ONGOING LIVING UPDATE OF COVID-19 THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS

Summary of Evidence • Rapid Review, 22 February 2022





Ongoing Living Update of COVID-19 Therapeutic Options: Summary of Evidence. Rapid Review, 22 February 2022

PAHO/IMS/EIH/COVID-19/22-0011

© Pan American Health Organization, 2022

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO license (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

Under the terms of this license, this work may be copied, redistributed, and adapted for noncommercial purposes, provided the new work is issued using the same or equivalent Creative Commons license and it is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) endorses any specific organization, product, or service. Use of the PAHO logo is not permitted.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by PAHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall PAHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Disclaimer

This document includes the results of a rapid systematic review of current available literature. The information included in this review reflects the evidence as of the date posted in the document. In recognition of the fact that there are numerous ongoing clinical studies, PAHO will periodically update this review and corresponding recommendations as new evidence becomes available.

Contents

Executive summary Background Summary of evidence Key findings Changes since previous edition Concluding remarks Hallazgos clave Cambios respecto a la anterior versión **Conclusiones** Systematic review of therapeutic options for treatment of COVID-19 **Background Methods** Search strategy **Study selection Inclusion criteria** Living evidence synthesis **Results** Studies identified and included **Risk of bias Main findings Full description of included studies Appendix 1. Summary of findings tables References**







Executive summary

Background

The urgent need for evidence on measures to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic had led to a rapid escalation in numbers of studies testing potential therapeutic options. The vast amount of data generated by these studies must be interpreted quickly so that physicians have the information to make optimal treatment decisions and manufacturers can scale-up production and bolster supply chains. Moreover, obtaining a quick answer to the question of whether or not a particular intervention is effective can help investigators involved in the many ongoing clinical trials to change focus and pivot to more promising alternatives. Since many physicians are currently using treatments that rely on compassionate-use exemptions or off-label indications to treat patients with COVID-19, it is crucial that they have access to the most up-to-date research evidence to inform their treatment decisions.

To address this evidence gap, we compiled the following database of evidence on potential therapeutic options for COVID-19. We hope this information will help investigators, policy makers, and prescribers navigate the flood of relevant data to ensure that management of COVID-19, at both individual and population levels, is based on the best available knowledge. We will endeavor to continually update this resource as more research is released into the public space.

Summary of evidence

Tables 1 and 2, which divide the total group of identified studies into randomized (Table 1) and non-randomized (Table 2) designs, indicate the primary outcome measures used for each investigation and the level of certainty. Table 3, below, summarizes the status of evidence for the 178 potential therapeutic options for COVID-19 for which studies were identified through our systematic review.



Table 1. List of RCTs of interventions for COVID-19 with primary outcome measures and certainty (n=540)

		Overall number of		Invasive mechanical		Prevention of		
Intervention		studies including the intervention, n=540	Mortality (n of studies)	ventilation (n of studies)	Symptom resolution	infection (n of studies)	Adverse events (n of studies)	Hospitalization
Hydroxychloroquine or Chloroquine	NEW	Intervention, n=540 54	(n of studies)	(n of studies) 9	(n of studies) 10		(n of studies) 17	(n of studies) 7
Ivermectin	NEW	35	6 (*)	6		4		5 (§)
Convalescent plasma	NEW	32	15(*)	9(*)	11		12	3 (§)
Tocilizumab		29	20	21	11		17	
Favipiravir		21	8				7	3
Corticosteroids		20	17(@)	7	6		6	
Lopinavir-Ritonavir		17	4	4	2	1	2	1
Anticoagulants		13	11(@@)				5 (^)	
Sofosbuvir +/- Daclatasvir or others		13	2(*)	2(*)	2(*)			
Mouthwash		12	2	1	2			
ACEIs or ARBs		11	7(*)	9	3		1	1
Azithromycin		10	4	3	4		1	2
Colchicine	NEW	10	8(**)	5(**)	4(**)		3	2
REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab)	NEW	10	2(##)	2(##)	3(##)	3	3	3
Remdesivir		10	8 (#)	7	4		4	1
Sarilumab		9	9	7	7		6	
Bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab		8	3		3	1	6	3
Umifenovir		7	1				1	
Vitamin C		7	6		3			
Vitamin D	NEW	7	2				1	
Zinc		7	2		2		1	
Interferon beta-1a		6	5				2	
Corticosteroids (inhaled)		6	2		6			3
Bromhexine Hydrochloride		5	3		2	2	2	
IVIG		5	10					
Melatonin		5	2		3			
Mesenchimal cell tranplantation		5	4		2		2	
Anakinra		4	4	2	4		3	
Nasal hypertonic saline		4			1			
Nitazoxanide		5	1		1		2	2
Probiotics		4	2		1	1	1	1
Proxalutamide		4	3					2
Quercetin	NEW	4	3		2		1	1
Aspirin		3	2					
Baricitinib		3	3	1	3		3	
Cofactors		3	1		1		1	
Doxycycline	NEW	3	2		2		1	1
Hyperimmune anti-COVID-19 IVIG	NEW	3	2		1		2	
N-acetylcysteine	NEW		2				2	2
Molnupiravir	INEVV	5	2				2	3
Omega-3 fatty acids Beta glucans		2	2				1	
Camostat mesilate	NEW	2	1	1	1		1	1
Canakinumab		2	2	. 1	. 1		. 1	
Dutasteride		2	2		1			
Electrolyzed saline		2	2		1		1	
Fluvoxamine		2	1	1			2	2 (§)
Hyperbaric oxygen		2	2	2	1			- (3/
lota-Carrageenan		2	- 1				2	1
Leflunomide		2						
Levamisole		2	1		1			2
Linagliptin		2	2	2				
Low-dose radiation therapy		2	1	1				
Niclosamide	NEW	2	1	1			1	1
Nigella sativa +/- Honey		2	1		1			1
Nitric oxide		2	1	1			2	
Peg-IFN alfa		2	2		2			
Pentoxifylline		2	2	2	1			
Regdanvimab		2			2		2	1
Resveratrol		2	2				2	2
Ruxolitinib		2	2	2	2		2	
Sotrovimab		2	1	1	1		1	1
Statins		2	2	1				
Tenofovir + emtricitabine		2	1				1	2
Thalidomide		2	1	1			1	
Tofacitinib	NEW	2	1		1		1	
99mTc-MDP		1						





			Invasive				
	Overall number of	Mostality	mechanical ventilation	Symptom recolution	Prevention of	Adverse events	Hespitalization
Intervention	studies including the intervention, n=540	e Mortality (n of studies)	(n of studies)	Symptom resolution (n of studies)	infection (n of studies)	Adverse events (n of studies)	Hospitalization (n of studies)
Adalimumab		1	1	1			
Ammonium chloride		1	1	1			
AMP5A (inhaled)		1	1				1
Aprepitant		1					
Artemisinin		1		1			1
Auxora		1	1	1			1
	NEW	1	1				
Avdoralimab	NEW						1
Aviptadil		1	1	1			1
Azelastine (inhaled)		1		1			1
Azvudine		1					
Baloxavir		1		1			
BCG		1	1				
Bioven		1	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Calcitriol		1	1				1
Cannabidiol		1	1	1 1			1
CERC-002		1	1				1
Chloroquine nasal drops		1					
CIGB-325		1		1			1
		1					
Clarithromycin		1					1
				4			1
Colchicine + rosuvastatin		1	1	1			1
Corticosteroids (nasal)		1					
Crizanlizumab		1	1	1 1			1
Darunavir-Cobicistat		1					
Dapagliflozin		1	1	1			1
Dimethyl sulfoxide (DSMO)		1				1	
lectrolyzed saline		1	1	1			
Emtricitabine/tenofovir		1	1	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
ndothelial dysfunction protocol	NEW	1	1	1			1
inisamium	NEW .	1		1			
			4	1			1
Enzalutamide		1	1				
Famotidine		1	1				
ebuxostat		1					
inasteride		1	1				
ostamatinib		1	1	1			1
GB0139 (inhaled)		1	1				1
lelium (inhaled)		1					
lemadsorption		1	1	1			
lesperidin		1	1	1 1			1
catibant/ iC1e/K		1	1				
cosapent ethyl		1		1			
FN-alpha2b + IFN-gamma		1	4				
FX-1		1	1				1
matinib		1	1	1			1
ndomethacin		1	1	1			1
nfliximab		1	1	1			1
NM005 (equine antibodies)		1	1	1 1			1
nterferon beta-1b		1	1	1 1			
nterferon beta-1a (inhaled)		1	1	1 1			1
nterferon gamma		1					
nterferon kappa + TFF2		1	1				1
olizumab		1	1	1			1
		1					
vermectin (inhaled)				1			
B109		1	1	1			
-arginine		1	1				1
actococcus Lactis (intranasal)		1		1			1
actoferrin		1		1			
enzilumab		1	1	1			1
evilimab		1	1	1 1			1
incomycin		1					
lavrilimumab		1	1	1 1			1
lefenamic acid		1	1				1
		1					1
letformin			1				
letisoprinol		1					
lethylene blue		1	1				
letoprolol		1	1				
1etronidazole		1		1			





	Overall number of studies including the	Mortality (n of studies)	Invasive mechanical ventilation	Symptom resolution (n of studies)	Prevention of infection	Adverse events	Hospitalization
Intervention	intervention, n=540	(n of studies)	(n of studies)	(n of studies)	(n of studies)	(n of studies)	(n of studies)
Montelukast		1	1			1	
Mupadolimab							
Mycobacterium w		1	1				
Nafamostat mesylate		1	1			1	
Namilumab		1	1	1			
Nano-curcumin		1				1	
Neem (Azadirachta Indica A. Juss)		1				1	
Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir	NEW	1	1			1	1
Novaferon		1					
NSAIDS		1	1	1		1	
Nutritional support		1	1	1			
Opaganib		1	1	1 1		1	
Otilimab		1	1			1	
P2Y12		1	1	1		1	
Peg-IFN lambda		1				1	
Plitidepsin		1	1	1		1	
PNB001 (CCK-A antagonist)		1	1	1			
Polymerized type I collagen (PT1C)		1					1
Povidone iodine		1	1			1	1
Progesterone		1	1	1		1	
Prolectin-M		1	1	1		1	
Propolis		1	1	1 1			
Prostacyclin		1	1			1	
Pyridostigmine		1	1	1 1		1	
Ramipril		1	1	1		1	
RD-X19 (light therapy)		1		1		•	
Recombinant Super-Compound IFN		1	1	1			
			1	1			
Ribavirin							
Ribavirin + Interferon beta-1b		1					
rhG-CSF		1	1	1		1	
rhG-CSF (inhaled)		1	1	1 1		1	
Secukinumab		1	1	1		1	
Short-wave diathermy		1	1	1		1	
Sildenafil		1	1	1		1	
Siltuximab		1	1	1			
Sitagliptin		1	1	1			
Spironolactone		1	1	1			
Stem-cell nebulization		1	1	1		1	
Sulodexide		1	1	1		1	1
TD-0903 (inhaled JAK-inhibitor)		1	1			1	
Tissue-plasminogen activator (tPA)		1	1			1	
Triazavirin		1	1	1		1	
XAV-19 (swine polyclonal antibodies)		1	1			1	
α-Lipoic acid		1	1				

