

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Circ Res.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 07.

Published in final edited form as:

Circ Res. 2017 July 07; 121(2): 162–180. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.306458.

Environmental Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease

Aruni Bhatnagar, Ph.D.

Diabetes and Obesity Center and the Institute of Molecular Cardiology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40202

Abstract

Many features of the environment have been found to exert an important influence on cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk, progression, and severity. Changes in the environment due to migration to different geographic locations, modifications in lifestyle choices, and shifts in social policies and cultural practices alter CVD risk, even in the absence of genetic changes. Nevertheless, the cumulative impact of the environment on CVD risk has been difficult to assess and the mechanisms by which some environment factors influence CVD remain obscure. Human environments are complex; and their natural, social and personal domains are highly variable due to diversity in human ecosystems, evolutionary histories, social structures, and individual choices. Accumulating evidence supports the notion that ecological features such as the diurnal cycles of light and day, sunlight exposure, seasons, and geographic characteristics of the natural environment such altitude, latitude and greenspaces are important determinants of cardiovascular health and CVD risk. In highly developed societies, the influence of the natural environment is moderated by the physical characteristics of the social environments such as the built environment and pollution, as well as by socioeconomic status and social networks. These attributes of the social environment shape lifestyle choices that significantly modify CVD risk. An understanding of how different domains of the environment, individually and collectively, affect CVD risk could lead to a better appraisal of CVD, and aid in the development of new preventive and therapeutic strategies to limit the increasingly high global burden of heart disease and stroke.

Keywords

Coronary artery disease; stroke; circadian rhythms; sunlight; altitude; latitude; air pollution; built environment; social networks; socioeconomic status; diet; nutrition; exercise; smoking

INTRODUCTION

Despite many notable advances in treatment and management, cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains the most frequent cause of mortality in all human populations. In the developed world, it kills more people than any other disease, and in low and middle income countries its prevalence is on the rise. Deaths from ischemic heart disease and stroke have increased worldwide. Even in the US, where the rates of CVD mortality have been steadily decreasing from their peak in the 1960s, this rate of decline has substantially slowed down since the

Correspondence. Aruni Bhatnagar, Ph.D., Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, 580 S Preston St, Baxter II, Rm 421 EF, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40202, Phone: 502-852-5966, Fax: 502-852-3663.

1990s¹, and by 2030, 40.5% of the population is projected to have some form of CVD.² While some of this increase may be due to an aging population, the near universal pervasiveness of CVD reflects our inability to prevent its escalating occurrence or to understand its fundamental nature.

The received view is that CVD is due to a set of chronic conditions that arise from a complex interplay between genetic predisposition and environmental influences that lead to progressive deterioration in the structure and the function of cardiovascular tissues. It is generally believed that even though genetic defects underlie some infrequent forms of heart disease, most CVD is due to interactions between several gene variants and lifestyle factors. Although the specific contribution of the genes and the environment remains poorly understood, it is thought that environmental factors and lifestyle play a more dominant role in CVD development. This belief is based on the results of many studies showing that, to a large extent, CVD could be prevented by maintaining a healthy lifestyle. For instance, data from the Nurses' Health Study³ suggest that 82% of coronary events could be prevented by maintaining a healthy lifestyle. Similarly it was found that 62% of all coronary events may have been avoided if men in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study had adhered to a lowrisk lifestyle. Data combined from both these studies show that 47% of stroke in women and 35% in men could be attributed to the lack of adherence to low-risk lifestyle choices.⁴ In a cohort of Swedish women low-risk behavior was associated with a 92% decrease in risk of myocardial infarction (MI).⁵ Taken together, these data suggest that, for the most part (50– 90%), CVD is a modifiable and preventable condition.

The modifiable nature of CVD is further supported by studies showing that even in the absence of large genetic changes, CVD risk in a population is affected by changes in the environment. This is most strikingly demonstrated by data from China, which show that the age-adjusted CVD mortality rates in Beijing increased by 50% for men and 27% for women due to environmental changes between 1984 and 1999.⁶ Changing environmental conditions have also been linked to a 75% decrease in CVD risk in Finland within 20 years,⁷ and a 24% drop in coronary mortality in Poland in 9 years.⁸ In England and Wales the mortality rate for coronary heart disease (CHD) between 1981 and 2000 have decreased by 62% in men and 45% in women; and more than half of this decline was attributed to a reduction in environmental risk factors.⁹ Additionally, a recent study of the decrease in CHD deaths from 1980 to 2000 in the US suggested that approximately 44% of the decrease could be attributable to environmental changes.¹⁰

Additional support for modifiable nature of CVD risk comes from migrant studies showing that moving to a new environment could substantively modify CVD risk. Data collected between 1960s–1970s indicate a significant increase in the rates of CHD deaths in Japanese men who moved from Japan to the US.¹¹ Similarly, Indians living in the UK¹² have higher CVD risk than their counterparts living in India. Such data strengthen the view that changes in the environment could dramatically alter CVD risk, even without significant genetic changes. The primacy of the environment is further enforced by studies on genetically-identical twins. In a study of Finnish immigrants it was found that those who moved to Sweden had lower rates of CHD than those living in Finland;¹³ and this decrease in risk was

evident even in migrant twins, suggesting that changes in the environment modify CVD risk, independent of genetics.

CVD and the human environment

If CVD is largely preventable, and if it is dramatically affected by environmental changes, it is important to understand how the environment affects CVD. Which components of the environment affect CVD risk? How this risk is imparted? And why the environment affects CVD? To answer these questions, we have to understand the complexity of the human environment. Unlike other animals, who exist primarily in their natural environment, humans live in elaborate, self-created microenvironments. They form large social networks fashioned by history and culture and they survive in diverse geographic ecosystems to which they have variably adapted during the course of their evolution. Hence to understand the totality of human circumstance, we have to examine the social, personal and natural domains of the human environment which collectively make up the human envirome (Figure 1). We have to apprehend how these domains interact, and we have to understand how they individually and collectively bear upon CVD risk.

The most primeval component of the human environment is the natural ecosystem. This includes the recurrent day/night cycle, the changing seasons, and the local features of geography; a rather invariant set of conditions that have been the primary determinants of human evolution to date, and which continue to exert a powerful influence on human physiology, psychology, and health. During early human evolution and history other living things such as bacteria, viruses, predators, parasites, and pests were important healthrelevant component of the natural environment. However, with increasing civilization, these threats were progressively minimized. Now, the rates of parasitic and infectious diseases have plummeted and, even in developing countries, non-communicable diseases have emerged as major threats to human health. Moreover, with increasing acculturation, humans have created complex social environments. These environments have become the primary domains of human activity and they moderate both the salutogenic and pathogenic influences of the natural environment on humans. Within such natural and social domains; however, humans, with their advanced rational and cognitive abilities, create personal environments, which they populate by their own individual choices. Being a proximal and malleable domain, the personal environment is a powerful determinant of human health. Nevertheless, as reviewed below, all - personal, social and natural - domains of the human environment individually and collectively affect CVD risk.

THE NATURAL ENVIORNMENT

Nature is the primordial domain of the human environment. In common with all living things, humans have evolved by adapting to their natural environment. And even though, during the course of civilization, the influence of nature has been moderated by increasingly complex social environments, the natural environment still exerts a powerful influence on human health. Therefore, living in artificial environments or in a state of dyssynchrony with the rhythms of the nature may be one reason for the high rates of CVD in modern environments. High contemporary risk of CVD may also be due to a mismatch between

ancient human genes and current human environments. This mismatch may be because rapid changes in human environments have outpaced genetic adaptation. Ancestral alleles adapted to ancient environments have become maladapted to modern environments therefore confer disease risk. Key features of the natural environment that have been linked to CVD risk are:

Circadian Rhythms

The day/night cycle is a fundamental, invariant feature of the natural environment. All life is entrained to this cycle, which in turn exerts a pervasive control over both plants and animals. In mammals, sunlight regulates the master clock in the suprachiasmatic nucleus, which synchronizes the light-insensitive peripheral clocks to coordinate a 24 h cycle. This day/ night cycle controls both cardiovascular health and function; heart rate and blood pressure are lowest at night and during sleep and begin to rise before waking-up, coinciding with a period of vagal dominance, in anticipation of daytime activities. Circadian cues also regulate the expression of cardiovascular genes and the abundance of cardiovascular proteins,^{14, 15} as well as the levels of neurohormones that regulate cardiovascular function, such angiotensin II, renin, aldosterone, growth hormone and atrial naturetic peptide.¹⁶ Given this tight diurnal regulation, it is not surprising that the incidence of adverse cardiovascular events varies with the time of day. Myocardial infarctions occur most frequently between 6 - 12 PM (mostly between 3 - 6 AM), 17, 18 and are 3 times more likely to occur in early morning than at night. The frequency of stokes, arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death and the rupture of abdominal aortic aneurisms also show matutinal clustering.^{19–21} The timing of adverse cardiovascular events appears to be linked to the intrinsic clock mechanism, but not to the stress of waking up, as it has been reported that when in a new geographic location, the frequency of cardiovascular events in travelers peaks, for a few days, at times that correspond to their time zone of origin.¹⁶

In addition to increasing CVD susceptibility, the diurnal cycle also affects CVD severity. Myocardial infarcts that occur in the middle of the night are larger²² and angioplasties performed at night are less successful.²³ A similar circadian dependence has been observed in animals; mice subjected to coronary ligation at the sleep-to-wake transition exhibit a dramatic increase in myocardial infarct size compared with those at the wake-to-sleep transition,²⁴ indicating that the extent of tissue injury depends upon the circadian phase, synchronized with the day/night cycle. Hence, disruption of this synchrony could impair cardiovascular function and health. Indeed, frequent disturbances in the sleep-wake cycle increase the risk of diabetes, ^{25, 26} obesity, ^{25, 26} and hypertension²⁷ in shift workers, transmeridian flight crews,^{28, 29} and patients with sleep apnea or other sleep disturbances.¹⁶ Even short-term circadian misalignment increases blood pressure and inflammation,³⁰ as well as postprandial levels of blood glucose and insulin.³¹ Although it is unclear why disruption of the circadian rhythm elevates CVD risk, it is evident that significant cardiovascular benefits could be derived from maintaining diurnal rhythms, treating sleep disorders, restoring neuroendocrine hormonal profiles, (by imposing a fixed or regular schedule of zeitgebers, or timekeepers such as light, activity, or eating)."¹⁶ Additional benefits may be derived from pharmacologically targeting clock proteins or therapy with varying light wavelengths or intensity. Collectively, the work on circadian rhythms reinforces the view that the both human physiology and disease susceptibility are

inextricably linked to the natural environment and exquisitely attuned to its primordial rhythms.

Seasons

In most places, the natural environment is characterized not only by diurnal rhythms, but by a change in seasons as well. In most locations, this leads to wide variations in temperature and humidity as well as the length of day. A change of season alters sunlight exposure, physical activity, and feeding behavior; changes which, by modifying physiological responses and metabolism, could affect cardiovascular function and disease. In both Northern and Southern hemispheres, the levels of blood pressure and plasma HDL, LDL, and glucose are slightly higher in winter than in summer,³² and it has been reported that more patients on statin therapy achieve their target LDL level in summer than in winter, suggesting that plasma lipoprotein metabolism in humans may be regulated by the seasons. ³³ Similar seasonal variations have been reported in animals. In European badgers, for instance, the plasma cholesterol levels are 650% higher in winter than in summer; LDL levels peak in autumn/winter, while HDL predominates in early spring.³⁴ In humans, seasonal variations have also been observed in fibrinogen,³⁵ tissue plasminogen activator antigen, and von Willebrand factor.³⁶

Seasonal changes in CVD risk factors are associated with variations in cardiovascular mortality, which is significantly higher in winter than summer at geographic locations both north³⁷ and south³⁸ of the equator. The difference between the winter peak and summer trough could be large. In England and Wales the winter peak accounts for 20,000 additional deaths per year,³⁹ in the US 53% more cases of MI are reported in winter than in summer.⁴⁰ This increase in mortality may be because in winter, the elderly succumb to CVD due to exacerbation of pre-existing disease,⁴¹ or respiratory infections that trigger an acute phase response. But age may not be the only reason. In winter mortality spikes in both the young (ages <55 to 74 years) and the aged (>75).⁴⁰ Another explanation could be that hemodynamic changes due to cold temperature destabilize vulnerable lesions, leading to plaque rupture and occlusive thrombosis more frequently in winter than in summer. Cold outdoor ambient temperature, by itself, may be an important factor, as colder days, both in winter and in summer, are associated with an excessive number of infarctions.⁴² Exposure to ambient cold temperature increases vascular resistance and blood pressure, leading to an increase in oxygen demand,^{43, 44} and in the Framingham Offspring Cohort, ambient temperature was found to be a strong determinant of microvascular function.⁴⁵ Cold ambient temperature can also increase coronary artery resistance or induce coronary vasospasm and has been linked to acute myocardial infarction,^{46, 47} and acute presentation of abdominal aortic aneurysms.⁴⁷ However, low temperatures do not appear to be the only important factor, because excessive mortality during winter months has also been reported in areas where there is little seasonal change in temperature e.g., Los Angeles.⁴⁸ Although, understanding and delineating the contributions of temperature and seasons on cardiovascular mortality would require further research, extant data support the notion that seasons exert a powerful influence on CVD risk and mortality.

While ambient cold temperatures are linked to acute cardiovascular events, high temperatures may be equally significant. Heat waves in different regions of the world are associated with increased cardiovascular mortality particularly in susceptible individuals such as the elderly who cannot rapidly adapt to rapid changes in temperature.⁴⁹ Extant literature suggests that cardiovascular effects relate not only to extremes of temperature, but to transitions and variability in temperature.⁴⁹ A 10 °F increase in same day temperature, for instance, has been found to be associated with increased risk of hospitalization, ischemic heart disease and ischemic stroke.⁵⁰ Such variability in temperature and its associated CVD risk is likely to increase with climate change, which could profoundly affect human health. The earth's average surface temperature is now higher than it has been in the last 100,000 years. This increase in temperature and is likely to affect global climate patterns and increase temperature fluctuations leading to changes in food production as well as social and economic conditions, which could significant increase the global burden of CVD, particularly among resource-scare vulnerable populations.

Sunlight

The reasons underlying the seasonal clustering of CVD deaths remain obscure, but a particularly attractive hypothesis is that lower levels of sunlight reaching the earth in winter could increase CVD susceptibility. But could sunlight affect cardiovascular health? Some studies suggest that high levels of exposure to sunlight early in life delays CVD by 0.6 to 2.1 years,^{51, 52} and that spending times outdoors (presumably leading to greater sunlight exposure) is inversely related to CVD mortality.⁵³ Significantly, in these studies the extent of increase in CVD mortality due to a lack of sunlight exposure was similar to smoking.⁵² In a survey of all the 200 districts in the UK, the hours of sunshine per annum were negatively associated with CVD mortality.⁵⁴ Although the possibility of residual confounding or exposure misclassification cannot be completely ruled out, these results support that notion that sunlight exposure is beneficial for cardiovascular health.

