well-controlled on low-dose ICS or as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol, so this definition clearly cannot be applied to patients with uncontrolled or partly controlled symptoms who are taking SABA. Finally, retrospective classification of asthma as mild appears of little value in deciding on future treatment. In addition, in the studies of as-needed ICS-formoterol, baseline patient characteristics such as daily reliever use, lower lung function or history of exacerbations (or even baseline blood eosinophils or FeNO) did not identify patients who should instead be treated with daily ICS.^{171,172} Instead, decisions about ongoing treatment should be based upon the large evidence base about the efficacy and effectiveness of as-needed ICS-formoterol or daily ICS, together with an individualized assessment of the patient's symptom control, exacerbation risk, predictors of response, and patient preferences (see Box 3-2, p.50).

However, the most urgent problem with the term 'mild asthma', regardless of how it is defined, is that it encourages complacency, since both patients and clinicians often interpret 'mild asthma' to mean that the patient is at low risk and does not need ICS-containing treatment. However, up to 30% of asthma exacerbations and deaths occur in people with infrequent symptoms, for example, less than weekly or only on strenuous exercise.^{164,165}

Interim advice about asthma severity descriptors

For clinical practice

GINA continues to support the current definition of severe asthma as asthma that remains uncontrolled despite optimized treatment with high-dose ICS-LABA, or that requires high-dose ICS-LABA to prevent it from becoming uncontrolled, and maintains the clinically important distinction between difficult-to-treat and severe asthma. See Box 2-4 (p.45) and Chapter 3.5 (p.120) for more detail about assessment and treatment of such patients.

We suggest that in clinical practice, the term 'mild asthma' should generally be avoided, because of the common but mistaken assumption by patients and clinicians that it equates to low risk. Instead, assess the patient's symptom control and risk factors on their current treatment (p.35), as well as multimorbidity and patient goals and preferences.

Explain that patients with infrequent or mild asthma symptoms can still have severe or fatal exacerbations if treated with SABA alone,^{164,165} and that this risk is reduced by half to two-thirds with low-dose ICS or with as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol.^{166,167} Ensure that you prescribe ICS-containing therapy to reduce the patient's risk of severe exacerbations (Box 3-5, p.58), and treat any modifiable risk factors or comorbidities using pharmacologic or non-pharmacologic strategies (see Box 3-17, p.85 and Box 3-18, p.88).

For health professional education

The term '*apparently mild asthma*' may be useful to highlight the discordance between symptoms and risk. However, be aware that in some languages 'apparently' translates to the opposite of the intended meaning. Explain that 'asthma control' tools such as ACQ and ACT assess only one domain of asthma control (p.40), and that patients with infrequent interval symptoms are over-represented in studies of severe, near-fatal and fatal asthma exacerbations.^{164,165} Always emphasize the need for and benefit from ICS-containing treatment in patients with asthma, regardless of their symptom frequency, and even if they have no obvious additional risk factors.

For epidemiologic studies

If clinical details are not available, describe the prescribed (or dispensed) treatment, without imputing severity, e.g., 'patients prescribed SABA with no ICS' rather than 'mild asthma'. Since treatment options change over time, and may differ between guidelines, state the actual treatment class, rather than a treatment Step (e.g., 'low-dose maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol' rather than 'Step 3 treatment').

For clinical trials

Describe the patient population by their level of asthma control and treatment, e.g., 'patients with uncontrolled asthma despite medium-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA' rather than 'moderate asthma'.

Further discussion is clearly needed

Given the importance of mild asthma and the discordance between its academic definition and the various ways that the term is used in clinical practice, GINA is continuing to discuss these issues with a wide range of stakeholders. The aim is to obtain agreement among patients, health professionals, researchers, industry and regulators about the implications for clinical practice and clinical research of current knowledge about asthma pathophysiology and treatment,^{29,69} and whether/how the term 'mild asthma' should be used in the future. Pending the outcomes of this discussion, no change has been made to use of the term 'mild asthma' elsewhere in this GINA Strategy Report.

HOW TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN UNCONTROLLED ASTHMA AND SEVERE ASTHMA

Although good symptom control and minimal exacerbations can usually be achieved with ICS-containing treatment, some patients will not achieve one or both of these goals even with a long period of high-dose therapy.^{144,159} In some patients this is due to truly refractory severe asthma, but in many others, it is due to incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, over-use of SABA, comorbidities, persistent environmental exposures, or psychosocial factors.

It is important to distinguish between severe asthma and uncontrolled asthma, as the latter is a much more common reason for persistent symptoms and exacerbations, and may be more easily improved. Box 2-4 (p.45) shows the initial steps that can be carried out to identify common causes of uncontrolled asthma. More details are given in Section 3.5 (p.120) about investigation and management of difficult-to-treat and severe asthma, including referral to a respiratory physician or severe asthma clinic where possible, and use of add-on treatment including biologic therapy. The most common problems that need to be excluded before making a diagnosis of severe asthma are:

- Poor inhaler technique (up to 80% of community patients)¹⁰² (Box 3-22, p.100)
- Poor medication adherence^{173,174} (Box 3-23, p.102)
- Incorrect diagnosis of asthma, with symptoms due to alternative conditions such as inducible laryngeal obstruction, cardiac failure or lack of fitness (Box 1-5, p.30)
- Multimorbidity such as rhinosinusitis, GERD, obesity and obstructive sleep apnea^{104,175} (Chapter 3.4, p.106)
- Ongoing exposure to sensitizing or irritant agents in the home or work environment.

Box 2-4. Investigating a patient with poor symptom control and/or exacerbations despite treatment



See list of abbreviations (p.10). See Chapter 3.5 (p.120) for more details about assessment and management of difficult-to-treat and severe asthma.

Chapter 3.

Treating asthma to control symptoms and minimize risk

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

This chapter is divided into five parts:

- 3.1. General principles of asthma management (p.48)
- 3.2. Medications and strategies for asthma symptom control and risk reduction
 - Medications, including treatment steps (p.53)
 - Treating modifiable risk factors (p.85)
 - Non-pharmacological therapies and strategies (p.88)
- 3.3. Guided asthma self-management education and skills training (p.98)
 - Information, inhaler choice, inhaler skills, adherence, written asthma action plan, self-monitoring, regular review
- 3.4. Managing asthma with multimorbidity and in specific populations and contexts, including COVID-19 (p.106)
- 3.5. Difficult-to-treat and severe asthma in adults and adolescents (including decision tree) (p.120)

Management of worsening and acute asthma is described in Chapter 4 (p.139).

3.1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

KEY POINTS

Goals of asthma management

- The long-term goals of asthma management are to achieve good symptom control, and to minimize future risk of asthma-related mortality, exacerbations, persistent airflow limitation and side-effects of treatment. The patient's own goals for their asthma and its treatment should also be identified.

The patient-health professional partnership

- Effective asthma management requires a partnership between the person with asthma (or the parent/caregiver) and their health care providers.
- Teaching communication skills to health care providers may lead to increased patient satisfaction, better health outcomes, and reduced use of healthcare resources.
- The patient's 'health literacy' – that is, the patient's ability to obtain, process and understand basic health information to make appropriate health decisions – should be taken into account.

Making decisions about asthma treatment

- Asthma treatment is adjusted in a continual cycle of assessment, treatment, and review of the patient's response in both symptom control and future risk (of exacerbations and side-effects), and of patient preferences.
- For population-level decisions about asthma medications, e.g., choices made by national guidelines, insurers, health maintenance organizations or national formularies, the 'preferred' regimens in Steps 1–4 represent the best treatments for most patients, based on evidence from randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses and observational studies about safety, efficacy and effectiveness, with a particular emphasis on symptom burden and exacerbation risk. For Steps 1–5, there are different preferred population-level recommendations for different age-groups (adults/adolescents, children 6–11 years, children 5 years and younger). In Step 5, there are also different preferred population-level recommendations depending on the inflammatory phenotype, Type 2 or non-Type 2.
- For individual patients, shared decision-making about treatment should also take into account any patient characteristics or phenotype that predict the patient's risk of exacerbations or other adverse outcomes, or their likely response to treatment, together with the patient's goals or concerns and practical issues (inhaler technique, adherence, medication access and cost to the patient).

LONG-TERM GOALS OF ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

The long-term goals of asthma management from a clinical perspective are:

- To achieve good control of symptoms and maintain normal activity levels
- To minimize the risk of asthma-related death, exacerbations, persistent airflow limitation and side-effects.

It is also important to elicit the patient's own goals regarding their asthma, as these may differ from conventional medical goals. Shared goals for asthma management can be achieved in various ways, taking into account differing health care systems, medication availability, and cultural and personal preferences.

THE PATIENT-HEALTH CARE PROVIDER PARTNERSHIP

Effective asthma management requires the development of a partnership between the person with asthma (or the parent/caregiver) and health care providers.¹⁷⁶ This should enable the person with asthma to gain the knowledge, confidence and skills to assume a major role in the management of their asthma. Self-management education reduces asthma morbidity in both adults¹⁷⁷ (Evidence A) and children¹⁷⁸ (Evidence A).

There is emerging evidence that shared decision-making is associated with improved outcomes.¹⁷⁹ Patients should be encouraged to participate in decisions about their treatment, and given the opportunity to express their expectations and concerns. This partnership needs to be individualized for each patient. A person's willingness and ability to engage in self-management may vary depending on factors such as ethnicity, literacy, understanding of health concepts (health literacy), numeracy, beliefs about asthma and medications, desire for autonomy, and the health care system.

Good communication

Good communication by health care providers is essential as the basis for good outcomes¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸² (Evidence B). Teaching healthcare providers to improve their communication skills (Box 3-1) can result in increased patient satisfaction, better health outcomes, and reduced use of health care resources¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸² without lengthening consultation times.¹⁸³ It can also enhance patient adherence.¹⁸³ Training patients to give information clearly, seek information, and check their understanding of information provided is also associated with improved adherence with treatment recommendations.¹⁸³

Box 3-1. Communication strategies for health care providers

Key strategies to facilitate good communication^{181,182}

- A congenial demeanor (friendliness, humor and attentiveness)
- Allowing the patient to express their goals, beliefs and concerns
- Empathy, reassurance, and prompt handling of any concerns
- Giving encouragement and praise
- Giving appropriate (personalized) information
- Providing feedback and review

How to reduce the impact of low health literacy¹⁸⁴

- Order information from most to least important.
- Speak slowly and use simple words (avoid medical language, if possible).
- Simplify numeric concepts (e.g., use numbers instead of percentages).
- Frame instructions effectively (use illustrative anecdotes, drawings, pictures, table or graphs).
- Confirm understanding by using the 'teach-back' method (ask patients to repeat instructions).
- Ask a second person (e.g., nurse, family member) to repeat the main messages.
- Pay attention to non-verbal communication by the patient.
- Make patients feel comfortable about asking questions.


Health literacy and asthma

There is increasing recognition of the impact of low health literacy on health outcomes, including in asthma.^{184,185} Health literacy means much more than the ability to read: it is defined as ‘the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process and understand basic health information and services to make appropriate health decisions’.¹⁸⁴ Low health literacy is associated with reduced knowledge and worse asthma control.¹⁸⁶ In one study, low numeracy among parents of children with asthma was associated with higher risk of exacerbations.¹⁸⁵ Interventions adapted for cultural and ethnicity perspectives have been associated with improved knowledge and significant improvements in inhaler technique.¹⁸⁷ Suggested communication strategies for reducing the impact of low health literacy are shown in Box 3-1.

PERSONALIZED CONTROL-BASED ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

Asthma control has two domains: symptom control and risk reduction (see Box 2-2, p.38). In control-based asthma management, pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment is adjusted in a continual cycle that involves assessment, treatment and review by appropriately trained personnel (Box 3-2) in order to achieve the goals of asthma treatment (p.49). Asthma outcomes have been shown to improve after the introduction of control-based guidelines^{188,189} or practical tools for implementation of control-based management strategies.^{179,190}

Box 3-2. The asthma management cycle for personalized asthma care



Personalized asthma management involves a continual cycle of assessment, adjustment of treatment and review.

- **ASSESS** the patient’s symptom control and their risk factors for exacerbations, for decline in lung function and for medication adverse effects (Box 2-2, p.38), with particular attention to inhaler technique and adherence. Assess comorbidities and the patient’s goals and preferences, and confirm the diagnosis of asthma if not yet done.
- **ADJUST** the patient’s management, based on these assessments. This includes treatment of modifiable risk factors (Box 3-17, p.85) and comorbidities (p.106), relevant non-pharmacologic strategies (Box 3-18, p.88), education and skills training (Chapter 3.3, p.98), and adjustment of medication as required (Chapter 3.2, p.53). For adults and adolescents, the preferred controller and reliever treatment across all steps is with combination ICS-formoterol, as shown in GINA Track 1 (Box 3-12, p.65).
- **REVIEW** the patient in line with the goals of treatment (p.49), reassess factors affecting symptoms, risk of adverse outcomes and patient satisfaction, arrange further investigations if needed, and readjust treatment if needed.

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

The concept of control-based management is also supported by the design of most randomized controlled medication trials, in which patients are identified for a change in asthma treatment on the basis of features of poor symptom control with or without other risk factors such as low lung function or a history of exacerbations. From 2014, GINA asthma management has focused not only on asthma symptom control, but also on personalized management of the patient's modifiable risk factors for exacerbations, other adverse outcomes and multimorbidity, and also taking into account the patient's preferences and goals.

For many patients in primary care, achieving good symptom control is a good guide to a reduced risk of exacerbations.¹⁹¹ When inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) were introduced into asthma management, large improvements were observed in symptom control and lung function, and exacerbations and asthma-related mortality also decreased.

However, **patients with apparently mild asthma and few or intermittent symptoms may be still at risk of severe exacerbations**¹⁶⁶ (Box 2-2B, p.38). In addition, some patients continue to have exacerbations despite well-controlled symptoms, and for patients with ongoing symptoms, side-effects may be an issue if ICS doses continue to be stepped up. Therefore, in control-based management, **both** domains of asthma control (symptom control and future risk – see Box 2-2, p.38) should be taken into account when choosing asthma treatment and reviewing the response.^{29,69}

Choosing between asthma treatment options

At each treatment step in asthma management, different medication options are available that, although not of identical efficacy, may be alternatives for controlling asthma. Different considerations apply to recommendations or choices made for broad populations compared with those for individual patients (Box 3-3, p.52), as follows:

- **Population-level medication choices:** Population-level medication choices are often applied by bodies such as national formularies or managed care organizations. Population-level recommendations aim to represent the best option for most patients in the particular population. At each treatment step, 'preferred' controller and reliever regimens are recommended that provide the best benefit-to-risk ratio for both symptom control and risk reduction. Choice of the preferred controller and/or preferred reliever is based on evidence from efficacy studies (highly controlled studies in well-characterized populations) and effectiveness studies (from pragmatically controlled studies, or studies in broader populations, or strong observational data),¹⁹² with a particular focus on symptoms and exacerbation risk. Safety and relative cost are also considered. In **Step 5**, there are different population-level recommendations depending on the inflammatory phenotype, Type 2 or non-Type 2. In the treatment figure for adults and adolescents (Box 3-12, p.65), the options are shown in two 'tracks'. **Track 1**, with as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol as the reliever, is the preferred approach for most patients, based on evidence of overall lower exacerbation risk and similar symptom control, and a simpler regimen for stepping treatment up and down as needed, compared with treatments in **Track 2** in which the reliever is short-acting beta₂ agonist (SABA) or, in some cases, combination ICS-SABA (for more details, see Chapter 3.2, p.53).
- **Patient-level medication choices:** Treatment choices for individual patients also take into account any patient characteristics or phenotype that may predict their **risk of exacerbations or other adverse outcomes**, or a clinically important difference in their response compared with other patients, together with assessment of multimorbidity, the patient's goals and preferences, and practical issues such as cost, ability to use the medication and adherence (see Box 3-2, p.50). For factors relating to the choice of inhaler, see Chapter 3.3 (p.98).

The extent to which asthma treatment can be individualized according to patient characteristics or phenotypes depends on the health system, the clinical context, the potential magnitude of difference in outcomes, cost and available resources. At present, most evidence and research activity about individualized treatment is focused on severe asthma^{193,194} (see Chapter 3.5, p.120).

Box 3-3. Population level versus patient level decisions about asthma treatment

Choosing between treatment options at a population level

(e.g. national formularies, health maintenance organizations, national guidelines)

The 'preferred' medication at each step is the best treatment for most patients, based on:

- Efficacy
 - Effectiveness
 - Safety
 - Availability and cost at the population level
- Mainly based on evidence about symptoms and exacerbations (from randomized controlled trials, pragmatic studies and strong observational data)

For Steps 1–5, there are different population-level recommendations by age-group (adults/adolescents, children 6–11 years, children 5 years and younger). In Step 5, there are also different population-level recommendations depending on the inflammatory phenotype, Type 2 or non-Type 2.

Choosing between controller options for individual patients

Use shared decision-making with the patient or parent/caregiver to discuss the following:

1. **Preferred treatment** (as above) based on evidence for symptom control and risk reduction
2. **Patient characteristics or phenotype**
 - Does the patient have any features that predict differences in their future risk or treatment response compared with other patients (e.g., smoker; history of exacerbations, blood eosinophilia)? (see Box 2-2B, p.38)
 - Are there any modifiable risk factors or multimorbidity that may affect treatment outcomes?
3. **Patient views**
 - What are the patient's goals, beliefs and concerns about asthma and medications?
4. **Practical issues**
 - For the preferred controller and reliever, which inhaler(s) are available to the patient?
 - Inhaler technique – can the patient use the inhaler correctly after training?
 - Adherence – how often is the patient likely to take the medication?
 - Cost to patient – can the patient afford the medication?
 - Which of the available inhalers has the lowest environmental impact? (see p.98)

3.2. MEDICATIONS AND STRATEGIES FOR SYMPTOM CONTROL AND RISK REDUCTION

KEY POINTS

- For safety, GINA does not recommend treatment of asthma in adults, adolescents or children 6–11 years with SABA alone. Instead, they should receive ICS-containing treatment to reduce their risk of serious exacerbations and to control symptoms.
- ICS-containing treatment can be delivered either with regular daily treatment or, in adults and adolescents with mild asthma, with as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol taken whenever needed for symptom relief. For children with mild asthma, ICS can be taken whenever the SABA reliever is taken.
- Reduction in severe exacerbations is a high priority across treatment steps, to reduce the risk and burden to patients and the burden to the health system, and to reduce the need for oral corticosteroids (OCS), which have cumulative long-term adverse effects.

Treatment tracks for adults and adolescents

- For clarity, the treatment figure for adults and adolescents shows two ‘tracks’, largely based on the choice of reliever. Treatment may be stepped up or down within a track using the same reliever at each step, or treatment may be switched between tracks, according to the individual patient’s needs.
- **Track 1, in which the reliever is low-dose ICS-formoterol**, is the preferred approach recommended by GINA. When a patient at any step has asthma symptoms, they use low-dose ICS-formoterol as needed for symptom relief. In Steps 3–5, they also take ICS-formoterol as regular daily treatment. This approach is preferred because it reduces the risk of severe exacerbations compared with using a SABA reliever, with similar symptom control, and because of the simplicity for patients and clinicians of needing only a single medication across treatment steps 1–4. Medications and doses for Track 1 are explained in Box 3-15, p.80.
- **Track 2, in which the reliever is a SABA or ICS-SABA**, is an alternative if Track 1 is not possible, or if a patient is stable, with good adherence and no exacerbations in the past year on their current therapy. In Step 1, the patient takes a SABA and a low-dose ICS together for symptom relief (in combination if available, or with the ICS taken immediately after the SABA). In Steps 2–5, the reliever is a SABA or combination ICS-SABA. Before considering a SABA reliever, consider whether the patient is likely to be adherent with their ICS-containing treatment, as otherwise they would be at higher risk of exacerbations.

Steps 1 and 2

- **Track 1:** In adults and adolescents with mild asthma or who are taking SABA alone, treatment with as-needed-only low-dose ICS-formoterol reduces the risk of severe exacerbations and emergency department visits or hospitalizations by about two-thirds compared with SABA-only treatment. As-needed-only low-dose ICS-formoterol reduces the risk of emergency department visits and hospitalizations compared with daily ICS, with no clinically important difference in symptom control. In patients previously using SABA alone, as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol also significantly reduces the risk of severe exacerbations needing OCS, compared with daily ICS.
- **Track 2:** Treatment with regular daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA is highly effective in reducing asthma symptoms and reducing the risk of asthma-related exacerbations, hospitalization and death. However, adherence with ICS in the community is poor, leaving patients taking SABA alone and at increased risk of exacerbations. While as-needed-only ICS-SABA could be an option at this step, current evidence is limited to small studies that were not powered to detect differences in exacerbation rates.

(continued on next page)

KEY POINTS (continued)

Stepping up if asthma remains uncontrolled despite good adherence and inhaler technique

- Before considering any step up, *first confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma and identify and address common problems* such as inhaler technique, adherence, allergen exposure and multimorbidity; provide patient education.
- For adults and adolescents, the preferred Step 3 treatment is the Track 1 regimen with low-dose ICS-formoterol as maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART). This reduces the risk of severe exacerbations, with similar or better symptom control, compared with maintenance treatment using a combination of an ICS and a long-acting beta₂ agonist (LABA) as controller, plus as-needed SABA. If needed, the maintenance dose of ICS-formoterol can be increased to medium (i.e. Step 4) by increasing the number of maintenance inhalations. MART is also a preferred treatment option at Steps 3 and 4 for children 6–11 years, with a lower dose ICS-formoterol inhaler.
- ICS-formoterol should not be used as the reliever for patients taking a different ICS-LABA maintenance treatment, because clinical evidence for safety and efficacy is lacking.
- Other Step 3 options for adults and adolescents in Track 2, and in children, include maintenance ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA or plus as-needed ICS-SABA (if available) or, for children 6–11 years, medium-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA.
- For children, try other controller options at the same step before stepping up.

Stepping down to find the minimum effective dose

- Once good asthma control has been achieved and maintained for 2–3 months, consider stepping down gradually to find the patient's lowest treatment that controls both symptoms and exacerbations
- Provide the patient with a written asthma action plan, monitor closely, and schedule a follow-up visit.
- Do not completely withdraw ICS unless this is needed temporarily to confirm the diagnosis of asthma.

For all patients with asthma, providing asthma education and training in essential skills

- After choosing the right class of medication for the patient, the choice of inhaler device depends on which inhalers are available for the patient for that medication, which of these inhalers the patient can use correctly after training, and their relative environmental impact. Check inhaler technique frequently.
- Provide inhaler skills training: this is essential for medications to be effective, but technique is often incorrect.
- Encourage adherence with controller medication, even when symptoms are infrequent.
- Provide training in asthma self-management (self-monitoring of symptoms and/or peak expiratory flow (PEF), written asthma action plan and regular medical review) to control symptoms and minimize the risk of exacerbations.

For patients with one or more risk factors for exacerbations

- Prescribe ICS-containing medication, preferably from Track 1 options, i.e., with as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol as reliever; provide a written asthma action plan; and arrange review more frequently than for lower-risk patients.
- Identify and address modifiable risk factors (e.g., smoking, low lung function, over-use of SABA).
- Consider non-pharmacological strategies and interventions to assist with symptom control and risk reduction, (e.g., smoking cessation advice, breathing exercises, some avoidance strategies).

Difficult-to-treat and severe asthma (see section 3.5, p.120)

- Patients who have poor symptom control and/or exacerbations, despite medium- or high-dose ICS-LABA treatment, should be assessed for contributing factors, and asthma treatment optimized.
- If the problems continue or diagnosis is uncertain, refer to a specialist center for phenotypic assessment and consideration of add-on therapy including biologics.

For all patients, use your own professional judgment, and always check local eligibility and payer criteria

ASTHMA MEDICATIONS

Categories of asthma medications

The pharmacological options for long-term treatment of asthma fall into the following main categories (Box 3-4; p.56):

- **Controller medications:** in the past, this term mostly referred to medications containing ICS that were used to reduce airway inflammation, control symptoms, and reduce risks such as exacerbations and related decline in lung function.¹²⁷ In GINA Track 1, controller treatment is delivered through an anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR), low-dose ICS-formoterol, taken when symptoms occur and before exercise or allergen exposure; in Steps 3–5, the patient also takes maintenance controller treatment (daily or twice-daily ICS-formoterol). This is called maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART). The dose and regimen of controller medications should be optimized to minimize the risk of medication side-effects, including risks of needing OCS.
- **Reliever medications:** these are provided to all patients for as-needed relief of breakthrough symptoms, including during worsening asthma or exacerbations. They are also recommended for short-term prevention of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB). Relievers include the anti-inflammatory relievers ICS-formoterol and ICS-SABA, and SABA alone. Over-use of SABA (e.g., dispensing of three or more 200-dose canisters in a year, corresponding to average use more than daily) increases the risk of asthma exacerbations.^{74,75}
- **Add-on therapies including for patients with severe asthma** (Section 3.5, p.120).

When compared with medications used for other chronic diseases, most of the medications used for treatment of asthma have very favorable therapeutic ratios.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

Box 3-4. Terminology for asthma medications

Term	Definition	Notes
Maintenance treatment	Asthma treatment that is prescribed for use every day (or on a regularly scheduled basis)	<p>Medications intended to be used continuously, even when the person does not have asthma symptoms. Examples include ICS-containing medications (ICS, ICS-LABA, ICS-LABA-LAMA), as well as LTRA and biologic therapy.</p> <p>The term ‘maintenance’ describes the prescribed frequency of administration, not a particular class of asthma medicine.</p>
Controller	Medication targeting both domains of asthma control (symptom control and future risk)	<p>In the past, ‘controller’ was largely used for ICS-containing medications prescribed for regular daily treatment, so ‘controller’ and ‘maintenance’ became almost synonymous. However, this became confusing after the introduction of combination ICS-containing relievers for as-needed use.</p> <p>To avoid confusion, ‘ICS-containing treatment’ and ‘maintenance treatment’ have been substituted as appropriate where the intended meaning was unclear.</p>
Reliever	Asthma inhaler taken as needed, for quick relief of asthma symptoms	<p>Sometimes called rescue inhalers. As well as being used for symptom relief, reliever inhalers can also be used before exercise, to prevent exercise-induced asthma symptoms.</p> <p>Includes SABAs (e.g., salbutamol [albuterol], terbutaline, ICS-salbutamol), as-needed ICS-formoterol, and as-needed ICS-SABA. SABA-containing relievers are not intended for regular maintenance use, or to be taken when the person does not have asthma symptoms (except before exercise).</p>
Anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR)	Reliever inhaler that contains both a low-dose ICS and a rapid-acting bronchodilator	<p>Includes budesonide-formoterol, beclometasone-formoterol and ICS-salbutamol combinations. Patients can also use them as needed before exercise or allergen exposure to prevent asthma symptoms and bronchoconstriction. Non-formoterol LABAs in combination with ICS cannot be used as relievers.</p> <p>The anti-inflammatory effect of as-needed ICS-formoterol was demonstrated by reduction in FeNO in several studies.^{171,172,195}</p> <p>Some anti-inflammatory relievers can be used as-needed at Steps 1–2 as the person’s sole asthma treatment, without a maintenance treatment (‘AIR-only’ treatment). Almost all evidence for this is with ICS-formoterol. Some ICS-formoterol combinations can be used as both maintenance treatment and reliever treatment at Steps 3–5 (see MART, below). For medications and doses see Box 3-15, p.80.</p>
Maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART)	Treatment regimen in which the patient uses an ICS-formoterol inhaler every day (maintenance dose), and also uses the same medication as needed for relief of asthma symptoms (reliever doses)	<p>MART (Maintenance And Reliever Therapy) can be used <u>only</u> with combination ICS-formoterol inhalers such as budesonide-formoterol and beclometasone-formoterol. Other ICS-formoterol inhalers can also potentially be used, but combinations of ICS with non-formoterol LABAs, or ICS-SABA, cannot be used for MART. MART is also sometimes called SMART (single-inhaler maintenance and reliever therapy); the meaning is the same. For medications and doses see Box 3-15, p.80.</p>

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Initial asthma treatment in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years

For the best outcomes, ICS-containing treatment should be initiated when (or as soon as possible after) the diagnosis of asthma is made. All patients should also be provided with a reliever inhaler for quick symptom relief, preferably an anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR).

Why should ICS-containing medication be commenced from the time of diagnosis?

- As-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol reduces the risk of severe exacerbations and emergency department visits or hospitalizations by 65% compared with SABA-only treatment.¹⁶⁷ This anti-inflammatory reliever regimen (AIR-only) significantly reduces severe exacerbations regardless of the patient's baseline symptom frequency, lung function, exacerbation history or inflammatory profile (T2-high or T2-low).^{171,172}
- Starting treatment with SABA alone trains patients to regard it as their main asthma treatment, and increases the risk of poor adherence when daily ICS is subsequently prescribed.
- Early initiation of low-dose ICS in patients with asthma leads to a greater improvement in lung function than if symptoms have been present for more than 2–4 years.^{196,197} One study showed that after this time, higher ICS doses were required, and lower lung function was achieved.¹⁹⁸
- Patients not taking ICS who experience a severe exacerbation have a greater long-term decline in lung function than those who are taking ICS.¹²⁷
- For patients with occupational asthma, early removal from exposure to the sensitizing agent and early ICS-containing treatment increase the probability of resolution of symptoms, and improvement of lung function and airway hyperresponsiveness.^{49,50}

For adults and adolescents, recommended options for initial asthma treatment, based on evidence (where available) and consensus, are listed in Box 3-6 (p.59) and shown in Boxes 3-7 (p.60) and 3-8 (p.60). Treatment for adults and adolescents is shown in two tracks, depending on the reliever inhaler (Box 3-12, p.65).

For children 6–11 years, recommendations about initial treatment are on p.62 and p.63. The patient's response should be reviewed, and treatment stepped down once good control is achieved. Recommendations for a stepwise approach to ongoing treatment are found in Box 3-13 (p.66).

Does FeNO help in deciding whether to commence ICS?

In studies mainly limited to non-smoking adult patients, fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) >50 parts per billion (ppb) was associated with a good short-term (weeks) response to ICS.^{199,200} However, these studies did not examine the longer-term risk of exacerbations. In two 12-month studies in mild asthma or taking SABA alone, severe exacerbations were reduced with as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol versus as-needed SABA and versus maintenance ICS, independent of baseline inflammatory characteristics including FeNO.^{171,172}

Consequently, in patients with a diagnosis or suspected diagnosis of asthma, measurement of FeNO can support the decision to start ICS, but cannot be used to decide against treatment with ICS. Based on past and current evidence, GINA recommends treatment with daily low-dose ICS or as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol for all adults and adolescents with mild asthma, to reduce the risk of serious exacerbations.^{171,172,201-203}

Adjusting ongoing asthma treatment in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years old

Once asthma treatment has been commenced (Boxes 3-6 to 3-10), ongoing treatment decisions are based on a personalized cycle of assessment, adjustment of treatment, and review of the response. For each patient, in addition to treatment of modifiable risk factors, asthma medication can be adjusted up or down in a stepwise approach (adults and adolescents: Box 3-12, p.65, children 6–11 years, Box 3-13, p.66) to achieve good symptom control and minimize future risk of exacerbations, persistent airflow limitation and medication side-effects. Once good asthma control has been maintained for 2–3 months, treatment may be stepped down in order to find the patient's minimum effective treatment (Box 3-16, p.83).

People's ethnic and racial backgrounds may be associated with different responses to treatment. These are not necessarily associated with genetic differences.²⁰⁴ The contributors are likely to be multifactorial, including differences in exposures, social disadvantage, diet and health-seeking behavior.

If a patient has persisting uncontrolled symptoms and/or exacerbations despite 2–3 months of ICS-containing treatment, assess and correct the following common problems *before considering any step up in treatment*:

- Incorrect inhaler technique
- Poor adherence
- Persistent exposure at home/work to agents such as allergens, tobacco smoke, indoor or outdoor air pollution, or to medications such as beta-blockers or (in some patients) nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)
- Comorbidities that may contribute to respiratory symptoms and poor quality of life
- Incorrect diagnosis.

Box 3-5. Asthma treatment tracks for adults and adolescents

For adults and adolescents, the main treatment figure (Box 3-12, p.65), shows the options for ongoing treatment as two treatment 'tracks', with the key difference being the medication that is used for symptom relief. In Track 1 (preferred), the reliever is as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol, and in Track 2, the reliever is as-needed SABA or as-needed ICS-SABA. The two tracks show how treatment can be stepped up and down using the same reliever at each step.

Track 1: The reliever is as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol

This is the preferred approach recommended by GINA for adults and adolescents, because of the extensive evidence that using low-dose ICS-formoterol (an anti-inflammatory reliever; AIR) reduces the risk of severe exacerbations compared with using SABA as reliever, with similar symptom control. In addition, the treatment regimen is simpler, with patients using a single medication for reliever and for maintenance treatment if prescribed, across treatment steps.

- With this approach, when a patient at any treatment step has asthma symptoms, they use low-dose ICS-formoterol in a single inhaler for symptom relief and to provide anti-inflammatory therapy.
- In Steps 3–5, patients also take ICS-formoterol as their daily maintenance treatment; together, this is called 'maintenance-and-reliever therapy' (MART).
- Medications and doses for GINA Track 1 are shown in Box 3-15, p.80.

Track 2: The reliever is as-needed SABA or as-needed ICS-SABA

This is an alternative approach if Track 1 is not possible, or if a patient's asthma is stable with good adherence and no exacerbations on their current therapy. However, before prescribing a regimen with SABA-only reliever, consider if the patient is likely to be adherent with their maintenance therapy, as otherwise they will be at higher risk of exacerbations.

- In Step 1, the patient takes a SABA and a low-dose ICS together for symptom relief when symptoms occur (in a combination inhaler, or with the ICS taken immediately after the SABA).
- In Steps 2–5, a SABA or combination ICS-SABA is used for symptom relief, and the patient takes maintenance ICS-containing medication regularly every day. If the reliever and maintenance medication are in different devices, make sure that the patient can use each inhaler correctly.

Stepping up and down

Treatment can be stepped up or down along one track, using the same reliever at each step, or it can be switched between tracks, according to the individual patient's needs and preferences. Before stepping up, check for common problems such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, and environmental exposures, and confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma (Box 2-4, p.45).

The additional controller options, shown below the two treatment tracks, either have limited indications or less evidence for their safety and/or efficacy, compared with the treatments in Tracks 1 and 2.

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Box 3-6. Initial asthma treatment – recommended options for adults and adolescents

Presenting symptoms	Preferred INITIAL treatment (Track 1)	Alternative INITIAL treatment (Track 2)
Infrequent asthma symptoms, e.g., less than twice a month and no risk factors for exacerbations, including no exacerbations in the last 12 months (Box 2-2B, p.38)	As-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol (Evidence B)	Low-dose ICS taken whenever SABA is taken , in combination or separate inhalers (Evidence B)
Asthma symptoms or need for reliever twice a month or more	As-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol (Evidence A)	Low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA (Evidence A). Before choosing this option, consider likely adherence with daily ICS.
Troublesome asthma symptoms most days (e.g., 4–5 days/week); or waking due to asthma once a week or more, especially if any risk factors exist (Box 2-2B, p.38)	Low-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) (Evidence A)	Low-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA (Evidence A) or plus as-needed ICS-SABA (Evidence B), OR Medium-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA (Evidence A) or plus as-needed ICS-SABA (Evidence B). Consider likely adherence with daily maintenance treatment.
Initial asthma presentation is with severely uncontrolled asthma, or with an acute exacerbation	Medium-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) (Evidence D). A short course of oral corticosteroids may also be needed.	Medium- or high-dose ICS-LABA (Evidence D) plus as-needed SABA or plus as-needed ICS-SABA. Consider likely adherence with daily maintenance treatment. A short course of oral corticosteroids may also be needed. High-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA is another option (Evidence A) but adherence is weak compared with combination ICS-LABA.

Before starting initial controller treatment

- Record evidence for the diagnosis of asthma.
- Record the patient’s level of symptom control and risk factors, including lung function (Box 2-2, p.38).
- Consider factors influencing choice between available treatment options (Box 3-3, p.52), including likely adherence with daily ICS-containing treatment, particularly if the reliever is SABA.
- Choose a suitable inhaler (Box 3-21 p.98) and ensure that the patient can use the inhaler correctly.
- Schedule an appointment for a follow-up visit.

After starting initial controller treatment


- Review patient’s response (Box 2-2, p.38) after 2–3 months, or earlier depending on clinical urgency.
- See Box 3-12 (p.65) for recommendations for ongoing treatment and other key management issues.
- Check adherence and inhaler technique frequently.
- Step down treatment once good control has been maintained for 3 months (Box 3-16, p.83).

This table is based on evidence from available studies and consensus. Considerations also include cost and likely adherence with ICS-containing treatment. See also Box 3-7 (p.60) for where to start on the main treatment figure for adults and adolescents. See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses for adults and adolescents, and Box 3-15 (p.80) for Track 1 medications and doses. See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Box 3-7. Selecting initial treatment in adults and adolescents with a diagnosis of asthma

GINA 2023 – STARTING TREATMENT
in adults and adolescents with a diagnosis of asthma

Track 1 using ICS-formoterol reliever is preferred because it reduces the risk of severe exacerbations, compared with using SABA reliever, and it is simpler for patients as it uses the same medication for reliever and maintenance treatment.




*Anti-inflammatory relievers (AIR)

See list of abbreviations (p.10). See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses for adults and adolescents. See Box 3-15, p.80, for Track 1 medications and doses.

Box 3-8. Flowchart for selecting initial treatment in adults and adolescents with a diagnosis of asthma

GINA 2023 – STARTING TREATMENT
in adults and adolescents 12+ years with a diagnosis of asthma



See list of abbreviations (p.10). See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses for adults and adolescents. See Box 3-15, p.80, for Track 1 medications and doses.

Box 3-9. Initial asthma treatment – recommended options for children aged 6–11 years


Presenting symptoms	Preferred INITIAL treatment
Infrequent asthma symptoms, e.g., less than twice a month and no risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2B, p.38)	Low-dose ICS taken whenever SABA is taken (Evidence B) in combination or separate inhalers An alternative option is daily maintenance low-dose ICS with as-needed SABA (Evidence B).
Asthma symptoms or need for reliever twice a month or more, but less than daily	Low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA (Evidence A) Other options include daily LTRA (less effective than ICS, Evidence A), or taking ICS whenever SABA is taken in combination or separate inhalers (Evidence B). Consider likely adherence with maintenance treatment if reliever is SABA.
Troublesome asthma symptoms most days (e.g., 4–5 days/week); or waking due to asthma once a week or more, especially if any risk factors exist (Box 2-2B)	Low-dose ICS-LABA plus as needed SABA (Evidence A), OR Medium-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA (Evidence A), OR Very-low-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever (Evidence B) Other options include daily low-dose ICS and LTRA, plus as-needed SABA.
Initial asthma presentation is with severely uncontrolled asthma, or with an acute exacerbation	Start regular maintenance treatment with medium-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA or low-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever (MART). A short course of OCS may also be needed.
Before starting initial controller treatment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Record evidence for the diagnosis of asthma, if possible. Record the child's level of symptom control and risk factors, including lung function (Box 2-2, p.38, Box 2-3, p.39). Consider factors influencing choice between available treatment options (Box 3-3, p.52). Choose a suitable inhaler (Box 3-21 p.98) and ensure that the child can use the inhaler correctly. Schedule an appointment for a follow-up visit. 	
After starting initial controller treatment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review child's response (Box 2-2, p.38) after 2–3 months, or earlier depending on clinical urgency. See Box 3-13 (p.66) for recommendations for ongoing treatment and other key management issues. Step down treatment once good control has been maintained for 3 months (Box 3-16, p.83). 	

This table is based on evidence from available studies and from consensus, including considerations of cost. See also Box 3-4D (p.63) for where to start on the main treatment figure for children 6–11 years. See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses in children, and Box 3-15 (p.80) for MART doses in children.. See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Box 3-10. Selecting initial treatment in children aged 6–11 years with a diagnosis of asthma

GINA 2023 – STARTING TREATMENT


Children 6–11 years with a diagnosis of asthma



See list of abbreviations (p.10). See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses in children. See Box 3-15, p.80 for medications and doses for MART in children.

Box 3-11. Flowchart for selecting initial treatment in children aged 6–11 years with a diagnosis of asthma

GINA 2023 – SUGGESTED INITIAL CONTROLLER TREATMENT in CHILDREN 6–11 years with a diagnosis of asthma




See list of abbreviations (p.10). See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses in children. See Box 3-15, p.80 for medications and doses for MART in children.

Box 3-12. Personalized management for adults and adolescents to control symptoms and minimize future risk

GINA 2023 – Adults & adolescents 12+ years


Personalized asthma management

Assess, Adjust, Review
for individual patient needs



TRACK 1: PREFERRED CONTROLLER and RELIEVER


Using ICS-formoterol as the reliever* reduces the risk of exacerbations compared with using a SABA reliever, and is a simpler regimen



See GINA severe asthma guide

TRACK 2: Alternative CONTROLLER and RELIEVER

Before considering a regimen with SABA reliever, check if the patient is likely to adhere to daily controller treatment



Other controller options (limited indications, or less evidence for efficacy or safety – see text)

	Low dose ICS whenever SABA taken*, or daily LTRA, or add HDM SLIT	Medium dose ICS, or add LTRA, or add HDM SLIT	Add LAMA or LTRA or HDM SLIT, or switch to high dose ICS	Add azithromycin (adults) or LTRA. As last resort consider adding low dose OCS but consider side-effects
--	---	---	--	--

*Anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR)


See list of abbreviations (p.10). For recommendations about *initial* asthma treatment in adults and adolescents, see Box 3-7 (p.59) and 3-8 (p.60). See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses for adults and adolescents. See Box 3-15, p.80, for Track 1 medications and doses.

Box 3-13. Personalized management for children 6–11 years to control symptoms and minimize future risk

GINA 2023 – Children 6–11 years

Personalized asthma management:

Assess, Adjust, Review



Asthma medication options:

Adjust treatment up and down for individual child's needs

PREFERRED CONTROLLER

to prevent exacerbations and control symptoms

Other controller options (limited indications, or less evidence for efficacy or safety)

	STEP 1	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4	STEP 5
	Low dose ICS taken whenever SABA taken*	Daily low dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) (see table of ICS dose ranges for children)	Low dose ICS-LABA, OR medium dose ICS, OR very low dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever (MART)	Medium dose ICS-LABA, OR low dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy (MART). Refer for expert advice	Refer for phenotypic assessment ± higher dose ICS-LABA or add-on therapy, e.g. anti-IgE, anti-IL4Rα, anti-IL5
	Consider daily low dose ICS	Daily leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA), or low dose ICS taken whenever SABA taken*	Low dose ICS + LTRA	Add tiotropium or add LTRA	As last resort, consider add-on low dose ICS, but consider side-effects
RELIEVER	As-needed SABA (or ICS-formoterol reliever* in MART in Steps 3 and 4)				

*Anti-inflammatory relievers (AIR)

See list of abbreviations (p.10). For *initial* asthma treatment in children aged 6–11 years, see Box 3-4C (p.62) and Box 3-4D (p.63) See Box 3-14, p.67 for low, medium and high ICS doses in children. See Box 3-15 for MART doses for children 6–11 years.

Box 3-14. Low, medium and high daily metered doses of inhaled corticosteroids (alone or with LABA)

This is not a table of equivalence, but instead, suggested total daily doses for 'low', 'medium' and 'high' dose ICS options for adults/adolescents (Box 3-12, p.65) and children 6–11 years (Box 3-13, p.66), based on product information. Few data are available for comparative potency, and **this table does NOT imply potency equivalence**. Doses may differ by country, depending on local products, regulatory labelling and clinical guidelines or, for one product, with addition of a LAMA to an ICS-LABA.²⁰⁵

Low-dose ICS provides most of the clinical benefit of ICS for most patients with asthma. However, ICS responsiveness varies between patients, so some patients may need **medium-dose ICS** if their asthma is uncontrolled, or they have ongoing exacerbations, despite good adherence and correct technique with low-dose ICS (with or without LABA).

High-dose ICS (in combination with LABA or separately) is needed by very few patients, and its long-term use is associated with an increased risk of local and systemic side-effects, which must be balanced against the potential benefits. **For medications and doses of ICS-formoterol for GINA Track 1, see Box 3-15, p.80).**

Daily doses in this table are shown as metered doses. See product information for delivered doses.

Adults and adolescents (12 years and older)

Inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination with LABA)	Total daily ICS dose (mcg) – see notes above		
	Low	Medium	High
Beclometasone dipropionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	200–500	>500–1000	>1000
Beclometasone dipropionate (DPI or pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	100–200	>200–400	>400
Budesonide (DPI, or pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	200–400	>400–800	>800
Ciclesonide (pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	80–160	>160–320	>320
Fluticasone furoate (DPI)		100	200
Fluticasone propionate (DPI)	100–250	>250–500	>500
Fluticasone propionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	100–250	>250–500	>500
Mometasone furoate (DPI)	Depends on DPI device – see product information		
Mometasone furoate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)		200–400	>400

Children 6–11 years – see notes above (for children 5 years and younger, see Box 6-7, p.184)

Beclometasone dipropionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	100–200	>200–400	>400
Beclometasone dipropionate (pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	50–100	>100–200	>200
Budesonide (DPI, or pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	100–200	>200–400	>400
Budesonide (nebules)	250–500	>500–1000	>1000
Ciclesonide (pMDI, extrafine particle*, HFA)	80	>80–160	>160
Fluticasone furoate (DPI)		50	n.a.
Fluticasone propionate (DPI)	50–100	>100–200	>200
Fluticasone propionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	50–100	>100–200	>200
Mometasone furoate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)		100	200

See list of abbreviations (p.10). ICS by pMDI should preferably be used with a spacer.

For new preparations, including generic ICS, the manufacturer's information should be reviewed carefully, as products containing the same molecule may not be clinically equivalent. Combination inhalers that include a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) may have different ICS dosing – see product information.

Choice of medication, device and dose

In clinical practice, the choice of medication, device and dose for maintenance and for reliever for each individual patient should be based on assessment of symptom control, risk factors, which inhalers are available for the relevant medication class, which of these the patient can use correctly after training, their cost, their environmental impact and the patient's likely adherence. For more detail about choice of inhaler, see Chapter 3.3 and Box 3-21 (p.99). It is important to monitor the response to treatment and any side-effects, and to adjust the dose accordingly (Box 3-12, p.65).

Once good symptom control has been maintained for 2–3 months, the ICS dose should be carefully back-titrated to the minimum dose that will maintain good symptom control and minimize exacerbation risk, while reducing the potential for side-effects (Box 3-16, p.83). Patients who are being considered for a high daily dose of ICS (except for short periods) should be referred for expert assessment and advice, where possible (Chapter 3.5, p.120). There is currently insufficient good-quality evidence to support use of extrafine-particle ICS aerosols over others.²⁰⁶

GINA recommends that all adults and adolescents and all children 6–11 years should receive ICS-containing medication, incorporated in their maintenance and/or anti-inflammatory reliever treatment as part of personalized asthma management. For adults and adolescents, treatment options are shown in Box 3-12; p.65; and, for children 6–11 years, in Box 3-13; p.66. Clinicians should check local eligibility and payer criteria before prescribing.

The evidence supporting treatment options at each step is summarized below.

ASTHMA TREATMENT STEPS FOR ADULTS, ADOLESCENTS AND CHILDREN 6–11 YEARS

The steps below refer to the recommended asthma treatment options shown in Box 3-12, p.65 for adults and adolescents, and in Box 3-13, p.66 for children 6–11 years. Treatment recommendations for adults and adolescents are shown in two treatment Tracks (description on p.58), for clarity. Suggested low, medium and high doses for a range of ICS formulations are shown in Box 3-14 (p.67). Medication options and doses for GINA Track 1 are listed in Box 3-15 (p.80).

STEP 1

Preferred Step 1 treatment for adults and adolescents: low-dose combination ICS-formoterol taken as needed for relief of symptoms, and if needed before exercise (Track 1)

Population: GINA Step 1 recommendations are for:

- Initial asthma treatment in patients with symptoms less than twice a month and no exacerbation risk factors, a group that is rarely studied
- Step-down treatment for patients whose asthma is well controlled on regular ICS or LTRA.

In Track 1, the preferred treatment (as-needed ICS-formoterol) is the same for Steps 1 and 2.

Evidence: Use of low-dose ICS-formoterol as needed for symptom relief (an anti-inflammatory reliever) in Step 1 for adults and adolescents (Evidence B) is supported by indirect evidence for a reduction in risk of severe exacerbations compared with as-needed SABA alone, from a large double-blind study²⁰² and an open-label study¹⁷¹ in patients who were eligible for Step 2 therapy (see below), and by direct evidence from two studies in patients previously taking Step 2 treatment (maintenance ICS or LTRA).²⁰⁷

Together, the four large studies showed a similar or greater reduction in severe exacerbations, compared with daily ICS, with no clinically important difference in symptom control or lung function.^{171,172} For patients previously taking SABA alone, the risk of severe exacerbations was 26% lower with as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol compared with daily ICS;²⁰⁷ it was also significantly lower than with daily ICS in an open-label study in patients previously taking SABA alone.¹⁷¹

Among patients stepping down from regular ICS or LTRA, as-needed ICS-formoterol was associated with a similar²⁰⁷ or greater¹⁷² reduction in severe exacerbations compared with taking daily ICS. Findings were similar in the adolescent subgroup.²⁰⁸ No new safety signals were seen with as-needed budesonide-formoterol in mild asthma.^{171,172,202,203,210}

Considerations: There were several important considerations for extending the Step 2 recommendation for as-needed-only low-dose ICS-formoterol to Step 1 (i.e., eliminating SABA-only treatment):

- Patients with few interval asthma symptoms can still have severe or fatal exacerbations.¹⁶⁴ GINA recommends assessing and addressing risk factors for exacerbations as well as symptom control (Box 2-2).
- The historic distinction between so-called ‘intermittent’ and ‘mild persistent’ asthma is arbitrary, with no evidence of difference in response to ICS.¹⁶⁶ A large reduction in risk of severe exacerbations with as-needed ICS-formoterol, compared with as-needed SABA, was seen even in patients with SABA use twice a week or less at baseline.¹⁷¹
- A post hoc analysis of one study found that a single day with increased as-needed budesonide-formoterol reduced the short-term (21-day) risk of severe exacerbations compared to as needed SABA alone, suggesting that timing of use of ICS-formoterol is important.⁷⁹
- In patients with infrequent symptoms, adherence with prescribed daily ICS is very poor,²¹¹ exposing them to risks of SABA-only treatment if they are prescribed daily ICS plus as-needed SABA
- There is a *lack* of evidence for the safety or efficacy of SABA-only treatment. Historic recommendations for SABA-only treatment were based on the assumption that patients with mild asthma would not benefit from ICS
- Taking SABA regularly for as little as one week significantly increases exercise-induced bronchoconstriction, airway hyperresponsiveness and airway inflammation, and decreases bronchodilator response.²¹²
- Even modest over-use of SABA (indicated by dispensing of 3 or more 200-dose canisters a year) is associated with increased risk of severe exacerbations⁷⁴ and, in one study, asthma mortality.⁷⁵
- GINA places a high priority on avoiding patients becoming reliant on SABA, and on avoiding conflicting messages in asthma education. Previously, patients were initially provided only with SABA for symptom relief, but later, despite this treatment being effective from the patient’s perspective, they were told that in order to reduce their SABA use, they needed to take a daily maintenance treatment, even when they had no symptoms. Recommending that all patients should be provided with ICS-containing treatment from the start of therapy (including, in mild asthma, the option of as-needed ICS-formoterol) allows consistent messaging about the need for both symptom relief and risk reduction, and may avoid establishing patient reliance on SABA as their main asthma treatment.

Practice points for as-needed-only ICS-formoterol in mild asthma

The **usual dose** of as-needed budesonide-formoterol in mild asthma is a single inhalation of 200/6 mcg (delivered dose 160/4.5 mcg), taken whenever needed for symptom relief. The **maximum recommended dose** of as-needed budesonide-formoterol in a single day corresponds to a total of 72 mcg formoterol (54 mcg delivered dose). However, in RCTs in mild asthma, such high usage was rarely seen, with average use around 3–4 doses per week.^{171,202,203} For more details about medications and doses for as-needed-only ICS-formoterol, see Box 3-15 (p.80).

Rinsing the mouth is not generally needed after as-needed doses of low-dose ICS-formoterol, as this was not required in any of the mild asthma studies (or in MART studies), and there was no increase in risk of oral thrush.²¹⁰

ICS-formoterol formulations other than budesonide-formoterol have not been studied for as-needed-only use, but beclometasone-formoterol may also be suitable. Both of these medications are well-established for as-needed use within maintenance and reliever therapy in GINA Steps 3–5.²¹³ Combination ICS with non-formoterol LABA cannot be used as-needed for symptom relief.

For **pre-exercise use** in patients with mild asthma, one 6-week study showed that use of low-dose budesonide-formoterol for symptom relief and before exercise reduced exercise-induced bronchoconstriction to a similar extent as regular daily low-dose ICS with SABA for symptom relief and before exercise.²¹⁴ More studies are needed, but this study suggests that patients with mild asthma who are prescribed as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol to prevent

exacerbations and control symptoms can use the same medication prior to exercise, if needed, and do not need to be prescribed a SABA for pre-exercise use (Evidence B).

Alternative Step 1 treatment options for adults and adolescents (Track 2)

Low-dose ICS taken whenever SABA is taken (Evidence B): For patients with symptoms less than twice a month or eligible to step-down from regular ICS treatment, there is very little evidence about the safety and efficacy of taking ICS whenever SABA is taken (in separate or combination inhalers). However, it may be an option in countries where ICS-formoterol is not available or affordable. In Step 1, the evidence for this strategy is indirect, from small studies with separate or combination ICS and SABA inhalers in patients eligible for Step 2 treatment (see below).²¹⁵⁻²¹⁸ In making this recommendation, the most important considerations were reducing the risk of severe exacerbations, and the difficulty of achieving good adherence with regularly prescribed ICS in patients with infrequent symptoms. For definitions of low-dose ICS see Box 3-14 (p.67).

Regular daily low-dose ICS has been suggested by GINA since 2014 for consideration as a Step 1 treatment for patients with symptoms less than twice a month, to reduce the risk of exacerbations. This was based on indirect evidence from studies in patients eligible for Step 2 treatment^{166,209,219} (Evidence B). However, patients with symptoms less than twice a month are extremely unlikely to take ICS regularly even if prescribed, leaving them exposed to the risks of SABA-only treatment, so this regimen is no longer recommended for general use in such patients.

Step 1 treatment options for children 6–11 years

For children 6–11 years with asthma symptoms less than twice a month, possible treatment options include taking ICS whenever SABA is taken, based on indirect evidence from Step 2 studies with separate inhalers in children and adolescents. One of these studies showed substantially fewer exacerbations compared with SABA-only treatment,²¹⁶ and another showed similar outcomes as with physician-adjusted treatment and with lower average ICS dose²¹⁸ (Evidence B). Regular ICS plus as-needed SABA is also a possible option for this age-group (Evidence B), but the likelihood of poor adherence in children with infrequent symptoms should be taken into account. See Box 3-14 (p.67) for ICS doses.

There have been no studies of as needed-only ICS-formoterol in children aged 6–11 years. However, concerns around SABA-only treatment are also relevant to children and should be considered when initiating Step 1 treatment (see other controller options for children in Step 2, below).

Not recommended

GINA no longer recommends SABA-only treatment of asthma in adults, adolescents or children 6–11 years.

Although inhaled SABAs are highly effective for the quick relief of asthma symptoms,²²⁰ patients whose asthma is treated with SABA alone (compared with ICS) are at increased risk of asthma-related death (Evidence A)^{75,221} and urgent asthma-related healthcare (Evidence A),²²² even if they have good symptom control.²²³ The risk of severe exacerbations requiring urgent health care is substantially reduced in adults and adolescents by either as-needed ICS-formoterol,¹⁶⁷ or by regular low-dose ICS with as-needed SABA.²¹⁹ The risk of asthma exacerbations and mortality increases incrementally with higher SABA use, including in patients treated with SABA alone.⁷⁵ One long-term study of regular SABA in patients with newly diagnosed asthma showed worse outcomes and lower lung function than in patients who were treated with daily low-dose ICS from the start.²²⁴

In adults, inhaled short-acting anticholinergic agents like ipratropium are potential alternatives to SABA for routine relief of asthma symptoms; however, these agents have a slower onset of action than inhaled SABA. Oral SABA and theophylline have a higher risk of side-effects and are not recommended. For clinicians in regions without access to inhaled therapies, advice on minimizing the frequency and dose of these oral medications has been provided elsewhere.⁹ No long-term safety studies have been performed to assess the risk of severe exacerbations associated with oral SABA or theophylline use in patients not also taking ICS. Use of long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA) in asthma without concomitant ICS is associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations.²²⁵

The rapid-onset LABA, formoterol, is as effective as SABA as a reliever medication in adults and children,²²⁶ and reduces the risk of severe exacerbations by 15–45%, compared with as-needed SABA,²²⁷⁻²²⁹ but use of regular or frequent LABA without ICS is strongly discouraged because of the risk of exacerbations^{135,230} (Evidence A).

STEP 2

Preferred Step 2 treatment for adults and adolescents: low-dose ICS-formoterol, taken as-needed for relief of symptoms and, if needed, before exercise (Track 1)

Population: patients enrolled in these studies were considered by their physicians to have mild asthma, with symptoms or SABA use up to twice a day on SABA alone or regular ICS or LTRA plus as-needed SABA.

Evidence: The current evidence for this treatment in Step 2 is with low-dose budesonide-formoterol:

- A large double-blind study in mild asthma found a 64% reduction in severe exacerbations, compared with SABA-only treatment,²⁰² with a similar finding in an open-label study in patients with mild asthma previously taking SABA alone (Evidence A).¹⁷¹
- Two large double-blind studies in mild asthma showed as-needed budesonide-formoterol was non-inferior for severe exacerbations, compared with regular ICS.^{202,203}
- In two open-label randomized controlled trials, representing the way that patients with mild asthma would use as-needed ICS-formoterol in real life, as-needed budesonide-formoterol was superior to maintenance ICS in reducing the risk of severe exacerbations (Evidence A).^{171,172}
- A Cochrane review provided moderate to high certainty evidence that as-needed ICS-formoterol was clinically effective in adults and adolescents with mild asthma, significantly reducing important clinical outcomes including need for oral corticosteroids, severe exacerbation rates, and emergency department visits or hospital admissions compared with daily ICS plus as-needed SABA (Evidence A).^{167,210}
- In all four studies, the as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol strategy was associated with a substantially lower average ICS dose than with maintenance low-dose ICS.^{171,172,202,203}
- Clinical outcomes with as-needed ICS-formoterol were similar in adolescents as in adults.²⁰⁸
- A post hoc analysis of one study²⁰² found that a day with >2 doses of as-needed budesonide-formoterol reduced the short-term (21 day) risk of severe exacerbations compared to as needed terbutaline alone, suggesting that timing of use of ICS-formoterol is important.⁷⁹
- No new safety signals were seen with as-needed budesonide-formoterol in mild asthma.^{171,172,202,203,210}

Considerations: The most important considerations for GINA in recommending as-needed-only low-dose ICS-formoterol in Step 2 were:

- The need to prevent severe exacerbations in patients with mild or infrequent symptoms; these can occur with unpredictable triggers such as viral infection, allergen exposure, pollution or stress.
- The desire to avoid the need for daily ICS in patients with mild asthma, who in clinical practice are often poorly adherent with prescribed ICS, leaving them exposed to the risks of SABA-only treatment.²¹⁹
- The greater reduction in severe exacerbations with as-needed ICS-formoterol, compared with daily ICS, among patients previously taking SABA alone, with no significant difference for patients with well-controlled asthma on ICS or LTRA at baseline.^{171,207}
- The very small differences in FEV₁, (approximately 30–50 mL), symptom control (difference in ACQ-5 of approximately 0.15 versus minimal clinically important difference 0.5), and symptom-free days (mean difference 10.6 days per year)^{202,203} compared with regular ICS were considered to be less important. These differences did not increase over the 12-month studies. The primary outcome variable of one study²⁰² was ‘well-controlled asthma weeks’, but this outcome was not considered reliable because it was based on an earlier concept of asthma control, and was systematically biased against the as-needed ICS-formoterol treatment group because much less ICS was permitted in a week for patients on ICS-formoterol than those on maintenance ICS before the week was classified as not well controlled.

- The similar reduction in FeNO with as-needed budesonide-formoterol as with maintenance ICS, and the lack of significant difference in treatment effect with as-needed budesonide-formoterol by patients' baseline eosinophils or baseline FeNO.^{171,172}

Anti-inflammatory reliever treatment with as-needed-only ICS-formoterol ('AIR-only') is the preferred treatment for Steps 1 and 2 in adults/adolescents, so these steps have been combined in the treatment figure (Track 1, Box 3-12, p.65) to avoid confusion.

Practice points for as-needed ICS-formoterol in mild asthma

Patient preferences: from qualitative research, the majority of patients in a pragmatic open-label study preferred as-needed ICS-formoterol for ongoing treatment rather than regular daily ICS with a SABA reliever. They reported that **shared decision-making** would be important in choosing between these treatment options.²³¹

The **usual dose** of as-needed budesonide-formoterol in mild asthma is a single inhalation of 200/6 mcg (delivered dose 160/4.5 mcg), taken whenever needed for symptom relief. Based on product information, the **maximum recommended dose** of budesonide-formoterol in a single day is a total of 72 mcg formoterol (54 mcg delivered dose). However, in the randomized controlled trials in mild asthma, such high usage was rarely seen, and average use of as-needed ICS-formoterol was around 3–4 doses per week.^{171,172,202,203} For more details about medications and doses for as-needed-only ICS-formoterol, see Box 3-15 (p.80).

Rinsing the mouth is not generally needed after as-needed use of low-dose ICS-formoterol, as this was not required in any of the mild asthma studies (or MART studies), and there was no increase in risk of oral thrush.

Other ICS-formoterol formulations have not been studied for as-needed-only use, but beclometasone-formoterol may also be suitable. Both of these medications are well established for as-needed use within maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) in GINA Steps 3–5.²¹³ No new safety signals were seen in four studies with as-needed budesonide-formoterol in mild asthma.^{171,172,202,203,210} ICS-LABA combinations with a non-formoterol LABA are not suitable for this regimen.

For **pre-exercise use** in patients with mild asthma, one study showed that budesonide-formoterol taken as-needed and before exercise had similar benefit in reducing exercise-induced bronchoconstriction as daily ICS with SABA as-needed and pre-exercise.²¹⁴ More studies are needed, but this suggests that patients with mild asthma who are prescribed as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol to prevent exacerbations and control symptoms can use the same medication prior to exercise, if needed, and do not need to be prescribed a SABA for pre-exercise use (Evidence B).

Alternative Step 2 treatment for adults and adolescents: daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA (Track 2)

Population: Most studies of daily low dose ICS have included patients with symptoms up to most or all days a week.

Evidence: regular daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA is a long-established treatment for mild asthma. There is a large body of evidence from RCTs and observational studies showing that the risks of severe exacerbations, hospitalizations and mortality are substantially reduced with regular low-dose ICS; symptoms and exercise-induced bronchoconstriction are also reduced^{209,219,221,232,233} (Evidence A). Severe exacerbations are halved with low-dose ICS even in patients with symptoms 0–1 days a week.¹⁶⁶ In a meta-analysis of long-term longitudinal studies, regular ICS was associated with a very small increase in pre- and post-bronchodilator FEV₁ % predicted, in adults but not in children, compared with SABA alone.²³⁴

Other considerations: Clinicians should be aware that adherence with maintenance ICS in the community is extremely low. They should consider the likelihood that patients with infrequent symptoms who are prescribed daily ICS plus as-needed SABA will be poorly adherent with the ICS, increasing their risk of severe exacerbations.

Over-use of SABA, indicated by dispensing of three or more 200-dose canisters of SABA in a year (i.e. average use more than daily), is associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations^{74,75} and, in one study, with increased mortality,⁷⁵ even in patients also taking ICS-containing treatment.

Other Step 2 treatment options for adults and adolescents

Low-dose ICS taken whenever SABA is used (in combination or separate ICS and SABA inhalers) is another option if as-needed ICS-formoterol is not available, and the patient is unlikely to take regular ICS. The evidence is from two small studies in adults and two small studies in children and adolescents, with separate or combination ICS and SABA inhalers,²¹⁵⁻²¹⁸ showing no difference in exacerbations compared with daily ICS. If combination ICS-SABA is not available, the patient needs to carry both ICS and SABA inhalers with them for as-needed use. See Box 3-14 (p.67) for ICS doses. There are no studies with daily maintenance ICS plus as-needed combination ICS-SABA.

Leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA) are less effective than ICS,²³⁵ particularly for exacerbations (Evidence A). Before prescribing montelukast, health professionals should consider its benefits and risks, and patients should be counselled about the risk of neuropsychiatric events. In 2020, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) required a boxed warning to be added about the risk of serious mental health adverse effects with montelukast.²³⁶

Regular daily combination low-dose ICS-LABA as the initial maintenance controller treatment (i.e. in patients previously treated with SABA alone) reduces symptoms and improves lung function, compared with low-dose ICS.²³⁷ However, it is more expensive and does not further reduce the risk of exacerbations compared with ICS alone²³⁷ (Evidence A).

For patients with purely seasonal allergic asthma, e.g., with birch pollen, with no interval asthma symptoms, regular daily ICS or as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol should be started immediately symptoms commence, and be continued for four weeks after the relevant pollen season ends (Evidence D).

Preferred Step 2 treatment for children 6–11 years

The preferred controller option for children at Step 2 is *regular low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA* (see Box 3-14, p.67 for ICS dose ranges in children). This reduces the risk of serious exacerbations compared with SABA-only treatment.²¹⁹

Alternative Step 2 treatment for children 6–11 years

Another controller option for children is *taking low-dose ICS whenever SABA is taken*, based on the results of two small studies with separate ICS and SABA inhalers in patients aged between 5 years and 17 or 18 years.^{216,218} Exacerbations and symptoms were similar with this regimen as with maintenance ICS plus as-needed SABA. Interviews with parents/caregivers indicated that those whose children were randomized to as-needed ICS-SABA felt more in control of their child's asthma than those whose children were randomized to physician-based adjustment.²¹⁸

Another option is daily LTRA, which, overall, is less effective than ICS.²³⁵ The FDA warning about montelukast (above) also applies to its use in children.²³⁶

Not recommended

Sustained-release theophylline has only weak efficacy in asthma²³⁸⁻²⁴⁰ (Evidence B) and side-effects are common, and may be life-threatening at higher doses.²⁴¹ Use of long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA) in asthma without concomitant ICS is associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations.²²⁵ Chromones (nedocromil sodium and sodium cromoglycate) have been discontinued globally; these had a favorable safety profile but low efficacy²⁴²⁻²⁴⁴ (Evidence A), and their pMDI inhalers required burdensome daily washing to avoid blockage.

STEP 3

Before considering a step up, check for common problems such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, and environmental exposures, and confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma (Box 2-4, p.45).

Preferred Step 3 treatment for adults and adolescents: low-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy (Track 1)

For adults and adolescents, the 'preferred' Step 3 option is low-dose ICS-formoterol as both maintenance and reliever treatment (MART). In this regimen, low-dose ICS-formoterol, either budesonide-formoterol or beclometasone-formoterol, is used as both the daily maintenance treatment and as an anti-inflammatory reliever for symptom relief.

Population: Double-blind studies included adult and adolescent patients with ≥ 1 exacerbation in the previous year despite maintenance ICS or ICS-LABA treatment, usually with poor symptom control.

Evidence: Low-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy reduced severe exacerbations and provided similar levels of asthma control at relatively low doses of ICS, compared with a fixed dose of ICS-LABA as maintenance treatment or a higher dose of ICS, both with as-needed SABA²⁴⁵⁻²⁵⁰ (Evidence A). In a meta-analysis, switching patients with uncontrolled asthma from Step 3 treatment to MART was associated with a 29% reduced risk of severe exacerbation compared with stepping up to Step 4 ICS-LABA maintenance plus SABA reliever, and a 30% reduced risk compared with staying on the same treatment with SABA reliever.²⁵¹ In open-label studies that did not require a history of severe exacerbations, maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol also significantly reduced severe exacerbations, with a lower average dose of ICS.^{245,252}

Other considerations: Use of ICS-formoterol as an anti-inflammatory reliever across treatment steps provides a simple regimen with easy transition if treatment needs to be stepped up (e.g. from Step 2 to Step 3, or Step 3 to Step 4), without the need for an additional medication or different prescription, or a different inhaler type (see Box 3-15, p.80).