	GRADE High- Moderate certainty	GRADE Low certainty
Beneficial effect		
No significant effect		
Harmful effect		
Uncertain effect		
No evidence or no estimable effect		





Table 2. List of non-RCTs of interventions for COVID-19 with primary outcome measures and certainty (n=7)

Intervention		Overall number of studies including the intervention	Mortality (n of studies)	Mechanical ventilation (n of studies)	Symptom resolution (n of studies)	Prevention of infection (n of studies)	Adverse events (n of studies)
NSAID		7	7 7				
	GRADE High- M	oderate certainty	GRADE Low certainty				
Beneficial effect							
No significant effect							
Harmful effect							
Uncertain effect							
No evidence or no estimable effect							

Table 3. Summary of findings on potential therapeutic options for COVID-19 (n=178), as at 22 February 2022

	Intervention	Summary of findings
r		
1	99mTc-MDP	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
2	Adalimumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
3	ACEIs or ARBs	Continuing or initiating ACEIs or ARBs in patients with COVID-19 may increase mortality. However, the certainty of the evidence was low. Further research is needed.
4	Ammonium chloride	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
5	AMP5A (inhaled)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
6	Anakinra	It is uncertain if anakinra affects mortality, mechanical ventilation requirements, symptom resolution or increases severe adverse events. Further research is needed.
7	Anticoagulants	There are specific recommendations on the use of antithrombotic agents for thromboprophylaxis in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Regarding the best thromboprophylactic scheme, anticoagulants in intermediate (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg a day) or full dose (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg twice a day) may not decrease mortality in comparison with prophylactic dose (i.e.,





	Intervention	Summary of findings
		enoxaparin 40 mg a day). Anticoagulants in full dose decrease venous thromboembolic events but increase major bleeding in comparison with prophylactic dose. In mild ambulatory patients, anticoagulants in prophylactic dose, may not importantly improve time to symptom resolution.
8	Aprepitant	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
9	Artemisinin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
10	Aspirin	Aspirin probably does not reduce mortality, or mechanical ventilation and probably does not increase symptom resolution or improvement.
11	Auxora	Auxora may reduce mortality and may not increase severe adverse events. Further research is needed.
12	Avdoralimab	Avdoralimab may increase mortality and severe adverse events. Further research is needed.
13	Aviptadil	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
14	Azelastine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
15	Azithromycin	Azithromycin probably does not reduce mortality or mechanical ventilation and does not improve time to symptom resolution.
16	Azvudine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
17	Baricitinib	Baricitinib reduces mortality and time to symptom resolution without increasing severe adverse events. Certainty of the evidence was moderate because of risk of bias.
18	Baloxavir	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
19	Bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab (monoclonal antibody)	Bamlanivimab probably reduces hospitalizations in patients with COVID-19 and it probably reduces symptomatic infections in exposed individuals. It is uncertain if it affects mortality or mechanical ventilation requirements. Further research is needed.
20	BCG	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
21	Beta-glucans	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
22	Bioven	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
23	Bromhexine hydrochloride	Bromhexine may reduce symptomatic infections in exposed individuals. Further research is needed.
24	Calcitriol	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
25	Camostat mesilate	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
26	Canakinumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
27	Cannabidiol	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
28	CERC-002	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
29	Chloroquine nasal drops	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
30	CIGB-325	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
31	Clarithromycin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
32	Clevudine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
33	Cofactors (L-carnitine, N- acetylcysteine, nicotinamide, serine)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
34	Colchicine	Colchicine probably does not reduce mortality, mechanical ventilation requirements or increase symptom resolution or improvement with moderate certainty. In patients with mild recent onset COVID-19 colchicine probably does not have an important effect on hospitalizations. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision.
35	Colchicine + rosuvastatin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
36	Convalescent plasma	Convalescent plasma does not reduce mortality or reduces mechanical ventilation requirements or improves time to symptom resolution with moderate to high certainty of the evidence. In patients with recent onset mild COVID-19 convalescent plasma probably does not have an important effect on hospitalizations. Convalescent plasma may not increase severe adverse events.
37	Crizanlizumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
38	Dapagliflozin	Dapagliflozin may reduce mortality but probably does not increase symptom resolution. Further research is needed.
39	Darunavir-cobicistat	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
40	Dimethyl sulfoxide (DSMO)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
41	Doxycycline	Doxycycline does not increase symptom resolution or improvement and may not reduce hospitalizations.
42	Dutasteride	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





9

	Intervention	Summary of findings
43	Electrolyzed saline	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
44	Emtricitabine/tenofovir	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
45	Endothelial dysfunction protocol	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
46	Enisamium	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
47	Enzalutamide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
48	Famotidine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
49	Favipiravir	Favipiravir may increase mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements, and it probably does not improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed.
50	Febuxostat	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
51	Finasteride	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
52	Fluvoxamine	In patients with recent onset mild COVID-19 fluvoxamine probably does not have an important effect on hospitalizations and may not increase severe adverse events. Certainty of the evidence was low to moderate. Further research is needed.
53	Fostamatinib	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
54	GB0139 (inhaled)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
55	Helium (inhaled)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
56	Hemadsorption	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
57	Hesperidin	Hesperidin may not improve symptom resolution; however, the certainty of the evidence was low. Further research is needed.
58	Hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine	Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine probably increases mortality, and probably does not reduce invasive mechanical ventilation or significantly improve time to symptom resolution with moderate certainty. When used prophylactically in persons exposed to COVID-19 it may reduce the risk of infection. However, certainty of the evidence is low because of risk of bias and imprecision.
59	Hyperbaric oxygen	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
60	Hyperimmune anti-COVID-19 Intravenous Immunoglobulin (C-IVIG)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
61	Icatibant/iC1e/K	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
62	Icosapent ethyl	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
63	IFX-1	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
64	Imatinib	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
65	Indomethacin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
66	Infliximab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
67	INM005 (polyclonal fragments of equine antibodies)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
68	Interferon alpha-2b and interferon gamma	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
69	Interferon beta-1a	IFN beta-1a probably does not reduce mortality, invasive mechanical ventilation requirements or improve symptom resolution. Further research is needed.
70	Interferon beta-1a (inhaled)	Inhaled interferon beta-1a may improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed.
71	Interferon beta-1b	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
72	Interferon gamma	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
73	Interferon kappa and TFF2	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
74	Iota-carrageenan	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
75	Itolizumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
76	Ivermectin	Although pooled estimates suggest significant benefits with ivermectin, included studies' methodological limitations and a small overall number of events result in very low certainty of the evidence. Based on the results reported by the RCTs classified as low risk of bias, ivermectin probably does not improve time to symptom resolution and may not have an important effect on hospitalizations. Further research is needed to confirm or discard these findings.
77	Ivermectin (inhaled)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
78	Intravenous immunoglobulin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.



12

	Intervention	Summary of findings
79	KB109	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
80	L-arginine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
81	Lactococcus lactis (intranasal)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
82	Lactoferrin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
83	Leflunomide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
84	Lenzilumab	Lenzilumab may reduce mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements in severe patients. However, the certainty of the evidence is low because of imprecision. Further research is needed.
85	Levamisole	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
86	Levilimab	Levilimab may improve time to symptom resolution; however, the certainty of the evidence was low. Further research is needed.
87	Linagliptin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
88	Lincomycin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
89	Lopinavir-ritonavir	Lopinavir-ritonavir probably does not reduce mortality with moderate certainty. Lopinavir-ritonavir may not be associated with a significant increase in severe adverse events. However, the certainty is low because of risk of bias and imprecision.
90	Low-dose radiation therapy	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





Intervention	Summary of findings
Mavrilimumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Mefenamic acid	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Melatonin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation	Mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation may reduce mortality. However, the certainty of the evidence is low. Further research is needed.
Metformin	Metformin may not reduce hospitalizations in patients with recent onset mild disease. However, certainty of the evidence is low because of imprecision. Further research is needed.
Methylene blue	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Metisoprinol	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Metoprolol	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Metronidazole	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
Molnupiravir	In patients with recent onset mild COVID-19 molnupiravir probably reduces hospitalizations and may not increase severe adverse events.
	Mavrilimumab Mefenamic acid Mefenamic acid Melatonin Melatonin Melatonin Metformin Metformin Metformin Methylene blue Methylene blue Metoprolol Metoprolol Metoprolol



	Intervention	Summary of findings
101	Montelukast	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
102	Mouthwash	Mouthwash may improve time to symptom resolution. Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms on other outcomes. Further research is needed.
103	Mupadolimab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
104	Mycobacterium w	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
105	N-acetylcysteine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
106	Nafamostat mesylate	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
107	Namilumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
108	Nano-curcumin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
109	Nasal hypertonic saline	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
110	Neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
111	Niclosamide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
112	Nigella sativa +/- honey	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
113	Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir	Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir probably reduces hospitalizations in patients with mild recent onset COVID-19 and risk factors for severity, and it probably does not increase severe adverse events.
114	Nitazoxanide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
115	Nitric oxide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
116	Novaferon	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
117	Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)	Current best evidence suggests no association between NSAID consumption and COVID-19 related mortality. However, the certainty of the evidence is very low because of the risk of bias. Further research is needed.
118	Nutritional support	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
119	Omega-3 fatty acids	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
120	Opaganib	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
121	Otilimab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
122	Ozone	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
123	P2Y12 inhibitors	P2Y12 inhibitors may increase mortality and may nor improve time to symptom resolution. However, certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
124	Peg-interferon alfa	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
125	Peg-interferon lamda	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
126	Pentoxifylline	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
127	Plitidepsin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
128	PNB001 (CCK-A antagonist)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
129	Polymerized type I collagen (PT1C)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
130	Povidone iodine (nasal spray)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
131	Probiotics	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
132	Progesterone	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
133	Prolectin-M	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
134	Propolis	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
135	Prostacyclin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
136	Proxalutamide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed



	Intervention	Summary of findings
137	Pyridostigmine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
138	Quercetin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed
139	Ramipril	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
140	RD-X19 (light therapy)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
141	Recombinant super-compound interferon	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
142	REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab)	In seronegative patients with severe to critical disease, REGEN-COV probably reduces mortality and increases symptom resolution and improvement. In patients with recent onset mild disease, REGEN-COV probably reduces hospitalizations and time to symptom resolution without increasing severe adverse events, and in asymptomatic exposed individuals REGEN-COV reduces symptomatic infections. The certainty of the evidence was high for symptomatic infections and low to moderate because of imprecision and indirectness for the remaining outcomes.
143	Regdanvimab	Regdanvimab may improve time to symptom resolution in mild to moderate patients. Its effects on mortality and mechanical ventilation are uncertain. Further research is needed.
144	Remdesivir	Remdesivir may not reduce mortality, but it may improve time to symptom resolution without significantly increasing the risk of severe adverse events. In patients with recent onset mild COVID-19, it may reduce hospitalizations. However, the certainty is low because of risk of bias and imprecision.
145	Resveratrol	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
146	rhG-CSF (in patients with lymphopenia)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
147	rhG-CSF (inhaled)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
148	Ribavirin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
149	Ribavirin + interferon beta-1b	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
150	Ruxolitinib	Ruxolitinib may not improve time to symptom resolution; however, the certainty of the evidence was low. Further research is needed.
151	Sarilumab	Sarilumab may not reduce mortality and probably does not improve time to symptom resolution but may decrease mechanical ventilation requirements without increasing severe adverse events. However, the certainty is low because of imprecision and inconsistency.
152	Secukinumab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
153	Short-wave diathermy	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
154	Sildenafil	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
155	Siltuximab	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
156	Sitagliptin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
157	Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir, ledipasvir, velpatasvir or ravidasvir	Sofosbuvir with or without daclatasvir or ledipasvir may not reduce mortality or mechanical ventilation requirements and it probably does not improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed to confirm these findings.
158	Sotrovimab	Sotrovimab probably reduce hospitalizations in patients with recent onset mild COVID-19.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
159	Spironolactone	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
160	Statins	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
161	Stem-cell nebulization	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
162	Steroids (corticosteroids)	Corticosteroids reduce mortality and probably reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirements in patients with severe COVID-19 infection with moderate certainty. Corticosteroids may not significantly increase the risk of severe adverse events. Higher-dose schemes (i.e., dexamethasone 12 mg a day) may not be more effective than standard dose schemes (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg a day).
163	Steroids (corticosteroids, inhaled)	Inhaled corticosteroids probably improve time to symptom resolution. Its effects on other important outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed.
164	Steroids (corticosteroids, nasal)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
165	Sulodexide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
166	TD-0903 (inhaled JAK-inhibitor)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
167	Tenofovir + emtricitabine	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
168	Thalidomide	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
169	Tissue-plasminogen activator (tPA)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.





	Intervention	Summary of findings
170	Tocilizumab	Tocilizumab reduces mortality and reduces mechanical ventilation requirements without possibly increasing severe adverse events.
171	Tofacitinib	Tofacitinib may increase symptom resolution or improvement and severe adverse events. Certainty of the evidence was low, further research is needed.
172	Triazavirin	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
173	Umifenovir	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
174	Vitamin C	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
175	Vitamin D	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
176	XAV-19 (swine glyco-humanized polyclonal antibodies)	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
177	Zinc	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.
178	α-lipoic acid	Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.

Key findings

• **Therapeutic options:** According to WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP), hundreds of potential interventions are being assessed in more than 10,000 clinical trials and observational studies. In this review, we identified and examined 178 therapeutic options.





• **Corticosteroids:** The body of evidence on corticosteroids, which includes 21 RCTs, shows that low- or moderate-dose treatment schemes (RECOVERY trial dose was 6 mg of oral or intravenous preparation once daily for 10 days) are probably effective in reducing mortality in patients with severe COVID-19 infection. These results remained robust after including studies in which patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) secondary to alternative etiologies (not COVID-19 related) were randomized to corticosteroids or placebo/no corticosteroids. Higher-dose schemes (i.e., dexamethasone 12 mg a day) may not be more effective than standard dose schemes (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg a day).

• **Remdesivir:** In the WHO SOLIDARITY trial, remdesivir resulted in little or no effect on overall mortality, initiation of ventilation and duration of hospital stay among hospitalized patients. When combining those findings with those from seven other RCTs, remdesivir may not have an important effect on mortality but it may reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirements and may improve time to symptom resolution. However, overall certainty of the evidence is low and further research is needed to confirm these findings. In patients with recent onset mild COVID-19 remdesivir may reduce hospitalizations; however, the certainty of the evidence is low because of imprecision. Further research is needed.

• **Hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir–ritonavir, and interferon beta-1a**: The body of evidence on hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir-ritonavir, and interferon beta-1a, including anticipated findings from the RECOVERY and SOLIDARITY trials, showed no benefit in terms of mortality reduction, invasive mechanical ventilation requirements or time to clinical improvement. Furthermore, the analysis showed probable mortality increment in those patients treated with hydroxychloroquine. Seven studies with low risk of bias that assessed hydroxychloroquine in exposed individuals showed a modest reduction in symptomatic infections but certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision and inconsistency. Further research is needed to confirm these findings.

• Antibiotics: The body of evidence on azithromycin and doxycycline shows no significant benefits in patients with mild to moderate or severe to critical COVID-19.

• **Convalescent plasma:** The results of 32 RCTs assessing convalescent plasma in COVID-19, including the RECOVERY trial with 11,558 hospitalized patients, showed no mortality reduction, significant mechanical ventilation requirement reduction or time to symptom resolution improvement with moderate to high certainty of the evidence. In mild patients, convalescent plasma probably does not have an important effect hospitalization with moderate certainty. Convalescent plasma may not increase severe adverse events with low certainty. No significant differences were observed between patients treated early (< 4 days since symptom onset) or with more advanced disease in a subgroup analysis from the RECOVERY trial.

• **Tocilizumab:** The results of 28 RCTs assessing tocilizumab show that, in patients with severe or critical disease, tocilizumab reduces mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements without significantly increasing severe adverse events.





• **Sarilumab:** The results of nine RCTs assessing sarilumab show that, in patients with severe or critical disease, sarilumab may not reduce mortality and probably does not improve time to symptom resolution but may reduce mechanical ventilation requirements without significantly increasing severe adverse events. However, certainty of the evidence was low and further research is needed to confirm these findings.

• Anakinra: The results of three RCTs assessing anakinra in hospitalized patients with non-severe disease, show inconsistent results on mortality and symptom resolution. Certainty of the evidence was very low and further research is needed.

• **Tofacitinib:** The results of two RCT assessing tofacitinib in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe disease, suggest possible increase in symptom resolution or improvement and possible increase in severe adverse events with tofacitinib. Certainty of the evidence was low and further research is needed.

• **Colchicine:** The results of ten RCTs assessing colchicine, including the COLCORONA study that recruited 4,488 patients with recent COVID-19 diagnosis and risk factors for severity and the RECOVERY trial that recruited 11,340 hospitalized patients, show that colchicine probably does not reduce mortality, mechanical ventilation requirements, improve time to symptom resolution or reduce hospitalizations. These findings are mainly driven by the RECOVERY study. The COLCORONA study that included outpatients with mild early COVID-19 suggest possible reduction in hospitalizations, mechanical ventilation requirements and mortality in this subgroup. However, certainty of the evidence was low because of very severe imprecision due to a small number of events.

• **Ivermectin:** Although 35 RCTs assessed ivermectin in patients with COVID-19, only 15 of those studies reported on clinical important outcomes. Pooled estimates suggest mortality reduction with ivermectin, but the certainty of the evidence was very low because of methodological limitations and small number of events. Based on the results reported by the four RCTs classified as low risk of bias, ivermectin probably does not improve time to symptom resolution and may not have an important effect on hospitalizations. Further research is needed to confirm these findings.

• **Favipiravir:** Twenty-one RCTs assessed favipiravir vs SOC or other interventions. Their results suggest that favipiravir may increase mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements and it probably does not improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed to confirm these findings.

• **Sofosbuvir** +/- **daclatasvir**, **ledipasvir**, **velpatasvir**, **or ravidasvir**: Thirteen RCTs assessed sofosbuvir with or without daclatasvir, ledipasvir or velpatasvir against standard of care or other interventions. Subgroup analysis showed significant differences between low risk of bias and high risk of bias studies. The results of the two studies classified as low risk of bias suggest that sofosbuvir alone or in combination may not reduce mortality or mechanical ventilation requirements and it probably does not improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed to confirm these findings.





• **Baricitinib:** The results of three RCTs show that, in patients with moderate to critical disease, baricitinib reduces mortality and time to symptom resolution without increasing severe adverse events. The certainty of the evidence was moderate because of risk of bias.

• **REGEN-COV** (**casirivimab and imdevimab**): The results of ten RCTs suggest that, in patients with severe to critical disease, overall REGEN-COV may reduce mortality, mechanical ventilation or increase symptom resolution or improvement. However, the certainty of the evidence was low. A subgroup analysis suggests a differential effect on seronegative patients in which REGEN-COV probably reduces mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements and increases symptom resolution or improvement. In patients with recent onset mild COVID-19, REGEN-COV probably reduces hospitalizations and improves time to symptom resolution without increasing severe adverse events, and in exposed asymptomatic individuals REGEN-COV reduces symptomatic infections. The certainty of the evidence was high for symptomatic infections and low to moderate because of indirectness and imprecision for the remaining outcomes. One study that compared REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) against bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab in non-severe patients with risk factors for severity, reported no important differences in hospitalizations.

• **Bamlinivimab** +/- **etesevimab:** The results of six RCTs suggest that bamlinivimab probably decreases hospitalizations in patients with COVID-19 and probably decreases symptomatic infection in exposed individuals. Its effects on other clinical important outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed. One study that compared bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab against REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) in non-severe patients with risk factors for severity, reported no important differences in hospitalizations.