Sunlight exposure could affect CVD risk for many reasons, but the most well supported hypothesis relates to vitamin D, which is synthesized only in the presence of sunlight. However, the efficiency of this photosynthetic process depends upon the number of photons that penetrate the endothelium, which in turn depends upon the extent of melanin pigmentation of the skin.⁵⁵ Therefore, individuals with darker skin require longer exposure to sunlight to synthesize the same amount of vitamin D as those with lighter skin. Because exposure to UVB radiation is essential for this process, the efficiency of vitamin D depends upon the level of UVB radiation reaching the earth's surface. When the sun is low in the sky (during winter or during early morning and late evening) incoming radiation has to travel longer and is subject to more scattering and absorption than when the sun is directly overhead. Consequently, the ability of synthesize vitamin D is affected by the time of the day, the season and the latitude. As a result, there is greater prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in fall and winter than in summer and spring.^{56, 57}

Seasonal and latitudinal variations in vitamin D levels have been associated with geographic and seasonal variations in blood pressure. With increasing distance from the equator, there is a progressive increase in blood pressure, which correlates with a gradual fall in ambient

UVB radiation.⁵⁸ The prevalence of hypertension shows a similar latitudinal distribution. Moreover, blood pressure is higher in winter,^{43, 58} when UVB levels are low and decreases in summer when sunnier days arrive. Although a causal relationship between blood pressure and sunlight remains to be fully clarified (see below), it has been reported that exposure to UVB radiation⁵⁹ skin tanning in salons⁶⁰ or treatment with high-dose vitamin D2⁶¹ reduces blood pressure.

In addition to blood pressure, vitamin D regulates other cardiovascular functions as well. All cardiovascular tissues express vitamin D receptor (VDR),⁶² which regulates the expression of nearly 200 genes.⁶² Overall, 3% of the human genome is regulated by the vitamin D. In mice, the lack of functional VDR leads not only to a bone and growth plate phenotype, but also to high rennin hypertension, cardiac hypertrophy, and increased thrombogenicity.⁶³ In humans, vitamin D deficiency is associated with an increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events such as myocardial infraction, stroke, heart failure, and sudden cardiac death.^{64, 65} In a meta-analysis of cohort studies, 10 ng/ml increment in baseline vitamin D was associated with a 0.88 risk of incident hypertension.⁶⁶ The association is supported by Mandelian randomization studies, which show that an increase in genetically determined vitamin D levels is associated with a decrease in blood pressure and hypertension risk, supporting a causal relationship.^{64, 65} However, the results of randomized controlled trials with vitamin D supplementation have generated mixed results. While meta-analysis of early RCTs showed that vitamin D intake (>500 IU/day) decreases all-cause mortality in part by decreasing cardiovascular deaths,⁶⁷ the results of recent RCTs have found no significant effects.⁶⁵ Nonetheless, a Cochrane review of extant data suggests that all-cause mortality might be reduced with vitamin D supplementation,⁶⁸ and in the VINDICATE study, vitamin D supplementation was found to improve cardiac function and reserve LV remodeling in heart failure patients.⁶⁹ The inconsistent results of RCTs have been attributed to methodological issues, such as improper dosing, lack of measurement of vitamin D levels at baseline or after intervention, and inclusion of participants regardless of their vitamin D status. To address these issues, several large, well-controlled trials are in progress.⁶⁵

Despite the well-studied relationship between sunlight and vitamin D, it remains plausible that vitamin D levels reflect general health status and that there may be additional unidentified factors linking sunlight to cardiovascular health. Recent work has shown that brief whole-body irradiation of healthy humans with UVA, which does not synthesize vitamin D, causes a rapid and sustained decrease in blood pressure.⁷⁰ This was attributed to UVA-induced release of NO from cutaneous photolabile NO derivatives. It has been suggested that UVA-liberated NO diffuses to deeper tissue layers, where it enhances local levels of metastable nitroso compounds such as S-nitrosoglutathione, which then are distributed via blood circulation and subsequently evoke systemic responses such as a decrease in blood pressure. The human skin contains high levels of nitrite and nitrosothiols and because UVA penetrates the epidermis, this mechanism could in principle generate significant levels of nitrite in the blood and explain the effects of sunlight on blood pressure. The increase in NO production by sunlight could also have beneficial effects on metabolism. In animal models, NO prevents myocardial ischemic injury⁷¹ and diet-induced obesity.⁷² And it has been reported that UV irradiation reduces weight gain and metabolic syndrome, effects that were not duplicated by vitamin D supplementation, but prevented by treating

mice with a NO scavenger,⁷³ suggesting that decreased exposure to sunlight, leading to lower levels of NO could result in metabolic dysfunction and disease. Whether sunlight regulates NO production in humans remains unknown, but intriguing data from elite athletes showing that combination of UVA radiation and oral nitrite supplementation increases exercise performance.⁷⁴ suggest that further investigations might reveal new links between sunlight, diet and cardiometabolic health (Figure 2).

Altitude

Altitude is additional aspect of the natural environment that has an important bearing upon human health. Nearly 400 million people live in areas more than 1500 m (4,900 feet) above sea level⁷⁵ and human populations living in these areas have developed significant anatomical, physiologic, and metabolic adaptation to cold temperature and low oxygen levels. Of the several highland populations, native Tibetans and Nepalese Sherpas are best adapted to high altitudes. Tibetans have the oldest altitude ancestry, and through successive generations they have attained a high grade of adaptation to high altitudes. They rarely exhibit systolic hypertension and have lower levels of cholesterol and apoB than sea level dwellers.⁷⁶ They also show lower pulmonary pressure in response to exercise with less increase in ventilation rates and better preservation of cardiac output. In contrast, Andean natives who have a shorter history of living at high altitudes are less well adapted and they show greater muscularlization of the distal pulmonary arterial branches and LV hypertrophy.⁷⁷ A similar difference is evident in animals. Species native to mountainous areas (yaks, pika) have better cardiopulmonary responses than domestic animals recently transported to high altitudes.⁷⁸

In lowlanders not adapted to high altitude ascent to high altitude (>2500 m) results in an increase in pulmonary artery pressure due to hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction (HPV). This is usually accompanied by increased erythropoiesis, and an increased pressure load on the right ventricle.⁷⁹ If the ascent is slow, the changes are well tolerated by otherwise healthy individuals, but in susceptible individuals, or when the ascent is rapid, pulmonary edema develops due to uneven HPV and high capillary pressures in the lung, leading to an extensive inflammatory response. Such symptoms could be avoided by slow ascent or by pharmacological treatment with calcium channel blockers or phosphodiesterase inhibitors.⁷⁹ However, populations permanently in areas of high elevation have lower CVD risk and mortality. Results of some small studies show that individuals who live at high altitudes (1000 to 5500 m) have lower total cholesterol and/or LDL-cholesterol⁸⁰⁻⁸² and higher HDL levels,^{81, 83} and low levels of serum leptin.⁸⁴ That living at high altitudes could be beneficial for cardiovascular health is supported also by data showing that arterial accumulation of cholesterol is decreased in rabbits born and raised at high altitude.⁸⁵ Even brief sojourns at high altitudes could lead to favorable changes in blood lipids,⁸⁶ and insulin resistance,⁸⁷ and stimulate lipolysis of plasma triglycerides.⁸⁸ Living in high altitude lowers the rates of CHD and myocardial infarctions. Populations living in high altitudes in Switzerland, Greece, US and the Andes consistently show lower rates of mortality than lowland dwellers.⁷⁵ Among highland dwellers in Switzerland, the mortality rate for coronary heart disease decreases by 22% per 1000 m increase in altitude. Similarly, people in mountainous villages in Greece have lower total and coronary mortality than those in lowland villages.⁸⁹ Remarkably, the

hazard ratios for coronary mortality in highland dwelling men and women were 0.39 and 0.46, indicating a strong protective effect of residence in mountainous areas. Residence in higher land elevation in the US has also been associated with lower death rates in both Blacks and Whites (effect size>0.7).⁹⁰

How could altitude affect CVD? Difference in diet, physical activity, air pollution could potentially account for the beneficial effects of altitude on cardiovascular health. However, stable differences in the natural environment are likely to important, as illustrated by a study in Switzerland,⁹¹ which showed that being born at high altitude had an independent beneficial effect on CVD. People who were born at a higher residence and then moved down in altitude had a lower risk than those who were lived in low altitudes their entire life. Differences in solar UV exposure could be another reason. With every 300 m increase in altitude, UV levels increase by 10%⁹² and as a result vitamin D synthesis is increased at high altitudes.⁹³ But differences in sunshine or temperature may not account for the entirety of the effect. A recent study, which included 4.2 million individuals aged 40-84 (nearly the complete adult population of Switzerland), found an inverse relationship between altitude and ischemic heart disease even after adjustment for sunshine, precipitation, temperature and road distance.⁹⁴ Although potential confounding by risk factors such as physical activity. obesity, high blood pressure and pollution levels, which all vary with altitude,⁷⁵ cannot be ruled out, the findings of the study support the concept that high altitude has an independent effect on cardiovascular health. Therefore, further studies are required to understand how altitude affects cardiovascular health and why it diminishes CVD risk.

Greenspaces

Throughout evolution, interaction with natural vegetation has been an invariant feature of the human environment. Even though the project of civilization is to immure human activity in artificial built environments, humans display innate biophilic preferences. Believed to a product of evolution, these tendencies counter the instinctive fear of natural threats and predators and may underlie the well-known restorative effects of nature and natural vegetation on mental health. Whether interactions with nature is important also for physical health remains less clear, but an association between vegetation and physical health is consistent with the results of many recent studies showing that even in modern urban environments of sprawling metropolises and congested conurbations, residential proximity to vegetation is associated with lower levels of stress, diabetes, stroke and CVD.^{95, 96}

Living in artificial environments minimizes contacts with natural elements such as sunlight, animals and plants that have salutary effects on health.⁹⁷ Previous studies have shown that residential proximity to vegetation is associated with lower levels of stress, diabetes, stroke and CVD,^{95, 96} and individual level data indicate that children living in greener areas have lower levels of asthma⁹⁸, blood pressure,⁹⁹ and insulin resistance.¹⁰⁰ In adults, residential proximity to greenness has been associated with better general health, enhanced social support, and physical activity.^{95, 101} In an analysis of the entire population of England, the rate of CVD mortality in least green areas was found to be twice that of greenest areas.¹⁰² Similarly, it was found that the odds of hospitalization for CVD were 37% lower among adults who lived in areas of highly variable greenness in Perth, Australia.¹⁰³ In a

longitudinal follow up of 575,000 adults for 4 years in Ontario, Canada, higher levels of greenness were associated with lower risk of CVD and stroke mortality.¹⁰⁴ In the US, residential proximity to greenspaces has been associated with higher survival rates after ischemic stroke, even after adjustment for socioeconomic factors.¹⁰⁵ Taken together, these data support the notion that exposure to vegetation decreases CVD risk, mortality and severity. However, most such studies are cross-sectional and therefore limited in their attribution of causality. Hence to obtain prospective data, Donovan et al., 106 studied the effects of a natural experiment - loss of 100 million trees in Northern US to emerald ash borer infestation. They found that loss of trees increased both CVD and respiratory deaths. Progressive loss of tree canopy was associated with 16.7 additional deaths per year per 100,000 adults, corresponding to a 15,080 excessive deaths from 2002–2007. The reasons why CVD deaths should be related to a loss of tree canopy remain obscure, but the relationship between mortality and greenness was further reinforced by the recent analysis of the 108,630 participants of the Nurses' Health Study, which showed that women who lived in areas with the highest levels of greenness had 12% lower rates of mortality than those living in less green areas.¹⁰⁷ It could be speculated that some of the beneficial cardiovascular effects of greenery might relate to a decrease in the levels of local air pollution, increased proximity to walking spaces, or lower levels of mental stress. Although the contribution of these mechanisms has not been delineated, extant associations support the presence of a primordial bond between nature and health. Further elucidation of this bond, and how it is modified by urban and social domains of the environment, might be fruitful areas of future investigation.

THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

Like other hominids, humans live in discrete communities. Settlement into small cohesive communities has several advantages: it provides a network of social support; it promotes cooperation, collaboration, and commerce; and it helps in creating a cultural and social identity. Cohesive communities fashion rich social environments consisting of houses, cities, and roads, with the purpose of promoting human health and optimizing human flourishing. They create artificial environments to protect against the elements; the vagaries of nature; and the threat of natural predators and pests. The creation of these artificial, but safe, environments may have been particularly critical for human evolution, as humans have long, protracted childhoods, when they are much more vulnerable to natural threats than other animals. However, built environments alienate humans from nature and they modify the influence of the natural environment on human health and development. Artificial environments create new problems, such as crowding, noise, and pollution; problems that ultimately limit health and promote disease. Moreover, the need for elaborate built environments necessitates the development of complex social hierarchy to afford and preserve private property and to enable division of labor. These hierarchical organizations engender economic disparity and lead to the development of social institutions that award more wealth and power to some members of the community, while marginalizing others. As discussed below, extensive evidence documents a strong influence of components of the social environment - the built environment, pollution, and socioeconomic status (SES) on both CVD risk and cardiovascular mortality.

The Built Environment

By moderating or modifying the influence of local ecology and by creating artificial, nonnatural living spaces, the built environment could either promote or prevent disease. It could prevent disease by creating sanitary, climate regulated, safe spaces, but it could also promote disease by generating unconducive living conditions. Socio-environmental characteristics appear to contribute to CVD mortality risk, and the rates of CVD mortality vary across communities with different area characteristics, such as social cohesion, neighborhood identity, and stimatization.¹⁰⁸ Within communities, social inequalities are related to mortality; and within cities, living in deprived neighborhoods is associated with increased CVD prevalence.¹⁰⁹ Residents of disadvantaged neighborhoods have been found to have a higher incidence of CVD (RR = 3.1 for Whites and 2.5 for Blacks),¹¹⁰ independent of personal income, education and occupation or established CVD risk factors.

Even though over 40 published studies report that living in socially-deprived areas increases CVD risk,¹⁰⁸ it remains unclear how this risk is imparted. In disadvantaged neighborhoods, the availability and costs of various types of foods, publicity and availability of cigarettes, the distribution of recreational spaces and differences in the built environment are likely to be important contributors to excessive CVD mortality. In addition, transportation services, healthcare resources, social interactions and neighborhood identity might be important as well. Moreover, it may be necessary to consider experiential factors such as affective experience (attachment, sense of community), cognitive experience (satisfaction with the neighborhood) and relational experience (social integration, social support and stressful interactions) as well.¹⁰⁸ Clearly, comprehensive environmental assessment is needed to capture all the dimension of complex entities such as communities and neighborhoods, entities that are composite products of local economics, history, social structure, public policy, and cultural practices.