Practice points for maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) with low-dose ICS-formoterol

Medications: ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy for Step 3 treatment can be prescribed with low-dose budesonide-formoterol (≥ 12 years) or low-dose beclometasone-formoterol (≥ 18 years). The usual dose of budesonide-formoterol for MART is 200/6 mcg metered dose (160/4.5 mcg delivered dose) and the usual dose of beclometasone-formoterol is 100/6 metered dose (87.5/5 mcg), with each of these combinations prescribed as one inhalation twice-daily and one inhalation whenever needed for symptom relief.

Doses: The maximum recommended total dose of formoterol in a single day (total of maintenance and reliever doses, based on product information, is 72 mcg metered dose (54 mcg delivered dose) for budesonide-formoterol and 48 mcg metered dose (36 mcg delivered dose) for beclometasone-formoterol. For a summary of medications and doses, see Box 3-15 (p.80).

ICS-formoterol should not be used as the reliever for patients taking a different ICS-LABA maintenance treatment, since clinical evidence for safety and efficacy is lacking.

Rinsing the mouth is not generally needed after as-needed doses of ICS-formoterol, as this was not required in any of the MART studies, and there was no increase in risk of oral thrush.

Additional practice points can be found in an article describing how to use MART, including a customizable **written asthma action plan** for use with this regimen.⁸

Alternative Step 3 treatment for adults and adolescents: maintenance low-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA or plus as-needed combination ICS-SABA (Track 2)

Currently approved combination ICS-LABA inhalers for Step 3 maintenance treatment of asthma include low doses of fluticasone propionate-formoterol, fluticasone furoate-vilanterol, fluticasone propionate-salmeterol, beclometasone-formoterol, budesonide-formoterol, mometasone-formoterol, and mometasone-indacaterol (see Box 3-14, p.67).

Effectiveness of fluticasone furoate-vilanterol over usual care was demonstrated for asthma symptom control in a large real-world study, but there was no significant difference in risk of exacerbations.^{253,254}

Maintenance ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA: This is an alternative approach if MART is not possible, or if a patient's asthma is stable with good adherence and no exacerbations on their current therapy. For patients taking maintenance ICS, changing to maintenance combination ICS-LABA provides additional improvements in symptoms and lung function with a reduced risk of exacerbations compared with the same dose of ICS (Evidence A),^{255,256} but there is only a small reduction in reliever use.^{257,258} In these studies, the reliever was as-needed SABA. However, before prescribing a regimen with SABA reliever, consider whether the patient is likely to be adherent with their ICS-containing treatment, as otherwise they will be at higher risk of exacerbations.

Maintenance ICS-LABA plus as-needed combination ICS-SABA (≥18 years):

Population: In the double-blind MANDALA study,²⁵⁹ the population relevant to Step 3 recommendations comprised patients with poor asthma control and a history of severe exacerbations who were taking maintenance low-dose ICS-LABA or medium-dose ICS. In this study, patients were randomized to as-needed ICS-SABA or as-needed SABA, and continued to take their usual maintenance treatment.

Evidence: In the sub-population taking Step 3 maintenance treatment, as-needed use of 2 inhalations of budesonide-salbutamol (albuterol) 100/100 mcg metered dose (80/90 mcg delivered dose), taken for symptom relief, increased the time to first severe exacerbation by 41% compared with as-needed salbutamol (hazard ratio 0.59; CI 0.42–0.85). The proportion of patients with a clinically important difference in ACQ-5 was slightly higher with the budesonide-salbutamol reliever. A formulation with a lower ICS dose did not significantly reduce severe exacerbations.²⁵⁹

Other considerations: There are no head-to-head comparisons between this regimen and ICS-formoterol maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART), both of which include an anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR). However, as ICS-SABA is not recommended for regular use, and its use as the reliever in Steps 3–5 requires the patient to have different maintenance and reliever inhalers, this regimen is more complex for patients than GINA Track 1 with ICS-formoterol, in which the same medication is used for both maintenance and reliever doses. Transition between treatment steps with as-needed ICS-SABA may also be more complex than in GINA Track 1 as there is only one small study of as-needed-only ICS-SABA (beclometasone-salbutamol) as a Step 2 treatment.²¹⁵

Practice points: A maximum number of 6 as-needed doses (each 2 puffs of 100/100 mcg budesonide-salbutamol [80/90 mcg delivered dose]) can be taken in a day. It is essential to educate patients about the different purpose of their maintenance and reliever inhalers, and to train them in correct inhaler technique with both devices if they are different; this also applies to SABA relievers.

Other Step 3 controller options for adults and adolescents

For adult patients with allergic rhinitis and sensitized to house dust mite, with suboptimally controlled asthma despite low to high-dose ICS, consider adding sublingual allergen immunotherapy (SLIT), provided FEV₁ is >70% predicted.^{260,261} (see p.86).

Another option for adults and adolescents is to increase ICS to medium dose¹⁵⁰ (see Box 3-14, p.67) but, at population level, this is less effective than adding a LABA^{262,263} (Evidence A). Other less efficacious options are low-dose ICS-containing therapy plus either LTRA²⁶⁴ (Evidence A) or low-dose, sustained-release theophylline²⁶⁵ (Evidence B). Note the FDA warning for montelukast.²³⁶

Preferred Step 3 treatment for children 6–11 years

In children, after checking inhaler technique and adherence, and treating modifiable risk factors, there are three preferred options at a population level:

- Increase ICS to medium dose (see Box 3-14, p.67),²⁶⁶ plus as-needed SABA reliever (Evidence A), or
- Change to combination low-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA reliever (Evidence A),²⁶⁷ or
- Switch to maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART) with a very low dose of ICS-formoterol (Evidence B).²⁶⁸ For a summary of medications and doses, see Box 3-15 (p.80).

Evidence: In a large study of children aged 4–11 years with a history of an exacerbation in the previous year, combination ICS-LABA was non-inferior to the same dose of ICS alone for severe exacerbations, with no difference in symptom control or reliever use.²⁶⁹ In children, a single study of maintenance and reliever therapy with very low-dose budesonide-formoterol (100/6 metered dose, 80/4.5 mcg delivered dose for both maintenance and reliever) showed a large reduction in exacerbations, compared with the same dose of budesonide-formoterol plus SABA reliever, or compared with higher-dose ICS.²⁶⁸

Individual children's responses vary, so try the other controller options above before considering Step 4 treatment.²⁷⁰

Other Step 3 treatment options for children 6–11 years

In children, a 2014 systematic review and meta-analysis did not support the addition of LTRA to low-dose ICS.²⁶⁴ The FDA warning about montelukast (above) also applies to its use in children.²³⁶

STEP 4

Although at a population level most benefit from ICS is obtained at low dose, individual ICS responsiveness varies, and some patients whose asthma is uncontrolled on low-dose ICS-LABA despite good adherence and correct inhaler technique may benefit from increasing the maintenance dose to medium. High-dose ICS is no longer recommended at Step 4.

Before stepping up, check for common problems such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, and environmental exposures, and confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma (Box 2-4, p.45).

Preferred Step 4 treatment for adults and adolescents: medium-dose ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy (Track 1)

For adult and adolescent patients, combination ICS-formoterol as both maintenance and reliever treatment (MART) is more effective in reducing exacerbations than the same dose of maintenance ICS-LABA or higher doses of ICS²⁴⁹ (Evidence A). The greatest reduction in risk was seen in patients with a history of severe exacerbations,²¹³ but MART was also significantly more effective than conventional best practice with as-needed SABA in open-label studies in which patients were not selected for greater exacerbation risk.²²³

In Step 4, the MART regimen can be prescribed with medium-dose maintenance budesonide-formoterol or beclometasone-formoterol treatment, by increasing the twice-daily maintenance dose to 2 inhalations, but the reliever remains 1 inhalation of low-dose ICS-formoterol as needed. The usual dose of budesonide-formoterol for Step 4 MART is 200/6 mcg metered dose (160/4.5 mcg delivered dose) and the usual dose of beclometasone-formoterol is 100/6 metered dose (87.5/5 mcg), with each of these combinations prescribed as two inhalations twice-daily and one inhalation whenever needed for symptom relief. Based on product information, the maximum recommended total dose of formoterol in a single day is 72 mcg metered dose (54 mcg delivered dose) for budesonide-formoterol and 48 mcg metered dose (36 mcg delivered dose) for beclometasone-formoterol. For practice points, see information for GINA Step 3 and an article for clinicians.⁸ For a summary of medications and doses, see Box 3-15 (p.80).

Alternative Step 4 treatment for adults and adolescents: medium or high-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA or as-needed ICS-SABA (Track 2)

Maintenance medium- or high-dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA: This is an alternative approach if MART is not possible, or if a patient's asthma is stable with good adherence and no exacerbations on their current therapy. As above, individual ICS responsiveness varies, and some patients whose asthma is uncontrolled or who have frequent exacerbations on low-dose ICS-LABA despite good adherence and correct inhaler technique may benefit from maintenance medium-dose ICS-LABA²⁷¹ (Evidence B) plus as-needed SABA, if MART is not available. However, before prescribing a regimen with SABA reliever, consider whether the patient is likely to be adherent with their ICS-containing treatment, as otherwise they will be at higher risk of exacerbations. Occasionally, high-dose ICS-LABA may be needed.

Maintenance ICS-LABA plus as-needed combination ICS-SABA (≥18 years):

Population: In the double-blind MANDALA study,²⁵⁹ the population relevant to Step 4 recommendations comprised patients with poor asthma control and a history of severe exacerbations who were taking maintenance medium-dose ICS-LABA or high-dose ICS.

Evidence: In the sub-population of patients who were taking maintenance medium-dose ICS-LABA or high-dose ICS (Step 4 treatment), there was no significant increase in time to first severe exacerbation with as-needed budesonide-salbutamol (albuterol) 2 inhalations of 100/200 mcg metered dose (80/90 mcg delivered dose), compared with as-needed salbutamol (hazard ratio 0.81; CI 0.61–1.07). More studies in this population are needed.

Other considerations: There are no head-to-head comparisons between this regimen and ICS-formoterol MART, both of which include an anti-inflammatory reliever. However, as ICS-SABA is not recommended for regular use, and its use as the reliever in Steps 3–5 requires the patient to have different maintenance and reliever inhalers, this regimen is more complex for patients than GINA Track 1 with ICS-formoterol in which the same medication is used for both maintenance and reliever doses.

Practice points: A maximum number of 6 as-needed doses (each 2 puffs of 100/100 mcg budesonide-salbutamol [80/90 mcg delivered dose]) can be taken in a day. It is essential to educate patients about the different purpose of their maintenance and reliever inhalers, and to train them in correct inhaler technique with both devices if they are different; this also applies to SABA relievers.

Other Step 4 controller options for adults and adolescents

Long-acting muscarinic antagonists: LAMAs may be considered as add-on therapy in a separate inhaler for patients aged ≥6 years (tiotropium), or in a combination ('triple') inhaler for patients aged ≥18 years (beclometasone-formoterol-glycopyrronium; fluticasone furoate-vilanterol-umeclidinium; mometasone-indacaterol-glycopyrronium) if asthma is persistently uncontrolled despite medium or high-dose ICS-LABA. Adding a LAMA to medium or high-dose ICS-LABA modestly improved lung function^{205,272-275,252} (Evidence A) but with no difference in symptoms. In some studies, adding LAMA to ICS-LABA modestly reduced exacerbations, compared with some medium- or high-dose ICS-LABA comparators.^{205,272,273,276} In a meta-analysis, there was a 17% reduction in risk of severe exacerbations with addition of LAMA to medium- or high-dose ICS-LABA.²⁹⁰

However, for patients experiencing exacerbations despite low-dose ICS-LABA, the ICS dose should be increased to at least medium, or treatment switched to maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol, before considering adding a LAMA. In one study, the severe exacerbation rate was lower in patients receiving high-dose fluticasone furoate-vilanterol (ICS-LABA) than with low- to medium-dose fluticasone furoate-vilanterol-umeclidinium (ICS-LABA-LAMA).²⁷⁴ For patients prescribed an ICS-LABA-LAMA with a non-formoterol LABA, the appropriate reliever is SABA.

In Step 4, there is insufficient evidence to support ICS-LAMA over low- or medium-dose ICS-LABA combination; all studies were with ICS and tiotropium in separate inhalers.²⁷² In one analysis, response to adding LAMA to medium-dose ICS, as assessed by FEV₁, ACQ, and exacerbations, was not modified by baseline demographics, body-mass index, FEV₁, FEV₁ reversibility, or smoking status (past smoking versus never).²⁷⁷

Allergen immunotherapy: Consider adding sublingual allergen immunotherapy (SLIT) for adult patients with allergic rhinitis and sensitization to house dust mite, with suboptimally controlled asthma despite low- to high-dose ICS, but only if FEV₁ is >70% predicted.^{260,261} (see p.86).

Other options: For medium- or high-dose budesonide, efficacy may be improved with dosing four times daily^{278,279} (Evidence B), but adherence may be an issue. For other ICS, twice-daily dosing is appropriate (Evidence D). Other options for adults or adolescents that can be added to a medium or high-dose ICS, but that are less efficacious than adding LABA, include LTRA²⁸⁰⁻²⁸⁴ (Evidence A), or low-dose sustained-release theophylline²³⁹ (Evidence B), but neither of these has been compared with maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol. Note the FDA warning for montelukast.²³⁶

Preferred Step 4 treatment for children 6–11 years

For children whose asthma is not adequately controlled by low-dose maintenance ICS-LABA with as-needed SABA, treatment may be increased to medium-dose ICS-LABA²⁶⁹ (Evidence B). For maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART) with budesonide-formoterol, the maintenance dose may be increased to 100/6 mcg twice daily (metered dose; 80/4.5 mcg delivered dose) (Evidence D); this is still a low-dose regimen. For a summary of medications and doses, see Box 3-15 (p.80).

If asthma is not well controlled on medium-dose ICS (Box 3-14B, p.67), refer the child for expert assessment and advice.

Other Step 4 options for children 6–11 years

Other controller options include increasing to high pediatric dose ICS-LABA (Box 3-14B, p.67), but adverse effects must be considered. Tiotropium (a long-acting muscarinic antagonist) by mist inhaler may be used as add-on therapy in children aged 6 years and older; it modestly improves lung function and reduces exacerbations (Evidence A),²⁸⁵ largely independent of baseline IgE or blood eosinophils.²⁸⁶ If not trialed before, LTRA could be added (but note the FDA warning about montelukast).²³⁶ Add-on theophylline is not recommended for use in children due to lack of efficacy and safety data.

STEP 5

Preferred treatment at Step 5 in adults, adolescents and children (Track 1 and Track 2): refer for expert assessment, phenotyping, and add-on therapy

Patients of any age with persistent symptoms or exacerbations despite correct inhaler technique and good adherence with Step 4 treatment and in whom other controller options have been considered, should be referred to a specialist with expertise in investigation and management of severe asthma, if available¹⁵⁹ (Evidence D).

In severe asthma, as in mild–moderate asthma,²⁸⁷ participants in randomized controlled trials may not be representative of patients seen in clinical practice. For example, a registry study found that over 80% of patients with severe asthma would have been excluded from major regulatory studies evaluating biologic therapy.²⁸⁸

Recommendations from the **GINA Guide and decision tree** on Diagnosis and Management of difficult-to-treat and severe asthma in adolescent and adult patients are included in Chapter 3.5 (p.120). These recommendations emphasize the importance of first optimizing existing therapy and treating modifiable risk factors and comorbidities (see Box 3-26, p.123). If the patient still has uncontrolled symptoms and/or exacerbations, additional treatment options that may be considered may include the following (**always check local eligibility and payer criteria**):

- **Combination high-dose ICS-LABA** may be considered in adults and adolescents, but for most patients, the increase in ICS dose generally provides little additional benefit^{144,150,263} (Evidence A), and there is an increased risk of side-effects, including adrenal suppression.²⁸⁹ A high dose is recommended only on a trial basis for 3–6 months when good asthma control cannot be achieved with medium-dose ICS plus LABA and/or a third controller (e.g. LTRA or sustained-release theophylline^{239,283} Evidence B).
- **Add-on long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA)** can be prescribed in a separate inhaler for patients aged ≥6 years (tiotropium), or in a combination ('triple') inhaler for patients aged ≥18 years (beclometasone-formoterol-glycopyrronium; fluticasone furoate-vilanterol-umeclidinium; mometasone-indacaterol-glycopyrronium) if asthma is not well controlled with medium or high-dose ICS-LABA. Adding LAMA to ICS-LABA modestly improves lung function (Evidence A),^{205,272-275,277,285,290} but not quality of life, with no clinically important change in symptoms.²⁹⁰ Some studies showed a reduction in exacerbation risk; in meta-analysis, overall, there was a 17% reduction in risk of severe exacerbations requiring oral corticosteroids (Evidence A).^{205,272,273,276,285,290} For patients with exacerbations despite ICS-LABA, it is essential that sufficient ICS is given, i.e., at least medium-dose ICS-LABA,

before considering adding a LAMA. For patients prescribed an ICS-LABA-LAMA with a non-formoterol LABA, the appropriate reliever is SABA; patients prescribed ICS-formoterol-LAMA can continue ICS-formoterol reliever.

- **Add-on azithromycin** (three times a week) can be considered after specialist referral for adult patients with persistent symptomatic asthma despite high-dose ICS-LABA. Before considering add-on azithromycin, sputum should be checked for atypical mycobacteria, ECG should be checked for long QTc (and re-checked after a month on treatment), and the risk of increasing antimicrobial resistance should be considered.²⁹¹ Diarrhea is more common with azithromycin 500 mg 3 times a week.²⁹² Treatment for at least 6 months is suggested, as a clear benefit was not seen by 3 months in the clinical trials.^{292,505} The evidence for this recommendation includes a meta-analysis of two clinical trials^{292,505} in adults with persistent asthma symptoms that found reduced asthma exacerbations among those taking medium or high-dose ICS-LABA who had either an eosinophilic or non-eosinophilic profile and in those taking high-dose ICS-LABA (Evidence B).²⁹³ The option of add-on azithromycin for adults is recommended only after specialist consultation because of the potential for development of antibiotic resistance at the patient or population level.²⁹²
- **Add-on biologic therapy:** options recommended by GINA for patients with uncontrolled severe asthma despite optimized maximal therapy (see chapter 3.5 for more details) include:
 - **Add-on anti-immunoglobulin E** (anti-IgE) treatment (omalizumab) for patients aged ≥ 6 years with severe allergic asthma (Evidence A)²⁹⁴
 - **Add-on anti-interleukin-5/5R** treatment (subcutaneous mepolizumab for patients aged ≥ 6 years; intravenous reslizumab for ages ≥ 18 years or subcutaneous benralizumab for ages ≥ 12 years), with severe eosinophilic asthma (Evidence A).²⁹⁴⁻²⁹⁹
 - **Add-on anti-interleukin-4R α** treatment (subcutaneous dupilumab) for patients aged ≥ 6 years with severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma,³⁰⁰ or for adults or adolescents requiring treatment with maintenance OCS (Evidence A)³⁰¹⁻³⁰³
 - **Add-on anti-thymic stromal lymphopoietin** (anti-TSLP) treatment (subcutaneous tezepelumab) for patients aged ≥ 12 years with severe asthma (Evidence A).³⁰⁴
- **Sputum-guided treatment:** for adults with persisting symptoms and/or exacerbations despite high-dose ICS or ICS-LABA, treatment may be adjusted based on eosinophilia ($>3\%$) in induced sputum. In severe asthma, this strategy leads to reduced exacerbations and/or lower doses of ICS (Evidence A),³⁰⁵ but few clinicians currently have access to routine sputum testing.
- **Add-on treatment with bronchial thermoplasty** may be considered for some adult patients with severe asthma^{159,306} (Evidence B). Evidence is limited and in selected patients (see p.87). The long-term effects compared with control patients, including for lung function, are not known.
- **As a last resort, add-on low-dose oral corticosteroids** (≤ 7.5 mg/day prednisone equivalent) may be considered for some adults with severe asthma (Evidence D),¹⁵⁹ but they are often associated with substantial side effects (Evidence A).³⁰⁷⁻³¹⁰ They should only be considered for adults with poor symptom control and/or frequent exacerbations despite good inhaler technique and adherence with Step 5 treatment, and after exclusion of other contributory factors and other add-on treatments including biologics where available and affordable. Patients should be counseled about potential side-effects.^{308,310} They should be assessed and monitored for risk of adrenal suppression and corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis, and those expected to be treated for ≥ 3 months should be provided with relevant lifestyle counseling and prescription of therapy for prevention of osteoporosis and fragility fractures (where appropriate).³¹¹
- **Maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) with ICS-formoterol:** there is no evidence about initiating MART in patients receiving add-on biologic therapy, but for a patient already taking MART, there is no reason to discontinue this if biologic therapy is added. Studies are needed. For patients receiving triple therapy (ICS-LABA-LAMA) with a non-formoterol LABA, the appropriate reliever is SABA or ICS-SABA.

Box 3-15. Medications and doses for GINA Track 1: anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR)-based therapy

- **In GINA Track 1, the reliever is low dose ICS-formoterol**, with or without maintenance ICS-formoterol. This is the preferred treatment approach for adults and adolescents with asthma, because it reduces severe exacerbations across treatment steps compared with using a SABA reliever; it uses a single medication for both reliever and maintenance treatment (less confusing for patients); and a patient’s treatment can be stepped up and down if needed without changing the medication or inhaler device. This cannot be done with any other ICS-LABA.
- Low dose ICS-formoterol is called an **anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR)** because it relieves symptoms and reduces inflammation. AIR with ICS-formoterol significantly reduces the risk of severe exacerbations across treatment steps compared with using a SABA reliever, with similar symptom control, lung function and adverse effects
- **Steps 1–2 (AIR-only)**: low dose ICS-formoterol is used as needed for symptom relief without any maintenance treatment. It reduces the risk of severe exacerbations and ED visits/hospitalizations by 65% compared with SABA alone, and reduces ED visits/hospitalizations by 37% compared with daily ICS plus as-needed SABA.¹⁶⁷ Starting treatment with as-needed ICS-formoterol avoids training patients to regard SABA as their main asthma treatment.
- **Steps 3–5 (MART)**: maintenance-and-reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol reduces the risk of severe exacerbations by 32% compared with the same dose of ICS-LABA,²¹³ by 23% compared with a higher dose of ICS-LABA,²¹³ and by 17% compared with usual care.²⁴⁵ MART is also an option for children 6–11 years in Steps 3–4.
- **Asthma action plan**: Simple action plans for AIR-only and MART are available online.^{8,312}

Which medications can be used in GINA Track 1, and how often?

- Most evidence for MART, and all evidence for AIR-only, is with budesonide (BUD)-formoterol DPI, usually 200/6 mcg metered dose (160/4.5 mcg delivered dose) for adults/adolescents, and 100/6 mcg (80/4.5) for MART in children 6–11 years. Beclometasone (BDP)-formoterol 100/6 mcg (84.6/5.0) is approved for MART in adults. Other low-dose combination ICS-formoterol products may be suitable but have not been studied.
- For as-needed use, patients should take 1 inhalation of ICS-formoterol whenever needed for symptom relief, or before exercise or allergen exposure, instead of a SABA reliever. See doses below.
- Advise patients to take extra inhalations when symptoms persist or recur, but to seek medical care if they need more than the following **total** inhalations in a single day (as-needed + maintenance): BUD-formoterol adolescents and adults 12* inhalations, children 8* inhalations; BDP-formoterol 8 inhalations. Most patients need far less than this.

Step	Age (years)	Medication and device (check patient can use inhaler)	Metered dose (mcg)	Delivered dose (mcg)	Dosage
Steps 1–2 (AIR-only)	6–11	(No evidence)	-	-	-
	12–17 ≥18	Budesonide-formoterol DPI	200/6	160/4.5	1 inhalation whenever needed
Step 3 MART	6–11	Budesonide-formoterol DPI	100/6	80/4.5	1 inhalation once daily, PLUS 1 inhalation whenever needed
	12–17 ≥18	Budesonide-formoterol DPI	200/6	160/4.5	1 inhalation once or twice daily, PLUS 1 inhalation whenever needed
	≥18	Beclometasone-formoterol pMDI	100/6	84.5/5.0	
Step 4 MART	6–11	Budesonide-formoterol DPI	100/6	80/4.5	1 inhalation twice daily, PLUS 1 inhalation whenever needed
	12–17 ≥18	Budesonide-formoterol DPI	200/6	160/4.5	2 inhalations twice daily, PLUS 1 inhalation whenever needed
	≥18	Beclometasone-formoterol pMDI	100/6	84.5/5.0	
Step 5 MART	6–11	(No evidence)	-	-	-
	12–17; ≥18	Budesonide-formoterol DPI	200/6	160/4.5	2 inhalations twice daily, PLUS 1 inhalation whenever needed
	≥18	Beclometasone-formoterol pMDI	100/6	84.5/5.0	

*For budesonide-formoterol pMDIs with 3 mcg (2.25 mcg) formoterol, use double the number of inhalations. For abbreviations, see p.10

REVIEWING RESPONSE AND ADJUSTING TREATMENT

How often should asthma be reviewed?

Patients with asthma should be reviewed regularly to monitor their symptom control, risk factors and occurrence of exacerbations, as well as to document the response to any treatment changes. For most controller medications, improvement in symptoms and lung function begins within days of initiating treatment, but the full benefit may only be reached after 3–4 months.³¹³ In severe and chronically under-treated disease, it may take longer.³¹⁴

All health care providers should be encouraged to assess asthma control, adherence and inhaler technique at every visit, not just when the patient presents because of their asthma.³¹⁵ The frequency of visits depends upon the patient's initial level of control, their response to treatment, and their level of engagement in self-management.

Ideally, patients should be seen 1–3 months after starting treatment and every 3–12 months thereafter. After an exacerbation, a review visit within 1 week should be scheduled (Evidence D).³¹⁶

Stepping up asthma treatment

Asthma is a variable condition, and adjustments of controller treatment by the clinician and/or the patient may be needed.³¹⁷

- **Day-to-day adjustment using an anti-inflammatory reliever (AIR):** For patients whose reliever inhaler is combination budesonide-formoterol or beclometasone-formoterol (with or without maintenance ICS-formoterol), the patient adjusts the number of as-needed doses of ICS-formoterol from day to day according to their symptoms. This strategy reduces the risk of developing a severe exacerbation requiring oral corticosteroids within the next 3–4 weeks.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹ As-needed combination budesonide-salbutamol is an anti-inflammatory reliever option that has been studied in Steps 3–5.²⁵⁹
- **Short-term step up (for 1–2 weeks):** A short-term increase in maintenance ICS dose for 1–2 weeks may be necessary; for example, during viral infections or seasonal allergen exposure. This may be initiated by the patient according to their written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p.61), or by the health care provider.
- **Sustained step up (for at least 2–3 months):** Although at a group level most benefit from ICS is obtained at low dose, individual ICS responsiveness varies, and some patients whose asthma is uncontrolled on low-dose ICS-LABA despite good adherence and correct technique may benefit from increasing the maintenance dose to medium. A step up in treatment may be recommended (Box 3-12, p.65) if it has been confirmed that the symptoms are due to asthma, inhaler technique and adherence are satisfactory, and modifiable risk factors such as smoking have been addressed (Box 3-17, p.38). Any step-up should be regarded as a therapeutic trial. If there is no response after 2–3 months, treatment should be reduced to the previous level, and alternative treatments or referral considered.

Stepping down treatment when asthma is well controlled

Once good asthma control has been achieved and maintained for 2–3 months and lung function has reached a plateau, treatment can often be successfully reduced, without loss of asthma control. The aims of stepping down are:

- To find the patient's minimum effective treatment, i.e., to maintain good control of symptoms and exacerbations, and to minimize the costs of treatment and potential for side-effects
- To encourage the patient to continue maintenance treatment. Patients often experiment with intermittent treatment through concern about the risks or costs of daily treatment,³¹⁸ but this leaves them exposed to the risks of SABA-only treatment. For patients whose asthma is well controlled on maintenance low-dose ICS with as-needed SABA, an alternative is to cease maintenance ICS and switch to as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol.^{202,203}

Before stepping down

The approach to stepping down will differ from patient to patient depending on their current treatment, risk factors and preferences. There are few data on the optimal timing, sequence and magnitude of treatment reductions in asthma. Factors associated with a greater risk of exacerbation after step-down include a history of exacerbations and/or emergency department visit for asthma in the previous 12 months,^{319,320} and a low baseline FEV₁.³²⁰ Other predictors of loss of control during dose reduction include airway hyperresponsiveness and sputum eosinophilia,³²¹ but these tests are not readily available in primary care.

Any treatment step-down should be considered as a therapeutic trial, with the response evaluated in terms of both symptom control and exacerbation frequency. Prior to stepping down, the patient should be provided with a written asthma action plan and instructions for how and when to resume their previous treatment if their symptoms worsen.

How to step asthma treatment down

Decisions about treatment step-down should be made on an individual patient level. In one study of patients with well-controlled asthma on medium-dose ICS-LABA, reducing the ICS dose and removing the LABA had similar effects on a composite treatment failure outcome. However, stopping LABA was associated with lower lung function and more hospitalizations; and decreasing the ICS dose was inferior to maintaining a stable dose of ICS-LABA.³²²

If treatment is stepped down too far or too quickly, exacerbation risk may increase even if symptoms remain reasonably controlled³²³ (Evidence B). To date, higher baseline FeNO has not been found to be predictive of exacerbation following step-down of ICS dose.^{324, 325} A meta-analysis suggested that greater reduction in ICS dose may be able to be achieved in patients with baseline FeNO <50 ppb, but the findings point to the need for further research.³²⁵ Complete cessation of ICS is associated with a significantly increased risk of exacerbations³²⁶ (Evidence A).

Stepping down from daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA to as-needed-only ICS-formoterol provides similar or greater protection from severe exacerbations and need for urgent health care, with similar symptom control and lung function and a much lower average daily ICS dose, compared with treatment with daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA.¹⁶⁷ Step-down strategies for different controller treatments are summarized in Box 3-16, p.83; these are based on current evidence, but more research is needed. Few step-down studies have been performed in children.

Box 3-16. Options for stepping down treatment in adults and adolescents once asthma is well controlled

General principles of stepping down asthma treatment

- Consider stepping down when asthma symptoms have been well controlled and lung function has been stable for 3 or more months (Evidence D). If the patient has risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2, p.38), for example a history of exacerbations in the past year,³¹⁹ or persistent airflow limitation, step down only with close supervision.
- Choose an appropriate time (no respiratory infection, patient not travelling, not pregnant).
- Approach each step as a therapeutic trial: engage the patient in the process, document their asthma status (symptom control, lung function and risk factors, Box 2-2, p.38), provide clear instructions, provide a written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p.146) and ensure the patient has sufficient medication to resume their previous dose if necessary, monitor symptoms and/or PEF, and schedule a follow-up visit (Evidence D).
- Stepping down ICS doses by 25–50% at 3 month intervals is feasible and safe for most patients (Evidence A).³²⁷

Current step	Current medication and dose	Options for stepping down	Evidence
Step 5	High-dose ICS-LABA plus oral corticosteroids (OCS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimize inhaled therapy and reduce OCS dose • Use sputum-guided approach to reducing OCS • Alternate-day OCS treatment • Replace OCS with high-dose ICS • If T2 high severe asthma, add biologic therapy and reduce OCS 	D B D D A
	High-dose ICS-LABA plus other add-on agents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer for expert advice 	D
Step 4	Moderate- to high-dose ICS-LABA maintenance treatment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue combination ICS-LABA with 50% reduction in ICS component, by using available formulations • Discontinuing LABA may lead to deterioration³²⁸ • Switch to maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) with ICS-formoterol, with lower maintenance dose²⁵¹ 	B A A
	Medium-dose ICS-formoterol* as maintenance and reliever	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce maintenance ICS-formoterol* to low dose, and continue as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol* reliever 	D
	High-dose ICS plus second controller	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce ICS dose by 50% and continue second controller³²⁷ 	B
Step 3	Low-dose ICS-LABA maintenance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce ICS-LABA to once daily • Discontinuing LABA may lead to deterioration³²⁸ 	D A
	Low-dose ICS-formoterol* as maintenance and reliever	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce maintenance ICS-formoterol* dose to once daily and continue as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol* reliever • Consider stepping down to as-needed-only low-dose ICS-formoterol 	C D
	Medium- or high-dose ICS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce ICS dose by 50%³²⁷ • Adding LTRA† or LABA may allow ICS dose to be stepped down³²⁹ 	A B
Step 2	Low-dose maintenance ICS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once-daily dosing (budesonide, ciclesonide, mometasone)^{330,331} • Switch to as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol^{172,202,203,207} • Switch to taking ICS whenever SABA is taken^{215,216,218 217} 	A A B
	Low-dose maintenance ICS or LTRA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Switch to as-needed low-dose ICS formoterol^{171,172,202,203,207} • Complete cessation of ICS in adults and adolescents is not advised as the risk of exacerbations is increased with SABA-only treatment^{207,326} 	A A

See list of abbreviations (p.10). *MART: low-dose budesonide-formoterol or beclometasone-formoterol (p.80). †Note FDA warning about montelukast.²³⁶

OTHER STRATEGIES FOR ADJUSTING ASTHMA TREATMENT

Some alternative strategies for adjusting asthma maintenance ICS-containing treatment have been evaluated:

- *Treatment guided by sputum eosinophil count:* in adults, this approach, when compared with guidelines-based treatment, leads to a reduced risk of exacerbations and similar levels of symptom control and lung function in patients with frequent exacerbations and moderate-severe asthma.³⁰⁵ However, only a limited number of centers have routine access to induced sputum analysis. There are insufficient data available in children to assess this approach.³⁰⁵ Sputum-guided treatment is recommended for adult patients with moderate or severe asthma who are managed in (or can be referred to) centers experienced in this technique^{159,305} (Evidence A).
- *Treatment guided by fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO):* In several studies of FeNO-guided treatment, problems with the design of the intervention and/or control algorithms make comparisons and conclusions difficult.³³² Results of FeNO measurement at a single point in time should be interpreted with caution (see p.28).^{44,199} In a 2016 meta-analysis, FeNO-guided treatment in children and young adults with asthma was associated with a significant reduction in the number of patients with ≥ 1 exacerbation (OR 0.67; 95% CI 0.51–0.90) and in exacerbation rate (mean difference -0.27 ; 95% -0.49 to -0.06 per year) compared with guidelines-based treatment³³³ (Evidence A); similar differences were seen in comparisons between FeNO-guided treatment and non-guidelines-based algorithms.³³³ However, a subsequent good-quality multicenter clinical trial in children with asthma in secondary and primary care centers found that the addition of FeNO to symptom-guided treatment did not reduce severe exacerbations over 12 months.³³⁴ In non-smoking adults with asthma, no significant reduction in risk of exacerbations and in exacerbation rates was observed with FeNO-guided treatment, compared with a treatment strategy similar to that in most guidelines; a difference was seen only in studies with other (non-typical) comparator approaches to adjustment of treatment.³³⁵ In adults and in children, no significant differences were seen in symptoms or ICS dose with FeNO-guided treatment compared with other strategies.^{333,335}

Further studies are needed to identify the populations most likely to benefit from sputum-guided³⁰⁵ or FeNO-guided adjustment of maintenance ICS-containing treatment,^{333,335} and the optimal frequency of monitoring.

There is a need for further evidence-based corticosteroid de-escalation strategies in patients with asthma. In a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of patients taking high-dose ICS-LABA, a strategy based on a composite of Type 2 biomarkers only versus an algorithm based on ACQ-7 and history of recent exacerbation was inconclusive because a substantial proportion of patients did not follow recommendations for treatment change.³³⁶ Until more definitive evidence for a specific strategy is available, GINA continues to recommend a clinical evaluation that includes patient-reported symptoms as well as modifiable risk factors, comorbidities and patient preferences when making treatment decisions. Further evidence on the role of biomarkers in such decisions in Steps 1–4 is needed.

The assessment of patients with severe asthma includes identification of the inflammatory phenotype, based on blood or sputum eosinophils or FeNO, to assess the patient's eligibility for various add-on treatments including biologic therapy. A higher baseline blood eosinophil count and/or FeNO predicts a good asthma response to some biologic therapies (see Box 3-27 (p.124) and Box 3-28 (p.125)).

TREATING OTHER MODIFIABLE RISK FACTORS

Some patients continue to experience exacerbations even with maximal doses of current treatment. Having even one exacerbation increases the risk that a patient will have another within the next 12 months.¹²² There is increasing research interest in identifying at-risk patients (Box 2-2B, p.38), and in investigating new strategies to further reduce exacerbation risk.

In clinical practice, exacerbation risk can be reduced both by optimizing asthma medications, and by identifying and treating modifiable risk factors (Box 3-17). Not all risk factors require or respond to a step up in controller treatment.

Box 3-17. Treating potentially modifiable risk factors to reduce exacerbations

Risk factor	Treatment strategy	Evidence
Any patient with ≥ 1 risk factor for exacerbations (including poor symptom control)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure patient is prescribed an ICS-containing treatment. Switch to a regimen with an anti-inflammatory reliever (ICS-formoterol or ICS-SABA) if available, as this reduces the risk of severe exacerbations compared with if the reliever is SABA. Ensure patient has a written action plan appropriate for their health literacy. Review patient more frequently than low-risk patients. Check inhaler technique and adherence frequently; correct as needed. Identify any modifiable risk factors (Box 2-2, p.38). 	A
		A
		A
		A
		A
		D
		D
≥ 1 severe exacerbation in last year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Switch to a regimen with an anti-inflammatory reliever (as-needed ICS-formoterol or ICS-SABA) if available, as this reduces the risk of severe exacerbations compared with if the reliever is SABA. Consider stepping up treatment if no modifiable risk factors. Identify any avoidable triggers for exacerbations. 	A
		A
		C
Exposure to tobacco smoke or e-cigarettes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage smoking cessation by patient/family; provide advice and resources. Consider higher dose of ICS if asthma poorly controlled. 	A
		B
Low FEV ₁ , especially if <60% predicted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider trial of 3 months' treatment with high-dose ICS. Consider 2 weeks' OCS, but take short- and long-term risks into account Exclude other lung disease, e.g., COPD. Refer for expert advice if no improvement. 	B
		B
		D
		D
Obesity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategies for weight reduction Distinguish asthma symptoms from symptoms due to deconditioning, mechanical restriction, and/or sleep apnea. 	B
		D
Major psychological problems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arrange mental health assessment. Help patient to distinguish between symptoms of anxiety and asthma; provide advice about management of panic attacks. 	D
		D
Major socioeconomic problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify most cost-effective ICS-based regimen. 	D
Confirmed food allergy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate food avoidance; anaphylaxis action plan; injectable epinephrine; refer for expert advice. 	A
Occupational or domestic exposure to irritants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove from exposure as soon as possible. Refer for expert advice. 	A
		D
Allergen exposure if sensitized	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider trial of simple avoidance strategies; consider cost. Consider step up of asthma treatment. Consider adding SLIT in symptomatic adult HDM-sensitive patients with allergic rhinitis despite ICS, provided FEV₁ is >70% predicted. 	C
		D
		B
Sputum eosinophilia despite medium/high ICS (few centers)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase ICS dose, independent of level of symptom control. 	A*

See list of abbreviations (p.10). * Based on evidence from relatively small studies in selected populations. Also see Box 3-18 and p.88 for non-pharmacological interventions.

Reduce the potential for local and/or systemic side-effects of medications by ensuring correct inhaler technique (Box 3-22, p.100), by reminding patients to rinse and spit out after using ICS, and, after good asthma control has been maintained for 3 months, by finding each patient's minimum effective dose (the lowest dose that will maintain good symptom control and minimize exacerbations, Box 3-16, p.83). Check for drug interactions particularly with cytochrome P450 inhibitors (see p.40).

OTHER THERAPIES

Allergen immunotherapy

Allergen-specific immunotherapy may be a treatment option where allergy plays a prominent role, including asthma with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis.^{337,338} There are currently two approaches: subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) and sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT). Few studies in asthma have compared immunotherapy with pharmacological therapy, or used standardized outcomes such as exacerbations, and most studies have been in patients with mild asthma. The allergens most commonly included in allergen immunotherapy studies have been house dust mite and grass pollens. There is insufficient evidence about safety and efficacy of allergen immunotherapy in patients sensitized to mold.³³⁹

GINA is currently reviewing evidence about allergen immunotherapy for asthma, and will update its advice based on the findings.

Subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT)

SCIT involves the identification and use of clinically relevant allergens, and administration of extracts in progressively higher doses to induce desensitization and/or tolerance. European physicians tend to favor single allergen immunotherapy whereas Northern American physicians often prescribe multiple allergens for treatment.³⁴⁰ In people with asthma and allergic sensitization, SCIT is associated with a reduction in symptom scores and medication requirements, and improved allergen-specific and non-specific airway hyperresponsiveness.³⁴⁰

For SCIT, analysis of pooled safety data from clinical trials and post-marketing surveillance in house dust mite allergic respiratory disease suggests the incidence of adverse drug reactions is approximately 0.5%.³⁴¹ Studies to date suggest that serious adverse effects of SCIT are uncommon, but may include life-threatening anaphylactic reactions.

Advice

- Compared with pharmacological and avoidance options, potential benefits of SCIT must be weighed against the risk of adverse effects and the inconvenience and cost of the prolonged course of therapy, including the minimum half-hour wait required after each injection (Evidence D).

Sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT)

Modest effects were identified in a systematic review of SLIT for asthma in adults and children,^{338,342,343} but there was concern about the design of many of the studies.³⁴⁴ The evidence for important outcomes such as exacerbations and quality of life remains limited.³⁴⁴ There are few studies comparing SLIT with pharmacological therapy for asthma.³⁴⁵ A trial of SLIT for house dust mites (HDM) in patients with asthma and HDM allergic rhinitis demonstrated a modest reduction of ICS with high-dose SLIT.²⁶¹ In another study in patients with asthma and HDM allergic rhinitis, SLIT added to low or medium-dose ICS showed increased time to exacerbation during ICS reduction in suboptimally controlled asthma.²⁶⁰

Side effects³⁴⁶⁻³⁴⁸ from SLIT for inhalant allergens are predominantly limited to oral and gastrointestinal symptoms.³³⁸

Advice

- For adult patients with allergic rhinitis and sensitized to house dust mite, with persisting asthma symptoms despite low- to medium-dose ICS-containing therapy, consider adding SLIT, but only if FEV₁ is >70% predicted (Evidence B)
- As for any treatment, potential benefits of SLIT for individual patients should be weighed against the risk of adverse effects, and the cost to the patient and health system.

Vaccinations

Influenza causes significant morbidity and mortality in the general population, and contributes to some acute asthma exacerbations. In 2020, many countries saw a reduction in influenza-related illness, likely due to the handwashing, masks and social/physical distancing introduced because of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The risk of influenza infection itself can be reduced by annual vaccination. A 2013 systematic review of placebo-controlled randomized controlled trials of influenza vaccination showed no reduction in asthma exacerbations,³⁴⁹ but no such studies had been performed since 2001. However, a later systematic review and meta-analysis that included observational studies with a wide range of study designs suggested that influenza vaccination reduced the risk of asthma exacerbations, although for most of the studies, bias could not be excluded.³⁵⁰ There is no evidence for an increase in asthma exacerbations after influenza vaccination compared to placebo.³⁵⁰ A systematic review of studies in individuals aged 2–49 years with mild–moderate asthma found no significant safety concerns or increased risk for asthma-related outcomes after influenza vaccination with live attenuated virus.³⁵¹

Other vaccines: People with asthma, particularly children and the elderly, are at higher risk of pneumococcal disease.³⁵² There is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal vaccination in all adults with asthma.³⁵³ For information about COVID-19 vaccines, see p.111.

Advice

- Advise patients with moderate to severe asthma to receive an influenza vaccination every year, or at least when vaccination of the general population is advised (Evidence C). Follow local immunization schedules.
- There is insufficient evidence to recommend routine pneumococcal or pertussis vaccination in adults with asthma. Follow local immunization schedules.
- Advice about COVID-19 vaccination is on p.111.
- COVID-19 vaccination and influenza vaccination may be given on the same day.

Bronchial thermoplasty

Bronchial thermoplasty is a potential treatment option at Step 5 in some countries for adult patients whose asthma remains uncontrolled despite optimized therapeutic regimens and referral to an asthma specialty center (Evidence B). Bronchial thermoplasty involves treatment of the airways during three separate bronchoscopies with a localized radiofrequency pulse.¹³⁴ The treatment is associated with a large placebo effect.¹³⁴ In patients taking high-dose ICS-LABA, bronchial thermoplasty was associated with an increase in asthma exacerbations during the 3 month treatment period, and a subsequent decrease in exacerbations, but no beneficial effect on lung function or asthma symptoms compared with sham-controlled patients.¹³⁴ Extended follow-up of some treated patients reported a sustained reduction in exacerbations compared with pre-treatment.³⁵⁴ However, longer-term follow up of larger cohorts comparing effectiveness and safety, including for lung function, in both active and sham-treated patients is needed.

Advice

- For adult patients whose asthma remains uncontrolled despite optimization of asthma therapy and referral to a severe asthma specialty center, and who do not have access to biologic therapy or are not eligible for it, bronchial thermoplasty is a potential treatment option at Step 5 in some countries (Evidence B).
- Caution should be used in selecting patients for this procedure. The number of studies is small, people with chronic sinus disease, frequent chest infections or FEV₁ <60% predicted were excluded from the pivotal sham-controlled study, and patients did not have their asthma treatment optimized before bronchial thermoplasty was performed.
- Bronchial thermoplasty should be performed in adults with severe asthma only in the context of an independent Institutional Review Board-approved systematic registry or a clinical study, so that further evidence about effectiveness and safety of the procedure can be accumulated.¹⁵⁹

Vitamin D

Several cross-sectional studies have shown that low serum levels of Vitamin D are linked to impaired lung function, higher exacerbation frequency and reduced corticosteroid response.³⁵⁵ Vitamin D supplementation may reduce the rate of asthma exacerbation requiring treatment with systemic corticosteroids or may improve symptom control in asthma patients with baseline 25(OH)D of less than approximately 25–30 nmol/L.^{356,357} In a meta-analysis, benefit for worsening asthma was seen in some studies, but to date, there is no good-quality evidence that Vitamin D supplementation leads to improvement in asthma control or reduction in exacerbations.³⁵⁸⁻³⁶⁰ More studies are needed.

NON-PHARMACOLOGICAL STRATEGIES

In addition to pharmacological treatments, other strategies may be considered where relevant, to assist in improving symptom control and/or reducing future risk. The advice and evidence level are summarized in Box 3-18, with brief text on the following pages.

Box 3-18. Non-pharmacological interventions – summary

Intervention	Advice/recommendation (continued on next page)	Evidence
Cessation of smoking, environmental tobacco exposure (ETS) and vaping	• At every visit, strongly encourage people with asthma who smoke or vape to quit. Provide access to counseling and smoking cessation programs (if available).	A
	• Advise parents/caregivers of children with asthma not to smoke and not to allow smoking in rooms or cars that their children use.	A
	• Strongly encourage people with asthma to avoid environmental smoke exposure.	B
	• Assess smokers/ex-smokers for COPD or overlapping features of asthma and COPD (asthma+COPD, previously called asthma-COPD overlap or ACO, Chapter 5, p.159), as additional treatment strategies may be required.	D
Physical activity	• Encourage people with asthma to engage in regular physical activity for its general health benefits.	A
	• Provide advice about prevention of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction with regular ICS.	A
	• Provide advice about prevention of breakthrough exercise-induced bronchoconstriction with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ warm-up before exercise ○ SABA (or ICS-SABA) before exercise ○ low-dose ICS-formoterol before exercise (see Box 3-15, p.80). 	A A B
	• Regular physical activity improves cardiopulmonary fitness, and can have a small benefit for asthma control and lung function, including with swimming in young people with asthma.	B
	• Physical activity interventions in adults with moderate/severe asthma was associated with improved symptoms and quality of life	A
	• There is little evidence to recommend one form of physical activity over another.	D
	• Avoidance of occupational or domestic exposures to allergens or irritants	D
Avoidance of occupational or domestic exposures to allergens or irritants	• Ask all patients with adult-onset asthma about their work history and other exposures to irritant gases or particles, including at home.	D
	• In management of occupational asthma, identify and eliminate occupational sensitizers as soon as possible, and remove sensitized patients from any further exposure to these agents.	A
	• Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred promptly for expert assessment and advice, if available.	A

Box 3-18 (continued) Non-pharmacological interventions – Summary

Intervention	Advice/recommendation	Evidence
Avoidance of medications that may make asthma worse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Always ask about asthma before prescribing NSAIDs, and advise patients to stop using them if asthma worsens. 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Always ask people with asthma about concomitant medications. 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aspirin and NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) are not generally contraindicated unless there is a history of previous reactions to these agents (see p.117). 	A
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decide about prescription of oral or ophthalmic beta-blockers on a case-by-case basis. Initiate treatment under close medical supervision by a specialist. 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If cardioselective beta-blockers are indicated for acute coronary events, asthma is not an absolute contra-indication, but the relative risks/benefits should be considered. 	D
Healthy diet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage patients with asthma to consume a diet high in fruit and vegetables for its general health benefits. 	A
Avoidance of indoor allergens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allergen avoidance is not recommended as a general strategy in asthma. 	A
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For sensitized patients, there is limited evidence of clinical benefit for asthma in most circumstances with single-strategy indoor allergen avoidance. 	A
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remediation of dampness or mold in homes reduces asthma symptoms and medication use in adults. 	A
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For patients sensitized to house dust mite and/or pets, there is limited evidence of clinical benefit for asthma with avoidance strategies (only in children) . 	B
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allergen avoidance strategies are often complicated and expensive, and there are no validated methods for identifying those who are likely to benefit. 	D
Weight reduction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include weight reduction in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma. 	B
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For obese adults with asthma a weight reduction program plus twice-weekly aerobic and strength exercises is more effective for symptom control than weight reduction alone. 	B
Breathing exercises	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Breathing exercises may be a useful supplement to asthma pharmacotherapy for symptoms and quality of life, but they do not reduce exacerbation risk or have consistent effects on lung function. 	A
Avoidance of indoor air pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage people with asthma to use non-polluting heating and cooking sources, and for sources of pollutants to be vented outdoors where possible. 	B
Avoidance of outdoor allergens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For sensitized patients, when pollen and mold counts are highest, closing windows and doors, remaining indoors, and using air conditioning may reduce exposure to outdoor allergens. 	D
Dealing with emotional stress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage patients to identify goals and strategies to deal with emotional stress if it makes their asthma worse. 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is insufficient evidence to support one stress-reduction strategy over another, but relaxation strategies and breathing exercises may be helpful. 	B
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arrange a mental health assessment for patients with symptoms of anxiety or depression. 	D
Addressing social risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In US studies, comprehensive social risk interventions were associated with reduced emergency department visits and hospitalizations for children. Studies from other countries and settings are needed. 	A

Box 3-18 (continued) Non-pharmacological interventions – Summary

Intervention	Advice/recommendation	Evidence
Avoidance of outdoor air pollutants/weather conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During unfavorable environmental conditions (very cold weather or high air pollution) it may be helpful, if feasible, to stay indoors in a climate-controlled environment, and to avoid strenuous outdoor physical activity; and to avoid polluted environments during viral infections, if feasible. 	D
Avoidance of foods and food chemicals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Food avoidance should not be recommended unless an allergy or food chemical sensitivity has been clearly demonstrated, usually by carefully supervised oral challenges. 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For patients with confirmed food allergy, refer for specialist advice if available 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For patients with confirmed food allergy, food allergen avoidance may reduce asthma exacerbations. 	D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If food chemical sensitivity is confirmed, complete avoidance is not usually necessary, and sensitivity often decreases when asthma control improves. 	D

See list of abbreviations (p.10). Interventions with highest level evidence are shown first.

Cessation of smoking and vaping and avoidance of environmental tobacco smoke

Cigarette smoking has multiple deleterious effects in people with established asthma, in addition to its other well-known effects such as increased risk of lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and cardiovascular disease; and, with exposure in pregnancy, increased risk of asthma and lower respiratory infections in children.

In people with asthma (children and adults), exposure to environmental tobacco smoke increases the risk of hospitalization and poor asthma control. Active smoking is associated with increased risk of poor asthma control, hospital admissions and, in some studies, death from asthma; increased rate of decline of lung function and may lead to COPD; and reduced the effectiveness of inhaled and oral corticosteroids.³⁶¹ After smoking cessation, lung function improves and airway inflammation decreases.³⁶² Reduction of environmental tobacco smoke exposure improves asthma control and reduces hospital admissions in adults and children.³⁶³ Use of e-cigarettes (vaping) is associated with an increased risk of asthma symptoms or diagnosis, and an increased risk of asthma exacerbations.^{108,364}

Advice

- At every visit, strongly encourage people with asthma who smoke to quit. They should be provided with access to counseling and, if available, to smoking cessation programs (Evidence A).
- Strongly encourage people with asthma who vape to quit.
- Strongly encourage people with asthma to avoid environmental smoke exposure (Evidence B).
- Advise parents/caregivers of children with asthma not to smoke and not to allow smoking in rooms or cars that their children use (Evidence A).
- Assess patients with a >10 pack-year smoking history for COPD or asthma–COPD overlap, as additional treatment strategies may be required (see Chapter 5, p.159).

Physical activity

For people with asthma, as in the general population, regular moderate physical activity has important health benefits including reduced cardiovascular risk and improved quality of life.³⁶⁵ There is some evidence that aerobic exercise training can have a small beneficial effect on asthma symptom control and lung function, although not airway inflammation.³⁶⁶ In physically inactive adults with moderate/severe asthma, physical activity interventions were associated with reduced symptoms and improved quality of life.³⁶⁷ Further studies are needed to identify the optimal regimen. Improved cardiopulmonary fitness may reduce the risk of dyspnea unrelated to airflow limitation being mistakenly attributed to asthma. In one study of non-obese patients with asthma, high intensity interval training together

with a diet with high protein and low glycemic index improved asthma symptom control, although no benefit on lung function was seen.³⁶⁸ In young people with asthma, swimming training is well tolerated and leads to increased lung function and cardio-pulmonary fitness;³⁶⁹ however, there are some concerns about exposure to chlorine and trichloramine with indoor pools.⁵²

Exercise is an important cause of asthma symptoms for many asthma patients, but EIB can usually be reduced with maintenance ICS.⁵² Breakthrough exercise-related symptoms can be managed with warm-up before exercise,⁵² and/or by taking SABA⁵² or low-dose ICS-formoterol²¹⁴ before or during exercise.

Advice

- Encourage people with asthma to engage in regular physical activity because of its general health benefits (Evidence A). However, regular physical activity confers no specific benefit on lung function or asthma symptoms *per se*, with the exception of swimming in young people with asthma (Evidence B). There is insufficient evidence to recommend one form of physical activity over another (Evidence D).
- Provide patients with advice about prevention and management of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction including with daily treatment with ICS (Evidence A) plus SABA as-needed and pre-exercise (Evidence A), or treatment with low-dose ICS-formoterol as-needed and before exercise (Evidence B), with warm-up before exercise if needed (Evidence A). For doses of ICS-formoterol, see Box 3-15, p.80. For patients prescribed as-needed ICS-SABA, this can also be used before exercise.

Avoidance of occupational or domestic exposures

Occupational exposures to allergens or sensitizers account for a substantial proportion of the incidence of adult-onset asthma.³⁷⁰ Once a patient has become sensitized to an occupational allergen, the level of exposure necessary to induce symptoms may be extremely low, and resulting exacerbations become increasingly severe. Attempts to reduce occupational exposure have been successful, especially in industrial settings.⁴⁹ Cost-effective minimization of latex sensitization can be achieved by using non-powdered low-allergen gloves instead of powdered latex gloves.⁴⁹

Advice

- Ask all patients with adult-onset asthma about their work history and other exposures to inhaled allergens or irritants, including at home (Evidence D).
- In management of occupational asthma, identify and eliminate occupational sensitizers as soon as possible, and remove sensitized patients from any further exposure to these agents (Evidence A).
- Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred for expert assessment and advice, if available, because of the economic and legal implications of the diagnosis (Evidence A).

Avoidance of medications that may make asthma worse

Aspirin and other NSAIDs can cause severe exacerbations.³⁷¹ Beta-blocker drugs, including topical ophthalmic preparations, may cause bronchospasm³⁷² and have been implicated in some asthma deaths. However, beta-blockers have a proven benefit in the management of cardiovascular disease. People with asthma who have had an acute coronary event and received beta-blockers within 24 hours of hospital admission have been found to have lower in-hospital mortality rates than those who did not receive beta-blockers.³⁷³

Advice

- Always ask people with asthma about concomitant medications, including eyedrops (Evidence D).
- Always ask about asthma and previous reactions before prescribing NSAIDs, and advise patients to stop using these medications if asthma worsens.
- Aspirin and NSAIDs are not generally contraindicated in asthma unless there is a history of previous reactions to these agents (Evidence A). (See '*Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease*', p.117).
- For people with asthma who may benefit from oral or ophthalmic beta-blocker treatment, a decision to prescribe these medications should be made on a case-by-case basis, and treatment should only be initiated under close medical supervision by a specialist (Evidence D).

- Asthma should not be regarded as an absolute contraindication to use cardioselective beta-blockers when they are indicated for acute coronary events, but the relative risks and benefits should be considered (Evidence D). The prescribing physician and patient should be aware of the risks and benefits of treatment.³⁷⁴

Avoidance of indoor allergens

Because many asthma patients react to multiple factors that are ubiquitous in the environment, avoiding these factors completely is usually impractical and very burdensome for the patient. Medications to maintain good asthma control have an important role because patients are often less affected by environmental factors when their asthma is well controlled.

There is conflicting evidence about whether measures to reduce exposure to indoor allergens are effective at reducing asthma symptoms.^{375,376} The majority of single interventions have failed to achieve a sufficient reduction in allergen load to lead to clinical improvement.^{375,377,378} It is likely that no single intervention will achieve sufficient benefits to be cost effective (Box 3-19, p.93). One study of insecticidal bait in homes eradicated cockroaches for a year and led to a significant decrease in symptoms, improvement in pulmonary function, and less health care use for children with moderate to severe asthma.³⁷⁹

House dust mite: HDM live and thrive in many sites throughout the house, so they are difficult to reduce and impossible to eradicate. A systematic review of multi-component interventions to reduce allergens, including HDM, showed no benefit for asthma in adults and a small benefit for children.³⁸⁰ One study that used a rigorously applied integrated approach to HDM control led to a significant decrease in symptoms, medication use and improvement in pulmonary function for children with HDM sensitization and asthma.³⁸¹ However, this approach is complicated and expensive and is not generally recommended. A study in HDM-sensitized children recruited after emergency department presentation showed a decrease in emergency department visits, but not oral corticosteroids, with the use of mite-impermeable encasement of the mattress, pillow and duvet.³⁸²

Furred pets: complete avoidance of pet allergens is impossible for sensitized patients as these allergens are ubiquitous outside the home³⁸³ in schools,³⁸⁴ public transport, and even cat-free buildings, probably transferred on clothes.³⁸⁴ Although removal of such animals from the home of a sensitized patient is encouraged,³⁸⁵ it can be many months before allergen levels decrease,³⁸⁶ and the clinical effectiveness of this and other interventions remains unproven.³⁸⁷

Pest rodents: symptomatic patients suspected of domestic exposure to pest rodents should be evaluated with skin prick tests or specific IgE, as exposure may not be apparent unless there is an obvious infestation.³⁸⁸ High-level evidence for the effectiveness of removing rodents is lacking, as most integrated pest management interventions also remove other allergen sources;³⁸⁸ one non-sham-controlled study showed comparable clinical improvement with pest reduction education and integrated pest management.³⁸⁹

Cockroaches: avoidance measures for cockroaches are only partially effective in removing residual allergens³⁹⁰ and evidence of clinical benefit is lacking.

Fungi: fungal exposure has been associated with asthma exacerbations. The number of fungal spores can best be reduced by removing or cleaning mold-laden objects.³⁹¹ Air conditioners and dehumidifiers may be used to reduce humidity to less than 50% and to filter large fungal spores. However, air conditioning and sealing of windows have also been associated with increases in fungal and HDM allergens.³⁹²

Advice

- Allergen avoidance is not recommended as a general strategy for people with asthma (Evidence A).
- For sensitized patients, although it would seem logical to attempt to avoid allergen exposure in the home, there is some evidence for clinical benefit with single avoidance strategies (Evidence A) and only limited evidence for benefit with multi-component avoidance strategies (in children) (Evidence B).
- Although allergen avoidance strategies may be beneficial for some sensitized patients (Evidence B), they are often complicated and expensive, and there are no validated methods for identifying those who are likely to benefit (Evidence D).

Box 3-19. Effectiveness of avoidance measures for indoor allergens

Measure	Evidence of effect on allergen levels	Evidence of clinical benefit
House dust mites		
Encase bedding in impermeable covers	Some (A)	Adults - none (A) Children - some (A)
Wash bedding on hot cycle (55–60°C)	Some (C)	None (D)
Replace carpets with hard flooring	Some (B)	None (D)
Acaricides and/or tannic acid	Weak (C)	None (D)
Minimize objects that accumulate dust	None (D)	None (D)
Vacuum cleaners with integral HEPA filter and double-thickness bags	Weak (C)	None (D)
Remove, hot wash, or freeze soft toys	None (D)	None
Pets		
Remove cat/dog from the home	Weak (C)	None (D)
Keep pet from the main living areas/bedrooms	Weak (C)	None (D)
HEPA-filter air cleaners	Some (B)	None (A)
Wash pet	Weak (C)	None (D)
Replace carpets with hard flooring	None (D)	None (D)
Vacuum cleaners with integral HEPA filter and double-thickness bags	None (D)	None (D)
Cockroaches		
Bait plus professional extermination of cockroaches	Minimal (D)	None (D)
Baits placed in households	Some (B)	Some (B)
Rodents		
Integrated pest management strategies	Some (B)	Some (B)
Fungi		
Remediation of dampness or mold in homes	A	A
Air filters, air conditioning	Some (B)	None (D)

See list of abbreviations (p.10). This table is adapted from Custovic et al³⁹³

Healthy diet

In the general population, a diet high in fresh fruit and vegetables has many health benefits, including prevention of many chronic diseases and forms of cancer. Many epidemiological studies report that a high fruit and vegetable diet is associated with a lower risk of asthma and lung function decline. There is some evidence that increasing fruit and vegetable intake leads to an improvement in asthma control and a reduced risk of exacerbations.³⁹⁴

Advice

- Encourage patients with asthma to consume a diet high in fruit and vegetables for its general health benefits (Evidence A).

Weight reduction for obese patients

Asthma can be more difficult to control in obese patients,³⁹⁵⁻³⁹⁷ the risk of exacerbations is greater,^{103,104} and response to ICS may be reduced.³⁹⁸ There is limited evidence about the effect of weight loss on asthma control. Studies have ranged from dietary restriction to multifactorial interventions with exercise training and cognitive behavioral therapy, but populations have generally been small, and interventions and results have been heterogeneous.³⁹⁹ In some studies, weight loss has improved asthma control, lung function and health status, and reduced medication needs in obese patients with asthma.^{400,401} The most striking results have been observed after bariatric surgery,^{402,403} but even 5–10% weight loss with diet, with or without exercise, can lead to improved asthma control and quality of life.⁴⁰⁴

Advice

- Include weight reduction in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma (Evidence B). Increased exercise alone appears to be insufficient (Evidence B).

Breathing exercises

A systematic review of studies of breathing and/or relaxation exercises in adults with asthma and/or dysfunctional breathing, including the Buteyko method and the Papworth method, reported improvements in symptoms, quality of life and/or psychological measures, but with no consistent effect on lung function and no reduction in risk of exacerbations.⁴⁰⁵

Studies of non-pharmacological strategies, such as breathing exercises, can only be considered high quality when control groups are appropriately matched for level of contact with health professionals and for asthma education. A study of two physiologically contrasting breathing exercises, which were matched for contact with health professionals and instructions about rescue inhaler use, showed similar improvements in reliever use and ICS dose after down-titration in both groups.⁴⁰⁶ This suggests that perceived improvement with breathing exercises may be largely due to factors such as relaxation, voluntary reduction in use of rescue medication, or engagement of the patient in their care. The cost of some commercial programs may be a potential limitation.

Breathing exercises used in some of these studies are available at www.breathestudy.co.uk⁴⁰⁷ and www.woolcock.org.au/resources/breathing-techniques-asthma.⁴⁰⁶

Advice

- Breathing exercises may be considered as a supplement to conventional asthma management strategies for symptoms and quality of life, but they do not improve lung function or reduce exacerbation risk (Evidence A).

Avoidance of indoor air pollution

In addition to passive and active smoking, other major indoor air pollutants that are known to impact on respiratory health include nitric oxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, formaldehyde, and biologicals (endotoxin).^{408,409} Sources include cooking and heating devices using gas and solid biomass fuels, particularly if they are not externally flued (vented). Installation of non-polluting, more effective heating (heat pump, wood pellet burner, flued gas) in the homes of children with asthma does not significantly improve lung function but significantly reduces symptoms of asthma, days off school, healthcare utilization, and pharmacist visits.⁴¹⁰ Air filters can reduce fine particle exposure, but there is no consistent effect on asthma outcomes.^{411,412}

Advice

- Encourage people with asthma to use non-polluting heating and cooking sources, and for sources of pollutants to be vented outdoors where possible (Evidence B).

Strategies for dealing with emotional stress

Emotional stress may lead to asthma exacerbations in children⁴¹³ and adults. Hyperventilation associated with laughing, crying, anger, or fear can cause airway narrowing.^{414,415} Panic attacks have a similar effect.^{416,417} However, it is important to note that asthma is not primarily a psychosomatic disorder. During stressful times, medication adherence may also decrease.

Advice

- Encourage patients to identify goals and strategies to deal with emotional stress if it makes their asthma worse (Evidence D).
- There is insufficient evidence to support one strategy over another, but relaxation strategies and breathing exercises may be helpful in reducing asthma symptoms (Evidence B).
- Arrange a mental health assessment for patients with symptoms of anxiety or depression (Evidence D).

Interventions addressing social risks

A systematic review of social risk intervention studies based in the USA found that interventions that addressed these challenges, including health and health care, neighborhood and built environment, and social and community context, were associated with a marked reduction in pediatric emergency department visits and hospitalizations for asthma.⁴¹⁸ Data are needed from studies in other countries and other socioeconomic settings.

Avoidance of outdoor allergens

For patients sensitized to outdoor allergens such as pollens and molds, these are impossible to avoid completely.

Advice

- For sensitized patients, closing windows and doors, remaining indoors when pollen and mold counts are highest, and using air conditioning may reduce exposure (Evidence D).
- The impact of providing information in the media about outdoor allergen levels is difficult to assess.

Avoidance of outdoor air pollution

Meta-analysis of epidemiological studies showed a significant association between air pollutants such as ozone, nitrogen oxides, acidic aerosols, and particulate matter and symptoms or exacerbations of asthma, including emergency department visits and hospitalizations.¹¹⁰ Use of digital monitoring identified a lag of 0–3 days between higher levels of multiple pollutants and increased asthma medication use.¹¹² Proximity to main roads at home and school is associated with greater asthma morbidity.⁴¹⁹ Certain weather and atmospheric conditions like thunderstorms^{420,421} may trigger asthma exacerbations by a variety of mechanisms, including dust and pollution, by increasing the level of respirable allergens, and causing changes in temperature and/or humidity. Reduction of outdoor air pollutants usually requires national or local policy changes. For example, short-term traffic restrictions imposed in Beijing during the 2008 Olympics reduced pollution and was associated with a significant fall in asthma outpatient visits.⁴²²

Advice

- In general, when asthma is well controlled, there is no need for patients to modify their lifestyle to avoid unfavorable outdoor conditions (air pollutants, weather).
- It may be helpful, where possible, during unfavorable environmental conditions (very cold weather, low humidity or high air pollution) to avoid strenuous outdoor physical activity and stay indoors in a climate-controlled environment; and to avoid polluted environments during viral infections (Evidence D).