• **Sotrovimab:** The results of two RCT show that, in patients with recent onset mild COVID-19, sotrovimab probably reduces hospitalizations and improves time to symptom resolution without increasing severe adverse events. The certainty of the evidence was moderate because of imprecision but with evidence of equipoise between sotrovimab and REGEN-COV.

• **Regdanvimab:** The results of two RCT show that, in patients with mild to moderate disease, regdanvimab may improve time to symptom resolution. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision. Its effects on other important outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed to confirm or discard these findings.

• **Proxalutamide:** The results of four RCTs suggest that proxalutamide may result in important benefits. However, the certainty of the evidence was very low because of very serious risk of bias, imprecision, and indirectness. Further research is needed to confirm or discard these findings.

• **Dapagliflozin:** The results of one RCT suggest that, in patients with cardiometabolic risk factors hospitalized with moderate COVID-19, dapagliflozin may reduce mortality, but probably does not increase symptom resolution. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision. Further research is needed to confirm or discard these findings.





• **Mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation:** The results of five RCTs show that, in patients with severe to critical, mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation may reduce mortality. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision. Further research is needed to confirm or discard these findings.

• **Inhaled corticosteroids:** The results of six RCTs show that inhaled corticosteroids probably improve time to symptom resolution. However, its effects on other relevant outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed.

• **Fluvoxamine:** The results of two RCTs suggest that in patients with mild disease, fluvoxamine probably does not have an important effect on hospitalizations and may not increase adverse events. The certainty of the evidence was moderate to low because of imprecision. Further research is needed.

• Lenzilumab: The results of one RCT suggest that lenzilumab may reduce mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation requirements in severe patients. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision. Further research is needed.

• **INM005** (polyclonal fragments of equine antibodies): Currently, there is very low certainty about the effects of INM005 on clinically important outcomes.

• **Famotidine:** Currently, there is very low certainty about the effects of famotidine on clinically important outcomes.

• Anticoagulants: Thromboembolic complications in patients infected with COVID-19 are relatively frequent. As for hospitalized patients with severe medical conditions current guidelines recommend thromboprophylactic measures to be adopted for inpatients with COVID-19 infection. Regarding the best thromboprophylactic scheme, excluding three studies classified as with high risk of bias, the results of eight RCTs that compared anticoagulants in intermediate (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg a day) or full dose (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg twice a day) versus prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day) showed no differences in mortality with low certainty (imprecision and inconsistency). Results of three RCTs inform that aspirin probably does not reduce mortality, nor mechanical ventilation and probably does not increase symptom resolution or improvement. In mild ambulatory patients two RCTs suggest that rivaroxaban in prophylactic dose may not importantly improve time to symptom resolution.

• **NSAIDS:** No association between NSAID exposure and increased mortality was observed. However, certainty of the evidence is very low and further research is needed to confirm these findings.

• ACEIs or ARBs: The results of five low-risk of bias RCTs suggest that initiating or continuing ACEIs or ARBs in patients with COVID-19 may increase mortality. However, certainty of the evidence is low because of imprecision and further research is needed to confirm these findings.





• **Molnupiravir:** The results of five RCTs show that molnupiravir probably reduces hospitalizations in patients with recent onset mild to moderate disease, and may not increase severe adverse events.

• **Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir:** The results of one RCT show that nirmatrelvir-ritonavir probably reduces hospitalizations in patients with recent onset mild to moderate disease, and probably does not increase severe adverse events.

• **Probiotics:** The results of four RCTs suggest that probiotics may improve time to symptom resolution. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision and the effects on other important outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed.

• **Mouthwash:** The results of twelve RCTs suggest that mouthwashes may improve time to symptom resolution. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision and the effects on other important outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed.

• **P2Y12 inhibitors:** The results of one RCT suggest that P2Y12 in combination with anticoagulants in full dose may increase mortality and may not improve time to symptom resolution. However, the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision and the effects on other important outcomes are uncertain. Further research is needed.

Changes since previous edition

- Quercetin: New evidence included without significant changes.
- Convalescent plasma: New evidence included without significant changes.
- Tofacitinib: New evidence included without significant changes.

• **Ivermectin:** New evidence included affecting results interpretation and/or certainty of the evidence judgments.

- Doxycycline: New evidence included without significant changes.
- Colchicine: New evidence included without significant changes.
- Camostat mesylate: New evidence included without significant changes.
- Nitazoxanide: New evidence included without significant changes.

• Endothelial dysfunction protocol: New evidence included affecting results interpretation and/or certainty of the evidence judgments.

• Vitamin D: New evidence included without significant changes.





• Tocilizumab: New evidence included without significant changes.

• Sarilumab: New evidence included without significant changes.

• Hyperimmune anti-COVID-19 intravenous immunoglobulin: New evidence included without significant changes.

• **Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir:** New evidence included affecting results interpretation and/or certainty of the evidence judgments.

• **Molnupiravir:** New evidence included affecting results interpretation and/or certainty of the evidence judgments.

• **REGEN-COV** (casirivimab e imdevimab): New evidence included without significant changes.

• Avdoralimab: New evidence included affecting results interpretation and/or certainty of the evidence judgments.

• Niclosamide: New evidence included without significant changes.

• Hydroxychloroquine: New evidence included without significant changes.



Concluding remarks

• The Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) is continually monitoring ongoing research on any possible therapeutic options. As evidence emerges, then PAHO will immediately assess and update its position, particularly as it applies to any special subgroup populations such as children, expectant mothers, and those with immune conditions.

• PAHO is also mindful of the emerging differential impact of COVID-19 on ethnic and minority groups and is continuously seeking data that could help in mitigating excess risk of severe illness or death in minority sub-groups. These groups are plagued by social and structural inequities that bring to bear a disproportionate burden of COVID-19 illness.

• The safety of the patient suffering from COVID-19 is a key priority to improve the quality of care in the provision of health services.

• There remains an urgent need for additional high-quality randomized controlled trials that include patients with COVID-19 before most therapeutic options can be administered with any confidence. Adequately designed and reported clinical trials are crucial for the practice of evidence-based medicine. Most of the research to date on COVID-19 has very poor methodology that is hidden and very difficult to validate. Greater transparency and better designed studies are urgently needed.





Hallazgos clave

Opciones terapéuticas: Según el portal de búsqueda de la Plataforma de Registros Internacionales de Ensayos Clínicos (ICTRP) de la Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS), se están investigando cientos de posibles tratamientos o sus combinaciones en más de 10.000 ensayos clínicos y estudios observacionales. En esta revisión, examinamos 178 opciones terapéuticas potenciales.

• **Corticosteroides:** El conjunto de evidencia sobre los corticoesteroides incluye 21 ensayos clínicos controlados aleatorizados (ECCA) y muestra que la administración de dosis bajas y moderadas (la dosis utilizada en el estudio RECOVERY fue dexametasona 6 mg diarios por vía oral o intravenosa durante 10 días) probablemente reduce la mortalidad en pacientes con infección grave por SARS-CoV-2. Los resultados se mantuvieron uniformes tras agregar al análisis estudios en los que pacientes con síndrome de dificultad respiratoria aguda (SDRA) de otras etiologías recibieron corticosteroides o manejo estándar de forma aleatoria. Esquemas con dosis más altas (por ejemplo, dexametasona 12 mg por día) podrían no resultar más efectivos que los esquemas habituales (por ejemplo dexametasona 6 mg por día).

• **Remdesivir:** En el estudio Solidaridad de la OMS, el remdesivir no tuvo un efecto clínicamente relevante sobre la mortalidad global, la necesidad de ventilación mecánica invasiva o la duración de la estadía hospitalaria. Tras combinar dichos resultados con otros siete ECCA, se observó que el remdesivir podría no tener un efecto importante sobre la mortalidad, pero podría reducir la necesidad de ventilación mecánica invasiva y mejorar el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja y se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones. En pacientes con enfermedad leve de reciente comienzo, remdesivir podría reducir las hospitalizaciones, pero la certeza en la evidencia es baja por imprecisión. Se necesita más información.

• Hidroxicloroquina, interferón beta 1-a y lopinavir-ritonavir: El conjunto de evidencia sobre la hidroxicloroquina, el interferón beta 1-a y el lopinavir-ritonavir, incluidos los resultados preliminares de los estudios RECOVERY y Solidaridad, no muestra beneficios en la reducción de la mortalidad, la necesidad de ventilación mecánica invasiva o el plazo necesario para la mejoría clínica. Incluso la evidencia sobre hidroxicloroquina sugiere que su utilización probablemente genere un incremento en la mortalidad. Siete estudios con bajo riesgo de sesgo que evaluaron la hidroxicloroquina en personas expuestas a la COVID-19 sugieren una modesta reducción en el riesgo de infección, pero la certeza en la evidencia es baja por inconsistencia e imprecisión. Se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• Antibióticos: El cuerpo de evidencia identificado sobre la azitromicina y la doxiciclina muestra ausencia de beneficios significativos en pacientes con COVID-19 leve a moderada, o grave a crítica.



• Plasma de convalecientes: Los resultados de 32 ECCA que evaluaron el uso de plasma de convalecientes en pacientes con COVID-19, incluido el estudio RECOVERY que incorpora 11.558 pacientes, mostraron ausencia de reducción de la mortalidad, ausencia de reducción en la necesidad de ventilación mecánica invasiva y ausencia de mejoría en el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas con certeza moderada. En pacientes leves, el plasma de convalecientes probablemente no tenga un efecto importante sobre las hospitalizaciones con certeza moderada. El plasma de convalecientes podría no asociarse a un aumento en los eventos adversos graves con certeza baja. En un análisis de subgrupo del estudio RECOVERY, no se observó un efecto diferencial entre aquellos pacientes tratados rápidamente (menos de 4 días desde el inicio de los síntomas) y aquellos con enfermedad más avanzada al iniciar dicho tratamiento.

• **Tocilizumab:** Los resultados de 28 ECCA muestran que el tocilizumab reduce la mortalidad y la necesidad de ventilación invasiva sin un incremento importante en los efectos adversos graves en pacientes con enfermedad grave o crítica.

• Sarilumab: Los resultados de nueve ECCA muestran que el sarilumab podría no reducir la mortalidad y probablemente no mejore el tiempo a la resolución de los síntomas, aunque sí podría reducir la necesidad de ventilación invasiva sin un incremento importante en los efectos adversos graves en pacientes con enfermedad grave o crítica. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja y se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• Anakinra: Los resultados de tres ECCA que evaluaron la anakinra en pacientes hospitalizados con enfermedad no grave muestran resultados incongruentes en la mortalidad y la resolución de los síntomas. La certeza en la evidencia es muy baja y se necesita más información.

