

2022 ETHIOPIA WASH CLUSTER ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

Contents

| urpo | se of document | 1 |
|------|---|---|
| 1. | Assessment planning | 1 |
| 2. | Assessment diagnosis | 3 |
| 3. | Available tools for data collection and capacity building | 5 |
| 4. | Other resources | 6 |

Purpose of document

This document is produced with the intent of strengthening the assessment mechanisms for the Ethiopian WASH cluster and to ensure data is available to identify needs (who, where, what, how many) and to inform response planning accordingly. It will present the existing data environment in the country and outline key steps in coordinating and planning assessments.

1. Assessment planning

The main information needs in Ethiopia is to feed into the HNO by August/September, to be prepared to provide updated information following a sudden-onset crisis, and to have a system in place to allow a better understanding of needs in a changing context.

1.1 Geographical areas, administrative levels, and population groups

- For the HNO, data needs to cover the whole country and be available at *woreda* level (administrative level 3).
- For operational decision-making, data should ideally be available at *kebele* level (administrative level 4) or village/site level for areas relevant to the response. However, operational and resource/capacity constraints will make it challenging to systematically collect and maintain data at this level.
- Information needs to be relevant to all population groups, disaggregated by gender, age and disability, IDPs in sites, displaced populations in host communities, host communities, and returning migrants.



1.2 Assessment architecture

Assessment planning should never take place in isolation. It is important to coordinate and discuss within the cluster and with other actors to ensure there is no overlap of exercises and to ensure the population is not over-assessed, leading to assessment fatigue. Below outlines key stakeholders to involve, when and how:

Assessment Coordination Architecture, Roles and Responsibilities

| Who | How |
|--|--|
| WASH Assessment Working Group (AWG) | The WASH AWG will be used a forum to set up the assessment framework, to identify gaps and develop tools and methodologies to improve assessment coordination in Ethiopia. The AWG will be closed once this work is done but could be re-established on an ad-hoc basis depending on needs. |
| SAG | The SAG validates the assessment strategy. SAG members are involved in assessment planning and design, validate assessment results and findings if the WASH cluster is leading on assessments, and validate the HNO analysis. |
| Cluster partners | Cluster partners are involved in identifying or highlighting priority areas, for example they can raise issues with information gaps in their area of operation that could trigger an assessment. They will also be involved in the data collection, both coordinating at the field level and to collect data where needed. |
| Inter-sectoral AWG (or ICCG if no IS-AWG in place) | (If set up in Ethiopia) The IS-AWG is informed of any planned WASH specific assessment. This is also the forum to learn of assessments planned by other actors, and where WASH indicators included the cluster engage to ensure harmonization of indicators and other activities. |
| WASH Cluster IM | Responsible for managing cluster engagement with assessment actors, coordinate, collect and analyse data during WASH cluster led assessments |
| NCC | Overall responsible for identifying gaps and ensuring information gaps are filled. |
| Ministry of Water and Energy (MoWIE) & Regional Water Bureaus | MoWIE and Regional Water Bureaus should be informed of WASH assessments, provided the opportunity to comment on tools and findings and be engaged to ensure standardization and harmonization of assessment activities. |
| National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC) | The NDRMC should be engaged as they are one key stakeholder providing information on the WASH situation across the country. They should also be informed of WASH assessments, provided the opportunity to comment on tools and findings and be engaged to ensure standardization and harmonization of assessment activities. |

During joint needs assessments there is one actor responsible for the overall coordination, ensuring tools are agreed on and harmonised with existing standards, that data collection is going smoothly, covers all target areas and delivers in quality, and who oversees analysis (IMO and NCC).



2. Assessment diagnosis

2.1 Existing data and assessments

Most of the data publicly available in Ethiopia is localised to IDP sites and displacement locations, is often done on an ad-hoc basis as part of a sudden displacement due to conflict or a natural disaster event (drought or flooding) and lack coordination in terms of indicators and methods to assess the WASH situation. Some rapid assessments are using the MIRA tool, this should be reviewed and aligned with the core WASH indicators

See Table 1 for the list of identified regular assessments with a WASH component or that are useful for WASH needs analysis (e.g. epi data).