Avoidance of food and food chemicals

Food allergy as an exacerbating factor for asthma is uncommon and occurs primarily in young children. Confirmed food allergy is a risk factor for asthma-related mortality.¹⁰⁵

Food chemicals, either naturally occurring or added during processing, may also trigger asthma symptoms especially when asthma is poorly controlled. Sulfites (common food and drug preservatives found in such foods as processed potatoes, shrimp, dried fruits, beer, and wine) have often been implicated in causing severe asthma exacerbations.⁴²³ However, the likelihood of a reaction is dependent on the nature of the food, the level and form of residual sulfite, the sensitivity of the patient, and the mechanism of the sulfite-induced reaction.⁴²³ There is little evidence to support any general role for other dietary substances including benzoate, the yellow dye, tartrazine, and monosodium glutamate in worsening asthma.

Advice

- Ask people with asthma about symptoms associated with any specific foods (Evidence D).
- Food avoidance should not be recommended unless an allergy or food chemical sensitivity has been clearly demonstrated (Evidence D), usually by carefully supervised oral challenges.¹⁰⁵
- Patients with suspected or confirmed food allergy should be referred for expert advice about management of asthma and anaphylaxis (Evidence D)
- If food allergy is confirmed, food allergen avoidance can reduce asthma exacerbations (Evidence D).
- If food chemical sensitivity is confirmed, complete avoidance is not usually necessary, and sensitivity often decreases when overall asthma control improves (Evidence D).

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

INDICATIONS FOR REFERRAL FOR EXPERT ADVICE

For most patients asthma can usually be managed in primary care, but some clinical situations warrant referral for expert advice regarding diagnosis and/or management (Box 3-20). This list is based on consensus. Indications for referral may vary, because the level at which asthma care is mainly delivered (primary care or specialist care) varies substantially between countries.

Box 3-20. Indications for considering referral for expert advice, where available

Difficulty confirming the diagnosis of asthma
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Patient has symptoms of chronic infection, or features suggesting a cardiac or other non-pulmonary cause (Box 1-3, p.28) (immediate referral recommended).• Diagnosis is unclear, even after a trial of therapy with ICS or systemic corticosteroids.• Patient has features of both asthma and COPD, and there is doubt about priorities for treatment.
Suspected occupational asthma
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Refer for confirmatory testing and identification of sensitizing or irritant agent, and specific advice about eliminating exposure and pharmacological treatment. See specific guidelines⁴⁹ for details.
Persistent or severely uncontrolled asthma or frequent exacerbations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Symptoms remain uncontrolled, or patient has ongoing exacerbations or low lung function despite correct inhaler technique and good adherence with Step 4 treatment (medium-dose ICS-LABA, Box 3-12, p.65). Before referral, depending on the clinical context, identify and treat modifiable risk factors (Box 2-2, p.38; Box 3-17, p.85) and comorbidities (p.106).• Patient frequently uses asthma-related health care (e.g., multiple ED visits or urgent primary care visits).• See Section 3.5 (p.120) on difficult-to-treat and severe asthma, including a decision tree.
Any risk factors for asthma-related death (see Box 4-1, p.141)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Near-fatal asthma attack (ICU admission, or mechanical ventilation for asthma) at any time in the past• Suspected or confirmed anaphylaxis or food allergy in a patient with asthma
Evidence of, or risk of, significant treatment side-effects
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Significant side-effects from treatment• Need for long-term oral corticosteroid use• Frequent courses of oral corticosteroids (e.g., two or more courses a year)
Symptoms suggesting complications or sub-types of asthma
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• e.g., aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (p.117); allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis
Additional reasons for referral in children 6–11 years
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Doubts about diagnosis of asthma e.g., respiratory symptoms are not responding well to treatment in a child who was born prematurely• Symptoms or exacerbations that remain uncontrolled despite medium-dose ICS (Box 3-14B, p.67) with correct inhaler technique and good adherence• Suspected side-effects of treatment (e.g., growth delay)• Concerns about the child's welfare or well-being

See list of abbreviations (p.10). For indications for referral in children 0-5 years, see p.176.

3.3. GUIDED ASTHMA SELF-MANAGEMENT EDUCATION AND SKILLS TRAINING

KEY POINTS

- As with other chronic diseases, people with asthma need education and skills training to manage it well. This is most effectively achieved through a partnership between the patient and their health care providers. The essential components for this include:
 - Choosing the most appropriate inhaler for the patient's asthma treatment: consider available devices, cost, the ability of the patient to use the inhaler after training, environmental impact, and patient satisfaction
 - Skills training to use inhaler devices effectively
 - Encouraging adherence with medications, appointments and other advice, within an agreed management strategy
 - Asthma information
 - Training in guided self-management, with self-monitoring of symptoms or peak flow; a written asthma action plan to show how to recognize and respond to worsening asthma; and regular review by a health care provider or trained health care worker.
- In developing, customizing and evaluating self-management interventions for different cultures, sociocultural factors should be taken into account.⁴²⁴

SKILLS TRAINING FOR EFFECTIVE USE OF INHALER DEVICES

Delivery of respiratory medications by inhalation achieves a high concentration in the airways, more rapid onset of action, and fewer systemic adverse effects than systemic delivery. However, using an inhaler is a skill that must be learnt and maintained in order for the medication to be delivered effectively.

Poor inhaler technique leads to poor asthma control, increased risk of exacerbations and increased adverse effects.¹⁰² Most patients (up to 70–80%) do not use their inhaler correctly. Unfortunately, many health care providers are unable to correctly demonstrate how to use the inhalers they prescribe.⁴²⁵ Most people with incorrect technique are unaware that they have a problem. There is no 'perfect' inhaler – patients can have problems using any inhaler device. The several factors that should be considered in the choice of inhaler device for an individual patient are described below and in Box 3-21 (p.99).

Strategies for ensuring effective use of inhaler devices are summarized in Box 3-22, p.100.⁴²⁶ These principles apply to all types of inhaler devices. For patients prescribed pressurized metered dose inhalers (pMDIs), use of a spacer improves delivery and (for ICS) reduces the potential for local side-effects such as dysphonia and oral candidiasis.⁴²⁷ With ICS, the risk of candidiasis can also be reduced by rinsing and spitting out after use.

Checking and correcting inhaler technique using a standardized checklist takes only 2–3 minutes and leads to improved asthma control in adults^{428,429} and older children⁴²⁶ (Evidence A). A physical demonstration is essential to improve inhaler technique.⁴³⁰ This is easiest if the health care provider has placebo inhalers and a spacer. After training, inhaler technique deteriorates with time, so checking and re-training must be repeated regularly. This is particularly important for patients with poor symptom control or a history of exacerbations. Attaching a pictogram^{431,432} or a list of inhaler technique steps⁴³³ to the inhaler substantially increases the retention of correct technique at follow-up. Pharmacists, nurses and trained lay health workers can provide highly effective inhaler skills training.^{426,434-436}


SHARED DECISION-MAKING FOR CHOICE OF INHALER DEVICE

Globally, multiple different devices are available for delivery of inhaled medication, including pressurized metered-doses inhalers (pMDIs), dry-powder inhalers (DPIs), mist inhalers and nebulizers, although the choice of inhaler device for each medication class in any country is often limited. Reducing the risk of severe exacerbations and asthma deaths is a global priority that is driving initiatives to increase access to ICS-containing inhalers for people with asthma worldwide (see p.112) and, when these inhalers are available, to ensure that patients are trained in how to use them correctly.

There is also increasing interest in the potential to reduce the impact of asthma and its care (routine and urgent) on the environment, including from the manufacture and potential recycling of inhaler devices, and from the propellants in pMDIs, which are the inhalers most commonly used worldwide.⁴³⁷⁻⁴³⁹

For all age-groups, selecting the right inhaler for the individual patient is crucial to asthma care, not only to reduce patients' symptom burden, but also to reduce the need for emergency healthcare and hospitalization, which have even greater environmental impacts than use of pMDIs.^{440,441}

Box 3-21. Shared decision-making between health professional and patient about choice of inhalers



See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Box 3-22. Choice and effective use of inhaler devices

CHOOSE

- Choose the most appropriate inhaler device for the patient before prescribing. Consider the preferred medication (Box 3-12, p.65; 3-13, p.66), available devices, patient skills, environmental impact and cost (see Box 3-22, p.100).
- If different options are available, encourage the patient to participate in the choice.
- For pMDIs, use of a spacer improves delivery and (with ICS) reduces the potential for side-effects.
- Ensure that there are no physical barriers, e.g. arthritis, that limit use of the inhaler.
- Avoid use of multiple different inhaler types where possible, to avoid confusion.

CHECK

- Check inhaler technique at every opportunity.
- Ask the patient to show you how they use their inhaler (don't just ask if they know how to use it).
- Identify any errors using a device-specific checklist.

CORRECT

- Show the patient how to use the device correctly with a physical demonstration, e.g., using a placebo inhaler.
- Check technique again, paying attention to problematic steps. You may need to repeat this process 2–3 times within the same session for the patient to master the correct technique.⁴²⁸
- Consider an alternative device only if the patient cannot use the inhaler correctly after several repeats of training.
- Re-check inhaler technique frequently. After initial training, errors often recur within 4–6 weeks.⁴⁴²

CONFIRM

- Clinicians should be able to demonstrate correct technique for each of the inhalers they prescribe.
- Pharmacists and nurses can provide highly effective inhaler skills training.^{434,435}

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Several factors must be considered in shared decision-making about the choice of inhaler device for the individual patient (Box 3-21, p.99), starting with the choice of the medication itself.

- Which medication class(es) or individual medication(s) does the patient need to relieve and control symptoms and to prevent asthma exacerbations? The approach in GINA Track 1 (Box 3-5, p.58) is preferred, because the use of ICS-formoterol as an anti-inflammatory reliever reduces the risk of severe exacerbations and urgent health care utilization compared with using a SABA reliever. The Track 1 approach also avoids the risks associated with SABA over-use, and allows simple adjustment across treatment steps with a single medication for both symptom relief and delivery of ICS-containing treatment. Most studies of MART with ICS-formoterol, and all studies of as-needed-only ICS-formoterol have used a DPI.
- Which inhaler devices are available to the patient for these medications? The choice of device for any particular medication class in an individual country is often limited. Consider local availability, access, and cost to the patient. Where more than one medication is needed, a single (combination) inhaler is preferable to multiple inhalers. Also consider the patient's age, since DPIs are not suitable for most children aged ≤5 years and some elderly patients; pMDIs with spacers remain essential for such patients.
- Can the patient use the available device(s) correctly after training? This may be determined by factors including physical dexterity, coordination, inspiratory flow, and cognitive status. Different inhaler types require different inhalation techniques, so it is preferable to avoid prescribing a pMDI and DPI for the same patient. Incorrect inhaler technique increases risk of severe asthma exacerbations.

- What are the environmental implications of the available inhaler(s)? This has become an important part of inhaler selection, particularly with regard to the propellants in pMDIs, but also related to inhaler manufacture and potential recycling. However, clinicians need to be aware of the potential to place an additional burden on patients of so-called 'green guilt', which could impact negatively on adherence and increase the risk of exacerbations.
- Is the patient satisfied with the medication and inhaler? The best inhaler for each patient is likely to be the one that they prefer and are able to use, as this promotes adherence and reduces risk of exacerbations and adverse effects.
- In follow-up, review symptom control, asthma exacerbations and adverse events, and check the patient's ability to use their inhaler(s) correctly, ideally at each visit.

ADHERENCE WITH MEDICATIONS AND WITH OTHER ADVICE

Identifying poor adherence

Poor adherence is defined as the failure of treatment to be taken as agreed upon by the patient and the health care provider. There is increasing awareness of the importance of poor adherence in chronic diseases, and of the potential to develop interventions to improve adherence.⁴⁴³ Approximately 50% of adults and children on long-term therapy for asthma fail to take medications as directed at least part of the time.¹⁷⁴

In clinical practice, poor adherence may be identified by an empathic question that acknowledges the likelihood of incomplete adherence and encourages an open discussion. See Box 3-23, p.102 for examples. Checking the date of the last prescription or the date on the inhaler may assist in identifying poor adherence. In some health systems, pharmacists can assist in identifying poorly adherent patients by monitoring dispensing records. Electronic inhaler monitoring has also been used in clinical practice to identify poor adherence in patients with difficult-to-treat asthma.^{160,161}

In clinical studies, poor adherence may be identified by short adherence behavior questionnaires, or from dispensing records; dose or pill counting; electronic inhaler monitoring;⁴⁴⁴ and drug assay such as for prednisolone.⁴⁴⁵

Factors contributing to poor adherence

It is important to elicit patients' beliefs and concerns about asthma and asthma medications in order to understand the reasons behind their medication-taking behavior. Factors involved in poor adherence are listed in Box 3-23, p.102. They include both intentional and unintentional factors. Issues such as ethnicity,⁴⁴⁶ health literacy,^{447,448} and numeracy¹⁸⁵ are often overlooked. Patients may be concerned about known side-effects or about perceived harm.^{318,449}

Interventions that improve adherence in asthma

Few adherence interventions have been studied comprehensively in asthma. Some examples of successful interventions have been published:

- Shared decision-making for medication/dose choice improved adherence and asthma outcomes.^{176,179}
- Electronic inhaler reminders, either proactively or for missed doses, improved adherence⁴⁵⁰⁻⁴⁵² and possibly reduced exacerbations and oral corticosteroid use.⁴⁵⁰⁻⁴⁵³
- In a difficult inner-city environment, home visits for a comprehensive asthma program by an asthma nurse led to improved adherence and reduced prednisone courses over the following several months.⁴⁵⁴
- Providing adherence information to clinicians did not improve ICS use among patients with asthma unless clinicians chose to view the details of their patients' medication use.⁴⁵⁵
- In a health maintenance organization, an automated voice recognition program with messages triggered when refills were due or overdue led to improved ICS adherence relative to usual care, but no difference in urgent care visits.⁴⁵⁶
- In one study, directly observed administration of maintenance asthma treatment at school, combined with telemedicine oversight, was associated with more symptom-free days and fewer urgent visits than usual care.⁴⁵⁷

Digital interventions for adherence: A 2022 Cochrane review found that a variety of digital intervention strategies improved adherence to maintenance controller medications, especially in those with poor adherence, reduced exacerbations, and improved asthma control, in studies of up to 2 years' duration in adults and children.⁴⁵³ Electronic monitoring of maintenance inhaler use and text messages sent to phones appear to be effective. No harms associated with these technologies were reported. The effects of digital interventions on quality of life, lung function and unscheduled health care utilization are unclear.

Improving adherence to maintenance ICS-containing medications may not necessarily translate to improved clinical outcomes.⁴⁵⁸ Further studies are needed of adherence strategies that are feasible for implementation in primary care.

Box 3-23. Poor adherence with prescribed maintenance treatment in asthma

Factors contributing to poor adherence	How to identify poor adherence in clinical practice
<p>Medication/regimen factors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficulties using inhaler device (e.g., arthritis) • Burdensome regimen (e.g., multiple times per day) • Multiple different inhalers <p>Unintentional poor adherence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Misunderstanding about instructions • Forgetfulness • Absence of a daily routine • Cost <p>Intentional poor adherence</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perception that treatment is not necessary • Denial or anger about asthma or its treatment • Inappropriate expectations • Concerns about side-effects (real or perceived) • Dissatisfaction with health care providers • Stigmatization • Cultural or religious issues • Cost 	<p>For patients prescribed maintenance treatment, ask an empathic question</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledge the likelihood of incomplete adherence and encourage an open non-judgmental discussion. Examples are: <i>'Many patients don't use their inhaler as prescribed. In the last 4 weeks, how many days a week have you been taking it – not at all, 1, 2, 3 or more days a week?'</i>⁴⁵⁹ <i>'Do you find it easier to remember your inhaler in the morning or the evening?'</i> <p>Check medication usage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check the date of the last prescription • Check the date and dose counter on the inhaler • In some health systems, prescribing and dispensing frequency can be monitored electronically by clinicians and/or pharmacists • See review articles for more detail.^{173,460}
<p>Examples of successful adherence interventions</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shared decision-making for medication/dose choice^{176,179} • Inhaler reminders, either proactively or for missed doses⁴⁵⁰⁻⁴⁵² • Prescribing low-dose ICS once-daily versus twice-daily⁴⁶¹ • Home visits for a comprehensive asthma program by an asthma nurse⁴⁵⁴ 	

ASTHMA INFORMATION

While education is relevant to asthma patients of all ages, the information and skills training required by each person may vary, as will their ability or willingness to take responsibility. All individuals will require certain core information and skills, but most education must be personalized and provided over several sessions or stages.

For young children, the focus of asthma education will be on the parent/caregiver, but young children can be taught simple asthma management skills. Adolescents may have unique difficulties with adherence, and peer support group education may help in addition to education provided by the health care provider.⁴⁶² These are complex interventions,

and there have been few studies. Regional issues and the adolescent's developmental stage may affect the outcomes of such programs.⁴⁶³

The key features and components of an asthma education program are provided in Box 3-24. Information alone improves knowledge but does not improve asthma outcomes.⁴⁶⁴ Social and psychological support may also be required to maintain positive behavioral change, and skills are required for effective medication delivery. At the initial consultation, verbal information should be supplemented with written or pictorial^{465,466} information about asthma and its treatment. The GINA website (www.ginasthma.org) contains patient educational materials as well as links to several asthma websites. Patients and their families should be encouraged to make a note of any questions that arise from reading this information or as a result of the consultation, and should be given time to address these during the next consultation.

Asthma education and training, for both adults and children, can be delivered effectively by a range of health care providers including pharmacists and nurses (Evidence A).^{434,435,467,468} Trained lay health workers (also known as community health workers) can deliver discrete areas of respiratory care such as asthma self-management education. Asthma education by trained lay health workers has been found to improve patient outcomes and healthcare utilization compared with usual care,^{436,469} and to a similar extent as nurse-led education in primary care⁴⁷⁰ (Evidence B). These findings suggest the need for additional studies to assess applicability in other settings and populations.

Box 3-24. Asthma information

Goal: To provide the person with asthma, their family and other carers with suitable information and training to manage their asthma in partnership with their health care providers

Approach	Content
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on the development of the partnership. • Accept that this is a continuing process. • Share information. • Adapt the approach to the patient's level of health literacy (Box 3-1, p.49). • Fully discuss expectations, fears and concerns. • Develop shared goals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asthma diagnosis • Rationale for treatment, and differences between relievers and maintenance treatments (if prescribed) • Potential side-effects of medications • Prevention of symptoms and flare-ups: importance of anti-inflammatory treatment • How to recognize worsening asthma and what actions to take; how and when to seek medical attention • Management of comorbidities

TRAINING IN GUIDED ASTHMA SELF-MANAGEMENT

Guided self-management may involve varying degrees of independence, ranging broadly from patient-directed self-management to doctor-directed self-management. With patient-directed self-management patients make changes in accordance with a prior written action plan without needing to first contact their health care provider. With doctor-directed self-management, patients still have a written action plan, but refer most major treatment decisions to their physician at the time of a planned or unplanned consultation.

The essential components of effective guided asthma self-management education are:¹⁷⁷

- Self-monitoring of symptoms and/or peak flow
- A written asthma action plan to show how to recognize and respond to worsening asthma; and
- Regular review of asthma control, treatment and skills by a health care provider.

Self-management education that includes these components dramatically reduces asthma morbidity, both in adults (Evidence A)^{177,436,471} and children (Evidence A).^{178,471} Benefits include reduction of one-third to two-thirds in asthma-

related hospitalizations, emergency department visits and unscheduled doctor or clinic visits, missed work/school days, and nocturnal waking.¹⁷⁷ It has been estimated that the implementation of a self-management program in 20 patients prevents one hospitalization, and successful completion of such a program by 8 patients prevents one emergency department visit.^{177,472} Less intensive interventions that involve self-management education but not a written action plan are less effective,⁴⁷³ and information alone is ineffective.⁴⁶⁴ A systematic meta-review of 270 RCTs on supported self-management for asthma confirmed that it reduces unscheduled healthcare use, improves asthma control, is applicable to a wide range of target groups and clinical settings, and does not increase health care costs (Evidence A).⁴⁷¹

Self-monitoring of symptoms and/or peak flow

Patients should be trained to keep track of their symptoms (with or without a diary), and notice and take action, if necessary, when symptoms start to worsen. Peak expiratory flow (PEF) monitoring may sometimes be useful:

- Short-term monitoring
 - Following an exacerbation, to monitor recovery
 - Following a change in treatment, to help in assessing whether the patient has responded
 - If symptoms appear excessive (for objective evidence of degree of lung function impairment)
 - To assist in identification of occupational or domestic triggers for worsening asthma control
- Long-term monitoring
 - For earlier detection of exacerbations, mainly in patients with poor perception of airflow limitation¹³⁶
 - For patients with a history of sudden severe exacerbations
 - For patients who have difficult-to-control or severe asthma

For patients carrying out PEF monitoring, use of a laterally compressed PEF chart (showing 2 months on a landscape format page) allows more accurate identification of worsening asthma than other charts.¹⁵⁸ One such chart is available for download from www.woolcock.org.au/resources/asthma-peak-flow-chart.

There is increasing interest in internet or phone-based monitoring of asthma. Based on existing studies, the main benefit is likely to be for more severe asthma⁴⁷⁴ (Evidence B).

Written asthma action plans

Personal written asthma action plans show patients how to make short-term changes to their treatment in response to changes in their symptoms and/or PEF. They also describe how and when to access medical care.^{475,476} The term 'written' action plan includes printed, digital or pictorial plans, i.e., the patient is given a record of the instructions.

The benefits of self-management education for asthma morbidity are greater in adults when the action plans include both a step up in ICS and the addition of OCS, and for PEF-based plans, when they are based on personal best rather than percent predicted PEF⁴⁷⁶ (Evidence A).

The efficacy of self-management education is similar regardless of whether patients self-adjust their medications according to an individual written plan or whether the medication adjustments are made by a doctor (Evidence A).⁴⁷³ Thus, patients who are unable to undertake guided self-management can still achieve benefit from a structured program of regular medical review.

Examples of written asthma action plan templates for asthma treatment with a SABA reliever, including for adult and pediatric patients with low literacy, can be found on several websites (e.g. Asthma UK, www.asthma.org.uk; Asthma Society of Canada, www.asthma.ca; Family Physician Airways Group of Canada, www.fpagc.com; National Asthma Council Australia, www.nationalasthma.org.au) and in research publications.^{477,478}

Action plan for patients using as-needed ICS-formoterol as their reliever

A different type of action plan is needed for patients using as-needed ICS-formoterol as their reliever in GINA Track 1, because the initial 'action' when asthma worsens is for the patient to increase their as-needed doses of ICS-formoterol, rather than taking a SABA and/or increasing their maintenance treatment. An example of such a customized template

can be found in a review article about practical use of maintenance-and reliever-therapy (MART).⁸ A similar action plan template can be used for patients using as-needed-only ICS-formoterol.³¹²

Health care providers should become familiar with action plans that are relevant to their local health care system, treatment options, and cultural and literacy context. Details of the specific treatment adjustments that can be recommended for written asthma action plans are described in the next chapter (Box 4-2, p.146).

Regular review by a healthcare provider or trained healthcare worker

The third component of effective asthma self-management education is regular review by a healthcare provider or trained healthcare worker. Follow-up consultations should take place at regular intervals. Regular review should include the following:

- **Ask the patient if they have any questions or concerns.**
Discuss issues, and provide additional educational messages as necessary; if available, refer the patient to someone trained in asthma education.
- **Assess asthma control, risk factors for exacerbations, and comorbidities.**
Review the patient's level of symptom control and risk factors (Box 2-2, p.38).
Ask about flare-ups to identify contributory factors and whether the patient's response was appropriate (e.g., was an action plan used?).
Review the patient's symptom or PEF diary, if they keep one.
Assess comorbidities.
- **Assess treatment issues.**
Watch the patient use their inhaler, and correct and re-check technique if necessary (Box 3-22 p.100).
Assess medication adherence and ask about adherence barriers (Box 3-23, p.102).
Ask about adherence with other interventions (e.g., smoking cessation).
Review the asthma action plan and update it if level of asthma control or treatment have changed.⁴⁷⁹

A single page prompt to clinicians has been shown to improve the provision of preventive care to children with asthma during office visits.⁴⁸⁰ Follow-up by telehealthcare is unlikely to benefit patients with asthma that is well controlled at a low treatment step, but may be of benefit in those with severe disease at risk of hospital admission.⁴⁷⁴

School-based programs for children

A systematic review found that school-based studies (most conducted in the US and Canada) that included self-management skills for children aged 5–18 years was associated with a 30% decrease in emergency department visits, and a significant decrease in hospitalizations and in days of reduced activity.⁴⁸¹

3.4. MANAGING ASTHMA WITH MULTIMORBIDITY AND IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

KEY POINTS

- Multimorbidity is common in patients with chronic diseases such as asthma. It is important to identify and manage multimorbidity, as it contributes to impaired quality of life, increased healthcare utilization, and adverse effects of medications. In addition, comorbidities such as rhinosinusitis, obesity and gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GERD) may contribute to respiratory symptoms and some contribute to poor asthma control.
- For patients with dyspnea or wheezing on exertion:
 - Distinguish between exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) and symptoms that result from obesity or a lack of fitness or are the result of alternative conditions such as inducible laryngeal obstruction.
 - Provide advice about preventing and managing EIB.
- All adolescents and adults with asthma should receive ICS-containing treatment to reduce their risk of severe exacerbations. It should be taken every day or, as an alternative in mild asthma, by as-needed ICS-formoterol for symptom relief.
- Refer patients with difficult-to-treat or severe asthma to a specialist or severe asthma service, after addressing common problems such as incorrect diagnosis, incorrect inhaler technique, ongoing environmental exposures, and poor adherence (see Section 3.5, p.120).

MANAGING MULTIMORBIDITY

Multimorbidity is a common problem in patients with chronic diseases such as asthma. It is associated with worse quality of life, increased healthcare utilization and increased adverse effects of treatment.¹⁷⁵ Multimorbidity is particularly common among those with difficult-to-treat or severe asthma.¹⁰⁴ Active management of comorbidities such as rhinosinusitis, obesity and GERD is important, as these conditions may also contribute to respiratory symptom burden and lead to medication interactions. Some comorbidities also contribute to poor asthma control.⁴⁸²

Obesity

Clinical features

Being overweight or obese is a risk factor for childhood asthma and wheeze, particularly in girls.⁴⁸³ Asthma is more difficult to control in obese patients.³⁹⁵⁻³⁹⁸ This may be due to a different type of airway inflammation, contributory comorbidities such as obstructive sleep apnea and GERD, mechanical factors, or other as yet undefined factors. In addition, lack of fitness and reduction in lung volume due to abdominal fat may contribute to dyspnea.

Diagnosis

Document body-mass index (BMI) for all patients with asthma. Because of other potential contributors to dyspnea and wheeze in obese patients, it is important to confirm the diagnosis of asthma with objective measurement of variable expiratory airflow limitation (Box 1-2, p.25). Asthma is more common in obese than non-obese patients,⁶² but both over- and under-diagnosis of asthma occur in obesity.^{38,63}

Management

As for other patients with asthma, ICS are the mainstay of treatment in obese patients (Evidence B), although their response may be reduced.³⁹⁸ Weight reduction should be included in the treatment plan for obese patients with asthma (Evidence B). Increased exercise alone appears to be insufficient (Evidence B).⁴⁰⁴ Weight loss can improve asthma control, lung function, health status and reduces medication needs in obese patients,^{400,401} but the studies have generally been small, quality of some studies is poor, and the interventions and results have been variable.³⁹⁹ The most striking results have been observed after bariatric surgery,^{402,403,484} but even 5–10% weight loss can lead to improved

asthma control and quality of life.⁴⁰⁴ For patients with comorbid obstructive sleep apnea, one study showed a significant reduction in moderate exacerbations with 6 months of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy.⁴⁸⁵

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)

Clinical features

GERD can cause symptoms such as heartburn and epigastric or chest pain, and is also a common cause of dry cough. Symptoms and/or diagnosis of GERD are more common in people with asthma than in the general population,⁴⁸² but this may be in part due to cough being attributed to asthma; in addition, some asthma medications such as beta₂-agonists and theophylline cause relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter. Asymptomatic gastroesophageal reflux is not a likely cause of poorly controlled asthma.⁴⁸²

Diagnosis

In patients with confirmed asthma, GERD should be considered as a possible cause of a dry cough; however, there is no value in screening patients with uncontrolled asthma for GERD (Evidence A). For patients with asthma and symptoms suggestive of reflux, an empirical trial of anti-reflux medication, such as a proton pump inhibitor or motility agent, may be considered, as in the general population. If the symptoms do not resolve, specific investigations such as 24-hour pH monitoring or endoscopy may be considered.

Management

Clinical trials of proton pump inhibitors in patients with confirmed asthma, most of whom had a diagnosis of GERD, showed small benefits for lung function, but no significant benefit for other asthma outcomes.^{486,487} In a study of adult patients with symptomatic asthma but without symptoms of GERD, treatment with high-dose proton pump inhibitors did not reduce asthma symptoms or exacerbations.⁴⁸⁸ In general, benefits of proton pump inhibitors in asthma appear to be limited to patients with both symptomatic reflux and night-time respiratory symptoms.⁴⁸⁹ Other treatment options include motility agents, lifestyle changes and fundoplication. In summary, symptomatic reflux should be treated, but patients with poorly controlled asthma should not be treated with anti-reflux therapy unless they also have symptomatic reflux (Evidence A).⁴⁸⁷ Few data are available for children with asthma symptoms and symptoms of GERD.^{490,491}

Anxiety and depression

Clinical features

Anxiety symptoms and psychiatric disorders, particularly depressive and anxiety disorders, are more prevalent among people with asthma.^{492,493} Psychiatric comorbidity is also associated with worse asthma symptom control and medication adherence, and worse asthma-related quality of life.⁴⁹⁴ Anxious and depressive symptoms have been associated with increased asthma-related exacerbations and emergency visits.⁴⁹⁵ Panic attacks may be mistaken for asthma.

Diagnosis

Although several tools are available for screening for anxious and depressive symptomatology in primary care, the majority have not been validated in asthma populations. Difficulties in distinguishing anxiety or depression from asthma symptoms may therefore lead to misdiagnosis. It is important to be alert to possible depression and/or anxiety in people with asthma, particularly when there is a previous history of these conditions. Where appropriate, patients should be referred to psychiatrists or evaluated with a disease-specific psychiatric diagnostic tool to identify potential cases of depression and/or anxiety.

Management

There have been few good quality pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment trials for anxiety or depression in patients with asthma, and results are inconsistent. A Cochrane review of 15 randomized controlled trials of psychological interventions for adults with asthma included cognitive behavior therapy, psychoeducation, relaxation, and biofeedback.⁴⁹⁶ Results for anxiety were conflicting, and none of the studies found significant treatment differences for depression. Drug treatments and cognitive behavior therapy⁴⁹⁷ have been described as having some potential in

patients with asthma; however, current evidence is limited, with a small number of studies and methodological shortcomings.

Food allergy and anaphylaxis

Clinical features

Rarely, food allergy is a trigger for asthma symptoms (<2% of people with asthma). In patients with confirmed food-induced allergic reactions (anaphylaxis), co-existing asthma is a strong risk factor for more severe and even fatal reactions. Food-induced anaphylaxis often presents as life-threatening asthma.¹⁰⁵ An analysis of 63 anaphylaxis-related deaths in the United States noted that almost all had a past history of asthma; peanuts and tree nuts were the foods most commonly responsible.⁴⁹⁸ A UK study of 48 anaphylaxis-related deaths found that most were regularly treated for asthma, and that in most of these, asthma was poorly controlled.⁴⁹⁹

Diagnosis

In patients with confirmed food allergy, it is important to assess for asthma. Children with food allergy have a four-fold increased likelihood of having asthma compared with children without food allergy.⁵⁰⁰ Refer patients with suspected food allergy or intolerance for specialist allergy assessment. This may include appropriate allergy testing such as skin prick testing and/or blood testing for specific IgE. On occasion, carefully supervised food challenges may be needed.

Management

Patients who have a confirmed food allergy that puts them at risk for anaphylaxis must have an epinephrine auto-injector available at all times, and be trained how to use it. They, and their family, must be educated in appropriate food avoidance strategies, and in the medical notes, they should be flagged as being at high risk. It is especially important to ensure that their asthma is well controlled, they have a written action plan, understand the difference between asthma and anaphylaxis, and are reviewed on a regular basis.

Rhinitis

Clinical features

Evidence clearly supports a link between diseases of the upper and lower airways.⁵⁰¹ Most patients with asthma, either allergic or non-allergic, have concurrent rhinitis, and 10–40% of patients with allergic rhinitis have asthma.⁵⁰² Depending on sensitization and exposure, allergic rhinitis may be seasonal (e.g. ragweed or grass pollen), or perennial (e.g., HDM allergens, furred pets in the home), or intermittent (e.g. furred pets at other locations).⁵⁰³ Rhinitis is defined as irritation and inflammation of the mucous membranes of the nose. Allergic rhinitis may be accompanied by ocular symptoms (conjunctivitis).

Diagnosis

Rhinitis can be classified as either allergic or non-allergic depending on whether allergic sensitization is demonstrated. Variation in symptoms by season or with environmental exposure (e.g., furred pets, HDM, molds) suggests allergic rhinitis. Examination of the upper airway should be arranged for patients with severe asthma.

Management

Evidence-based guidelines developed by Allergic Rhinitis in Asthma (ARIA)⁵⁰¹ recommend intranasal corticosteroids for treatment of allergic rhinitis. In a case-control study, treatment of rhinitis with intranasal corticosteroids was associated with less need for asthma-related hospitalization and emergency department visits,⁵⁰⁴ but a meta-analysis found improvement in asthma outcomes only in patients not also receiving ICS.⁵⁰⁵

Chronic rhinosinusitis with and without nasal polyps (CRSwNP and CRSsNP)

Rhinosinusitis is defined as inflammation of the nose and paranasal sinuses characterized by more than two symptoms including nasal blockage/obstruction and/or nasal discharge (anterior/posterior nasal drip).⁵⁰⁶ Other symptoms may

include facial pain/pressure and/or a reduction or loss of smell. Sinusitis rarely occurs in the absence of rhinitis. Rhinosinusitis is defined as acute when symptoms last <12 weeks with complete resolution, and chronic when symptoms occur on most days for at least 12 weeks without complete resolution.

Chronic rhinosinusitis is an inflammatory condition of the paranasal sinuses that encompasses two clinically distinct entities: chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps (CRSsNP) and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP).⁵⁰⁷ The heterogeneity of chronic rhinosinusitis may explain the wide variation in prevalence rates in the general population ranging from 1–10% without polyps and 4% with polyps. Chronic rhinosinusitis is associated with more severe asthma, especially in patients with nasal polyps.⁵⁰⁸

Diagnosis

Nasendoscopy and/or computed tomography (CT) of the sinuses can identify changes suggestive of chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyps. In severe asthma, presence of nasal polyps may help with choice of biologic therapy (see Box 3-28, p.125).

Management

Chronic rhinosinusitis, with or without nasal polyps, has a significant impact on patients' quality of life. Guidelines about the management of chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyps have been published.^{509,510} Few placebo-controlled studies have systematically evaluated the effect of proper treatment and management of chronic rhinosinusitis on asthma control. A placebo-controlled trial of nasal mometasone in adults and children with chronic rhinosinusitis and poorly controlled asthma showed no benefit for asthma outcomes, suggesting that, while chronic rhinosinusitis can contribute to respiratory symptoms (e.g., chronic cough), its treatment in patients with asthma should be targeted at the symptoms of rhinosinusitis rather than to improve asthma control.⁵¹¹

Biologic therapy targeting T2 inflammation can significantly improve symptoms due to chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. In patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps, omalizumab,⁵¹² mepolizumab^{513,514} and dupilumab⁵¹⁵ improved subjective and objective assessments including nasal symptoms and polyp size, compared with placebo.

MANAGING ASTHMA DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Are people with asthma at higher risk of COVID-19 or severe COVID-19?

People with asthma do not appear to be at increased risk of acquiring COVID-19, and systematic reviews have not shown an increased risk of severe COVID-19 in people with well-controlled mild to moderate asthma. Overall, studies to date indicate that people with well-controlled asthma are not at increased risk of COVID-19-related death,^{516,517} and in one meta-analysis, mortality appeared to be lower than in people without asthma.⁵¹⁸ However, the risk of COVID-19 death was increased in people who had recently needed OCS for their asthma,^{516,519} and in hospitalized patients with severe asthma.^{519,520} Therefore, it is important to continue good asthma management (as described in the GINA Strategy Report), with strategies to maintain good symptom control, reduce the risk of severe exacerbations and minimize the need for OCS. In one study of hospitalized patients aged ≥50 years with COVID-19, mortality was lower among those with asthma who were using ICS than in patients without an underlying respiratory condition.⁵²⁰

In 2020 and 2021, many countries saw a reduction in asthma exacerbations and influenza-related illness. The reasons are not precisely known, but may be due to handwashing, masks and social/physical distancing that reduced the incidence of other respiratory infections, including influenza.⁵²¹

During the pandemic, advise patients with asthma to continue taking their prescribed asthma medications, particularly inhaled corticosteroid (ICS)-containing medications, and oral corticosteroids (OCS) if prescribed

It is important for patients to continue taking their prescribed asthma medications as usual during the COVID-19 pandemic. This includes ICS-containing medications (alone or in combination with a LABA, and add-on therapy including biologic therapy for severe asthma. Stopping ICS often leads to potentially dangerous worsening of asthma.

See Chapter 3.2 (p.53) for information about asthma medications and regimens and non-pharmacologic strategies, and Chapter 3.3 (p.98) for guided asthma self-management education and skills training.

For a small proportion of patients with severe asthma, long-term OCS may sometimes be needed, and it is very dangerous to stop these suddenly. See Chapter 3.5 (p.120) for advice about investigation and management of difficult-to-treat and severe asthma, including addition of biologic therapy for minimizing use of OCS.

Advise patients to discuss with you before stopping *any* asthma medication.

Make sure that all patients have a written asthma action plan

A written action plan (printed, digital or pictorial) tells the patient how to recognize worsening asthma, how to increase their reliever and maintenance medications, and when to seek medical help. A short course of OCS may be needed during severe asthma flare-ups (exacerbations). See Box 4-2 (p.146) for more information about specific action plan options for increasing reliever medications (or reliever and maintenance medications), depending on the patient's usual therapeutic regimen.

At present, there is no clear evidence about how to distinguish between worsening asthma due to respiratory viral infections such as rhinovirus and influenza, and COVID-19.

If local risk of COVID-19 is moderate or high, avoid use of nebulizers where possible due to the risk of transmitting infection to other patients/family and to healthcare workers

Nebulizers can transmit respiratory viral particles across distances of at least 1 m. Use of nebulizers for delivering bronchodilator therapy is mainly restricted to management of life-threatening asthma in acute care settings. Instead, to deliver short-acting beta₂-agonist for acute asthma in adults and children, use a pressurized metered-dose inhaler and spacer, with a mouthpiece or tightly fitting face mask, if required. Check the manufacturer's instructions about whether a spacer can be autoclaved. If not (as is the case for many types of spacers), or if in doubt, spacers should be restricted to single patient use. If use of a nebulizer is needed in settings where COVID-19 infection is possible, strict infection control procedures should be followed.

Remind patients not to share inhaler devices or spacers with family members, to avoid transmitting infection.

Avoid spirometry in patients with confirmed/suspected COVID-19

In healthcare facilities, follow local COVID-19 testing recommendations and infection control procedures if spirometry or peak flow measurement is needed.²⁵ Use of an in-line filter minimizes the risk of transmission during spirometry, but many patients cough after performing spirometry; before performing spirometry, coach the patient to stay on the mouthpiece if they feel the need to cough.

The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommendations are found [here](#). If spirometry is not available due to local infection control restrictions, and information about lung function is needed, consider asking patients to monitor lung function at home.

Follow infection control recommendations if other aerosol-generating procedures are needed

Other aerosol-generating procedures include oxygen therapy (including with nasal prongs), sputum induction, manual ventilation, non-invasive ventilation and intubation. CDC recommendations are found [here](#). Follow local health advice about hygiene strategies and use of personal protective equipment, as new information becomes available in your country or region.

The CDC website provides up-to-date information about COVID-19 for health professionals [here](#), and for patients [here](#). The website of the World Health Organization (WHO) provides comprehensive advice for health professionals and health systems about prevention and management of COVID-19 [here](#).

Management of asthma if the patient acquires COVID-19

People with asthma who acquire COVID-19 are not at higher risk of severe COVID-19. However, be aware that those with poorly controlled asthma (e.g. recent need for OCS) are at higher risk of hospitalization for severe disease if they acquire COVID-19.^{516,519,520} Advise patients to continue taking their usual asthma medications. Patients with severe asthma should continue biologic therapy or OCS, if prescribed.

To reduce the risk of transmitting infection, as above, avoid use of nebulizers where possible (use pMDI and spacer instead), avoid spirometry, and instruct patients to avoid sharing of inhalers/spacers.

Before prescribing antiviral therapies, consult local prescribing guidelines. Check carefully for potential interactions between asthma therapy and COVID-19 therapy. For example, ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir (NMV/r) is a potent CYP3A4 inhibitor. While this is unlikely to cause clinically important corticosteroid-related adverse effects, because of the short duration of anti-COVID-19 treatment, be cautious if considering prescribing NMV/r for patients taking ICS-salmeterol or ICS-vilanterol, as the interaction may increase cardiac toxicity of the LABA.¹⁴¹ Product information indicates that for patients taking ICS-salmeterol or ICS-vilanterol, concomitant treatment with CYP3A4 inhibitors is not recommended. Some drug interaction websites advise stopping ICS-salmeterol or ICS-vilanterol during NMV/r treatment and for a few days afterwards, but this may increase the risk of an asthma exacerbation. Instead, consider prescribing alternative antiviral therapy (if available) or switching to ICS alone or ICS-formoterol (if available) for the duration of NVM/r therapy and a further 5 days.¹⁴¹ If switching to a different inhaler, remember to teach correct technique with the new inhaler.

Advise people with asthma to be up to date with COVID-19 vaccines

Many types of COVID-19 vaccines have been studied and are in use. New evidence about the vaccines, including in people with asthma, will emerge over time. In general, allergic reactions to the vaccines are rare. Patients with a history of severe allergic reaction to a COVID-19 vaccine ingredient (e.g., polyethylene glycol for Pfizer/BioNTech or Moderna, or polysorbate 80 for AstraZeneca or J&J/Janssen) should receive a different COVID-19 vaccine. However, people with anaphylaxis to foods, insect venom, or other medications can safely receive COVID-19 vaccines. More details from the US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) are [here](#). As always, patients should speak to their healthcare provider if they have concerns. Follow local advice about monitoring patients after COVID-19 vaccination.

Usual vaccine precautions apply. For example, ask if the patient has a history of allergy to any components of the vaccine, and if the patient has a fever or another infection, delay vaccination until they are well.

For people with severe asthma, GINA suggests that, if possible, the first dose of biologic therapy and COVID-19 vaccine should not be given on the same day, to allow adverse effects of either to be more easily distinguished.

Remind people with asthma to have an annual influenza vaccination (p.87). CDC (advice [here](#)) now advises that influenza vaccine and COVID-19 vaccine can be given on the same day.

Current advice from the CDC is that where there is substantial transmission of COVID-19, people will be better protected, even if they are fully vaccinated, if they wear a mask in indoor public settings. Further details are [here](#).

Additional advice about management of asthma in the context of COVID-19 will be posted on the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org) as it becomes available.

MANAGING ASTHMA IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS OR SETTINGS

This section includes brief advice about managing asthma in specific populations or settings in which the usual treatment approach may need to be modified. Also refer to the *Diagnosis of respiratory symptoms in other settings* section of Chapter 1 (p.30).

Low- and middle-income countries

Clinical features

In 2019, 96% of asthma deaths and 84% of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) were in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).⁴ Symptoms of asthma are similar world-wide, but patient language may differ, and comorbidities may vary depending on environmental exposures such as smoking and biomass fuel exposure and incidence of chronic respiratory infections from tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS.

Management

The fundamental principles and aims of asthma treatment are the same in LMICs as in high-income countries, but common barriers to effective long-term asthma care include the lack of availability and affordability of inhaled medicines, and prioritization of acute care over chronic care by healthcare systems.^{4,7}

Recommendations by WHO and the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union)⁵²² form the basis of treatments offered in many LMICs.⁷ The WHO Model List of Essential Medicines⁵²³ includes ICS, combination ICS-formoterol, and bronchodilators. Spacers are included in the WHO list of essential technology but are rarely available due to obstacles to their manufacture or purchase, practical issues of cleaning, and inconvenience for ambulatory use. Effective spacers can be made at no cost from plastic drink bottles.⁵²⁴

Medicines selected as 'essential' are not necessarily the most effective or convenient, particularly for patients with more severe disease, and a limited choice does not allow for consideration of patient preferences and likelihood of adherence. However, ICS-containing medications, when provided for large populations, have achieved impressive reductions in mortality and morbidity,⁵²⁵ including in LMICs. In Brazil, government policy ensuring nationwide easy access to ICS, at no cost to patients, was associated with a 34% reduction in hospitalizations for asthma.¹⁶⁸ Prescribing ICS-formoterol as the symptom reliever, with (GINA Steps 3–5) or without (Steps 1–2) maintenance ICS-formoterol, provides the safest and most effective asthma treatment for adolescents and adults,^{167,213} and avoids the behavioral consequences of starting treatment with SABA alone.

Inclusion of essential asthma medicines in formularies and guidelines does not assure sustained and equitable supply to patients. The supply of medicines in many LMICs tends to be sporadic for a wide variety of reasons, sometimes determined by the ability of governments to pay for supplies, issues relating to procurement, poor administration and record keeping, and problems in the supply chain, particularly to remote dispensaries.^{5,7}

Availability of asthma medicines varies widely between LMICs, with some having only oral bronchodilators (salbutamol and theophylline tablets/solutions) supplemented from time to time with oral corticosteroids.⁹ Oral bronchodilators have a slow onset of action and more adverse effects than inhaled SABA, and even occasional courses of OCS are associated with a significant risk of short-term adverse effects such as pneumonia and sepsis,⁵²⁶ and, in adults, with long-term adverse effects including osteoporosis and fragility fractures, cataract and diabetes.³⁰⁹ The largest (52 countries) survey of the accessibility and affordability of inhaled asthma medicines, conducted in 2011, reported that salbutamol was available in only half of public hospitals; ICS was available in fewer than one in five public pharmacies and not at all in 14 countries.⁵²⁷

Obtaining asthma medicines often represents a catastrophic household expense. A recent systematic review of published data on the availability, cost and affordability of essential medicines for asthma and COPD in LMICs found these to be largely unavailable and unaffordable particularly for ICS and combination ICS-LABA.⁵²⁸ This means that the

essential cornerstone of treatment that achieves substantial reductions in morbidity and mortality is out of reach for the great majority of the world's children, adolescents and adults living with asthma.

It is not acceptable in 2023 for clinicians to have to manage asthma with SABAs and oral corticosteroids instead of preventive ICS-containing treatments. The research community must develop and evaluate approaches designed to obviate barriers to care in resource-constrained settings. A World Health Assembly Resolution on equitable access to affordable care, including inhaled medicines, for children, adolescents and adults with asthma, wherever they live in the world, would be a valuable step forward – as was recently achieved for the supply of insulin for diabetes.⁵²⁹ GINA strongly supports this initiative.⁵

In the meantime, in general, Track 2 treatment, although less effective in reducing asthma exacerbations, may be considered preferable in settings where current availability or affordability constrains the ability to implement Track 1 treatment. The “other controller options” in Box 3-12, though potentially less costly, may be considerably less effective (e.g., LTRAs) or more harmful (e.g., maintenance OCS), or not well supported by evidence especially in the low-resource setting (e.g., use of a low-dose ICS inhaler whenever a SABA is taken for symptom relief). Of these three other controller options, the third would be closest to the preferred recommendations in Tracks 1 and 2, as it would ensure that an ICS was provided, at least during symptomatic periods.⁹

Adolescents

Clinical features

Care of teenagers with asthma should take into account the rapid physical, emotional, cognitive and social changes that occur during adolescence. Asthma control may improve or worsen, although remission of asthma is seen more commonly in males than females.⁵³⁰ Exploratory and risk-taking behaviors such as smoking occur at a higher rate in adolescents with chronic diseases than in healthy adolescents.

In a large meta-analysis of adherence with ICS by adolescents and young adults,¹⁷⁴ overall adherence was 28%, and slightly higher in those <18 years (36%). However, pharmacy refill data provided lower estimates of adherence than self-report measures. Predictors of adherence included personality, illness perceptions, and treatment beliefs.

Management

General principles for managing chronic disease in adolescents have been published by WHO.⁵³¹ Adolescents and their parent/caregivers should be encouraged in the transition towards asthma self-management by the adolescent.⁵³² This may involve the transition from a pediatric to an adult health care facility. Transitioning should not be based on chronological age but on developmental stage and readiness, using formal tools to assess readiness at around 11–13 years (ideal timing/age not based on evidence). Clinicians should aim to increase self-management, focusing consultations on areas in which the young person is not confident. Consider using technology to assist with adherence and guide young people to web-based apps and tools to improve knowledge of asthma. Awareness of asthma should be promoted to communities and peers.

During consultations, the adolescent should be seen separately from the parent/caregiver so that sensitive issues such as smoking, adherence and mental health can be discussed privately, and confidentiality agreed. Information and self-management strategies should be tailored to the patient's stage of psychosocial development and desire for autonomy; adolescents are often focused on short-term rather than long-term outcomes. An empathic approach should be used to identify beliefs and behaviors that may be barriers to optimal treatment; for example, adolescents may be concerned about the impact of treatment on their physical or sexual capabilities.

Medication regimens should be tailored to the adolescent's needs and lifestyle, and reviews arranged regularly so that the medication regimen can be adjusted for changing needs. Information about local youth-friendly resources and support services should be provided, where available. In adolescents with mild asthma, use of as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol reduced risk of severe exacerbations compared with SABA alone, and without the need for daily treatment. Change in height from baseline in younger adolescents was significantly greater with as-needed ICS-formoterol than with daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA.²⁰⁸

Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB)

Clinical features

Physical activity is an important stimulus for asthma symptoms for many patients, with symptoms and bronchoconstriction typically worsening after cessation of exercise. However, shortness of breath or wheezing *during* exercise may also relate to obesity or a lack of fitness, or to comorbid or alternative conditions such as inducible laryngeal obstruction.^{47,52}

Management

Regular treatment with ICS significantly reduces EIB⁵² (Evidence A). Training and sufficient warm-up reduce the incidence and severity of EIB⁵² (Evidence A). Taking SABAs, LABAs or chromones prior to exercise prevents EIB (Evidence A), but tolerance to the protective effects of SABAs and LABAs against EIB develops with regular (more than once-daily) use (Evidence A).⁵² However, in a 6-week study in patients with mild asthma, low-dose budesonide-formoterol, taken as needed for relief of symptoms and before exercise, was non-inferior for reducing EIB to regular daily ICS with as-needed SABA.²¹⁴ More studies are needed, but this suggests that patients with mild asthma who are prescribed as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol to prevent exacerbations and control symptoms can use the same medication prior to exercise, if needed, and do not need to be prescribed a SABA for pre-exercise use (Evidence B). Chromone pMDIs have been discontinued globally.

Breakthrough EIB often indicates poorly controlled asthma, and stepping up ICS-containing treatment (after checking inhaler technique and adherence) generally results in the reduction of exercise-related symptoms.

Athletes

Clinical features

Athletes, particularly those competing at a high level, have an increased prevalence of various respiratory conditions compared to non-athletes. They experience a higher prevalence of asthma, EIB, allergic or non-allergic rhinitis, chronic cough, inducible laryngeal obstruction, and recurrent respiratory infections. Airway hyperresponsiveness is common in elite athletes, often without reported symptoms. Asthma in elite athletes is commonly characterized by less correlation between symptoms and pulmonary function; higher lung volumes and expiratory flows; less eosinophilic airway inflammation; more difficulty in controlling symptoms; and some improvement in airway dysfunction after cessation of training.

Management

Preventative measures to avoid high exposure to air pollutants, allergens (if sensitized) and chlorine levels in pools, particularly during training periods, should be discussed with the athlete. They should avoid training in extreme cold or pollution (Evidence C), and the effects of any therapeutic trials of asthma medications should be documented. Adequate anti-inflammatory therapy, especially ICS, is advised; minimization of use of beta₂-agonists will help to avoid the development of tolerance.⁵² Information on treatment of exercise-induced asthma in athletes can be found in a Joint Task Force Report prepared by the European Respiratory Society, the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, and Global Allergy and Asthma European Network (GA(2)LEN)⁵³³ and on the World Anti-Doping Agency website (www.wada-ama.org).

Pregnancy

Clinical features

Asthma control often changes during pregnancy; in approximately one-third of women asthma symptoms worsen, in one-third they improve, and in the remaining one-third they remain unchanged.⁵³⁴ Exacerbations are common in pregnancy, particularly in the second trimester.¹⁰⁶ Exacerbations and poor asthma control during pregnancy may be due to mechanical or hormonal changes, or to cessation or reduction of asthma medications due to concerns by the mother

and/or the health care provider. Pregnant women appear to be particularly susceptible to the effects of viral respiratory infections,⁵³⁵ including influenza.

Exacerbations and poor symptom control are associated with worse outcomes for both the baby (pre-term delivery, low birth weight, increased perinatal mortality) and the mother (pre-eclampsia).¹⁰⁶ Risk factors for asthma exacerbations during pregnancy include severe asthma, multiparity, black ethnicity, depression and anxiety, current smoking, age >35 years and obesity. Addressing these risk factors may not only reduce the risk of exacerbations, but also the risk of adverse perinatal outcomes.⁵³⁶ If asthma is well controlled throughout pregnancy there is little or no increased risk of adverse maternal or fetal complications.⁵⁴

Management

Although there is a general concern about any medication use in pregnancy, the advantages of actively treating asthma in pregnancy markedly outweigh any potential risks of usual asthma medications⁵⁴ (Evidence A). For this reason, using medications to achieve good symptom control and prevent exacerbations is justified even when their safety in pregnancy has not been unequivocally proven. Use of ICS, beta₂-agonists, montelukast or theophylline is not associated with an increased incidence of fetal abnormalities.⁵³⁷

Importantly, ICS reduce the risk of exacerbations of asthma during pregnancy^{54,538,539} (Evidence A), and cessation of ICS during pregnancy is a significant risk factor for exacerbations¹⁰⁶ (Evidence A). A study using administrative data reported that uncontrolled maternal asthma increased the risk of early-onset asthma in the offspring.⁵⁴⁰ One study reported that a treatment algorithm in non-smoking pregnant women based on monthly FeNO and ACQ was associated with significantly fewer exacerbations and better fetal outcomes than an algorithm based only on ACQ.⁵⁴¹ However, the ACQ-only algorithm did not reflect current clinical recommendations, as LABA was introduced only after ICS had been increased to medium dose, and ICS could be stopped; 58% of women in the ACQ-only group were being treated without ICS by the end of pregnancy. In a follow-up study after 4-6 years, the prevalence of asthma was over 50% lower both in children of women in the FeNO group and in children of women receiving ICS in the ACQ group, compared with women in the clinical group who did not receive ICS.⁵⁴² Use of ICS in early pregnancy (before randomization at weeks 12–20) also appeared to be protective for asthma in the child.⁵⁴²

On balance, given the evidence in pregnancy and infancy for adverse outcomes from exacerbations during pregnancy (Evidence A),⁵⁴ including due to lack of ICS or poor adherence,¹⁰⁶ and evidence for safety of usual doses of ICS and LABA (Evidence A),⁵³⁷ **a low priority should be placed on stepping down treatment (however guided) until after delivery (Evidence D), and ICS should not be stopped in preparation for pregnancy or during pregnancy (Evidence C).**

Despite lack of evidence for adverse effects of asthma treatment in pregnancy, many women and doctors remain concerned.⁵⁴³ Pregnant patients with asthma should be advised that poorly controlled asthma, and exacerbations, provide a much greater risk to their baby than do current asthma treatments. Educational resources about asthma management during pregnancy may provide additional reassurance.⁵⁴⁴ During pregnancy, monthly monitoring of asthma is recommended.⁵⁴⁴ It is feasible for this to be achieved by pharmacist-clinician collaboration, with monthly telephone monitoring of asthma symptom control.⁵⁴⁵ One observational study found that pregnant women whose asthma was well controlled without controller therapy and who had no history of previous exacerbations were at low risk for exacerbations during pregnancy.⁵⁴⁶ However, such women should still be closely monitored.

For women with severe asthma, evidence on use of biologic therapies during pregnancy is scarce.⁵⁴⁷ A registry study found no evidence of an increased risk of major congenital malformations when mothers received omalizumab during pregnancy. Women should be counselled that the potential risks associated with biologic exposure during pregnancy need to be balanced against the risks for themselves and their children caused by uncontrolled asthma.⁵⁴⁸

Respiratory infections should be monitored and managed appropriately during pregnancy.⁵³⁵ During acute asthma exacerbations, pregnant women may be less likely to be treated appropriately than non-pregnant patients.¹⁰⁶ To avoid fetal hypoxia, it is important to manage acute asthma exacerbations during pregnancy aggressively with SABA, oxygen, and early administration of systemic corticosteroids.

During labor and delivery, usual maintenance medications should be taken, with reliever if needed. Acute exacerbations during labor and delivery are uncommon, but bronchoconstriction may be induced by hyperventilation during labor, and should be managed with SABA. Neonatal hypoglycemia may be seen, especially in preterm babies, when high doses of beta-agonists have been given within the last 48 hours prior to delivery. If high doses of SABA have been given during labor and delivery, blood glucose levels should be monitored in the baby (especially if preterm) for the first 24 hours.⁵⁴⁹

A review of asthma guidelines for the management of asthma during pregnancy highlighted the need for greater clarity in current recommendations and the need for more RCTs among pregnant asthma patients.⁵⁵⁰

Women – perimenstrual asthma (catamenial asthma)

Clinical features

In approximately 20% of women, asthma is worse in the premenstrual phase. These women tend to be older, have more severe asthma, a higher BMI, a longer duration of asthma, and a greater likelihood of aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD). They more often have dysmenorrhea, premenstrual syndrome, shorter menstrual cycles, and longer menstrual bleeding. The role of hormone levels and systemic inflammation remains unclear.⁵⁵¹

Management

In addition to the usual strategies for management of asthma, oral contraceptives and/or leukotriene receptor antagonists may be helpful (Evidence D).⁵⁵¹ Further research is needed.

Occupational asthma

Clinical features

In the occupational setting, rhinitis often precedes the development of asthma (see p.30 regarding diagnosis of occupational asthma). Once a patient has become sensitized to an occupational allergen, the level of exposure necessary to induce symptoms may be extremely low; resulting exacerbations become increasingly severe, and with continued exposure, persistent symptoms and irreversible airflow limitation may result.⁴⁹

Management

Detailed information is available in evidence-based guidelines about management of occupational asthma.⁴⁹ All patients with adult-onset asthma should be asked about their work history and other exposures (Evidence A). The early identification and elimination of occupational sensitizers and the removal of sensitized patients from any further exposure are important aspects of the management of occupational asthma (Evidence A). Attempts to reduce occupational exposure have been successful, especially in industrial settings.⁴⁹ Cost-effective minimization of latex sensitization can be achieved by using non-powdered low-allergen gloves instead of powdered latex gloves.⁴⁹ Patients with suspected or confirmed occupational asthma should be referred for expert assessment and advice, if this is available, because of the economic and legal implications of the diagnosis (Evidence A).

The elderly

Clinical features

Lung function generally decreases with longer duration of asthma and increasing age, due to stiffness of the chest wall, reduced respiratory muscle function, loss of elastic recoil and airway wall remodeling. Older patients may not report asthma symptoms, and may attribute breathlessness to normal aging or comorbidities such as cardiovascular disease and obesity.⁵⁵²⁻⁵⁵⁴ Among the elderly, there is no increased risk of cardiovascular disease among those with asthma, compared with those without asthma, except in current or former smokers.⁵⁵⁵ Comorbid arthritis may contribute to reduced exercise capacity and lack of fitness, and make inhaler device use difficult. Asthma costs may be higher amongst older patients, because of higher hospitalization rates and medication costs.⁵⁵³

Management

Decisions about management of asthma in older people with asthma need to take into account both the usual goals of symptom control and risk minimization and the impact of comorbidities, concurrent treatments and lack of self-management skills.^{552,553} Data on efficacy of asthma medications in the elderly are limited because these patients are often excluded from major clinical trials. Side-effects of beta₂-agonists such as cardiotoxicity, and corticosteroid side-effects such as skin bruising, osteoporosis and fragility fractures,⁵⁵⁶ and cataracts, are more common in the elderly than in younger adults.⁵⁵² Clearance of theophylline is also reduced.⁵⁵² Elderly patients should be asked about all of the other medications they are taking, including eye-drops, and potential drug interactions should be considered. Factors such as arthritis, muscle weakness, impaired vision and inspiratory flow should be considered when choosing inhaler devices for older patients,^{553,557} and inhaler technique should be checked at each visit. Older patients may have difficulties with complex medication regimens, and prescribing of multiple inhaler devices should be avoided if possible. Large print versions may be needed for written information such as asthma action plans. Patients with cognitive impairment may require a carer to help them use their asthma medications. For diagnosis and initial management of patients with asthma-COPD overlap, see Chapter 5, p.159.

Aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD)

Clinical features

The clinical picture and course of AERD (previously called aspirin-induced asthma) are well established.³⁷¹ It starts with nasal congestion and anosmia, and progresses to chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps that re-grow rapidly after surgery. Asthma and hypersensitivity to aspirin and NSAIDs develop subsequently. Following ingestion of aspirin or NSAIDs, an acute asthma attack develops within minutes to 1–2 hours. It is usually accompanied by rhinorrhea, nasal obstruction, conjunctival irritation, and scarlet flush of the head and neck, and may sometimes progress to severe bronchospasm, shock, loss of consciousness, and respiratory arrest.^{558,559} AERD is more likely to be associated with low lung function and severe asthma,^{560,561} and with increased need for emergency care.⁵⁶¹ The prevalence of AERD is 7% in general adult asthma populations, and 15% in severe asthma.^{561,562}

Diagnosis

A history of exacerbation following ingestion of aspirin or other NSAIDs is highly suggestive of AERD. Aspirin challenge (oral, bronchial or nasal) is the gold standard for diagnosis^{563,564} as there are no reliable *in vitro* tests, but oral aspirin challenge tests must only be conducted in a specialized center with cardiopulmonary resuscitation capabilities because of the high risk of severe reactions.^{563,564} Bronchial (inhalational) and nasal challenges with lysine aspirin are safer than oral challenges and may be safely performed in allergy centers.^{564,565}

Management

Patients with AERD should avoid aspirin or NSAID-containing products and other medications that inhibit cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1), but this does not prevent progression of the disease. Where an NSAID is indicated for other medical conditions, a COX-2 inhibitor (e.g. celecoxib or etoricoxib), or paracetamol (acetaminophen), may be considered^{566,567} with appropriate health care provider supervision and observation for at least 2 hours after administration⁵⁶⁸ (Evidence B). ICS are the mainstay of asthma therapy in AERD, but OCS are sometimes required; LTRA may also be useful^{559,568} (Evidence B), but note the 2020 FDA warning about adverse effects with montelukast.²³⁶ See Chapter 3.5 (p.120) for treatment options for patients with severe asthma. An additional option is aspirin desensitization, which may be conducted under specialist care in a clinic or hospital.⁵⁶⁹ Desensitization to aspirin followed by daily aspirin treatment can significantly improve upper respiratory symptoms and overall quality of life, decrease recurrence of nasal polyps, reduce the need for OCS and sinus surgery, and improve nasal and asthma scores, but few double-blind studies have examined asthma outcomes.^{564,570,571} Aspirin desensitization is associated with a significantly increased risk of adverse effects such as gastritis and gastrointestinal bleeding.⁵⁷¹

Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA)

Clinical features

Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) is a complex pulmonary disease characterized by repeated episodes of wheezing, fleeting pulmonary opacities and development of bronchiectasis, sometimes with malaise, weight loss and hemoptysis. Some patients expectorate brownish sputum plugs. ABPA is most commonly diagnosed in people with asthma or cystic fibrosis, due to a hypersensitivity response to *Aspergillus fumigatus*, a common indoor and outdoor mold.

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of ABPA is based on composite criteria including immediate hypersensitivity reaction to *A. fumigatus*, total serum IgE, specific IgG to *A. fumigatus*, radiological features and blood eosinophils.⁵⁷² Sensitization to fungal allergens, without the full picture of ABPA, is often found in asthma, particularly in severe asthma, where it is sometimes called 'severe asthma with fungal sensitization'.

Management

Current first-line therapy is with oral corticosteroids (e.g., a 4-month tapering course), with itraconazole reserved for those with exacerbations or requiring long-term OCS.^{573,574 575} Clinicians should be aware of the potential for drug interactions between itraconazole (a cytochrome P450 inhibitor) and asthma medications. These interactions may lead to increased risk of ICS adverse effects such as adrenal suppression and Cushing's syndrome, and may increase the risk of cardiovascular adverse effects of some LABAs (salmeterol and vilanterol).¹⁴¹ Concomitant use is not recommended, so it may be appropriate to switch ICS-LABA treatment to an alternative product such as budesonide-formoterol or mometasone-formoterol for the duration of treatment with itraconazole.¹⁴¹

A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study in patients with severe asthma and ABPA found significantly fewer exacerbations with omalizumab (anti-IgE) than placebo.⁵⁷⁶ There have also been case series reports of treatment of ABPA with benralizumab, dupilumab and mepolizumab.

In patients with ABPA and bronchiectasis, regular physiotherapy and daily drainage are recommended. Patients should be referred for specialist investigation and care if available.

Difficult-to-treat and severe asthma are covered in the next section, Chapter 3.5.

Surgery and asthma

Clinical features

There is no evidence of increased peri-operative risk for the general asthma population.⁵⁷⁷ However, there is an increased risk for patients with COPD,⁵⁷⁷ and this may also apply to asthma patients with reduced FEV₁. The incidence of severe peri-operative bronchospasm in people with asthma is low, but it may be life threatening.⁵⁷⁸

Management

For elective surgery, meticulous attention should be paid pre-operatively to achieving good asthma control, as detailed elsewhere in this chapter, especially for patients with more severe asthma, uncontrolled symptoms, exacerbation history, or persistent airflow limitation (Evidence B).⁵⁷⁸ For patients requiring emergency surgery, the risks of proceeding without first achieving good asthma control should be weighed against the need for immediate surgery. Patients taking long-term high-dose ICS or who have received OCS for more than 2 weeks during the previous 6 months should receive hydrocortisone peri-operatively as they are at risk of adrenal crisis in the context of surgery (Evidence B).⁵⁷⁹ More immediate intra-operative issues relating to asthma management are reviewed in detail elsewhere.⁵⁷⁸ For all patients, maintaining their prescribed ICS-containing therapy throughout the peri-operative period is important.

Air travel and asthma

Practical advice for air travel by people with respiratory disease was published by the British Thoracic Society (BTS) in 2022.⁵⁸⁰ The advice for people with asthma included pre-flight optimization of treatment, carrying all asthma medications and spacer (if used) in the cabin to allow immediate access during the flight (and in case checked luggage is mislaid), and carrying a copy of the patient's asthma action plan.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

3.5. DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT AND SEVERE ASTHMA IN ADULTS AND ADOLESCENTS

KEY POINTS

What are difficult to treat and severe asthma?

- Difficult-to-treat asthma is asthma that is uncontrolled despite prescribing of medium or high-dose ICS-LABA treatment or that requires high-dose ICS-LABA treatment to maintain good symptom control and reduce exacerbations. It does not mean a 'difficult patient'.
- Severe asthma is asthma that is uncontrolled despite adherence with optimized high-dose ICS-LABA therapy and treatment of contributory factors, or that worsens when high-dose treatment is decreased. Approximately 3–10% of people with asthma have severe asthma.
- Severe asthma places a large physical, mental, emotional, social and economic burden on patients. It is often associated with multimorbidity.

How should these patients be assessed?

- Assess all patients with difficult-to-treat asthma to confirm the diagnosis of asthma, and to identify and manage factors that may be contributing to symptoms, poor quality of life, or exacerbations.
- Refer for expert advice at any stage, or if asthma does not improve in response to optimizing treatment.
- For patients with persistent symptoms and/or exacerbations despite high-dose ICS, the clinical or inflammatory phenotype should be assessed, as this may guide the selection of add-on treatment.