• **Tofacitinib:** Los resultados dos ECCA que evaluaron el tofacitinib en pacientes hospitalizados con enfermedad moderada a grave indican una posible mejora en la resolución de los síntomas, aunque con un posible aumento de los eventos adversos graves. La certeza en la evidencia es baja y se necesita más información.

• **Colchicina:** Los resultados de diez ECCA, entre los que se encuentra el estudio COLCORONA, que incluyó 4488 pacientes con diagnóstico reciente de COVID-19 y factores de riesgo para enfermedad grave y el estudio RECOVERY que incorpora 11.340 pacientes hospitalizados muestran que colchicina probablemente no reduce la mortalidad, la necesidad de ventilación mecánica, mejora la velocidad de resolución de los síntomas o reduce las hospitalizaciones en pacientes con enfermedad leve de reciente comienzo. Estos resultados están fundamentalmente sustentados en el estudio RECOVERY. El estudio COLCORONA, que incluyó pacientes ambulatorios con enfermedad leve, apunta una posible reducción en las hospitalizaciones, la necesidad de ventilación mecánica y la mortalidad en este subgrupo. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja por imprecisión muy grave, ya que el número de eventos fue bajo.

• **Ivermectina:** A pesar de que 35 ECCA evaluaron ivermectina en pacientes con COVID-19, solo 15 de estos estudios notificaron desenlaces clínicamente importantes. Los resultados combinados de estos estudios indican una reducción en la mortalidad con la ivermectina. Sin embargo, la





certeza en la evidencia es muy baja por limitaciones metodológicas y un número reducido de eventos. Con base en la información facilitada por los cuatro estudios con riesgo bajo de sesgo, probablemente no se asocie a una mejoría en la velocidad de resolución de los síntomas ni tenga un efecto importante sobre las hospitalizaciones. Se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• **Favipiravir:** Veintiún ECCA evaluaron el favipiravir en comparación con la prestación de cuidados estándares u otras intervenciones. Sus resultados sugieren que el favipiravir podría aumentar la mortalidad y la necesidad de ventilación invasiva mecánica, y probablemente no mejore el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• Sofosbuvir con o sin daclatasvir, ledipasvir, velpatasvir o ravidasvir: Trece ECCA evaluaron sofosbuvir solo o en combinación con daclatasvir, ledipasvir o velpatasvir en comparación con la prestación de cuidados estándares u otras intervenciones. Los resultados de los estudios con un riesgo alto de sesgo y con un riesgo bajo de sesgo mostraron resultados sustancialmente diferentes. Los resultados de los dos estudios clasificados con riesgo bajo de sesgo sugieren que el sofosbuvir solo o en combinación podría no reducir la mortalidad ni la necesidad de ventilación invasiva mecánica, y probablemente no mejore el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• **Baricitinib:** Los resultados de tres ECCA muestran que, en pacientes con enfermedad de moderada a grave, el baricitinib reduce la mortalidad y mejora el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas sin aumentar los eventos adversos graves. La certeza en la evidencia es moderada por riesgo de sesgo.

• **REGEN-COV** (casirivimab e imdevimab): Los resultados de diez ECCA muestran que, en pacientes con enfermedad grave o crítica, el REGEN-COV podría reducir la mortalidad, la necesidad de ventilación invasiva y mejorar la velocidad de resolución de los síntomas de forma significativa. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja. Un análisis de subgrupo mostró un efecto diferencial en pacientes con anticuerpos negativos. En este subgrupo, el REGEN-COV probablemente reduzca la mortalidad, la necesidad de ventilación mecánica e incremente la resolución de síntomas. En pacientes con enfermedad leve de comienzo reciente, el REGEN-COV probablemente reduce las hospitalizaciones y mejora el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas sin aumentar el riesgo de eventos adversos graves; y en personas asintomáticas, expuestas a SARS-CoV-2, el REGEN-COV reduce las infecciones sintomáticas. La certeza en la evidencia es alta para infecciones sintomáticas y de baja a moderada por información indirecta e imprecisión para los restantes desenlaces. Un estudio que comparó el REGEN-COV (casirivimab e imdevimab) con el bamlanivimab con o sin etesevimab en pacientes con síntomas leves y factores de riesgo para enfermedad grave notificó ausencia de diferencias importantes en las hospitalizaciones.

• **Bamlinivimab con o sin etesevimab:** Los resultados de seis ECCA indican que el bamlanivimab probablemente reduce las hospitalizaciones en pacientes con COVID-19 y probablemente





disminuye las infecciones sintomáticas en personas expuestas. Sus efectos sobre otros desenlaces importantes son inciertos. Se necesita más información. Un estudio que comparó el bamlanivimab con o sin etesevimab con el REGEN-COV (casirivimab e imdevimab) en pacientes con síntomas leves y factores de riesgo para enfermedad grave notificó ausencia de diferencias importantes en las hospitalizaciones.

• **Sotrovimab:** Los resultados de dos ECCA muestran que, en pacientes con enfermedad leve de comienzo reciente, el sotrovimab probablemente reduce las hospitalizaciones y mejora el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas sin aumentar el riesgo de eventos adversos graves. La certeza en la evidencia es moderada por imprecisión, pero con evidencia de eficacia similar entre el sotrovimab y el REGEN-COV.

• **Regdanvimab:** Los resultados de dos ECCA muestran que, en pacientes con enfermedad leve a moderada, el regdanivimab podría mejorar el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja por imprecisión. Sus efectos sobre otros desenlaces importantes son inciertos. Se necesita más información para confirmar o descartar estas conclusiones.

• **Proxalutamide:** Los resultados de cuatro ECCA sugieren un efecto favorable asociado a la proxalutamida. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es muy baja por riesgo de sesgo muy grave, imprecisión e información indirecta. Se necesita más información para confirmar o descartar estas conclusiones.

• **Dapagliflozina:** Los resultados de un ECCA muestran que, en pacientes con factores de riesgo cardiometabólicos hospitalizados por COVID-19 moderada, la dapagliflozina podría reducir la mortalidad, pero probablemente no mejora la resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja por imprecisión. Se necesita más información para confirmar o descartar estas conclusiones.

• **Trasplante de células madre mesenquimatosas:** Los resultados de cinco ECCA apuntan que, en pacientes con enfermedad de grave a crítica, el trasplante de células madre mesenquimatosas podría reducir la mortalidad. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja por imprecisión. Se necesita más información para confirmar o descartar estas conclusiones.

• **Corticosteroides inhalados:** Los resultados de seis ECCA muestran que los corticosteroides inhalados probablemente mejoran el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, sus efectos sobre otros desenlaces importantes son inciertos. Se necesita más información.

• Fluvoxamina: Los resultados de dos ECCA sugieren que, en pacientes con enfermedad leve, la fluvoxamina probablemente no tenga un efecto importante sobre las hospitalizaciones y podría no incrementar los eventos adversos. La certeza en la evidencia es de baja a moderada por imprecisión. Se necesita más información.





• Lenzilumab: Los resultados de un ECCA sugieren que el lenzilumab podría reducir la mortalidad y la necesidad de ventilación mecánica invasiva en pacientes graves. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja por imprecisión. Se necesita más información.

• **INM005 (fragmentos policionales de anticuerpos equinos):** Hasta el momento, la evidencia sobre los efectos del INM005 en desenlaces críticos es de muy baja certeza.

• Famotidina: Hasta el momento, la evidencia sobre los efectos de la famotidina es de muy baja certeza.

• Anticoagulantes: Las complicaciones tromboembólicas en pacientes con COVID-19 son frecuentes. Al igual que en pacientes hospitalizados por afecciones médicas graves, las directrices vigentes indican que los pacientes hospitalizados por COVID-19 sean tratados con medidas tromboprofilácticas. En relación con el esquema tromboprofiláctico, excluyendo tres estudios clasificados con riesgo alto de sesgo, los resultados de ocho ECCA que compararon los anticoagulantes en dosis intermedias (p. ej., enoxaparina 1 mg/kg por día) o dosis completas (p. ej., enoxaparina 1 mg/kg cada 12 h por día) frente a dosis profilácticas (p. ej., enoxaparina 40 mg por día) mostraron ausencia de diferencias en la mortalidad con certeza baja (imprecisión e inconsistencia). Los resultados de tres ECCA informan que la indicación de aspirina probablemente tampoco se asocia a una reducción en la mortalidad o la necesidad de ventilación mecánica ni a la mejoría en la velocidad de resolución de los síntomas. Los resultados de dos ECCA sugieren que, en pacientes ambulatorios con enfermedad leve, el rivaroxaban en dosis profilácticas podría no mejorar el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas de forma considerable.

• Antiinflamatorios no esteroideos (AINE): Hasta el momento, el uso de los AINE no está asociado con un incremento en la mortalidad. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es muy baja, por lo que se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• **IECA y ARB:** Los resultados de cinco ECCA con riesgo bajo de sesgo sugieren que el inicio o continuación de los IECA y los ARB en pacientes con COVID-19 podría aumentar la mortalidad. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja, por lo que se necesita más información para confirmar estas conclusiones.

• **Molnupiravir:** Los resultados de cinco ECCA muestran que el tratamiento con molnupiravir indicado a pacientes con enfermedad leve a moderada de reciente comienzo y con factores de riesgo para enfermedad grave probablemente reduzca las hospitalizaciones y podría no aumentar los eventos adversos graves.

• **Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir:** Los resultados de un ECCA muestra que el tratamiento con nirmatrelvir-ritonavir indicado a pacientes con enfermedad leve a moderada de reciente comienzo y con factores de riesgo para enfermedad grave probablemente reduzca las hospitalizaciones y probablemente no aumente los eventos adversos graves.





• **Probióticos:** Los resultados de cuatro ECCA sugieren que el tratamiento con probióticos podría mejorar el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja y los efectos sobre otros desenlaces importantes son inciertos. Se necesita más información.

• **Enjuague bucal:** Los resultados de doce ECCA sugieren que el tratamiento con enjuagues bucales podría mejorar el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja y los efectos sobre otros desenlaces importantes son inciertos. Se necesita más información.