The clearest impact of the built environment could be seen with obesity. Meta-analyses of over 60 studies show that aspects of the built environment are positively correlated with obesity¹¹¹, particularly in disadvantaged groups¹¹². Strongest evidential support was found for food stores (supermarkets instead of smaller grocery stores), places to exercise, and safety. Each of these neighborhood characteristics were found to be correlated with body mass index¹¹². Greater neighborhood physical activity resources were associated with lower insulin resistance¹¹³ and high walkability neighborhoods were associated with decreases in weight and waist circumference¹¹⁴. These measures of obesity were also associated with high density of fast-food restaurants¹¹⁴. For neighborhoods with a high-density of fast-food restaurants an odds ratio of 1.8 has been reported¹¹⁵. Each quartile increase in land-use mix has been found to be associated with a 12% reduction in the likelihood of obesity.¹¹⁶ Moreover, each additional hour spent in car per day was associated with a 6% increase in obesity risk and each kilometer walked per day with a 55% reduction in the likelihood of obesity. These effects of obesity mediate some of the effects on the built environment on CVD risk; more than half of the inverse association between neighborhood education and blood pressure could be explained by differences in BMI.¹¹⁷ Factors contributing to the other half of the association remain unknown. Overall, CVD risk seems to aggregate in disadvantaged neighborhoods because of multiple sources of vulnerability that relate to the

characteristics of the built environment, stress, nutritional resources, lack of places to exercise, decreased interaction with nature and exposure to multiple environmental toxicants and pollutants.

Pollution

The modern environment is awash with synthetic chemicals and pollutants. By some estimates, > 30,000 synthetic chemicals are in current use, of these at least 5,500 are produced at > 100 tons per year.¹¹⁸ Almost all major rivers and lakes show significant contamination by synthetic chemicals, pesticides or metals. Pesticides such as lindane, chlordane and DTT from Asia have been detected in Canadian Rockies and mercury generated by human activity has been detected in Arctic wild-life.¹¹⁹ As a result, there are no pristine, un-polluted places left on the entire planet. High levels of pollutants are also released in the air. Although the level of air pollution in the developed world today is much lower than during its peak in the 1950s–1970s, the levels of air pollution in the developing world remain extraordinarily high.

Most air pollution is a mixture of complex aerosols containing both particles and gases. Particulate air pollution consists of particulate matter (PM), which when analyzed for mass fall into two peaks, corresponding to coarse particles (10-2.5 µm) and fine particles (0.1 to 2.5 µm). The fine particle mode also contains a small fraction of ultrafine particles, which despite its modest contribution to the overall volume of PM, contains the largest number of particles. Aerosols emitted in the environment directly are composed mostly of minerals, soot, salt particles, pollens and spores, whereas secondary aerosols are generated by sulfates, nitrates and organic compounds. In addition, both indoor and outdoor air contains a variety of gaseous pollutants, such as volatile organic chemicals (VOCs), nitrogen and sulfur oxides, and ozone. The composition of ambient air particles and gases in the atmosphere varies with meteorological conditions, local sources, geography, and seasons and could be quite complex, making it difficult to link constituents with health effects.

The WHO estimates that globally air pollution could be linked to 7 million premature deaths per year. This includes 1.6 million deaths in China and 1.3 million death in India. Estimates of premature mortality in the US from outdoor air pollution vary from 55,000¹²⁰ to 200,000.¹²¹ In its health impact, air pollution rivals the effects of hypertension, smoking and physical inactivity.¹²² Exposure to air pollution is pervasive and in some place, ubiquitous and unavoidable. In parts of the developing world, >95% of the urban population lives in cities where the levels of air pollution exceeds the air quality guidelines of the WHO.¹²² The estimated contribution of the major sources of outdoor air pollution to premature mortality in different geographic locations is listed in Table 1. Notably most of this mortality in developing countries such as China and India is associated with residential and commercial energy use, which has been linked to over 10 million excessive deaths.¹²⁰ This estimate, however, does not include an additional 3.54 million death per year due to household air pollution due to biomass burning. In the US and Western Europe, agriculture, power generation and land traffic appear to be the major source categories. Agriculture, which contributes to PM_{2.5} formation by releasing ammonia from fertilizers use and domestic

animals, contributes to nearly 20% of the global burden of outdoor air pollution, corresponding to an estimated 6.6 million death per year worldwide.¹²⁰

While exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ has been linked premature mortality due to respiratory diseases and cancer, between 70–80% of premature deaths due to exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ are due to cardiovascular causes.¹²³ Reasons for the unique vulnerability of cardiovascular tissues to air pollution remain unclear, but extensive evidence has documented acute exacerbations of cardiovascular events upon exposure to particulate air pollution and chronic increase in CVD in individuals exposed recurrently to air pollution. Even brief exposures to polluted air are associated with MI, stoke, arrhythmias, atrial fibrillation and hospitalization for exacerbation of heart failure in susceptible individuals.^{122–124} There is equally strong evidence suggesting that chronic and persistent exposure to air pollution increases the progression of atherosclerotic lesions and has adverse effects on blood pressure regulation, peripheral thrombosis, endothelial function, and insulin sensitivity.^{49, 122–124}

The effects of air pollution on cardiovascular health seem to depend upon individual susceptibility. Individuals with pre-existing CVD, diabetes or those who smoke seem to be particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of air pollution. Age, sex, ethnicity, and nutritional and socioeconomic status may be additional factors that might affect susceptibility, but no consistent evidence has emerged to implicate an important contribution of these factors.⁴⁹ It does appear; however, that individuals in good health are less likely to be affected by exposure to air pollution. For instance, in a study to 17,545 male health professionals, no association was found between CVD and long-term PM exposure.¹²⁵ This lack of effect was attributed to the higher SES and healthier lifestyle of this population. Nevertheless, other studies suggest that even young, healthy adults show signs of endothelial injury,¹²⁶ suggesting that although exposure to air pollution could induce cardiovascular injury in healthy individuals, clinical manifestation of this injury may be attenuated in individuals with good physical health and low CVD risk burden.

In addition to individual susceptibility factors, vulnerability to ambient air pollution is also moderated by environmental factors, such as the built environment, noise, ambient temperature, neighborhood greenspaces and proximity to major roadways or co-exposure to other pollutants and toxins. Individuals who live with close proximity to major roadways have been found to have increased carotid-intima thickness with increased exposure to traffic¹²⁷ and increased CVD risk as reflected by an increase in chronic inflammation, ¹²⁸ circulating levels of angiogenic cells,¹²⁹ abdominal adiposity,¹³⁰ and incident hypertension. ¹³¹ Roadway proximity has been associated with elevated risk of acute myocardial infarction,¹³² sudden cardiac death,¹³³ fatal coronary disease,¹³³ post-stroke mortality,¹³⁴ and mortality risk after hospitalization with acute heart failure.¹³⁵ In aggregate, this evidence reveals the significance of residential location as an important determinant of CVD risk and incidence, and survival after major CVD events. Whether ambient and roadway pollutant exposure have synergistic effects remains unclear, but it is generally believed that fresh emission containing high levels of ultrafine PM are particularly toxic and may account for the high CVD risk associated with residential proximity to major roadways. In addition to ultrafine PM, emissions from vehicular traffic also contain volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) such as acrolein, benzene, and butadiene, which by themselves have been found to

exert significant cardiovascular toxicity.¹³⁶ Acute exposure to the VOC acrolein in particular can cause dyslipidemia¹³⁷, vascular injury,^{138–140} endothelial dysfunction,¹⁴¹ and platelet activation¹⁴², whereas chronic exposures accelerate atherogenesis¹⁴³, destabilize atherosclerotic lesions¹⁴⁴, disrupt cardioprotective signaling¹⁴⁵ and induce dilated cardiomyopathy.¹⁴⁶ Thus VOCs and other gaseous pollutants such as CO, NO₂, O₃ and sulfates, which constitute more than 98% of the mixture we breathe in urban locations¹²⁴ could significant modify to the effects of PM and contribute to CVD risk burden, particularly in urban locations.

The link between air pollution exposure and cardiovascular disease is supported by extensive evidence from animal models, which show that in controlled conditions, increased exposure to concentration ambient particles increases atherogenesis, insulin resistance, and thrombosis.¹²³ While this evidence attests to the biological plausibility of the relationship between CVD and air pollution, the underlying mechanisms remain opaque; however, unraveling physiological and molecular mechanisms, is critical to understand how air pollution exposure affects cardiovascular health, which specific conditions regulate individual susceptibility to PM toxicity and how the CVD burden of PM could be mitigated. Although we currently lack a comprehensive understanding of the varied cardiovascular effects of PM, important themes are being to emerge that relate PM exposure to an increase in systemic inflammation and imbalance of the autonomic nervous system. Inhalation of PM could create a state of heightened inflammation, by a "spillover" of proinflammatory or oxidative mediators from the lung to systemic circulation. This could lead to endothelial dysfunction and increased thrombosis that chronically could result in hypertension and accelerated atherogenesis. A spillover effect is consistent with recent observations showing that oxidants generated in the lung by PM exposure could accelerate the formation of atherosclerotic lesions¹⁴⁷ and that increased superoxide dismutation in the lung could prevent PM-induced insulin resistance.¹⁴⁸ Additionally, activation of several lung receptors and nerve endings by PM could lead to change in heart rate, heart rate variability and electrocardiographic changes reflective of an increase in sympathetic tone. Such changes and the resulting hemodynamic and electrophysiological changes could account for the acute cardiovascular effects of PM. While, further work is required to fully understand the pathophysiology of PM inhalation and to devise therapeutic strategies to minimize the impact of air pollution on cardiovascular health, the extensive evidence documenting the cardiovascular consequences of PM exposure highlights the important relationship between clean air and cardiovascular health and the dependence of CVD risk on external, environmental factors.

Environmental Noise

Like air pollution, noise is another important environmental factor that has an important bearing on cardiovascular health and disease. Noise generated from several sources, such as roadway traffic, railroads, and aircrafts interferes with communication, causes annoyance and disturbs sleep. In the US, nearly 46% of the population (145.5 million) is exposed to noise at levels exceeding 55 dBA L_{DN} (weighted day-night 24h average noise level) and 43.8 million individuals are exposed to noise levels exceeding 65 dBA L_{DN} .¹⁴⁹ In Europe, 40% of the population is exposed to road traffic noise exceeding 55 dBA L_{DN} and >30% to

>55 dB at night.¹⁵⁰ Constant exposure to noise induces stress, and affects cognitive function, autonomic homeostasis and sleep quality, all of which could increase CVD risk. Direct exposure studies with humans have shown that simulated traffic noise increases blood pressure, heart rate and cardiac output; effects that are likely to be mediated by the release of catecholamines, cortisol, and other stress hormones. Similarly, exposure to air craft noise, particularly at night, induces endothelial dysfunction measured by flow-dependent dilation, as well as an increase in blood pressure.¹⁵⁰ In animal models, chronic exposure to continuous noise (80-100 dB) has been reported to increase heart rate and mean systemic arterial blood pressure, functional changes that were associated with an increase in plasma corticosterone, adrenaline and endothelin-1.¹⁵¹ Therefore, it is not surprising that exposure to environmental noise has been found to be associated with increased CVD risk in several epidemiological studies. In a recent meta-analysis of 14 studies on the association between road traffic noise and CHD, the pooled estimate of RR was found to be 1.08. Within the range of 52–77 dBA L_{DN} , an increase in noise by 10 dB was associated with an 8% increase in CHD risk.¹⁵² Exposure to noise within this range has also been associated with an increase in hypertension.^{149, 150} The effect size of this association seems to be inconsistent between studies, with larger effects reported for men, aged individuals and diabetics.¹⁵⁰ Associations of similar magnitude have been reported for stroke as well.¹⁵⁰ Although the exposure-response relationship does not suggest a biological threshold of effect, ¹⁵² the effect appears to be higher at higher levels of exposure due to occupational conditions or residential proximity to major sources of noise pollution such as airports, and there is suggestive evidence that individuals living in the vicinity of major airports have higher risk of developing arterial hypertension, CHD and stroke as well as a higher risk of CVD hospitalization.¹⁵⁰ Often noise pollution is confounded by air pollution and the effects of the two have been difficult to separate. In addition, the effects of noise could be moderated by greenspaces and other features of the built environment such as house design and orientation. The contribution of these factors has not been fully assessed. Nevertheless, current estimates suggest that a 5 dB L_{DN} reduction in environmental noise would reduce hypertension cases by 1.2 million and CHD cases by 279,000 per year in the US alone,¹⁴⁹ indicating that noise is an important contributor to CVD in modern, urban environments. Importantly, the link between noise and CVD reinforces the view that much of CVD is derived from unconducive environmental influences and that minimizing the impact of such exposures could significant diminish CVD burden.

Social Networks

Even though components of the physical environment such as land use and air pollution strongly influence CVD risk, the most important component of any human environment is not its physical attributes, but other people. Human groups form complex social networks of families, communities and nations, and interactions within and between these networks regulate important determinants of health such as health care access, civic policies, economic activity, the structuring of neighborhoods and cities or the generation of environmental pollutants. Consistent with the high impact of social interactions, it has been found that CVD risk factors such as obesity¹⁵³ and smoking¹⁵⁴ form distinct clusters within social networks and CVD risk factors such as obesity spread through social ties. For instance, it has been reported that a person's chances of becoming obese increase by 57% is

he or she had a friend who became obese within the same period. The strength of social interactions in modifying disease risk seem to exceed the major known genetic influences. For instance, genome screening have identified that the FTO gene is strongly associated with obesity; however, persons carrying this gene have a 67% increase in the risk of obesity, compared with a 171% increase in risk by having just one friend who is obese,¹⁵⁵ suggesting that in this analysis at least, friends have a stronger influence on CVD risk than genetics.

Like friends, family members also influence CVD risk, which segregates in families. Family history is used to define risk status in the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP), ¹⁵⁶ and has been found to be a risk correlate also in the Framingham study as well.¹⁵⁷ However, family history is not included in Framingham risk score because it is not clear whether its effects are independent of the major CVD risk factors.¹⁵⁸ Nevertheless, data from twin studies show that an individual's risk of CVD is increased when he or she has a relative with CVD by 57 % for men and 38 % for women.¹⁵⁹ Similar levels of CVD heritability have been found in the Danish twin registry (53 % for men and 58% for women). ¹⁶⁰ While on face value these data suggest that much of CVD risk is heritable, observe evidence from studies on the social determinants of health suggest that the effects of shared genes cannot be separated from shared environments. Families are genetically related, but they also share the same environment and usually the same SES, and often the same neighborhood. They have similar diets and lifestyle choices, and members of a family dynamically affect each other's behavior. For instance, social network studies report that if one sibling becomes obese the chances that the other would becoming obese increases by 40 %.¹⁵³ Hence, families might have similar CVD risk not only because they share similar genes, but also because they share similar environments. Even in studies in which twins are separated at birth the change in environment is often not large enough to allow for a clear distinction between genes and environment.