Management of severe asthma

- Depending on the inflammatory phenotype and other clinical features, add-on treatments for severe asthma include LAMA, LTRA, low-dose azithromycin (adults), and biologic agents for severe asthma.
- Low-dose maintenance OCS should be considered only as a last resort if no other options are available, because of their serious long-term side-effects.
- Assess the response to any add-on treatment, stop ineffective treatments, and consider other options.
- Utilize specialist multidisciplinary team care for severe asthma, if available.
- For patients with severe asthma, continue to optimize patient care in collaboration with the primary care clinician, and taking into account the patient's social and emotional needs.
- Invite patients with severe asthma to enroll in a registry or clinical trial, if available and relevant, to help fill evidence gaps.

See Boxes 3-26 to 3-29 (starting on p.123) for the GINA severe asthma decision tree.

Although the majority of patients can achieve the goal of well controlled asthma, some patients' asthma will not be well controlled even with optimal therapy. This section is also published separately as a GINA short guide for health professionals: *Difficult-to-Treat and Severe Asthma in Adolescent and Adult Patients. Diagnosis and Management. V4.0, 2023* (the Severe Asthma Guide), available to download or order from the GINA website (www.ginasthma.org).

Other resources about severe asthma include an online toolkit published by the Australian Centre of Excellence in Severe Asthma (www.toolkit.severeasthma.org.au).

DEFINITIONS: UNCONTROLLED, DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT AND SEVERE ASTHMA

Understanding the definitions of difficult-to-treat and severe asthma starts with the concept of uncontrolled asthma.

Uncontrolled asthma includes one or both of the following:

- Poor symptom control (frequent symptoms or reliever use, activity limited by asthma, night waking due to asthma)
- Frequent exacerbations (≥ 2 /year) requiring OCS, or serious exacerbations (≥ 1 /year) requiring hospitalization.

Difficult-to-treat asthma¹⁵⁹ is asthma that is uncontrolled despite prescribing of medium- or high-dose ICS with a second controller (usually a LABA) or with maintenance OCS, or that requires high-dose treatment to maintain good symptom control and reduce the risk of exacerbations.¹⁵⁹ It does not mean a 'difficult patient'. In many cases, asthma may appear to be difficult to treat because of modifiable factors such as incorrect inhaler technique, poor adherence, smoking or comorbidities, or because the diagnosis is incorrect.


Severe asthma¹⁵⁹ is a subset of difficult-to-treat asthma (Box 3-15). It means asthma that is uncontrolled despite adherence with maximal optimized high-dose ICS-LABA treatment and management of contributory factors, or that worsens when high-dose treatment is decreased.¹⁵⁹ At present, therefore, 'severe asthma' is a retrospective label. It is sometimes called 'severe refractory asthma'¹⁵⁹ since it is defined by being relatively refractory to high-dose inhaled therapy. However, with the advent of biologic therapies, the word 'refractory' is no longer appropriate.

Asthma is not classified as severe if it markedly improves when contributory factors such as inhaler technique and adherence are addressed.¹⁵⁹

PREVALENCE: HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE SEVERE ASTHMA?

A study in the Netherlands estimated that around 3.7% of asthma patients have severe asthma, based on the number of patients prescribed high-dose ICS-LABA, or medium or high-dose ICS-LABA plus long-term OCS, who had poor symptom control (by Asthma Control Questionnaire) and had good adherence and inhaler technique (Box 3-15).⁵⁸¹

Box 3-25. What proportion of adults have difficult-to-treat or severe asthma?



See list of abbreviations (p.10). Data from the Netherlands, reported by Hekking et al (2015)⁵⁸¹

IMPORTANCE: THE IMPACT OF SEVERE ASTHMA

The patient perspective

Patients with severe asthma experience a heavy burden of symptoms, exacerbations and medication side-effects. Frequent shortness of breath, wheeze, chest tightness and cough interfere with day-to-day living, sleeping, and physical activity, and patients often have frightening or unpredictable exacerbations (also called attacks or severe flare-ups).

Medication side-effects are particularly common and problematic with OCS,³⁰⁸ which in the past were a mainstay of treatment for severe asthma. Adverse effects of long-term or frequent OCS include obesity, diabetes, osteoporosis and fragility fractures,⁵⁵⁶ cataracts, hypertension and adrenal suppression; psychological side-effects such as depression and anxiety are particularly concerning for patients.⁵⁸² Even short-term use of OCS is associated with sleep disturbance, and increased risk of infection, fracture and thromboembolism.⁵²⁶ Strategies to minimize need for OCS are therefore a high priority.

Severe asthma often interferes with family, social and working life, limits career choices and vacation options, and affects emotional and mental health. Patients with severe asthma often feel alone and misunderstood, as their experience is so different from that of most people with asthma.⁵⁸²

Adolescents with severe asthma

The teenage years are a time of great psychological and physiological development which can impact on asthma management. It is vital to ensure that the young person has a good understanding of their condition and treatment and appropriate knowledge to enable supported self-management. The process of transition from pediatric to adult care should help support the young person in gaining greater autonomy and responsibility for their own health and wellbeing. Severe asthma may improve over 3 years in approximately 30% of male and female adolescents; the only predictor of asthma becoming non-severe was higher baseline blood eosinophils.⁵⁸³ Studies with longer follow-up time are needed.

Healthcare utilization and costs

Severe asthma has very high healthcare costs due to medications, physician visits, hospitalizations, and the costs of OCS side-effects. In a UK study, healthcare costs per patient were higher than for type 2 diabetes, stroke, or COPD.⁵⁸⁴ In a Canadian study, severe uncontrolled asthma was estimated to account for more than 60% of asthma costs.⁵⁸⁵

Patients with severe asthma and their families also bear a significant financial burden, not only for medical care and medications, but also through lost earnings and career choices.

ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT AND SEVERE ASTHMA

The clinical decision tree starting on page [123](#), provides brief information about what should be considered in each phase of diagnosis and management of difficult-to-treat and severe asthma. The decision tree is divided into three broad areas:

- **Sections 1–4 (green)** are for use in primary care and/or specialist care.
- **Sections 5–8 (blue)** are mainly relevant to respiratory specialists.
- **Sections 9–10 (brown)** are about maintaining ongoing collaborative care between the patient, primary care physician, specialist and other health professionals.

Development of the Severe Asthma Guide and decision tree included extensive collaboration with experts in human-centered design to enhance the utility of these resources for end-users. This included translating existing high-level flowcharts and text-based information to a more detailed visual format, and applying information architecture and diagramming principles.


The decision tree is followed by more detailed information on each stage of assessment and management.

Box 3-26. Decision tree – investigate and manage difficult to treat asthma in adult and adolescent patients

GP OR SPECIALIST CARE

Investigate and manage difficult-to-treat asthma in adults and adolescents

Consider referring to specialist or severe asthma clinic at any stage




See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Box 3-27. Decision tree – assess and treat severe asthma phenotypes

SPECIALIST CARE; SEVERE ASTHMA CLINIC IF AVAILABLE

Assess and treat severe asthma phenotypes

Continue to optimize management as in section 3 (including inhaler technique, adherence, comorbidities, non-pharmacologic strategies)



* Check local eligibility criteria for specific biologic therapies as these may vary from those listed

See list of abbreviations (p.10).


Box 3-28. Decision tree – consider add-on biologic Type 2-targeted treatments

SPECIALIST CARE; SEVERE ASTHMA CLINIC IF AVAILABLE

Assess and treat severe asthma phenotypes *cont'd*

Continue to optimize management as in section 3 (including inhaler technique, adherence, comorbidities, non-pharmacologic strategies)

8 Consider add-on biologic Type 2-targeted treatments



* Check local eligibility criteria for specific biologic therapies as these may vary from those listed


See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Box 3-29. Decision tree – monitor and manage severe asthma treatment

SPECIALIST AND PRIMARY CARE IN COLLABORATION

Monitor / Manage severe asthma treatment

Continue to optimize management



See list of abbreviations (p.10).

INVESTIGATE AND MANAGE DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT ASTHMA IN ADULTS AND ADOLESCENTS

1. CONFIRM THE DIAGNOSIS (ASTHMA OR DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSES)

Stages 1–5 can be carried out in primary or specialist care. A patient is classified as having difficult-to-treat asthma if they patient have persistent asthma symptoms and/or exacerbations despite prescribing of medium or high-dose ICS with another controller such as LABA, or maintenance OCS, or require high-dose ICS-LABA treatment to maintain good symptom control and prevent exacerbations. Difficult-to-treat asthma does not mean a 'difficult patient'.

Consider referral to a specialist or severe asthma clinic at any stage, particularly if:

- There is difficulty confirming the diagnosis of asthma
- Patient has frequent urgent healthcare utilization
- Patient needs frequent or maintenance OCS
- Occupational asthma is suspected
- Food allergy or anaphylaxis, as this increases the risk of death
- Symptoms are suggestive of infective or cardiac cause
- Symptoms are suggestive of complications such as bronchiectasis
- Patient has multimorbidity.

Are the symptoms due to asthma?

Perform a careful history and physical examination to identify whether symptoms are typical of asthma, or are more likely due to an alternative diagnosis or comorbidity:

- Dyspnea: COPD, obesity, cardiac disease, deconditioning
- Cough: inducible laryngeal obstruction (also called vocal cord dysfunction, VCD), upper airway cough syndrome (also called post-nasal drip), gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GERD), bronchiectasis, ACE inhibitors
- Wheeze: obesity, COPD, tracheobronchomalacia, VCD.

Investigate according to clinical suspicion and age (see Box 1-5, p.30).

How can the diagnosis of asthma be confirmed?

Confirmation of the diagnosis is important, because in 12–50% of people assumed to have severe asthma, asthma is not found to be the correct diagnosis.⁵⁸⁶ Perform spirometry, before and after bronchodilator, to assess baseline lung function and seek objective evidence of variable expiratory airflow limitation. If initial bronchodilator responsiveness testing is negative (≤ 200 mL or $\leq 12\%$ increase in FEV₁), consider repeating after withholding bronchodilators or when symptomatic, or consider stepping controller treatment up or down before further investigations such as bronchial provocation testing (see Box 1-3, p.28). Check full flow-volume curve to assess for upper airway obstruction. If spirometry is normal or is not available, provide the patient with a peak flow meter and diary for assessing variability; consider bronchial provocation testing if patient is able to withhold bronchodilators (SABA for at least 6 hours, LABA for up to 2 days depending on duration of action).³² Strategies for confirming the diagnosis of asthma in patients already taking ICS-containing treatment are shown in Box 1-3 (p.28).

Airflow limitation may be persistent in patients with long-standing asthma, due to remodeling of the airway walls, or limited lung development in childhood. It is important to document lung function when the diagnosis of asthma is first made. Specialist advice should be obtained if the history is suggestive of asthma but the diagnosis cannot be confirmed by spirometry.

2. LOOK FOR FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO SYMPTOMS AND EXACERBATIONS

Systematically consider factors that may be contributing to uncontrolled symptoms or exacerbations, or poor quality of life, and that can be treated. The most important modifiable factors include:

- **Incorrect inhaler technique** (seen in up to 80% patients): ask the patient to show you how they use their inhaler; compare with a checklist or video.
- **Suboptimal adherence** (up to 75% asthma patients): ask empathically about frequency of use (e.g., ‘*Many patients don’t use their inhaler as prescribed. In the last 4 weeks, how many days a week have you been taking it – not at all, 1 day a week, 2, 3 or more?*’ or, ‘*Do you find it easier to remember your inhaler in the morning or the evening?*’ (see Box 3-23, p.102). Ask about barriers to medication use, including cost, and concerns about necessity or side-effects. Check dates on inhalers and view dispensing data, if available. Electronic inhaler monitoring, if available, can be helpful in screening for poor adherence.
- **Comorbidities**: review history and examination for comorbidities that can contribute to respiratory symptoms, exacerbations, or poor quality of life. These include anxiety and depression, obesity, deconditioning, chronic rhinosinusitis, inducible laryngeal obstruction, GERD, COPD, obstructive sleep apnea, bronchiectasis, cardiac disease, and kyphosis due to osteoporosis. Investigate according to clinical suspicion.
- **Modifiable risk factors and triggers**: identify factors that increase the risk of exacerbations, e.g., smoking, environmental tobacco exposure, other environmental exposures at home or work including allergens (if sensitized), indoor and outdoor air pollution, molds and noxious chemicals, and medications such as beta-blockers or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). For allergens, check for sensitization using skin prick testing or specific IgE.
- **Regular or over-use of SABAs**: regular SABA use causes beta-receptor down-regulation and reduction in response,⁵⁸⁷ leading in turn to greater use. Over-use may also be habitual. Dispensing of ≥3 SABA canisters per year (corresponding to average use more than daily) is associated with increased risk of emergency department visit or hospitalization independent of severity,^{74,75} and dispensing of ≥12 canisters per year (one a month) is associated with substantially increased risk of death.^{75,100} Risks are higher with nebulized SABA.⁵⁸⁸
- **Anxiety, depression and social and economic problems**: these are very common in asthma, particularly in difficult asthma⁵⁸² and contribute to symptoms, impaired quality of life, and poor adherence.
- **Medication side-effects**: systemic effects, particularly with frequent or continuous OCS, or long-term high-dose ICS may contribute to poor quality of life and increase the likelihood of poor adherence. Local side-effects of dysphonia or thrush may occur with high-dose or potent ICS, especially if inhaler technique is poor. Consider drug interactions including risk of adrenal suppression with use of P450 inhibitors such as itraconazole.

3. REVIEW AND OPTIMIZE MANAGEMENT

Review and optimize treatment for asthma, and for comorbidities and risk factors identified in Section 2. For more details, see Chapter 3.4, p.106.

- **Provide asthma self-management education**, and confirm that patient has (and knows how to use) a personalized written or electronic asthma action plan. Refer to an asthma educator if available.
- **Optimize asthma medications**: confirm that the inhaler is suitable for the patient; check and correct inhaler technique with a physical demonstration and teach-back method, check inhaler technique again at each visit.⁵⁸⁹ Address suboptimal adherence, both intentional and unintentional.⁴⁵⁸ Switch to ICS-formoterol maintenance and reliever regimen if available, to reduce the risk of exacerbations.²¹³
- **Consider non-pharmacologic add-on therapy**, e.g., smoking cessation, physical exercise,³⁶⁷ healthy diet, weight loss, mucus clearance strategies, influenza vaccination, breathing exercises, allergen avoidance, if feasible, for patients who are sensitized and exposed. For details see text following Box 3-18, p.88.

- **Treat comorbidities and modifiable risk factors** identified in Section 2 of the decision tree, where there is evidence for benefit; however, there is no evidence to support routine treatment of asymptomatic GERD (see p.107). Avoid medications that make asthma worse (beta-blockers including eye-drops; aspirin and other NSAIDs in patients with aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease, p.117). Refer for management of mental health problems if relevant.
- **Consider trial of non-biologic medication** added to medium/high dose ICS, e.g., LABA, LAMA, LTRA if not already tried. Note FDA boxed warning about potential neuropsychiatric effects with LTRAs.²³⁶
- Consider trial of high-dose ICS-LABA if not currently used.

4. REVIEW RESPONSE AFTER APPROXIMATELY 3–6 MONTHS

Schedule a review visit to assess the response to the above interventions. Timing of the review visit depends on clinical urgency and what changes to treatment have been made.

When assessing the response to treatment, specifically review:

- Symptom control (symptom frequency, SABA reliever use, night waking due to asthma, activity limitation)
- Exacerbations since previous visit, and how they were managed
- Medication side-effects
- Inhaler technique and adherence
- Lung function
- Patient satisfaction and concerns.

Is asthma still uncontrolled, despite optimized therapy?

YES: if asthma is still uncontrolled, the diagnosis of severe asthma has been confirmed. If not done by now, refer the patient to a specialist or severe asthma clinic if possible.

NO: if asthma is now well controlled, consider stepping down treatment. Start by decreasing/ceasing OCS first (if used), checking for adrenal insufficiency, then remove other add-on therapy, then decrease ICS dose, but do not stop ICS. See Box 3-16 (p.83) for how to gradually down-titrate treatment intensity.

Does asthma become uncontrolled when treatment is stepped down?

YES: if asthma symptoms become uncontrolled or an exacerbation occurs when high-dose treatment is stepped down, the diagnosis of severe asthma has been confirmed. Restore the patient's previous dose to regain good asthma control, and refer to a specialist or severe asthma clinic if possible, if not done already.

NO: if symptoms and exacerbations remain well controlled despite treatment being stepped down, the patient does not have severe asthma. Continue optimizing management.

ASSESS AND TREAT SEVERE ASTHMA PHENOTYPES

5. INVESTIGATE FURTHER AND PROVIDE PATIENT SUPPORT

Further assessment and management should be by a specialist, preferably in a multidisciplinary severe asthma clinic if available. The team may include a certified asthma educator and health professionals from fields such as speech pathology, otorhinolaryngology, social work and mental health.

What other tests may be considered at the specialist level?

Additional investigations may be appropriate for identifying less-common comorbidities and differential diagnoses contributing to symptoms and/or exacerbations. Tests should be based on clinical suspicion, and may include:

- Blood tests: complete blood count, CRP, IgG, IgA, IgM, IgE, fungal precipitins including *Aspergillus*

- Allergy testing for clinically relevant allergens: skin prick test or specific IgE, if not already done
- Other pulmonary investigations: DLCO; CXR or high-resolution chest CT
- Bone density scan, because of risk of osteoporosis with maintenance or frequent OCS or long-term high-dose ICS³¹¹
- Other directed testing, e.g., ANCA, CT sinuses, BNP, echocardiogram, based on clinical suspicion

If blood eosinophils are $\geq 300/\mu\text{L}$, look for and treat non-asthma causes, including parasites (e.g., *Strongyloides* serology or stool examination), because parasitic infection may be the cause of the blood eosinophilia, and because OCS or biologic therapy in a patient with untreated parasitic infection could potentially lead to disseminated disease. *Strongyloides* infection is usually asymptomatic.⁵⁹⁰

If hypereosinophilia is found, e.g., blood eosinophils $\geq 1500/\mu\text{L}$, consider causes such as eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA).

Consider need for social/psychological support

Refer patients to support services, where available, to help them deal with the emotional, social and financial burden of asthma and its treatment, including during and after severe exacerbations.⁵⁸² Consider the need for psychological or psychiatric referral, including for patients with anxiety and/or depression.

Involve multidisciplinary team care (if available)

Multidisciplinary assessment and treatment of patients with severe asthma increases the identification of comorbidities, and improves outcomes.⁵⁹¹

Invite patient to enroll in a registry (if available) or clinical trial (if appropriate)

Systematic collection of data will help in understanding the mechanisms and burden of severe asthma. There is a need for pragmatic clinical trials in severe asthma, including studies comparing two or more active treatments. Participants in randomized controlled trials designed for regulatory purposes may not necessarily be representative of patients seen in clinical practice. For example, a registry study found that over 80% of patients with severe asthma would have been excluded from key studies evaluating biologic therapy.²⁸⁸

6 ASSESS THE SEVERE ASTHMA PHENOTYPE

The next step is to assess the patient's inflammatory phenotype – is it Type 2 high or low?

What is Type 2 inflammation?

Type 2 inflammation is found in the majority of people with severe asthma. It is characterized by cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-4, IL-5 and IL-13, which are often produced by the adaptive immune system on recognition of allergens. It may also be activated by viruses, bacteria and irritants that stimulate the innate immune system via production of IL-33, IL-25 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) by epithelial cells. Type 2 inflammation is often characterized by elevated eosinophils or increased FeNO, and it may be accompanied by atopy and elevated IgE, whereas non-Type 2 inflammation is often characterized by increased neutrophils.⁵⁹²

In many patients with asthma, Type 2 inflammation rapidly improves when ICS are taken regularly and correctly; this is classified as mild or moderate asthma. In severe asthma, Type 2 inflammation may be relatively refractory to high-dose ICS. It may respond to OCS but their serious adverse effects^{308,309} mean that alternative treatments should be sought.

In adult patients with uncontrolled asthma despite medium- or high-dose ICS plus LABA or other controllers, a history of exacerbations in the previous year, higher blood eosinophil counts and higher FeNO levels are associated with a greater risk of severe exacerbations.⁵⁹³

Could the patient have refractory or underlying Type 2 inflammation?

The possibility of refractory Type 2 inflammation should be considered if any of the following are found while the patient is taking high-dose ICS or daily OCS:

- Blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{l}$, and/or
- FeNO ≥ 20 ppb, and/or
- Sputum eosinophils $\geq 2\%$, and/or
- Asthma is clinically allergen-driven

Patients requiring maintenance OCS may also have underlying Type 2 inflammation. However, biomarkers of Type 2 inflammation (blood eosinophils, sputum eosinophils and FeNO) are often suppressed by OCS. If possible, therefore, these tests should be performed before starting OCS (a short course, or maintenance treatment), or at least 1–2 weeks after a course of OCS, or on the lowest possible OCS dose.

The above criteria are suggested for initial assessment; those for blood eosinophils and FeNO are based on the lowest levels associated with response to some biologics. They are not the criteria for eligibility for Type 2-targeted biologic therapy, which may differ – see section 8 and local criteria.

Consider repeating blood eosinophils and FeNO up to 3 times (e.g., when asthma worsens, before giving OCS, or at least 1–2 weeks after a course of OCS, or on the lowest possible OCS dose), before assuming asthma is non-Type 2. One study of patients with uncontrolled asthma taking medium- to high-dose ICS-LABA found that 65% had a shift in their blood eosinophil category over 48–56 weeks.⁵⁹⁴

Why is the inflammatory phenotype assessed on high-dose ICS?

- Most RCT evidence about Type 2 targeted biologics is in such patients.
- Modifiable ICS treatment problems such as poor adherence and incorrect inhaler technique are common causes of uncontrolled Type 2 inflammation
- Currently, the high cost of biologic therapies generally precludes their widespread clinical use in patients whose symptoms or exacerbations and Type 2 biomarkers are found to respond to ICS when it is taken correctly.

7.1. CONSIDER OTHER TREATMENTS IF THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF TYPE 2 INFLAMMATION

If the patient has no evidence of persistent Type 2 inflammation (section 6):

- Review the basics for factors that may be contributing to symptoms or exacerbations: differential diagnosis, inhaler technique, adherence, comorbidities, medication side-effects (Section 2).
- Recommend avoidance of relevant exposures (tobacco smoke, pollution, allergens if sensitized and there is evidence of benefit from withdrawal, irritants, infections). Ask about exposures at home and at work.
- Consider additional diagnostic investigations (if available and not already done): sputum induction to confirm inflammatory phenotype, high resolution chest CT, bronchoscopy to exclude unusual comorbidities or alternative diagnoses such as tracheobronchomalacia or sub-glottic stenosis; functional laryngoscopy for inducible laryngeal obstruction.
- Consider a trial of add-on treatment if available and not already tried (but check local eligibility and payer criteria for specific therapies as they may vary from those listed):
 - LAMA²⁷²
 - Low-dose azithromycin (adults),^{292,595} but first check sputum for atypical mycobacteria, check ECG for long QTc (and re-check after a month on treatment), and consider potential for antibiotic resistance.
 - Anti-IL4R α if taking maintenance OCS (see section 8 for more details)
 - Anti-TSLP (thymic stromal lymphopoietin) (but insufficient evidence in patients taking maintenance OCS; see section 8 for more details)

As a last resort, consider add-on low-dose OCS, but implement strategies such as alternate-day treatment to minimize side-effects.

- Consider bronchial thermoplasty, with registry enrollment. However, the evidence for efficacy and long-term safety is limited.^{134,354}
- Stop ineffective add-on therapies.
- Continue to optimize treatment, including inhaler technique, adherence, non-pharmacologic strategies and treating comorbidities (see sections 3 and 10).

7.2 CONSIDER NON-BIOLOGIC OPTIONS IF THERE IS EVIDENCE OF TYPE 2 INFLAMMATION

For patients with elevated Type 2 biomarkers despite high-dose ICS (see section 5), consider non-biologic options first, given the current high cost of biologic therapy:

- **Assess adherence objectively** by monitoring of prescribing or dispensing records, blood prednisone levels,⁵⁹⁶ or electronic inhaler monitoring.⁴⁴⁴ In one study, suppression of high FeNO after 5 days of directly observed therapy was an indicator of past poor adherence.⁵⁹⁷
- **Consider increasing the ICS dose** for 3–6 months, and review again.
- **Consider add-on non-biologic treatment for specific Type 2 clinical phenotypes** (see Chapter 3.4, p.106). For example, for aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD), consider add-on LTRA and possibly aspirin desensitization (p.117). For allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA), consider add-on OCS ± anti-fungal agent (p.118). For chronic rhinosinusitis with or without nasal polyps, consider intensive intranasal corticosteroids; surgical advice may be needed (p.108). For patients with atopic dermatitis, topical steroidal or non-steroidal therapy may be helpful.

7.3 IS TYPE 2-TARGETED BIOLOGIC THERAPY AVAILABLE AND AFFORDABLE?

If NOT:

- Consider higher dose ICS-LABA, if not used
- Consider other add-on therapy, e.g., LAMA, LTRA, low-dose azithromycin if not used
- As last resort, consider add-on low-dose OCS, but implement strategies to minimize side-effects
- Stop ineffective add-on therapies
- Continue to optimize treatment, including inhaler technique, adherence, non-pharmacologic strategies and treating comorbidities (see sections 3 and 10).

8 CONSIDER ADD-ON BIOLOGIC TYPE 2-TARGETED TREATMENTS

If available and affordable, consider an add-on Type 2 targeted biologic for patients with exacerbations and/or poor symptom control despite taking at least high-dose ICS-LABA, and who have allergic or eosinophilic biomarkers or need maintenance OCS. Where relevant, test for parasitic infection, and treat if present, before commencing treatment (see section 5).

Consider whether to start first with anti-IgE, anti-IL5/5R, anti-IL4R α or anti-TSLP. When choosing between available therapies, consider the following:

- Does the patient satisfy local payer eligibility criteria?
- Type 2 comorbidities such as atopic dermatitis, nasal polyps
- Predictors of asthma response (see below)
- Cost
- Dosing frequency

- Delivery route (IV or SC; potential for self-administration)
- Patient preference.

Always check local payer eligibility criteria for biologic therapy, as they may vary substantially. However, GINA recommends the use of biologic therapy **only** for patients with severe asthma, and **only** after treatment has been optimized. **For** any biologic therapy, ensure that the manufacturer's and/or regulator's instructions for storage, administration and the duration of monitoring post-administration are followed.

Provide the patient with advice about what to do if they experience any adverse effects, including hypersensitivity reactions. GINA suggests that the first dose of asthma biologic therapy should not be given on the same day as a COVID-19 vaccine, so that adverse effects of either can be more easily distinguished.

There is an urgent need for head-to-head comparisons of different biologics in patients eligible for more than one biologic.

Add-on anti-IgE for severe allergic asthma

Regulatory approvals may include: omalizumab for ages ≥ 6 years, given by SC injection every 2–4 weeks, with dose based on weight and serum IgE. May also be indicated for nasal polyps and chronic spontaneous (idiopathic) urticaria. Self-administration may be an option. Check local regulatory and payer criteria, as they may differ from these.

Mechanism: binds to Fc part of free IgE, preventing binding of IgE to Fc ϵ R1 receptors, reducing free IgE and down-regulating receptor expression.

Eligibility criteria (in addition to criteria for severe asthma) vary between payers, but usually include:

- Sensitization to inhaled allergen(s) on skin prick testing or specific IgE, and
- Total serum IgE and body weight within local dosing range, and
- More than a specified number of exacerbations within the last year.

Outcomes: Meta-analysis of RCTs in severe allergic asthma: 44% decrease in severe exacerbations; improved quality of life.²⁹⁴ No double-blind randomized controlled trials of OCS-sparing effect. In a meta-analysis of observational studies in patients with severe allergic asthma, there was a 59% reduction in exacerbation rate, a 41% reduction in the proportion of patients receiving maintenance OCS, and a significant improvement in symptom control.⁵⁹⁸ In patients with nasal polyps, omalizumab improved subjective and objective outcomes.⁵¹² Additional details about treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) are found on p.108. A registry study of omalizumab in pregnancy found no increased risk of congenital malformations.⁵⁴⁸

Potential predictors of good asthma response to omalizumab:

- Baseline IgE level does not predict likelihood of response⁵⁹⁹
- In a post-hoc analysis of one clinical trial, a greater decrease in exacerbations was observed (cf. placebo) with blood eosinophils $\geq 260/\mu\text{l}$ ^{600,601} or FeNO ≥ 19.5 ppb⁶⁰⁰ (these criteria representing their median value in that study) but in two large observational studies, exacerbations were reduced with both low or high blood eosinophils⁶⁰²⁻⁶⁰⁴ or with both low or high FeNO.⁶⁰⁴
- Childhood-onset asthma
- Clinical history suggesting allergen-driven symptoms.

Adverse effects: injection site reactions; anaphylaxis in approximately 0.2% patients⁶⁰⁵

Suggested initial trial: at least 4 months

Add-on anti-IL5 or anti-IL5R for severe eosinophilic asthma

Regulatory approvals may include: For ages ≥ 12 years: mepolizumab (anti-IL5), 100 mg by SC injection every 4 weeks, or benralizumab (anti-IL5 receptor α), 30 mg by SC injection every 4 weeks for 3 doses then every 8 weeks. For ages

≥18 years: reslizumab (anti-IL5), 3 mg/kg by IV infusion every 4 weeks. For ages 6–11 years, mepolizumab (anti-IL5), 40 mg by SC injection every 4 weeks. Mepolizumab may also be indicated for eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA), hypereosinophilic syndrome and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. Self-administration may be an option. Check local regulatory and payer criteria, as they may differ from these.

Mechanism: mepolizumab and reslizumab bind circulating IL-5; benralizumab binds to IL-5 receptor alpha subunit leading to apoptosis (cell death) of eosinophils.

Eligibility criteria (in addition to criteria for severe asthma): these vary by product and between payers, but usually include:

- More than a specified number of severe exacerbations in the last year, and
- Blood eosinophils above locally specified level (e.g., ≥150 or ≥300/μl). There is sometimes a different eosinophil cut-point for patients taking OCS.

Outcomes: Meta-analysis of RCTs in severe asthma patients with exacerbations in the last year, with varying eosinophil criteria: anti-IL5 and anti-IL5R led to 47–54% reduction in severe exacerbations. Improvements in quality of life, lung function and symptom control were significant,²⁹⁴ but less than the clinically important difference. All reduced blood eosinophils; almost completely with benralizumab.⁶⁰⁶ In post hoc analyses, clinical outcomes with mepolizumab or benralizumab were similar in patients with and without an allergic phenotype.^{607,608} In patients taking OCS, median OCS dose was able to be reduced by approximately 50% with mepolizumab⁶⁰⁹ or benralizumab²⁹⁷ compared with placebo. In urban children aged 6 years and older with eosinophilic exacerbation-prone asthma, an RCT showed a reduction in the number of exacerbations with subcutaneous mepolizumab versus placebo.²⁹⁸ No differences were seen in lung function, a composite asthma score (CASI), or physician–patient global assessment.²⁹⁸ In patients with nasal polyps, mepolizumab improved subjective and objective outcomes and reduced the need for surgery,^{513,514} and in patients with nasal polyps and severe eosinophilic asthma, benralizumab improved subjective outcomes for both conditions and improved quality of life.⁶¹⁰ Additional details about treatment of nasal polyps are found on p.108.

Potential predictors of good asthma response to anti-IL5 or anti-IL5R:

- Higher blood eosinophils (strongly predictive)⁶¹¹
- Higher number of severe exacerbations in previous year (strongly predictive)⁶¹¹
- Adult-onset asthma⁶¹²
- Nasal polyps⁶⁰⁸
- Maintenance OCS at baseline⁶⁰⁸
- Low lung function (FEV₁ <65% predicted in one study).⁶¹³

Adverse effects: In adults, injection site reactions; anaphylaxis rare; adverse events generally similar between active and placebo. In children, more skin/subcutaneous tissue and nervous system disorders (e.g., headache, dizziness, syncope) were seen with mepolizumab than placebo.²⁹⁸

Suggested initial trial: at least 4 months

Add-on anti-IL4Ra for severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma or patients requiring maintenance OCS

Regulatory approvals may include: For ages ≥12 years: dupilumab (anti-IL4 receptor α), 200 mg or 300 mg by SC injection every 2 weeks for severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma; 300 mg by SC injection every 2 weeks for OCS-dependent severe asthma or if there is concomitant moderate/severe atopic dermatitis. For children 6–11 years with severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma by SC injection with dose and frequency depending on weight. May also be indicated for treatment of skin conditions including moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and eosinophilic esophagitis. Self-administration may be an option. Check local regulatory and payer criteria, as they may differ from these.

Mechanism: binds to interleukin-4 (IL-4) receptor alpha, blocking both IL-4 and IL-13 signaling

Eligibility criteria (in addition to criteria for severe asthma): these vary between payers, but usually include:

- More than a specified number of severe exacerbations in the last year, and
- Type 2 biomarkers above a specified level (e.g., blood eosinophils $\geq 150/\mu\text{L}$ and $\leq 1500/\mu\text{L}$; or FeNO ≥ 25 ppb); OR requirement for maintenance OCS

Outcomes: Meta-analysis of RCTs in patients with uncontrolled severe asthma (ACQ-5 ≥ 1.5) and at least one exacerbation in the last year: anti-IL4R α led to 56% reduction in severe exacerbations; improvements in quality of life, symptom control and lung function were significant,³⁰³ but less than the clinically important difference. In a post hoc analysis, clinical outcomes were similar in patients with allergic and non-allergic phenotype at baseline.⁶¹⁴ In patients with OCS-dependent severe asthma, without minimum requirements for blood eosinophil count or FeNO, the median reduction in OCS dose with anti-IL4R α versus placebo was 50%.⁶¹⁵ In follow-up, changes were maintained through 2 years of follow-up.⁶¹⁶ In children 6–11 years with eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma, dupilumab reduced severe exacerbation rate and increased lung function; children taking maintenance OCS were excluded.³⁰⁰ In patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps, dupilumab reduced the size of nasal polyps, improved nasal symptoms and reduced the need for OCS or sinus surgery.^{515,617} Additional details about nasal polyps are found on p.108.

Potential predictors of good asthma response to dupilumab:

- Higher blood eosinophils (strongly predictive)³⁰¹
- Higher FeNO (strongly predictive)³⁰¹

Adverse effects: injection-site reactions; transient blood eosinophilia; rare cases of eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA). Anti-IL4R α is not suggested for patients with baseline or historic blood eosinophils $>1,500$ cells/ μL because of limited evidence (such patients were excluded from Phase III trials).

Suggested initial trial: at least 4 months

Add-on anti-TSLP for severe asthma

Regulatory approvals may include: For ages ≥ 12 years: tezepelumab (anti-TSLP), 210 mg by SC injection every 4 weeks. Self-administration may be an option. Check local regulatory and payer criteria, as they may differ from these.

Mechanism: tezepelumab binds circulating TSLP, a bronchial epithelial cell-derived alarmin implicated in multiple downstream processes involved in asthma pathophysiology.

Eligibility criteria (in addition to criteria for severe asthma): these vary between payers, but usually include:

- Severe exacerbations in the last year.

Anti-TSLP may also be considered in patients with no elevated T2 markers (section 7.1)

Outcomes: In two RCTs in severe asthma patients with severe exacerbations in the last year, anti-TSLP led to 30–70% reduction in severe exacerbations, and improved quality of life, lung function and symptom control, irrespective of allergic status.^{304,618} There was a clear correlation between higher baseline blood eosinophils or FeNO and better clinical outcomes.⁶¹⁸ In patients taking maintenance OCS, anti-TSLP did not lead to a reduced OCS dose compared with placebo.⁶¹⁹

Potential predictors of good asthma response to anti-TSLP:

- Higher blood eosinophils (strongly predictive)
- Higher FeNO levels (strongly predictive)

Adverse effects: injection site reactions; anaphylaxis is rare; adverse events generally similar between active and placebo groups

Suggested initial trial: at least 4 months

Review response to an initial trial of add-on Type 2-targeted therapy

- At present, there are no well-defined criteria for a good response, but consider exacerbations, symptom control, lung function, side-effects, treatment intensity (including OCS dose), and patient satisfaction
- If the response is unclear, consider extending the trial to 6–12 months
- If there is no response, stop the biologic therapy, and consider switching to a trial of a different Type 2-targeted therapy, if available and the patient is eligible. Also consider the patient's biomarkers (interval and during exacerbations, if available), and response of any comorbid Type 2 conditions (atopic dermatitis, nasal polyps etc). Review response as above.

MANAGE AND MONITOR SEVERE ASTHMA TREATMENT

9. REVIEW RESPONSE AND IMPLICATIONS FOR TREATMENT

Review response to add-on biologic therapy after 3–4 months, and every 3–6 months for ongoing care, including:

- Asthma: symptom control, e.g., Asthma Control Test, Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ-5); frequency and severity of exacerbations (e.g., whether OCS were needed), lung function
- Type 2 comorbidities, e.g. nasal polyps, atopic dermatitis
- Medications: treatment intensity, including dose of OCS, side-effects, affordability
- Patient satisfaction

If the patient has had a good response to Type 2 targeted therapy:

Re-evaluate the need for each asthma medication every 3–6 months, but do not completely stop inhaled therapy. Base the order of reduction or cessation of add-on treatments on the observed benefit when they were started, patient risk factors, medication side-effects, cost, and patient satisfaction.

For oral treatments, consider gradually decreasing or stopping OCS first, because of their significant adverse effects. Tapering in severe asthma may be supported by internet-based monitoring of symptom control and FeNO.⁶²⁰ Monitor patients for risk of adrenal insufficiency, and provide patient and primary care physician with advice about the need for extra corticosteroid doses during injury, illness or surgery for up to 6 months after cessation of long-term OCS. Continue to assess for presence of osteoporosis, and review need for preventative strategies including bisphosphonates.³¹¹

For inhaled treatments, consider reducing the ICS dose after 3–6 months, but do not completely stop inhaled therapy. Current consensus advice is to continue at least medium-dose ICS. Patients should be reminded of the importance of continuing their ICS-containing treatment.

For biologic treatments, current consensus advice is that, generally, for a patient with a good response, a trial of withdrawal of the biologic should not be considered until after at least 12 months of treatment, and only if asthma remains well controlled on medium-dose ICS therapy, and (for allergic asthma) there is no further exposure to a previous well-documented allergic trigger. There are few studies of cessation of biologic therapy,^{621,622} in these studies, symptom control worsened and/or exacerbations recurred for many (but not all) patients after cessation of the biologic. For example, in a double-blind randomized controlled trial, significantly more patients who stopped mepolizumab experienced a severe exacerbation within 12 months compared with those who continued treatment. There was a small increase in ACQ-5 but no significant difference between groups.⁶²³ Long-term safety of several biologics has been reported up to 5 or more years.⁶²⁴⁻⁶²⁶

If the patient has NOT had a good response to any Type 2-targeted therapy:

Stop the biologic therapy

Review the basics for factors contributing to symptoms, exacerbations and poor quality of life (see Section 2): diagnosis/differential diagnosis, inhaler technique, adherence, modifiable risk factors and triggers including smoking and

other environmental exposures at home or work, comorbidities including obesity, medication side-effects or drug interactions, socio-economic and mental health issues.

Consider additional investigations (if not already done): high resolution chest CT; induced sputum to confirm inflammatory phenotype, consider bronchoscopy for alternative or additional diagnoses, consider referral if available, including for diagnosis of alternative conditions.

Reassess treatment options (if not already done), such as:

- Add-on low-dose azithromycin^{292,595} (adults only; first check sputum for atypical mycobacteria and check ECG for long QTc (and re-check after a month on treatment); consider potential for antibiotic resistance)
- As last resort, consider add-on low-dose maintenance OCS, but implement strategies such as alternate-day therapy and add-on bisphosphonates to minimize side-effects,³¹¹ and alert patient to the need for additional corticosteroid therapy during illness or surgery.
- Consider bronchial thermoplasty (+ registry).

Stop ineffective add-on therapies, but do not completely stop ICS

10. CONTINUE COLLABORATIVE OPTIMIZATION OF PATIENT CARE

Ongoing management of a patient with severe asthma involves a collaboration between the patient, the primary care physician, specialist(s), and other health professionals, to optimize clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Continue to review the patient every 3–6 months including:

- Clinical asthma measures (symptom control; exacerbations; lung function)
- Comorbidities
- The patient's risk factors for exacerbations
- Treatments (check inhaler technique and adherence; review need for add-on treatments; assess side-effects including of OCS; optimize comorbidity management and non-pharmacologic strategies)
- The patient's social and emotional needs.

The optimal frequency and location of review (primary care physician or specialist) will depend on the patient's asthma control, risk factors and comorbidities, and their confidence in self-management, and may depend on local payer requirements and availability of specialist physicians.

Communicate regularly about:

- Outcome of review visits (as above)
- Patient concerns
- Action plan for worsening asthma or other risks
- Changes to medications (asthma and non-asthma); potential side-effects
- Indications and contact details for expedited review.

Chapter 4.

**Management of
worsening asthma
and exacerbations**

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

KEY POINTS

Terminology

- Exacerbations represent an acute or sub-acute worsening in symptoms and lung function from the patient's usual status, or in some cases, a patient may present for the first time during an exacerbation.
- The terms 'episodes', 'attacks' and 'acute severe asthma' are also often used, but they have variable meanings. The term 'flare-up' is preferable for use in discussions with most patients.
- Patients who are at increased risk of asthma-related death should be identified, and flagged for more frequent review.

Written asthma action plans

- All patients should be provided with a written (i.e., printed, digital or pictorial) asthma action plan appropriate for their age, their current treatment regimen and their reliever inhaler (short-acting beta₂ agonist [SABA] or combination inhaled corticosteroids [ICS]-formoterol), their level of asthma control, and their health literacy, so they know how to recognize and respond to worsening asthma.
- On the action plan, state when and how to change reliever and/or maintenance medications, use oral corticosteroids (OCS) if needed, and access medical care if symptoms fail to respond to treatment.
- Advise patients who have a history of rapid deterioration to go to an acute care facility or see their doctor immediately their asthma starts to worsen.
- Base the action plan on changes in symptoms or (only in adults) peak expiratory flow (PEF).

Management of exacerbations in a primary care or acute care facility

- Assess exacerbation severity from the degree of dyspnea, respiratory rate, pulse rate, oxygen saturation and lung function, while starting SABA and oxygen therapy. Infection control procedures should be followed.
- Arrange immediate transfer to an acute care facility if there are signs of severe exacerbation, or to intensive care if the patient is drowsy, confused, or has a silent chest. During transfer, give inhaled SABA and ipratropium bromide, controlled oxygen and systemic corticosteroids.
- Start treatment with repeated administration of SABA (in most patients, by pressurized metered-dose inhaler [pMDI] and spacer), early introduction of oral corticosteroids, and controlled flow oxygen if available. Review response of symptoms, oxygen saturation and lung function after 1 hour. Give ipratropium bromide only for severe exacerbations. Consider intravenous magnesium sulfate for patients with severe exacerbations not responding to initial treatment.
- Do not routinely request a chest X-ray, and do not routinely prescribe antibiotics for asthma exacerbations.
- Decide about hospitalization based on the patient's clinical status, lung function, response to treatment, recent and past history of exacerbations, and ability to manage at home.

Discharge management

- Arrange ongoing treatment before the patient goes home. This should include starting ICS-containing controller treatment or stepping up the dose of existing ICS-containing treatment for 2–4 weeks, and reducing reliever medication to as-needed use.
- Arrange early follow-up after any exacerbation, regardless of where it was managed. At follow-up:
 - Review the patient's symptom control and risk factors for further exacerbations.
 - Prescribe ICS-containing controller therapy to reduce the risk of further exacerbations. If already taking ICS-containing therapy, continue increased doses for 2–4 weeks.
 - Provide a written asthma action plan and, where relevant, advice about avoiding exacerbation triggers
- Check inhaler technique and adherence.

For management of asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger, see Chapter 6, p.187.

OVERVIEW

Definition of asthma exacerbations

Exacerbations of asthma are episodes characterized by a progressive increase in symptoms of shortness of breath, cough, wheezing or chest tightness and progressive decrease in lung function, i.e. they represent a change from the patient's usual status that is sufficient to require a change in treatment.²⁹ Exacerbations may occur in patients with a pre-existing diagnosis of asthma or, occasionally, as the first presentation of asthma.

What triggers asthma exacerbations?

Exacerbations usually occur in response to exposure to an external agent (e.g. viral upper respiratory tract infection, pollen or pollution) and/or poor adherence with ICS-containing medication; however, a subset of patients present more acutely and without exposure to known risk factors.^{627,628} Severe exacerbations can occur in patients with mild or well-controlled asthma symptoms.^{22,219} Box 2-2B (p.38) lists factors that increase a patient's risk of exacerbations, independent of their level of symptom control.

Common exacerbation triggers include:

- Viral respiratory infections, ⁶²⁹ e.g. rhinovirus, influenza, adenovirus, pertussis, respiratory syncytial virus
- Allergen exposure e.g., grass pollen,⁶³⁰ soybean dust,⁶³¹ fungal spores
- Food allergy¹⁰⁵
- Outdoor air pollution^{111,112,632}
- Seasonal changes and/or returning to school in fall (autumn)⁶³³
- Poor adherence with ICS⁶³⁴
- Epidemics of severe asthma exacerbations may occur suddenly, putting high pressure on local health system responses. Such epidemics have been reported in association with springtime thunderstorms and either rye grass pollen or fungal spores,⁶³⁵ and with environmental exposure to soy bean dust.⁶³¹

Identifying patients at risk of asthma-related death

In addition to factors known to increase the risk of asthma exacerbations (Box 2-2, p.38), some features are specifically associated with an increase in the risk of asthma-related death (Box 4-1). The presence of one or more of these risk factors should be quickly identifiable in the clinical notes, and these patients should be encouraged to seek urgent medical care early in the course of an exacerbation.

Box 4-1. Factors that increase the risk of asthma-related death

- A history of near-fatal asthma requiring intubation and mechanical ventilation⁶³⁶
- Hospitalization^{636,637} or emergency care visit for asthma in the past year
- Currently using or having recently stopped using oral corticosteroids (a marker of event severity)⁶³⁶
- Not currently using inhaled corticosteroids^{101,636}
- Over-use of short-acting beta₂-agonists (SABAs), especially use of more than one canister of salbutamol (or equivalent) monthly^{75,121,638}
- Poor adherence with ICS-containing medications and/or poor adherence with (or lack of) a written asthma action plan¹¹³
- A history of psychiatric disease or psychosocial problems¹¹³
- Food allergy in a patient with asthma^{499,639}
- Several comorbidities including pneumonia, diabetes and arrhythmias were independently associated with an increased risk of death after hospitalization for an asthma exacerbation.⁶³⁷

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Terminology about exacerbations

The academic term '*exacerbation*' is commonly used in scientific and clinical literature, although hospital-based studies more often refer to '*acute severe asthma*'. However, the term '*exacerbation*' is not suitable for use in clinical practice, as it is difficult for many patients to pronounce and remember.^{640,641} The term '*flare-up*' is simpler, and conveys the sense that asthma is present even when symptoms are absent. The term '*attack*' is used by many patients and health care providers but with widely varying meanings, and it may not be perceived as including gradual worsening.^{640,641} In pediatric literature, the term 'episode' is commonly used, but understanding of this term by parent/caregivers is not known.

DIAGNOSIS OF EXACERBATIONS

Exacerbations represent a change in symptoms and lung function from the patient's usual status.²⁹ The decrease in expiratory airflow can be quantified by lung function measurements such as PEF or forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁),⁶⁴² compared with the patient's previous lung function or predicted values. In the acute setting, these measurements are more reliable indicators of the severity of the exacerbation than symptoms. The frequency of symptoms may, however, be a more sensitive measure of the onset of an exacerbation than PEF.⁶⁴³ Consider the possibility of pertussis in a patient with an atypical exacerbation presentation, with predominant cough.

A minority of patients perceive airflow limitation poorly and can experience a significant decline in lung function without a change in symptoms.^{136,148,156} This especially affects patients with a history of near-fatal asthma and also appears to be more common in males. Regular PEF monitoring may be considered for such patients.

Severe exacerbations are potentially life-threatening and their treatment requires careful assessment and close monitoring. Patients with severe exacerbations should be advised to see their health care provider promptly or, depending on the organization of local health services, to proceed to the nearest facility that provides emergency access for patients with acute asthma.

SELF-MANAGEMENT OF EXACERBATIONS WITH A WRITTEN ASTHMA ACTION PLAN

All patients with asthma, and parents/caregivers of children with asthma, should be provided with guided self-management education as described in Chapter 3.3 (p.98). The definition of guided self-management education includes monitoring of symptoms and/or lung function, a written asthma action plan, and regular review by a health professional.⁴⁷¹ (For children 5 years and younger, see Chapter 6, p.169). A written (i.e., documented) asthma action plan may be printed, digital, or pictorial, to suit the patient's needs and literacy.

A written asthma action plan helps patients to recognize and respond appropriately to worsening asthma. It should include specific instructions for the patient about changes to reliever and/or maintenance medications, when and how to use OCS if needed (Box 4-2) and when and how to access medical care.

The criteria for initiating an increase in maintenance medication will vary from patient to patient. In studies that evaluated an increase in maintenance ICS-containing treatment, this was usually initiated when there was a clinically important change from the patient's usual level of asthma control, for example, if asthma symptoms were interfering with normal activities, or PEF had fallen by >20% for more than 2 days.⁴⁷⁶

For patients prescribed an anti-inflammatory reliever (as-needed combination ICS-formoterol or ICS-SABA), this provides a small extra dose of ICS as well as a rapid-acting bronchodilator without delay whenever the reliever is used, as the first step in the patient's action plan; this approach reduces the risk of progressing to severe exacerbation and need for oral corticosteroids. In the case of as-needed ICS-formoterol, both the ICS and the formoterol appear to contribute to the reduction in severe exacerbations compared with using a SABA reliever.²²⁹ See Box 3-15 (p.80) for more details about as-needed ICS-formoterol, including medications and dosages.

A specific action plan template is available for patients prescribed maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol;⁸ it can also be modified for patients prescribed as-needed-only ICS-formoterol.

Treatment options for written asthma action plans – relievers

Inhaled combination ICS-formoterol reliever

In adults and adolescents, use of as-needed combination low-dose ICS-formoterol for symptom relief (without maintenance treatment) reduced the risk of severe exacerbations requiring OCS or requiring emergency department visit or hospitalization by 65% compared with SABA-only treatment,¹⁶⁷. It also reduced the risk of needing an emergency department visit or hospitalization by 37% compared with daily ICS plus as-needed SABA.¹⁶⁷ After a day of even small increased doses of ICS-formoterol, the risk of severe exacerbation in the following 3 weeks was reduced compared with using the same doses of SABA alone.⁷⁹ Details about the evidence are found on p.68 and p.71.

In adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years, maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART) with ICS-formoterol reduced the risk of severe exacerbations compared with the same or higher dose of ICS or ICS-LABA, with similar symptom control.^{213,245,268} Details about the evidence are found on p.74 and p.76.

Information about medications and doses for use of as-needed ICS-formoterol is summarized in Box 3-15, p.80. For adults and adolescents, the evidence is with use of budesonide-formoterol 200/6 mcg metered dose (160/4.5 mcg delivered dose) by dry powder inhaler and, for children aged 6–11 prescribed MART, budesonide-formoterol 100/6 mcg metered dose (80/4.5 mcg delivered dose) by dry powder inhaler. Patients prescribed ICS-formoterol as their reliever (with or without maintenance ICS-formoterol) should take 1 inhalation of their ICS-formoterol reliever whenever needed for symptom relief. If necessary, an extra dose can be taken a few minutes later. Additional doses are taken when symptoms recur, even if this is within 4 hours, but the maximum total recommended dose in any single day for adults and adolescents is 12 inhalations for budesonide-formoterol (total 72 mcg formoterol [54 mcg delivered dose]) and 8 inhalations for budesonide-formoterol in children and for beclometasone-formoterol in adults (48 mcg formoterol [36 mcg delivered dose]). This is the maximum total of as-needed doses and maintenance doses, if used.

If the patient is rapidly worsening, or has failed to respond to an increase in as-needed doses of ICS-formoterol over 2–3 days, they should contact their healthcare provider or seek medical assistance.

Inhaled combination ICS-SABA reliever

For adults prescribed as-needed combination ICS-SABA reliever with maintenance ICS-containing therapy, the recommended dose is 2 inhalations of budesonide-salbutamol [albuterol] 100/100 mcg metered dose (80/90 mcg delivered dose) as needed, a maximum of 6 times in a day. Overall, in patients on Step 3–5 therapy, this reduced the risk of severe exacerbations by 26% compared with using a SABA reliever, with the greatest benefit seen in patients taking maintenance low dose ICS-LABA or medium-dose ICS.²⁵⁹ There is only limited evidence about use of as-needed ICS-SABA alone, i.e. without maintenance ICS or ICS-LABA (see p.73).

If the patient is rapidly worsening, or is needing repeated doses of as-needed ICS-SABA reliever over 1–2 days, they should contact their healthcare provider or seek medical assistance.

Inhaled SABA reliever

For patients prescribed a SABA bronchodilator reliever, repeated dosing provides temporary relief until the cause of the worsening symptoms passes or increased ICS-containing treatment has had time to take effect. However, use of SABA reliever is less effective in preventing progression to severe exacerbation requiring OCS than use of low-dose ICS-formoterol reliever, either with²¹³ or without^{202,203} daily maintenance ICS-containing treatment, or than combination ICS-SABA reliever (see Chapter 3).

The need for repeated doses of SABA over more than 1–2 days signals the need to review, and possibly increase, ICS-containing treatment if this has not already been done. This is particularly important if there has been a lack of response.

Treatment options for written asthma action plans – maintenance medications

Maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) with combination low-dose ICS-formoterol

In adults and adolescents, the combination of a rapid-onset LABA (formoterol) and low-dose ICS (budesonide or beclometasone) in a single inhaler as both the maintenance and the reliever medication was effective in improving asthma symptom control,⁶⁴⁴ and it reduced exacerbations requiring OCS, and hospitalizations^{213,245-248} compared with the same or higher dose of ICS or ICS-LABA with as-needed SABA reliever (Evidence A). This regimen was also effective in reducing exacerbations in children aged 4–11 years (Evidence B).²⁶⁸

For adults and adolescents prescribed MART, the recommended maximum total dose of formoterol in 24 hours with budesonide-formoterol is 72 mcg (delivered dose 54 mcg) and with beclometasone-formoterol is 48 mcg (delivered dose 36 mcg) (See Box 3-15, p.80). This approach should not be attempted with other combination ICS-LABA medications with a slower-onset LABA, or that lack evidence of efficacy and safety with a maintenance and reliever regimen.

The benefit of the MART regimen in reducing the risk of severe exacerbations requiring OCS appears to be due to the increase in doses of both the ICS and the formoterol at a *very early stage* of worsening asthma.⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹

In an action plan for patients prescribed maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol, the maintenance dose does not normally need to be increased. Instead, the patient increases their *as-needed* doses of ICS-formoterol. More details of medications and doses for different age-groups are available in Box 3-15, p.80. Examples of action plans customized for MART are available online.^{8,312}

Other ICS and ICS-LABA maintenance treatment regimens plus as-needed SABA

In a systematic review, self-management studies in which the ICS dose was at least doubled were associated with improved asthma outcomes and reduced health care utilization (Evidence A).⁴⁷⁶ In placebo-controlled trials, temporarily doubling the dose of ICS was not effective (Evidence A);⁶⁴⁵ however, the delay before increasing the ICS dose (mean 5–7 days^{646,647}) may have contributed. Some studies in adults⁶⁴⁸ and young children⁶⁴⁹ have reported that higher ICS doses might help prevent worsening asthma progressing to a severe exacerbation. In a randomized controlled trial in primary care with patients aged ≥ 16 years, those who quadrupled their ICS dose (to average of 2000 mcg/day beclometasone dipropionate (BDP) equivalent) after their PEF fell were significantly less likely to require OCS.⁶⁵⁰ In an open-label primary care randomized controlled trial of adult and adolescent patients using ICS with or without LABA, early quadrupling of ICS dose (to average 3200 mcg/day BDP equivalent) was associated with a modest reduction in prescribing of OCS.⁶⁵¹ However, a double-blind placebo-controlled study in children 5–11 years with high adherence to low-dose ICS found no difference in the rate of severe exacerbations requiring OCS if maintenance ICS was quintupled (to 1600 mcg BDP equivalent) versus continuing maintenance low-dose therapy.⁶⁵² Given the shape of the ICS dose-response curve, little benefit may be seen from increasing maintenance ICS when background adherence is high, as in this study.

In addition, in several of the studies evaluating ICS increases,^{646,647,652} a pre-specified level of deterioration in symptoms (\pm lung function) had to be reached before the extra ICS could be started. This may help to explain the greater reduction in severe exacerbations seen with maintenance and reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol, where there is no lag before the doses of both ICS and formoterol are increased.

In adult with an acute deterioration, high-dose ICS for 7–14 days (500–1600 mcg BDP-HFA equivalent) had an equivalent benefit to a short course of OCS (Evidence A).⁶⁴⁸ For adults taking combination ICS-LABA with as-needed SABA, the ICS dose may be increased by adding a separate ICS inhaler (Evidence D).^{648,651}

Leukotriene receptor antagonists

For patients with mild asthma using a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) as their controller, there are no specific studies about how to manage worsening asthma. Clinicians' judgment should be used (Evidence D).

Oral corticosteroids

For most patients, the written asthma action plan should provide instructions for when and how to commence OCS. Typically, a short course of OCS is used (e.g. for adults, 40–50 mg/day usually for 5–7 days,⁶⁴⁸ Evidence B) for patients who:

- Fail to respond to an increase in reliever and ICS-containing maintenance medication for 2–3 days
- Deteriorate rapidly or who have a PEF or FEV₁ <60% of their personal best or predicted value
- Have worsening asthma and a history of sudden severe exacerbations.

For children 6–11 years, the recommended dose of prednisone is 1–2 mg/kg/day to a maximum of 40 mg/day (Evidence B), usually for 3–5 days. Patients should be advised about common side-effects, including sleep disturbance, increased appetite, reflux, and mood changes.⁶⁵³ Patients should contact their doctor if they start taking OCS (Evidence D).

Optimize asthma treatment to minimize the risk of exacerbations and the adverse effects of OCS

- OCS can be life-saving during severe asthma exacerbations, but there is increasing awareness of the risks of repeated courses.
- In adults, short-term adverse effects of OCS include sleep disturbance, increased appetite, reflux, mood changes,⁶⁵³ sepsis, pneumonia, and thromboembolism.⁵²⁶
- In adults, even 4–5 lifetime courses of OCS are associated with a significantly increased dose-dependent risk of diabetes, cataract, heart failure, osteoporosis and several other conditions.³⁰⁹
- The need for OCS can be reduced by optimizing inhaled therapy, including attention to inhaler technique and adherence.
- For adults and adolescents, GINA Track 1 with ICS-formoterol as anti-inflammatory reliever reduces the risk of severe exacerbations requiring OCS compared with using a SABA reliever (see Box 3-12, p.65).

Reviewing response

Patients should see their doctor immediately or go to an acute care unit if their asthma continues to deteriorate despite following their written asthma action plan, or if their asthma suddenly worsens.

Follow up after a self-managed exacerbation

After a self-managed exacerbation, patients should see their primary care health care provider for a semi-urgent review (e.g. within 1–2 weeks, but preferably before ceasing oral corticosteroids if prescribed), for assessment of symptom control and additional risk factors for exacerbations (Box 2-2, p.38), and to identify the potential cause of the exacerbation. This visit provides an opportunity for additional asthma education by a trained asthma educator or trained lay healthcare worker.


The written asthma action plan should be reviewed to see if it met the patient's needs. Maintenance asthma treatment can generally be reduced to previous levels 2–4 weeks after the exacerbation (Evidence D), unless the history suggests that the exacerbation occurred on a background of long-term poorly controlled asthma. In this situation, provided inhaler technique and adherence have been checked, a step up in treatment may be indicated (Box 3-12, p.65).

Patients with more than 1–2 exacerbations per year despite Step 4–5 therapy (or Step 4 therapy in children 6–11 years), or with several emergency department visits, should be referred to a specialist center, if available, for assessment and strategies to reduce their risk of future exacerbations and their risk of exposure to OCS. See decision tree for difficult-to-treat and severe asthma in Chapter 3.5, p.120.

Box 4-2. Self-management of worsening asthma in adults and adolescents with a written asthma action plan

Effective asthma self-management education requires:

- Self-monitoring of symptoms and/or lung function
- Written asthma action plan
- Regular medical review



Medication	Short-term change (1–2 weeks) for worsening asthma	Evidence level
Increase usual reliever:		
Low-dose ICS-formoterol †	Increase frequency of as-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol (for patients prescribed this as-needed only, or with maintenance ICS-formoterol). † See Box 3-15 (p.80) for details of medications and doses.	A
Short-acting beta ₂ -agonist (SABA)	Increase frequency of SABA use For pMDI, add spacer	A A
Combination ICS-SABA	Increase frequency of as-needed ICS-SABA# (see p.143)	B
Increase usual maintenance treatment:		
Maintenance and reliever ICS-formoterol (MART)†	Continue usual maintenance dose of ICS-formoterol and increase reliever ICS-formoterol as needed.† See Box 3-15 (p.80) for details.	A
Maintenance ICS with SABA as reliever	Consider quadrupling ICS dose.	B
Maintenance ICS-formoterol with SABA as reliever †	Consider quadrupling maintenance ICS-formoterol.†	B
Maintenance ICS plus other LABA with SABA as reliever	Step up to higher dose formulation of ICS plus other LABA, if available In adults, consider adding a separate ICS inhaler to quadruple ICS dose.	B D
Add oral corticosteroids (OCS) and contact doctor; review before ceasing		
OCS (prednisone or prednisolone)	Add OCS for severe exacerbations (e.g., PEF or FEV ₁ <60% personal best or predicted), or patient not responding to treatment over 48 hours. Once started, morning dosing is preferable.	A
	<i>Adults:</i> prednisolone* 40-50 mg/day, usually for 5–7 days.	D
	Tapering is not needed if OCS are prescribed for less than 2 weeks.	B

See list of abbreviations (p.10). Options in each section are listed in order of evidence. *or equivalent dose of prednisone. † ICS-formoterol as-needed for relief of symptoms in mild asthma ('AIR-only'), or as part of maintenance and reliever therapy (MART) with low-dose combination budesonide or beclomethasone with formoterol. See Box 3-15, p.80 for details of medications and doses with ICS-formoterol. The maximum recommended dose in a single day for adults and adolescents is a total of 12 inhalations of budesonide-formoterol (72 mcg formoterol, 54 mcg delivered dose) or 8 inhalations of beclomethasone-formoterol (48 mcg formoterol, 36 mcg delivered dose). # Combination budesonide-salbutamol (albuterol) 2 puffs of 100/100 mcg (delivered dose 80/90 mcg) maximum 6 times in a day.²⁵⁹ See text for action plan options in children.

MANAGEMENT OF ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS IN PRIMARY CARE (ADULTS, ADOLESCENTS, CHILDREN 6–11 YEARS)

Assessing exacerbation severity

A brief focused history and relevant physical examination should be conducted concurrently with the prompt initiation of therapy, and findings documented in the notes. If the patient shows signs of a severe or life-threatening exacerbation, treatment with SABA, controlled oxygen and systemic corticosteroids should be initiated while arranging for the patient's urgent transfer to an acute care facility where monitoring and expertise are more readily available. Milder exacerbations can usually be treated in a primary care setting, depending on resources and expertise.

History

The history should include:

- Timing of onset and cause (if known) of the present exacerbation
- Severity of asthma symptoms, including any limiting exercise or disturbing sleep
- Any symptoms of anaphylaxis
- Any risk factors for asthma-related death (Box 4-1, p.141)
- All current reliever and maintenance medications, including doses and devices prescribed, adherence pattern, any recent dose changes, and response to current therapy.

Physical examination

The physical examination should assess:

- Signs of exacerbation severity (Box 4-3, p.148) and vital signs (e.g., level of consciousness, temperature, pulse rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, ability to complete sentences, use of accessory muscles, wheeze).
- Complicating factors (e.g., anaphylaxis, pneumonia, pneumothorax)
- Signs of alternative conditions that could explain acute breathlessness (e.g., cardiac failure, inducible laryngeal obstruction, inhaled foreign body or pulmonary embolism).

Objective measurements

- Pulse oximetry. Saturation levels <90% in children or adults signal the need for aggressive therapy. The FDA has issued a safety communication alerting healthcare professionals to the fact that, under conditions of hypoxemia, oxygen saturation may be over-estimated by pulse oximeters in people with dark skin color.⁶⁵⁴
- PEF in patients older than 5 years (Box 4-3, p.148)


Treating exacerbations in primary care

The main initial therapies include repetitive administration of rapid-acting inhaled bronchodilators, early introduction of systemic corticosteroids, and controlled flow oxygen supplementation.⁶⁴² The aim is to rapidly relieve airflow obstruction and hypoxemia, address the underlying inflammatory pathophysiology, and prevent relapse. Infection control procedures should be followed.

Inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists

Currently, inhaled salbutamol (albuterol) is the usual bronchodilator for acute asthma management. For mild to moderate exacerbations, repeated administration of inhaled SABA (up to 4–10 puffs every 20 minutes for the first hour) is an effective and efficient way to achieve rapid reversal of airflow limitation⁶⁵⁵ (Evidence A). After the first hour, the dose of SABA required varies from 4–10 puffs every 3–4 hours up to 6–10 puffs every 1–2 hours, or more often. No additional SABA is needed if there is a good response to initial treatment (e.g. PEF >60–80% of predicted or personal best for 3–4 hours).

Box 4-3. Management of asthma exacerbations in primary care (adults, adolescents, children 6–11 years)



See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Delivery of SABA via a pMDI and spacer or a DPI leads to a similar improvement in lung function as delivery via nebulizer (Evidence A);^{655,656} however, patients with acute severe asthma were not included in these studies. The most cost-effective route of delivery is pMDI and spacer,⁶⁵⁷ provided the patient can use this device. Because of static charge, some spacers require pre-washing with detergent before use. The manufacturer's advice should be followed.

Combination ICS-formoterol in management of acute asthma exacerbations

Combination ICS-formoterol (budesonide-formoterol or beclometasone-formoterol) is now widely used as an anti-inflammatory reliever as part of routine asthma management in adults and adolescents, because it reduces the risk of severe exacerbations and exposure to OCS compared with use of a SABA reliever (GINA Track 1, p.58). Up to 12 inhalations of budesonide-formoterol 200/6 mcg (or 8 inhalations of beclometasone-formoterol 100/6) can be taken in a single day if needed (see Box 3-15, p.80 for details of medications and doses).

In emergency departments, a randomized controlled trial in adult and adolescent patients with average FEV₁ 42–45% predicted compared the effect of 2 doses of budesonide-formoterol 400/12 mcg (delivered dose 320/9 mcg) versus 8 doses of salbutamol (albuterol) 100 mcg (delivered dose 90 mcg), with these doses repeated again after 5 minutes; all patients received OCS. Lung function was similar over 3 hours, but pulse rate was higher in the SABA group⁶⁵⁸. A meta-analysis of earlier RCTs found that the efficacy and safety of formoterol itself was similar to that of salbutamol [albuterol] in management of acute asthma.⁶⁵⁹ Formoterol is no longer used for this purpose, but there is no evidence that budesonide-formoterol would be less effective in management of asthma exacerbations.⁶⁵⁹ More studies are needed. There are no published data on use of combination ICS-SABA in an emergency department setting.