• Inhibidores P2Y12: Los resultados de un ECCA sugieren que el tratamiento con P2Y12 agregado a anticoagulantes en dosis completas podría aumentar la mortalidad y podría no mejorar el tiempo de resolución de los síntomas. Sin embargo, la certeza en la evidencia es baja y los efectos sobre otros desenlaces importantes son inciertos. Se necesita más información.

Cambios respecto a la versión anterior

• Quercetin: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Plasma de convalecientes:** La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Tofacitinib:** La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Ivermectina:** La evidencia nueva incluida modifica la interpretación de los resultados o la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Doxiciclina:** La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Colchicina:** La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• Mesilato de camostat: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• Nitazoxanida: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Protocolo de disfunción endotelial:** La evidencia nueva incluida modifica la interpretación de los resultados o la certeza de la evidencia.




• Vitamina D: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Tocilizumab:** La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• Sarilumab: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• Inmunoglobulina intravenosa hiperinmune anti-COVID-19: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir: La evidencia nueva incluida modifica la interpretación de los resultados o la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Molnupiravir:** La evidencia nueva incluida modifica la interpretación de los resultados o la certeza de la evidencia.

• **REGEN-COV** (casirivimab e imdevimab): La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• Avdoralimab: La evidencia nueva incluida modifica la interpretación de los resultados o la certeza de la evidencia.

• Niclosamida: La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.

• **Hidroxicloroquina:** La evidencia nueva incluida no modifica la interpretación de los resultados ni la certeza de la evidencia.



Conclusiones

• La Organización Panamericana de la Salud (OPS) hace seguimiento en todo momento de la evidencia en relación con cualquier posible intervención terapéutica. A medida que se disponga de evidencia nueva, la OPS la incorporará con rapidez y actualizará sus recomendaciones, especialmente si dicha evidencia se refiere a grupos en situación de vulnerabilidad como los niños y niñas, las mujeres embarazadas, las personas mayores o los pacientes inmunocomprometidos, entre otros.

• La OPS también tiene en cuenta las diferencias en el impacto de la COVID-19 sobre las minorías y los diferentes grupos étnicos. En consecuencia, la Organización recopila constantemente información que pueda servir para mitigar el exceso de riesgo de enfermedad grave o muerte de estas minorías. Estos grupos sufren inequidades sociales y estructurales que conllevan una carga de enfermedad desproporcionada.

• La seguridad de los pacientes afectados por la COVID-19 es una prioridad clave de la mejora de la calidad de la atención y los servicios de salud.

• Sigue siendo apremiante la necesidad de elaborar ensayos clínicos aleatorizados de alta calidad que incluyan pacientes con COVID-19 a fin de poder desarrollar estrategias de manejo confiables. La importancia de los ensayos clínicos controlados aleatorizados con un diseño adecuado es fundamental en la toma de decisiones basadas en la evidencia. Hasta el momento, la mayoría de la investigación en el campo de la COVID-19 tiene muy baja calidad metodológica, lo que dificulta su identificación y validación. Urge incrementar la transparencia y plantear estudios de más calidad.



36

Systematic review of therapeutic options for treatment of COVID-19

Background

The vast amount of data generated by clinical studies of potential therapeutic options for COVID-19 presents important challenges. This new information must be interpreted quickly so that prescribers can make optimal treatment decisions with as little harm to patients as possible, and so that medicines manufacturers can scale-up production rapidly and bolster their supply chains. Interpreting new data quickly will save lives by ensuring that reportedly successful drugs can be administered to as many patients as possible as quickly as possible. Moreover, if evidence indicates that a medication is not effective, then ongoing clinical trials could change focus and pivot to more promising alternatives. Since many physicians are currently using treatments that rely on compassionate-use exemptions or off-label indications to treat patients with COVID-19,¹ it is crucial that they have access to the most up-to-date research evidence to inform their treatment decisions.

To address this evidence gap, we compiled the following database of evidence on potential therapeutic options for COVID-19. We hope this information will help investigators, policy makers, and prescribers navigate the flood of relevant data to ensure that management of COVID-19 at both individual and population levels is based on the best available knowledge. We will endeavor to continually update this resource as more research is released into the public space.

Methods

We used the Living OVerview of Evidence (L·OVE; https://iloveevidence.com) platform to identify studies for inclusion in this review. This platform is a system that maps PICO (Patient–Intervention–Comparison–Outcome) questions to a repository developed by Epistemonikos Foundation. This repository is continuously updated through searches in electronic databases, preprint servers, trial registries, and other resources relevant to COVID-19. The last version of the methods, the total number of sources screened, and a living flow diagram and report of the project is updated regularly on the L·OVE website.²

Search strategy

We systematically searched in L·OVE for COVID-19. The search terms and databases covered are described on the L·OVE search strategy methods page available at: <u>https://app.iloveevidence.com/loves/5e6fdb9669c00e4ac072701d?question_domain=undefined&</u> <u>section=methods</u>. The repository is continuously updated, and the information is transmitted in real-time to the L·OVE platform. It was last checked for this review on 22 February 2022. The





searches covered the period from the inception date of each database, and no study design, publication status or language restriction was applied.

Study selection

The results of the searches in the individual sources were de-duplicated by an algorithm that compares unique identifiers (database identification number, digital object identifier (DOI), trial registry identification number), and citation details (i.e., author names, journal, year of publication, volume, number, pages, article title, and article abstract). Then, the information matching the search strategy was sent in real-time to the L·OVE platform where at least two authors independently screened the titles and abstracts yielded against the inclusion criteria. We obtained the full reports for all titles that appeared to meet the inclusion criteria or required further analysis and then decided about their inclusion.

Inclusion criteria

We aimed to find all available RCTs for potential therapeutic pharmacological interventions for COVID-19 with study designs that included head-to-head comparisons, or control groups with no intervention or a placebo. Target patient populations included both adults and children exposed to or with confirmed or suspected COVID-19. We focused on comparative effectiveness studies that provide evidence on outcomes of crucial importance to patients (mortality, invasive mechanical ventilation, symptom resolution or improvement, infection [prophylaxis studies] and severe adverse events).³ In addition to RCTs, we included comparative non-RCTs that report on effects of NSAID consumption on mortality. We only incorporated non-RCTs that included at least 100 patients. We presented results of RCTs and non-RCTs separately.⁴

Living evidence synthesis

An artificial intelligence algorithm deployed in the Coronavirus/COVID-19 topic of the L \cdot OVE platform provides instant notification of articles with a high likelihood of being eligible. The authors review them, decide upon inclusion, and update the living web version of the review accordingly. If meta-analytical pooling is possible from retrieved evidence, we will do this to derive more precise estimates of effect and derive additional statistical power.

The focus has been on RCTs studies for all included therapeutic pharmacological interventions (adults and children). Adults and children exposed to or with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 were and will be included. Trials that compare interventions head-to-head or against no intervention or placebo is the focus. We have focused on comparative effectiveness studies that provide evidence on patient-important outcomes (mortality, invasive mechanical ventilation, symptom resolution or improvement, infection (prophylaxis studies), hospitalization (studies that included patients with non-severe disease) and severe adverse events).³ For studies that assessed thromboprophylactic interventions we also assessed venous thromboembolic events and major bleeding. For the outcome "hospitalization" we included information from studies reporting the





number of hospitalizations or the number of hospitalizations combined with the number of deaths without hospitalization. We did not include information from studies reporting a combination of hospitalizations and medical consultations. No electronic database search restrictions were imposed.

For any meta-analytical pooling, if and when data allow, we pool all studies and present the combined analysis with relative and absolute effect sizes. To assess interventions' absolute effects, we applied relative effects to baseline risks (risks with no intervention). We extracted mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation baseline risks from the ISARIC cohort as of 18 December 2020.^{5,6} For baseline infection risk in exposed to COVID-19 we used estimates from a SR on physical distancing and mask utilization,⁷ and for adverse events and symptom resolution/improvement we used the mean risk in the control groups from included RCTs until 18 December 2020. For venous thromboembolic events and major bleeding baseline risk we used the mean risk in the control groups from included RCTs until 23 December 2021. We continuously monitor baseline risks by assessing the mean risk of every outcome in the control groups of included RCTs. When substantial changes to baseline risks are detected, we update the estimates used for absolute effects calculations. For mortality, there were some drug instances whereby we provide systematic-review (meta-analysis) evidence indirectly related to patients with COVID-19, e.g., corticosteroids in patients with ARDS.

For result interpretations and imprecision assessment we used a minimally contextualized approach which considers whether the 95%CI includes the null effect, or, when the point estimate is close to the null effect, whether the 95%CI lies within the boundaries of small but important benefit and harm that corresponds to every outcome assessed.^{8,9}

We used the following thresholds to define important benefits and harms: Mortality, +/- 1%; Mechanical ventilation, +/- 2%; Symptom resolution or improvement, +/- 5%; Symptomatic infection in exposed individuals, +/- 5%; Hospitalization in patients with mild recent COVID-19, +/- 2%; Severe adverse events, +/- 3%.

For some interventions when we found significant heterogeneity, we performed subgroup analysis considering: 1) risk of bias (high/moderate vs low risk of bias); 2) disease severity (mild, moderate, severe, or critical); and 3) intervention's characteristics (i.e., different doses or administration schemes). When we observed significant differences between subgroups, we presented individual subgroup's estimates of effect and certainty of the evidence assessment.

A risk of bias assessment was applied to RCTs focusing on randomization, allocation concealment, blinding, attrition, or other biases relevant to the estimates of effect (Table 4).¹⁰ For non-RCTs, potential residual confounding was assumed in all cases and certainty of the evidence was downgraded twice for risk of bias. The GRADE approach was used to assess the certainty on the body of evidence for every comparison on an outcome basis (Table 5).¹¹ Risk of bias judgments were compared against other similar projects (Drug treatments for covid-19: living systematic





<u>review and network meta-analysis</u> and <u>The COVID-NMA initiative</u>). Significant discrepancies were discussed until a final decision was reached.

We used MAGIC authoring and publication platform (https://app.magicapp.org/) to generate the tables summarizing our findings, which are included in Appendix 1.

Results

Studies identified and included

Study identification and selection process is described in Figure 1. A total of 547 studies were selected for inclusion, 540 RCTs and 7 non-RCTs. A list of excluded studies is available upon request.