Socioeconomic Status

Documented effects of SES on CVD also support the role of the environment. Poverty has always been known to be associated with poor health, but perhaps not in the same context as in modern societies. In the early part of the century, CVD was considered to be a disease of affluence, as during the 1930s and 1940s the rates of CVD in the West were higher in men with higher SES,¹⁶¹ but since 1961, CVD mortality have been robustly and negatively associated with SES162 In developing countries; however, CVD rates have increased with increasing affluence and adoption of the Western lifestyle. Reasons for the change in the relationship between SES and CVD remain unclear, but at least in the US, there is consistent evidence that low education is a significant predictor of MI and sudden death. In a remarkable study on 270,000 Bell employees in the US, Hinkle et al.¹⁶³, found that men who entered the organization with a college degree had a lower incidence and death rate from CHD in every part of the country and in all departments. Many other investigators have found a similar association of low education and income with excessive CVD mortality.¹⁶² Several studies to assess the contribution of psychosocial factors such as social support, coping styles, behavior, job strain or stress, and anger or hostility are currently in progress, but a direct role of such factors in contributing to CVD risk remains uncertain.

SES, as reflected the level of education, was also inversely related to hypertension. Interestingly, even at higher education levels, the adjusted prevalence of hypertension remained nearly twice as high in blacks as in whites¹⁶⁴. Reasons for this disparity may relate to other environmental and genetic factors such as differences in fluid regulation and/or vitamin D synthesis. However, the dependence of CVD risk factors does not entirely account of the strong effect of SES. For instance, in the Whitehall study men in the lowest SES has 2.7 times the 10-year CHD death risk than those in the highest grade. After adjusting for classical risk factors, the relative risk was reduced to only 2.1,¹⁶⁵ suggesting that the risk imparted by low SES is relatively independent of the major CVD risk factors. At best, conventional risk factors seem to account for only 15–30 % of the CVD risk imposed by SES. Clearly, other unappreciated environmental factors are at work. Indeed, recent work suggests that the some of the effects of SES may be due to the unique structuring, civic architecture and characteristics of disadvantaged neighborhoods particularly in the US and other industrialized countries.

THE PERSONAL ENVIRONMENT

Within the context of the social environment, the personal environment is created by personal lifestyle choices and individual preferences. The most persuasive evidence supporting a role of the personal environment comes from studies reporting a direct and robust association between lifestyle choices that affect CVD risk. However, the influence of the environment begins with the earliest stages of CVD development. Several studies show that the *in utero* environment determines CVD susceptibility later in life. An unfavorable *in utero* environment could induce the formation of atherosclerotic lesions during fetal development. The aorta of premature human fetuses shows fatty streaks, inflammation and the accumulation of oxidized lipids and the coronary arteries show intimal thickening.¹⁶⁶ Low birth weight, which is an indicator of an unfavorable uterine environment, shows a strong negative correlation with ischemic heart disease. This association persists even when adjusted for gestational duration, indicating that high CVD risk could be attributed to fetal growth restriction rather than to premature birth.¹⁶⁷

In face of adversity the fetus attempts to adapt to the unfavorable environment. It undergoes predictive adaptive programming to diminish the impact of the adverse environment. These adaptations persist and could be beneficial if the *in utero* conditions continue upon birth, however, very often the post-natal environment is different and therefore the individual, already set off on a different course by fetal reprogramming, fails as an adult to adequately adapt to the new post-natal environment. An adverse fetal environment may be created by a variety of conditions such as the obstruction of the uterine artery, maternal under nutrition, smoking, alcohol consumption, diabetes or drugs and pharmaceuticals. While our understanding of the contribution of each of these factors to CVD risk is still in its infancy, both human and animal studies show that maternal hypercholesterolemia is associated with an increase in fatty streak formation in fetal arteries. The Fate of Early Lesions in Children (FELIC) study showed that the progression of atherosclerosis was markedly faster in offspring of hypercholesterolemic than normocholesterolemic mothers.¹⁶⁶ Thus the disease risk burden of the mother is at least partially transmitted to the fetus. This view is reinforced by the observation that immunization of the mother protects against postnatal atherogenesis,

even in the absence of gestational diabetes or maternal hypercholesterolemia.¹⁶⁷ Similarly, we have found that in mice prenatal exposure to low levels of environmental tobacco smoke affects offspring weight gain and induces a lipid profile that could alter the offspring's CVD risk in later life.¹⁶⁸ These findings are consistent with human data showing that infants of mothers who smoke during pregnancy have increased risk of subsequent obesity.¹⁶⁹ Thus, exposure to environmental pollutants or other adverse environmental factors that contribute to CVD in mother could induce fetal reprogramming that could alter the child's future CVD risk.

The environment continues to exert its influence after birth. Breast-fed infants show a dosedependent reduction in obesity and formula-fed infants have a higher fat mass perhaps because breast milk contains leptin, which suppresses appetite and increases fat consumption.¹⁶⁹ Environmental factors may be related also to the epidemic of childhood obesity. In the last 30 years, the prevalence of obesity has increased 3-fold in children 2- to 5-years of age and 4-fold in children 6 to 11 years. Overweight children tend to grow into obese adults. In one study it was found that 80% of the overweight children were obese adults. The increase in childhood obesity has resulted in the higher prevalence of CVD risk factors such as high blood pressure and hypercholesterolemia leading to premature atherosclerosis and T2D. These diseases, particularly T2D, once thought to be occur only in late adulthood have now been shown to occur in children and adolescents, and it has been predicted that current generation of children may have a shorter lifespan than their parents. in part because of a higher incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease¹⁶⁹. Because such sudden changes across the entire population are unlikely to be genetic, but may be related to technological advances, urbanization and economic issues that impact a child's eating and physical activity behavior.¹⁶⁹ These behaviors are heavily influenced by the social and physical environments, which to some extent dictate specific lifestyle choices relating to diet, exercise and smoking. Indeed extensive research suggests that individual lifestyle choices are key determinants of CVD risk. These are discussed below:

Nutrition

Personal food choices are important determinants of CVD risk. But the choice is not entirely arbitrary; it is defined, in part, by cultural and social conditions. Dietary traditions of obtaining and preparing food are unique to each culture, and like language; they provide cultural identity that is preserved across generations. Individuals from different dietary traditions display relatively stable dietary choices, despite occasional deviations. Dietary choices are also constrained by the social environment and conditions relating to food distribution and availability and often socioeconomic factors such as affordability. Hence, differences in individual eating behaviors and segregation of heart disease among different communities, families, and socioeconomic strata may be related to culturally transmitted or socially constrained dietary patterns.

Although diet is generally believed to be a critical determinant of health, its effects on CVD risk and progression are difficult to study. For such studies, large populations are required, but they are often heterogeneous in their health characteristics and genetic backgrounds, making it difficult to draw firm conclusions. It is also difficult to vary a single dietary

component in one group and not the other or to maintain large groups of people on specified diets for the long time required to assess changes in CVD risk and progression. Nevertheless, several studies have found significant cardiovascular effects of dietary components. These studies show that changes in diet alone could alter CVD risk. In the Nurses' Study, replacement of just 5 % energy from saturated fat with unsaturated fat was associated with a 42% reduction in CVD risk,¹⁷⁰ indicating that independent of energy content, CVD risk is affected by the composition of the diet. This view is reinforced by data on trans fats. In a meta-analysis of 4 studies, a 2% increase in energy consumption from trans fats was found to be associated with a 23% increase in cardiovascular events. A diet high in trans fatty acids has also been associated with 3-fold increase in sudden cardiac death¹⁷¹. Conversely, men who adhered to a "prudent" diet (consisting of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, fish and poultry) have half the CVD risk of men on a "Western" diet (red meat, processed meat, refined grains, sweets and dairy products).¹⁷² Similarly, in the PREDIMED trial in which 7447 persons were followed for 4.8 years, a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts improved the atheroprotective effects of HDL¹⁷³, delayed atherogenesis¹⁷⁴, and reduced the incidence of major cardiovascular events (HR=0.7),¹⁷⁵ further underscoring the profound influence of diet on CVD risk and outcomes.

Diet affects all the major CVD risk factors. Consumption of saturated fat increases cholesterol levels and high salt intake, the prevalence of hypertension. *Trans* fats raise LDL cholesterol, but reduce HDL and the size of the LDL particle, making LDL more atherogenic.¹⁷¹ In communities where the consumption of sodium is <1 g/day, the prevalence of hypertension is only 1% of that in industrialized societies, and Tarahumara Indians of Mexico, who consume low (<100 mg/day) levels of cholesterol and fat, have low LDL levels and very low rates of CVD.¹⁷⁶ Dietary patterns also affect obesity and T2D, both of which are strongly associated with Western diet,¹⁷⁷ saturated fat intake, and frequent consumption of processed meat.¹⁷⁸ The association between CVD risk factors, such as T2D, is substantiated by dietary intervention studies, which show that modification in diet are particularly effective in reducing the rate of progression from glucose intolerance to frank diabetes.¹⁷⁹ Appropriate changes in nutrition can even reverse CVD. In the DIRECT-Carotid trial, a low-fat Mediterranean diet was associated with a decrease in both the carotid-wall volume and carotid artery thickness.¹⁸⁰ However, the molecular and the cellular mechanisms by which dietary components affect CVD risk factors are likely complex and as yet incompletely understood.

Physical Activity

Physical activity is a central feature of healthy living and throughout evolution. It must have been important for obtaining means for survival (food, material goods, protection, mates, etc) and for avoiding natural or predatory threats. Whatever the reasons, physical inactivity in contemporary humans is strongly associated with CVD risk. It increases the risk of coronary disease by 45%; stroke by 60%; hypertension by 30%; and T2D by 50%, and it has been estimated that 13% of all premature deaths in US could be attributed to physical inactivity.¹⁸¹ Physical inactivity due to prolonged bed rest leads to a decrease in whole body insulin sensitivity within the first 3 days of inactivity,¹⁸¹ and after 12 weeks, to an 8%

decrease in LV mass.¹⁸² Conversely, regular exercise reduces CVD risk.¹⁸³ The effects are dose-dependent; moderate physical activity is associated with a 26% reduction in CVD risk, whereas high intensity activities with 42% risk reduction. Moderate to high intensity exercise has been shown to increase life expectancy by 1.3 to 3.7 years and active individuals remain free of CVD 1–3 years longer than their sedentary peers.¹⁸⁴

Several mechanisms can account for the salubrious effects of exercise.¹⁸³ Vigorous physical activity increases myocardial oxygen supply and improves myocardial contraction and electrical stability. In addition, exercise increases HDL levels, while decreasing LDL-C, blood pressure, blood coagulation, systemic inflammation and insulin resistance. Even moderate levels of activity improve lipoprotein profiles and glucose homeostasis. At least some of these effects may be related to an improvement in NO production. Nevertheless, it is unclear how physical activity impacts CVD risk correlates such as cholesterol, blood pressure and insulin sensitivity - which specific metabolic, cellular and metabolic processes are affected and how does physical activity modify the nature and the inter-relationships between different processes that regulate cardiovascular homeostasis and health. Moreover, changes in CVD risk factors and inflammatory/hemostatic factors account for only about 60% of beneficial effects of exercise.¹⁸⁵ Factors that contribute to the other 40% of the protective effects of exercise on CVD remain unknown.

A better understanding of the effects of physical activity is required not only to devise new strategies to promote cardiovascular health and improve athletic performance, but to identify the environmental factors that promote or prevent physical activity. Depression may be one such barrier to physical activity. Individuals who are depressed are physically inactive and therefore the association between depression and CVD could be largely explained by physical inactivity.¹⁸⁶ Other barriers to physical activity in modern environments include labor saving devices, efficient transportation, and entertainment modalities that do not require physical activity. Moreover, the built environment, particularly in the US, does not demand, support, or even encourage physical activity. Thus like diet, physical inactivity is not just a lifestyle choice, but a social problem. Therefore, even though personal education and individual motivation remain the bedrock of prevention, community-wide changes in neighborhood characteristics, the built environment, recreational opportunities, and academic curricula are required to create an environment conducive to physical activity.

Smoking

No other personal choice has a more negative impact on cardiovascular health than smoking. On average, adults who smoke die 13 to 14 years earlier than non-smokers. In the US, smoking is associated with 443,000 premature deaths per annum, resulting in a yearly loss of over 5 million potential life years and \$193 billion in direct medical costs and lost productivity.¹⁸⁷ Yet, nearly 16% Americans continue to smoke and each day nearly 2,100 youth and young adults become daily smokers (CDC 2016). World-wide, nearly 20% people smoke. About 5 trillion cigarettes are manufactured each year – nearly 1,000 cigarettes for every person on the planet; 15 billion cigarettes are sold daily, which corresponds to 10 million cigarettes every minute. Although in developed countries, smoking has declined by

40–50% from its peak in the $1960s^{187}$, worldwide nearly one billion people continue to smoke.

Although smoking increases the risk of developing lung cancer and respiratory disease, nearly half of the premature mortality associated with smoking is due to CVD. Smokers have a nearly 2-fold higher risk of coronary disease and a 10-fold higher risk of developing peripheral artery disease.¹⁸⁸ Smokers are also more susceptible to arrhythmias, stroke and sudden cardiac death.¹⁸⁹ Smoking decreases regional left ventricular function even in asymptomatic individuals and significantly (45-80%) increases the risk of heart failure. Compared with non-smokers, even light smoker who smoke 6–9 cigarettes per day have a relative MI risk of 2.1.190 That even low level exposure to tobacco smoke can increase CVD risk is amply supported by studies showing the high CVD risk of exposure to secondhand smoke¹⁹¹ and the significant decrease in CVD mortality in communities after smoking ban. ¹⁹² The high vulnerability of smokers to heart disease underscores the high susceptibility of cardiovascular tissues to inhaled pollutants. Studies on air pollution, secondhand smoke exposure, and smoking have consistently demonstrated cardiovascular injury at levels and durations of exposure much smaller than those associated with lung cancer or even respiratory disease. In addition, exposure to several chemicals including bisphenol A and phthalates,¹⁹³ persistent organic pollutants¹⁹⁴, as well as VOCs such as acrolein, benzene and butadiene¹³⁶ have been found to be associated with increased CVD risk. Indeed, the WHO estimates that CVD is the leading cause of death due to exposure to environmental pollutants and the number of CVD deaths far exceed those due to cancer and respiratory disease (Figure 3). Reasons for the high vulnerability of cardiovascular tissue remain unclear, but may relate to poor xenobiotic metabolism in these tissues and their direct exposure to blood borne toxins.