Controlled oxygen therapy (if available)

Oxygen therapy should be titrated against pulse oximetry (if available) to maintain oxygen saturation at 93–95% (94–98% for children 6–11 years); note the FDA caution above about potential overestimation of saturation in people with dark skin color (p.147). In hospitalized asthma patients, controlled or titrated oxygen therapy is associated with lower mortality and better outcomes than high concentration (100%) oxygen therapy⁶⁶⁰⁻⁶⁶³ (Evidence A). Oxygen should not be withheld if oximetry is not available, but the patient should be monitored for deterioration, somnolence or fatigue because of the risk of hypercapnia and respiratory failure.⁶⁶⁰⁻⁶⁶³ If supplemental oxygen is administered, oxygen saturation should be maintained no higher than 96% in adults.⁶⁶⁴

Systemic corticosteroids

OCS should be given promptly, especially if the patient is deteriorating, or had already increased their reliever and maintenance ICS-containing medications before presenting (Evidence B). The recommended dose of prednisolone for adults is 1 mg/kg/day or equivalent up to a maximum of 50 mg/day, and 1–2 mg/kg/day for children 6–11 years up to a maximum of 40 mg/day). OCS should usually be continued for 5–7 days in adults^{665,666} and 3-5 days in children⁶⁶⁷ (Evidence B). Patients should be advised about common short-term side-effects, including sleep disturbance, increased appetite, reflux and mood changes.⁶⁵³ In adults, the risk of sepsis and thromboembolism is also increased after a short course of OCS.⁵²⁶ While OCS are life-saving for acute severe asthma, use of 4–5 lifetime courses in adults is associated with a dose-dependent increased risk of long-term adverse effects such as osteoporosis, fractures, diabetes, heart failure and cataract.³⁰⁹ This emphasizes the importance of optimizing asthma management after any severe exacerbation to reduce the risk of further exacerbations (see Chapter 3.2, p.53).

Maintenance ICS-containing medication

Patients already prescribed maintenance ICS-containing medication should be provided with advice about increasing the dose for the next 2–4 weeks, as summarized in Box 4-2 (p.146). Patients not currently taking controller medication should be commenced on ICS-containing therapy, as SABA-only treatment of asthma is no longer recommended. An exacerbation requiring medical care indicates that the patient is at increased risk of future exacerbations (Box 2-2, p.38).

Antibiotics (not recommended)

Evidence does not support routine use of antibiotics in the treatment of acute asthma exacerbations unless there is strong evidence of lung infection (e.g. fever and purulent sputum or radiographic evidence of pneumonia).⁶⁶⁸

Reviewing response

During treatment, patients should be closely monitored, and treatment titrated according to their response. Patients who present with signs of a severe or life-threatening exacerbation (Box 4-3, p.148), who fail to respond to treatment, or who continue to deteriorate should be transferred immediately to an acute care facility. Patients with little or slow response to SABA treatment should be closely monitored.

For many patients, lung function can be monitored after SABA therapy is initiated. Additional treatment should continue until PEF or FEV₁ reaches a plateau or (ideally) returns to the patient's previous best. A decision can then be made whether to send the patient home or transfer them to an acute care facility.

Follow up

Discharge medications should include regular maintenance ICS-containing treatment (see Box 3-15, p.80 and Box 4-5, p.157), as-needed reliever medication (low-dose ICS-formoterol, ICS-SABA or SABA) and a short course of OCS. SABA-only treatment is not recommended. Inhaler technique and adherence should be reviewed before discharge. Patients should be advised to use their reliever inhaler only as-needed, rather than routinely. A follow-up appointment should be arranged for about 2–7 days later, depending on the clinical and social context.

At the review visit the health care provider should assess whether the flare-up has resolved, and whether OCS can be ceased. They should assess the patient's level of symptom control and risk factors; explore the potential cause of the exacerbation; and review the written asthma action plan (or provide one if the patient does not already have one). Maintenance ICS-containing treatment can generally be stepped back to pre-exacerbation levels 2–4 weeks after the exacerbation. However, if the exacerbation was preceded by symptoms suggestive of chronically poorly controlled asthma, and inhaler technique and adherence are good, a step up in treatment (Box 3-12, p.65) may be indicated.

MANAGEMENT OF ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT (ADULTS, ADOLESCENTS, CHILDREN 6–11 YEARS)

Severe exacerbations of asthma are life-threatening medical emergencies, which are most safely managed in an acute care setting e.g., emergency department (Box 4-4). Infection control procedures should be followed. Management of asthma in the intensive care unit is beyond the scope of this report and readers are referred to a comprehensive review.⁶⁶⁹

Assessment

History

A brief history and physical examination should be conducted concurrently with the prompt initiation of therapy. Include:

- Time of onset and cause (if known) of the present exacerbation
- Severity of asthma symptoms, including any limiting exercise or disturbing sleep
- Any symptoms of anaphylaxis
- Risk factors for asthma-related death (Box 4-1, p.141)
- All current reliever and maintenance medications, including doses and devices prescribed, adherence pattern, any recent dose changes, and response to current therapy.

Physical examination

The physical examination should assess:

- Signs of exacerbation severity (Box 4-4), including vital signs (e.g., level of consciousness, temperature, pulse rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, ability to complete sentences, use of accessory muscles)
- Complicating factors (e.g., anaphylaxis, pneumonia, atelectasis, pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum)
- Signs of alternative conditions that could explain acute breathlessness (e.g., cardiac failure, inducible laryngeal obstruction, inhaled foreign body or pulmonary embolism).

Objective assessments

Objective assessments are also needed as the physical examination alone may not indicate the severity of the exacerbation.^{670,671} However, patients, and not their laboratory values, should be the focus of treatment.

- *Measurement of lung function:* this is strongly recommended. If possible, and without unduly delaying treatment, PEF or FEV₁ should be recorded before treatment is initiated, although spirometry may not be possible in children with acute asthma. Lung function should be monitored at one hour and at intervals until a clear response to treatment has occurred or a plateau is reached.
- *Oxygen saturation:* this should be closely monitored, preferably by pulse oximetry. In children, oxygen saturation is normally ≥95% when breathing room air at sea level, and saturation <92% is a predictor of the need for hospitalization (Evidence C).⁶⁷² Saturation levels <90% in children or adults signal the need for aggressive therapy. Subject to clinical urgency, saturation should be assessed before oxygen is commenced, or 5 minutes after oxygen is removed or when saturation stabilizes. The FDA has issued a safety communication alerting healthcare professionals to the fact that, under conditions of hypoxemia, oxygen saturation may be over-estimated by pulse oximeters in people with dark skin color.⁶⁵⁴
- *Arterial blood gas measurements are not routinely required:*⁶⁷³ They should be considered for patients with PEF or FEV₁ <50% predicted,⁶⁷⁴ or for those who do not respond to initial treatment or are deteriorating. Supplemental controlled oxygen should be continued while blood gases are obtained. During an asthma exacerbation PaCO₂ is often below normal (<40 mmHg). Fatigue and somnolence suggest that pCO₂ may be increasing and airway intervention may be needed. PaO₂<60 mmHg (8 kPa) and normal or increased PaCO₂ (especially >45 mmHg, 6 kPa) indicate respiratory failure.
- *Chest X-ray (CXR) is not routinely recommended:* In adults, CXR should be considered if a complicating or alternative cardiopulmonary process is suspected (especially in older patients), or for patients who are not responding to treatment where a pneumothorax may be difficult to diagnose clinically.⁶⁷⁵ Similarly, in children, routine CXR is not recommended unless there are physical signs suggestive of pneumothorax, parenchymal disease or an inhaled foreign body. Features associated with positive CXR findings in children include fever, no family history of asthma, and localized lung examination findings.⁶⁷⁶

Treatment in acute care settings such as the emergency department

The following treatments are usually administered concurrently to achieve rapid improvement.⁶⁷⁷

Oxygen


To achieve arterial oxygen saturation of 93–95% (94–98% for children 6–11 years), oxygen should be administered by nasal cannulae or mask. Note the FDA caution above about potential overestimation of saturation in people with dark skin color (p.151). In severe exacerbations, controlled low flow oxygen therapy using pulse oximetry to maintain saturation at 93–95% is associated with better physiological outcomes than with high concentration (100%) oxygen therapy⁶⁶⁰⁻⁶⁶² (Evidence B). However, oxygen therapy should not be withheld if pulse oximetry is not available (Evidence D). Once the patient has stabilized, consider weaning them off oxygen using oximetry to guide the need for ongoing oxygen therapy.

Inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonists

Inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA) therapy such as salbutamol [albuterol] should be administered frequently for patients presenting with acute asthma. The most cost-effective and efficient delivery is by pMDI with a spacer^{655,657} (Evidence A). Evidence is less robust in severe and near-fatal asthma. Systematic reviews of intermittent versus continuous SABA in acute asthma, which mostly used nebulized SABA, provide conflicting results. Use of nebulizers can disseminate aerosols and potentially contribute to spread of respiratory viral infections.⁶⁷⁸

Current evidence does not support the routine use of intravenous beta₂-agonists in patients with severe asthma exacerbations (Evidence A).⁶⁷⁹

Box 4-4. Management of asthma exacerbations in acute care facility, e.g. emergency department



See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Combination ICS-formoterol as an alternative to high dose SABA

Currently, inhaled salbutamol (albuterol) is the usual bronchodilator in acute asthma management. Similar efficacy and safety have been reported from emergency department studies with formoterol,⁶⁵⁹ and in one study with budesonide-formoterol.⁶⁵⁸ This study showed that high-dose budesonide-formoterol had similar efficacy and safety profile to high dose SABA.⁶⁵⁸ In this study, patients received 2 doses of budesonide-formoterol 400/12 mcg (delivered dose 320/9 mcg) or 8 doses of salbutamol (albuterol) 100 mcg (delivered dose 90 mcg), repeated once after 5 minutes; all patients received OCS.⁶⁵⁸ While more studies are needed, meta-analysis of data from earlier studies comparing high-dose formoterol with high dose salbutamol (albuterol) for treatment of acute asthma in the ED setting suggest that budesonide-formoterol would also be effective.⁶⁵⁹ Formoterol alone is no longer used for this purpose.

Epinephrine (for anaphylaxis)

Intramuscular epinephrine (adrenaline) is indicated in addition to standard therapy for acute asthma associated with anaphylaxis and angioedema. It is not routinely indicated for other asthma exacerbations.

Systemic corticosteroids

Systemic corticosteroids speed resolution of exacerbations and prevent relapse, and in acute care settings should be utilized in all but the mildest exacerbations in adults, adolescents and children 6–11 years.^{680,681} (Evidence A). Where possible, systemic corticosteroids should be administered to the patient within 1 hour of presentation.^{680,682} Use of systemic corticosteroids is particularly important in the emergency department if:

- Initial SABA treatment fails to achieve lasting improvement in symptoms
- The exacerbation developed while the patient was taking OCS
- The patient has a history of previous exacerbations requiring OCS.

Route of delivery: oral administration is as effective as intravenous. The oral route is preferred because it is quicker, less invasive and less expensive.^{683,684} For children, a liquid formulation is preferred to tablets. OCS require at least 4 hours to produce a clinical improvement. Intravenous corticosteroids can be administered when patients are too dyspneic to swallow; if the patient is vomiting; or when patients require non-invasive ventilation or intubation. Evidence does not demonstrate a benefit of intramuscular corticosteroids over oral corticosteroids.⁶⁸¹

Dosage: daily doses of OCS equivalent to 50 mg prednisolone as a single morning dose, or 200 mg hydrocortisone in divided doses, are typically used for adults. For children, a prednisolone dose of 1–2 mg/kg up to a maximum of 40 mg/day is suggested.⁶⁸⁵

Duration: 5- and 7-day courses in adults have been found to be as effective as 10- and 14-day courses respectively (Evidence B),^{665,666} and a 3–5-day course in children is usually considered sufficient for most. A small number of studies examined oral dexamethasone 0.6 mg/kg, given once daily for 1-2 days in children and adults; the relapse rate was similar to that with prednisolone for 3–5 days, with a lower risk of vomiting.⁶⁸⁶⁻⁶⁸⁸ Oral dexamethasone should not be continued beyond 2 days because of concerns about metabolic side-effects. If there is a failure of resolution, or relapse of symptoms, consideration should be given to switching to prednisolone. Evidence from studies in which all patients were taking maintenance ICS after discharge suggests that there is no benefit in tapering the dose of OCS, either in the short term⁶⁸⁹ or over several weeks⁶⁹⁰ (Evidence B).

Inhaled corticosteroids

Within the emergency department: high-dose ICS given within the first hour after presentation reduces the need for hospitalization in patients not receiving systemic corticosteroids (Evidence A).⁶⁸² When added to systemic corticosteroids, evidence is conflicting in adults.⁶⁹¹ In children, administration of ICS with or without concomitant systemic corticosteroids within the first hours of attendance to the emergency department might reduce the risk of hospital admission and need for systemic corticosteroids (Evidence B).⁶⁹² Overall, add-on ICS are well tolerated; however, cost may be a significant factor, and the agent, dose and duration of treatment with ICS in the management of asthma in the emergency department remain unclear. Patients admitted to hospital for an asthma exacerbation should continue on, or be prescribed, ICS-containing therapy.

On discharge home: patients should be prescribed ongoing ICS-containing treatment since the occurrence of a severe exacerbation is a risk factor for future exacerbations (Evidence B) (Box 2-2, p.38), and ICS-containing medications significantly reduce the risk of asthma-related death or hospitalization (Evidence A).²²¹ SABA-only treatment of asthma is no longer recommended. For short-term outcomes such as relapse requiring admission, symptoms, and quality of life, a systematic review found no significant differences when ICS were added to systemic corticosteroids after discharge.⁶⁹³ There was some evidence, however, that post-discharge ICS were as effective as systemic corticosteroids for milder exacerbations, but the confidence limits were wide (Evidence B).⁶⁹³ Cost may be a significant factor for patients in the use of high-dose ICS, and further studies are required to establish their role.⁶⁹³

After an ED presentation or hospitalization, the preferred ongoing treatment is maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART) with ICS-formoterol. In patients with a history of ≥ 1 severe exacerbations, MART reduces the risk of another severe exacerbation in the next 12 months by 32% compared with same dose ICS or ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA, and by 23% compared with higher dose ICS-LABA plus as-needed SABA.²¹³ See Box 3-15 (p.80) for medications and doses.

Other treatments

Ipratropium bromide

For adults and children with moderate-severe exacerbations, treatment in the emergency department with both SABA and ipratropium, a short-acting anticholinergic, was associated with fewer hospitalizations (Evidence A⁶⁹⁴ for adults; Evidence B⁶⁹⁵ for adolescents/children) and greater improvement in PEF and FEV₁ compared with SABA alone (Evidence A, adults/adolescents).⁶⁹⁴⁻⁶⁹⁶ For children hospitalized for acute asthma, no benefits were seen from adding ipratropium to SABA, including no reduction in length of stay,⁶⁹⁵ but the risk of nausea and tremor was reduced.⁶⁹⁵

Aminophylline and theophylline (not recommended)

Intravenous aminophylline and theophylline should not be used in the management of asthma exacerbations, in view of their poor efficacy and safety profile, and the greater effectiveness and relative safety of SABA.⁶⁹⁷ Nausea and/or vomiting are more common with aminophylline.^{695,697} The use of intravenous aminophylline is associated with severe and potentially fatal side-effects, particularly in patients already treated with sustained-release theophylline. In adults with severe asthma exacerbations, add-on treatment with aminophylline does not improve outcomes compared with SABA alone.⁶⁹⁷

Magnesium

Intravenous magnesium sulfate is not recommended for routine use in asthma exacerbations; however, when administered as a single 2 g infusion over 20 minutes, it reduces hospital admissions in some patients, including adults with FEV₁ <25–30% predicted at presentation; adults and children who fail to respond to initial treatment and have persistent hypoxemia; and children whose FEV₁ fails to reach 60% predicted after 1 hour of care (Evidence A).⁶⁹⁸⁻⁷⁰⁰ Randomized, controlled trials that excluded patients with more severe asthma showed no benefit with the addition of intravenous or nebulized magnesium compared with placebo in the routine care of asthma exacerbations in adults and adolescents⁷⁰¹⁻⁷⁰³ or children^{702,704} (Evidence B).

Helium oxygen therapy

A systematic review of studies comparing helium–oxygen with air–oxygen suggests there is no role for this intervention in routine care (Evidence B), but it may be considered for patients who do not respond to standard therapy; however, availability, cost and technical issues should be considered.⁷⁰⁵

Leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs)

There is limited evidence to support a role for oral or intravenous LTRAs in acute asthma. Small studies have demonstrated improvement in lung function^{706,707} but the clinical role and safety of these agents requires more study.

Antibiotics (not recommended)

Evidence does not support the routine use of antibiotics in the treatment of acute asthma exacerbations unless there is strong evidence of lung infection (e.g. fever or purulent sputum or radiographic evidence of pneumonia).⁶⁶⁸

Non-invasive ventilation (NIV)

The evidence regarding the role of NIV in asthma is weak. A systematic review identified five studies involving 206 participants with acute severe asthma treated with NIV or placebo.⁷⁰⁸ Two studies found no difference in need for endotracheal intubation but one study identified fewer admissions in the NIV group. No deaths were reported in either study. Given the small size of the studies, no recommendation is offered. If NIV is tried, the patient should be monitored closely (Evidence D). It should not be attempted in agitated patients, and patients should not be sedated in order to receive NIV (Evidence D).

Sedatives (MUST BE AVOIDED)

Sedation should be strictly avoided during exacerbations of asthma because of the respiratory depressant effect of anxiolytic and hypnotic drugs. An association between the use of these drugs and avoidable asthma deaths has been reported.^{709,710}

Reviewing response

Clinical status and oxygen saturation should be re-assessed frequently, with further treatment titrated according to the patient's response (Box 4-4, p.152). Lung function should be measured after one hour, i.e., after the first three bronchodilator treatments, and patients who deteriorate despite intensive bronchodilator and corticosteroid treatment should be re-evaluated for transfer to the intensive care unit.

Criteria for hospitalization versus discharge from the emergency department

From retrospective analyses, clinical status (including the ability to lie flat) and lung function 1 hour after commencement of treatment are more reliable predictors of the need for hospitalization than the patient's status on arrival.^{711,712}

Spirometric criteria proposed for consideration for admission or discharge from the emergency department include:⁷¹³

- If pre-treatment FEV₁ or PEF is <25% predicted or personal best, or post-treatment FEV₁ or PEF is <40% predicted or personal best, hospitalization is recommended.
- If post-treatment lung function is 40–60% predicted, discharge may be possible after considering the patient's risk factors (Box 4-1, p.141) and availability of follow-up care.
- If post-treatment lung function is >60% predicted or personal best, discharge is recommended after considering risk factors and availability of follow-up care.

Other factors associated with increased likelihood of need for admission include:⁷¹⁴⁻⁷¹⁶

- Female sex, older age and non-white race
- Use of more than eight beta₂-agonist puffs in the previous 24 hours
- Severity of the exacerbation (e.g., need for resuscitation or rapid medical intervention on arrival, respiratory rate >22 breaths/minute, oxygen saturation <95%, final PEF <50% predicted)
- Past history of severe exacerbations (e.g., intubations, asthma admissions)
- Previous unscheduled office and emergency department visits requiring use of OCS.

Overall, these risk factors should be considered by clinicians when making decisions on admission/discharge for patients with asthma managed in the acute care setting. The patient's social circumstances should also be considered.

DISCHARGE PLANNING AND FOLLOW-UP

Prior to discharge from the emergency department or hospital to home, arrangements should be made for a follow-up appointment within 2–7 days (1–2 days for children), and strategies to improve asthma management including medications, inhaler skills and written asthma action plan, should be addressed (Box 4-5).³¹⁶

All patients should be prescribed ongoing ICS-containing treatment to reduce the risk of further exacerbations. For adults and adolescents, the preferred regimen after discharge is maintenance-and-reliever therapy (MART) with the anti-inflammatory reliever ICS-formoterol, because this will reduce the risk of future severe exacerbations and reduce the need for OCS compared with a regimen with a SABA reliever. In the context of a recent ED visit or hospitalization, it would be appropriate to commence treatment with ICS-formoterol in adults and adolescents at Step 4. For medications and doses, see Box 3-15 (p.80), The maintenance dose can be stepped down later, once the patient has fully recovered and asthma has remained stable for 2–3 months (see Box 3-16, p.83).

Follow up after emergency department presentation or hospitalization for asthma

Following discharge, the patient should be reviewed by their health care provider regularly over subsequent weeks until good symptom control is achieved and personal best lung function is reached or surpassed. Incentives such as free transport and telephone reminders improve primary care follow up but have shown no effect on long-term outcomes.³¹⁶

At follow-up, again ensure that the patient's treatment has been optimized to reduce the risk of future exacerbations. Consider switching to GINA Track 1 with the anti-inflammatory reliever ICS-formoterol, if not already prescribed. See Box 3-15 (p.80) for medications and doses. Check and correct inhaler technique and adherence.

Patients discharged following an emergency department presentation or hospitalization for asthma should be especially targeted for an asthma education program, if one is available. Patients who were hospitalized may be particularly receptive to information and advice about their illness. Health care providers should take the opportunity to review:

- The patient's understanding of the cause of their asthma exacerbation
- Modifiable risk factors for exacerbations (including, where relevant, smoking) (Box 3-17, p.85)
- The patient's understanding of the purposes and correct uses of medications, including ICS-containing maintenance treatment and anti-inflammatory reliever, if prescribed
- The actions the patient needs to take to respond to worsening symptoms or peak flows.

After an emergency department presentation, comprehensive intervention programs that include optimization of asthma treatment, inhaler technique, and elements of self-management education (self-monitoring, written action plan and regular review¹⁷⁷) are cost effective and have shown significant improvement in asthma outcomes³¹⁶ (Evidence B).

Referral for expert advice should be considered for patients who have been hospitalized for asthma, or who have had several presentations to an acute care setting despite having a primary care provider. Follow-up by a specialist is associated with fewer subsequent emergency department visits or hospitalizations and better asthma control.³¹⁶

Optimize asthma treatment to minimize the risk of exacerbations and the adverse effects of OCS

- OCS can be life-saving during severe asthma exacerbations, but there is increasing awareness of the risks of repeated courses.
- In adults, short-term adverse effects of OCS include sleep disturbance, increased appetite, reflux, mood changes,⁶⁵³ sepsis, pneumonia, and thromboembolism.⁵²⁶
- In adults, even 4–5 lifetime courses of OCS are associated with a significantly increased dose-dependent risk of diabetes, cataract, heart failure, osteoporosis and several other conditions.³⁰⁹
- The need for OCS can be reduced by optimizing inhaled therapy, including attention to inhaler technique and adherence.
- For adults and adolescents, GINA Track 1 with ICS-formoterol as anti-inflammatory reliever reduces the risk of severe exacerbations requiring OCS compared with using a SABA reliever (see Box 3-12, p.65).

Box 4-5. Discharge management after hospital or emergency department care for asthma

Medications

Inhaled corticosteroid (ICS)-containing therapy

Initiate ICS prior to discharge, if not previously prescribed. Maintenance-and-reliever therapy with ICS-formoterol (MART) is preferred as it reduces risk of future exacerbations compared with using a SABA reliever (see Box 3-15, p.80). For adults/adolescents, start at MART at Step 4 on discharge (Box 3-16, Box 3-15). If prescribing an ICS regimen with SABA reliever, step maintenance dose up for 2–4 weeks (Box 4-2, p.146). Emphasize good adherence.

Oral corticosteroids (OCS)

To reduce the risk of relapse, prescribe at least a 5–7 day course of OCS for adults (prednisolone or equivalent 40–50 mg/day) and 3–5 days for children (1–2 mg/kg/day to a maximum of 40 mg/day)⁷¹⁷ (Evidence A). Review progress before ceasing OCS. If the OCS is dexamethasone, treatment is only for total 1–2 days,⁶⁸⁶ but if there is failure of resolution, or relapse of symptoms, consider switching to prednisolone.

Reliever medication – return to as-needed rather than regular use

Transfer patients back to **as-needed rather than regular reliever medication use**, with frequency based on symptomatic and objective improvement. Regular use of SABA for even 1–2 weeks leads to beta-receptor down-regulation, increased airway hyperresponsiveness and increased eosinophilic inflammation, with reduced bronchodilator response.^{587,718} Ipratropium bromide, if used in the ED or hospital, may be quickly discontinued as it is unlikely to provide ongoing benefit. Patients prescribed ICS–formoterol as their reliever should return to this on discharge if SABA was substituted in ED or hospital.

Risk factors and triggers that contributed to the exacerbation

Identify factors that may have contributed to the exacerbation, and implement strategies to reduce modifiable risk factors (Box 3-17, p.85). These may include irritant or allergen exposure, viral respiratory infections, inadequate long-term ICS treatment, problems with adherence, and/or lack of a written asthma action plan. Handwashing, masks and social/physical distancing may reduce the risk of acquiring viral respiratory infections, including influenza.

Self-management skills and written asthma action plan

- Review inhaler technique (Box 3-22, p.100).
- Provide a written asthma action plan (Box 4-2, p.146) or review the patient's existing plan, either at discharge or as soon as possible afterwards. Patients discharged from the ED with an action plan and PEF meter have better outcomes than patients discharged without these resources.⁷¹⁹ For patients prescribed ICS-formoterol reliever, use an action plan template customized for this treatment.⁸ Review technique with PEF meter if used.
- Evaluate the patient's response as the exacerbation was developing. If it was inadequate, review the action plan and provide further written guidance to assist if asthma worsens again.^{719,720}
- Review the patient's use of medications before and during the exacerbation. Was ICS-containing treatment increased promptly and by how much? Was ICS-formoterol reliever (if prescribed) increased in response to symptoms? If OCS were indicated, were they taken; did the patient experience adverse effects? If the patient is provided with a prescription for OCS to be on hand for subsequent exacerbations, beware of inappropriate use, as even 4–5 lifetime courses of OCS in adults increase the risk of serious adverse effects.³⁰⁹

Follow up communication and appointment

- Inform the patient's usual health care provider about their ED presentation/admission, instructions given on discharge, and any treatment changes.
- Make a follow-up appointment within 2–7 days of discharge (1–2 days for children) to ensure that treatment is continued. The patient should be followed to ensure that asthma symptoms return to well controlled, and that their lung function returns to their personal best (if known).

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Chapter 5.

**Diagnosis and initial
treatment of adults with
features of asthma, COPD
or both**

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

KEY POINTS

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are heterogeneous and overlapping conditions

- 'Asthma' and 'COPD' are umbrella labels for heterogeneous conditions characterized by chronic airway and/or lung disease. Asthma and COPD each include several different clinical phenotypes, and are likely to have several different underlying mechanisms, some of which may be common to both asthma and COPD.
- Symptoms of asthma and COPD may be similar, and the diagnostic criteria overlap.

Why are the labels 'asthma' and 'COPD' still important?

- There are extremely important differences in evidence-based treatment recommendations for asthma and COPD: treatment with a long-acting beta₂ agonist (LABA) and/or long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) alone (i.e., without inhaled corticosteroids [ICS]) is recommended as initial treatment in COPD but contraindicated in asthma due to the risk of severe exacerbations and death.
- These risks are also seen in patients who have diagnoses of both asthma and COPD, making it important to identify adult patients who, for safety, should not be treated with long-acting bronchodilators alone.
- In COPD, high-dose ICS should not be used because of the risk of pneumonia.

Many patients have features of both asthma and COPD

- Distinguishing asthma from COPD can be difficult, particularly in smokers and older adults, and some patients may have features of both asthma and COPD.
- The terms 'asthma-COPD overlap' (ACO) or 'asthma+COPD' are simple descriptors for patients who have features of both asthma and COPD.
- These terms do *not* refer to a single disease entity. They include patients with several clinical phenotypes that are likely caused by a range of different underlying mechanisms.
- More research is needed to better define these phenotypes and mechanisms, but in the meantime, safety of pharmacologic treatment is a high priority.

Diagnosis

- Diagnosis in patients with chronic respiratory symptoms involves a stepwise approach, first recognizing that the patient is likely to have chronic airways disease, then syndromic categorization as characteristic asthma, characteristic COPD, with features of both or having other conditions such as bronchiectasis.
- Lung function testing is essential for confirming persistent airflow limitation, but variable airflow obstruction can be detected with serial peak flow measurements and/or measurements before and after bronchodilator.

Initial treatment for safety and clinical efficacy

- **For asthma:** ICS are essential either alone or in combination with a long-acting bronchodilator (LABA), to reduce the risk of severe exacerbations and death. Do not treat with LABA and/or LAMA alone, without ICS.
- **For patients with features of both asthma and COPD, treat as asthma.** ICS-containing therapy is important to reduce the risk of severe exacerbations and death. Do not give LABA and/or LAMA alone without ICS.
- **For COPD:** Treat according to current GOLD 2023⁵⁹ recommendations, i.e. initial treatment with LAMA and LABA, plus as-needed SABA; with ICS for patients with any hospitalizations, ≥2 exacerbations/year requiring OCS, or blood eosinophils ≥300/μl.
- **All patients:** provide structured education especially focusing on inhaler technique and adherence; assess for, and treat, other clinical problems, including advice about smoking cessation, immunizations, physical activity, and management of multimorbidity.

Specialist referral for additional investigations in patients with asthma+COPD is encouraged, as they often have worse outcomes than patients with asthma or COPD alone.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this section of the GINA Strategy Report are:

- To assist primary care clinicians to identify typical asthma and typical COPD and to recognize when patients have features of both. This is particularly relevant in older patients (40 years or above)
- To provide advice about safe and effective initial treatment
- To provide guidance on indications for referral for specialist assessment.

BACKGROUND TO DIAGNOSING ASTHMA AND/OR COPD IN ADULT PATIENTS

Why are the labels ‘asthma’ and ‘COPD’ still important?

Asthma and COPD are heterogeneous conditions characterized by airway obstruction. Each of these ‘umbrella’ labels includes several different patterns of clinical features (phenotypes) that may overlap. Each may also include different inflammatory patterns and different underlying mechanisms, some of which may be common to both asthma and COPD.⁷²²

The most easily recognized phenotypes of asthma and COPD such as allergic asthma in children/young adults and emphysema in older smokers are clearly distinguishable. Regulatory studies of pharmacotherapy in asthma and COPD are largely restricted to patients with very clearly defined asthma or COPD. However, in the community, the features of asthma and COPD may overlap, especially in older adults.

There are extremely important differences in treatment recommendations for asthma and COPD. In particular, treatment with long-acting bronchodilators alone (i.e. without ICS) is recommended for initial treatment in COPD⁵⁹ but is contraindicated in asthma due to the risk of severe exacerbations and death.^{135,230,723,724} Several studies have also shown that patients with diagnoses of both asthma and COPD are at increased risk of hospitalization or death if they are treated with LABA or LABA-LAMA compared with ICS-LABA (or ICS-LABA-LAMA).⁷²⁵⁻⁷²⁷

Challenges in clinical diagnosis of asthma and COPD

Although asthma is characterized by variable expiratory airflow limitation, at least initially (Box 1-2, p.25), and COPD is characterized by persistent airflow limitation,⁵⁹ the definitions of asthma and COPD are not mutually exclusive (Box 5-1, p.162). This means that clinical features are also important in making a diagnosis and treating appropriately.

In children and young adults with chronic or recurrent respiratory symptoms, the differential diagnosis is different from that in older adults (see Box 1-5, p.30). Once infectious disease and nonpulmonary conditions (e.g., congenital heart disease, inducible laryngeal obstruction) have been excluded, the most likely chronic airway disease in children and young adults is asthma.

However, in adults with a history of long-standing asthma,^{728,729} persistent airflow limitation may be found⁷³⁰⁻⁷³⁴ Distinguishing this from COPD is problematic, especially if they are smokers or have other risk factors for COPD.⁷³⁵⁻⁷³⁸ On the other hand, patients with COPD may show evidence of reversible airflow obstruction when a rapid-acting bronchodilator is administered, a feature more strongly associated with asthma. In medical records, such patients often are assigned both diagnoses.^{61,739}

In keeping with common usage of the term “overlap” in other contexts, e.g. for the association between COPD with sleep disorders, and in overlap syndromes of collagen vascular disease, the descriptive term ‘asthma-COPD overlap’ is often used. Another common descriptor is ‘asthma+COPD’.

‘Asthma-COPD overlap’ is a descriptor for patients often seen in clinical practice, who comprise a heterogeneous group. It does not mean a single disease entity.

Prevalence and morbidity of asthma-COPD overlap

In epidemiological studies, reported prevalence rates for asthma+COPD have ranged between 9% and 55% of those with either diagnosis, with variation by gender and age;^{733,740-742} the wide range reflects the different criteria that have been used by different investigators. Concurrent doctor-diagnosed asthma and COPD has been reported in between 15 and 32% of patients with one or other diagnosis.^{739,743,744}

There is broad agreement that patients with features of both asthma and COPD have a greater burden of symptoms,⁷⁴⁵ experience frequent exacerbations,^{61,731,745} have poor quality of life,^{61,740,745} a more rapid decline in lung function,⁷⁴⁵ higher mortality,^{731,739} and greater use of healthcare resources^{61,746} compared with patients with asthma or COPD alone.

Box 5-1. Current definitions of asthma and COPD, and clinical description of asthma-COPD overlap

Asthma

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation. [GINA 2023]

COPD

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a heterogeneous lung condition characterized by chronic respiratory symptoms (dyspnea, cough, sputum production and/or exacerbations) due to abnormalities of the airways (bronchitis, bronchiolitis) and/or alveoli (emphysema) that cause persistent, often progressive, airflow obstruction. [GOLD 2023]⁵⁹

Asthma+COPD, also called asthma-COPD overlap

'Asthma-COPD overlap' and 'asthma +COPD' are terms used to collectively describe patients who have persistent airflow limitation together with clinical features that are consistent with both asthma and COPD.

This is not a definition of a single disease entity, but a descriptive term for clinical use that includes several different clinical phenotypes reflecting different underlying mechanisms.

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS

1: History and clinical assessment to establish the following:

- The nature and pattern of respiratory symptoms (variable and/or persistent)
- History of asthma diagnosis; childhood and/or current
- Exposure history: smoking and/or other exposures to risk factors for COPD.

The features that are *most helpful* in identifying and distinguishing asthma from COPD, and the features that should prompt a patient to be treated as asthma to reduce the risk of severe exacerbations and death, are shown in Box 5-2.

Caution: Consider alternative diagnoses: Other airways diseases, such as bronchiectasis and chronic bronchitis, and other forms of lung disease such as interstitial lung disease may present with some of the above features. The approach to diagnosis provided here does not replace the need for a full assessment of patients presenting with respiratory symptoms, to first exclude non-respiratory diagnoses such as heart failure.²⁰ Physical examination may provide supportive information.

Box 5-2. Approach to initial treatment in patients with asthma and/or COPD

CLINICAL PHENOTYPE - ADULTS WITH CHRONIC RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS (dyspnea, cough, chest tightness, wheeze)		
<p>HIGHLY LIKELY TO BE ASTHMA if several of the following features TREAT AS ASTHMA</p>	<p>FEATURES OF BOTH ASTHMA + COPD TREAT AS ASTHMA</p>	<p>LIKELY TO BE COPD if several of the following features TREAT AS COPD</p>
<p>HISTORY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptoms vary over time and in intensity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Triggers may include laughter, exercise, allergens, seasonal - Onset before age 40 years - Symptoms improve spontaneously or with bronchodilators (minutes) or ICS (days to weeks) • Current asthma diagnosis, or asthma diagnosis in childhood <p>LUNG FUNCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Variable expiratory airflow limitation • Persistent airflow limitation may be present 	<p>HISTORY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptoms intermittent or episodic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - May have started before or after age 40 • May have a history of smoking and/or other toxic exposures, or history of low birth weight or respiratory illness such as tuberculosis • Any of asthma features at left (e.g. common triggers; symptoms improve spontaneously or with bronchodilators or ICS; current asthma diagnosis or asthma diagnosis in childhood) <p>LUNG FUNCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Persistent expiratory airflow limitation • With or without bronchodilator reversibility 	<p>HISTORY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dyspnea persistent (most days) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Onset after age 40 years - Limitation of physical activity - May have been preceded by cough/sputum - Bronchodilator provides only limited relief • History of smoking and/or other toxic exposure, or history of low birth weight or respiratory illness such as tuberculosis • No past or current diagnosis of asthma <p>LUNG FUNCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Persistent expiratory airflow limitation • With or without bronchodilator reversibility
INITIAL PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT (as well as treating comorbidities and risk factors. See Box 3-12)		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICS-CONTAINING TREATMENT IS ESSENTIAL to reduce risk of severe exacerbations and death. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GINA Track 1 with ICS-formoterol as reliever is the preferred regimen. See Box 3-12 and Box 3-15 • DO NOT GIVE LABA and/or LAMA without ICS • Maintenance OCS only as last resort 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICS-CONTAINING TREATMENT IS ESSENTIAL to reduce risk of severe exacerbations and death. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Add-on LABA and/or LAMA usually also needed - Additional COPD treatments as per GOLD • DO NOT GIVE LABA and/or LAMA without ICS • Maintenance OCS only as last resort 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TREAT AS COPD (see GOLD report) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Initially maintenance LABA-LAMA - Add ICS as per GOLD for patients with hospitalizations, ≥ 2 exacerbations/year requiring OCS, or blood eosinophils $\geq 300/\mu\text{l}$ • Avoid high dose ICS, avoid maintenance OCS • Reliever containing ICS is not recommended
REVIEW PATIENT AFTER 2-3 MONTHS. REFER FOR EXPERT ADVICE IF DIAGNOSTIC UNCERTAINTY OR INADEQUATE RESPONSE		

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

2: Lung function testing is essential to confirm the following:

- The presence of persistent expiratory airflow limitation
- Variable expiratory airflow limitation.

Spirometry is preferably performed at the initial assessment. In cases of clinical urgency it may be delayed to a subsequent visit, but confirmation of diagnosis may be more difficult once patients are started on ICS-containing therapy (see Box 1-3, p.28). Early confirmation (or exclusion) of the presence of persistent expiratory airflow limitation may avoid needless trials of therapy, or delays in initiating other investigations. Spirometry can confirm both persistent airflow limitation and reversibility (Box 5-2, p.163, Box 5-3, p.164).

Measurement of peak expiratory flow (PEF), if performed repeatedly on the same meter over a period of 1–2 weeks, may help to confirm reversible airflow limitation and the diagnosis of asthma by demonstrating excessive variability (Box 1-2, p.25). However, PEF is not as reliable as spirometry, and a normal PEF does not rule out either asthma or COPD.

Box 5-3. Spirometric measures in asthma and COPD

Spirometric variable	Asthma	COPD	Asthma+COPD
Normal FEV ₁ /FVC pre- or post BD	Compatible with asthma. If patient is symptomatic at a time when lung function is normal, consider alternative diagnosis.	Not compatible with COPD	Not compatible
Reduced post-BD FEV ₁ /FVC (< lower limit of normal, or <0.7 (GOLD))	Indicates airflow limitation but may improve spontaneously or on treatment	Required for diagnosis of COPD	Required for diagnosis of asthma+COPD
Post-BD FEV ₁ ≥80% predicted	Compatible with diagnosis of asthma (good asthma control or interval between symptoms)	Compatible with mild persistent airflow limitation if post-BD FEV ₁ /FVC is reduced	Compatible with mild persistent airflow limitation if post-BD FEV ₁ /FVC is reduced
Post-BD FEV ₁ <80% predicted	Compatible with diagnosis of asthma. Risk factor for asthma exacerbations	An indicator of severity of airflow limitation and risk of future events (e.g., mortality and COPD exacerbations)	As for COPD and asthma
Post-BD increase in FEV ₁ ≥12% and 200 mL from baseline (reversible airflow limitation).	Usual at some time in course of asthma, but may not be present when well controlled or on ICS-containing therapy	Common and more likely when FEV ₁ is low	Common and more likely when FEV ₁ is low
Post-BD increase in FEV ₁ >12% and 400 mL from baseline (marked reversibility)	High probability of asthma	Unusual in COPD	Compatible with asthma+COPD

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

3: Selecting initial treatment (See Box 5-2, p.163)

For asthma

Commence treatment as described in Chapter 3 (Box 3-4,A-D, p.59–p.63). Pharmacotherapy is based on ICS to reduce the risk of severe exacerbations and death and to improve symptom control, with add-on treatment as required, e.g., add-on LABA and/or LAMA. As-needed low-dose ICS-formoterol may be used as the reliever, on its own in mild asthma or in addition to maintenance ICS-formoterol in patients with moderate-severe asthma prescribed maintenance and reliever therapy (see Box 3-12, p.65). Inhaled therapy should be optimized to minimize the need for oral corticosteroids (OCS).

For COPD

Commence treatment as in the current GOLD strategy report.⁵⁹ Pharmacotherapy starts with symptomatic treatment with long-acting bronchodilators (LABA and LAMA). ICS may be added as per GOLD for patients with hospitalizations in the last year, ≥ 2 exacerbations/year requiring OCS, or blood eosinophils $\geq 300/\mu\text{L}$, but is not used alone as monotherapy without LABA and/or LAMA. Inhaled therapy should be optimized to reduce the need for OCS. In patients with features of COPD, high-dose ICS should be avoided because of the risk of pneumonia.^{747,748}

For patients with features of asthma and COPD

Start treatment as for asthma (Box 3-4,A-D, p.59–p.63) until further investigations have been performed.

ICS play a pivotal role in preventing morbidity and even death in patients with uncontrolled asthma symptoms, for whom even seemingly 'mild' symptoms (compared to those of moderate or severe COPD) might indicate significant risk of a life-threatening attack.⁷⁴⁹ For patients with asthma+COPD, ICS should be used initially in a low or medium dose (see Box 3-14, p.67), depending on level of symptoms and risk of adverse effects, including pneumonia.

Patients with features or diagnosis of both asthma and COPD will usually also require add-on treatment with LABA and/or LAMA to provide adequate symptom control.

Patients with any features of asthma should not be treated with LABA and/or LAMA alone, without ICS. A large case-control study in community patients with newly diagnosed COPD found that those who also had a diagnosis of asthma had a lower risk of COPD hospitalizations and death if treated with combination ICS-LABA than with LABA alone.⁷²⁵ In another large retrospective longitudinal population cohort study of patients aged ≥ 66 years, those recorded as having asthma with COPD had lower morbidity and hospitalizations if they received ICS treatment; a similar benefit was seen in those with COPD plus concurrent asthma.⁷²⁷

All patients with chronic airflow limitation

Provide advice, as described in the GINA and GOLD reports, about:

- Treatment of modifiable risk factors including advice about smoking cessation
- Treatment of comorbidities
- Non-pharmacological strategies including physical activity, and, for COPD or asthma-COPD overlap, pulmonary rehabilitation and vaccinations
- Appropriate self-management strategies
- Regular follow-up.

In a majority of patients, the initial management of asthma and COPD can be satisfactorily carried out at primary care level. However, both the GINA and GOLD strategy reports recommend referral for further diagnostic procedures at relevant points in patient management (see below). This may be particularly important for patients with features of both asthma and COPD, given that this is associated with worse outcomes and greater health care utilization.

4: Referral for specialized investigations (if necessary)

Referral for expert advice and further diagnostic evaluation is advised in the following contexts:

- Patients with persistent symptoms and/or exacerbations despite treatment.
- Diagnostic uncertainty, especially if an alternative diagnosis (e.g., bronchiectasis, post-tuberculous scarring, bronchiolitis, pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension, cardiovascular diseases and other causes of respiratory symptoms) needs to be investigated.
- Patients with suspected asthma or COPD in whom atypical or additional symptoms or signs (e.g., hemoptysis, significant weight loss, night sweats, fever, signs of bronchiectasis or other structural lung disease) suggest an additional pulmonary diagnosis. This should prompt early referral, without waiting for a trial of treatment for asthma or COPD.
- When chronic airways disease is suspected but syndromic features of either asthma or COPD are few.
- Patients with comorbidities that may interfere with the assessment and management of their airways disease, particularly cardiovascular disease.
- Referral may also be appropriate for issues arising during ongoing management of asthma, COPD or asthma-COPD overlap, as outlined in the GINA and GOLD strategy reports.

Box 5-4 (p.166) summarizes specialized investigations that are sometimes used to distinguish asthma and COPD.

Box 5-4. Specialized investigations sometimes used in patients with features of asthma and COPD

	Asthma	COPD
Lung function tests		
DLCO	Normal (or slightly elevated)	Often reduced
Arterial blood gases	Normal between exacerbations	May be chronically abnormal between exacerbations in more severe forms of COPD
Airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR)	Not useful on its own in distinguishing asthma from COPD, but higher levels of AHR favor asthma	
Imaging		
High resolution CT Scan	Usually normal but air trapping and increased bronchial wall thickness may be observed.	Low attenuation areas denoting either air trapping or emphysematous change can be quantitated; bronchial wall thickening and features of pulmonary hypertension may be seen.
Inflammatory biomarkers		
A positive test for atopy (specific IgE and/or skin prick test to aeroallergens)	Increases probability of allergic asthma; not essential for diagnosis of asthma	Conforms to background prevalence; does not rule out COPD
FeNO	A high level (>50 ppb) in non-smokers is moderately associated with eosinophilic airway inflammation.	Usually normal Low in current smokers
Blood eosinophilia	Supports diagnosis of eosinophilic airway inflammation	May be present in COPD including during exacerbations
Sputum inflammatory cell analysis	Role in differential diagnosis is not established in large populations.	

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

FUTURE RESEARCH

There is an urgent need for more research on this topic, in order to guide better recognition and safe and effective treatment. Patients who do not have 'classical' features of asthma or of COPD, or who have features of both, have generally been excluded from randomized controlled trials of most therapeutic interventions for airways disease, and from many mechanistic studies.

Future research should include study of clinical and physiological characteristics, biomarkers, outcomes and underlying mechanisms, among broad populations of patients with respiratory symptoms or with chronic airflow limitation. In the meantime, the present chapter provides interim advice about diagnosis and *initial* treatment, from the perspective of clinicians, particularly those in primary care and nonpulmonary specialties. Further research is needed to inform evidence-based definitions and a more detailed classification of patients who present overlapping features of asthma and COPD, and to encourage the development of specific interventions for clinical use.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

Chapter 6.

**Diagnosis and
management of asthma
in children
5 years and younger**

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

6.1. DIAGNOSIS

KEY POINTS

- Recurrent wheezing occurs in a large proportion of children 5 years and younger, typically with viral upper respiratory tract infections. It is difficult to discern when this is the initial presentation of asthma.
- Previous classifications of wheezing phenotypes (episodic wheeze and multiple-trigger wheeze; or transient wheeze, persistent wheeze and late-onset wheeze) do not appear to identify stable phenotypes, and their clinical usefulness is uncertain. However, emerging research suggest that more clinically relevant phenotypes will be described and phenotype-directed therapy possible.
- A diagnosis of asthma in young children with a history of wheezing is more likely if they have:
 - Wheezing or coughing that occurs with exercise, laughing or crying, or in the absence of an apparent respiratory infection
 - A history of other allergic disease (eczema or allergic rhinitis), allergen sensitization or asthma in first-degree relatives
 - Clinical improvement during 2–3 months of low-dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) treatment plus as-needed short-acting beta₂ agonist (SABA) reliever, and worsening after cessation.

ASTHMA AND WHEEZING IN YOUNG CHILDREN

Asthma is the most common chronic disease of childhood and the leading cause of childhood morbidity from chronic disease as measured by school absences, emergency department visits and hospitalizations.⁷⁵⁰ Asthma often begins in early childhood; in up to half of people with asthma, symptoms commence during childhood.⁷⁵¹ Onset of asthma is earlier in males than females.⁷⁵²⁻⁷⁵⁴

No intervention has yet been shown to prevent the development of asthma or modify its long-term natural course. Atopy is present in the majority of children with asthma who are over 3 years old, and allergen-specific sensitization (and particularly multiple early-life sensitizations) is one of the most important risk factors for the development of asthma.⁷⁵⁵

Viral-induced wheezing

Recurrent wheezing occurs in a large proportion of children aged 5 years or younger. It is typically associated with upper respiratory tract infections (URTI), which occur in this age group around 6–8 times per year.⁷⁵⁶ Some viral infections (respiratory syncytial virus and rhinovirus) are associated with recurrent wheeze throughout childhood. Wheezing in this age group is a highly heterogeneous condition, and not all wheezing indicates asthma. A large proportion of wheezing episodes in young children is virally induced whether the child has asthma or not. Therefore, deciding when wheezing with a respiratory infection is truly an isolated event or represents a recurrent clinical presentation of childhood asthma may be difficult.^{754,757} In children aged under 1 year, bronchiolitis may present with wheeze. It is usually accompanied by other chest signs such as crackles on auscultation.

Wheezing phenotypes

In the past, two main classifications of wheezing (called ‘wheezing phenotypes’) were proposed:


- *Symptom-based classification*:⁷⁵⁸ this was based on whether the child had only *episodic wheeze* (wheezing during discrete time periods, often in association with URTI, with symptoms absent between episodes) or *multiple-trigger wheeze* (episodic wheezing with symptoms also occurring between these episodes, e.g., during sleep or with triggers such as activity, laughing, or crying).
- *Time trend-based classification*: this system was initially based on retrospective analysis of data from a cohort study.⁷⁵⁴ It included *transient wheeze* (symptoms began and ended before the age of 3 years); *persistent wheeze* (symptoms began before the age of 3 years and continued beyond the age of 6 years), and *late-onset wheeze* (symptoms began after the age of 3 years). These general patterns have been confirmed in subsequent studies using unsupervised statistical approaches.^{759,760}

However, prospective allocation of individual children to these phenotypes has been challenging in ‘real-life’ clinical situations, and the clinical usefulness of these, and other, classification and asthma prediction systems remain a subject of active investigation. For example, one study conducted in a research setting with high medication adherence found that daily ICS treatment reduced exacerbations in pre-school children characterized as ‘sensitization with indoor pet exposure’ or ‘multiple sensitization with eczema’, but not among those characterized as ‘minimal sensitization’ or ‘sensitization with tobacco smoke exposure’.⁷⁶¹

CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS OF ASTHMA

It may be challenging to make a confident diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger, because episodic respiratory symptoms such as wheezing and cough are also common in children without asthma, particularly in those aged 0–2 years,^{762,763} and it is not possible to routinely assess airflow limitation or bronchodilator responsiveness in this age group. A probability-based approach, based on the pattern of symptoms during and between viral respiratory infections,⁷⁶⁴ may be helpful for discussion with parents/caregivers (Box 6-1 & 2). This allows individual decisions to be made about whether to give a trial of controller treatment. It is important to make decisions for each child individually, to avoid either over- or under-treatment.

Box 6-1. Probability of asthma diagnosis in children 5 years and younger



Symptoms suggestive of asthma in children 5 years and younger

As shown in Boxes 6-1, 6-2 and 6-3, an asthma diagnosis in children 5 years and younger can often be based on:

- Symptom patterns (recurrent episodes of wheeze, cough, breathlessness (typically manifested by activity limitation), and nocturnal symptoms or awakenings)
- Presence of risk factors for development of asthma, such as family history of atopy, allergic sensitization, allergy or asthma, or a personal history of food allergy or atopic dermatitis
- Therapeutic response to controller treatment.
- Exclusion of alternate diagnoses.

Box 6-1 is a schematic figure showing the estimated probability of an asthma diagnosis^{765,766} in children aged 5 years or younger who have viral-induced cough, wheeze or heavy breathing, based on the pattern of symptoms.

Many young children wheeze with viral infections; it may be difficult to decide when a child should be given controller treatment. The frequency and severity of wheezing episodes and the temporal pattern of symptoms (only with viral colds or also in response to other triggers) should be taken into account. Any controller treatment should be viewed as a treatment trial, with follow up scheduled after 2–3 months to review the response. Review is also important since the pattern of symptoms tends to change over time in a large proportion of children.

A diagnosis of asthma in young children is therefore based largely on recurrent symptom patterns combined with a careful clinical assessment of family history and physical findings with careful consideration of the differential diagnostic possibilities. A positive family history of allergic disorders, or the presence of atopy or allergic sensitization provide additional predictive support, as early allergic sensitization increases the likelihood that a wheezing child will develop persistent asthma.⁷⁵⁵

Box 6-2. Features suggesting a diagnosis of asthma in children 5 years and younger

Feature	Characteristics suggesting asthma
Cough	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurrent or persistent non-productive cough that may be worse at night or accompanied by wheezing and breathing difficulties • Cough occurring with exercise, laughing, crying or exposure to tobacco smoke, particularly in the absence of an apparent respiratory infection
Wheezing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurrent wheezing, including during sleep or with triggers such as activity, laughing, crying or exposure to tobacco smoke or air pollution
Difficult or heavy breathing or shortness of breath	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occurring with exercise, laughing, or crying
Reduced activity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not running, playing or laughing at the same intensity as other children; tires earlier during walks (wants to be carried)
Past or family history	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other allergic disease (atopic dermatitis or allergic rhinitis, food allergy). Asthma in first-degree relative(s)
Therapeutic trial with low-dose ICS (Box 6-6, p.183) plus as-needed SABA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical improvement during 2–3 months of low-dose ICS treatment and worsening when treatment is stopped

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Wheeze

Wheeze is the most common and specific symptom associated with asthma in children 5 years and younger. Wheezing occurs in several different patterns, but a wheeze that occurs recurrently, during sleep, or with triggers such as activity, laughing, or crying, is consistent with a diagnosis of asthma. Clinician confirmation is important, as parents/caregivers may describe any noisy breathing as ‘wheezing’.⁷⁶⁷ Some cultures do not have a word for wheeze.

Wheezing may be interpreted differently based on:

- Who observes it (e.g., parent/caregiver versus the health care provider)
- The environmental context (e.g., high income countries versus areas with a high prevalence of parasites that involve the lung)
- The cultural context (e.g., the relative importance of certain symptoms can differ between cultures, as can the diagnosis and treatment of respiratory tract diseases in general).

Box 6-3. Questions that can be used to elicit features suggestive of asthma

- *Does your child have wheezing? Wheezing is a high-pitched noise which comes from the chest and not the throat. Use of a video questionnaire,⁷⁶⁸ or asking a parent/caregiver to record an episode on a smartphone if available can help to confirm the presence of wheeze and differentiate from upper airway abnormalities.*
- *Does your child wake up at night because of coughing, wheezing, or difficult breathing, heavy breathing, or breathlessness?*
- *Does your child have to stop running, or play less hard, because of coughing, wheezing or difficult breathing, heavy breathing, or shortness of breath?*
- *Does your child cough, wheeze or get difficult breathing, heavy breathing, or shortness of breath when laughing, crying, playing with animals, or when exposed to strong smells or smoke?*

In children with respiratory symptoms, additional features may help to support the diagnosis of asthma

- *Has your child ever had eczema, or been diagnosed with allergy to foods?*
- *Has anyone in your family had asthma, hay fever, food allergy, eczema, or any other disease with breathing problems?*

Cough

Cough due to asthma is generally non-productive, recurrent and/or persistent, and is usually accompanied by wheezing episodes and breathing difficulties. Allergic rhinitis may be associated with cough in the absence of asthma. A nocturnal cough (when the child is asleep) or a cough that occurs with exercise, laughing or crying, in the absence of an apparent respiratory infection, supports a diagnosis of asthma. The common cold and other respiratory illnesses including pertussis are also associated with coughing. Prolonged cough in infancy, and cough without cold symptoms, are associated with later parent/caregiver-reported physician-diagnosed asthma, independent of infant wheeze. Characteristics of cough in infancy may be early markers of asthma susceptibility, particularly among children with maternal asthma.⁷⁶⁹

Breathlessness

Parents/caregivers may also use terms such as 'difficult breathing', 'heavy breathing', or 'shortness of breath'. Breathlessness that occurs during exercise and is recurrent increases the likelihood of the diagnosis of asthma. In infants and toddlers, crying and laughing are equivalent to exercise in older children.

Activity and social behavior

Physical activity is an important trigger of asthma symptoms in young children. Young children with poorly controlled asthma often abstain from strenuous play or exercise to avoid symptoms, but many parents/caregivers are unaware of such changes in their children's lifestyle. Engaging in play is important for a child's normal social and physical development. For this reason, careful review of the child's daily activities, including their willingness to walk and play, is important when assessing a potential asthma diagnosis in a young child. Parents/caregivers may report irritability, tiredness and mood changes in their child as the main problems when asthma is not well controlled.

TESTS TO ASSIST IN DIAGNOSIS

While no tests specifically and definitively diagnose asthma with certainty, in children 5 years and younger, the following are useful adjuncts.

Therapeutic trial

A trial of treatment for at least 2–3 months with as-needed SABA and regular low-dose ICS may provide some guidance about the diagnosis of asthma (Evidence D). Response should be evaluated by symptom control (daytime and night-time), and the frequency of wheezing episodes and exacerbations. Marked clinical improvement during treatment, and

deterioration when treatment is stopped, support a diagnosis of asthma. Due to the variable nature of asthma in young children, a therapeutic trial may need to be repeated in order to be certain of the diagnosis.

Tests for allergic sensitization

Sensitization to allergens can be assessed using either skin prick testing or allergen-specific immunoglobulin E. Allergic sensitization is present in the majority of children with asthma once they are over 3 years of age; however, absence of sensitization to common aeroallergens does not rule out a diagnosis of asthma. Allergic sensitization is the best predictor for development of persistent asthma.⁷⁷⁰

Chest X-ray

Radiographs are rarely indicated; however, if there is doubt about the diagnosis of asthma in a wheezing or coughing child, a plain chest X-ray may help to exclude structural abnormalities (e.g., congenital lobar emphysema, vascular ring) chronic infections such as tuberculosis, an inhaled foreign body, or other diagnoses. Other imaging investigations may be appropriate, depending on the condition being considered.

Lung function testing

Due to the inability of most children 5 years and younger to perform reproducible expiratory maneuvers, lung function testing, bronchial provocation testing, and other physiological tests do not have a major role in the diagnosis of asthma at this age. However, by 5 years of age, many children are capable of performing reproducible spirometry if coached by an experienced technician and with visual incentives.

Exhaled nitric oxide

Measurement of fractional concentration of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) is not widely available for most children in this age group and currently remains primarily a research tool. FeNO can be measured in young children with tidal breathing, and normal reference values have been published for children aged 1–5 years.⁷⁷¹ In pre-school children with recurrent coughing and wheezing, an elevated FeNO recorded 4 weeks from any URTI predicted physician-diagnosed asthma at school age,⁷⁷² and increased the odds for wheezing, asthma and ICS use by school age, independent of clinical history and presence of specific IgE.⁷⁷³

Risk profiles

A number of risk profile tools aimed at identifying which wheezing children aged 5 years and younger are at high risk of developing persistent asthma symptoms have been evaluated for use in clinical practice. However, these tools have shown limited performance for clinical practice. Only three prediction tools have been externally validated (Asthma Predictive Index⁷⁷⁴ from Tucson, USA, Prevention and Incidence of Asthma and Mite Allergy (PIAMA) index⁶⁷⁵ from the Netherlands, and Leicester tool⁷⁷⁵ from the UK), and a systematic review has shown that these tools have poor predictive accuracy, with variation in sensitivity and positive predictive value.⁷⁷⁶ Larger predictive studies using more advanced statistical methods, and with objective measurements for asthma diagnosis, are probably needed to propose a practical tool in clinical care to predict persistent asthma in recurrent wheezers in infancy and pre-school age. The role of these tools is to help identify children at greater risk of developing persistent asthma symptoms, not as criteria for the diagnosis of asthma in young children. Each tool demonstrates different performance characteristics with varying criteria used to identify risk.⁷⁷⁷

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

A definite diagnosis of asthma in this young age group is challenging but has important clinical consequences. It is particularly important in this age group to consider and exclude alternative causes that can lead to symptoms of wheeze, cough, and breathlessness before confirming an asthma diagnosis (Box 6-4).⁷⁶²

Box 6-4. Common differential diagnoses of asthma in children 5 years and younger

Condition	Typical features
Recurrent viral respiratory tract infections	Mainly cough, runny congested nose for <10 days; no symptoms between infections
Gastroesophageal reflux	Cough when feeding; recurrent chest infections; vomits easily especially after large feeds; poor response to asthma medications
Foreign body aspiration	Episode of abrupt, severe cough and/or stridor during eating or play; recurrent chest infections and cough; focal lung signs
Pertussis	Protracted paroxysms of coughing, often with stridor and vomiting
Persistent bacterial bronchitis	Persistent wet cough; poor response to asthma medications
Tracheomalacia	Noisy breathing when crying or eating, or during upper airway infections (noisy inspiration if extrathoracic or expiration if intrathoracic); harsh cough; inspiratory or expiratory retraction; symptoms often present since birth; poor response to asthma medications
Tuberculosis	Persistent noisy respirations and cough; fever unresponsive to normal antibiotics; enlarged lymph nodes; poor response to bronchodilators or inhaled corticosteroids; contact with someone who has tuberculosis
Congenital heart disease	Cardiac murmur; cyanosis when eating; failure to thrive; tachycardia; tachypnea or hepatomegaly; poor response to asthma medications
Cystic fibrosis	Cough starting shortly after birth; recurrent chest infections; failure to thrive (malabsorption); loose greasy bulky stools
Primary ciliary dyskinesia	Cough and recurrent chest infections; neonatal respiratory distress, chronic ear infections and persistent nasal discharge from birth; poor response to asthma medications; situs inversus occurs in about 50% of children with this condition
Vascular ring	Persistently noisy breathing; poor response to asthma medications
Bronchopulmonary dysplasia	Infant born prematurely; very low birth weight; needed prolonged mechanical ventilation or supplemental oxygen; difficulty with breathing present from birth
Immune deficiency	Recurrent fever and infections (including non-respiratory); failure to thrive

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

Key indications for referral of a child 5 years or younger for further diagnostic investigations or therapeutic decisions

Any of the following features suggest an alternative diagnosis and indicate the need for further investigations:

- Failure to thrive
- Neonatal or very early onset of symptoms (especially if associated with failure to thrive)
- Vomiting associated with respiratory symptoms
- Continuous wheezing
- Failure to respond to asthma medications (inhaled ICS, oral steroids or SABA)
- No association of symptoms with typical triggers, such as viral URTI
- Focal lung or cardiovascular signs, or finger clubbing
- Hypoxemia outside context of viral illness.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

6.2. ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

KEY POINTS

- The goals of asthma management in young children are similar to those in older patients:
 - To achieve good control of symptoms and maintain normal activity levels
 - To minimize the risk of asthma flare-ups, impaired lung development and medication side-effects.
- Wheezing episodes in young children should be treated initially with inhaled SABA, regardless of whether the diagnosis of asthma has been made. However, for initial episodes of wheeze in children <1 year in the setting of infectious bronchiolitis, SABAs are generally ineffective.
- A trial of low-dose ICS treatment should be given if the symptom pattern suggests asthma, alternative diagnoses have been excluded and respiratory symptoms are uncontrolled and/or wheezing episodes are frequent or severe.
- Response to treatment should be reviewed before deciding whether to continue it. If the response is absent or incomplete, reconsider alternative diagnoses.
- The choice of inhaler device should be based on the child's age and capability. The preferred device is a pressurized metered dose inhaler and spacer, with face mask for <3 years and mouthpiece for most children aged 3–5 years. Children should be switched from a face mask to mouthpiece as soon as they are able to demonstrate good technique.
- Review the need for asthma treatment frequently, as asthma-like symptoms remit in many young children.

GOALS OF ASTHMA MANAGEMENT

As with other age groups, the goals of asthma management in young children are:

- To achieve good control of symptoms and maintain normal activity levels
- To minimize future risk; that is to reduce the risk of flare-ups, maintain lung function and lung development as close to normal as possible, and minimize medication side-effects.

Maintaining normal activity levels is particularly important in young children because engaging in play is important for their normal social and physical development. It is important to also elicit the goals of the parent/caregiver, as these may differ from conventional medical goals.

The goals of asthma management are achieved through a partnership between the parent/caregiver and the health professional team, with a cycle of:

- *Assess* (diagnosis, symptom control, risk factors, inhaler technique, adherence, parent preference)
- *Adjust treatment* (medications, non-pharmacological strategies, and treatment of modifiable risk factors)
- *Review response* including medication effectiveness and side-effects. This is carried out in combination with:

Education of parent/caregiver, and child (depending on the child's age)

- Skills training for effective use of inhaler devices and encouragement of good adherence
- Monitoring of symptoms by parent/caregiver
- A written personalized asthma action plan.

ASSESSMENT OF ASTHMA

What does 'asthma control' mean?

Asthma control means the extent to which the manifestations of asthma are controlled, with or without treatment.^{29,69} It has two components (Box 6-5, p.178): the child's asthma status over the previous four weeks (current symptom control), and how asthma may affect them in the future (future risk). In young children, as in older patients, both symptom control

and future risk should be monitored (Evidence D). The rationale for this is described on p.40.

Assessing asthma symptom control

Defining satisfactory symptom control in children 5 years and younger depends on information derived from family members and carers, who may be unaware either of how often the child has experienced asthma symptoms, or that their respiratory symptoms represent uncontrolled asthma. Few objective measures to assess symptom control have been validated for children <4 years. The Childhood Asthma Control Test can be used for children aged 4–11 years.⁹¹ The Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK) is a validated questionnaire for parent/caregiver completion for preschool-aged children with symptoms consistent with asthma; it includes both symptom control and courses of systemic corticosteroids in the previous year.⁹⁵ However, children with no interval symptoms can still be at risk of exacerbations.

Box 6-5 shows a working schema for assessing asthma control in children ≤5 years, based on current expert opinion. It incorporates assessment of symptoms; the child's level of activity and their need for reliever/rescue treatment; and assessment of risk factors for adverse outcomes (Evidence D).

Box 6-5. GINA assessment of asthma control in children 5 years and younger

A. Symptom control		Level of asthma symptom control		
		Well controlled	Partly controlled	Uncontrolled
In the past 4 weeks, has the child had:				
Daytime asthma symptoms for more than a few minutes, more than once a week?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	None of these	1–2 of these	3–4 of these
Any activity limitation due to asthma? (Runs/plays less than other children, tires easily during walks/playing?)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
SABA reliever medication needed* more than once a week?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
Any night waking or night coughing due to asthma?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
B. Future risk for poor asthma outcomes				
Risk factors for asthma exacerbations within the next few months				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncontrolled asthma symptoms • One or more severe exacerbations (ED attendance, hospitalization, or course of OCS) in previous year • The start of the child's usual 'flare-up' season (especially if autumn/fall) • Exposures: tobacco smoke; indoor or outdoor air pollution; indoor allergens (e.g., house dust mite, cockroach, pets, mold), especially in combination with viral infection⁷⁷⁸ • Major psychological or socio-economic problems for child or family • Poor adherence with ICS medication, or incorrect inhaler technique • Outdoor pollution (NO₂ and particles)¹¹¹ 				
Risk factors for persistent airflow limitation				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Severe asthma with several hospitalizations • History of bronchiolitis 				
Risk factors for medication side-effects				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systemic: Frequent courses of OCS, high-dose and/or potent ICS (see Box 6-7, p.184) • Local: moderate-to high-dose or potent ICS; incorrect inhaler technique; failure to protect skin or eyes when using ICS by nebulizer or spacer with face mask 				

See list of abbreviations (p.10). * Excludes reliever taken before exercise. Before stepping up treatment, ensure that the child's symptoms are due to asthma, and that the child has good inhaler technique and good adherence to existing treatment.

Assessing future risk of adverse outcomes

The relationship between symptom control and future risk of adverse outcomes, such as exacerbations (Box 6-5, p.178), has not been sufficiently studied in young children. Although exacerbations may occur in children after months of apparently good symptom control, the risk is greater if current symptom control is poor. Preschool children at high risk of asthma (based on modified API) who were treated with daily low-dose ICS experienced fewer days with asthma symptoms and a reduced risk of exacerbations than those receiving placebo.⁷⁷⁹

The future risk of harm due to excessive doses of inhaled or systemic corticosteroids must also be avoided. This can be minimized by ensuring that the prescribed treatment is appropriate and reduced to the lowest dose that maintains satisfactory symptom control and minimizes exacerbations. The child's height should be measured and recorded at least yearly, as growth velocity may be lower in the first 1–2 years of ICS treatment,¹³² and poorly controlled asthma can affect growth.¹³¹ The minimum effective dose of ICS to maintain good asthma control should be used. If decreased growth velocity is seen, other factors should be considered, including poorly controlled asthma, frequent use of oral corticosteroids (OCS), and poor nutrition, and referral should be considered.

If ICS is delivered through a face-mask or nebulizer, the skin on the nose and around the mouth should be cleaned shortly after inhalation in order to avoid local side-effects such as steroid rash (reddening and atrophy).

MEDICATIONS FOR SYMPTOM CONTROL AND RISK REDUCTION

Choosing medications for children 5 years and younger

Good control of asthma can be achieved in the overwhelming majority of young children with a pharmacological intervention strategy.⁷⁸⁰ This should be developed in a partnership between the family/carer and the health care provider. As with older children and adults, medications comprise only one component of asthma management in young children; other key components include education, skills training for inhaler devices and adherence, non-pharmacological strategies including environmental control where appropriate, regular monitoring, and clinical review (see later sections in this chapter).

When recommending treatment for a young child, both general and individual questions apply (Box 3-3, p.52).