Risk of bias

Overall, our risk of bias assessment for the limited reported RCTs resulted in high risk of bias due to suboptimal randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding (as well as other methodological and reporting concerns). Most RCTs were also very small in size and had small event numbers. The methods were very poor overall, and the reporting was suboptimal. For the observational studies, we had concerns with the representativeness of study groups (selection bias)





and imbalance of the known and unknown prognostic factors (confounding). Many studies are also at risk of being confounded by indication. Most are not prospective in nature and the outcome measures are mainly heterogeneous with wide variation in reporting across the included studies. In general, follow-up was short and as mentioned, confounded potentially by the severity of disease, comorbidities, and previous or concomitant COVID-19 treatment. The risk of bias assessment of each RCT is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Risk of bias of included RCTs





	Risk-of-bias arising from randomization process	Risk-of-bias due to deviations from the	Risk-of-bias due to misssing outcome	Risk-of-bias in measurement of the	Risk-of-bias in selection of the reported result	Overall Risk-of-bias judge	1
Study	randomization process	intended interventions	data	outcome	or the reported result	Mortality and Invasive mechanical ventilation	Symptoms, infection and adverse events
RECOVERY - Dexa	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
RECOVERY - Hydroxychloroquine	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
BCN PEP CoV-2	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	Low		Some Concerns
ACTT-1	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low
COVID-19 PEP	Low	Low	High	Low	Low		High
Cavalcanti et al Kamran SM et al	Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns High	Low	Low	High
COVID-19 PET	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	High Low
SIMPLE	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
BCN PEP CoV-2	High	Some Concerns	Low	High	Low	2011	High
Chen C et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CAP-China remdesivir 2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
LOTUS China	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Tang et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Hung IF et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
GRECCO-19	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Li L et al RASTAVI	High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns High	Low	High	High High
Chen, Zeng et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Chuan Li C et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Zheng et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ELACOI	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
CONCOVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	High
GLUCOCOVID	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
CloroCOVID19	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low
Davoudi-Monfared et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Chen et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Davoodi L et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Ivashchenko AA et al	High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Chen et al Cao Y et al	High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High Low	High Low
Cao Y et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
HC-nCoV	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Lou Y et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Vlaar APJ et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
DC-COVID-19	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Guvenmez O et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Huang et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Yuan et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Ren Z et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Mehboob R et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Zhong et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	High
Sakoulas et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Hu K, Wang M et al ESPERANZA	High High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	High High	High High
Lopes et al	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Duarte M et al	High	High	High	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	High	High
Metcovid	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Mansour E et al	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Zhang J et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
RECOVERY - Lopinavir-ritonavir	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
CARDEA	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Abbaspour Kasgari H et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Sadeghi A et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Shu L et al SIMPLE 2	High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	High Some Concerns	High High
SIMPLE 2 Abd-Elsalam S et al	Low High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns High	High
Sekhavati E et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Shouman et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Rahmani H et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ConPlas-19	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	High
DEXA-COVID19							
REMAP-CAP	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Steroids-SARI							
COVID STEROID	1	C C		C C	1		10
CoDEX	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
COVIDIOL CAPE COVID	High Low	Some Concerns Low	Low	Some Concerns Low	Low	High Low	High Low
COVACTA	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COALITION II	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Li Tetal	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Wang D et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Chowdhury et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PLACID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	High
Gharebaghi N et al	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
TX-COVID19	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Cheng LL et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Farahani R et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Kimura KS et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ATENEA-Co-300	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Wu X et al Balcells ME et al (Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile)	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low
Balcells ME et al (Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile) Edalatifard M et al (Tehran University of Medical Sciences)	Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High	High High
Edalatitard M et al (Tehran University of Medical Sciences) COVID-19 PREP	High Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High Low	High
Wang M, Hu K et al (Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Doi Y et al (Fujita Health University Hospital)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Podder et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
HESACOVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High





Nojomi et al (Iran University of Medical Sciences)	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
PrEP_COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
de Alencar JCG et al (Universidade de São Paulo)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Fu W et al (Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Salehzadeh F (Ardabil University of Medical Sciences)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Dabbous H et al (Ain Shams University)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PATCH	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Zhao H et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PLASM-AR	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVID-19-MCS	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	High	Low	High
Mahmud et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ansarin K (Tabriz University of Medical Sciences)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
WHO SOLIDARITY - HCQ	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
Yethindra V et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Shi L et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
RCT-TCZ-COVID-19	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
BACC Bay Tocilizumab Trial	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
SARITA-2	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Ghaderkhani S et al (Tehran University of Medical Sciences)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
COVID-19 PEP (University of Washington)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	NA	Low
Hashim HA et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ILBS-COVID-02	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	High
PROBIOZOVID	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Padmanabhan U et al (Medical Education and Drugs Departmen	-	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
AlQahtani M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Khamis Fetal	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
BLAZE-1	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
PETAL	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Lanzoni G et al	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Ruzhentsova T et al (R-Pharm)	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Lenze E et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Monk P et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
SHADE trial	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	Low High
	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Yakoot M et al (Pharco Corporate)		Some Concerns					
Ghandehari S et al HAHPS	High		Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
	Low	High	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Elgazzar et al (mild)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Elgazzar et al (severe)	High	Some Concerns		Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Elgazzar et al (prophylaxis)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Tabarsi P et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
FAV052020 (Promomed, LLC)	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Murai IH et al (University of Sao Paulo)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Udwadia ZF et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
CORIMUNO-TOCI 1	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
EMPACTA	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
HYCOVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Krolewiecki et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD	Low Low	Some Concerns Low	Low Low	Some Concerns Low	Low Low	Low Low	High Low
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low	Low	Low	High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT	Low Low High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low	Low Low Low	Low Low High Low	High Low High Low
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004	Low Low High	Some Concerns Low Low	Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low	Low Low Low	Low Low High	High Low High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT	Low Low High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low	Low Low Low	Low Low High Low	High Low High Low
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al	Low Low High Low High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low High	High Low High Low High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma	Low Low High Low High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low High Low	High Low High Low High Low
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Low High Low Low	High Low High Low High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Low High Low Low High	High Low High Low High Low High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Low High Low Low High High	High Low High Low High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low Low High High High	High Low High Low High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K; et al Ahmed et al	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low Low Low High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar X et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-H00	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low Low Low Low High High High High	High Low High Low High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUS-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionII/FANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al ITOLI-C19-02-4-00 Add-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University)	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High	High Low High Low High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TTOLI-C19-021-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low Low Low High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUS-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-024-00 Abd Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TTOLI-C19-02-1-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al QARGLES ERSul	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low High Low High Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2	Low Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low	Low	Low Low High Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionIINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al ITOLI-C19-02-4-00 Add-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low	Low	Low Low High Low High Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Madonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001	Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low	Low	Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High Low Some Concerns Low Low Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001	Low Low High Low High Cow Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low	Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LLAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al ITOLI-C19-02-4-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin.M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low	Low Low High Low High Low Low High High High High High High High Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Madonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTTV-3/TICO	Low Low High Low Low Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh L	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaecour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTTV-3TICOO Chachar et al	Low Low High Low High Cow Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Comerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LLAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLI-C19-02-4-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin.M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERV EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3/TICO Chachar et al Balykova LA et al	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High Ligh Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low High Low High Low Low High High Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Esalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Madonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RCTU-STICO Chachar et al Balykova LA et al Balykova LA et al	Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Comerns High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low High High High High High High Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTTV-3TCOO Chachar et al Balykova LA et al Babalola et al Babalola et al EMAP-CAP - todilizumab	Low Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IK-ANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-4-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-4001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-4001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 Roozbeh C et al ACTT-2 Roozbe	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High Ligh Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Protectin-M Mationado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 Recover et al Balykova LA et al Babadola et al REMAP-CAP - tocilizumab Adelmaksoud AA et al REPLACE COVID	Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Concerns High High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ROVERY EIDD-2801-001 Weinreich Rover et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al Balvaloa et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 Chachar et al ROVERY EIDD-2802-00 RO	Low Low Low High Low High Some Concerns High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low Low High Low High Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-19:02-4:00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAU-RY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAU-RY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 REMAP-CAP- tocilizumab Abdemaksoud AA et al REMAP-CAP- tocilizumab Abdemaksoud AA et al REPLACE COVID Kirti et al Kumari P et al	Low Low High Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Log Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Ligh Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Matdonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3TCO Chachar et al Balykova LA et al Balvaloa et al REMAP-CAP - todiizumab Abdelmaksoud AA et al REPLACE COVID Kirti et al Kumari P et al Kumari P et al	Low Low Low High Low High Low Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Loh High High High High High High Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al ILIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-19:02-1:00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAP- to cilizumab Abdemaksoud AA et al Babalda et al REMAP-CAP - to cilizumab Abdemaksoud AA et al Chaba et al COVIEERON	Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Loh High High High High High High High Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Etsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimeich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimeich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3/TICO Chachar et al Balykova LA et al Balykova LA et al REMAC-CAP - tocilizumab Abdemaksoud AA et al REPLACE COVID Kirli et al Kumari P et al KirAV00A-CoV/2020 Chahla et al COVIFERON RECOVERY-Plasma	Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Loh High High High High High High High Hig	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al ITOLI-C19-02-4-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECAP-CAP- tooliizumab Addelmaksoud AA et al Balykova LA et al Balykova LA et al Balykova LA et al Balykova LA et al Balykova PA et al REPLACE COVID Kirli et al Kumari P et al CAUCCOURCE COVIFERON RECOVERY EIDD-2802 CovIFERON RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Alavi Darazam 1 et al)	Low Low Low High Low High Low Coverns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low Low High Low Viligh Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Log Viligh Vigh Vigh Vigh Vigh Vigh Vigh Vigh V	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-19-02-400 Abd-Elaslam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 COLIENCE COVID Kitt et al EIDD-2802 Chaha et al COVIEFENN RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Alaxi Derazam I et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (cadegiani FA et al)	Low Low Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-19-02-400 Abd-Etsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimeich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weimeich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAUCE COVID Chachar et al Balykova LA et al Balykova LA et al REMAC-CAP - tocilizumab Abdelmaksoud AA et al REPLACE COVID Kirli et al Kumari P et al Kumari P et al FUFAPUOL-COV/2020 Chabia et al RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon In COVID (Javi Darazam I et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiani FA et al) JamaliMoghadamSiatukali S et al	Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Log High High High High High High High Hig	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Come Concerns Some Concerns Come Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some C	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassam M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-4-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTI-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTI-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTI-3 REMAP-CAP - toditzumab Addelmaksoud AA et al Babaloa et al REPLACE COVID Kirl et al Chaccour et al Addelmaksoud AA et al REPLACE COVID Kirl et al COVIFERON RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Alavi Darazam 1 et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiani FA et al) JamatilMognadamSiahkali S et al	Low Low High Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns So	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma CO/UD-Lambda Niace et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maidonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAVCRY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh A et al Babalola et al REMAP-CAP - tocilizumab Abdemaisoud A et al REPLACE COVID Kirli et al Kumari P et al FVFAV0A-CoV/2020 Chaha et al COVFERVN RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Javia Drazzam I et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiani FA et al) Sedighiyan M et al Sedighiyan M et al	Low Low Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Concerns Low Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High High High High High High High High	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LLAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 G-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IK-NT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3/TICO Chachar et al Balykova LA et al Baly	Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Log High High High High High High High Hig	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Come Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concern	Low	Low Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LLAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-24-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSuf Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 Recover et al Babalda et al REMAP-CAP- tocilicumab Abdemaksoud AA et al Babalda et al REMAP-CAP- tocilicumab Abdemaksoud AA et al RECOV/ERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Alavi Darazam I et al) AB-ORUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiami FA et al) JamailMognadamSiahkali S et al SecOvid	Low Low High Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LLAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al Fundacion/IKANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-019-02-400 Abd Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAUERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAUERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECAUERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh A et al Babalola et al REMAC-CAP - todilzumab Abdemaksoud A et al REPLACE COVID Krift et al Kumani P et al FK/FAV00A-COV/2020 Chahat et al COVIFERON RECOVERV/Plasma Interferon in COVID (Javia Drazzam I et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiani FA et al) Sedighiyan M et al Beo-Covid SEOT Mohan et al	Low Low Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LLAD AB-DRUG-SARS-004 G-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Ahmed et al TOLL-C19-02-400 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Maldonado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 REMAC-CAP- toelitzumab Abdelmaksoud A et al REMAC-CAP - toelitzumab Abdelmaksoud A et al REPLACE COVID Kirli et al Kumari P et al FUFAPU0A-CoV/2020 Chahale et al COVIFERON RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Javi Darazam I et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiani F A et al) Sedighyan M et al Rootaai A et al Sedighyan M et al Rootaai A et al Sedighyan M et al Rootaai A et al	Low Low Low High Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Some Concern	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low Low High Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Come Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Krolewiecki et al LIAD AD-RUG-SARS-004 Q-PROTECT Hassan M et al FundacionINFANT-Plasma COVID-Lambda Niaee et al PICP19 Mukhtar K et al Anmed et al ITOLI-C19-02-4-00 Abd-Elsalam S et al (Tanta University) Prolectin-M Mationado V et al GARGLES ERSul Chaccour et al ACTT-2 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 RECOVERY EIDD-2801-1001 Weinreich Roozbeh F et al ACTT-3 AD-CAP - tooliizumab Abdemaksoud: Act et al Babaloia et al REPLA-CAP - tooliizumab Abdemaksoud: Act et al Babaloia et al CVIFERON RECOVERY-Plasma Interferon in COVID (Alavi Darazam I et al) AB-DRUG-SARS-004 (Cadegiani FA et al) Sedigibiyan M et al Bee-Covid SEOT Mohan et al	Low Low Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Some Concern	Low	Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Low Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low	High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High