While the mechanisms by which smoking increases CVD risk are not fully understood, it seems to affect CVD independent of other risk factors.¹⁵⁸ A meta-analysis of 54 different studies suggests that smoking increases LDL-C and decreases HDL, but changes in lipids account for <10% of the excessive CVD risk in smokers.¹⁸⁹ Similarly, even though smoking acutely affects blood pressure, smokers tend to maintain a lower blood pressure and antihypertensive therapy does not completely mitigate the CVD risk of smoking. The contribution of insulin resistance is also uncertain; some studies show that smoking increases insulin resistance but others have found no association between smoking and incident diabetes.¹⁹⁵ The mechanisms that mediate the risk of smoking remain to be identified, and even though cardiovascular injury due to tobacco smoke exposure has been directly demonstrated in animal models,¹⁸⁹ it is unclear which processes are most vulnerable to exposure and which components of tobacco smoke inflict cardiovascular injury. The problem stems in part from the complexity of the tobacco smoke, which contains more than 4000 different chemicals, making it difficult to attribute cardiovascular injury to specific chemicals, or to generate dose-response relationships. Nevertheless, it is generally believed that the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) generated by combustion contribute to the harmful effects of smoking. Based on this assumption, new devices have been developed that deliver nicotine in an aerosol of propylene glycol and vegetable glycerin. Some investigators believe that these devices - e-cigarettes - are less harmful than combustible cigarettes¹⁹⁶ and that they promote cessation,¹⁹⁷ a view that is shared by many in the general

public. As a result the use of e-cigarette is spreading. In 2014, 3.7% of American adults (9 million people) used e-cigarettes and the use of e-cigarettes among middle and high school students has tripled from 2013 to 2014, accounting for more than 13% of high school students. Currently nearly 2.5 million youth use e-cigarettes (CDC 2014). However, the safety profile of e-cigarettes is unknown.¹⁹⁸ While they do not contain many of the harmful or potentially harmful substances generated by combustion, they emit significant levels of reactive aldehydes that have been found to cause cardiovascular toxicity¹⁹⁹ as well as PM²⁰⁰ of the same size range that increases CVD risk and mortality.¹²⁴ Nevertheless, some health advocates find the residual risk associated with e-cigarettes acceptable.²⁰¹ They believe that e-cigarettes are a safer alternative to combustible cigarettes and their widespread use and acceptance could lessen the burden of tobacco-induced disease. Others, however, are less certain and remain concerned about the potential health effects of nicotine as well as the chemicals present in e-cigarette aerosols.²⁰¹ They are also concerned about the uptake of ecigarettes by youth who would not otherwise smoke cigarettes and the renormalization of smoking,¹⁹⁸ which has been a major contributing factor to the decline in the number of smokers in the last 5 decades. Regardless, of the impact e-cigarettes might have on cardiovascular health in future, the advent and spread of e-cigarettes is an important case study of how environmental factors – society, culture, advertisement and regulatory policy – influence CVD risk and affect cardiovascular health.

Perspective

Overall, the evidence discussed here provides ample support to the view that the CVD is an environmental disease. The disease stems largely from living in unconducive environments, and is sustained, in part, by a state of constant dyssynchrony with the rhythms of the natural environment and a mismatch between our ancient genes and our contemporary environments. This view provides a new perspective on CVD prevention and treatment, which suggests that enduring gains against the disease may be made by investigations into environmental origins of the disease, investigations that might be beyond the confines of the laboratory or the clinic, but within the domain of empiric inquiry.

An integrated environmental view of CVD also underscores the importance of prevention. As discussed, much of CVD is preventable and since the 1960s, primary prevention has been the major reason for the decline in CVD mortality.¹ In comparison with improved clinical care and treatment, preventive measures are likely to garner more widespread and enduring gains and, if not cost-prohibitive, could be adopted worldwide, even in resource-poor countries, which now seemed destined to bear the largest brunt of this epidemic. But most prevention strategies to date have been focused on individual interventions. However, if a majority of CVD is attributable to the environment, more widespread gains against the disease could be accrued by collective actions such as restructuring cities, minimizing pollution, increasing access to better nutrition, walkable areas, and urban greenspaces, rather than targeting individual behaviors and choices alone. Additional gains could be accrued by addressing both temporal and genetic dyssynchrony with the environment, in order to develop more individualized preventive approaches, approaches that recognize that each individuals is a unique product of his or her evolutionary, social and cultural history. Such a recognition of the role of the environment may also help in addressing social, economic and

cultural differences that fuel and sustain health disparities, even in affluent societies. But to fully redeem the promise of this approach, we would have to commit significant resources to this line of investigation, which has traditionally received less attention and funding than other approaches. But given the predominant role of the environment, unprecedented efforts have to be made to assess individually the impact of the natural, social and personal domains of the environment, and to understand how these domains influence the effects of other domains, and how such interactions collectively affect CVD. Hence, the challenge to future investigators is not only to systematically unweave the strands of environmental influences, but to integrate the effects of the various components of the environment into a comprehensive model. Such a model might explain how the effects of the natural environment are moderated or amplified by the social and personal environments and how the effects of the social and personal environment are limited or modified by the natural environment. Much work remains to done to develop and test such a model, but even an incremental advance in our understanding of the environmental bases of CVD might help in devising more effective prevention and intervention strategies that could meaningfully slow down or halt the ominous progression and spread of CVD in modern societies.

Acknowledgments

Work in the author's laboratory is supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (ES019217, HL120163, HL120746, and HL55477).

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

CVD	cardiovascular disease		
MI	myocardial infarction		
CHD	coronary heart disease		
UV	ultraviolet		
SES	socioeconomic status		
RR	Relative risk		
HR	Hazard ratio		
PM	particulate matter		
VOC	volatile organic chemicals		
dB	decibel		
T2D	Type 2 Diabetes		
CDC	Centers for Disease Control		

References

- Mensah GA, Wei GS, Sorlie PD, Fine LJ, Rosenberg Y, Kaufmann PG, Mussolino ME, Hsu LL, Addou E, Engelgau MM, Gordon D. Decline in Cardiovascular Mortality: Possible Causes and Implications. Circ Res. 2017; 120:366–380. [PubMed: 28104770]
- 2. Heidenreich PA, Trogdon JG, Khavjou OA, Butler J, Dracup K, Ezekowitz MD, Finkelstein EA, Hong Y, Johnston SC, Khera A, Lloyd-Jones DM, Nelson SA, Nichol G, Orenstein D, Wilson PW, Woo YJ. American Heart Association Advocacy Coordinating C, Stroke C, Council on Cardiovascular R, Intervention, Council on Clinical C, Council on E, Prevention, Council on A, Thrombosis, Vascular B, Council on C, Critical C, Perioperative, Resuscitation, Council on Cardiovascular N, Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular D, Council on Cardiovascular S, Anesthesia, Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of C and Outcomes R. Forecasting the future of cardiovascular disease in the United States: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2011; 123:933–44. [PubMed: 21262990]
- Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Manson JE, Rimm EB, Willett WC. Primary prevention of coronary heart disease in women through diet and lifestyle. N Engl J Med. 2000; 343:16–22. [PubMed: 10882764]
- 4. Chiuve SE, Rexrode KM, Spiegelman D, Logroscino G, Manson JE, Rimm EB. Primary prevention of stroke by healthy lifestyle. Circulation. 2008; 118:947–54. [PubMed: 18697819]
- Akesson A, Weismayer C, Newby PK, Wolk A. Combined effect of low-risk dietary and lifestyle behaviors in primary prevention of myocardial infarction in women. Arch Intern Med. 2007; 167:2122–7. [PubMed: 17954808]
- Critchley J, Liu J, Zhao D, Wei W, Capewell S. Explaining the increase in coronary heart disease mortality in Beijing between 1984 and 1999. Circulation. 2004; 110:1236–44. [PubMed: 15337690]
- Pekka P, Pirjo P, Ulla U. Influencing public nutrition for non-communicable disease prevention: from community intervention to national programme--experiences from Finland. Public Health Nutr. 2002; 5:245–51. [PubMed: 12027291]
- Zatonski WA, Willett W. Changes in dietary fat and declining coronary heart disease in Poland: population based study. BMJ. 2005; 331:187–8. [PubMed: 16037448]
- Unal B, Critchley JA, Capewell S. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in England and Wales between 1981 and 2000. Circulation. 2004; 109:1101–7. [PubMed: 14993137]
- Ford ES, Ajani UA, Croft JB, Critchley JA, Labarthe DR, Kottke TE, Giles WH, Capewell S. Explaining the decrease in U.S. deaths from coronary disease, 1980–2000. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356:2388–98. [PubMed: 17554120]
- Worth RM, Kato H, Rhoads GG, Kagan K, Syme SL. Epidemiologic studies of coronary heart disease and stroke in Japanese men living in Japan, Hawaii and California: mortality. Am J Epidemiol. 1975; 102:481–90. [PubMed: 1202950]
- Patel JV, Vyas A, Cruickshank JK, Prabhakaran D, Hughes E, Reddy KS, Mackness MI, Bhatnagar D, Durrington PN. Impact of migration on coronary heart disease risk factors: comparison of Gujaratis in Britain and their contemporaries in villages of origin in India. Atherosclerosis. 2006; 185:297–306. [PubMed: 16005463]
- Hedlund E, Kaprio J, Lange A, Koskenvuo M, Jartti L, Ronnemaa T, Hammar N. Migration and coronary heart disease: A study of Finnish twins living in Sweden and their co-twins residing in Finland. Scand J Public Health. 2007; 35:468–74. [PubMed: 17852979]
- Martino T, Arab S, Straume M, Belsham DD, Tata N, Cai F, Liu P, Trivieri M, Ralph M, Sole MJ. Day/night rhythms in gene expression of the normal murine heart. J Mol Med. 2004; 82:256–64. [PubMed: 14985853]
- McNamara P, Seo SB, Rudic RD, Sehgal A, Chakravarti D, FitzGerald GA. Regulation of CLOCK and MOP4 by nuclear hormone receptors in the vasculature: a humoral mechanism to reset a peripheral clock. Cell. 2001; 105:877–89. [PubMed: 11439184]
- Martino TA, Sole MJ. Molecular time: an often overlooked dimension to cardiovascular disease. Circ Res. 2009; 105:1047–61. [PubMed: 19926881]
- Muller JE, Stone PH, Turi ZG, Rutherford JD, Czeisler CA, Parker C, Poole WK, Passamani E, Roberts R, Robertson T, et al. Circadian variation in the frequency of onset of acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 1985; 313:1315–22. [PubMed: 2865677]

- Cohen MC, Rohtla KM, Lavery CE, Muller JE, Mittleman MA. Meta-analysis of the morning excess of acute myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death. Am J Cardiol. 1997; 79:1512–6. [PubMed: 9185643]
- 19. Muller JE, Tofler GH, Stone PH. Circadian variation and triggers of onset of acute cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 1989; 79:733–43. [PubMed: 2647318]
- Mehta RH, Manfredini R, Hassan F, Sechtem U, Bossone E, Oh JK, Cooper JV, Smith DE, Portaluppi F, Penn M, Hutchison S, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM, Eagle KA. Chronobiological patterns of acute aortic dissection. Circulation. 2002; 106:1110–5. [PubMed: 12196337]
- Sumiyoshi M, Kojima S, Arima M, Suwa S, Nakazato Y, Sakurai H, Kanoh T, Nakata Y, Daida H. Circadian, weekly, and seasonal variation at the onset of acute aortic dissection. Am J Cardiol. 2002; 89:619–23. [PubMed: 11867056]
- Mukamal KJ, Muller JE, Maclure M, Sherwood JB, Mittleman MA. Increased risk of congestive heart failure among infarctions with nighttime onset. Am Heart J. 2000; 140:438–42. [PubMed: 10966545]
- Henriques JP, Haasdijk AP, Zijlstra F. Outcome of primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction during routine duty hours versus during off-hours. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41:2138– 42. [PubMed: 12821237]
- Durgan DJ, Pulinilkunnil T, Villegas-Montoya C, Garvey ME, Frangogiannis NG, Michael LH, Chow CW, Dyck JR, Young ME. Short communication: ischemia/reperfusion tolerance is time-ofday-dependent: mediation by the cardiomyocyte circadian clock. Circ Res. 2010; 106:546–50. [PubMed: 20007913]
- 25. Knutson KL, Ryden AM, Mander BA, Van Cauter E. Role of sleep duration and quality in the risk and severity of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Arch Intern Med. 2006; 166:1768–74. [PubMed: 16983057]
- Kohatsu ND, Tsai R, Young T, Vangilder R, Burmeister LF, Stromquist AM, Merchant JA. Sleep duration and body mass index in a rural population. Arch Intern Med. 2006; 166:1701–5. [PubMed: 16983047]
- 27. Gangwisch JE, Heymsfield SB, Boden-Albala B, Buijs RM, Kreier F, Pickering TG, Rundle AG, Zammit GK, Malaspina D. Short sleep duration as a risk factor for hypertension: analyses of the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Hypertension. 2006; 47:833–9. [PubMed: 16585410]
- Kawachi I, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Manson JE, Speizer FE, Hennekens CH. Prospective study of shift work and risk of coronary heart disease in women. Circulation. 1995; 92:3178–82. [PubMed: 7586301]
- Knutsson A, Boggild H. Shiftwork and cardiovascular disease: review of disease mechanisms. Rev Environ Health. 2000; 15:359–72. [PubMed: 11199246]
- 30. Morris CJ, Purvis TE, Hu K, Scheer FA. Circadian misalignment increases cardiovascular disease risk factors in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113:E1402–11. [PubMed: 26858430]
- Scheer FA, Hilton MF, Mantzoros CS, Shea SA. Adverse metabolic and cardiovascular consequences of circadian misalignment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:4453–8. [PubMed: 19255424]
- 32. Marti-Soler H, Gubelmann C, Aeschbacher S, Alves L, Bobak M, Bongard V, Clays E, de Gaetano G, Di Castelnuovo A, Elosua R, Ferrieres J, Guessous I, Igland J, Jorgensen T, Nikitin Y, O'Doherty MG, Palmieri L, Ramos R, Simons J, Sulo G, Vanuzzo D, Vila J, Barros H, Borglykke A, Conen D, De Bacquer D, Donfrancesco C, Gaspoz JM, Giampaoli S, Giles GG, Iacoviello L, Kee F, Kubinova R, Malyutina S, Marrugat J, Prescott E, Ruidavets JB, Scragg R, Simons LA, Tamosiunas A, Tell GS, Vollenweider P, Marques-Vidal P. Seasonality of cardiovascular risk factors: an analysis including over 230 000 participants in 15 countries. Heart. 2014; 100:1517–23. [PubMed: 24879630]
- 33. Tung P, Wiviott SD, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, McCabe CH, Gibson CM. Seasonal variation in lipids in patients following acute coronary syndrome on fixed doses of Pravastatin (40 mg) or Atorvastatin (80 mg) (from the Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 22 [PROVE IT-TIMI 22] Study). Am J Cardiol. 2009; 103:1056–60. [PubMed: 19361589]