- What is the 'preferred' medication option at each treatment step to control asthma symptoms and minimize future risk? These decisions are based on data for efficacy, effectiveness and safety from clinical trials, and on observational data. Studies suggest that consideration of factors such as allergic sensitization and/or peripheral blood count may help to better identify which children are more likely to have a short-term response to ICS.⁷⁸¹ However, further studies are needed to assess the applicability of these findings in a wider range of settings, particularly in areas where blood eosinophilia may reflect helminth infection rather than asthma or atopy.
- How does this individual child differ from other children with asthma, in terms of:
 - Response to previous treatment
 - Preferences of the parent/caregiver (goals, beliefs and concerns about medications)
 - Practical issues (cost, inhaler technique and adherence)?

The following treatment recommendations for children of 5 years of age or younger are based on the available evidence and on expert opinion. Although the evidence is expanding it is still rather limited as most clinical trials in this age group have not characterized participants with respect to their symptom pattern, and different studies have used different outcomes and different definitions of exacerbations.

A stepwise treatment approach is recommended (Box 6-6, p.183), based on symptom patterns, risk of exacerbations and side-effects, and response to initial treatment. Generally, treatment includes the daily, long-term use of low-dose ICS treatment to keep asthma well controlled, and reliever medications for as-needed symptom relief. The choice of inhaler device is also an important consideration (Box 6-8, p.185).

Which children should be prescribed regular controller treatment?

Intermittent or episodic wheezing of any severity may represent an isolated viral-induced wheezing episode, an episode of seasonal or allergen-induced asthma, or unrecognized uncontrolled asthma. The *initial* treatment of wheezing is identical for all of these – a SABA every 4–6 hours as needed until symptoms disappear, usually within 1 to 7 days. Further treatment of the acute wheezing episodes themselves is described below (see *Acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger*, p.187). However, uncertainty surrounds the addition of other medications in these children, especially when the nature of the episode is unclear. In general, the following principles apply.

- *If the history and symptom pattern suggest a diagnosis of asthma* (Box 6-2, p.172; Box 6-3, p.173) and respiratory symptoms are uncontrolled (Box 6-5, p.178) and/or wheezing episodes are frequent (e.g., three or more episodes in a season), regular controller treatment (usually maintenance low-dose ICS) should be initiated (Step 2, Box 6-6, p.183) and the response evaluated (Evidence D). Regular ICS treatment may also be indicated in a child with less frequent, but more severe episodes of viral-induced wheeze (Evidence D).
- *If the diagnosis of asthma is in doubt*, and inhaled SABA therapy or courses of antibiotics need to be repeated frequently, e.g., more than every 6–8 weeks, a trial of regular ICS treatment should be considered to confirm whether the symptoms are due to asthma (Evidence D). Referral for specialist opinion should also be considered at this stage.

It is important to discuss the decision to prescribe controller treatment and the choice of treatment with the child's parents or caregivers. They should be aware of both the relative benefits and risks of the treatments, and the importance of maintaining normal activity levels for their child's normal physical and social development. Although effects of ICS on growth velocity are seen in pre-pubertal children in the first 1–2 years of treatment, this is not progressive or cumulative, and the one study that examined long-term outcomes showed a difference of only 0.7% in adult height.^{132,782} Poorly controlled asthma itself adversely affects adult height.¹³¹

Treatment steps to control asthma symptoms and minimize future risk for children 5 years and younger

Asthma treatment in young children follows a stepwise approach (Box 6-6), with medication adjusted up or down to achieve good symptom control and minimize future risk of exacerbations and medication side-effects. The need for controller treatment should be re-assessed regularly.

Before considering a step-up of controller treatment

If symptom control is poor and/or exacerbations persist despite 3 months of adequate controller therapy, check the following *before any step up in treatment is considered*.

- Confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma rather than a concomitant or alternative condition (Box 6-4, p.176). Refer for expert assessment if the diagnosis is in doubt.
- Check and correct inhaler technique.
- Confirm good adherence with the prescribed dose.
- Consider trial of one of the other treatment options for that step, as many children may respond to one of the options.
- Enquire about risk factors such as allergen or tobacco smoke exposure (Box 6-5, p.178).

ASTHMA TREATMENT STEPS FOR CHILDREN AGED 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER

STEP 1: As-needed inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA)

Preferred option: as-needed inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA)

All children who experience wheezing episodes should be provided with inhaled SABA for relief of symptoms (Evidence D), although it is not effective in all children. See Box 6-8 (p.185) for choice of inhaler device. Use of SABA for the relief of symptoms on average more than twice a week over a 1-month period indicates the need for a trial of low-dose ICS treatment. Initial episodes of wheeze in children <1 year often occur in the setting of infectious bronchiolitis, and this should be managed according to local bronchiolitis guidelines. SABAs are generally ineffective for bronchiolitis.⁷⁸³

Other options

Oral bronchodilator therapy is not recommended due to its slower onset of action and higher rate of side-effects, compared with inhaled SABA (Evidence D).

For children with intermittent viral-induced wheeze and no interval symptoms, particularly those with underlying atopy (positive for modified API) in whom inhaled SABA medication is not sufficient, intermittent high-dose ICS may be considered^{649,784,785} (see *Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations*, p.187), but because of the risk of side-effects, this should only be considered if the physician is confident that the treatment will be used appropriately.

STEP 2: Initial controller treatment plus as-needed SABA

Preferred option: regular daily low-dose ICS plus as-needed SABA

Regular daily, low-dose ICS (Box 6-7, p.184) is recommended as the preferred initial treatment to control asthma in children 5 years and younger (Evidence A).^{779,786-788} This initial treatment should be given for at least 3 months to establish its effectiveness in achieving good asthma control.

Other options

In young children with persistent asthma, regular treatment with a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) modestly reduces symptoms and need for oral corticosteroids compared with placebo.⁷⁸⁹ However, for young children with recurrent viral-induced wheezing, a review concluded that neither regular nor intermittent LTRA reduces OCS-requiring exacerbations (Evidence A).⁷⁹⁰ A further systematic review found that in preschool children with asthma or recurrent wheezing, daily ICS was more effective in improving symptom control and reducing exacerbations than regular LTRA monotherapy.⁷⁹¹ Parents/caregivers should be counselled about the potential adverse effects of montelukast on sleep and behavior, and health professionals should consider the benefits and risks of side effects before prescribing; the FDA has required a boxed warning about these problems.²³⁶

For preschool children with asthma characterized by frequent viral-induced wheezing and interval asthma symptoms, as-needed (prn)⁷⁹² or episodic ICS⁷⁹³ may be considered, but a trial of regular daily low-dose ICS should be undertaken first. The effect on exacerbation risk seems similar for regular daily low-dose and episodic high-dose ICS.⁷⁸⁸ See also *Initial home management of asthma exacerbations*, p.188.

If good asthma control is not achieved with a given therapy, trials of the alternative Step 2 therapies are recommended prior to moving to Step 3.⁷⁸¹

STEP 3: Additional controller treatment, plus as-needed SABA and consider specialist referral

If 3 months of initial therapy with a low-dose ICS fails to control symptoms, or if exacerbations continue to occur, check the following *before any step up in treatment is considered*.

- Confirm that the symptoms are due to asthma rather than a concomitant or alternative condition (Box 6-4, p.176).
- Check and correct inhaler technique. Consider alternative delivery systems if indicated.
- Confirm good adherence with the prescribed dose.
- Enquire about risk factors, such as exposure to allergens or tobacco smoke (Box 6-5, p.178).

Preferred option: medium-dose ICS (double the 'low' daily dose)

Doubling the initial low dose of ICS may be the best option (Evidence C). Assess response after 3 months. The child should be referred for expert assessment if symptom control remains poor and/or flare-ups persist, or if side-effects of treatment are observed or suspected.

Other options

Addition of a LTRA to low-dose ICS may be considered, based on data from older children (Evidence D). The relative cost of different treatment options in some countries may be relevant to controller choices for children. See note above about the FDA warning for montelukast.²³⁶

Not recommended

There are insufficient data about the efficacy and safety of ICS-LABA in children <4 years old to recommend their use. A short-term (8 week) placebo-controlled study did not show any significant difference in symptoms between combination fluticasone propionate-salmeterol versus fluticasone propionate alone; no additional safety signals were noted in the group receiving LABA.⁷⁹⁴

STEP 4: Continue controller treatment and refer for expert assessment

Preferred option: refer the child for expert advice and further investigation (Evidence D).

If doubling the initial dose of ICS fails to achieve and maintain good asthma control, carefully reassess inhaler technique and medication adherence as these are common problems in this age group. In addition, reassess and address control of environmental factors where relevant, and reconsider the asthma diagnosis.

Other options

The best treatment for this population has not been established. If the diagnosis of asthma has been confirmed, options to consider, with specialist advice, are:


- Further increase the dose of ICS for a few weeks until the control of the child's asthma improves (Evidence D). Monitor for side-effects.
- Add LTRA (data based on studies in older children, Evidence D). Benefits, and risks of side effects, should be considered, as described previously.²³⁶
- Add long-acting beta agonist (LABA) in combination with ICS; data based on studies in children ≥4 years of age
- Add a low dose of oral corticosteroid (for a few weeks only) until asthma control improves (Evidence D); monitor for side-effects.
- Add intermittent high-dose ICS at onset of respiratory illnesses to the regular daily ICS if exacerbations are the main problem (Evidence D).

The need for additional controller treatment should be re-evaluated at each visit and maintained for as short a period as possible, taking into account potential risks and benefits. Treatment goals and their feasibility should be reconsidered and discussed with the child's family/carer.

Box 6-6. Personalized management of asthma in children 5 years and younger

GINA 2023 – Children 5 years and younger

Personalized asthma management:
Assess, Adjust, Review response



Asthma medication options:
Adjust treatment up and down for individual child's needs

	STEP 1 <i>(Insufficient evidence for daily controller)</i>	STEP 2	STEP 3	STEP 4
PREFERRED CONTROLLER CHOICE		Daily low dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) (see table of ICS dose ranges for pre-school children)	Double 'low dose' ICS (See Box 6-7)	Continue controller & refer for specialist assessment
<i>Other controller options (limited indications, or less evidence for efficacy or safety)</i>	Consider intermittent short course ICS at onset of viral illness	Daily leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA), or intermittent short course of ICS at onset of respiratory illness	Low dose ICS + LTRA Consider specialist referral	Add LTRA, or increase ICS frequency, or add intermittent ICS
RELIEVER	As-needed short-acting beta ₂ -agonist			
CONSIDER THIS STEP FOR CHILDREN WITH:	Infrequent viral wheezing and no or few interval symptoms	Symptom pattern not consistent with asthma but wheezing episodes requiring SABA occur frequently, e.g. ≥3 per year. Give diagnostic trial for 3 months. Consider specialist referral. Symptom pattern consistent with asthma, and asthma symptoms not well-controlled or ≥3 exacerbations per year.	Asthma diagnosis, and asthma not well-controlled on low dose ICS Before stepping up, check for alternative diagnosis, check inhaler skills, review adherence and exposures	Asthma not well-controlled on double ICS

See list of abbreviations (p.10). For ICS doses in children, see Box 6-7, p.184

Box 6-7. Low daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids for children 5 years and younger

This is not a table of equivalence, but instead, suggestions for ‘low’ total daily doses for the ICS treatment recommendations for children aged 5 years and younger in Box 6-6 (p.183), based on available studies and product information. Data on comparative potency are not readily available, particularly for children, and this table does NOT imply potency equivalence. The doses listed here are the lowest approved doses for which safety and effectiveness have been adequately studied in this age group.

Low-dose ICS provides most of the clinical benefit for most children with asthma. Higher doses are associated with an increased risk of local and systemic side-effects, which must be balanced against potential benefits.

Inhaled corticosteroid	Low total daily dose (mcg) (age-group with adequate safety and effectiveness data)
BDP (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	100 (ages 5 years and older)
BDP (pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	50 (ages 5 years and older)
Budesonide nebulized	500 (ages 1 year and older)
Fluticasone propionate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	50 (ages 4 years and older)
Fluticasone furoate (DPI)	Not sufficiently studied in children 5 years and younger)
Mometasone furoate (pMDI, standard particle, HFA)	100 (ages 5 years and older)
Ciclesonide (pMDI, extrafine particle, HFA)	Not sufficiently studied in children 5 years and younger)

BDP : beclometasone dipropionate. For other abbreviations see p.10. In children, pMDI should always be used with a spacer

REVIEWING RESPONSE AND ADJUSTING TREATMENT

Assessment at every visit should include asthma symptom control and risk factors (Box 6-5, p.178), and side-effects. The child’s height should be measured every year, or more often. Asthma-like symptoms remit in a substantial proportion of children of 5 years or younger,⁷⁹⁵⁻⁷⁹⁷ so the need for continued controller treatment should be regularly assessed (e.g., every 3–6 months) (Evidence D). If therapy is stepped-down or discontinued, schedule a follow-up visit 3–6 weeks later to check whether symptoms have recurred, as therapy may need to be stepped-up or reinstated (Evidence D).

Marked seasonal variations may be seen in symptoms and exacerbations in this age-group. For children with seasonal symptoms whose daily long-term controller treatment is to be discontinued (e.g., 4 weeks after their season ends), the parent/caregiver should be provided with a written asthma action plan detailing specific signs of worsening asthma, the medications that should be initiated to treat it, and when and how to contact medical care.

CHOICE OF INHALER DEVICE

Inhaled therapy constitutes the cornerstone of asthma treatment in children 5 years and younger. General information about inhaler devices, and the issues that should be considered, are found in Chapter 3.3 (p.98) and in Box 3-21 (p.99). These include, first, choosing the right medication(s) for the child to control symptoms, allow normal activity, and reduce the risk of severe exacerbations; considering which delivery device is available; whether they can use it correctly after training; and, if more than one type of inhaler device is available, their relative environmental impact.

For children aged 5 years and younger, a pressurized metered-dose inhaler (pMDI) with a valved spacer (with or without a face mask, depending on the child’s age) is the preferred delivery system⁷⁹⁸ (Box 6-8, p.185) (Evidence A). This recommendation is based on studies with beta₂-agonists. The spacer device should have documented efficacy in young children. The dose delivered may vary considerably between spacers, so consider this if changing from one spacer to another.

The only possible inhalation technique in young children is tidal breathing. The optimal number of breaths required to empty the spacer depends on the child’s tidal volume, and the dead space and volume of the spacer. Generally, 5–10 breaths will be sufficient per actuation. The way a spacer is used can markedly affect the amount of drug delivered:

- Spacer size may affect the amount of drug available for inhalation in a complex way depending on the drug prescribed and the pMDI used. Young children can use spacers of all sizes, but theoretically a lower volume spacer (<350 mL) is advantageous in very young children.
- A single pMDI actuation should be delivered at a time, with the inhaler shaken in between. Multiple actuations into the spacer before inhalation may markedly reduce the amount of drug inhaled.
- Delay between actuating the pMDI into the spacer and inhalation may reduce the amount of drug available. This varies between spacers, but to maximize drug delivery, inhalation should start as soon as possible after actuation. If a health care provider or a carer is giving the medication to the child, they should actuate the pMDI only when the child is ready and the spacer is in the child's mouth.
- If a face mask is used it must be fitted tightly around the child's mouth and nose, to avoid loss of drug.
- Ensure that the valve is moving while the child is breathing through the spacer.
- Static charge may accumulate on some plastic spacers, attracting drug particles and reducing lung delivery. This charge can be reduced by washing the spacer with detergent (without rinsing) and allowing it to air dry, but it may re-accumulate over time. Spacers made of anti-static materials or metals are less subject to this problem. If a patient or health care provider carries a new plastic spacer for emergency use, it should be regularly washed with detergent (e.g., monthly) to reduce static charge.
- Nebulizers, the only viable alternative delivery systems in children, are reserved for the minority of children who cannot be taught effective use of a spacer device. If a nebulizer is used for delivery of ICS, it should be used with a mouthpiece to avoid the medication reaching the eyes. If a nebulizer is used, follow local infection control procedures.

Box 6-8. Choosing an inhaler device for children 5 years and younger

Age	Preferred device	Alternate device
0–3 years	Pressurized metered dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with face mask	Nebulizer with face mask
4–5 years	Pressurized metered dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with mouthpiece	Pressurized metered dose inhaler plus dedicated spacer with face mask or nebulizer with mouthpiece or face mask

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

ASTHMA SELF-MANAGEMENT EDUCATION FOR CARERS OF YOUNG CHILDREN

Asthma self-management education should be provided to family members and carers of wheezy children 5 years and younger when wheeze is suspected to be caused by asthma. An educational program should contain:

- A basic explanation about asthma and the factors that influence it
- Training about correct inhalation technique
- Information on the importance of the child's adherence to the prescribed medication regimen
- A written asthma action plan.

Crucial to a successful asthma education program are a partnership between patient/carer and health care providers, with a high level of agreement regarding the goals of treatment for the child, and intensive follow-up (Evidence D).³⁰

Written asthma action plans

Asthma action plans should be provided for the family/carers of all children with asthma, including those aged 5 years and younger (Evidence D). Action plans, developed through collaboration between an asthma educator, the health care provider and the family, have been shown to be of value in older children,⁷⁹⁹ although they have not been extensively studied in children of 5 years and younger. A written asthma action plan includes:

- A description of how the parent or caregiver can recognize when symptom control is deteriorating
- The medications to administer
- When and how to obtain medical care, including telephone numbers of services available for emergencies (e.g., doctors' offices, emergency departments and hospitals, ambulance services and emergency pharmacies). Details of treatments that can be initiated at home are provided in the following section, *Part C: Management of worsening asthma and exacerbations in children 5 years and younger*, p.187.

6.3. MANAGEMENT OF WORSENING ASTHMA AND EXACERBATIONS IN CHILDREN 5 YEARS AND YOUNGER

KEY POINTS

Symptoms of exacerbation in young children

- Early symptoms of exacerbations in young children may include increased symptoms; increased coughing, especially at night; lethargy or reduced exercise tolerance; impaired daily activities including feeding; and a poor response to reliever medication.

Home management in a written asthma action plan

- Give a written asthma action plan to parents/caregivers of young children with asthma so they can recognize an impending severe attack, start treatment, and identify when urgent hospital treatment is required.
- Initial treatment at home is with inhaled short-acting beta₂-agonist (SABA), with review after 1 hour or earlier.
- Parents/caregivers should seek urgent medical care if the child is acutely distressed, lethargic, fails to respond to initial bronchodilator therapy, or is worsening, especially in children <1 year of age.
- Medical attention should be sought on the same day if inhaled SABA is needed more often than 3-hourly or for more than 24 hours.
- There is no compelling evidence to support parent/caregiver-initiated oral corticosteroids.

Management of exacerbations in primary care or acute care facility

- Assess severity of the exacerbation while initiating treatment with SABA (2–6 puffs every 20 minutes for first hour) and oxygen (to maintain saturation 94–98%).
- Recommend immediate transfer to hospital if there is no response to inhaled SABA within 1–2 hours; if the child is unable to speak or drink, has a respiratory rate >40/minute or is cyanosed, if resources are lacking in the home, or if oxygen saturation is <92% on room air.
- Consider oral prednisone/prednisolone 1–2 mg/kg/day for children attending an Emergency Department (ED) or admitted to hospital, up to a maximum of 20 mg/day for children aged 0–2 years, and 30 mg/day for children aged 3–5 years, for up to 5 days; or dexamethasone 0.6 mg/kg/day for 2 days. If there is failure of resolution, or relapse of symptoms with dexamethasone, consideration should be given to switching to prednisolone.

Arrange early follow-up after an exacerbation

- Children who have experienced an asthma exacerbation are at risk of further exacerbations. Arrange follow-up within 1–2 days of an exacerbation and again 1–2 months later to plan ongoing asthma management.

DIAGNOSIS OF EXACERBATIONS

A flare-up or exacerbation of asthma in children 5 years and younger is defined as an acute or sub-acute deterioration in symptom control that is sufficient to cause distress or risk to health, and necessitates a visit to a health care provider or requires treatment with systemic corticosteroids. In pediatric literature, the term 'episode' is commonly used, but understanding of this term by parents/caregivers is not known

Early symptoms of an exacerbation may include any of the following:

- Onset of symptoms of respiratory tract infection
- An acute or sub-acute increase in wheeze and shortness of breath
- An increase in coughing, especially while the child is asleep
- Lethargy or reduced exercise tolerance
- Impairment of daily activities, including feeding
- A poor response to reliever medication.

In a study of children aged 2–5 years, the combination of increased daytime cough, daytime wheeze, and night-time beta₂-agonist use was a strong predictor at a group level of an imminent exacerbation (1 day later). This combination predicted around 70% of exacerbations, with a low false positive rate of 14%. In contrast, no individual symptom was predictive of an imminent asthma exacerbation.⁸⁰⁰

Upper respiratory symptoms frequently precede the onset of an asthma exacerbation, indicating the important role of viral URTI in precipitating exacerbations in many, although not all, children with asthma. In a randomized controlled trial of acetaminophen versus ibuprofen, given for pain or fever in children with mild persistent asthma, there was no evidence of a difference in the subsequent risk of flare-ups or poor symptom control.⁷⁸¹

INITIAL HOME MANAGEMENT OF ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS

Initial management includes an action plan to enable the child's family members and carers to recognize worsening asthma and initiate treatment, recognize when it is severe, identify when urgent hospital treatment is necessary, and provide recommendations for follow up (Evidence D). The action plan should include specific information about medications and dosages and when and how to access medical care.

Need for urgent medical attention

Parents/caregivers should be advised to seek medical attention immediately if:

- The child is acutely distressed
- The child's symptoms are not relieved promptly by inhaled bronchodilator
- The period of relief after doses of SABA becomes progressively shorter
- A child younger than 1 year requires repeated inhaled SABA over several hours.

Initial treatment at home

Inhaled SABA via a mask or spacer, and review response

The parent/caregiver should initiate treatment with two puffs of inhaled SABA (200 mcg salbutamol [albuterol] or equivalent), given one puff at a time via a spacer device with or without a facemask (Evidence D). This may be repeated a further two times at 20-minute intervals, if needed. The child should be observed by the family/carer and, if improving, maintained in a restful and reassuring atmosphere for an hour or more. Medical attention should be sought urgently if any of the features listed above apply; or on the same day if more than 6 puffs of inhaled SABA are required for symptom relief within the first 2 hours, or if the child has not recovered after 24 hours.


Family/carer-initiated corticosteroids

Although practiced in some parts of the world, the evidence to support the initiation of oral corticosteroid (OCS) treatment by family/carers in the home management of asthma exacerbations in children is weak.⁸⁰¹⁻⁸⁰⁵ Preemptive episodic high-dose nebulized ICS may reduce exacerbations in children with intermittent viral triggered wheezing.⁷⁸⁸ However, because of the high potential for side-effects, especially if the treatment is continued inappropriately or is given frequently, family-administered high-dose ICS should be considered only where the health care provider is confident that the medications will be used appropriately, and the child is closely monitored for side-effects (see p.191).

Leukotriene receptor antagonists

In children aged 2–5 years with intermittent viral wheezing, one study found that a short course of an oral LTRA (for 7–20 days, commenced at the start of an URTI or the first sign of asthma symptoms) reduced symptoms, health care utilization and time off work for the carer.⁸⁰⁶ In contrast another study found no significant effect with LTRA vs placebo on episode-free days (primary outcome), OCS use, health care utilization, quality of life or hospitalization in children with or without a positive Asthma Predictive Index (API). However, activity limitation and a symptom trouble score were significantly improved, particularly in children with a positive API.⁸⁰⁷ Parents/caregivers should be counseled about the FDA warning about risk of adverse effects on sleep and behavior with montelukast.²³⁶

Box 6-9. Management of acute asthma or wheezing in children 5 years and younger



See list of abbreviations (p.10).

PRIMARY CARE OR HOSPITAL MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE ASTHMA EXACERBATIONS IN CHILDREN 5 YEARS OR YOUNGER

Assessment of exacerbation severity

Conduct a brief history and examination concurrently with the initiation of therapy (Box 6-9, p.189). The presence of any of the features of a severe exacerbation listed in Box 6-10 are an indication of the need for urgent treatment and immediate transfer to hospital (Evidence D). Oxygen saturation from pulse oximetry of <92% on presentation (before oxygen or bronchodilator treatment) is associated with high morbidity and likely need for hospitalization; saturation of 92–95% is also associated with higher risk.⁶⁷² The FDA has issued a caution about potential overestimation of oxygen saturation by pulse oximetry in people with dark skin color.⁶⁵⁴ Agitation, drowsiness and confusion are features of cerebral hypoxemia. A quiet chest on auscultation indicates minimal ventilation, insufficient to produce a wheeze.

Several clinical scoring systems such as PRAM (Preschool Respiratory Assessment Measure) and PASS (Pediatric Asthma Severity Score) have been developed for assessing the severity of acute asthma exacerbations in children.⁸⁰⁸

Box 6-10. Initial assessment of acute asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger

Symptoms	Mild	Severe*
Altered consciousness	No	Agitated, confused or drowsy
Oximetry on presentation (SaO ₂)**	>95%	<92%
Speech†	Sentences	Words
Pulse rate	<100 beats/minute	>180 beats/minute (0–3 years) >150 beats/minute (4–5 years)
Respiratory rate	≤40/minute	>40/minute
Central cyanosis	Absent	Likely to be present
Wheeze intensity	Variable	Chest may be quiet

See list of abbreviations (p.10). *Any of these features indicates a severe asthma exacerbation. **Oximetry before treatment with oxygen or bronchodilator. Note FDA caution about potential overestimation of oxygen saturation with pulse oximetry in people with dark skin color.⁶⁵⁴ †

The developmental stage and usual capability of the child must be taken into account.

Indications for immediate transfer to hospital

Children with features of a severe exacerbation that fail to resolve within 1–2 hours despite repeated dosing with inhaled SABA must be referred to hospital for observation and further treatment (Evidence D). Other indications are respiratory arrest or impending arrest; lack of supervision in the home or doctor's office; and recurrence of signs of a severe exacerbation within 48 hours (particularly if treatment with OCS has already been given). In addition, early medical attention should be sought for children with a history of severe life-threatening exacerbations, and those aged less than 2 years, as the risk of dehydration and respiratory fatigue is increased (Box 6-12, p.191).

Emergency treatment and initial pharmacotherapy

Oxygen

Treat hypoxemia urgently with oxygen by face mask to achieve and maintain percutaneous oxygen saturation 94–98% (Evidence A). Note the FDA caution above about potential overestimation of oxygen saturation in people with dark skin color. To avoid hypoxemia during changes in treatment, children who are acutely distressed should be treated immediately with oxygen and SABA (2.5 mg of salbutamol or equivalent diluted in 3 mL of sterile normal saline) delivered by an oxygen-driven nebulizer (if available). This treatment should not be delayed, and may be given before the full assessment is completed. Transient hypoxemia due to ventilation/perfusion mismatch may occur during treatment with SABAs.

Box 6-11. Indications for immediate transfer to hospital for children 5 years and younger

Immediate transfer to hospital is indicated if a child ≤5 years with asthma has ANY of the following:

- At initial or subsequent assessment
 - Child is unable to speak or drink
 - Cyanosis
 - Respiratory rate >40 per minute
 - Oxygen saturation <92% when breathing room air
 - Silent chest on auscultation
- Lack of response to initial bronchodilator treatment
 - Lack of response to 6 puffs of inhaled salbutamol [albuterol] (2 separate puffs, repeated 3 times) over 1–2 hours
 - Persisting tachypnea* despite three administrations of inhaled SABA, even if the child shows other clinical signs of improvement
- Social environment that limits delivery of acute treatment, or parent/caregiver unable to manage acute asthma at home

During transfer to hospital, continue to give inhaled SABA, oxygen (if available) to maintain saturation 94–98%, and give systemic corticosteroids (see Box 6-9, p.189)

See list of abbreviations (p.10). *Normal respiratory rates: <60 breaths/minute in children 0–2 months; <50 breaths/minute in children 2–12 months; <40 breaths/minute in children 1–5 years.

Inhaled bronchodilator therapy

The initial dose of inhaled SABA may be given by a pMDI with spacer and mask or mouthpiece or an air-driven nebulizer; or, if oxygen saturation is low, by an oxygen-driven nebulizer (as described above). For most children, pMDI plus spacer is favored as it is more efficient than a nebulizer for bronchodilator delivery⁸⁰⁹ (Evidence A), and nebulizers can spread infectious particles. The initial dose of SABA is two puffs of salbutamol (100 mcg per puff) or equivalent, except in acute, severe asthma when six puffs should be given. When a nebulizer is used, a dose of 2.5 mg salbutamol solution is recommended, and infection control procedures should be followed. The frequency of dosing depends on the response observed over 1–2 hours (see below).

For children with moderate-severe exacerbations and a poor response to initial SABA, nebulized ipratropium bromide may be added every 20 minutes for 1 hour only.⁸⁰⁹

Magnesium sulfate

The role of magnesium sulfate is not established for children 5 years and younger, because there are few studies in this age group. Nebulized isotonic magnesium sulfate may be considered as an adjuvant to standard treatment with nebulized salbutamol and ipratropium in the first hour of treatment for children ≥2 years old with acute severe asthma

(e.g. oxygen saturation <92%, Box 6-10, p.190), particularly those with symptoms lasting <6 hours.⁸¹⁰ Intravenous magnesium sulfate in a single dose of 40–50 mg/kg (maximum 2 g) by slow infusion (20–60 minutes) has also been used.⁸¹¹

Assessment of response and additional bronchodilator treatment

Children with a severe asthma exacerbation must be observed for at least 1 hour after initiation of treatment, at which time further treatment can be planned.

- *If symptoms persist after initial bronchodilator:* a further 2–6 puffs of salbutamol (depending on severity) may be given 20 minutes after the first dose and repeated at 20-minute intervals for an hour. Consider adding 1–2 puffs of ipratropium. Failure to respond at 1 hour, or earlier deterioration, should prompt urgent admission to hospital, addition of nebulized ipratropium, and a short-course of oral corticosteroids (Evidence D).
- *If symptoms have improved by 1 hour but recur within 3–4 hours:* the child may be given more frequent doses of bronchodilator (2–3 puffs each hour), and oral corticosteroids should be given. The child may need to remain in the emergency department, or, if at home, should be observed by the family/carer and have ready access to emergency care. Children who fail to respond to 10 puffs of inhaled SABA within a 3–4 hour period should be referred immediately to hospital (Evidence D).
- *If symptoms resolve rapidly after initial bronchodilator and do not recur for 1–2 hours:* no further treatment may be required. Further SABA may be given every 3–4 hours (up to a total of 10 puffs/24 hours) and, if symptoms persist beyond 1 day, other treatments including inhaled and/or oral corticosteroids are indicated (Evidence D), as outlined below.

Box 6-12. Initial emergency department management of asthma exacerbations in children 5 years and younger

Therapy	Dose and administration
Supplemental oxygen	Delivered by face nasal prongs or mask, as indicated to maintain oxygen saturation at 94–98%
Short-acting beta ₂ -agonist (SABA)	2–6 puffs of salbutamol [albuterol] by spacer, or 2.5 mg by nebulizer, every 20 minutes for first hour*, then reassess severity. If symptoms persist or recur, give an additional 2–3 puffs per hour. Admit to hospital if >10 puffs required in 3–4 hours.
Systemic corticosteroids	Give initial dose of oral prednisolone (1–2 mg/kg up to a maximum 20 mg for children <2 years old; 30 mg for children 2–5 years) OR, intravenous methylprednisolone 1 mg/kg 6-hourly on day 1
Additional options in the first hour of treatment	
Ipratropium bromide	Consider adding 1–2 puffs of ipratropium bromide by pMDI and spacer For children with moderate-severe exacerbations with a poor response to initial SABA, give nebulized ipratropium bromide 250 mcg every 20 minutes for 1 hour only
Magnesium sulfate	Consider nebulized isotonic magnesium sulfate (150 mg) 3 doses in the first hour of treatment for children aged ≥2 years with severe exacerbation (Box 6-10, p.190)

See list of abbreviations (p.10). *If inhalation is not possible an intravenous bolus of terbutaline 2 mcg/kg may be given over 5 minutes, followed by continuous infusion of 5 mcg/kg/hour⁸¹² (Evidence C). The child should be closely monitored, and the dose should be adjusted according to clinical improvement and side-effects. See below for additional and ongoing treatment, including maintenance ICS. If a nebulizer is used, follow infection control procedures.

Additional treatment

When treatment in addition to SABA is required for an exacerbation, the options available for children in this age group include ICS; a short course of oral corticosteroid; and/or LTRA (see p.188). However, the clinical benefit of these interventions – particularly on endpoints such as hospitalizations and longer-term outcomes – has not been impressive.

Maintain current controller treatment (if prescribed)

Children who have been prescribed maintenance therapy with ICS, LTRA or both should continue to take the prescribed dose during and after an exacerbation (Evidence D).

Inhaled corticosteroids

For children not previously on ICS, an initial dose of ICS twice the low daily dose indicated in Box 6-7 (p.184) may be given and continued for a few weeks or months (Evidence D). Some studies have used high-dose ICS (1600 mcg/day, preferably divided into four doses over the day and given for 5–10 days) as this may reduce the need for OCS.^{649,784,785,813,814} Addition of ICS to standard care (including OCS) does not reduce risk of hospitalization but reduces length of stay and acute asthma scores in children in the emergency department.⁸¹⁵ However, the potential for side-effects with high-dose ICS should be taken into account, especially if used repeatedly, and the child should be monitored closely. For those children already on ICS, doubling the dose was not effective in a small study of mild-moderate exacerbations in children aged 6–14 years,⁸¹⁶ nor was quintupling the dose in children aged 5–11 years with good adherence. This approach should be reserved mainly for individual cases, and should always involve regular follow-up and monitoring of adverse effects (Evidence D).

Oral corticosteroids

For children with severe exacerbations, a dose of OCS equivalent to prednisolone 1–2 mg/kg/day, with a maximum of 20 mg/day for children under 2 years of age and 30 mg/day for children aged 2–5 years, is currently recommended (Evidence A),⁸¹⁷ although several studies have failed to show any benefits when given earlier (e.g. by parents or caregivers) during periods of worsening wheeze managed in an outpatient setting (Evidence D).^{801-804,818,819} A meta-analysis demonstrated a reduced risk of hospitalization when oral corticosteroids were administered in the emergency department, but no clear benefit in risk of hospitalization when given in the outpatient setting.⁸²⁰ A course of 3–5 days is sufficient in most children of this age, and can be stopped without tapering (Evidence D), but the child must be reviewed after discharge (as below) to confirm they are recovering.

In children discharged from the emergency department, an intramuscular corticosteroid may be an alternative to a course of OCS for preventing relapse,⁶⁸¹ but the risk of long-term adverse effects must be considered. There is insufficient evidence to recommend intramuscular over oral corticosteroids.⁶⁸¹

Regardless of treatment, the severity of the child's symptoms must be carefully monitored. The sooner therapy is started in relation to the onset of symptoms, the more likely it is that the impending exacerbation may be clinically attenuated or prevented.

Discharge and follow up after an exacerbation

Before discharge, the condition of the child should be stable (e.g., he/she should be out of bed and able to eat and drink without problems).

Children who have recently had an asthma exacerbation are at risk of further exacerbations and require follow up. The purpose is to ensure complete recovery, to establish the cause of the exacerbation, and, when necessary, to establish appropriate maintenance treatment and adherence (Evidence D).

Prior to discharge from the emergency department or hospital, family/carers should receive the following advice and information (all are Evidence D).

- Instruction on recognition of signs of recurrence and worsening of asthma. The factors that precipitated the exacerbation should be identified, and strategies for future avoidance of these factors implemented.
- A written, individualized action plan, including details of accessible emergency services
- Careful review of inhaler technique
- Further treatment advice explaining that:
 - SABAs should be used on an as-needed basis, but the daily requirement should be recorded to ensure it is being decreased over time to pre-exacerbation levels.
 - ICS has been initiated where appropriate (at twice the low initial dose in Box 6-7 (p.184) for the first month after discharge, then adjusted as needed) or continued, for those previously prescribed controller medication.
- A supply of SABA and, where applicable, the remainder of the course of oral corticosteroid, ICS or LTRA
- A follow-up appointment within 1–2 days and another within 1–2 months, depending on the clinical, social and practical context of the exacerbation.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

Chapter 7.

Primary prevention of asthma in children

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

KEY POINTS

- The development and persistence of asthma are driven by gene–environment interactions. For children, a ‘window of opportunity’ to prevent asthma exists *in utero* and in early life, but intervention studies are limited.
- With regard to allergen avoidance strategies aimed at preventing asthma in children:
 - Strategies directed at a single allergen have not been effective in reducing the incidence of asthma
 - Multifaceted strategies may be effective, but the essential components have not been identified.
- Current recommendations for preventing asthma in children, based on high-quality evidence or consensus, include:
 - Avoid exposure to environmental tobacco smoke during pregnancy and the first year of life.
 - Encourage vaginal delivery.
 - Where possible, avoid use of broad-spectrum antibiotics during the first year of life.
- Breast-feeding is advised, not for prevention of allergy and asthma, but for its other positive health benefits).

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF ASTHMA IN CHILDREN

Asthma is a heterogeneous disease whose inception and persistence are driven by gene–environment interactions that are not yet fully understood. The most important of these interactions may occur in early life and even *in utero*. There is consensus that a ‘window of opportunity’ exists during pregnancy and early in life when environmental factors may influence asthma development. Multiple environmental factors, both biological and sociological, may be important in the development of asthma. Data from studies investigating the role of environmental risk factors for the development of asthma support further research on prevention strategies focusing on nutrition, allergens (both inhaled and ingested), pollutants (particularly environmental tobacco smoke), microbes, and psychosocial factors.

‘Primary prevention’ refers to preventing the onset of disease. This chapter focuses on primary prevention in children. See p.116 and review articles⁴⁹ for strategies for preventing occupational asthma.

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED OR DECREASED RISK OF ASTHMA IN CHILDREN

Nutrition of mother and baby

Maternal diet

A large body of research investigating the development of allergy and asthma in children has focused on the mother’s diet during pregnancy. Current evidence does not clearly demonstrate that ingestion of any specific foods during pregnancy increases the risk for asthma. However, a study of a pre-birth cohort observed that maternal intake of foods commonly considered allergenic (peanut and milk) was associated with a *decrease* in allergy and asthma in the offspring.⁸²¹ Similar data have been shown in a very large Danish National birth cohort, with an association between ingestion of peanuts, tree nuts and/or fish during pregnancy and a decreased risk of asthma in the offspring.^{822,823} Epidemiological studies and randomized controlled trials on maternal dietary intake of fish or long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids during pregnancy showed no consistent effects on the risk of wheeze, asthma or atopy in the child.⁸²⁴⁻⁸²⁷ Dietary changes during pregnancy are therefore not recommended for prevention of allergies or asthma.

Maternal obesity and weight gain during pregnancy

Data suggest that maternal obesity and weight gain during pregnancy pose an increased risk for asthma in children. A meta-analysis⁸²⁸ showed that maternal obesity in pregnancy was associated with higher odds of ever asthma or wheeze or current asthma or wheeze; each 1 kg/m² increase in maternal body-mass index (BMI) was associated with a 2% to 3% increase in the odd of childhood asthma. High gestational weight gain was associated with higher odds of ever asthma or wheeze. However, no recommendations can be made at present, as unguided weight loss in pregnancy should not be encouraged.

Breastfeeding

Despite the existence of many studies reporting a beneficial effect of breastfeeding on asthma prevention, results are conflicting,⁸²⁹ and caution should be taken in advising families that breastfeeding will prevent asthma.⁸³⁰ Breastfeeding decreases wheezing episodes in early life; however, it may not prevent development of persistent asthma (Evidence D). Regardless of any effect on development of asthma, breastfeeding should be encouraged for all of its other positive benefits (Evidence A).

Timing of introduction of solids

Beginning in the 1990s, many national pediatric agencies and societies recommended delay of introduction of solid food, especially for children at a high risk for developing allergy. However, meta-analyses have found no evidence that this practice reduces the risk of allergic disease (including asthma).⁸³¹ In the case of peanuts, early introduction may *prevent* peanut allergy in high risk infants.⁸³¹

Dietary supplements for mothers and/or babies

Vitamin D

Intake of vitamin D may be through diet, dietary supplementation or sunlight. A systematic review of cohort, case control and cross-sectional studies concluded that maternal dietary intake of vitamin D, and of vitamin E was associated with lower risk of wheezing illnesses in children.⁸³² This was not confirmed in two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy, which compared standard-dose with high-dose vitamin D; however, a significant effect was not disproven.^{833,834} When the results from these two trials were combined, there was a 25% reduction of risk of asthma/recurrent wheeze at ages 0–3 years.⁸³⁵ The effect was greatest among women who maintained 25(OH)vitamin D levels of at least 30 ng/mL from the time of study entry through delivery, suggesting that sufficient levels of Vitamin D during early pregnancy may be important in decreasing risk for early life wheezing episodes,⁸³⁵ although in both trials, no effects of vitamin D supplementation on the development of asthma and recurrent wheeze were evident at the age of 6 years.⁸³⁶

Fish oil and long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids

Systematic reviews of cohort studies about maternal dietary intake of fish or seafood during pregnancy^{824,837} and of RCTs on maternal dietary intake of fish or long-chained polyunsaturated fatty acids during pregnancy⁸²⁴ showed no consistent effects on the risk of wheeze, asthma or atopy in the child. One study demonstrated decreased wheeze/asthma in preschool children at high risk for asthma when mothers were given a high-dose fish oil supplement in the third trimester;⁸³⁸ however 'fish oil' is not well defined, and the optimal dosing regimen has not been established.

Probiotics

A meta-analysis provided insufficient evidence to recommend probiotics for the prevention of allergic disease (asthma, rhinitis, eczema or food allergy).⁸³⁹

Inhalant allergens

Sensitization to indoor, inhaled aeroallergens is generally more important than sensitization to outdoor allergens for the presence of, and/or development of, asthma. While there appears to be a linear relationship between exposure and sensitization to house dust mite,^{840,841} the relationship for animal allergen appears to be more complex.⁸²⁹ Some studies have found that exposure to pet allergens is associated with increased risk of sensitization to these allergens,^{842,843} and of asthma and wheezing.^{844,845} By contrast, other studies have demonstrated a *decreased* risk of developing allergy with exposure to pets.^{846,847} Analyses of data from large populations of school-age children from birth cohorts in Europe have found no association between pets in the homes early in life and higher or lower prevalence of asthma in children.^{848,849} For children at risk of asthma, dampness, visible mold and mold odor in the home environment are associated with increased risk of developing asthma.⁸⁵⁰ Overall, there are insufficient data to recommend efforts to either reduce or increase pre-natal or early-life exposure to common sensitizing allergens, including pets, for the prevention of allergies and asthma.

Birth cohort studies provide some evidence for consideration. A meta-analysis found that studies of interventions focused on reducing exposure to a single allergen did not significantly affect asthma development, but that multifaceted interventions such as in the Isle of Wight study,⁸⁵¹ the Canadian Asthma Primary Prevention Study,⁸⁵² and the Prevention of Asthma in Children study⁸⁵³ were associated with lower risk of asthma diagnosis in children younger than 5 years.⁸⁵⁴ Two multifaceted studies that followed children beyond 5 years of age demonstrated a significant protective effect both before and after the age of 5 years.^{851,855} The Isle of Wight study has shown a continuing positive benefit for early-life intervention through to 18 years of age;⁸⁵⁶ however, it remains unclear which components of the intervention contributed to the effects reported, and the precise mechanism of these effects.

Treatment with grass pollen sublingual allergen immunotherapy (SLIT) for 3 years did not reduce the incidence of asthma diagnosis (primary outcome) in a large randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial in children aged 5–12 years with grass-allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, but asthma symptoms and asthma medication use were reduced. At present, SLIT for children with grass allergic rhinoconjunctivitis is not recommended for asthma prevention.⁸⁵⁷ Additional studies are needed.

Pollutants

Maternal smoking during pregnancy is the most direct route of pre-natal environmental tobacco smoke exposure.⁸⁵⁸ A meta-analysis concluded that prenatal smoking had its strongest effect on young children, whereas postnatal maternal smoking appeared only to affect asthma development in older children.⁸⁵⁹ Exposure to outdoor pollutants, such as living near a main road, is associated with increased risk of asthma.^{860,861} A 2019 study suggested that up to 4 million new pediatric asthma cases (13% of the global incidence) may be attributable to exposure to traffic-related air pollution.⁸⁶² Prenatal NO₂, SO₂, and PM₁₀ exposures are associated with an increased risk of asthma in childhood,⁸⁶³ but it is difficult to separate effects of prenatal and postnatal exposure.

Microbial effects

The 'hygiene hypothesis', and the more recently coined 'microflora hypothesis' and 'biodiversity hypothesis',⁸⁶⁴ suggest that human interaction with microbiota may be beneficial in preventing asthma. For example, there is a lower risk of asthma among children raised on farms with exposure to stables and consumption of raw farm milk than among children of non-farmers.⁸⁶⁵ The risk of asthma is also reduced in children whose bedrooms have high levels of bacterial-derived lipopolysaccharide endotoxin.^{866,867} Similarly, children in homes with ≥2 dogs or cats are less likely to be allergic than those in homes without dogs or cats.⁸⁴⁷ Exposure of an infant to the mother's vaginal microflora through vaginal delivery may also be beneficial; the prevalence of asthma is higher in children born by cesarean section than those born vaginally.^{868,869} This may relate to differences in the infant gut microbiota according to their mode of delivery.⁸⁷⁰

Respiratory syncytial virus infection is associated with subsequent recurrent wheeze, and preventative treatment of premature infants with monthly injections of the monoclonal antibody, palivizumab, (prescribed for prophylaxis of respiratory syncytial virus) is associated with a reduction in recurrent wheezing in the first year of life.⁸⁷¹ However, a sustained effect has not been definitively demonstrated. Although the risk of parent-reported asthma with infrequent wheeze was reduced at 6 years, there was no impact on doctor-diagnosed asthma or lung function.⁸⁷² Thus, the long-term effect of palivizumab in the prevention of asthma remains uncertain.

Medications and other factors

Antibiotic use during pregnancy and in infants and toddlers has been associated with the development of asthma later in life,⁸⁷³ although not all studies have shown this association.⁸⁷⁴ Intake of the analgesic, paracetamol (acetaminophen), may be associated with an increased risk of asthma in both children and adults,⁸⁷⁵ although exposure during infancy may be confounded by use of paracetamol for respiratory tract infections.⁸⁷⁵ Frequent use of paracetamol by pregnant women has been associated with increased risk of asthma in their children.⁸⁷⁶

There is no evidence that vaccinations increase a child's risk of developing asthma.

Psychosocial factors

The social environment to which children are exposed may also contribute to the development and severity of asthma. Maternal distress during pregnancy⁸⁷⁷ or during the child's early years⁸⁷⁸ has been associated with an increased risk of the child developing asthma.

Obesity

A meta-analysis of 18 studies found that being either overweight or obese was a risk factor for childhood asthma and wheeze, particularly in girls.⁴⁸³ In adults, there is evidence suggesting that obesity affects the risk of asthma, but that asthma does not affect the risk of obesity.^{879,880}

ADVICE ABOUT PRIMARY PREVENTION OF ASTHMA

Based on the results of cohort and observational studies,⁸⁸¹ and a GRADE-based analysis conducted for the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines,⁸²⁹ parents/caregivers enquiring about how to reduce the risk of their children developing asthma can be provided with the advice summarized in Box 7-1.

Possibly the most important factor is the need to provide a positive, supportive environment for discussion that decreases stress, and which encourages families to make choices with which they feel comfortable.

Box 7-1. Advice about primary prevention of asthma in children 5 years and younger

Parents/caregivers enquiring about how to reduce the risk of their child developing asthma can be provided with the following advice:

- Children should not be exposed to environmental tobacco smoke during pregnancy or after birth.
- Identification and correction of Vitamin D insufficiency in women with asthma who are pregnant, or planning pregnancy, may reduce the risk of early life wheezing episodes.
- Vaginal delivery should be encouraged where possible.
- The use of broad-spectrum antibiotics during the first year of life should be discouraged.

Breastfeeding is advised, not for prevention of allergy or asthma, but for its other positive health benefits.

Chapter 8.

**Implementing asthma
management strategies
into health systems**

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE

KEY POINTS

- In order to improve asthma care and patient outcomes, evidence-based recommendations must not only be developed, but also disseminated and implemented at a national and local level, and integrated into clinical practice.
- Recommendations for implementing asthma care strategies are based on many successful programs worldwide.
- Implementation requires an evidence-based strategy involving professional groups and stakeholders, and should take into account local cultural and socioeconomic conditions.
- Cost-effectiveness of implementation programs should be assessed so a decision can be made to pursue or modify them.
- Local adaptation and implementation of asthma care strategies is aided by the use of tools developed for this purpose.

INTRODUCTION

Due to the exponential increase in medical research publications, practical syntheses are needed to guide policy makers and healthcare professionals in delivering evidence-based care. When asthma care is consistent with evidence-based recommendations, outcomes improve.^{188,882,883} This Strategy Report is a resource document for healthcare professionals, intended to set out the main goals of asthma treatment and the actions required to ensure their fulfilment, as well as to facilitate the achievement of standards for quality asthma care. These objectives can only be realized through local implementation in each country, region and healthcare organization.

The use of rigorous methodologies such as GRADE¹ for the development of clinical practice recommendations, and of ADAPTE⁸⁸⁴ and similar approaches for assisting the adaptation of recommendations for local country and regional conditions, has assisted in reducing biased opinion as the basis for asthma programs worldwide. Adaptation of clinical practice recommendations to local conditions using the GRADE method is costly, and often requires expertise that is not available locally; in addition, regular revision is required to remain abreast of developments, including drug availability and new evidence, and this is not easily achieved.⁸⁸⁵ Further, there is generally very limited high quality evidence addressing the many decision nodes in comprehensive clinical practice guidelines, particularly in developing countries.

The GINA annual report is not a formal guideline but an evidence-based strategy, updated yearly from a review of the evidence published in the last 18 months. Each year's report is an update on the entire strategy, so it does not use individual PICOT questions and GRADE, but the review process includes systematic reviews using these methodologies. (See section on methodology at www.ginasthma.org). As with other evidence-based clinical recommendations, the GINA strategy must be adapted to the local context for implementation in clinical practice.

ADAPTING AND IMPLEMENTING ASTHMA CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Implementation of asthma management strategies may be carried out at a national, regional or local level.⁸⁸⁶ Ideally, implementation should be a multidisciplinary effort involving many stakeholders, and using cost-effective methods of knowledge translation.⁸⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸⁸ Each implementation initiative needs to consider the nature of the local health system and its resources, including human resources, infrastructure, and available treatments (Box 8-1). Moreover, goals and implementation strategies will need to vary from country to country and within countries, based on economics, culture and the physical and social environment. Priority should be given to high-impact interventions.

Specific steps need to be followed before clinical practice recommendations can be embedded into local clinical practice and become the standard of care, particularly in low resource settings. The individual steps are summarized in Box 8-2.

Box 8-1. Approach to implementation of the Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention



Box 8-2. Essential elements required to implement a health-related strategy

Steps in implementing an asthma strategy into a health system

1. Develop a multidisciplinary working group.
2. Assess the current status of asthma care delivery, outcomes e.g., exacerbations, admissions, deaths, care gaps and current needs.
3. Select the material to be implemented, agree on main goals, identify key recommendations for diagnosis and treatment, and adapt them to the local context or environment.
 - In treatment recommendations, consider environmental issues (planetary health) in addition to patient health
4. Identify barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation.
5. Select an implementation framework and its component strategies.
6. Develop a step-by-step implementation plan:
 - Select target populations and evaluable outcomes, and specify data coding requirements (if relevant).
 - Identify local resources to support implementation.
 - Set timelines.
 - Distribute tasks to members.
 - Evaluate outcomes.
7. Continually review progress and results to determine if the strategy requires modification.

BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS

Many barriers to, and facilitators of, implementation procedures have been described.⁸⁸⁸⁻⁸⁹¹ Some of the barriers to implementation of evidence-based asthma management relate to the delivery of care, while others occur at individual or community level (see Box 8-3). Cultural and economic barriers can particularly affect the application of recommendations.

Box 8-3. Examples of barriers to the implementation of evidence-based recommendations

Health care providers	Patients
Insufficient knowledge of recommendations	Low health literacy
Lack of agreement with recommendations or expectation that they will be effective	Insufficient understanding of asthma and its management
Resistance to change	Lack of agreement with recommendations
External barriers (organizational, health policies, financial constraints)	Cultural and economic barriers
Lack of time and resources	Peer influence
Medico-legal issues	Attitudes, beliefs, preferences, fears and misconceptions
Lack of accurate coding (diagnosis, exacerbations, ED and hospital admissions and deaths)	

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

EXAMPLES OF HIGH IMPACT IMPLEMENTATION INTERVENTIONS

Ideally, interventions should be applied at the level of both the patient and the health care provider and, where relevant, the health system. Studies of the most effective means of medical education show that it may be difficult to change clinical practice. Examples of highly effective implementation interventions are shown in Box 8-4.

Box 8-4. Examples of high-impact implementation interventions in asthma management

- Free inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) for patients with a recent hospital admission and/or severe asthma⁸⁹²
- Early treatment with ICS, guided self-management, reduction in exposure to tobacco smoke, improved access to asthma education¹⁸⁸
- Checklist memory aid for primary care, prompting assessment of asthma control and treatment strategies⁸⁹³
- Use of individualized written asthma action plans as part of self-management education⁴⁷⁶
- An evidence-based care process model for acute and chronic pediatric asthma management, implemented at multiple hospitals⁸⁹⁴

See list of abbreviations (p.10).

EVALUATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

An important part of the implementation process is to establish a means of evaluating the effectiveness of the program and any improvements in quality of care. The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group (EPOC) offers suggestions on how to assess the effectiveness of interventions.⁸⁹⁵

Evaluation involves surveillance of traditional epidemiological parameters, such as morbidity and mortality, as well as specific audits of both process and outcome within different sectors of the health care system. Each country should determine its own minimum sets of data to audit health outcomes.

HOW CAN GINA HELP WITH IMPLEMENTATION?

The GINA Strategy Report provides an annually updated summary of evidence relevant to asthma diagnosis, management and prevention that may be used in the formulation and adaptation of local guidelines; where evidence is lacking, the report provides approaches for consideration. The GINA Dissemination Task Group assists in the dissemination of the recommendations in the Strategy Report.

A web-based implementation 'toolkit' will provide a template and guide to local adaptation and implementation of these recommendations, together with materials and advice from successful examples of asthma clinical practice guideline development and implementation in different settings.

Educational materials and tools based on this Strategy Report are available from the GINA Website (www.ginasthma.org).

GINA can be contacted via the website (www.ginasthma.org/contact-us).

REFERENCES

1. Schunemann HJ, Jaeschke R, Cook DJ, et al. An official ATS statement: grading the quality of evidence and strength of recommendations in ATS guidelines and recommendations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 174: 605-614.
2. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP Checklist: 10 questions to help you make sense of a systematic review: CASP; 2018. Available from: https://casp-uk.net/images/checklist/documents/CASP-Systematic-Review-Checklist/CASP-Systematic-Review-Checklist_2018.pdf.
3. Asher I, Bissell K, Chiang CY, et al. Calling time on asthma deaths in tropical regions-how much longer must people wait for essential medicines? *Lancet Respir Med* 2019; 7: 13-15.
4. Meghji J, Mortimer K, Agusti A, et al. Improving lung health in low-income and middle-income countries: from challenges to solutions. *Lancet* 2021; 397: 928-940.
5. Stolbrink M, Chinouya MJ, Jayasooriya S, et al. Improving access to affordable quality-assured inhaled medicines in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2022; 26: 1023-1032.
6. Chiang CY, Ait-Khaled N, Bissell K, et al. Management of asthma in resource-limited settings: role of low-cost corticosteroid/beta-agonist combination inhaler. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2015; 19: 129-136.
7. Mortimer K, Reddel HK, Pitrez PM, et al. Asthma management in low- and middle-income countries: case for change. *Eur Respir J* 2022.
8. Reddel HK, Bateman ED, Schatz M, et al. A practical guide to implementing SMART in asthma management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2022; 10: S31-s38.
9. Mortimer K, Masekela R, Ozoh O, et al. The reality of managing asthma in sub-Saharan Africa – Priorities and strategies for improving care. *J Pan Afr Thorac Soc* 2022; 3: 105-120.
10. Global Asthma Network. The Global Asthma Report 2022. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2022; 26: S1-S102.
11. Gaillard EA, Kuehni CE, Turner S, et al. European Respiratory Society clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis of asthma in children aged 5-16 years. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2004173.
12. Louis R, Satia I, Ojanguren I, et al. European Respiratory Society guidelines for the diagnosis of asthma in adults. *Eur Respir J* 2022: 2101585.
13. Stanojevic S, Kaminsky DA, Miller MR, et al. ERS/ATS technical standard on interpretive strategies for routine lung function tests. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 60.
14. Mortimer K, Lesosky M, García-Marcos L, et al. The burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in adults in 17 countries: GAN Phase I study. *Eur Respir J* 2022.
15. Asher MI, Rutter CE, Bissell K, et al. Worldwide trends in the burden of asthma symptoms in school-aged children: Global Asthma Network Phase I cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2021; 398: 1569-1580.
16. Bel EH. Clinical phenotypes of asthma. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2004; 10: 44-50.
17. Moore WC, Meyers DA, Wenzel SE, et al. Identification of asthma phenotypes using cluster analysis in the Severe Asthma Research Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 181: 315-323.
18. Wenzel SE. Asthma phenotypes: the evolution from clinical to molecular approaches. *Nature Medicine* 2012; 18: 716-725.
19. Westerhof GA, Coumou H, de Nijs SB, et al. Clinical predictors of remission and persistence of adult-onset asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 141: 104-109.e103.
20. Levy ML, Fletcher M, Price DB, et al. International Primary Care Respiratory Group (IPCRG) Guidelines: diagnosis of respiratory diseases in primary care. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006; 15: 20-34.
21. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, et al. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 40: 1324-1343.
22. Reddel H, Ware S, Marks G, et al. Differences between asthma exacerbations and poor asthma control [erratum in *Lancet* 1999;353:758]. *Lancet* 1999; 353: 364-369.
23. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, FitzGerald JM, et al. Reevaluation of diagnosis in adults with physician-diagnosed asthma. *JAMA* 2017; 317: 269-279.

24. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, et al. Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society technical statement. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 200: e70-e88.
25. Virant FS, Randolph C, Nanda A, et al. Pulmonary procedures during the COVID-19 pandemic: a Workgroup Report of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (AAAAI) Asthma Diagnosis and Treatment (ADT) Interest Section. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2022.
26. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26: 319-338.
27. Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26: 948-968.
28. Tan WC, Vollmer WM, Lamprecht B, et al. Worldwide patterns of bronchodilator responsiveness: results from the Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease study. *Thorax* 2012; 67: 718-726.
29. Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 180: 59-99.
30. Brouwer AF, Brand PL. Asthma education and monitoring: what has been shown to work. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2008; 9: 193-199.
31. Coates AL, Wanger J, Cockcroft DW, et al. ERS technical standard on bronchial challenge testing: general considerations and performance of methacholine challenge tests. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49.
32. Hallstrand TS, Leuppi JD, Joos G, et al. ERS technical standard on bronchial challenge testing: pathophysiology and methodology of indirect airway challenge testing. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 52.
33. Ramsdale EH, Morris MM, Roberts RS, et al. Asymptomatic bronchial hyperresponsiveness in rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1985; 75: 573-577.
34. van Haren EH, Lammers JW, Festen J, et al. The effects of the inhaled corticosteroid budesonide on lung function and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in adult patients with cystic fibrosis. *Respir Med* 1995; 89: 209-214.
35. Joshi S, Powell T, Watkins WJ, et al. Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction in school-aged children who had chronic lung disease in infancy. [Erratum in *J Pediatr*. 2013 Jun;162(6):1298]. *J Pediatr* 2013; 162: 813-818.e811.
36. Ramsdale EH, Morris MM, Roberts RS, et al. Bronchial responsiveness to methacholine in chronic bronchitis: relationship to airflow obstruction and cold air responsiveness. *Thorax* 1984; 39: 912-918.
37. Ahlstedt S, Murray CS. In vitro diagnosis of allergy: how to interpret IgE antibody results in clinical practice. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006; 15: 228-236.
38. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Boulet LP, et al. Overdiagnosis of asthma in obese and nonobese adults. *CMAJ* 2008; 179: 1121-1131.
39. Lucas AE, Smeenk FW, Smeele IJ, et al. Overtreatment with inhaled corticosteroids and diagnostic problems in primary care patients, an exploratory study. *Family practice* 2008; 25: 86-91.
40. Marklund B, Tunsater A, Bengtsson C. How often is the diagnosis bronchial asthma correct? *Fam Pract* 1999; 16: 112-116.
41. Montnemery P, Hansson L, Lanke J, et al. Accuracy of a first diagnosis of asthma in primary health care. *Fam Pract* 2002; 19: 365-368.
42. Korevaar DA, Westerhof GA, Wang J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of minimally invasive markers for detection of airway eosinophilia in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; 3: 290-300.
43. Fahy JV. Type 2 inflammation in asthma – present in most, absent in many. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2015; 15: 57-65.
44. American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society. ATS/ERS Recommendations for Standardized Procedures for the Online and Offline Measurement of Exhaled Lower Respiratory Nitric Oxide and Nasal Nitric Oxide, 2005. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171: 912-930.
45. Haccuria A, Michils A, Michiels S, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide: a biomarker integrating both lung function and airway inflammation changes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 134: 554-559.
46. Gibson PG, Chang AB, Glasgow NJ, et al. CICADA: Cough in Children and Adults: Diagnosis and Assessment. Australian cough guidelines summary statement. *Med J Aust* 2010; 192: 265-271.
47. Halvorsen T, Walsted ES, Bucca C, et al. Inducible laryngeal obstruction: an official joint European Respiratory Society and European Laryngological Society statement. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50.

48. Desai D, Brightling C. Cough due to asthma, cough-variant asthma and non-asthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 2010; 43: 123-130.
49. Baur X, Sigsgaard T, Aasen TB, et al. Guidelines for the management of work-related asthma.[Erratum appears in *Eur Respir J*. 2012 Jun;39(6):1553]. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 39: 529-545.
50. Henneberger PK, Patel JR, de Groene GJ, et al. Workplace interventions for treatment of occupational asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2019; 10: CD006308.
51. Levy ML, Nicholson PJ. Occupational asthma case finding: a role for primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004; 54: 731-733.
52. Parsons JP, Hallstrand TS, Mastrorarde JG, et al. An official American Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline: exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 187: 1016-1027.
53. Carlsen KH, Anderson SD, Bjermer L, et al. Exercise-induced asthma, respiratory and allergic disorders in elite athletes: epidemiology, mechanisms and diagnosis: part I of the report from the Joint Task Force of the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) in cooperation with GA2LEN. *Allergy* 2008; 63: 387-403.
54. Murphy VE, Gibson PG. Asthma in pregnancy. *Clinics in chest medicine* 2011; 32: 93-110, ix.
55. Adams RJ, Wilson DH, Appleton S, et al. Underdiagnosed asthma in South Australia. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 846-850.
56. Hsu J, Chen J, Mirabelli MC. Asthma morbidity, comorbidities, and modifiable factors among older adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2018; 6: 236-243.e237.
57. Parshall MB, Schwartzstein RM, Adams L, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society Statement: Update on the Mechanisms, Assessment, and Management of Dyspnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012; 185: 435-452.
58. Januzzi JL, Jr., Camargo CA, Anwaruddin S, et al. The N-terminal Pro-BNP investigation of dyspnea in the emergency department (PRIDE) study. *Am J Cardiol* 2005; 95: 948-954.
59. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for diagnosis, management and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease (2023 Report): GOLD; 2023. Available from: <https://goldcopd.org>.
60. Hanania NA, Celli BR, Donohue JF, et al. Bronchodilator reversibility in COPD. *Chest* 2011; 140: 1055-1063.
61. Alshabanat A, Zafari Z, Albanyan O, et al. Asthma and COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS): a systematic review and meta analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0136065.
62. Boulet LP. Asthma and obesity. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 8-21.
63. van Huisstede A, Castro Cabezas M, van de Geijn GJ, et al. Underdiagnosis and overdiagnosis of asthma in the morbidly obese. *Respir Med* 2013; 107: 1356-1364.
64. Masekela R, Zurba L, Gray D. Dealing with access to spirometry in Africa: a commentary on challenges and solutions. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018; 16: 62.
65. Global Asthma Network. The global asthma report 2018. Auckland, New Zealand: Global Asthma Network; 2018. Available from: <http://globalasthmareport.org>.
66. Huang WC, Fox GJ, Pham NY, et al. A syndromic approach to assess diagnosis and management of patients presenting with respiratory symptoms to healthcare facilities in Vietnam. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; 7.
67. Aaron S, Boulet L, Reddel H, et al. Underdiagnosis and overdiagnosis of asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018; 198: 1012-1020.
68. World Health Organization. WHO package of essential noncommunicable (PEN) disease interventions for primary health care. Geneva: WHO; 2020. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-package-of-essential-noncommunicable-\(pen\)-disease-interventions-for-primary-health-care](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-package-of-essential-noncommunicable-(pen)-disease-interventions-for-primary-health-care).
69. Taylor DR, Bateman ED, Boulet LP, et al. A new perspective on concepts of asthma severity and control. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 32: 545-554.
70. Aroni R, Goeman D, Stewart K, et al. Enhancing validity: what counts as an asthma attack? *J Asthma* 2004; 41: 729-737.
71. McCoy K, Shade DM, Irvin CG, et al. Predicting episodes of poor asthma control in treated patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 118: 1226-1233.
72. Meltzer EO, Busse WW, Wenzel SE, et al. Use of the Asthma Control Questionnaire to predict future risk of asthma exacerbation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 127: 167-172.

73. Schatz M, Zeiger RS, Yang SJ, et al. The relationship of asthma impairment determined by psychometric tools to future asthma exacerbations. *Chest* 2012; 141: 66-72.
74. Stanford RH, Shah MB, D'Souza AO, et al. Short-acting β -agonist use and its ability to predict future asthma-related outcomes. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2012; 109: 403-407.
75. Nwaru BI, Ekstrom M, Hasvold P, et al. Overuse of short-acting beta2-agonists in asthma is associated with increased risk of exacerbation and mortality: a nationwide cohort study of the global SABINA programme. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 1901872.
76. Tattersfield AE, Postma DS, Barnes PJ, et al. Exacerbations of asthma: a descriptive study of 425 severe exacerbations. The FACET International Study Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 160: 594-599.
77. Bousquet J, Boulet LP, Peters MJ, et al. Budesonide/formoterol for maintenance and relief in uncontrolled asthma vs. high-dose salmeterol/fluticasone. *Respir Med* 2007; 101: 2437-2446.
78. Buhl R, Kuna P, Peters MJ, et al. The effect of budesonide/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy on the risk of severe asthma exacerbations following episodes of high reliever use: an exploratory analysis of two randomised, controlled studies with comparisons to standard therapy. *Respir Res* 2012; 13: 59.
79. O'Byrne PM, FitzGerald JM, Bateman ED, et al. Effect of a single day of increased as-needed budesonide-formoterol use on short-term risk of severe exacerbations in patients with mild asthma: a post-hoc analysis of the SYGMA 1 study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 149-158.
80. O'Byrne PM, Reddel HK, Eriksson G, et al. Measuring asthma control: a comparison of three classification systems. *Eur Respir J* 2010; 36: 269-276.
81. Thomas M, Kay S, Pike J, et al. The Asthma Control Test (ACT) as a predictor of GINA guideline-defined asthma control: analysis of a multinational cross-sectional survey. *Prim Care Respir J* 2009; 18: 41-49.
82. LeMay KS, Armour CL, Reddel HK. Performance of a brief asthma control screening tool in community pharmacy: a cross-sectional and prospective longitudinal analysis. *Prim Care Respir J* 2014; 23: 79-84.
83. Ahmed S, Ernst P, Tamblyn R, et al. Validation of The 30 Second Asthma Test as a measure of asthma control. *Can Respir J* 2007; 14: 105-109.
84. Pinnock H, Burton C, Campbell S, et al. Clinical implications of the Royal College of Physicians three questions in routine asthma care: a real-life validation study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012; 21: 288-294.
85. Yawn BP, Wollan PC, Rank MA, et al. Use of Asthma APGAR Tools in primary care practices: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Ann Fam Med* 2018; 16: 100-110.
86. Juniper EF, O'Byrne PM, Guyatt GH, et al. Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure asthma control. *Eur Respir J* 1999; 14: 902-907.
87. Juniper EF, Svensson K, Mork AC, et al. Measurement properties and interpretation of three shortened versions of the asthma control questionnaire. *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 553-558.
88. Juniper EF, Bousquet J, Abetz L, et al. Identifying 'well-controlled' and 'not well-controlled' asthma using the Asthma Control Questionnaire. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 616-621.
89. Nathan RA, Sorkness CA, Kosinski M, et al. Development of the asthma control test: a survey for assessing asthma control. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 113: 59-65.
90. Schatz M, Kosinski M, Yaras AS, et al. The minimally important difference of the Asthma Control Test. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 124: 719-723 e711.
91. Liu AH, Zeiger R, Sorkness C, et al. Development and cross-sectional validation of the Childhood Asthma Control Test. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119: 817-825.
92. Juniper EF, Gruffydd-Jones K, Ward S, et al. Asthma Control Questionnaire in children: validation, measurement properties, interpretation. *Eur Respir J* 2010; 36: 1410-1416.
93. Nguyen JM, Holbrook JT, Wei CY, et al. Validation and psychometric properties of the Asthma Control Questionnaire among children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 133: 91-97.e91-96.
94. Chipps B, Zeiger RS, Murphy K, et al. Longitudinal validation of the Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids in pediatric practices. *Pediatrics* 2011; 127: e737-747.
95. Murphy KR, Zeiger RS, Kosinski M, et al. Test for respiratory and asthma control in kids (TRACK): a caregiver-completed questionnaire for preschool-aged children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 123: 833-839 e839.