Table 1: Existing regular initiatives with WASH components

| Agency | Name | Method | Coverage | Frequency | Sector | Alignment |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Ministry of Water and Energy | Woreda hotspot mapping | Expert judgement? | All woreda in select regions | Yearly? Bi- yearly? | Multi-sector | WASH indicators aligned to some extent. |
| IOM-DTM | DTM Ethiopia Site Assessment | KII, FGD, Observation | All IDP sites | Quarterly | Multi-sector | WASH indicators aligned to some extent. Only covering IDP sites |
| IOM-DTM | DTM Ethiopia Village Assessment Survey | KII, FGD, Observation | All villages with returnees | Bi-monthly or quarterly | Multi-sector | WASH indicators aligned to some extent. Only covering returnee areas |
| IOM-DTM | DTM Ethiopia Emergency Assessment | KII, FGD, Observation | IDP sites | Monthly | Multi-sector | WASH indicators aligned to some extent. Only covering IDP sites |
| Central Statistics Agency of Ethiopia & World Bank | Ethiopia Socio-economic Survey (ESS) | Household | All regions, representative at urban/rural areas | About every 2 years | Multi-sector | Covers core WASH indicators Not at desired admin level |
| DHS | Demographic Health Survey | Household | All regions | About every 2 years | Multi-sector | Covers core WASH indicators Not at desired admin level |
| NDRMC/OCHA? | Belg/Gu Seasonal Assessment | Mixed methods | Affected livelihood areas | Yearly? | Multi-sector | Unclear regarding WASH indicators and geographical coverage |
| NDRMC/OCHA? | Meher Seasonal Assessment | Mixed methods | Affected livelihood areas | Yearly? | Multi-sector | Unclear regarding WASH indicators and geographical coverage |
| WFP | FSNMS | TBC | TBC | TBC | Food security/multi -sector | Some WASH indicators |
| Ethiopian Public Health Institute | Epi data | | All regions | Weekly | Health Nutrition | n/a |



2.2 Identified gaps and needs for improvements

The following has been identified as key gaps through secondary desk review and by input from WASH partners:

- WASH situation for host communities
- Coverage of geographical areas not part of the critical WASH response
- Standardised tools and common indicators
- WASH in health facilities and schools
- Updated information on infrastructure and functionality
- Currently no response-wide multi-sectoral needs assessment that could be used for the HNO and no other comprehensive assessment to compensate.

3. Available tools for data collection and capacity building

As part of the WASH cluster assessment framework package, and based on consultations with partners, the tools outlined in table 2 are developed to ensure harmonisation throughout the response. In addition, the list of core indicators (table 3) should be mainstreamed throughout the response and used in non-cluster led assessments to the extent possible.

Table 2: Tools

| Туре | Method / Unit of analysis | Purpose |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| Infrastructure assessment | Observation / area level | Full infrastructure functionality assessment based on observations and measurements |
| Damage assessment | Key informant based on system assessment / area level | 'Light touch' damage assessment based on KII and observation |
| Core KI for HNO | Key informant / area level | Tool to be used to collect data for HNO from all woredas not covered by other assessments, based on core indicators |
| Comprehensive KI | Key informant / area level | Full key informant tool on WASH needs |
| Household assessment | Household / household level | Full household WASH needs assessment tool |

Table 3: Indicator lists

| Type | Unit of analysis | Purpose | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Core WASH indicators | Area & household level | To be 'mainstreamed' throughout all HH and KI assessments conducted by WASH and other actors, to be used in rapid needs assessments and should be considered as 'bare minimum' criteria for WASH data collections. | |



| Extensive WASH indicator list | Area & household level | Expanded list of indicators and questions relevant for the WASH cluster including health, nutrition, protection, AAP, safety aspects etc. |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|

Table 4: Trainings

| Туре | Target Audience | Purpose |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Coordinated assessment training | Cluster partners | Introductory training on the core components of planning and implementing an assessment. |

4. Other resources

- GWC Indicator Bank
- WASH in Health Care Facilities Tool (Kobo tool in development)
- WASH in Schools Guidance document
- HPC tool kit on GBV risk mitigation
- Safety audit tool