- 34. Laplaud PM, Beaubatie L, Maurel D. A spontaneously seasonal hypercholesterolemic animal: plasma lipids and lipoproteins in the European badger (Meles meles L.). J Lipid Res. 1980; 21:724–38. [PubMed: 7419984]
- Hermida RC, Calvo C, Ayala DE, Lopez JE, Fernandez JR, Mojon A, Dominguez MJ, Covelo M. Seasonal variation of fibrinogen in dipper and nondipper hypertensive patients. Circulation. 2003; 108:1101–6. [PubMed: 12912809]
- 36. Rudnicka AR, Rumley A, Lowe GD, Strachan DP. Diurnal, seasonal, and blood-processing patterns in levels of circulating fibrinogen, fibrin D-dimer, C-reactive protein, tissue plasminogen activator, and von Willebrand factor in a 45-year-old population. Circulation. 2007; 115:996–1003. [PubMed: 17296859]
- 37. Tanaka H, Shinjo M, Tsukuma H, Kawazuma Y, Shimoji S, Kinoshita N, Morita T. Seasonal variation in mortality from ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular disease in Okinawa and Osaka: the possible role of air temperature. J Epidemiol. 2000; 10:392–8. [PubMed: 11210108]
- Barnett AG, de Looper M, Fraser JF. The seasonality in heart failure deaths and total cardiovascular deaths. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2008; 32:408–13. [PubMed: 18959541]
- Pell JP, Cobbe SM. Seasonal variations in coronary heart disease. QJM. 1999; 92:689–96. [PubMed: 10581331]
- Spencer FA, Goldberg RJ, Becker RC, Gore JM. Seasonal distribution of acute myocardial infarction in the second National Registry of Myocardial Infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998; 31:1226–33. [PubMed: 9581712]
- 41. Sheth T, Nair C, Muller J, Yusuf S. Increased winter mortality from acute myocardial infarction and stroke: the effect of age. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1999; 33:1916–9. [PubMed: 10362193]
- 42. Marchant B, Ranjadayalan K, Stevenson R, Wilkinson P, Timmis AD. Circadian and seasonal factors in the pathogenesis of acute myocardial infarction: the influence of environmental temperature. Br Heart J. 1993; 69:385–7. [PubMed: 8518058]
- 43. Argiles A, Mourad G, Mion C. Seasonal changes in blood pressure in patients with end-stage renal disease treated with hemodialysis. N Engl J Med. 1998; 339:1364–70. [PubMed: 9801397]
- 44. Hayward JM, Holmes WF, Gooden BA. Cardiovascular responses in man to a stream of cold air. Cardiovasc Res. 1976; 10:691–6. [PubMed: 991168]
- 45. Widlansky ME, Vita JA, Keyes MJ, Larson MG, Hamburg NM, Levy D, Mitchell GF, Osypiuk EW, Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ. Relation of season and temperature to endothelium-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation in subjects without clinical evidence of cardiovascular disease (from the Framingham Heart Study). Am J Cardiol. 2007; 100:518–23. [PubMed: 17659939]
- 46. Wolf K, Schneider A, Breitner S, von Klot S, Meisinger C, Cyrys J, Hymer H, Wichmann HE, Peters A. Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg Study G. Air temperature and the occurrence of myocardial infarction in Augsburg, Germany. Circulation. 2009; 120:735–42. [PubMed: 19687361]
- Verberkmoes NJ, Soliman Hamad MA, Ter Woorst JF, Tan ME, Peels CH, van Straten AH. Impact of temperature and atmospheric pressure on the incidence of major acute cardiovascular events. Neth Heart J. 2012; 20:193–6. [PubMed: 22328355]
- Kloner RA, Poole WK, Perritt RL. When throughout the year is coronary death most likely to occur? A 12-year population-based analysis of more than 220 000 cases. Circulation. 1999; 100:1630–4. [PubMed: 10517734]
- Gold DR, Mittleman MA. New insights into pollution and the cardiovascular system: 2010 to 2012. Circulation. 2013; 127:1903–13. [PubMed: 23648681]
- Ostro B, Rauch S, Green R, Malig B, Basu R. The effects of temperature and use of air conditioning on hospitalizations. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 172:1053–61. [PubMed: 20829270]
- Yang L, Lof M, Veierod MB, Sandin S, Adami HO, Weiderpass E. Ultraviolet exposure and mortality among women in Sweden. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011; 20:683–90. [PubMed: 21297041]
- 52. Lindqvist PG, Epstein E, Nielsen K, Landin-Olsson M, Ingvar C, Olsson H. Avoidance of sun exposure as a risk factor for major causes of death: a competing risk analysis of the Melanoma in Southern Sweden cohort. J Intern Med. 2016

- Donneyong MM, Taylor KC, Kerber RA, Hornung CA, Scragg R. Is outdoor recreational activity an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease mortality - NHANES III? Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2016; 26:735–42. [PubMed: 27089974]
- Grimes DS, Hindle E, Dyer T. Sunlight, cholesterol and coronary heart disease. QJM. 1996; 89:579–89. [PubMed: 8935479]
- Clemens TL, Adams JS, Henderson SL, Holick MF. Increased skin pigment reduces the capacity of skin to synthesise vitamin D3. Lancet. 1982; 1:74–6. [PubMed: 6119494]
- Alemzadeh R, Kichler J, Babar G, Calhoun M. Hypovitaminosis D in obese children and adolescents: relationship with adiposity, insulin sensitivity, ethnicity, and season. Metabolism. 2008; 57:183–91. [PubMed: 18191047]
- 57. Cheng S, Massaro JM, Fox CS, Larson MG, Keyes MJ, McCabe EL, Robins SJ, O'Donnell CJ, Hoffmann U, Jacques PF, Booth SL, Vasan RS, Wolf M, Wang TJ. Adiposity, cardiometabolic risk, and vitamin D status: the Framingham Heart Study. Diabetes. 2010; 59:242–8. [PubMed: 19833894]
- Rostand SG. Ultraviolet light may contribute to geographic and racial blood pressure differences. Hypertension. 1997; 30:150–6. [PubMed: 9260973]
- Kokot F, Schmidt-Gayk H, Wiecek A, Mleczko Z, Bracel B. Influence of ultraviolet irradiation on plasma vitamin D and calcitonin levels in humans. Kidney Int Suppl. 1989; 27:S143–6. [PubMed: 2636650]
- 60. Krause R, Buhring M, Hopfenmuller W, Holick MF, Sharma AM. Ultraviolet B and blood pressure. Lancet. 1998; 352:709–10.
- 61. Sugden A, Smith J, Pennisi E. The future of forests. Science. 2008; 320:1435.
- 62. Lee JH, O'Keefe JH, Bell D, Hensrud DD, Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency an important, common, and easily treatable cardiovascular risk factor? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52:1949–56. [PubMed: 19055985]
- Bouillon R, Carmeliet G, Verlinden L, van Etten E, Verstuyf A, Luderer HF, Lieben L, Mathieu C, Demay M. Vitamin D and human health: lessons from vitamin D receptor null mice. Endocr Rev. 2008; 29:726–76. [PubMed: 18694980]
- 64. Wang TJ. Vitamin D and Cardiovascular Disease. Annu Rev Med. 2016; 67:261–72. [PubMed: 26768241]
- 65. Pilz S, Verheyen N, Grubler MR, Tomaschitz A, Marz W. Vitamin D and cardiovascular disease prevention. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2016; 13:404–17. [PubMed: 27150190]
- Kunutsor SK, Apekey TA, Steur M. Vitamin D and risk of future hypertension: meta-analysis of 283,537 participants. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013; 28:205–21. [PubMed: 23456138]
- 67. Autier P, Gandini S. Vitamin D supplementation and total mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med. 2007; 167:1730–7. [PubMed: 17846391]
- Bjelakovic G, Gluud LL, Nikolova D, Whitfield K, Wetterslev J, Simonetti RG, Bjelakovic M, Gluud C. Vitamin D supplementation for prevention of mortality in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014:CD007470. [PubMed: 24414552]
- 69. Witte KK, Byrom R, Gierula J, Paton MF, Jamil HA, Lowry JE, Gillott RG, Barnes SA, Chumun H, Kearney LC, Greenwood JP, Plein S, Law GR, Pavitt S, Barth JH, Cubbon RM, Kearney MT. Effects of Vitamin D on Cardiac Function in Patients With Chronic HF: The VINDICATE Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 67:2593–603. [PubMed: 27058906]
- Oplander C, Volkmar CM, Paunel-Gorgulu A, van Faassen EE, Heiss C, Kelm M, Halmer D, Murtz M, Pallua N, Suschek CV. Whole body UVA irradiation lowers systemic blood pressure by release of nitric oxide from intracutaneous photolabile nitric oxide derivates. Circ Res. 2009; 105:1031–40. [PubMed: 19797169]
- 71. West MB, Rokosh G, Obal D, Velayutham M, Xuan YT, Hill BG, Keith RJ, Schrader J, Guo Y, Conklin DJ, Prabhu SD, Zweier JL, Bolli R, Bhatnagar A. Cardiac myocyte-specific expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase protects against ischemia/reperfusion injury by preventing mitochondrial permeability transition. Circulation. 2008; 118:1970–8. [PubMed: 18936326]
- 72. Sansbury BE, Cummins TD, Tang Y, Hellmann J, Holden CR, Harbeson MA, Chen Y, Patel RP, Spite M, Bhatnagar A, Hill BG. Overexpression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase prevents diet-

induced obesity and regulates adipocyte phenotype. Circ Res. 2012; 111:1176–89. [PubMed: 22896587]

- 73. Geldenhuys S, Hart PH, Endersby R, Jacoby P, Feelisch M, Weller RB, Matthews V, Gorman S. Ultraviolet radiation suppresses obesity and symptoms of metabolic syndrome independently of vitamin D in mice fed a high-fat diet. Diabetes. 2014; 63:3759–69. [PubMed: 25342734]
- 74. Muggeridge DJ, Sculthorpe N, Grace FM, Willis G, Thornhill L, Weller RB, James PE, Easton C. Acute whole body UVA irradiation combined with nitrate ingestion enhances time trial performance in trained cyclists. Nitric Oxide. 2015; 48:3–9. [PubMed: 25289793]
- Burtscher M. Effects of living at higher altitudes on mortality: a narrative review. Aging Dis. 2014; 5:274–80. [PubMed: 25110611]
- 76. Fujimoto N, Matsubayashi K, Miyahara T, Murai A, Matsuda M, Shio H, Suzuki H, Kameyama M, Saito A, Shuping L. The risk factors for ischemic heart disease in Tibetan highlanders. Jpn Heart J. 1989; 30:27–34. [PubMed: 2724529]
- 77. Arias-Stella J, Saldana M. The Terminal Portion of the Pulmonary Arterial Tree in People Native to High Altitudes. Circulation. 1963; 28:915–25. [PubMed: 14079195]
- Penaloza D, Arias-Stella J. The heart and pulmonary circulation at high altitudes: healthy highlanders and chronic mountain sickness. Circulation. 2007; 115:1132–46. [PubMed: 17339571]
- Wilkins MR, Ghofrani HA, Weissmann N, Aldashev A, Zhao L. Pathophysiology and treatment of high-altitude pulmonary vascular disease. Circulation. 2015; 131:582–90. [PubMed: 25666980]
- de Mendoza S, Nucete H, Ineichen E, Salazar E, Zerpa A, Glueck CJ. Lipids and lipoproteins in subjects at 1,000 and 3,500 meter altitudes. Arch Environ Health. 1979; 34:308–11. [PubMed: 227334]
- Sharma S. Clinical, biochemical, electrocardiographic and noninvasive hemodynamic assessment of cardiovascular status in natives at high to extreme altitudes (3000m–5500m) of the Himalayan region. Indian Heart J. 1990; 42:375–9. [PubMed: 2086444]
- Mohanna S, Baracco R, Seclen S. Lipid profile, waist circumference, and body mass index in a high altitude population. High Alt Med Biol. 2006; 7:245–55. [PubMed: 16978137]
- Dominguez Coello S, Cabrera De Leon A, Bosa Ojeda F, Perez Mendez LI, Diaz Gonzalez L, Aguirre-Jaime AJ. High density lipoprotein cholesterol increases with living altitude. Int J Epidemiol. 2000; 29:65–70. [PubMed: 10750605]
- Cabrera de Leon A, Gonzalez DA, Mendez LI, Aguirre-Jaime A, del Cristo Rodriguez Perez M, Coello SD, Trujillo IC. Leptin and altitude in the cardiovascular diseases. Obes Res. 2004; 12:1492–8. [PubMed: 15483214]
- Fronek K, Alexander N. Sympathetic activity, lipids accumulation, and arterial wall morphology in rabbits at high altitude. Am J Physiol. 1986; 250:R485–92. [PubMed: 3953856]
- Ferezou J, Richalet JP, Coste T, Rathat C. Changes in plasma lipids and lipoprotein cholesterol during a high altitude mountaineering expedition (4800 m). Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1988; 57:740–5. [PubMed: 3416860]
- 87. Schobersberger W, Schmid P, Lechleitner M, von Duvillard SP, Hortnagl H, Gunga HC, Klingler A, Fries D, Kirsch K, Spiesberger R, Pokan R, Hofmann P, Hoppichler F, Riedmann G, Baumgartner H, Humpeler E. Austrian Moderate Altitude Study 2000 (AMAS 2000). The effects of moderate altitude (1,700 m) on cardiovascular and metabolic variables in patients with metabolic syndrome. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2003; 88:506–14. [PubMed: 12560948]
- Ferezou J, Richalet JP, Serougne C, Coste T, Wirquin E, Mathe D. Reduction of postprandial lipemia after acute exposure to high altitude hypoxia. Int J Sports Med. 1993; 14:78–85. [PubMed: 8463029]
- Baibas N, Trichopoulou A, Voridis E, Trichopoulos D. Residence in mountainous compared with lowland areas in relation to total and coronary mortality. A study in rural Greece. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005; 59:274–8. [PubMed: 15767379]
- Hart J. Heart Disease Death Rates in Low Versus High Land Elevation Counties in the U.S. Dose Response. 2015; 13
- Faeh D, Gutzwiller F, Bopp M. Lower mortality from coronary heart disease and stroke at higher altitudes in Switzerland. Circulation. 2009; 120:495–501. [PubMed: 19635973]