96. Zeiger RS, Mellon M, Chipps B, et al. Test for Respiratory and Asthma Control in Kids (TRACK): clinically meaningful changes in score. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 983-988.
97. Wildfire JJ, Gergen PJ, Sorkness CA, et al. Development and validation of the Composite Asthma Severity Index--an outcome measure for use in children and adolescents. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 129: 694-701.
98. Haselkorn T, Fish JE, Zeiger RS, et al. Consistently very poorly controlled asthma, as defined by the impairment domain of the Expert Panel Report 3 guidelines, increases risk for future severe asthma exacerbations in The Epidemiology and Natural History of Asthma: Outcomes and Treatment Regimens (TENOR) study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 124: 895-902.e891-894.
99. Patel M, Pilcher J, Reddel HK, et al. Metrics of salbutamol use as predictors of future adverse outcomes in asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 1144-1151.
100. Suissa S, Ernst P, Boivin JF, et al. A cohort analysis of excess mortality in asthma and the use of inhaled beta-agonists. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 149: 604-610.
101. Ernst P, Spitzer WO, Suissa S, et al. Risk of fatal and near-fatal asthma in relation to inhaled corticosteroid use. *JAMA* 1992; 268: 3462-3464.
102. Melani AS, Bonavia M, Cilenti V, et al. Inhaler mishandling remains common in real life and is associated with reduced disease control. *Respir Med* 2011; 105: 930-938.
103. Fitzpatrick S, Joks R, Silverberg JI. Obesity is associated with increased asthma severity and exacerbations, and increased serum immunoglobulin E in inner-city adults. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2012; 42: 747-759.
104. Denlinger LC, Phillips BR, Ramratnam S, et al. Inflammatory and comorbid features of patients with severe asthma and frequent exacerbations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; 195: 302-313.
105. Burks AW, Tang M, Sicherer S, et al. ICON: food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 129: 906-920.
106. Murphy VE, Clifton VL, Gibson PG. Asthma exacerbations during pregnancy: incidence and association with adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 169-176.
107. Osborne ML, Pedula KL, O'Hollaren M, et al. Assessing future need for acute care in adult asthmatics: the Profile of Asthma Risk Study: a prospective health maintenance organization-based study. *Chest* 2007; 132: 1151-1161.
108. Cho JH, Paik SY. Association between electronic cigarette use and asthma among high school students in South Korea. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0151022.
109. Lim H, Kwon HJ, Lim JA, et al. Short-term effect of fine particulate matter on children's hospital admissions and emergency department visits for asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Prev Med Public Health* 2016; 49: 205-219.
110. Zheng XY, Ding H, Jiang LN, et al. Association between air pollutants and asthma emergency room visits and hospital admissions in time series studies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0138146.
111. Mazonq J, Dubus JC, Gaudart J, et al. City housing atmospheric pollutant impact on emergency visit for asthma: A classification and regression tree approach. *Respir Med* 2017; 132: 1-8.
112. Su JG, Barrett MA, Combs V, et al. Identifying impacts of air pollution on subacute asthma symptoms using digital medication sensors. *Int J Epidemiol* 2022; 51: 213-224.
113. Sturdy PM, Victor CR, Anderson HR, et al. Psychological, social and health behaviour risk factors for deaths certified as asthma: a national case-control study. *Thorax* 2002; 57: 1034-1039.
114. Redmond C, Akinoso-Imran AQ, Heaney LG, et al. Socioeconomic disparities in asthma health care utilization, exacerbations, and mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2022; 149: 1617-1627.
115. Fuhlbrigge AL, Kitch BT, Paltiel AD, et al. FEV1 is associated with risk of asthma attacks in a pediatric population. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 61-67.
116. Ulrik CS. Peripheral eosinophil counts as a marker of disease activity in intrinsic and extrinsic asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1995; 25: 820-827.
117. Pongracic JA, Krouse RZ, Babineau DC, et al. Distinguishing characteristics of difficult-to-control asthma in inner-city children and adolescents. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2016; 138: 1030-1041.
118. Belda J, Giner J, Casan P, et al. Mild exacerbations and eosinophilic inflammation in patients with stable, well-controlled asthma after 1 year of follow-up. *Chest* 2001; 119: 1011-1017.

119. Ulrik CS, Frederiksen J. Mortality and markers of risk of asthma death among 1,075 outpatients with asthma. *Chest* 1995; 108: 10-15.
120. Zeiger RS, Schatz M, Zhang F, et al. Elevated exhaled nitric oxide is a clinical indicator of future uncontrolled asthma in asthmatic patients on inhaled corticosteroids. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 412-414.
121. Turner MO, Noertjojo K, Vedal S, et al. Risk factors for near-fatal asthma. A case-control study in hospitalized patients with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998; 157: 1804-1809.
122. Miller MK, Lee JH, Miller DP, et al. Recent asthma exacerbations: a key predictor of future exacerbations. *Respir Med* 2007; 101: 481-489.
123. Buelo A, McLean S, Julious S, et al. At-risk children with asthma (ARC): a systematic review. *Thorax* 2018; 73: 813-824.
124. den Dekker HT, Sonnenschein-van der Voort AMM, de Jongste JC, et al. Early growth characteristics and the risk of reduced lung function and asthma: A meta-analysis of 25,000 children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2016; 137: 1026-1035.
125. Lange P, Parner J, Vestbo J, et al. A 15-year follow-up study of ventilatory function in adults with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 1194-1200.
126. Ulrik CS. Outcome of asthma: longitudinal changes in lung function. *Eur Respir J* 1999; 13: 904-918.
127. O'Byrne PM, Pedersen S, Lamm CJ, et al. Severe exacerbations and decline in lung function in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 179: 19-24.
128. Raissy HH, Kelly HW, Harkins M, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids in lung diseases. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 187: 798-803.
129. Foster JM, Aucott L, van der Werf RH, et al. Higher patient perceived side effects related to higher daily doses of inhaled corticosteroids in the community: a cross-sectional analysis. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 1318-1336.
130. Roland NJ, Bhalla RK, Earis J. The local side effects of inhaled corticosteroids: current understanding and review of the literature. *Chest* 2004; 126: 213-219.
131. Pedersen S. Do inhaled corticosteroids inhibit growth in children? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 164: 521-535.
132. Loke YK, Blanco P, Thavarajah M, et al. Impact of inhaled corticosteroids on growth in children with asthma: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0133428.
133. Wechsler ME, Kelley JM, Boyd IO, et al. Active albuterol or placebo, sham acupuncture, or no intervention in asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 119-126.
134. Castro M, Rubin AS, Laviolette M, et al. Effectiveness and safety of bronchial thermoplasty in the treatment of severe asthma: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 181: 116-124.
135. Lazarus SC, Boushey HA, Fahy JV, et al. Long-acting beta2-agonist monotherapy vs continued therapy with inhaled corticosteroids in patients with persistent asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2001; 285: 2583-2593.
136. Barnes PJ, Szeffler SJ, Reddel HK, et al. Symptoms and perception of airway obstruction in asthmatic patients: Clinical implications for use of reliever medications. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2019; 144: 1180-1186.
137. Loymans RJ, Honkoop PJ, Termeer EH, et al. Identifying patients at risk for severe exacerbations of asthma: development and external validation of a multivariable prediction model. *Thorax* 2016; 71: 838-846.
138. Agusti A, Bel E, Thomas M, et al. Treatable traits: toward precision medicine of chronic airway diseases. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 47: 410-419.
139. Kohansal R, Martinez-Cambor P, Agusti A, et al. The natural history of chronic airflow obstruction revisited: an analysis of the Framingham offspring cohort. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 180: 3-10.
140. McGeachie MJ, Yates KP, Zhou X, et al. Patterns of growth and decline in lung function in persistent childhood asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 374: 1842-1852.
141. Carr TF, Fajt ML, Kraft M, et al. Treating asthma in the time of COVID. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2023; 151: 809-817.
142. Kerstjens HA, Brand PL, de Jong PM, et al. Influence of treatment on peak expiratory flow and its relation to airway hyperresponsiveness and symptoms. The Dutch CNSLD Study Group. *Thorax* 1994; 49: 1109-1115.
143. Brand PL, Duiverman EJ, Waalkens HJ, et al. Peak flow variation in childhood asthma: correlation with symptoms, airways obstruction, and hyperresponsiveness during long-term treatment with inhaled corticosteroids. Dutch CNSLD Study Group. *Thorax* 1999; 54: 103-107.

144. Bateman ED, Boushey HA, Bousquet J, et al. Can guideline-defined asthma control be achieved? The Gaining Optimal Asthma Control study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004; 170: 836-844.
145. Jenkins CR, Thien FC, Wheatley JR, et al. Traditional and patient-centred outcomes with three classes of asthma medication. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26: 36-44.
146. Li D, German D, Lulla S, et al. Prospective study of hospitalization for asthma. A preliminary risk factor model. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 151: 647-655.
147. Kitch BT, Paltiel AD, Kuntz KM, et al. A single measure of FEV₁ is associated with risk of asthma attacks in long-term follow-up. *Chest* 2004; 126: 1875-1882.
148. Killian KJ, Watson R, Otis J, et al. Symptom perception during acute bronchoconstriction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162: 490-496.
149. Reddel HK, Jenkins CR, Marks GB, et al. Optimal asthma control, starting with high doses of inhaled budesonide. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16: 226-235.
150. Szeffler SJ, Martin RJ, King TS, et al. Significant variability in response to inhaled corticosteroids for persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; 109: 410-418.
151. Santanello NC, Zhang J, Seidenberg B, et al. What are minimal important changes for asthma measures in a clinical trial? *Eur Respir J* 1999; 14: 23-27.
152. Reddel HK, Marks GB, Jenkins CR. When can personal best peak flow be determined for asthma action plans? *Thorax* 2004; 59: 922-924.
153. Frey U, Brodbeck T, Majumdar A, et al. Risk of severe asthma episodes predicted from fluctuation analysis of airway function. *Nature* 2005; 438: 667-670.
154. Julius SM, Davenport KL, Davenport PW. Perception of intrinsic and extrinsic respiratory loads in children with life-threatening asthma. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2002; 34: 425-433.
155. Kikuchi Y, Okabe S, Tamura G, et al. Chemosensitivity and perception of dyspnea in patients with a history of near-fatal asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330: 1329-1334.
156. Magadle R, Berar-Yanay N, Weiner P. The risk of hospitalization and near-fatal and fatal asthma in relation to the perception of dyspnea. *Chest* 2002; 121: 329-333.
157. Nuijsink M, Hop WC, Jongste JC, et al. Perception of bronchoconstriction: a complementary disease marker in children with asthma. *J Asthma* 2013; 50: 560-564.
158. Jansen J, McCaffery KJ, Hayen A, et al. Impact of graphic format on perception of change in biological data: implications for health monitoring in conditions such as asthma. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012; 21: 94-100.
159. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43: 343-373.
160. Sulaiman I, Greene G, MacHale E, et al. A randomised clinical trial of feedback on inhaler adherence and technique in patients with severe uncontrolled asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51.
161. Lee J, Tay TR, Radhakrishna N, et al. Nonadherence in the era of severe asthma biologics and thermoplasty. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51.
162. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3): Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma-Summary Report 2007. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: S94-138.
163. Cloutier MM, Baptist AP, Blake KV, et al. 2020 Focused Updates to the Asthma Management Guidelines: A Report from the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Coordinating Committee Expert Panel Working Group. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2020; 146: 1217-1270.
164. Dusser D, Montani D, Chanez P, et al. Mild asthma: an expert review on epidemiology, clinical characteristics and treatment recommendations. *Allergy* 2007; 62: 591-604.
165. Bergström SE, Boman G, Eriksson L, et al. Asthma mortality among Swedish children and young adults, a 10-year study. *Respir Med* 2008; 102: 1335-1341.
166. Reddel HK, Busse WW, Pedersen S, et al. Should recommendations about starting inhaled corticosteroid treatment for mild asthma be based on symptom frequency: a post-hoc efficacy analysis of the START study. *Lancet* 2017; 389: 157-166.

167. Crossingham I, Turner S, Ramakrishnan S, et al. Combination fixed-dose beta agonist and steroid inhaler as required for adults or children with mild asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2021; 5: CD013518.
168. Comaru T, Pitrez PM, Friedrich FO, et al. Free asthma medications reduces hospital admissions in Brazil (Free asthma drugs reduces hospitalizations in Brazil). *Respir Med* 2016; 121: 21-25.
169. Bousquet J, Mantzouranis E, Cruz AA, et al. Uniform definition of asthma severity, control, and exacerbations: document presented for the World Health Organization Consultation on Severe Asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 126: 926-938.
170. FitzGerald JM, Barnes PJ, Chipps BE, et al. The burden of exacerbations in mild asthma: a systematic review. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; 6: 00359-02019.
171. Beasley R, Holliday M, Reddel HK, et al. Controlled trial of budesonide-formoterol as needed for mild asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 380: 2020-2030.
172. Hardy J, Baggott C, Fingleton J, et al. Budesonide-formoterol reliever therapy versus maintenance budesonide plus terbutaline reliever therapy in adults with mild to moderate asthma (PRACTICAL): a 52-week, open-label, multicentre, superiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 919-928.
173. Boulet L-P, Vervloet D, Magar Y, et al. Adherence: the goal to control asthma. *Clin Chest Med* 2012; 33: 405-417.
174. Murphy J, McSharry J, Hynes L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of adherence to inhaled corticosteroids in young adults (15-30 years) with asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Asthma* 2020: 1-23.
175. Wilson KC, Gould MK, Krishnan JA, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society Workshop Report. A framework for addressing multimorbidity in clinical practice guidelines for pulmonary disease, critical illness, and sleep disorders. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2016; 13: S12-21.
176. Taylor YJ, Tapp H, Shade LE, et al. Impact of shared decision making on asthma quality of life and asthma control among children. *J Asthma* 2018; 55: 675-683.
177. Gibson PG, Powell H, Coughlan J, et al. Self-management education and regular practitioner review for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003: CD001117.
178. Guevara JP, Wolf FM, Grum CM, et al. Effects of educational interventions for self management of asthma in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 1308-1309.
179. Wilson SR, Strub P, Buist AS, et al. Shared treatment decision making improves adherence and outcomes in poorly controlled asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 181: 566-577.
180. Cabana MD, Slish KK, Evans D, et al. Impact of physician asthma care education on patient outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2006; 117: 2149-2157.
181. Partridge MR, Hill SR. Enhancing care for people with asthma: the role of communication, education, training and self-management. 1998 World Asthma Meeting Education and Delivery of Care Working Group. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16: 333-348.
182. Maguire P, Pitceathly C. Key communication skills and how to acquire them. *BMJ* 2002; 325: 697-700.
183. Clark NM, Cabana MD, Nan B, et al. The clinician-patient partnership paradigm: outcomes associated with physician communication behavior. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2008; 47: 49-57.
184. Rosas-Salazar C, Apter AJ, Canino G, et al. Health literacy and asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 129: 935-942.
185. Rosas-Salazar C, Ramratnam SK, Brehm JM, et al. Parental numeracy and asthma exacerbations in Puerto Rican children. *Chest* 2013; 144: 92-98.
186. Apter AJ, Wan F, Reisine S, et al. The association of health literacy with adherence and outcomes in moderate-severe asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 132: 321-327.
187. Poureslami I, Nimmon L, Doyle-Waters M, et al. Effectiveness of educational interventions on asthma self-management in Punjabi and Chinese asthma patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Asthma* 2012; 49: 542-551.
188. Haahtela T, Tuomisto LE, Pietinalho A, et al. A 10 year asthma programme in Finland: major change for the better. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 663-670.
189. Ait-Khaled N, Enarson DA, Bencharif N, et al. Implementation of asthma guidelines in health centres of several developing countries. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2006; 10: 104-109.

190. Plaza V, Cobos A, Ignacio-Garcia JM, et al. [Cost-effectiveness of an intervention based on the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) recommendations using a computerized clinical decision support system: a physicians randomized trial]. *Medicina clinica* 2005; 124: 201-206.
191. Haldar P, Pavord ID, Shaw DE, et al. Cluster analysis and clinical asthma phenotypes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 178: 218-224.
192. Roche N, Reddel HK, Agusti A, et al. Integrating real-life studies in the global therapeutic research framework. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1: e29-e30.
193. Chung KF. New treatments for severe treatment-resistant asthma: targeting the right patient. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1: 639-652.
194. Drazen JM. Asthma: the paradox of heterogeneity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 129: 1200-1201.
195. Haahtela T, Tamminen K, Malmberg LP, et al. Formoterol as needed with or without budesonide in patients with intermittent asthma and raised NO levels in exhaled air: A SOMA study. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 28: 748-755.
196. Busse WW, Pedersen S, Pauwels RA, et al. The Inhaled Steroid Treatment As Regular Therapy in Early Asthma (START) study 5-year follow-up: effectiveness of early intervention with budesonide in mild persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 121: 1167-1174.
197. Selroos O, Pietinalho A, Lofroos AB, et al. Effect of early vs late intervention with inhaled corticosteroids in asthma. *Chest* 1995; 108: 1228-1234.
198. Selroos O. Effect of disease duration on dose-response of inhaled budesonide in asthma. *Respir Med* 2008; 102: 1065-1072.
199. Dweik RA, Boggs PB, Erzurum SC, et al. An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011; 184: 602-615.
200. Price DB, Buhl R, Chan A, et al. Fractional exhaled nitric oxide as a predictor of response to inhaled corticosteroids in patients with non-specific respiratory symptoms and insignificant bronchodilator reversibility: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 29-39.
201. Reddel HK, FitzGerald JM, Bateman ED, et al. GINA 2019: a fundamental change in asthma management: Treatment of asthma with short-acting bronchodilators alone is no longer recommended for adults and adolescents. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 53: 1901046.
202. O'Byrne PM, FitzGerald JM, Bateman ED, et al. Inhaled combined budesonide-formoterol as needed in mild asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 1865-1876.
203. Bateman ED, Reddel HK, O'Byrne PM, et al. As-needed budesonide-formoterol versus maintenance budesonide in mild asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 1877-1887.
204. Wechsler ME, Szeffler SJ, Ortega VE, et al. Step-up therapy in black children and adults with poorly controlled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2019; 381: 1227-1239.
205. Kerstjens HAM, Maspero J, Chapman KR, et al. Once-daily, single-inhaler mometasone-indacaterol-glycopyrronium versus mometasone-indacaterol or twice-daily fluticasone-salmeterol in patients with inadequately controlled asthma (IRIDIUM): a randomised, double-blind, controlled phase 3 study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8: 1000-1012.
206. El Baou C, Di Santostefano RL, Alfonso-Cristancho R, et al. Effect of inhaled corticosteroid particle size on asthma efficacy and safety outcomes: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med* 2017; 17: 31.
207. Bateman ED, O'Byrne PM, FitzGerald JM, et al. Positioning as-needed budesonide-formoterol for mild asthma: effect of prestudy treatment in pooled analysis of SYGMA 1 and 2. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2021; 18: 2007-2017.
208. Reddel HK, O'Byrne PM, FitzGerald JM, et al. Efficacy and safety of as-needed budesonide-formoterol in adolescents with mild asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; 9: 3069-3077.e3066.
209. O'Byrne PM, Barnes PJ, Rodriguez-Roisin R, et al. Low dose inhaled budesonide and formoterol in mild persistent asthma: the OPTIMA randomized trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 164(8 Pt 1): 1392-1397.
210. FitzGerald JM, O'Byrne PM, Bateman ED, et al. Safety of as-needed budesonide-formoterol in mild asthma: data from the two phase III SYGMA studies. *Drug Saf* 2021; 44: 467-478.
211. Barnes CB, Ulrik CS. Asthma and adherence to inhaled corticosteroids: current status and future perspectives. *Respir Care* 2015; 60.

212. Hancox RJ. Concluding remarks: can we explain the association of beta-agonists with asthma mortality? A hypothesis. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2006; 31: 279-288.
213. Sobieraj DM, Weeda ER, Nguyen E, et al. Association of inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting beta-agonists as controller and quick relief therapy with exacerbations and symptom control in persistent asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2018; 319: 1485-1496.
214. Lazarinis N, Jørgensen L, Ekström T, et al. Combination of budesonide/formoterol on demand improves asthma control by reducing exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *Thorax* 2014; 69: 130-136.
215. Papi A, Canonica GW, Maestrelli P, et al. Rescue use of beclomethasone and albuterol in a single inhaler for mild asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 356: 2040-2052.
216. Martinez FD, Chinchilli VM, Morgan WJ, et al. Use of beclomethasone dipropionate as rescue treatment for children with mild persistent asthma (TREXA): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; 377: 650-657.
217. Calhoun WJ, Ameredes BT, King TS, et al. Comparison of physician-, biomarker-, and symptom-based strategies for adjustment of inhaled corticosteroid therapy in adults with asthma: the BASALT randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2012; 308: 987-997.
218. Sumino K, Bacharier LB, Taylor J, et al. A pragmatic trial of symptom-based inhaled corticosteroid use in African-American children with mild asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2020; 8: 176-185.e172.
219. Pauwels RA, Pedersen S, Busse WW, et al. Early intervention with budesonide in mild persistent asthma: a randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 1071-1076.
220. Crompton G. A brief history of inhaled asthma therapy over the last fifty years. *Prim Care Respir J* 2006; 15: 326-331.
221. Suissa S, Ernst P, Benayoun S, et al. Low-dose inhaled corticosteroids and the prevention of death from asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 343: 332-336.
222. Suissa S, Ernst P, Kezouh A. Regular use of inhaled corticosteroids and the long term prevention of hospitalisation for asthma. *Thorax* 2002; 57: 880-884.
223. Reddel HK, Ampon RD, Sawyer SM, et al. Risks associated with managing asthma without a preventer: urgent healthcare, poor asthma control and over-the-counter reliever use in a cross-sectional population survey. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e016688.
224. Haahtela T, Jarvinen M, Kava T, et al. Comparison of a β_2 -agonist, terbutaline, with an inhaled corticosteroid, budesonide, in newly detected asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1991; 325: 388-392.
225. Baan EJ, Hoeve CE, De Ridder M, et al. The ALPACA study: (In)Appropriate LAMA prescribing in asthma: A cohort analysis. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2021; 71: 102074.
226. Welsh EJ, Cates CJ. Formoterol versus short-acting beta-agonists as relief medication for adults and children with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010: CD008418.
227. Tattersfield AE, Löfdahl CG, Postma DS, et al. Comparison of formoterol and terbutaline for as-needed treatment of asthma: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; 357: 257-261.
228. Pauwels RA, Sears MR, Campbell M, et al. Formoterol as relief medication in asthma: a worldwide safety and effectiveness trial. *Eur Respir J* 2003; 22: 787-794.
229. Rabe KF, Atienza T, Magyar P, et al. Effect of budesonide in combination with formoterol for reliever therapy in asthma exacerbations: a randomised controlled, double-blind study. *Lancet* 2006; 368: 744-753.
230. Rodrigo GJ, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Safety of long-acting beta agonists for the treatment of asthma: clearing the air. *Thorax* 2012; 67: 342-349.
231. Foster J, Beasley R, Braithwaite I, et al. Perspectives of mild asthma patients on maintenance versus as-needed preventer treatment regimens: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 2022; 12: e048537.
232. Adams NP, Bestall JB, Malouf R, et al. Inhaled beclomethasone versus placebo for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005: CD002738.
233. Adams NP, Bestall JC, Lasserson TJ, et al. Fluticasone versus placebo for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008: CD003135.

234. Tan DJ, Bui DS, Dai X, et al. Does the use of inhaled corticosteroids in asthma benefit lung function in the long-term? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir Rev* 2021; 30.
235. Chauhan BF, Ducharme FM. Anti-leukotriene agents compared to inhaled corticosteroids in the management of recurrent and/or chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 5: CD002314.
236. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA requires Boxed Warning about serious mental health side effects for asthma and allergy drug montelukast (Singulair); advises restricting use for allergic rhinitis. FDA; 2020 [updated 13 March 2020; cited 2023 April]. 3-4-2020 FDA Drug Safety Communication]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-requires-boxed-warning-about-serious-mental-health-side-effects-asthma-and-allergy-drug>.
237. Ni Chroinin M, Greenstone I, Lasserson TJ, et al. Addition of inhaled long-acting beta2-agonists to inhaled steroids as first line therapy for persistent asthma in steroid-naive adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009: CD005307.
238. Dahl R, Larsen BB, Venge P. Effect of long-term treatment with inhaled budesonide or theophylline on lung function, airway reactivity and asthma symptoms. *Respir Med* 2002; 96: 432-438.
239. Rivington RN, Boulet LP, Cote J, et al. Efficacy of Uniphyl, salbutamol, and their combination in asthmatic patients on high-dose inhaled steroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 151: 325-332.
240. American Lung Association Asthma Clinical Research Centers. Clinical trial of low-dose theophylline and montelukast in patients with poorly controlled asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 175: 235-242.
241. Tsiu SJ, Self TH, Burns R. Theophylline toxicity: update. *Ann Allergy* 1990; 64: 241-257.
242. Guevara JP, Ducharme FM, Keren R, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids versus sodium cromoglycate in children and adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006: CD003558.
243. Sridhar AV, McKean M. Nedocromil sodium for chronic asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006: CD004108.
244. van der Wouden JC, Uijen JH, Bernsen RM, et al. Inhaled sodium cromoglycate for asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008: CD002173.
245. Cates CJ, Karner C. Combination formoterol and budesonide as maintenance and reliever therapy versus current best practice (including inhaled steroid maintenance), for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 4: CD007313.
246. Kew KM, Karner C, Mindus SM, et al. Combination formoterol and budesonide as maintenance and reliever therapy versus combination inhaler maintenance for chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 12: CD009019.
247. Papi A, Corradi M, Pigeon-Francisco C, et al. Beclometasone–formoterol as maintenance and reliever treatment in patients with asthma: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1: 23-31.
248. Patel M, Pilcher J, Pritchard A, et al. Efficacy and safety of maintenance and reliever combination budesonide/formoterol inhaler in patients with asthma at risk of severe exacerbations: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1: 32-42.
249. Bateman ED, Harrison TW, Quirce S, et al. Overall asthma control achieved with budesonide/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy for patients on different treatment steps. *Respiratory research* 2011; 12: 38.
250. Jorup C, Lythgoe D, Bisgaard H. Budesonide/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy in adolescent patients with asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51.
251. Beasley R, Harrison T, Peterson S, et al. Evaluation of budesonide-formoterol for maintenance and reliever therapy among patients with poorly controlled asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022; 5: e220615.
252. Demoly P, Louis R, Søres-Petersen U, et al. Budesonide/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy versus conventional best practice. *Respir Med* 2009; 103: 1623-1632.
253. Woodcock A, Vestbo J, Bakerly ND, et al. Effectiveness of fluticasone furoate plus vilanterol on asthma control in clinical practice: an open-label, parallel group, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017; 390: 2247-2255.
254. Svedster H, Jones R, Bosanquet N, et al. Patient-reported outcomes with initiation of fluticasone furoate/vilanterol versus continuing usual care in the Asthma Salford Lung Study. *Respir Med* 2018; 141: 198-206.

255. Cates CJ, Schmidt S, Ferrer M, et al. Inhaled steroids with and without regular salmeterol for asthma: serious adverse events. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 12: CD006922.
256. Busse WW, Bateman ED, Caplan AL, et al. Combined analysis of asthma safety trials of long-acting beta2-agonists. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 2497-2505.
257. Peters SP, Bleecker ER, Canonica GW, et al. Serious asthma events with budesonide plus formoterol vs. budesonide alone. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375: 850-860.
258. Stempel DA, Raphiou IH, Kral KM, et al. Serious asthma events with fluticasone plus salmeterol versus fluticasone alone. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 374: 1822-1830.
259. Papi A, Chipps BE, Beasley R, et al. Albuterol-budesonide fixed-dose combination rescue inhaler for asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2022; 386: 2071-2083.
260. Virchow JC, Backer V, Kuna P, et al. Efficacy of a house dust mite sublingual allergen immunotherapy tablet in adults with allergic asthma: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 1715-1725.
261. Mosbech H, Deckelmann R, de Blay F, et al. Standardized quality (SQ) house dust mite sublingual immunotherapy tablet (ALK) reduces inhaled corticosteroid use while maintaining asthma control: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 134: 568-575.e567.
262. Ducharme FM, Ni Chroinin M, Greenstone I, et al. Addition of long-acting beta2-agonists to inhaled steroids versus higher dose inhaled steroids in adults and children with persistent asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010: CD005533.
263. Powell H, Gibson PG. Inhaled corticosteroid doses in asthma: an evidence-based approach. *Med J Aust* 2003; 178: 223-225.
264. Chauhan BF, Ducharme FM. Addition to inhaled corticosteroids of long-acting beta2-agonists versus anti-leukotrienes for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 1: CD003137.
265. Evans DJ, Taylor DA, Zetterstrom O, et al. A comparison of low-dose inhaled budesonide plus theophylline and high-dose inhaled budesonide for moderate asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 337: 1412-1418.
266. Adams NP, Jones PW. The dose-response characteristics of inhaled corticosteroids when used to treat asthma: an overview of Cochrane systematic reviews. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 1297-1306.
267. Vaessen-Verberne AA, van den Berg NJ, van Nierop JC, et al. Combination therapy salmeterol/fluticasone versus doubling dose of fluticasone in children with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 182: 1221-1227.
268. Bisgaard H, Le Roux P, Bjamer D, et al. Budesonide/formoterol maintenance plus reliever therapy: a new strategy in pediatric asthma. *Chest* 2006; 130: 1733-1743.
269. Stempel DA, Szeffler SJ, Pedersen S, et al. Safety of adding salmeterol to fluticasone propionate in children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375: 840-849.
270. Lemanske R, Mauger D, Sorkness C, et al. Step-up therapy for children with uncontrolled asthma receiving inhaled corticosteroids. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362: 975-985.
271. O'Byrne PM, Naya IP, Kallen A, et al. Increasing doses of inhaled corticosteroids compared to adding long-acting inhaled beta2-agonists in achieving asthma control. *Chest* 2008; 134: 1192-1199.
272. Sobieraj DM, Baker WL, Nguyen E, et al. Association of inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting muscarinic antagonists with asthma control in patients with uncontrolled, persistent asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2018; 319: 1473-1484.
273. Virchow JC, Kuna P, Paggiaro P, et al. Single inhaler extrafine triple therapy in uncontrolled asthma (TRIMARAN and TRIGGER): two double-blind, parallel-group, randomised, controlled phase 3 trials. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 1737-1749.
274. Lee LA, Bailes Z, Barnes N, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-daily single-inhaler triple therapy (FF/UMEC/VI) versus FF/VI in patients with inadequately controlled asthma (CAPTAIN): a double-blind, randomised, phase 3A trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 69-84.
275. Gessner C, Kornmann O, Maspero J, et al. Fixed-dose combination of indacaterol/glycopyrronium/mometasone furoate once-daily versus salmeterol/fluticasone twice-daily plus tiotropium once-daily in patients with uncontrolled asthma: A randomised, Phase IIIb, non-inferiority study (ARGON). *Respir Med* 2020; 170: 106021.

276. Kew KM, Dahri K. Long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA) added to combination long-acting beta2-agonists and inhaled corticosteroids (LABA/ICS) versus LABA/ICS for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; Cd011721.
277. Casale TB, Aalbers R, Bleecker ER, et al. Tiotropium Respimat(R) add-on therapy to inhaled corticosteroids in patients with symptomatic asthma improves clinical outcomes regardless of baseline characteristics. *Respir Med* 2019; 158: 97-109.
278. Malo JL, Cartier A, Ghezzi H, et al. Comparison of four-times-a-day and twice-a-day dosing regimens in subjects requiring 1200 micrograms or less of budesonide to control mild to moderate asthma. *Respir Med* 1995; 89: 537-543.
279. Toogood JH, Baskerville JC, Jennings B, et al. Influence of dosing frequency and schedule on the response of chronic asthmatics to the aerosol steroid, budesonide. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1982; 70: 288-298.
280. Lofdahl CG, Reiss TF, Leff JA, et al. Randomised, placebo controlled trial of effect of a leukotriene receptor antagonist, montelukast, on tapering inhaled corticosteroids in asthmatic patients. *BMJ* 1999; 319: 87-90.
281. Price DB, Hernandez D, Magyar P, et al. Randomised controlled trial of montelukast plus inhaled budesonide versus double dose inhaled budesonide in adult patients with asthma. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 211-216.
282. Vaquerizo MJ, Casan P, Castillo J, et al. Effect of montelukast added to inhaled budesonide on control of mild to moderate asthma. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 204-210.
283. Virchow JC, Prasse A, Naya I, et al. Zafirlukast improves asthma control in patients receiving high-dose inhaled corticosteroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162: 578-585.
284. Tamaoki J, Kondo M, Sakai N, et al. Leukotriene antagonist prevents exacerbation of asthma during reduction of high-dose inhaled corticosteroid. The Tokyo Joshi-Idai Asthma Research Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 155: 1235-1240.
285. Rodrigo GJ, Neffen H. Efficacy and safety of tiotropium in school-age children with moderate-to-severe symptomatic asthma: A systematic review. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2017; 28: 573-578.
286. Szeffler SJ, Vogelberg C, Bernstein JA, et al. Tiotropium Is Efficacious in 6- to 17-Year-Olds with Asthma, Independent of T2 Phenotype. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2019; 7: 2286-2295 e2284.
287. Travers J, Marsh S, Williams M, et al. External validity of randomised controlled trials in asthma: to whom do the results of the trials apply? *Thorax* 2007; 62: 219-223.
288. Brown T, Jones T, Gove K, et al. Randomised controlled trials in severe asthma: selection by phenotype or stereotype. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 52.
289. Broersen LH, Pereira AM, Jorgensen JO, et al. Adrenal insufficiency in corticosteroids use: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015; 100: 2171-2180.
290. Kim LHY, Saleh C, Whalen-Browne A, et al. Triple vs dual inhaler therapy and asthma outcomes in moderate to severe asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2021; 325: 2466-2479.
291. Taylor SL, Leong LEX, Mobegi FM, et al. Long-term azithromycin reduces *Haemophilus influenzae* and increases antibiotic resistance in severe asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 200: 309-317.
292. Gibson PG, Yang IA, Upham JW, et al. Effect of azithromycin on asthma exacerbations and quality of life in adults with persistent uncontrolled asthma (AMAZES): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017; 390: 659-668.
293. Hiles SA, McDonald VM, Guilhermino M, et al. Does maintenance azithromycin reduce asthma exacerbations? An individual participant data meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 54.
294. Agache I, Rocha C, Beltran J, et al. Efficacy and safety of treatment with biologicals (benralizumab, dupilumab and omalizumab) for severe allergic asthma: A systematic review for the EAACI Guidelines – recommendations on the use of biologicals in severe asthma. *Allergy* 2020; 75: 1043-1057.
295. Haldar P, Brightling CE, Hargadon B, et al. Mepolizumab and exacerbations of refractory eosinophilic asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 973-984.
296. Castro M, Zangrilli J, Wechsler ME, et al. Reslizumab for inadequately controlled asthma with elevated blood eosinophil counts: results from two multicentre, parallel, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; 3: 355-366.

297. Nair P, Wenzel S, Rabe KF, et al. Oral glucocorticoid-sparing effect of benralizumab in severe asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 376: 2448-2458.
298. Jackson DJ, Bacharier LB, Gergen PJ, et al. Mepolizumab for urban children with exacerbation-prone eosinophilic asthma in the USA (MUPPITS-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial. *Lancet* 2022; 400: 502-511.
299. Agache I, Beltran J, Akdis C, et al. Efficacy and safety of treatment with biologicals (benralizumab, dupilumab, mepolizumab, omalizumab and reslizumab) for severe eosinophilic asthma. A systematic review for the EAACI Guidelines - recommendations on the use of biologicals in severe asthma. *Allergy* 2020; 75: 1023-1042.
300. Bacharier LB, Maspero JF, Katelaris CH, et al. Dupilumab in children with uncontrolled moderate-to-severe asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 385: 2230-2240.
301. Castro M, Corren J, Pavord ID, et al. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in moderate-to-severe uncontrolled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 2486-2496.
302. Wenzel S, Castro M, Corren J, et al. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in adults with uncontrolled persistent asthma despite use of medium-to-high-dose inhaled corticosteroids plus a long-acting β_2 agonist: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled pivotal phase 2b dose-ranging trial. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 31-44.
303. Agache I, Song Y, Rocha C, et al. Efficacy and safety of treatment with dupilumab for severe asthma: A systematic review of the EAACI guidelines-Recommendations on the use of biologicals in severe asthma. *Allergy* 2020; 75: 1058-1068.
304. Corren J, Parnes JR, Wang L, et al. Tezepelumab in adults with uncontrolled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 377: 936-946.
305. Petsky HL, Li A, Chang AB. Tailored interventions based on sputum eosinophils versus clinical symptoms for asthma in children and adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 8: CD005603.
306. Chupp G, Laviolette M, Cohn L, et al. Long-term outcomes of bronchial thermoplasty in subjects with severe asthma: a comparison of 3-year follow-up results from two prospective multicentre studies. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50.
307. Walsh LJ, Wong CA, Osborne J, et al. Adverse effects of oral corticosteroids in relation to dose in patients with lung disease. *Thorax* 2001; 56: 279-284.
308. Lefebvre P, Duh MS, Lafeuille M-H, et al. Acute and chronic systemic corticosteroid-related complications in patients with severe asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 136: 1488-1495.
309. Price DB, Trudo F, Voorham J, et al. Adverse outcomes from initiation of systemic corticosteroids for asthma: long-term observational study. *J Asthma Allergy* 2018; 11: 193-204.
310. Bleecker ER, Menzies-Gow AN, Price DB, et al. Systematic literature review of systemic corticosteroid use for asthma management. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; 201: 276-293.
311. Buckley L, Guyatt G, Fink HA, et al. 2017 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2017; 69: 1095-1110.
312. National Asthma Council Australia. Asthma action plan library. [web page]: National Asthma Council Australia; [cited 2023 April]. Available from: <https://www.nationalasthma.org.au/health-professionals/asthma-action-plans/asthma-action-plan-library>.
313. Bateman ED, Bousquet J, Keetch ML, et al. The correlation between asthma control and health status: the GOAL study. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29: 56-62.
314. Sont JK. How do we monitor asthma control? *Allergy* 1999; 54 Suppl 49: 68-73.
315. Mintz M, Gilsenan AW, Bui CL, et al. Assessment of asthma control in primary care. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2009; 25: 2523-2531.
316. Schatz M, Rachelefsky G, Krishnan JA. Follow-up after acute asthma episodes: what improves future outcomes? *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2009; 6: 386-393.
317. Thomas A, Lemanske RF, Jr., Jackson DJ. Approaches to stepping up and stepping down care in asthmatic patients. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 915-924.
318. Boulet LP. Perception of the role and potential side effects of inhaled corticosteroids among asthmatic patients. *Chest* 1998; 113: 587-592.

319. Usmani OS, Kempainen A, Gardener E, et al. A randomized pragmatic trial of changing to and stepping down fluticasone/formoterol in asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2017; 5: 1378-1387.e1375.
320. DiMango E, Rogers L, Reibman J, et al. Risk factors for asthma exacerbation and treatment failure in adults and adolescents with well-controlled asthma during continuation and step-down therapy. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2018; 15: 955-961.
321. Leuppi JD, Salome CM, Jenkins CR, et al. Predictive markers of asthma exacerbation during stepwise dose reduction of inhaled corticosteroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 163: 406-412.
322. Rogers L, Sugar EA, Blake K, et al. Step-down therapy for asthma well controlled on inhaled corticosteroid and long-acting beta-agonist: A randomized clinical trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2018; 6: 633-643.e631.
323. FitzGerald JM, Boulet LP, Follows RM. The CONCEPT trial: a 1-year, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy comparison of a stable dosing regimen of salmeterol/fluticasone propionate with an adjustable maintenance dosing regimen of formoterol/budesonide in adults with persistent asthma. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2005; 27: 393-406.
324. Bose S, Bime C, Henderson RJ, et al. Biomarkers of Type 2 airway inflammation as predictors of loss of asthma control during step-down therapy for well-controlled disease: the Long-Acting Beta-Agonist Step-Down Study (LASST). *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2020; 8: 3474-3481.
325. Wang K, Verbakel JY, Oke J, et al. Using fractional exhaled nitric oxide to guide step-down treatment decisions in patients with asthma: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55.
326. Rank MA, Hagan JB, Park MA, et al. The risk of asthma exacerbation after stopping low-dose inhaled corticosteroids: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131: 724-729.
327. Hagan JB, Samant SA, Volcheck GW, et al. The risk of asthma exacerbation after reducing inhaled corticosteroids: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Allergy* 2014; 69: 510-516.
328. Ahmad S, Kew KM, Normansell R. Stopping long-acting beta2-agonists (LABA) for adults with asthma well controlled by LABA and inhaled corticosteroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015: Cd011306.
329. Rank MA, Gionfriddo MR, Pongdee T, et al. Stepping down from inhaled corticosteroids with leukotriene inhibitors in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 2015; 36: 200-205.
330. Masoli M, Weatherall M, Holt S, et al. Budesonide once versus twice-daily administration: meta-analysis. *Respirology* 2004; 9: 528-534.
331. Boulet LP, Drollmann A, Magyar P, et al. Comparative efficacy of once-daily ciclesonide and budesonide in the treatment of persistent asthma. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 785-794.
332. Gibson PG. Using fractional exhaled nitric oxide to guide asthma therapy: design and methodological issues for Asthma Treatment Algorithm studies. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2009; 39: 478-490.
333. Petsky HL, Kew KM, Chang AB. Exhaled nitric oxide levels to guide treatment for children with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 11: CD011439.
334. Turner S, Cotton S, Wood J, et al. Reducing asthma attacks in children using exhaled nitric oxide (RAACENO) as a biomarker to inform treatment strategy: a multicentre, parallel, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022; 10: 584-592.
335. Petsky HL, Kew KM, Turner C, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide levels to guide treatment for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 9: CD011440.
336. Heaney LG, Busby J, Hanratty CE, et al. Composite type-2 biomarker strategy versus a symptom-risk-based algorithm to adjust corticosteroid dose in patients with severe asthma: a multicentre, single-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 57-68.
337. Rice JL, Diette GB, Suarez-Cuervo C, et al. Allergen-specific immunotherapy in the treatment of pediatric asthma: A systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2018; 141.
338. Lin SY, Erekosima N, Kim JM, et al. Sublingual immunotherapy for the treatment of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2013; 309: 1278-1288.
339. Di Bona D, Frisenda F, Albanesi M, et al. Efficacy and safety of allergen immunotherapy in patients with allergy to molds: A systematic review. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2018; 48: 1391-1401.

340. Abramson MJ, Puy RM, Weiner JM. Injection allergen immunotherapy for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010: CD001186.
341. Klimek L, Fox GC, Thum-Oltmer S. SCIT with a high-dose house dust mite allergoid is well tolerated: safety data from pooled clinical trials and more than 10 years of daily practice analyzed in different subgroups. *Allergo J Int* 2018; 27: 131-139.
342. Xu K, Deng Z, Li D, et al. Efficacy of add-on sublingual immunotherapy for adults with asthma: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2018; 121: 186-194.
343. Calamita Z, Saconato H, Pela AB, et al. Efficacy of sublingual immunotherapy in asthma: systematic review of randomized-clinical trials using the Cochrane Collaboration method. *Allergy* 2006; 61: 1162-1172.
344. Fortescue R, Kew KM, Leung MST. Sublingual immunotherapy for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020; 9: CD011293.
345. Marogna M, Spadolini I, Massolo A, et al. Long-term comparison of sublingual immunotherapy vs inhaled budesonide in patients with mild persistent asthma due to grass pollen. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2009; 102: 69-75.
346. Baena-Cagnani CE, Larenas-Linnemann D, Teijeiro A, et al. Will sublingual immunotherapy offer benefit for asthma? *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2013.
347. Burks AW, Calderon MA, Casale T, et al. Update on allergy immunotherapy: American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology/European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology/PRACTALL consensus report. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131: 1288-1296.e1283.
348. Dretzke J, Meadows A, Novielli N, et al. Subcutaneous and sublingual immunotherapy for seasonal allergic rhinitis: a systematic review and indirect comparison. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131: 1361-1366.
349. Cates CJ, Rowe BH. Vaccines for preventing influenza in people with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 2: CD000364.
350. Vasileiou E, Sheikh A, Butler C, et al. Effectiveness of Influenza Vaccines in Asthma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 65: 1388-1395.
351. Bandell A, Ambrose CS, Maniaci J, et al. Safety of live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) in children and adults with asthma: a systematic literature review and narrative synthesis. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2021; 20: 717-728.
352. Li L, Cheng Y, Tu X, et al. Association between asthma and invasive pneumococcal disease risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol* 2020; 16: 94.
353. Sheikh A, Alves B, Dhama S. Pneumococcal vaccine for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002: CD002165.
354. Chaudhuri R, Rubin A, Sumino K, et al. Safety and effectiveness of bronchial thermoplasty after 10 years in patients with persistent asthma (BT10+): a follow-up of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 457-466.
355. Cassim R, Russell MA, Lodge CJ, et al. The role of circulating 25 hydroxyvitamin D in asthma: a systematic review. *Allergy* 2015; 70: 339-354.
356. Jolliffe DA, Greenberg L, Hooper RL, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent asthma exacerbations: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet Respir Med* 2017; 5: 881-890.
357. Andújar-Espinosa R, Salinero-González L, Illán-Gómez F, et al. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on asthma control in patients with vitamin D deficiency: the ACVID randomised clinical trial. *Thorax* 2021; 76: 126-133.
358. Riverin BD, Maguire JL, Li P. Vitamin D supplementation for childhood asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0136841.
359. Pojsupap S, Iliriani K, Sampaio TZ, et al. Efficacy of high-dose vitamin D in pediatric asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Asthma* 2015; 52: 382-390.
360. Castro M, King TS, Kunselman SJ, et al. Effect of vitamin D3 on asthma treatment failures in adults with symptomatic asthma and lower vitamin D levels: the VIDA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014; 311: 2083-2091.
361. Lazarus SC, Chinchilli VM, Rollings NJ, et al. Smoking affects response to inhaled corticosteroids or leukotriene receptor antagonists in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 175: 783-790.
362. Chaudhuri R, Livingston E, McMahon AD, et al. Effects of smoking cessation on lung function and airway inflammation in smokers with asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 174: 127-133.

363. Rayens MK, Burkhart PV, Zhang M, et al. Reduction in asthma-related emergency department visits after implementation of a smoke-free law. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 122: 537-541.
364. Wills TA, Soneji SS, Choi K, et al. E-cigarette use and respiratory disorders: an integrative review of converging evidence from epidemiological and laboratory studies. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57.
365. Valkenborghs SR, Anderson SL, Scott HA, et al. Exercise training programs improve cardiorespiratory and functional fitness in adults with asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2022; 42: 423-433.
366. Hansen ESH, Pitzner-Fabricius A, Toennesen LL, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise training on asthma in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 56.
367. McLoughlin RF, Clark VL, Urroz PD, et al. Increasing physical activity in severe asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 60: 2200546.
368. Toennesen LL, Meteran H, Hostrup M, et al. Effects of exercise and diet in nonobese asthma patients—a randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2018; 6: 803-811.
369. Beggs S, Foong YC, Le HC, et al. Swimming training for asthma in children and adolescents aged 18 years and under. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 4: CD009607.
370. Kogevinas M, Zock JP, Jarvis D, et al. Exposure to substances in the workplace and new-onset asthma: an international prospective population-based study (ECRHS-II). *Lancet* 2007; 370: 336-341.
371. Szczeklik A, Nizankowska E, Duplaga M. Natural history of aspirin-induced asthma. AIANE Investigators. European Network on Aspirin-Induced Asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16: 432-436.
372. Covar RA, Macomber BA, Szeffler SJ. Medications as asthma triggers. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2005; 25: 169-190.
373. Olenchock BA, Fonarow GG, Pan W, et al. Current use of beta blockers in patients with reactive airway disease who are hospitalized with acute coronary syndromes. *Am J Cardiol* 2009; 103: 295-300.
374. Morales DR, Jackson C, Lipworth BJ, et al. Adverse respiratory effect of acute beta-blocker exposure in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest* 2014; 145: 779-786.
375. Gotzsche PC, Johansen HK. House dust mite control measures for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008; CD001187.
376. Leas BF, D'Anci KE, Apter AJ, et al. Effectiveness of indoor allergen reduction in asthma management: A systematic review. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 141: 1854-1869.
377. Sheffer AL. Allergen avoidance to reduce asthma-related morbidity. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351: 1134-1136.
378. Platts-Mills TA. Allergen avoidance in the treatment of asthma and rhinitis. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349: 207-208.
379. Rabito FA, Carlson JC, He H, et al. A single intervention for cockroach control reduces cockroach exposure and asthma morbidity in children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017; 140: 565-570.
380. Crocker DD, Kinyota S, Dumitru GG, et al. Effectiveness of home-based, multi-trigger, multicomponent interventions with an environmental focus for reducing asthma morbidity: a community guide systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2011; 41: S5-32.
381. Morgan WJ, Crain EF, Gruchalla RS, et al. Results of a home-based environmental intervention among urban children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351: 1068-1080.
382. Murray CS, Foden P, Sumner H, et al. Preventing severe asthma exacerbations in children. A randomized trial of mite-impermeable bedcovers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017; 196: 150-158.
383. Custovic A, Green R, Taggart SC, et al. Domestic allergens in public places. II: Dog (Can f1) and cockroach (Bla g 2) allergens in dust and mite, cat, dog and cockroach allergens in the air in public buildings. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1996; 26: 1246-1252.
384. Almqvist C, Larsson PH, Egmar AC, et al. School as a risk environment for children allergic to cats and a site for transfer of cat allergen to homes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999; 103: 1012-1017.
385. Shirai T, Matsui T, Suzuki K, et al. Effect of pet removal on pet allergic asthma. *Chest* 2005; 127: 1565-1571.
386. Wood RA, Chapman MD, Adkinson NF, Jr., et al. The effect of cat removal on allergen content in household-dust samples. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1989; 83: 730-734.
387. Erwin EA, Woodfolk JA, Custis N, et al. Animal danders. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2003; 23: 469-481.

388. Phipatanakul W, Matsui E, Portnoy J, et al. Environmental assessment and exposure reduction of rodents: a practice parameter. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2012; 109: 375-387.
389. Matsui EC, Perzanowski M, Peng RD, et al. Effect of an integrated pest management intervention on asthma symptoms among mouse-sensitized children and adolescents with asthma: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2017; 317: 1027-1036.
390. Eggleston PA, Wood RA, Rand C, et al. Removal of cockroach allergen from inner-city homes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999; 104: 842-846.
391. Denning DW, O'Driscoll B R, Hogaboam CM, et al. The link between fungi and severe asthma: a summary of the evidence. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27: 615-626.
392. Hirsch T, Hering M, Burkner K, et al. House-dust-mite allergen concentrations (Der f 1) and mold spores in apartment bedrooms before and after installation of insulated windows and central heating systems. *Allergy* 2000; 55: 79-83.
393. Custovic A, Wijk RG. The effectiveness of measures to change the indoor environment in the treatment of allergic rhinitis and asthma: ARIA update (in collaboration with GA(2)LEN). *Allergy* 2005; 60: 1112-1115.
394. Wood LG, Garg ML, Smart JM, et al. Manipulating antioxidant intake in asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *AM J Clin Nutr* 2012; 96: 534-543.
395. Boulet LP, Franssen E. Influence of obesity on response to fluticasone with or without salmeterol in moderate asthma. *Respir Med* 2007; 101: 2240-2247.
396. Lavoie KL, Bacon SL, Labrecque M, et al. Higher BMI is associated with worse asthma control and quality of life but not asthma severity. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 648-657.
397. Saint-Pierre P, Bourdin A, Chanez P, et al. Are overweight asthmatics more difficult to control? *Allergy* 2006; 61: 79-84.
398. Sutherland ER, Goleva E, Strand M, et al. Body mass and glucocorticoid response in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 178: 682-687.
399. Okoniewski W, Lu KD, Forno E. Weight Loss for Children and Adults with Obesity and Asthma. A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2019; 16: 613-625.
400. Adeniyi FB, Young T. Weight loss interventions for chronic asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 7: CD009339.
401. Moreira A, Bonini M, Garcia-Larsen V, et al. Weight loss interventions in asthma: EAACI Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline (Part I). *Allergy* 2013; 68: 425-439.
402. Boulet LP, Turcotte H, Martin J, et al. Effect of bariatric surgery on airway response and lung function in obese subjects with asthma. *Respir Med* 2012; 106: 651-660.
403. Dixon AE, Pratley RE, Forgione PM, et al. Effects of obesity and bariatric surgery on airway hyperresponsiveness, asthma control, and inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 508-515 e501-502.
404. Scott HA, Gibson PG, Garg ML, et al. Dietary restriction and exercise improve airway inflammation and clinical outcomes in overweight and obese asthma: a randomized trial. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 36-49.
405. Santino TA, Chaves GS, Freitas DA, et al. Breathing exercises for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020; 3: CD001277.
406. Slader CA, Reddel HK, Spencer LM, et al. Double blind randomised controlled trial of two different breathing techniques in the management of asthma. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 651-656.
407. Bruton A, Lee A, Yardley L, et al. Physiotherapy breathing retraining for asthma: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 19-28.
408. Upham JW, Holt PG. Environment and development of atopy. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 5: 167-172.
409. Belanger K, Holford TR, Gent JF, et al. Household levels of nitrogen dioxide and pediatric asthma severity. *Epidemiology* 2013; 24: 320-330.
410. Howden-Chapman P, Pierse N, Nicholls S, et al. Effects of improved home heating on asthma in community dwelling children: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2008; 337: a1411.
411. Park HJ, Lee HY, Suh CH, et al. The effect of particulate matter reduction by indoor air filter use on respiratory symptoms and lung function: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res* 2021; 13: 719-732.

412. Phipatanakul W, Koutrakis P, Coull BA, et al. Effect of school integrated pest management or classroom air filter purifiers on asthma symptoms in students with active asthma: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2021; 326: 839-850.
413. Tibosch MM, Verhaak CM, Merkus PJ. Psychological characteristics associated with the onset and course of asthma in children and adolescents: a systematic review of longitudinal effects. *Patient Educ Couns* 2011; 82: 11-19.
414. Rietveld S, van Beest I, Everaerd W. Stress-induced breathlessness in asthma. *Psychol Med* 1999; 29: 1359-1366.
415. Sandberg S, Paton JY, Ahola S, et al. The role of acute and chronic stress in asthma attacks in children. *Lancet* 2000; 356: 982-987.
416. Lehrer PM, Isenberg S, Hochron SM. Asthma and emotion: a review. *J Asthma* 1993; 30: 5-21.
417. Nouwen A, Freeston MH, Labbe R, et al. Psychological factors associated with emergency room visits among asthmatic patients. *Behav Modif* 1999; 23: 217-233.
418. Tyris J, Keller S, Parikh K. Social risk interventions and health care utilization for pediatric asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr* 2022; 176: e215103.
419. Hauptman M, Gaffin JM, Petty CR, et al. Proximity to major roadways and asthma symptoms in the School Inner-City Asthma Study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2020; 145: 119-126 e114.
420. Newson R, Strachan D, Archibald E, et al. Acute asthma epidemics, weather and pollen in England, 1987-1994. *Eur Respir J* 1998; 11: 694-701.
421. Thien F, Beggs PJ, Csutoros D, et al. The Melbourne epidemic thunderstorm asthma event 2016: an investigation of environmental triggers, effect on health services, and patient risk factors. *Lancet Planet Health* 2018; 2: e255-e263.
422. Li Y, Wang W, Wang J, et al. Impact of air pollution control measures and weather conditions on asthma during the 2008 Summer Olympic Games in Beijing. *Int J Biometeorol* 2011; 55: 547-554.
423. Taylor SL, Bush RK, Selner JC, et al. Sensitivity to sulfited foods among sulfite-sensitive subjects with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1988; 81: 1159-1167.
424. Ahmed S, Steed L, Harris K, et al. Interventions to enhance the adoption of asthma self-management behaviour in the South Asian and African American population: a systematic review. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med* 2018; 28: 5.
425. Fink JB, Rubin BK. Problems with inhaler use: a call for improved clinician and patient education. *Respir care* 2005; 50: 1360-1374; discussion 1374-1365.
426. Klijn SL, Hiligsmann M, Evers S, et al. Effectiveness and success factors of educational inhaler technique interventions in asthma & COPD patients: a systematic review. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med* 2017; 27: 24.
427. Newman SP. Spacer devices for metered dose inhalers. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2004; 43: 349-360.
428. Basheti IA, Reddel HK, Armour CL, et al. Improved asthma outcomes with a simple inhaler technique intervention by community pharmacists. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119: 1537-1538.
429. Giraud V, Allaert FA, Roche N. Inhaler technique and asthma: feasibility and acceptability of training by pharmacists. *Respir Med* 2011; 105: 1815-1822.
430. van der Palen J, Klein JJ, Kerkhoff AH, et al. Evaluation of the long-term effectiveness of three instruction modes for inhaling medicines. *Patient Educ Couns* 1997; 32: S87-95.
431. Almomani BA, Mokhmer E, Al-Sawalha NA, et al. A novel approach of using educational pharmaceutical pictogram for improving inhaler techniques in patients with asthma. *Respir Med* 2018; 143: 103-108.
432. Basheti I, Mahboub B, Salameh L, et al. Assessment of novel inhaler technique reminder labels in image format on the correct demonstration of inhaler technique skills in asthma: a single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 2021; 14: 150.
433. Basheti IA, Obeidat NM, Reddel HK. Effect of novel inhaler technique reminder labels on the retention of inhaler technique skills in asthma: a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med* 2017; 27: 9.
434. Armour CL, Reddel HK, LeMay KS, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of an evidence-based asthma service in Australian community pharmacies: a pragmatic cluster randomized trial. *J Asthma* 2013; 50: 302-309.
435. Kuethe MC, Vaessen-Verberne AA, Elbers RG, et al. Nurse versus physician-led care for the management of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013; 2: CD009296.
436. Federman AD, O'Connor R, Mindlis I, et al. Effect of a self-management support intervention on asthma outcomes in older adults: The SAMBA study randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2019.

437. Panigone S, Sandri F, Ferri R, et al. Environmental impact of inhalers for respiratory diseases: decreasing the carbon footprint while preserving patient-tailored treatment. *BMJ Open Respir Res* 2020; 7.
438. Janson C, Henderson R, Löfdahl M, et al. Carbon footprint impact of the choice of inhalers for asthma and COPD. *Thorax* 2020; 75: 82-84.
439. Carroll WD, Gilchrist FJ, Horne R. Saving our planet one puff at a time. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022; 10: e44-e45.
440. Kponee-Shovein K, Marvel J, Ishikawa R, et al. Carbon footprint and associated costs of asthma exacerbation care among UK adults. *J Med Econ* 2022; 25: 524-531.
441. Tennison I, Roschnik S, Ashby B, et al. Health care's response to climate change: a carbon footprint assessment of the NHS in England. *Lancet Planet Health* 2021; 5: e84-e92.
442. Crompton GK, Barnes PJ, Broeders M, et al. The need to improve inhalation technique in Europe: a report from the Aerosol Drug Management Improvement Team. *Respir Med* 2006; 100: 1479-1494.
443. Viswanathan M, Golin CE, Jones CD, et al. Interventions to improve adherence to self-administered medications for chronic diseases in the United States: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2012; 157: 785-795.
444. Chan AH, Harrison J, Black PN, et al. Using electronic monitoring devices to measure inhaler adherence: a practical guide for clinicians. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2015; 3: 335-349.e331-335.
445. Cohen JL, Mann DM, Wisnivesky JP, et al. Assessing the validity of self-reported medication adherence among inner-city asthmatic adults: the Medication Adherence Report Scale for Asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2009; 103: 325-331.
446. Poureslami IM, Rootman I, Balka E, et al. A systematic review of asthma and health literacy: a cultural-ethnic perspective in Canada. *MedGenMed* 2007; 9: 40.
447. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, et al. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; 155: 97-107.
448. Zeni MB. Systematic review of health literacy in Cochrane database studies on paediatric asthma educational interventions: searching beyond rigorous design. *Int J Evid Based Health Care* 2012; 10: 3-8.
449. Partridge MR, Dal Negro RW, Olivieri D. Understanding patients with asthma and COPD: insights from a European study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2011; 20: 315-323, 317 p following 323.
450. Foster JM, Usherwood T, Smith L, et al. Inhaler reminders improve adherence with controller treatment in primary care patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 134: 1260-1268.
451. Chan AH, Stewart AW, Harrison J, et al. The effect of an electronic monitoring device with audiovisual reminder function on adherence to inhaled corticosteroids and school attendance in children with asthma: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2015; 3: 210-219.
452. Morton RW, Elphick HE, Rigby AS, et al. STAAR: a randomised controlled trial of electronic adherence monitoring with reminder alarms and feedback to improve clinical outcomes for children with asthma. *Thorax* 2017; 72: 347-354.
453. Chan A, De Simoni A, Wileman V, et al. Digital interventions to improve adherence to maintenance medication in asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022; 6: CD013030.
454. Otsuki M, Eakin MN, Rand CS, et al. Adherence feedback to improve asthma outcomes among inner-city children: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2009; 124: 1513-1521.
455. Williams LK, Peterson EL, Wells K, et al. A cluster-randomized trial to provide clinicians inhaled corticosteroid adherence information for their patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 126: 225-231, 231 e221-224.
456. Bender BG, Cvietusa PJ, Goodrich GK, et al. Pragmatic trial of health care technologies to improve adherence to pediatric asthma treatment: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr* 2015; 169: 317-323.
457. Halterman JS, Fagnano M, Tajon RS, et al. Effect of the School-Based Telemedicine Enhanced Asthma Management (SB-TEAM) program on asthma morbidity: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr* 2018; 172: e174938.
458. Normansell R, Kew KM, Stovold E. Interventions to improve adherence to inhaled steroids for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 4: CD012226.
459. Foster JM, Smith L, Bosnic-Anticevich SZ, et al. Identifying patient-specific beliefs and behaviours for conversations about adherence in asthma. *Intern Med J* 2012; 42: e136-144.
460. Ulrik CS, Backer V, Soes-Petersen U, et al. The patient's perspective: adherence or non-adherence to asthma controller therapy? *J Asthma* 2006; 43: 701-704.

461. Price D, Robertson A, Bullen K, et al. Improved adherence with once-daily versus twice-daily dosing of mometasone furoate administered via a dry powder inhaler: a randomized open-label study. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine* 2010; 10: 1.
462. Kew KM, Carr R, Crossingham I. Lay-led and peer support interventions for adolescents with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 4: CD012331.
463. Clark NM, Shah S, Dodge JA, et al. An evaluation of asthma interventions for preteen students. *J Sch Health* 2010; 80: 80-87.
464. Gibson PG, Powell H, Coughlan J, et al. Limited (information only) patient education programs for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002: CD001005.
465. Houts PS, Bachrach R, Witmer JT, et al. Using pictographs to enhance recall of spoken medical instructions. *Patient Educ Couns* 1998; 35: 83-88.
466. Meade CD, McKinney WP, Barnas GP. Educating patients with limited literacy skills: the effectiveness of printed and videotaped materials about colon cancer. *Am J Public Health* 1994; 84: 119-121.
467. Manfrin A, Tinelli M, Thomas T, et al. A cluster randomised control trial to evaluate the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the Italian medicines use review (I-MUR) for asthma patients. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017; 17: 300.
468. Gao G, Liao Y, Mo L, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a nurse-led education pathway for asthmatic children from outpatient to home. *Int J Nurs Pract* 2020; 26: e12823.
469. Campbell JD, Brooks M, Hosokawa P, et al. Community health worker home visits for medicaid-enrolled children with asthma: Effects on asthma outcomes and costs. *Am J Public Health* 2015; 105: 2366-2372.
470. Partridge MR, Caress AL, Brown C, et al. Can lay people deliver asthma self-management education as effectively as primary care based practice nurses? *Thorax* 2008; 63: 778-783.
471. Pinnock H, Parke HL, Panagioti M, et al. Systematic meta-review of supported self-management for asthma: a healthcare perspective. *BMC Med* 2017; 15: 64.
472. Boyd M, Lasserson TJ, McKean MC, et al. Interventions for educating children who are at risk of asthma-related emergency department attendance. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009: CD001290.
473. Powell H, Gibson PG. Options for self-management education for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003: CD004107.
474. McLean S, Chandler D, Nurmatov U, et al. Telehealthcare for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010: CD007717.
475. Fishwick D, D'Souza W, Beasley R. The asthma self-management plan system of care: what does it mean, how is it done, does it work, what models are available, what do patients want and who needs it? *Patient Educ Couns* 1997; 32: S21-33.
476. Gibson PG, Powell H. Written action plans for asthma: an evidence-based review of the key components. *Thorax* 2004; 59: 94-99.
477. Holt S, Masoli M, Beasley R. The use of the self-management plan system of care in adult asthma. *Prim Care Respir J* 2004; 13: 19-27.
478. Roberts NJ, Evans G, Blenkhorn P, et al. Development of an electronic pictorial asthma action plan and its use in primary care. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010; 80: 141-146.
479. Ring N, Malcolm C, Wyke S, et al. Promoting the use of Personal Asthma Action Plans: a systematic review. *Prim Care Respir J* 2007; 16: 271-283.
480. Halterman JS, Fisher S, Conn KM, et al. Improved preventive care for asthma: a randomized trial of clinician prompting in pediatric offices. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2006; 160: 1018-1025.
481. Kneale D, Harris K, McDonald VM, et al. Effectiveness of school-based self-management interventions for asthma among children and adolescents: findings from a Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2019; 74: 432-438.
482. Boulet LP. Influence of comorbid conditions on asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2009; 33: 897-906.
483. Deng X, Ma J, Yuan Y, et al. Association between overweight or obesity and the risk for childhood asthma and wheeze: An updated meta-analysis on 18 articles and 73 252 children. *Pediatr Obes* 2019; 14: e12532.