- Zittermann A, Schleithoff SS, Koerfer R. Putting cardiovascular disease and vitamin D insufficiency into perspective. Br J Nutr. 2005; 94:483–92. [PubMed: 16197570]
- Holick MF, Chen TC, Lu Z, Sauter E. Vitamin D and skin physiology: a D-lightful story. J Bone Miner Res. 2007; 22(Suppl 2):V28–33. [PubMed: 18290718]
- 94. Faeh D, Moser A, Panczak R, Bopp M, Roosli M, Spoerri A. Swiss National Cohort Study G. Independent at heart: persistent association of altitude with ischaemic heart disease mortality after consideration of climate, topography and built environment. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2016; 70:798–806. [PubMed: 26791518]
- 95. Dadvand P, Bartoll X, Basagana X, Dalmau-Bueno A, Martinez D, Ambros A, Cirach M, Triguero-Mas M, Gascon M, Borrell C, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. Green spaces and General Health: Roles of mental health status, social support, and physical activity. Environ Int. 2016; 91:161–7. [PubMed: 26949869]
- 96. James P, Banay RF, Hart JE, Laden F. A Review of the Health Benefits of Greenness. Curr Epidemiol Rep. 2015; 2:131–142. [PubMed: 26185745]
- 97. Bhatnagar, A. Environmental Cardiology: Pollution and Heart Disease. Royal Society of Chemistry; 2011.
- Lovasi GS, Quinn JW, Neckerman KM, Perzanowski MS, Rundle A. Children living in areas with more street trees have lower prevalence of asthma. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2008; 62:647– 9. [PubMed: 18450765]
- 99. Markevych I, Thiering E, Fuertes E, Sugiri D, Berdel D, Koletzko S, von Berg A, Bauer CP, Heinrich J. A cross-sectional analysis of the effects of residential greenness on blood pressure in 10-year old children: results from the GINIplus and LISAplus studies. BMC Public Health. 2014; 14:477. [PubMed: 24886243]
- 100. Thiering E, Markevych I, Bruske I, Fuertes E, Kratzsch J, Sugiri D, Hoffmann B, von Berg A, Bauer CP, Koletzko S, Berdel D, Heinrich J. Associations of Residential Long-Term Air Pollution Exposures and Satellite-Derived Greenness with Insulin Resistance in German Adolescents. Environ Health Perspect. 2016; 124:1291–8. [PubMed: 26863688]
- 101. Maas J, Verheij RA, Groenewegen PP, de Vries S, Spreeuwenberg P. Green space, urbanity, and health: how strong is the relation? J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006; 60:587–92. [PubMed: 16790830]
- 102. Mitchell R, Popham F. Effect of exposure to natural environment on health inequalities: an observational population study. Lancet. 2008; 372:1655–60. [PubMed: 18994663]
- 103. Pereira G, Foster S, Martin K, Christian H, Boruff BJ, Knuiman M, Giles-Corti B. The association between neighborhood greenness and cardiovascular disease: an observational study. BMC Public Health. 2012; 12:466. [PubMed: 22720780]
- 104. Villeneuve PJ, Jerrett M, Su JG, Burnett RT, Chen H, Wheeler AJ, Goldberg MS. A cohort study relating urban green space with mortality in Ontario, Canada. Environ Res. 2012; 115:51–8. [PubMed: 22483437]
- 105. Wilker EH, Wu CD, McNeely E, Mostofsky E, Spengler J, Wellenius GA, Mittleman MA. Green space and mortality following ischemic stroke. Environ Res. 2014; 133:42–8. [PubMed: 24906067]
- 106. Donovan GH, Butry DT, Michael YL, Prestemon JP, Liebhold AM, Gatziolis D, Mao MY. The relationship between trees and human health: evidence from the spread of the emerald ash borer. Am J Prev Med. 2013; 44:139–45. [PubMed: 23332329]
- 107. James P, Hart JE, Banay RF, Laden F. Exposure to Greenness and Mortality in a Nationwide Prospective Cohort Study of Women. Environ Health Perspect. 2016; 124:1344–52. [PubMed: 27074702]
- Chaix B. Geographic life environments and coronary heart disease: a literature review, theoretical contributions, methodological updates, and a research agenda. Annu Rev Public Health. 2009; 30:81–105. [PubMed: 19705556]
- 109. Diez-Roux AV, Nieto FJ, Muntaner C, Tyroler HA, Comstock GW, Shahar E, Cooper LS, Watson RL, Szklo M. Neighborhood environments and coronary heart disease: a multilevel analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 146:48–63. [PubMed: 9215223]

- 110. Diez Roux AV, Merkin SS, Arnett D, Chambless L, Massing M, Nieto FJ, Sorlie P, Szklo M, Tyroler HA, Watson RL. Neighborhood of residence and incidence of coronary heart disease. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:99–106. [PubMed: 11450679]
- 111. Papas MA, Alberg AJ, Ewing R, Helzlsouer KJ, Gary TL, Klassen AC. The built environment and obesity. Epidemiol Rev. 2007; 29:129–43. [PubMed: 17533172]
- 112. Lovasi GS, Hutson MA, Guerra M, Neckerman KM. Built environments and obesity in disadvantaged populations. Epidemiol Rev. 2009; 31:7–20. [PubMed: 19589839]
- 113. Auchincloss AH, Diez Roux AV, Brown DG, Erdmann CA, Bertoni AG. Neighborhood resources for physical activity and healthy foods and their association with insulin resistance. Epidemiology. 2008; 19:146–57. [PubMed: 18091002]
- 114. Li F, Harmer P, Cardinal BJ, Bosworth M, Johnson-Shelton D, Moore JM, Acock A, Vongjaturapat N. Built environment and 1-year change in weight and waist circumference in middle-aged and older adults: Portland Neighborhood Environment and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2009; 169:401–8. [PubMed: 19153214]
- 115. Li F, Harmer P, Cardinal BJ, Bosworth M, Johnson-Shelton D. Obesity and the built environment: does the density of neighborhood fast-food outlets matter? Am J Health Promot. 2009; 23:203–9. [PubMed: 19149426]
- 116. Frank LD, Andresen MA, Schmid TL. Obesity relationships with community design, physical activity, and time spent in cars. Am J Prev Med. 2004; 27:87–96. [PubMed: 15261894]
- 117. Chaix B, Bean K, Leal C, Thomas F, Havard S, Evans D, Jego B, Pannier B. Individual/ neighborhood social factors and blood pressure in the RECORD Cohort Study: which risk factors explain the associations? Hypertension. 2010; 55:769–75. [PubMed: 20100998]
- 118. Hartung T. Toxicology for the twenty-first century. Nature. 2009; 460:208–12. [PubMed: 19587762]
- 119. Wilkening KE, Barrie LA, Engle M. Atmospheric science. trans-Pacific air pollution. Science. 2000; 290:65–7. [PubMed: 11183151]
- 120. Lelieveld J, Evans JS, Fnais M, Giannadaki D, Pozzer A. The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. Nature. 2015; 525:367–71. [PubMed: 26381985]
- 121. Caiazzo F, Ashok A, Waitz IA, Yim SH, Barrett SR. Air pollution and early deaths in the United States. Part I: Quantifying the impact of major sectors in 2005. Atmospheric Environment. 2013; 79:198–208.
- 122. Cosselman KE, Navas-Acien A, Kaufman JD. Environmental factors in cardiovascular disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2015; 12:627–42. [PubMed: 26461967]
- 123. Bhatnagar A. Environmental cardiology: studying mechanistic links between pollution and heart disease. Circ Res. 2006; 99:692–705. [PubMed: 17008598]
- 124. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA 3rd, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, Holguin F, Hong Y, Luepker RV, Mittleman MA, Peters A, Siscovick D, Smith SC Jr, Whitsel L, Kaufman JD. American Heart Association Council on E, Prevention CotKiCD, Council on Nutrition PA and Metabolism. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010; 121:2331–78. [PubMed: 20458016]
- 125. Puett RC, Hart JE, Suh H, Mittleman M, Laden F. Particulate matter exposures, mortality, and cardiovascular disease in the health professionals follow-up study. Environ Health Perspect. 2011; 119:1130–5. [PubMed: 21454146]
- 126. Pope CA 3rd, Bhatnagar A, McCracken JP, Abplanalp W, Conklin DJ, O'Toole T. Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution Is Associated With Endothelial Injury and Systemic Inflammation. Circ Res. 2016; 119:1204–1214. [PubMed: 27780829]
- 127. Bauer M, Moebus S, Mohlenkamp S, Dragano N, Nonnemacher M, Fuchsluger M, Kessler C, Jakobs H, Memmesheimer M, Erbel R, Jockel KH, Hoffmann B. Group HNRSI. Urban particulate matter air pollution is associated with subclinical atherosclerosis: results from the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 56:1803–8. [PubMed: 21087707]
- 128. Hoffmann B, Moebus S, Dragano N, Stang A, Mohlenkamp S, Schmermund A, Memmesheimer M, Brocker-Preuss M, Mann K, Erbel R, Jockel KH. Chronic residential exposure to particulate

matter air pollution and systemic inflammatory markers. Environ Health Perspect. 2009; 117:1302–8. [PubMed: 19672412]

- 129. DeJarnett N, Yeager R, Conklin DJ, Lee J, O'Toole TE, McCracken J, Abplanalp W, Srivastava S, Riggs DW, Hamzeh I, Wagner S, Chugh A, DeFilippis A, Ciszewski T, Wyatt B, Becher C, Higdon D, Ramos KS, Tollerud DJ, Myers JA, Rai SN, Shah J, Zafar N, Krishnasamy SS, Prabhu SD, Bhatnagar A. Residential Proximity to Major Roadways Is Associated With Increased Levels of AC133+ Circulating Angiogenic Cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2015; 35:2468–77. [PubMed: 26293462]
- 130. Li W, Dorans KS, Wilker EH, Rice MB, Schwartz J, Coull BA, Koutrakis P, Gold DR, Fox CS, Mittleman MA. Residential proximity to major roadways, fine particulate matter, and adiposity: The framingham heart study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016; 24:2593–2599. [PubMed: 27804220]
- 131. Kingsley SL, Eliot MN, Whitsel EA, Wang Y, Coull BA, Hou L, Margolis HG, Margolis KL, Mu L, Wu WC, Johnson KC, Allison MA, Manson JE, Eaton CB, Wellenius GA. Residential proximity to major roadways and incident hypertension in post-menopausal women. Environ Res. 2015; 142:522–8. [PubMed: 26282224]
- 132. Tonne C, Melly S, Mittleman M, Coull B, Goldberg R, Schwartz J. A case-control analysis of exposure to traffic and acute myocardial infarction. Environ Health Perspect. 2007; 115:53–7. [PubMed: 17366819]
- Hart JE, Chiuve SE, Laden F, Albert CM. Roadway proximity and risk of sudden cardiac death in women. Circulation. 2014; 130:1474–82. [PubMed: 25332277]
- 134. Wilker EH, Mostofsky E, Lue SH, Gold D, Schwartz J, Wellenius GA, Mittleman MA. Residential proximity to high-traffic roadways and poststroke mortality. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2013; 22:e366–72. [PubMed: 23721619]
- 135. Medina-Ramon M, Goldberg R, Melly S, Mittleman MA, Schwartz J. Residential exposure to traffic-related air pollution and survival after heart failure. Environ Health Perspect. 2008; 116:481–5. [PubMed: 18414630]
- Bhatnagar A. Cardiovascular pathophysiology of environmental pollutants. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2004; 286:H479–85. [PubMed: 14715496]
- 137. Conklin DJ, Barski OA, Lesgards JF, Juvan P, Rezen T, Rozman D, Prough RA, Vladykovskaya E, Liu S, Srivastava S, Bhatnagar A. Acrolein consumption induces systemic dyslipidemia and lipoprotein modification. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2010; 243:1–12. [PubMed: 20034506]
- 138. Conklin DJ, Bhatnagar A, Cowley HR, Johnson GH, Wiechmann RJ, Sayre LM, Trent MB, Boor PJ. Acrolein generation stimulates hypercontraction in isolated human blood vessels. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2006; 217:277–88. [PubMed: 17095030]
- Awe SO, Adeagbo AS, D'Souza SE, Bhatnagar A, Conklin DJ. Acrolein induces vasodilatation of rodent mesenteric bed via an EDHF-dependent mechanism. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2006; 217:266–76. [PubMed: 17069868]
- 140. Tsakadze NL, Srivastava S, Awe SO, Adeagbo AS, Bhatnagar A, D'Souza SE. Acrolein-induced vasomotor responses of rat aorta. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2003; 285:H727–34. [PubMed: 12730060]
- 141. Conklin DJ, Haberzettl P, Prough RA, Bhatnagar A. Glutathione-S-transferase P protects against endothelial dysfunction induced by exposure to tobacco smoke. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2009; 296:H1586–97. [PubMed: 19270193]
- 142. Sithu SD, Srivastava S, Siddiqui MA, Vladykovskaya E, Riggs DW, Conklin DJ, Haberzettl P, O'Toole TE, Bhatnagar A, D'Souza SE. Exposure to acrolein by inhalation causes platelet activation. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2010; 248:100–10. [PubMed: 20678513]
- 143. Srivastava S, Sithu SD, Vladykovskaya E, Haberzettl P, Hoetker DJ, Siddiqui MA, Conklin DJ, D'Souza SE, Bhatnagar A. Oral exposure to acrolein exacerbates atherosclerosis in apoE-null mice. Atherosclerosis. 2011; 215:301–8. [PubMed: 21371710]
- 144. O'Toole TE, Zheng YT, Hellmann J, Conklin DJ, Barski O, Bhatnagar A. Acrolein activates matrix metalloproteinases by increasing reactive oxygen species in macrophages. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2009; 236:194–201. [PubMed: 19371603]
- 145. Wang GW, Guo Y, Vondriska TM, Zhang J, Zhang S, Tsai LL, Zong NC, Bolli R, Bhatnagar A, Prabhu SD. Acrolein consumption exacerbates myocardial ischemic injury and blocks nitric

oxide-induced PKCepsilon signaling and cardioprotection. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2008; 44:1016–22. [PubMed: 18468618]

- 146. Ismahil MA, Hamid T, Haberzettl P, Gu Y, Chandrasekar B, Srivastava S, Bhatnagar A, Prabhu SD. Chronic oral exposure to the aldehyde pollutant acrolein induces dilated cardiomyopathy. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2011; 301:H2050–60. [PubMed: 21908791]
- 147. Rao X, Zhong J, Maiseyeu A, Gopalakrishnan B, Villamena FA, Chen LC, Harkema JR, Sun Q, Rajagopalan S. CD36-dependent 7-ketocholesterol accumulation in macrophages mediates progression of atherosclerosis in response to chronic air pollution exposure. Circ Res. 2014; 115:770–80. [PubMed: 25186795]
- 148. Haberzettl P, O'Toole TE, Bhatnagar A, Conklin DJ. Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution Causes Vascular Insulin Resistance by Inducing Pulmonary Oxidative Stress. Environ Health Perspect. 2016; 124:1830–1839. [PubMed: 27128347]
- 149. Swinburn TK, Hammer MS, Neitzel RL. Valuing Quiet: An Economic Assessment of U.S. Environmental Noise as a Cardiovascular Health Hazard. Am J Prev Med. 2015; 49:345–53. [PubMed: 26024562]
- Munzel T, Gori T, Babisch W, Basner M. Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. Eur Heart J. 2014; 35:829–36. [PubMed: 24616334]
- 151. Said MA, El-Gohary OA. Effect of noise stress on cardiovascular system in adult male albino rat: implication of stress hormones, endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress. Gen Physiol Biophys. 2016; 35:371–7. [PubMed: 27174896]
- 152. Babisch W. Updated exposure-response relationship between road traffic noise and coronary heart diseases: a meta-analysis. Noise Health. 2014; 16:1–9. [PubMed: 24583674]
- Christakis NA, Fowler JH. The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357:370–9. [PubMed: 17652652]
- 154. Christakis NA, Fowler JH. The collective dynamics of smoking in a large social network. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358:2249–58. [PubMed: 18499567]
- 155. Barabasi AL. Network medicine--from obesity to the "diseasome". N Engl J Med. 2007; 357:404–7. [PubMed: 17652657]
- 156. Summary of the second report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel II). JAMA. 1993; 269:3015–23. [PubMed: 8501844]
- 157. Myers RH, Kiely DK, Cupples LA, Kannel WB. Parental history is an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease: the Framingham Study. Am Heart J. 1990; 120:963–9. [PubMed: 2220549]
- 158. Grundy SM, Balady GJ, Criqui MH, Fletcher G, Greenland P, Hiratzka LF, Houston-Miller N, Kris-Etherton P, Krumholz HM, LaRosa J, Ockene IS, Pearson TA, Reed J, Washington R, Smith SC Jr. Primary prevention of coronary heart disease: guidance from Framingham: a statement for healthcare professionals from the AHA Task Force on Risk Reduction. American Heart Association. Circulation. 1998; 97:1876–87. [PubMed: 9603549]
- 159. Zdravkovic S, Wienke A, Pedersen NL, Marenberg ME, Yashin AI, De Faire U. Heritability of death from coronary heart disease: a 36-year follow-up of 20 966 Swedish twins. J Intern Med. 2002; 252:247–54. [PubMed: 12270005]
- 160. Wienke A, Holm NV, Skytthe A, Yashin AI. The heritability of mortality due to heart diseases: a correlated frailty model applied to Danish twins. Twin Res. 2001; 4:266–74. [PubMed: 11665307]
- 161. Antonovsky A. Social class and the major cardiovascular diseases. J Chronic Dis. 1968; 21:65– 106. [PubMed: 5658582]
- 162. Kaplan GA, Keil JE. Socioeconomic factors and cardiovascular disease: a review of the literature. Circulation. 1993; 88:1973–98. [PubMed: 8403348]
- 163. Hinkle LE Jr, Whitney LH, Lehman EW, Dunn J, Benjamin B, King R, Plakun A, Flehinger B. Occupation, education, and coronary heart disease. Risk is influenced more by education and background than by occupational experiences, in the Bell System. Science. 1968; 161:238–46. [PubMed: 5657326]

- 164. Race, education and prevalence of hypertension. Am J Epidemiol. 1977; 106:351–61. [PubMed: 920724]
- 165. Marmot MG, Shipley MJ, Rose G. Inequalities in death--specific explanations of a general pattern? Lancet. 1984; 1:1003–6. [PubMed: 6143919]
- 166. Palinski W, Napoli C. The fetal origins of atherosclerosis: maternal hypercholesterolemia, and cholesterol-lowering or antioxidant treatment during pregnancy influence in utero programming and postnatal susceptibility to atherogenesis. FASEB J. 2002; 16:1348–60. [PubMed: 12205026]
- 167. Palinski W, Napoli C. Impaired fetal growth, cardiovascular disease, and the need to move on. Circulation. 2008; 117:341–3. [PubMed: 18212299]
- 168. Ng SP, Conklin DJ, Bhatnagar A, Bolanowski DD, Lyon J, Zelikoff JT. Prenatal exposure to cigarette smoke induces diet- and sex-dependent dyslipidemia and weight gain in adult murine offspring. Environ Health Perspect. 2009; 117:1042–8. [PubMed: 19654910]
- 169. Daniels SR, Jacobson MS, McCrindle BW, Eckel RH, Sanner BM. American Heart Association Childhood Obesity Research Summit Report. Circulation. 2009; 119:e489–517. [PubMed: 19332458]
- 170. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rimm E, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. Dietary fat intake and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337:1491–9. [PubMed: 9366580]
- 171. Mozaffarian D, Katan MB, Ascherio A, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Trans fatty acids and cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354:1601–13. [PubMed: 16611951]
- 172. Hu FB, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, Spiegelman D, Willett WC. Prospective study of major dietary patterns and risk of coronary heart disease in men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000; 72:912– 21. [PubMed: 11010931]
- 173. Hernaez A, Castaner O, Elosua R, Pinto X, Estruch R, Salas-Salvado J, Corella D, Aros F, Serra-Majem L, Fiol M, Ortega-Calvo M, Ros E, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, de la Torre R, Lopez-Sabater MC, Fito M. Mediterranean Diet Improves High-Density Lipoprotein Function in High-Cardiovascular-Risk Individuals: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Circulation. 2017; 135:633– 643. [PubMed: 28193797]
- 174. Sala-Vila A, Romero-Mamani ES, Gilabert R, Nunez I, de la Torre R, Corella D, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Lopez-Sabater MC, Pinto X, Rekondo J, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Estruch R, Ros E. Changes in ultrasound-assessed carotid intima-media thickness and plaque with a Mediterranean diet: a substudy of the PREDIMED trial. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2014; 34:439–45. [PubMed: 24285581]
- 175. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, Covas MI, Corella D, Aros F, Gomez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Fiol M, Lapetra J, Lamuela-Raventos RM, Serra-Majem L, Pinto X, Basora J, Munoz MA, Sorli JV, Martinez JA, Martinez-Gonzalez MA. Investigators PS. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368:1279–90. [PubMed: 23432189]
- 176. Connor WE, Cerqueira MT, Connor RW, Wallace RB, Malinow MR, Casdorph HR. The plasma lipids, lipoproteins, and diet of the Tarahumara indians of Mexico. Am J Clin Nutr. 1978; 31:1131–42. [PubMed: 665563]
- 177. van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Dietary patterns and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in U.S. men. Ann Intern Med. 2002; 136:201–9. [PubMed: 11827496]
- 178. van Dam RM, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Dietary fat and meat intake in relation to risk of type 2 diabetes in men. Diabetes Care. 2002; 25:417–24. [PubMed: 11874924]
- 179. Hu FB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz G, Liu S, Solomon CG, Willett WC. Diet, lifestyle, and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus in women. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:790–7. [PubMed: 11556298]
- 180. Shai I, Spence JD, Schwarzfuchs D, Henkin Y, Parraga G, Rudich A, Fenster A, Mallett C, Liel-Cohen N, Tirosh A, Bolotin A, Thiery J, Fiedler GM, Bluher M, Stumvoll M, Stampfer MJ. Dietary intervention to reverse carotid atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2010; 121:1200–8. [PubMed: 20194883]
- 181. Booth FW, Lees SJ. Fundamental questions about genes, inactivity, and chronic diseases. Physiol Genomics. 2007; 28:146–57. [PubMed: 17032813]

- 182. Perhonen MA, Franco F, Lane LD, Buckey JC, Blomqvist CG, Zerwekh JE, Peshock RM, Weatherall PT, Levine BD. Cardiac atrophy after bed rest and spaceflight. J Appl Physiol. 2001; 91:645–53. [PubMed: 11457776]
- 183. Tanasescu M, Leitzmann MF, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB. Exercise type and intensity in relation to coronary heart disease in men. JAMA. 2002; 288:1994–2000. [PubMed: 12387651]
- 184. Franco OH, de Laet C, Peeters A, Jonker J, Mackenbach J, Nusselder W. Effects of physical activity on life expectancy with cardiovascular disease. Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165:2355–60. [PubMed: 16287764]
- 185. Mora S, Cook N, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Lee IM. Physical activity and reduced risk of cardiovascular events: potential mediating mechanisms. Circulation. 2007; 116:2110–8. [PubMed: 17967770]
- 186. Whooley MA, de Jonge P, Vittinghoff E, Otte C, Moos R, Carney RM, Ali S, Dowray S, Na B, Feldman MD, Schiller NB, Browner WS. Depressive symptoms, health behaviors, and risk of cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease. JAMA. 2008; 300:2379–88. [PubMed: 19033588]
- 187. Lloyd-Jones D, Adams RJ, Brown TM, Carnethon M, Dai S, De Simone G, Ferguson TB, Ford E, Furie K, Gillespie C, Go A, Greenlund K, Haase N, Hailpern S, Ho PM, Howard V, Kissela B, Kittner S, Lackland D, Lisabeth L, Marelli A, McDermott MM, Meigs J, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino M, Nichol G, Roger VL, Rosamond W, Sacco R, Sorlie P, Stafford R, Thom T, Wasserthiel-Smoller S, Wong ND, Wylie-Rosett J. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2010 update: a report from the american heart association. Circulation. 2010; 121:e46–e215. [PubMed: 20019324]
- 188. Ambrose JA, Barua RS. The pathophysiology of cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: an update. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004; 43:1731–7. [PubMed: 15145091]
- O'Toole TE, Conklin DJ, Bhatnagar A. Environmental risk factors for heart disease. Rev Environ Health. 2008; 23:167–202. [PubMed: 19119685]
- 190. Schane RE, Ling PM, Glantz SA. Health effects of light and intermittent smoking: a review. Circulation. 2010; 121:1518–22. [PubMed: 20368531]
- 191. Barnoya J, Glantz SA. Cardiovascular effects of secondhand smoke. Circulation. 2005; 111:2684– 2698. [PubMed: 15911719]
- 192. Medicine Io. Secondhand Smoke Exposure and Cardiovascular Effects: Making Sense of the Evidence. 2010
- 193. Lind PM, Lind L. Circulating levels of bisphenol A and phthalates are related to carotid atherosclerosis in the elderly. Atherosclerosis. 2011; 218:207–13. [PubMed: 21621210]
- 194. Lind PM, van Bavel B, Salihovic S, Lind L. Circulating levels of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and carotid atherosclerosis in the elderly. Environ Health Perspect. 2012; 120:38–43. [PubMed: 22222676]
- 195. Reaven G, Tsao PS. Insulin resistance and compensatory hyperinsulinemia: the key player between cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41:1044–7. [PubMed: 12651055]
- 196. Wackowski OA, Bover Manderski MT, Delnevo CD. Comparison of Direct and Indirect Measures of E-cigarette Risk Perceptions. Tob Regul Sci. 2016; 2:38–43. [PubMed: 26855966]
- 197. Etter JF, Bullen C. Electronic cigarette: users profile, utilization, satisfaction and perceived efficacy. Addiction. 2011; 106:2017–28. [PubMed: 21592253]
- 198. Bhatnagar A, Whitsel LP, Ribisl KM, Bullen C, Chaloupka F, Piano MR, Robertson RM, McAuley T, Goff D, Benowitz N. American Heart Association Advocacy Coordinating Committee CoC, Stroke Nursing CoCC, Council on Quality of C and Outcomes R. Electronic cigarettes: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2014; 130:1418–36. [PubMed: 25156991]
- 199. Goniewicz ML, Knysak J, Gawron M, Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Kurek J, Prokopowicz A, Jablonska-Czapla M, Rosik-Dulewska C, Havel C, Jacob P 3rd, Benowitz N. Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. Tob Control. 2014; 23:133–9. [PubMed: 23467656]

- 200. Soule EK, Maloney SF, Spindle TR, Rudy AK, Hiler MM, Cobb CO. Electronic cigarette use and indoor air quality in a natural setting. Tob Control. 2017; 26:109–112. [PubMed: 26880745]
- 201. Bhatnagar A. Cardiovascular Perspective of the Promises and Perils of E-Cigarettes. Circ Res. 2016; 118:1872–5. [PubMed: 27283531]



Figure 1.

The human envirome. The human environment is categorized into natural, social and personal domains. The natural domain of the environment is characterized both by natural ecology and geology, as well as the diurnal cycles, seasons and greenspaces. The influence of the natural environment is moderated both by the physical attributes of the social environment as well as social and networks and socioeconomic status of the individual within society. Features of social environments, such as pollution and occupation also affect CVD risk. The social environment, permits, promotes, facilitates or constrains lifestyle choices such as physical activity, nutritional choices and smoking that constitute important domains of the personal environment. Collective influences of these nested domains of the environment have been found to profound influence CVD risk, incidence, prevalence and severity.

Bhatnagar



Figure 2.

The effect of sunlight on cardiovascular health. The visible range of sunlight regulates the master clock located in the pacemaker neurons of the suprachiasmatic nucleus, which sets the intrinsic 24 h cycle and synchronizes the light-insensitive peripheral clocks to coordinate cycles of waking, sleeping and feeding. The UVB radiation converts 7-dehydrocholesterol in the epidermis to previtamin D3, which undergoes thermal isomerization to vitamin D. Vitamin D3 formed in the skin appear in the circulation and is then transported to the liver where it is converted to 25(OH)D3. In kidney, 25(OH) D3 undergoes hydroxylation to form biologically active 1,25(OH)2D. The UVA radiation induces the photo-degradation of nitrosothiols, such as S-nitrosylglutathione, which leads to the generation of NO, an important regulator of blood pressure.



Figure 3.

Data from the World Health Organization showing cause-specific deaths attributable to the environment per year worldwide.

Table I

Estimated premature mortality associated with different source categories of outdoor air pollution in different geographic areas (2010)

Source Category	% mortality global	% mortality USA	Geographic Area	Major constituents
Residential energy	31	6	China, India, Indonesia, Vietnam	CO ₂ , CO, VOCs, NOx, SO ₂ , Hg, PM _{2.5}
Agriculture	20	29	Europe, Russia, Japan, Eastern USA	Inorganic PM _{2.5} , NH ₃ , sulfates nitrates
Power generation	14	31	USA, Russia, Korea, Turkey	Sulfate and nitrate containing PM _{2.5} , Hg
Industry	7	6	Japan, Germany, China	Sulfate containing PM _{2.5} , VOCs, hydrocarbons
Biomass burning	5	5	Canada, Africa, South America, Australia, Southeast Asia	PM _{2.5} , NOx, CO, SO ₂ , Pb, Hg
Land traffic	5	21	USA, Germany, Russia, Japan	Ultrafine PM, PM _{2.5} , NOx, VOCs, ozone
Natural sources	18	2	Africa and Middle East	PM ₁₀ , Airborne dust

Data from Lelieveld et al., 2017120