484. Upala S, Thavaraputta S, Sanguankeo A. Improvement in pulmonary function in asthmatic patients after bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2018.
485. Serrano-Pariente J, Plaza V, Soriano JB, et al. Asthma outcomes improve with continuous positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnea. *Allergy* 2017; 72: 802-812.
486. Chan WW, Chiou E, Obstein KL, et al. The efficacy of proton pump inhibitors for the treatment of asthma in adults: a meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2011; 171: 620-629.
487. Kopsaftis Z, Yap HS, Tin KS, et al. Pharmacological and surgical interventions for the treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux in adults and children with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2021; 5: CD001496.
488. Mastrorade JG, Anthonisen NR, Castro M, et al. Efficacy of esomeprazole for treatment of poorly controlled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 1487-1499.
489. Kiljander TO, Harding SM, Field SK, et al. Effects of esomeprazole 40 mg twice daily on asthma: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 173: 1091-1097.
490. Sopo SM, Radzik D, Calvani M. Does treatment with proton pump inhibitors for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) improve asthma symptoms in children with asthma and GERD? A systematic review. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol* 2009; 19: 1-5.
491. Holbrook JT, Wise RA, Gold BD, et al. Lansoprazole for children with poorly controlled asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2012; 307: 373-381.
492. Goodwin RD, Jacobi F, Thefeld W. Mental disorders and asthma in the community. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003; 60: 1125-1130.
493. Ye G, Baldwin DS, Hou R. Anxiety in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2021; 51: 11-20.
494. Lavoie KL, Cartier A, Labrecque M, et al. Are psychiatric disorders associated with worse asthma control and quality of life in asthma patients? *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 1249-1257.
495. Ahmedani BK, Peterson EL, Wells KE, et al. Examining the relationship between depression and asthma exacerbations in a prospective follow-up study. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2013; 75: 305-310.
496. Yorke J, Fleming SL, Shuldham C. Psychological interventions for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009.
497. Parry GD, Cooper CL, Moore JM, et al. Cognitive behavioural intervention for adults with anxiety complications of asthma: prospective randomised trial. *Respiratory medicine* 2012; 106: 802-810.
498. Bock SA, Munoz-Furlong A, Sampson HA. Further fatalities caused by anaphylactic reactions to food, 2001-2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119: 1016-1018.
499. Pumphrey RSH, Gowland MH. Further fatal allergic reactions to food in the United Kingdom, 1999-2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119: 1018-1019.
500. Liu AH, Jaramillo R, Sicherer SH, et al. National prevalence and risk factors for food allergy and relationship to asthma: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-2006. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 126: 798-806.e713.
501. Brożek JL, Bousquet J, Agache I, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines-2016 revision. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017; 140: 950-958.
502. Cruz AA, Popov T, Pawankar R, et al. Common characteristics of upper and lower airways in rhinitis and asthma: ARIA update, in collaboration with GA(2)LEN. *Allergy* 2007; 62 Suppl 84: 1-41.
503. Bousquet J, Schunemann HJ, Samolinski B, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA): achievements in 10 years and future needs. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 130: 1049-1062.
504. Corren J, Manning BE, Thompson SF, et al. Rhinitis therapy and the prevention of hospital care for asthma: a case-control study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 113: 415-419.
505. Lohia S, Schlosser RJ, Soler ZM. Impact of intranasal corticosteroids on asthma outcomes in allergic rhinitis: a meta-analysis. *Allergy* 2013; 68: 569-579.
506. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Mullol J, et al. EPOS 2012: European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. A summary for otorhinolaryngologists. *Rhinology* 2012; 50: 1-12.

507. Tan BK, Chandra RK, Pollak J, et al. Incidence and associated premorbid diagnoses of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 131: 1350-1360.
508. Hamilos DL. Chronic rhinosinusitis: epidemiology and medical management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 693-707.
509. Bachert C, Han JK, Wagenmann M, et al. EUFOREA expert board meeting on uncontrolled severe chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) and biologics: Definitions and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2021; 147: 29-36.
510. Rank M, Mullol J. Chronic rhinosinusitis: Forward! *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2022; 10: 1472-1473.
511. Dixon AE, Castro M, Cohen RI, et al. Efficacy of nasal mometasone for the treatment of chronic sinonasal disease in patients with inadequately controlled asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 135: 701-709.e705.
512. Gevaert P, Omachi TA, Corren J, et al. Efficacy and safety of omalizumab in nasal polyposis: 2 randomized phase 3 trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2020; 146: 595-605.
513. Gevaert P, Van Bruaene N, Cattaert T, et al. Mepolizumab, a humanized anti-IL-5 mAb, as a treatment option for severe nasal polyposis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 989-995.e988.
514. Bachert C, Sousa AR, Lund VJ, et al. Reduced need for surgery in severe nasal polyposis with mepolizumab: Randomized trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017; 140: 1024-1031.e1014.
515. Bachert C, Han JK, Desrosiers M, et al. Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in patients with severe chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (LIBERTY NP SINUS-24 and LIBERTY NP SINUS-52): results from two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trials. *Lancet* 2019; 394: 1638-1650.
516. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. *Nature* 2020; 584: 430-436.
517. Liu S, Cao Y, Du T, et al. Prevalence of comorbid asthma and related outcomes in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; 9: 693-701.
518. Hou H, Xu J, Li Y, et al. The association of asthma with COVID-19 mortality: an updated meta-analysis based on adjusted effect estimates. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; 9: 3944-3968.e3945.
519. Shi T, Pan J, Katikireddi SV, et al. Risk of COVID-19 hospital admission among children aged 5-17 years with asthma in Scotland: a national incident cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022; 10: 191-198.
520. Bloom CI, Drake TM, Docherty AB, et al. Risk of adverse outcomes in patients with underlying respiratory conditions admitted to hospital with COVID-19: a national, multicentre prospective cohort study using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol UK. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9:699-711.
521. Davies GA, Alsallakh MA, Sivakumaran S, et al. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on emergency asthma admissions and deaths: national interrupted time series analyses for Scotland and Wales. *Thorax* 2021; 76: 867-873.
522. International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease strategic plan for lung health 2020–2025. International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease; [cited 2021 October]. Available from: <https://theunion.org/our-work/lung-health-ncds/asthma>.
523. World Health Organization. WHO Model Lists of Essential Medicines. [web page]: WHO; 2021 [cited 2022 April]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/groups/expert-committee-on-selection-and-use-of-essential-medicines/essential-medicines-lists>.
524. Zar HJ, Asmus MJ, Weinberg EG. A 500-ml plastic bottle: an effective spacer for children with asthma. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2002; 13: 217-222.
525. Suissa S, Ernst P. Inhaled corticosteroids: impact on asthma morbidity and mortality. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2001; 107: 937-944.
526. Waljee AK, Rogers MA, Lin P, et al. Short term use of oral corticosteroids and related harms among adults in the United States: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2017; 357: j1415.
527. Babar ZU, Lessing C, Mace C, et al. The availability, pricing and affordability of three essential asthma medicines in 52 low- and middle-income countries. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2013; 31: 1063-1082.
528. Stolbrink M, Thomson H, Hadfield R, et al. The availability, cost and affordability of essential medicines for asthma and COPD in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Lancet* 2022; 10: e1423-e1442.

529. Organization WH. New WHA Resolution to bring much needed boost to diabetes prevention and control efforts. WHO; 2021 [updated 27 May 2021; cited 2021 December]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news/item/27-05-2021-new-wha-resolution-to-bring-much-needed-boost-to-diabetes-prevention-and-control-efforts>.
530. Patton GC, Viner R. Pubertal transitions in health. *Lancet* 2007; 369: 1130-1139.
531. Michaud P-A, Suris JC, Viner R. The adolescent with a chronic condition : epidemiology, developmental issues and health care provision. Geneva: WHO; 2007. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2007/9789241595704_eng.pdf.
532. Roberts G, Vazquez-Ortiz M, Knibb R, et al. EAACI Guidelines on the effective transition of adolescents and young adults with allergy and asthma. *Allergy* 2020; 75: 2734-2752.
533. Carlsen KH, Anderson SD, Bjermer L, et al. Treatment of exercise-induced asthma, respiratory and allergic disorders in sports and the relationship to doping: Part II of the report from the Joint Task Force of European Respiratory Society (ERS) and European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) in cooperation with GA(2)LEN. *Allergy* 2008; 63: 492-505.
534. Gluck JC, Gluck PA. The effect of pregnancy on the course of asthma. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2006; 26: 63-80.
535. Murphy VE, Powell H, Wark PA, et al. A prospective study of respiratory viral infection in pregnant women with and without asthma. *Chest* 2013; 144: 420-427.
536. Robijn AL, Bokern MP, Jensen ME, et al. Risk factors for asthma exacerbations during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir Rev* 2022; 31: 220039.
537. Lim A, Stewart K, Konig K, et al. Systematic review of the safety of regular preventive asthma medications during pregnancy. *Ann Pharmacother* 2011; 45: 931-945.
538. Wendel PJ, Ramin SM, Barnett-Hamm C, et al. Asthma treatment in pregnancy: a randomized controlled study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1996; 175: 150-154.
539. Schatz M, Leibman C. Inhaled corticosteroid use and outcomes in pregnancy. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2005; 95: 234-238.
540. Liu X, Agerbo E, Schlunssen V, et al. Maternal asthma severity and control during pregnancy and risk of offspring asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 141: 886-892 e883.
541. Powell H, Murphy VE, Taylor DR, et al. Management of asthma in pregnancy guided by measurement of fraction of exhaled nitric oxide: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; 378: 983-990.
542. Morten M, Collison A, Murphy VE, et al. Managing Asthma in Pregnancy (MAP) trial: FENO levels and childhood asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 142: 1765-1772.e1764.
543. Lim AS, Stewart K, Abramson MJ, et al. Asthma during pregnancy: the experiences, concerns and views of pregnant women with asthma. *J Asthma* 2012; 49: 474-479.
544. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Asthma and Pregnancy Working Group. NAEPP expert panel report. Managing asthma during pregnancy: recommendations for pharmacologic treatment-2004 update. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 115: 34-46.
545. Lim AS, Stewart K, Abramson MJ, et al. Multidisciplinary Approach to Management of Maternal Asthma (MAMMA): a randomized controlled trial. *Chest* 2014; 145: 1046-1054.
546. Ali Z, Nilas L, Ulrik CS. Determinants of low risk of asthma exacerbation during pregnancy. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2018; 48: 23-28.
547. Pfaller B, José Yepes-Nuñez J, Agache I, et al. Biologicals in atopic disease in pregnancy: An EAACI position paper. *Allergy* 2021; 76: 71-89.
548. Namazy J, Cabana MD, Scheuerle AE, et al. The Xolair Pregnancy Registry (EXPECT): the safety of omalizumab use during pregnancy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 135: 407-412.
549. Nelson-Piercy C. Asthma in pregnancy. *Thorax* 2001; 56: 325-328.
550. McLaughlin K, Foureur M, Jensen ME, et al. Review and appraisal of guidelines for the management of asthma during pregnancy. *Women Birth* 2018; 31: e349-e357.
551. Sanchez-Ramos JL, Pereira-Vega AR, Alvarado-Gomez F, et al. Risk factors for premenstrual asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2017; 11: 57-72.

552. Reed CE. Asthma in the elderly: diagnosis and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 126: 681-687.
553. Gibson PG, McDonald VM, Marks GB. Asthma in older adults. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 803-813.
554. Slavin RG, Haselkorn T, Lee JH, et al. Asthma in older adults: observations from the epidemiology and natural history of asthma: outcomes and treatment regimens (TENOR) study. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2006; 96: 406-414.
555. Çolak Y, Afzal S, Nordestgaard BG, et al. Characteristics and Prognosis of Never-Smokers and Smokers with Asthma in the Copenhagen General Population Study. A Prospective Cohort Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 192: 172-181.
556. Chalitsios CV, McKeever TM, Shaw DE. Incidence of osteoporosis and fragility fractures in asthma: a UK population-based matched cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 57.
557. Vincken W, Dekhuijzen PR, Barnes P, et al. The ADMIT series - Issues in inhalation therapy. 4) How to choose inhaler devices for the treatment of COPD. *Prim Care Respir J* 2010; 19: 10-20.
558. Stevenson DD. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of adverse reactions to aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1984; 74: 617-622.
559. Szczeklik A, Sanak M, Nizankowska-Mogilnicka E, et al. Aspirin intolerance and the cyclooxygenase-leukotriene pathways. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2004; 10: 51-56.
560. Mascia K, Haselkorn T, Deniz YM, et al. Aspirin sensitivity and severity of asthma: evidence for irreversible airway obstruction in patients with severe or difficult-to-treat asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 116: 970-975.
561. Morales DR, Guthrie B, Lipworth BJ, et al. NSAID-exacerbated respiratory disease: a meta-analysis evaluating prevalence, mean provocative dose of aspirin and increased asthma morbidity. *Allergy* 2015; 70: 828-835.
562. Rajan JP, Wineinger NE, Stevenson DD, et al. Prevalence of aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease among asthmatic patients: A meta-analysis of the literature. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 135: 676-681.e671.
563. Nizankowska E, Bestynska-Krypel A, Cmiel A, et al. Oral and bronchial provocation tests with aspirin for diagnosis of aspirin-induced asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 15: 863-869.
564. Szczeklik A, Stevenson DD. Aspirin-induced asthma: advances in pathogenesis and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1999; 104: 5-13.
565. Milewski M, Mastalerz L, Nizankowska E, et al. Nasal provocation test with lysine-aspirin for diagnosis of aspirin-sensitive asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1998; 101: 581-586.
566. El Miedany Y, Youssef S, Ahmed I, et al. Safety of etoricoxib, a specific cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, in asthmatic patients with aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2006; 97: 105-109.
567. Morales DR, Lipworth BJ, Guthrie B, et al. Safety risks for patients with aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease after acute exposure to selective nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and COX-2 inhibitors: Meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 134: 40-45.
568. Dahlen SE, Malmstrom K, Nizankowska E, et al. Improvement of aspirin-intolerant asthma by montelukast, a leukotriene antagonist: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 165: 9-14.
569. Pleskow WW, Stevenson DD, Mathison DA, et al. Aspirin desensitization in aspirin-sensitive asthmatic patients: clinical manifestations and characterization of the refractory period. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1982; 69: 11-19.
570. Swierczynska-Krepa M, Sanak M, Bochenek G, et al. Aspirin desensitization in patients with aspirin-induced and aspirin-tolerant asthma: a double-blind study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 134: 883-890.
571. Chu DK, Lee DJ, Lee KM, et al. Benefits and harms of aspirin desensitization for aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol* 2019; 9: 1409-1419.
572. Agarwal R, Chakrabarti A, Shah A, et al. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: review of literature and proposal of new diagnostic and classification criteria. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 850-873.
573. Agarwal R, Sehgal IS, Dhooria S, et al. Developments in the diagnosis and treatment of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2016; 10: 1317-1334.
574. Agarwal R, Muthu V, Sehgal IS, et al. A randomised trial of prednisolone versus prednisolone and itraconazole in acute-stage allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis complicating asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59: 2101787.
575. Agarwal R, Dhooria S, Singh Sehgal I, et al. A Randomized Trial of Itraconazole vs Prednisolone in Acute-Stage Allergic Bronchopulmonary Aspergillosis Complicating Asthma. *Chest* 2018; 153: 656-664.

576. Voskamp AL, Gillman A, Symons K, et al. Clinical efficacy and immunologic effects of omalizumab in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2015; 3: 192-199.
577. Smetana GW, Lawrence VA, Cornell JE. Preoperative pulmonary risk stratification for noncardiothoracic surgery: systematic review for the American College of Physicians. *Annals Int Med* 2006; 144: 581-595.
578. Woods BD, Sladen RN. Perioperative considerations for the patient with asthma and bronchospasm. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 103 Suppl 1: i57-65.
579. Wakim JH, Sledge KC. Anesthetic implications for patients receiving exogenous corticosteroids. *AANA Journal* 2006; 74: 133-139.
580. Coker RK, Armstrong A, Church AC, et al. BTS Clinical Statement on air travel for passengers with respiratory disease. *Thorax* 2022; 77: 329-350.
581. Hekking P, Wener R, Amelink M, et al. The prevalence of severe refractory asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 135: 896-902.
582. Foster JM, McDonald VM, Guo M, et al. "I have lost in every facet of my life": the hidden burden of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1700765.
583. Ross KR, Gupta R, DeBoer MD, et al. Severe asthma during childhood and adolescence: A longitudinal study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2020; 145: 140-146 e149.
584. O'Neill S, Sweeney J, Patterson CC, et al. The cost of treating severe refractory asthma in the UK: an economic analysis from the British Thoracic Society Difficult Asthma Registry. *Thorax* 2015; 70: 376-378.
585. Sadatsafavi M, Lynd L, Marra C, et al. Direct health care costs associated with asthma in British Columbia. *Can Respir J* 2010; 17: 74-80.
586. Hashimoto S, Bel EH. Current treatment of severe asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2012; 42: 693-705.
587. Hancox RJ, Cowan JO, Flannery EM, et al. Bronchodilator tolerance and rebound bronchoconstriction during regular inhaled beta-agonist treatment. *Respir Med* 2000; 94: 767-771.
588. Paris J, Peterson EL, Wells K, et al. Relationship between recent short-acting beta-agonist use and subsequent asthma exacerbations. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2008; 101: 482-487.
589. Basheti IA, Armour CL, Bosnic-Anticevich SZ, et al. Evaluation of a novel educational strategy, including inhaler-based reminder labels, to improve asthma inhaler technique *Patient Educ Couns* 2008; 72: 26-33.
590. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites - Strongyloides. [web page]: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services; 2018 [updated 31 December 2018; cited 2022 April]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/strongyloides/>.
591. Clark VL, Gibson PG, Genn G, et al. Multidimensional assessment of severe asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respirology* 2017; 22: 1262-1275.
592. Israel E, Reddel HK. Severe and difficult-to-treat asthma in adults. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 377: 965-976.
593. Busse WW, Wenzel SE, Casale TB, et al. Baseline FeNO as a prognostic biomarker for subsequent severe asthma exacerbations in patients with uncontrolled, moderate-to-severe asthma receiving placebo in the LIBERTY ASTHMA QUEST study: a post-hoc analysis. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: 1165-1173.
594. Lugogo NL, Kreindler JL, Martin UJ, et al. Blood eosinophil count group shifts and kinetics in severe eosinophilic asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2020; 125: 171-176.
595. Brusselle GG, Vanderstichele C, Jordens P, et al. Azithromycin for prevention of exacerbations in severe asthma (AZISAST): a multicentre randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Thorax* 2013; 68: 322-329.
596. Gamble J, Stevenson M, McClean E, et al. The prevalence of nonadherence in difficult asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 180: 817-822.
597. McNicholl DM, Stevenson M, McGarvey LP, et al. The utility of fractional exhaled nitric oxide suppression in the identification of nonadherence in difficult asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012; 186: 1102-1108.
598. Bousquet J, Humbert M, Gibson PG, et al. Real-world effectiveness of omalizumab in severe allergic asthma: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; 9: 2702-2714.
599. Brusselle G, Michils A, Louis R, et al. "Real-life" effectiveness of omalizumab in patients with severe persistent allergic asthma: The PERSIST study. *Respir Med* 2009; 103: 1633-1642.

600. Hanania NA, Wenzel S, Rosen K, et al. Exploring the effects of omalizumab in allergic asthma: an analysis of biomarkers in the EXTRA study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 187: 804-811.
601. Casale TB, Chipps BE, Rosen K, et al. Response to omalizumab using patient enrichment criteria from trials of novel biologics in asthma. *Allergy* 2018; 73: 490-497.
602. Humbert M, Taille C, Mala L, et al. Omalizumab effectiveness in patients with severe allergic asthma according to blood eosinophil count: the STELLAIR study. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51.
603. Busse WW. Are peripheral blood eosinophil counts a guideline for omalizumab treatment? STELLAIR says no! *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51: 1800730.
604. Casale TB, Luskin AT, Busse W, et al. Omalizumab effectiveness by biomarker status in patients with asthma: evidence from PROSPERO, a prospective real-world study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2019; 7: 156-164 e151.
605. Normansell R, Walker S, Milan SJ, et al. Omalizumab for asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 1: CD003559.
606. Farne HA, Wilson A, Milan S, et al. Anti-IL-5 therapies for asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022; 7: CD010834.
607. Lemiere C, Taillé C, Lee JK, et al. Impact of baseline clinical asthma characteristics on the response to mepolizumab: a post hoc meta-analysis of two Phase III trials. *Respir Res* 2021; 22: 184.
608. FitzGerald JM, Bleecker ER, Menzies-Gow A, et al. Predictors of enhanced response with benralizumab for patients with severe asthma: pooled analysis of the SIROCCO and CALIMA studies. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 51-64.
609. Bel EH, Wenzel SE, Thompson PJ, et al. Oral glucocorticoid-sparing effect of mepolizumab in eosinophilic asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 371: 1189-1197.
610. Canonica GW, Harrison TW, Chanez P, et al. Benralizumab improves symptoms of patients with severe, eosinophilic asthma with a diagnosis of nasal polyposis. *Allergy* 2022; 77: 150-161.
611. Ortega HG, Yancey SW, Mayer B, et al. Severe eosinophilic asthma treated with mepolizumab stratified by baseline eosinophil thresholds: a secondary analysis of the DREAM and MENSA studies. *The Lancet Respiratory medicine* 2016; 4: 549-556.
612. Brusselle G, Germinaro M, Weiss S, et al. Reslizumab in patients with inadequately controlled late-onset asthma and elevated blood eosinophils. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2017; 43: 39-45.
613. Bleecker ER, Wechsler ME, FitzGerald JM, et al. Baseline patient factors impact on the clinical efficacy of benralizumab for severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 52.
614. Corren J, Castro M, O'Riordan T, et al. Dupilumab efficacy in patients with uncontrolled, moderate-to-severe allergic asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2020; 8: 516-526.
615. Rabe KF, Nair P, Brusselle G, et al. Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in glucocorticoid-dependent severe asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 2475-2485.
616. Sher LD, Wechsler ME, Rabe KF, et al. Dupilumab reduces oral corticosteroid use in patients with corticosteroid-dependent severe asthma: an analysis of the phase 3, open-label extension TRAVERSE trial. *Chest* 2022; 162: 46-55.
617. Bachert C, Mannent L, Naclerio RM, et al. Effect of subcutaneous dupilumab on nasal polyp burden in patients with chronic sinusitis and nasal polyposis: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 469-479.
618. Menzies-Gow A, Corren J, Bourdin A, et al. Tezepelumab in adults and adolescents with severe, uncontrolled asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384: 1800-1809.
619. Wechsler ME, Menzies-Gow A, Brightling CE, et al. Evaluation of the oral corticosteroid-sparing effect of tezepelumab in adults with oral corticosteroid-dependent asthma (SOURCE): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2022; 10: 650-660.
620. Hashimoto S, Brinke AT, Roldaan AC, et al. Internet-based tapering of oral corticosteroids in severe asthma: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *Thorax* 2011; 66: 514-520.
621. Haldar P, Brightling CE, Singapuri A, et al. Outcomes after cessation of mepolizumab therapy in severe eosinophilic asthma: a 12-month follow-up analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 133: 921-923.
622. Ledford D, Busse W, Trzaskoma B, et al. A randomized multicenter study evaluating Xolair persistence of response after long-term therapy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017; 140: 162-169.e162.

623. Moore WC, Kornmann O, Humbert M, et al. Stopping versus continuing long-term mepolizumab treatment in severe eosinophilic asthma (COMET study). *Eur Respir J* 2022; 59.
624. Korn S, Bourdin A, Chupp G, et al. Integrated safety and efficacy among patients receiving benralizumab for up to 5 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; 9: 4381-4392.e4384.
625. Khatri S, Moore W, Gibson PG, et al. Assessment of the long-term safety of mepolizumab and durability of clinical response in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2019; 143: 1742-1751.e1747.
626. Zazzali JL, Raimundo KP, Trzaskoma B, et al. Changes in asthma control, work productivity, and impairment with omalizumab: 5-year EXCELS study results. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 2015; 36: 283-292.
627. Ramnath VR, Clark S, Camargo CA, Jr. Multicenter study of clinical features of sudden-onset versus slower-onset asthma exacerbations requiring hospitalization. *Respir Care* 2007; 52: 1013-1020.
628. Zheng XY, Orellano P, Lin HL, et al. Short-term exposure to ozone, nitrogen dioxide, and sulphur dioxide and emergency department visits and hospital admissions due to asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int* 2021; 150: 106435.
629. Jackson DJ, Johnston SL. The role of viruses in acute exacerbations of asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 125: 1178-1187.
630. Erbas B, Jazayeri M, Lambert KA, et al. Outdoor pollen is a trigger of child and adolescent asthma emergency department presentations: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy* 2018; 73: 1632-1641.
631. Anto JM, Sunyer J, Reed CE, et al. Preventing asthma epidemics due to soybeans by dust-control measures. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329: 1760-1763.
632. Orellano P, Quaranta N, Reynoso J, et al. Effect of outdoor air pollution on asthma exacerbations in children and adults: Systematic review and multilevel meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0174050.
633. Pike KC, Akhbari M, Kneale D, et al. Interventions for autumn exacerbations of asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 3: CD012393.
634. Williams LK, Peterson EL, Wells K, et al. Quantifying the proportion of severe asthma exacerbations attributable to inhaled corticosteroid nonadherence. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 1185-1191.e1182.
635. Andrew E, Nehme Z, Bernard S, et al. Stormy weather: a retrospective analysis of demand for emergency medical services during epidemic thunderstorm asthma. *BMJ* 2017; 359: j5636.
636. Alvarez GG, Schulzer M, Jung D, et al. A systematic review of risk factors associated with near-fatal and fatal asthma. *Can Respir J* 2005; 12: 265-270.
637. Chang YL, Ko HK, Lu MS, et al. Independent risk factors for death in patients admitted for asthma exacerbation in Taiwan. *NPJ Prim Care Respir Med* 2020; 30: 7.
638. Suissa S, Blais L, Ernst P. Patterns of increasing beta-agonist use and the risk of fatal or near- fatal asthma. *Eur Respir J* 1994; 7: 1602-1609.
639. Roberts G, Patel N, Levi-Schaffer F, et al. Food allergy as a risk factor for life-threatening asthma in childhood: a case-controlled study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003; 112: 168-174.
640. Blaiss MS, Nathan RA, Stoloff SW, et al. Patient and physician asthma deterioration terminology: results from the 2009 Asthma Insight and Management survey. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 2012; 33: 47-53.
641. Vincent SD, Toelle BG, Aroni RA, et al. "Exasperations" of asthma. A qualitative study of patient language about worsening asthma. *Med J Aust* 2006; 184: 451-454.
642. FitzGerald JM, Grunfeld A. Status asthmaticus. In: Lichtenstein LM, Fauci AS, editors. *Current therapy in allergy, immunology, and rheumatology*. 5th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 1996. p. p. 63-67.
643. Chan-Yeung M, Chang JH, Manfreda J, et al. Changes in peak flow, symptom score, and the use of medications during acute exacerbations of asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 154: 889-893.
644. Bateman ED, Reddel HK, Eriksson G, et al. Overall asthma control: the relationship between current control and future risk. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 125: 600-608.
645. Kew KM, Flemyng E, Quon BS, et al. Increased versus stable doses of inhaled corticosteroids for exacerbations of chronic asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2022; 9: CD007524.
646. FitzGerald JM, Becker A, Sears MR, et al. Doubling the dose of budesonide versus maintenance treatment in asthma exacerbations. *Thorax* 2004; 59: 550-556.

647. Harrison TW, Osborne J, Newton S, et al. Doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to prevent asthma exacerbations: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004; 363: 271-275.
648. Reddel HK, Barnes DJ. Pharmacological strategies for self-management of asthma exacerbations. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 28: 182-199.
649. Ducharme FM, Lemire C, Noya FJ, et al. Preemptive use of high-dose fluticasone for virus-induced wheezing in young children. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 339-353.
650. Osborne J, Mortimer K, Hubbard RB, et al. Quadrupling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to prevent asthma exacerbations: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009; 180: 598-602.
651. McKeever T, Mortimer K, Wilson A, et al. Quadrupling inhaled glucocorticoid dose to abort asthma exacerbations. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 902-910.
652. Jackson DJ, Bacharier LB, Mauger DT, et al. Quintupling inhaled glucocorticoids to prevent childhood asthma exacerbations. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378: 891-901.
653. Richards RN. Side effects of short-term oral corticosteroids. *J Cutan Med Surg* 2008; 12: 77-81.
654. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Pulse oximeter accuracy and limitations: FDA Safety Communication. FDA; 2022 [updated 7 November 2022; cited 2023 April]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safety-communications/pulse-oximeter-accuracy-and-limitations-fda-safety-communication>.
655. Cates CJ, Welsh EJ, Rowe BH. Holding chambers (spacers) versus nebulisers for beta-agonist treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013.
656. Selroos O. Dry-powder inhalers in acute asthma. *Ther Deliv* 2014; 5: 69-81.
657. Newman KB, Milne S, Hamilton C, et al. A comparison of albuterol administered by metered-dose inhaler and spacer with albuterol by nebulizer in adults presenting to an urban emergency department with acute asthma. *Chest* 2002; 121: 1036-1041.
658. Balanag VM, Yunus F, Yang PC, et al. Efficacy and safety of budesonide/formoterol compared with salbutamol in the treatment of acute asthma. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2006; 19: 139-147.
659. Rodrigo G, Neffen H, Colodenco F, et al. Formoterol for acute asthma in the emergency department: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2010; 104: 247-252.
660. Chien JW, Ciufo R, Novak R, et al. Uncontrolled oxygen administration and respiratory failure in acute asthma. *Chest* 2000; 117: 728-733.
661. Rodrigo GJ, Rodriguez Verde M, Peregalli V, et al. Effects of short-term 28% and 100% oxygen on PaCO₂ and peak expiratory flow rate in acute asthma: a randomized trial. *Chest* 2003; 124: 1312-1317.
662. Perrin K, Wijesinghe M, Healy B, et al. Randomised controlled trial of high concentration versus titrated oxygen therapy in severe exacerbations of asthma. *Thorax* 2011; 66: 937-941.
663. Patel B, Khine H, Shah A, et al. Randomized clinical trial of high concentration versus titrated oxygen use in pediatric asthma. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2019; 54: 970-976.
664. Siemieniuk RAC, Chu DK, Kim LH, et al. Oxygen therapy for acutely ill medical patients: a clinical practice guideline. *BMJ* 2018; 363: k4169.
665. Hasegawa T, Ishihara K, Takakura S, et al. Duration of systemic corticosteroids in the treatment of asthma exacerbation; a randomized study. *Intern Med* 2000; 39: 794-797.
666. Jones AM, Munavvar M, Vail A, et al. Prospective, placebo-controlled trial of 5 vs 10 days of oral prednisolone in acute adult asthma. *Respir Med* 2002; 96: 950-954.
667. Chang AB, Clark R, Sloots TP, et al. A 5- versus 3-day course of oral corticosteroids for children with asthma exacerbations who are not hospitalised: a randomised controlled trial. *Med J Aust* 2008; 189: 306-310.
668. Normansell R, Sayer B, Waterson S, et al. Antibiotics for exacerbations of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 6: CD002741.
669. Leatherman J. Mechanical ventilation for severe asthma. *Chest* 2015; 147: 1671-1680.
670. Shim CS, Williams MH, Jr. Evaluation of the severity of asthma: patients versus physicians. *Am J Med* 1980; 68: 11-13.

671. Atta JA, Nunes MP, Fonseca-Guedes CH, et al. Patient and physician evaluation of the severity of acute asthma exacerbations. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2004; 37: 1321-1330.
672. Geelhoed GC, Landau LI, Le Souef PN. Evaluation of SaO₂ as a predictor of outcome in 280 children presenting with acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1994; 23: 1236-1241.
673. Nowak RM, Tomlanovich MC, Sarkar DD, et al. Arterial blood gases and pulmonary function testing in acute bronchial asthma. Predicting patient outcomes. *JAMA* 1983; 249: 2043-2046.
674. Carruthers DM, Harrison BD. Arterial blood gas analysis or oxygen saturation in the assessment of acute asthma? *Thorax* 1995; 50: 186-188.
675. White CS, Cole RP, Lubetsky HW, et al. Acute asthma. Admission chest radiography in hospitalized adult patients. *Chest* 1991; 100: 14-16.
676. Roback MG, Dreitlein DA. Chest radiograph in the evaluation of first time wheezing episodes: review of current clinical practice and efficacy. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 1998; 14: 181-184.
677. Cates C, FitzGerald JM, O'Byrne PM. Asthma. *Clin Evidence* 2000; 3: 686-700.
678. Hui DS, Chow BK, Chu LC, et al. Exhaled air and aerosolized droplet dispersion during application of a jet nebulizer. *Chest* 2009; 135: 648-654.
679. Travers AH, Milan SJ, Jones AP, et al. Addition of intravenous beta(2)-agonists to inhaled beta(2)-agonists for acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 12: CD010179.
680. Rowe BH, Spooner CH, Ducharme FM, et al. Corticosteroids for preventing relapse following acute exacerbations of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007: CD000195.
681. Kirkland SW, Cross E, Campbell S, et al. Intramuscular versus oral corticosteroids to reduce relapses following discharge from the emergency department for acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 6: CD012629.
682. Edmonds ML, Milan SJ, Camargo CA, Jr., et al. Early use of inhaled corticosteroids in the emergency department treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 12: CD002308.
683. Ratto D, Alfaro C, Sipsey J, et al. Are intravenous corticosteroids required in status asthmaticus? *JAMA* 1988; 260: 527-529.
684. Harrison BD, Stokes TC, Hart GJ, et al. Need for intravenous hydrocortisone in addition to oral prednisolone in patients admitted to hospital with severe asthma without ventilatory failure. *Lancet* 1986; 1: 181-184.
685. Kayani S, Shannon DC. Adverse behavioral effects of treatment for acute exacerbation of asthma in children: a comparison of two doses of oral steroids. *Chest* 2002; 122: 624-628.
686. Keeney GE, Gray MP, Morrison AK, et al. Dexamethasone for acute asthma exacerbations in children: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014; 133: 493-499.
687. Kravitz J, Dominici P, Ufberg J, et al. Two days of dexamethasone versus 5 days of prednisone in the treatment of acute asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Emerg Med* 2011; 58: 200-204.
688. Cronin JJ, McCoy S, Kennedy U, et al. A randomized trial of single-dose oral dexamethasone versus multidose prednisolone for acute exacerbations of asthma in children who attend the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 2016; 67: 593-601.e593.
689. O'Driscoll BR, Kalra S, Wilson M, et al. Double-blind trial of steroid tapering in acute asthma. *Lancet* 1993; 341: 324-327.
690. Lederle FA, Pluhar RE, Joseph AM, et al. Tapering of corticosteroid therapy following exacerbation of asthma. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med* 1987; 147: 2201-2203.
691. Kearns N, Maijers I, Harper J, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids in acute asthma: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2020; 8: 605-617 e606.
692. Li CY, Liu Z. Effect of budesonide on hospitalization rates among children with acute asthma attending paediatric emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Pediatr* 2021; 17: 152-163.
693. Edmonds ML, Milan SJ, Brenner BE, et al. Inhaled steroids for acute asthma following emergency department discharge. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 12: CD002316.
694. Kirkland SW, Vandenberghe C, Voaklander B, et al. Combined inhaled beta-agonist and anticholinergic agents for emergency management in adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 1: CD001284.

695. Craig SS, Dalziel SR, Powell CV, et al. Interventions for escalation of therapy for acute exacerbations of asthma in children: an overview of Cochrane Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2020; 8: CD012977.
696. Rodrigo GJ, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Anticholinergics in the treatment of children and adults with acute asthma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2005; 60: 740-746.
697. Nair P, Milan SJ, Rowe BH. Addition of intravenous aminophylline to inhaled beta(2)-agonists in adults with acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 12: CD002742.
698. Rowe BH, Bretzlaff JA, Bourdon C, et al. Magnesium sulfate for treating exacerbations of acute asthma in the emergency department. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000; 2.
699. FitzGerald JM. Magnesium sulfate is effective for severe acute asthma treated in the emergency department. *West J Med* 2000; 172: 96.
700. Gallegos-Solorzano MC, Perez-Padilla R, Hernandez-Zenteno RJ. Usefulness of inhaled magnesium sulfate in the coadjuvant management of severe asthma crisis in an emergency department. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2010; 23: 432-437.
701. Goodacre S, Cohen J, Bradburn M, et al. Intravenous or nebulised magnesium sulphate versus standard therapy for severe acute asthma (3Mg trial): a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1: 293-300.
702. Griffiths B, Kew KM. Intravenous magnesium sulfate for treating children with acute asthma in the emergency department. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 4: CD011050.
703. Knightly R, Milan SJ, Hughes R, et al. Inhaled magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 11: CD003898.
704. Turker S, Dogru M, Yildiz F, et al. The effect of nebulised magnesium sulphate in the management of childhood moderate asthma exacerbations as adjuvant treatment. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2017; 45: 115-120.
705. Rodrigo GJ, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Heliox-driven beta2-agonists nebulization for children and adults with acute asthma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2014; 112: 29-34.
706. Ramsay CF, Pearson D, Mildenhall S, et al. Oral montelukast in acute asthma exacerbations: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Thorax* 2011; 66: 7-11.
707. Watts K, Chavasse RJ. Leukotriene receptor antagonists in addition to usual care for acute asthma in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 5: CD006100.
708. Lim WJ, Mohammed Akram R, Carson KV, et al. Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation for treatment of respiratory failure due to severe acute exacerbations of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 12: CD004360.
709. Joseph KS, Blais L, Ernst P, et al. Increased morbidity and mortality related to asthma among asthmatic patients who use major tranquillizers. *BMJ* 1996; 312: 79-82.
710. FitzGerald JM, Macklem P. Fatal asthma. *Annu Rev Med* 1996; 47: 161-168.
711. Kelly A-M, Kerr D, Powell C. Is severity assessment after one hour of treatment better for predicting the need for admission in acute asthma? *Respir Med* 2004; 98: 777-781.
712. Wilson MM, Irwin RS, Connolly AE, et al. A prospective evaluation of the 1-hour decision point for admission versus discharge in acute asthma. *J Intensive Care Med* 2003; 18: 275-285.
713. Grunfeld A, FitzGerald J. Discharge considerations for adult asthmatic patients treated in emergency departments. *Can Respir J* 1996; 3: 322-327.
714. Pollack CV, Jr., Pollack ES, Baren JM, et al. A prospective multicenter study of patient factors associated with hospital admission from the emergency department among children with acute asthma. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002; 156: 934-940.
715. Rowe BH, Villa-Roel C, Abu-Laban RB, et al. Admissions to Canadian hospitals for acute asthma: a prospective, multicentre study. *Can Respir J* 2010; 17: 25-30.
716. Weber EJ, Silverman RA, Callahan ML, et al. A prospective multicenter study of factors associated with hospital admission among adults with acute asthma. *Am J Med* 2002; 113: 371-378.
717. Kirkland SW, Vandermeer B, Campbell S, et al. Evaluating the effectiveness of systemic corticosteroids to mitigate relapse in children assessed and treated for acute asthma: A network meta-analysis. *J Asthma* 2018: 1-12.
718. Cockcroft DW, McParland CP, Britto SA, et al. Regular inhaled salbutamol and airway responsiveness to allergen. *Lancet* 1993; 342: 833-837.

719. Cowie RL, Revitt SG, Underwood MF, et al. The effect of a peak flow-based action plan in the prevention of exacerbations of asthma. *Chest* 1997; 112: 1534-1538.
720. Ducharme FM, Zemek RL, Chalut D, et al. Written action plan in pediatric emergency room improves asthma prescribing, adherence, and control. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011; 183: 195-203.
721. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global Strategy for Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD. 2021 Report. Fontana, WI, USA: GOLD; 2021 [For GOLD 2023 see reference 59].
722. Postma DS, Rabe KF. The Asthma-COPD Overlap Syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 373: 1241-1249.
723. Nelson HS, Weiss ST, Bleecker ER, et al. The Salmeterol Multicenter Asthma Research Trial: a comparison of usual pharmacotherapy for asthma or usual pharmacotherapy plus salmeterol. *Chest* 2006; 129: 15-26.
724. McMahon AW, Levenson MS, McEvoy BW, et al. Age and risks of FDA-approved long-acting 2-adrenergic receptor agonists. *Pediatrics* 2011; 128: e1147-1154.
725. Gershon AS, Campitelli MA, Croxford R, et al. Combination long-acting β -agonists and inhaled corticosteroids compared with long-acting β -agonists alone in older adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *JAMA* 2014; 312: 1114-1121.
726. Suissa S, Ernst P. Observational studies of inhaled corticosteroid effectiveness in COPD: Lessons learned. *Chest* 2018; 154: 257-265.
727. Kendzerska T, Aaron SD, To T, et al. Effectiveness and safety of inhaled corticosteroids in older individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and/or asthma. A population study. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2019; 16: 1252-1262.
728. Vonk JM, Jongepier H, Panhuysen CIM, et al. Risk factors associated with the presence of irreversible airflow limitation and reduced transfer coefficient in patients with asthma after 26 years of follow up. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 322-327.
729. Lange P, Celli B, Agusti A, et al. Lung-function trajectories leading to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 373: 111-122.
730. Abramson MJ, Schattner RL, Sulaiman ND, et al. Accuracy of asthma and COPD diagnosis in Australian general practice: a mixed methods study. *Prim Care Respir J* 2012; 21: 167-173.
731. Gibson PG, Simpson JL. The overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD: what are its features and how important is it? *Thorax* 2009; 64: 728-735.
732. Mannino DM, Gagnon RC, Petty TL, et al. Obstructive lung disease and low lung function in adults in the United States: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. *Arch Int Med* 2000; 160: 1683-1689.
733. Marsh SE, Travers J, Weatherall M, et al. Proportional classifications of COPD phenotypes. *Thorax* 2008; 63: 761-767.
734. Shirtcliffe P, Marsh S, Travers J, et al. Childhood asthma and GOLD-defined chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int Med J* 2012; 42: 83-88.
735. Guerra S, Sherrill DL, Kurzius-Spencer M, et al. The course of persistent airflow limitation in subjects with and without asthma. *Respir Med* 2008; 102: 1473-1482.
736. Silva GE, Sherrill DL, Guerra S, et al. Asthma as a risk factor for COPD in a longitudinal study. *Chest* 2004; 126: 59-65.
737. van Schayck CP, Levy ML, Chen JC, et al. Coordinated diagnostic approach for adult obstructive lung disease in primary care. *Prim Care Respir J* 2004; 13: 218-221.
738. Zeki AA, Schivo M, Chan A, et al. The asthma-COPD overlap syndrome: a common clinical problem in the elderly. *J Allergy (Cairo)* 2011; 2011: 861926.
739. Kendzerska T, Sadatsafavi M, Aaron SD, et al. Concurrent physician-diagnosed asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A population study of prevalence, incidence and mortality. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0173830.
740. Kauppi P, Kupiainen H, Lindqvist A, et al. Overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD predicts low quality of life. *J Asthma* 2011; 48: 279-285.
741. Weatherall M, Travers J, Shirtcliffe PM, et al. Distinct clinical phenotypes of airways disease defined by cluster analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2009; 34: 812-818.
742. Inoue H, Nagase T, Morita S, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of asthma-COPD overlap syndrome identified by a stepwise approach. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2017; 12: 1803-1810.

743. Uchida A, Sakaue K, Inoue H. Epidemiology of asthma-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap (ACO). *Allergol Int* 2018; 67: 165-171.
744. Krishnan JA, Nibber A, Chisholm A, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of Asthma-Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Overlap in routine primary care practices. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2019; 16: 1143-1150.
745. Barrecheuren M, Pinto L, Mostafavi-Pour-Manshadi SM, et al. Identification and definition of asthma-COPD overlap: The CanCOLD study. *Respirology* 2020; 25: 836-849.
746. Andersen H, Lampela P, Nevanlinna A, et al. High hospital burden in overlap syndrome of asthma and COPD. *Clin Respir J* 2013; 7: 342-346.
747. Kew KM, Seniukovich A. Inhaled steroids and risk of pneumonia for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 3: CD010115.
748. Suissa S, Patenaude V, Lapi F, et al. Inhaled corticosteroids in COPD and the risk of serious pneumonia. *Thorax* 2013; 68: 1029-1036.
749. Louie S, Zeki AA, Schivo M, et al. The asthma-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap syndrome: pharmacotherapeutic considerations. *Exp Rev Respir Pharmacol* 2013; 6: 197-219.
750. Masoli M, Fabian D, Holt S, et al. The global burden of asthma: executive summary of the GINA Dissemination Committee report. *Allergy* 2004; 59: 469-478.
751. Simpson CR, Sheikh A. Trends in the epidemiology of asthma in England: a national study of 333,294 patients. *J Royal Soc Med* 2010; 103: 98-106.
752. Bisgaard H, Szeffler S. Prevalence of asthma-like symptoms in young children. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2007; 42: 723-728.
753. Kuehni CE, Strippoli MP, Low N, et al. Wheeze and asthma prevalence and related health-service use in white and south Asian pre-schoolchildren in the United Kingdom. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2007; 37: 1738-1746.
754. Martinez FD, Wright AL, Taussig LM, et al. Asthma and wheezing in the first six years of life. The Group Health Medical Associates. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 332: 133-138.
755. Sly PD, Boner AL, Björkstén B, et al. Early identification of atopy in the prediction of persistent asthma in children. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 1100-1106.
756. Heikkinen T, Jarvinen A. The common cold. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 51-59.
757. Caudri D, Wijga A, CM AS, et al. Predicting the long-term prognosis of children with symptoms suggestive of asthma at preschool age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 124: 903-910 e901-907.
758. Brand PL, Baraldi E, Bisgaard H, et al. Definition, assessment and treatment of wheezing disorders in preschool children: an evidence-based approach. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 32: 1096-1110.
759. Belgrave DCM, Simpson A, Semic-Jusufagic A, et al. Joint modeling of parentally reported and physician-confirmed wheeze identifies children with persistent troublesome wheezing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; 132: 575-583.e512.
760. Savenije OE, Kerkhof M, Koppelman GH, et al. Predicting who will have asthma at school age among preschool children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 130: 325-331.
761. Fitzpatrick AM, Bacharier LB, Guilbert TW, et al. Phenotypes of recurrent wheezing in preschool children: identification by latent class analysis and utility in prediction of future exacerbation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2019; 7: 915-924 e917.
762. Doherty G, Bush A. Diagnosing respiratory problems in young children. *The Practitioner* 2007; 251: 20, 22-25.
763. Pedersen S. Preschool asthma--not so easy to diagnose. *Prim Care Respir J* 2007; 16: 4-6.
764. Brand PL, Caudri D, Eber E, et al. Classification and pharmacological treatment of preschool wheezing: changes since 2008. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43: 1172-1177.
765. Cano Garcinuno A, Mora Gandarillas I, Group SS. Early patterns of wheezing in asthmatic and nonasthmatic children. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 42: 1020-1028.
766. Just J, Saint-Pierre P, Gouvis-Echraghi R, et al. Wheeze phenotypes in young children have different courses during the preschool period. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2013; 111: 256-261.e251.
767. Mellis C. Respiratory noises: how useful are they clinically? *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2009; 56: 1-17, ix.

768. Saglani S, McKenzie SA, Bush A, et al. A video questionnaire identifies upper airway abnormalities in preschool children with reported wheeze. *Arch Dis Child* 2005; 90: 961-964.
769. Oren E, Rothers J, Stern DA, et al. Cough during infancy and subsequent childhood asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2015; 45: 1439-1446.
770. Azad MB, Chan-Yeung M, Chan ES, et al. Wheezing patterns in early childhood and the risk of respiratory and allergic disease in adolescence. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016; 170: 393-395.
771. Van Der Heijden HH, Brouwer ML, Hoekstra F, et al. Reference values of exhaled nitric oxide in healthy children 1-5 years using off-line tidal breathing. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2014; 49: 291-295.
772. Singer F, Luchsinger I, Inci D, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide in symptomatic children at preschool age predicts later asthma. *Allergy* 2013; 68: 531-538.
773. Caudri D, Wijga AH, Hoekstra MO, et al. Prediction of asthma in symptomatic preschool children using exhaled nitric oxide, Rint and specific IgE. *Thorax* 2010; 65: 801-807.
774. Castro-Rodríguez JA, Holberg CJ, Wright AL, et al. A clinical index to define risk of asthma in young children with recurrent wheezing. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162: 1403-1406.
775. Pescatore AM, Dogaru CM, Duembgen L, et al. A simple asthma prediction tool for preschool children with wheeze or cough. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 133: 111-118.e111-113.
776. Colicino S, Munblit D, Minelli C, et al. Validation of childhood asthma predictive tools: A systematic review. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2019; 49: 410-418.
777. Bacharier LB. The recurrently wheezing preschool child-benign or asthma in the making? *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2015; 115: 463-470.
778. Murray CS, Poletti G, Keadze T, et al. Study of modifiable risk factors for asthma exacerbations: virus infection and allergen exposure increase the risk of asthma hospital admissions in children. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 376-382.
779. Guilbert TW, Morgan WJ, Zeiger RS, et al. Long-term inhaled corticosteroids in preschool children at high risk for asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 1985-1997.
780. Bisgaard H, Allen D, Milanowski J, et al. Twelve-month safety and efficacy of inhaled fluticasone propionate in children aged 1 to 3 years with recurrent wheezing. *Pediatrics* 2004; 113: e87-94.
781. Fitzpatrick AM, Jackson DJ, Mauger DT, et al. Individualized therapy for persistent asthma in young children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2016; 138: 1608-1618.e1612.
782. Kelly HW, Sternberg AL, Lescher R, et al. Effect of inhaled glucocorticoids in childhood on adult height. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 904-912.
783. Gadomski AM, Scribani MB. Bronchodilators for bronchiolitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 6: CD001266.
784. Bisgaard H, Hermansen MN, Loland L, et al. Intermittent inhaled corticosteroids in infants with episodic wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 1998-2005.
785. Wilson NM, Silverman M. Treatment of acute, episodic asthma in preschool children using intermittent high dose inhaled steroids at home. *Arch Dis Child* 1990; 65: 407-410.
786. Nielsen KG, Bisgaard H. The effect of inhaled budesonide on symptoms, lung function, and cold air and methacholine responsiveness in 2- to 5-year-old asthmatic children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162: 1500-1506.
787. Szeffler SJ, Baker JW, Uryniak T, et al. Comparative study of budesonide inhalation suspension and montelukast in young children with mild persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: 1043-1050.
788. Kaiser SV, Huynh T, Bacharier LB, et al. Preventing exacerbations in preschoolers with recurrent wheeze: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2016; 137.
789. Knorr B, Franchi LM, Bisgaard H, et al. Montelukast, a leukotriene receptor antagonist, for the treatment of persistent asthma in children aged 2 to 5 years. *Pediatrics* 2001; 108: E48.
790. Brodli M, Gupta A, Rodriguez-Martinez CE, et al. Leukotriene receptor antagonists as maintenance and intermittent therapy for episodic viral wheeze in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015: Cd008202.
791. Castro-Rodríguez JA, Rodriguez-Martinez CE, Ducharme FM. Daily inhaled corticosteroids or montelukast for preschoolers with asthma or recurrent wheezing: A systematic review. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2018; 53: 1670-1677.
792. Papi A, Nicolini G, Baraldi E, et al. Regular vs prn nebulized treatment in wheeze preschool children. *Allergy* 2009; 64: 1463-1471.

793. Zeiger RS, Mauger D, Bacharier LB, et al. Daily or intermittent budesonide in preschool children with recurrent wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 1990-2001.
794. Yoshihara S, Tsubaki T, Ikeda M, et al. The efficacy and safety of fluticasone/salmeterol compared to fluticasone in children younger than four years of age. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2019; 30: 195-203.
795. Piippo-Savolainen E, Remes S, Kannisto S, et al. Asthma and lung function 20 years after wheezing in infancy: results from a prospective follow-up study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2004; 158: 1070-1076.
796. Wennergren G, Hansson S, Engstrom I, et al. Characteristics and prognosis of hospital-treated obstructive bronchitis in children aged less than two years. *Acta Paediatrica* 1992; 81: 40-45.
797. Goksor E, Amark M, Alm B, et al. Asthma symptoms in early childhood--what happens then? *Acta Paediatrica* 2006; 95: 471-478.
798. Castro-Rodriguez JA, Rodrigo GJ. Beta-agonists through metered-dose inhaler with valved holding chamber versus nebulizer for acute exacerbation of wheezing or asthma in children under 5 years of age: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2004; 145: 172-177.
799. Zemek RL, Bhogal SK, Ducharme FM. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials examining written action plans in children: what is the plan? *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2008; 162: 157-163.
800. Swern AS, Tozzi CA, Knorr B, et al. Predicting an asthma exacerbation in children 2 to 5 years of age. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2008; 101: 626-630.
801. Brunette MG, Lands L, Thibodeau LP. Childhood asthma: prevention of attacks with short-term corticosteroid treatment of upper respiratory tract infection. *Pediatrics* 1988; 81: 624-629.
802. Fox GF, Marsh MJ, Milner AD. Treatment of recurrent acute wheezing episodes in infancy with oral salbutamol and prednisolone. *Eur J Pediatr* 1996; 155: 512-516.
803. Grant CC, Duggan AK, DeAngelis C. Independent parental administration of prednisone in acute asthma: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *Pediatrics* 1995; 96: 224-229.
804. Oommen A, Lambert PC, Grigg J. Efficacy of a short course of parent-initiated oral prednisolone for viral wheeze in children aged 1-5 years: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003; 362: 1433-1438.
805. Vuillermin P, South M, Robertson C. Parent-initiated oral corticosteroid therapy for intermittent wheezing illnesses in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006: CD005311.
806. Robertson CF, Price D, Henry R, et al. Short-course montelukast for intermittent asthma in children: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 175: 323-329.
807. Bacharier LB, Phillips BR, Zeiger RS, et al. Episodic use of an inhaled corticosteroid or leukotriene receptor antagonist in preschool children with moderate-to-severe intermittent wheezing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 122: 1127-1135 e1128.
808. Gouin S, Robidas I, Gravel J, et al. Prospective evaluation of two clinical scores for acute asthma in children 18 months to 7 years of age. *Acad Emerg Med* 2010; 17: 598-603.
809. Pollock M, Sinha IP, Hartling L, et al. Inhaled short-acting bronchodilators for managing emergency childhood asthma: an overview of reviews. *Allergy* 2017; 72: 183-200.
810. Powell C, Kolamunnage-Dona R, Lowe J, et al. Magnesium sulphate in acute severe asthma in children (MAGNETIC): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1: 301-308.
811. Pruikkonen H, Tapiainen T, Kallio M, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulfate for acute wheezing in young children: a randomised double-blind trial. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 51.
812. Fuglsang G, Pedersen S, Borgstrom L. Dose-response relationships of intravenously administered terbutaline in children with asthma. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1989; 114: 315-320.
813. Connett G, Lenney W. Prevention of viral induced asthma attacks using inhaled budesonide. *Arch Dis Child* 1993; 68: 85-87.
814. Svedmyr J, Nyberg E, Thunqvist P, et al. Prophylactic intermittent treatment with inhaled corticosteroids of asthma exacerbations due to airway infections in toddlers. *Acta Paediatr* 1999; 88: 42-47.
815. Cai KJ, Su SQ, Wang YG, et al. Dexamethasone versus prednisone or prednisolone for acute pediatric asthma exacerbations in the emergency department: a meta-analysis. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2020.

816. Garrett J, Williams S, Wong C, et al. Treatment of acute asthmatic exacerbations with an increased dose of inhaled steroid. *Arch Dis Child* 1998; 79: 12-17.
817. Rowe BH, Spooner C, Ducharme FM, et al. Early emergency department treatment of acute asthma with systemic corticosteroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001: CD002178.
818. Panickar J, Lakhanpaul M, Lambert PC, et al. Oral prednisolone for preschool children with acute virus-induced wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 329-338.
819. Webb MS, Henry RL, Milner AD. Oral corticosteroids for wheezing attacks under 18 months. *Arch Dis Child* 1986; 61: 15-19.
820. Castro-Rodriguez JA, Beckhaus AA, Forno E. Efficacy of oral corticosteroids in the treatment of acute wheezing episodes in asthmatic preschoolers: Systematic review with meta-analysis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2016; 51: 868-876.
821. Bunyavanich S, Rifas-Shiman SL, Platts-Mills TA, et al. Peanut, milk, and wheat intake during pregnancy is associated with reduced allergy and asthma in children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 133: 1373-1382.
822. Maslova E, Granstrom C, Hansen S, et al. Peanut and tree nut consumption during pregnancy and allergic disease in children-should mothers decrease their intake? Longitudinal evidence from the Danish National Birth Cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 130: 724-732.
823. Maslova E, Strom M, Oken E, et al. Fish intake during pregnancy and the risk of child asthma and allergic rhinitis - longitudinal evidence from the Danish National Birth Cohort. *Br J Nutr* 2013; 110: 1313-1325.
824. Best KP, Gold M, Kennedy D, et al. Omega-3 long-chain PUFA intake during pregnancy and allergic disease outcomes in the offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies and randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016; 103: 128-143.
825. Best KP, Sullivan T, Palmer D, et al. Prenatal fish oil supplementation and allergy: 6-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2016; 137.
826. Hansen S, Strom M, Maslova E, et al. Fish oil supplementation during pregnancy and allergic respiratory disease in the adult offspring. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017; 139: 104-111.e104.
827. Best KP, Sullivan TR, Palmer DJ, et al. Prenatal omega-3 LCPUFA and symptoms of allergic disease and sensitization throughout early childhood - a longitudinal analysis of long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *World Allergy Organ J* 2018; 11: 10.
828. Forno E, Young OM, Kumar R, et al. Maternal obesity in pregnancy, gestational weight gain, and risk of childhood asthma. *Pediatrics* 2014; 134: e535-546.
829. Brozek JL, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani CE, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010; 126: 466-476.
830. Chan-Yeung M, Becker A. Primary prevention of childhood asthma and allergic disorders. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 6: 146-151.
831. Greer FR, Sicherer SH, Burks AW. The effects of early nutritional interventions on the development of atopic disease in infants and children: The role of maternal dietary restriction, breastfeeding, hydrolyzed formulas, and timing of introduction of allergenic complementary foods. *Pediatrics* 2019; 143.
832. Nurmatov U, Devereux G, Sheikh A. Nutrients and foods for the primary prevention of asthma and allergy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 127: 724-733.e721-730.
833. Chawes BL, Bonnelykke K, Stokholm J, et al. Effect of vitamin D3 supplementation during pregnancy on risk of persistent wheeze in the offspring: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 353-361.
834. Litonjua AA, Carey VJ, Laranjo N, et al. Effect of Prenatal Supplementation With Vitamin D on Asthma or Recurrent Wheezing in Offspring by Age 3 Years: The VDAART Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 362-370.
835. Wolsk HM, Harshfield BJ, Laranjo N, et al. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy, prenatal 25(OH)D levels, race, and subsequent asthma or recurrent wheeze in offspring: Secondary analyses from the Vitamin D Antenatal Asthma Reduction Trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2017; 140: 1423-1429.e1425.
836. Litonjua AA, Carey VJ, Laranjo N, et al. Six-year follow-up of a trial of antenatal vitamin D for asthma reduction. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 382: 525-533.

837. Stratakis N, Roumeliotaki T, Oken E, et al. Fish and seafood consumption during pregnancy and the risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis in childhood: a pooled analysis of 18 European and US birth cohorts. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017; 46: 1465-1477.
838. Bisgaard H, Stokholm J, Chawes BL, et al. Fish oil-derived fatty acids in pregnancy and wheeze and asthma in offspring. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375: 2530-2539.
839. Azad MB, Coneys JG, Kozyrskyj AL, et al. Probiotic supplementation during pregnancy or infancy for the prevention of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2013; 347: f6471.
840. Celedon JC, Milton DK, Ramsey CD, et al. Exposure to dust mite allergen and endotoxin in early life and asthma and atopy in childhood. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: 144-149.
841. Lodge CJ, Lowe AJ, Gurrin LC, et al. House dust mite sensitization in toddlers predicts current wheeze at age 12 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 128: 782-788.e789.
842. Custovic A, Simpson BM, Simpson A, et al. Effect of environmental manipulation in pregnancy and early life on respiratory symptoms and atopy during first year of life: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 188-193.
843. Perzanowski MS, Chew GL, Divjan A, et al. Cat ownership is a risk factor for the development of anti-cat IgE but not current wheeze at age 5 years in an inner-city cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008; 121: 1047-1052.
844. Melen E, Wickman M, Nordvall SL, et al. Influence of early and current environmental exposure factors on sensitization and outcome of asthma in pre-school children. *Allergy* 2001; 56: 646-652.
845. Takkouche B, Gonzalez-Barcala FJ, Etminan M, et al. Exposure to furry pets and the risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis: a meta-analysis. *Allergy* 2008; 63: 857-864.
846. Bufford JD, Gern JE. Early exposure to pets: good or bad? *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2007; 7: 375-382.
847. Ownby DR, Johnson CC, Peterson EL. Exposure to dogs and cats in the first year of life and risk of allergic sensitization at 6 to 7 years of age. *JAMA* 2002; 288: 963-972.
848. Lodrup Carlsen KC, Roll S, Carlsen KH, et al. Does pet ownership in infancy lead to asthma or allergy at school age? Pooled analysis of individual participant data from 11 European birth cohorts. *PloS one* 2012; 7: e43214.
849. Pinot de Moira A, Strandberg-Larsen K, Bishop T, et al. Associations of early-life pet ownership with asthma and allergic sensitization: A meta-analysis of more than 77,000 children from the EU Child Cohort Network. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2022; 150: 82-92.
850. Quansah R, Jaakkola MS, Hugg TT, et al. Residential dampness and molds and the risk of developing asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2012; 7: e47526.
851. Arshad SH, Bateman B, Matthews SM. Primary prevention of asthma and atopy during childhood by allergen avoidance in infancy: a randomised controlled study. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 489-493.
852. Becker A, Watson W, Ferguson A, et al. The Canadian asthma primary prevention study: outcomes at 2 years of age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 113: 650-656.
853. Schonberger HJAM, Dompeling E, Knottnerus JA, et al. The PREVASC study: the clinical effect of a multifaceted educational intervention to prevent childhood asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 25: 660-670.
854. van Schayck OCP, Maas T, Kaper J, et al. Is there any role for allergen avoidance in the primary prevention of childhood asthma? *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 119: 1323-1328.
855. Chan-Yeung M, Ferguson A, Watson W, et al. The Canadian Childhood Asthma Primary Prevention Study: outcomes at 7 years of age. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 116: 49-55.
856. Scott M, Roberts G, Kurukulaaratchy RJ, et al. Multifaceted allergen avoidance during infancy reduces asthma during childhood with the effect persisting until age 18 years. *Thorax* 2012; 67: 1046-1051.
857. Valovirta E, Petersen TH, Piotrowska T, et al. Results from the 5-year SQ grass sublingual immunotherapy tablet asthma prevention (GAP) trial in children with grass pollen allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 141: 529-538.e513.
858. Wongtrakool C, Wang N, Hyde DM, et al. Prenatal nicotine exposure alters lung function and airway geometry through 7 nicotinic receptors. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2012; 46: 695-702.
859. Burke H, Leonardi-Bee J, Hashim A, et al. Prenatal and passive smoke exposure and incidence of asthma and wheeze: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2012; 129: 735-744.
860. Bowatte G, Lodge C, Lowe AJ, et al. The influence of childhood traffic-related air pollution exposure on asthma, allergy and sensitization: a systematic review and a meta-analysis of birth cohort studies. *Allergy* 2015; 70: 245-256.

861. Khreis H, Kelly C, Tate J, et al. Exposure to traffic-related air pollution and risk of development of childhood asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int* 2017; 100: 1-31.
862. Achakulwisut P, Brauer M, Hystad P, et al. Global, national, and urban burdens of paediatric asthma incidence attributable to ambient NO₂ pollution: estimates from global datasets. *Lancet Planet Health* 2019; 3: e166-e178.
863. Hehua Z, Qing C, Shanyan G, et al. The impact of prenatal exposure to air pollution on childhood wheezing and asthma: A systematic review. *Environ Res* 2017; 159: 519-530.
864. Haahtela T, Holgate S, Pawankar R, et al. The biodiversity hypothesis and allergic disease: world allergy organization position statement. *World Allergy Organ* 2013; 6: 3.
865. Riedler J, Braun-Fahrlander C, Eder W, et al. Exposure to farming in early life and development of asthma and allergy: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 1129-1133.
866. Braun-Fahrlander C, Riedler J, Herz U, et al. Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma in school-age children. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 869-877.
867. Karvonen AM, Hyvarinen A, Gehring U, et al. Exposure to microbial agents in house dust and wheezing, atopic dermatitis and atopic sensitization in early childhood: a birth cohort study in rural areas. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2012; 42: 1246-1256.
868. Huang L, Chen Q, Zhao Y, et al. Is elective cesarean section associated with a higher risk of asthma? A meta-analysis. *J Asthma* 2015; 52: 16-25.
869. Keag OE, Norman JE, Stock SJ. Long-term risks and benefits associated with cesarean delivery for mother, baby, and subsequent pregnancies: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2018; 15: e1002494.
870. Azad MB, Konya T, Maughan H, et al. Gut microbiota of healthy Canadian infants: profiles by mode of delivery and infant diet at 4 months. *CMAJ* 2013; 185: 385-394.
871. Blanken MO, Rovers MM, Molenaar JM, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus and recurrent wheeze in healthy preterm infants. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 368: 1791-1799.
872. Scheltema NM, Nibbelke EE, Pouw J, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus prevention and asthma in healthy preterm infants: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6: 257-264.
873. Baron R, Taye M, der Vaart IB, et al. The relationship of prenatal antibiotic exposure and infant antibiotic administration with childhood allergies: a systematic review. *BMC Pediatr* 2020; 20: 312.
874. Celedon JC, Fuhlbrigge A, Rifas-Shiman S, et al. Antibiotic use in the first year of life and asthma in early childhood. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2004; 34: 1011-1016.
875. Cheelo M, Lodge CJ, Dharmage SC, et al. Paracetamol exposure in pregnancy and early childhood and development of childhood asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Dis Child* 2015; 100: 81-89.
876. Evers S, Weatherall M, Jefferies S, et al. Paracetamol in pregnancy and the risk of wheezing in offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2011; 41: 482-489.
877. Flanigan C, Sheikh A, DunnGalvin A, et al. Prenatal maternal psychosocial stress and offspring's asthma and allergic disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2018; 48: 403-414.
878. Kozyrskyj AL, Mai XM, McGrath P, et al. Continued exposure to maternal distress in early life is associated with an increased risk of childhood asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 177: 142-147.
879. Xu S, Gilliland FD, Conti DV. Elucidation of causal direction between asthma and obesity: a bi-directional Mendelian randomization study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2019.
880. Sun YQ, Brumpton BM, Langhammer A, et al. Adiposity and asthma in adults: a bidirectional Mendelian randomisation analysis of The HUNT Study. *Thorax* 2020; 75: 202-208.
881. Beasley R, Semprini A, Mitchell EA. Risk factors for asthma: is prevention possible? *Lancet* 2015; 386: 1075-1085.
882. Burgers J, Eccles M. Clinical guidelines as a tool for implementing change in patient care. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2005.
883. Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, et al. Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ* 1999; 318: 527-530.
884. The ADAPTE Collaboration. The ADAPTE process: resource toolkit for guideline adaptation. Version 2.0: Guideline International Network; 2009. Available from: <https://g-i-n.net/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/ADAPTE-Resource-toolkit-March-2010.pdf>.

885. Brouwers MC, Kho ME, Browman GP, et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. *CMAJ* 2010; 182: E839-842.
886. Boulet LP, FitzGerald JM, Levy ML, et al. A guide to the translation of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) strategy into improved care. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 39: 1220-1229.
887. Davis DA, Taylor-Vaisey A. Translating guidelines into practice. A systematic review of theoretic concepts, practical experience and research evidence in the adoption of clinical practice guidelines. *CMAJ* 1997; 157: 408-416.
888. Harrison MB, Legare F, Graham ID, et al. Adapting clinical practice guidelines to local context and assessing barriers to their use. *CMAJ* 2010; 182: E78-84.
889. Partridge MR. Translating research into practice: how are guidelines implemented? *Eur Respir J Suppl* 2003; 39: 23s-29s.
890. Baiardini I, Braido F, Bonini M, et al. Why do doctors and patients not follow guidelines? *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 9: 228-233.
891. Boulet LP, Becker A, Bowie D, et al. Implementing practice guidelines: a workshop on guidelines dissemination and implementation with a focus on asthma and COPD. *Can Respir J* 2006; 13 Suppl A: 5-47.
892. Franco R, Santos AC, do Nascimento HF, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a state funded programme for control of severe asthma. *BMC Public Health* 2007; 7: 82.
893. Renzi PM, Ghezzi H, Goulet S, et al. Paper stamp checklist tool enhances asthma guidelines knowledge and implementation by primary care physicians. *Can Respir J* 2006; 13: 193-197.
894. Nkoy F, Fassl B, Stone B, et al. Improving pediatric asthma care and outcomes across multiple hospitals. *Pediatrics* 2015; 136: e1602-1610.
895. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care. 2013. Available from: <http://epoc.cochrane.org>.

COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL - DO NOT COPY OR DISTRIBUTE



Visit the GINA website at www.ginasthma.org

© 2023 Global Initiative for Asthma