Samaha et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Bukhari el al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Okumus et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Veiga	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
Gottlieb	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
BRACE CORONA		Some Concerns	Some Concerns	Low	Low		High
	Low					Low	-
CORIMUNO-ANA-1	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Thakar A et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Onal H et al	High	High	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Tang X et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COLCORONA	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Lopardo	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Dabbous HM et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ATTRACT	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ranjbar K et al	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
EAT-DUTA AndroCoV	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High	High
Farnoosh G et al	Some Concerns	Some Concerns	High	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Khalili H et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Baklaushev VP et al	High	Some Concerns		Some Concerns		High	-
KILLER	High		Low		Low		High
	-	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
HYDRA	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Sali S et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
NITFQM0320OR	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
SVU-MED-CHT019-420860	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
STOIC	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Borges M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
RECOVERY-TCZ	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
COVIDAtoZ -Zinc	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
COVID-19 Early Treatment	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Shogenova LV et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
EFC16844	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ARTI-19	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Purwati	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
VB-N-IVIG-COVID-19/2020-CT2	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Jamaati H et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Beltran-HCQ	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ZINC COVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
PATCH 1	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
AB-DRUG-SARS-004-2	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Nouri-Vaskeh M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Lopez-Medina et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Lakkireddy M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Silva	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PRINCIPLE	-		Some Concerns	Some Concerns		-	-
	Low	Some Concerns		Low	Low	Some Concerns	High
Bermejo Galan et al	Low		Low	LOW	Low	Low	Low
Pott-Junior et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Mikhaylov	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19	Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low	Low High	High High
Mikhaylov	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low	High High Some Concerns
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19	Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low	Low High	High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	Low Low Some Concerns	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns	High High Some Concerns
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al	Low High Low Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High	High High Some Concerns High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al	Low High Low Low High High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low	High High Some Concerns High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZain R et al PEGI.20.002	Low High Low Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High High	High High Some Concerns High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI-20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION	Low High Low High High Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High High Low Some Concerns	High High Some Concerns High High High Low Some Concerns
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski	Low High Low High High Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al	Low High Low High High Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI-20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI:20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-903-0168	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-0903-0188	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-003-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI-20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SUIG-2020-28683 Alavi-Mogiadam M et al	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Low Some Con	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI-20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683 Alavi-Mogiadam M et al	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Low Some Con	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadolahzadeh M et al	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low Low High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGi 20.002 MASH-COVID INSFIRATION Zarychanaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-903-0148 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alavi-Mogladdam M et al CT-F95 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Cow Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low Low High Low
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-0903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollatzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna huang Yet al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low Low High Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alawi-Moghadam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntidinova VV et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Cow Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollarzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Gorzalez JL et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI-2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-9903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al	Low High Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low High Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High High High Low Some Concerns So	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-9803-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683 Alawi-Moghadam M et al CT-F95 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntidinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AIV	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High Low Low High Low High Low High Low Low Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26883 Alawi-Moghadam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollarzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntiniova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Gorzalez JL et al Doaei S et al COVID-AIV	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI-2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-9188 DISCOVER SUIG-2020-28883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al DOael S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Cow Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-9030-188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F95 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Conzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26883 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollarzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntiniova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Gorzalez JL et al Doaei S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al Kishoria N et al CERC-022 CVID-201	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI:20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26833 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59.3.2 Yadollat2adeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beftran Gonzalez JL et al DOsei S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Kishoina N et al CERC-002-CVID-201	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-9030-188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Conzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AV Arrar B et al Ribakov AR et al ECER-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI:20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26833 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59.3.2 Yadollat2adeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beftran Gonzalez JL et al DOsei S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Kishoina N et al CERC-002-CVID-201	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-9030-188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28683 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Conzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AV Arrar B et al Ribakov AR et al ECER-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Cow Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26883 Alawi-Moghadam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollarzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntiniova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Gorzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Some Concerns High Low High Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High Some Concerns High Some Concerns Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Low Low High Low Low Low High Some Concerns Low	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZain R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-20683 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova V/ et al Ko31-120 Bettran Conzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AV COVID-AV Arras B et al Ribakov AR et al ERENCI ElEPERIC CO2-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PENICIPLE Poulacadeh M et al ElEPERIC CO2-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al HBDT-OVID19 RESIST	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tobulan et al ElZain R et al PEGI-2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-9003-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollar2adeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Goynitdinova VV et al BBCovid Hanna Fuag Z et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al Kishoria N et al CERC-02C-VID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBOTCOVID19 RESIST	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High High Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	High High High Some Concerns High High Units Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI: 20.02 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-26883 Alavi-Moghadam M et al CT-F99 3.2 YadoliaTzadeh M et al BBCovid Gaynitdinova VV et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Bettran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBTCOVID19 RESIST ESIST	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High High Low High High Low High Low High Low High Low Low High Low	High High High Some Concerns High Low Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-2683 Alaui-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollatzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntidinova V/ et al Ko31-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al CENCOV-CP Fishov AR et al ERIA et al CENCOV-CP Beltran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al CENCOV-CP PINICIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBOTCOVID19 RESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Concerns Low Come Concerns Come Concerns Come Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI:2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBOCHIZ COVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBOTCOVID19 RESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low High Low High Low High Cow High Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	High High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI:20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alavi-Moginadam M et al CT-F99.3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Babcovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHSOVID 19 RESIST RESIST RESIST RESIST COCRES SBU-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some C	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low Low Low Low High Low Low High Low High Low High Low High High Low Low High High Low	High High High Some Concerns High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-20683 Alawi-Moghadam M et al CT-P99 3.2 Yadollarzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntlinova V/ et al Ko31-120 Bettran Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AV Amra B et al ERESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet SUR-COVID-19-ConvalescentPlasma TOGETHER Zhao H et al	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Concerns Low Come Concerns Come Concerns Some Concer	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI:2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollatzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al Ki3brita N et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID:211 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBDTCOVID19 RESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet SBU-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma TOGETHER Zhao H et al	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some C	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	High High High Some Concerns High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI:20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solarymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alau-Moginadam M et al CT-P59.3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al BBCovid Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al DOael S et al COVID-AIV Arma B et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHINCIPLE CERC-002-CVID-19 RESIST RESIST COGETHER Zhao H et al COSCAR	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI:2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-903-0188 DISCOVER SURG-2020-28883 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-F99 3.2 Yadollatzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al Ki3brita N et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID:211 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HBDTCOVID19 RESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet SBU-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma TOGETHER Zhao H et al	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some C	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Tolouian et al ElZein R et al PEGI:20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychanski Santos PSS et al Solarymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26863 Alau-Moginadam M et al CT-P59.3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al BBCovid Gaynitdinova VV et al K031-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al DOael S et al COVID-AIV Arma B et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al BHINCIPLE CERC-002-CVID-19 RESIST RESIST COGETHER Zhao H et al COSCAR	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low Low High Low Low High Low	High High Some Concerns High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20.002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-20683 Alawi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollatzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntidinova V/ et al K031-120 Bettron Gonzalez JL et al Doael S et al COVID-AV Amra B et al ERCSIG ElSIST CARR-COV-02 Seet SBL-COVID19 RESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet SBL-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma TOGETHER PolLACOVER SBL-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma	Low High Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Come Concerns Low Come Concerns Come Concerns Some C	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Com Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High	High High Some Concerns High High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI:2002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zarychamaki Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodara M et al TD-903-0488 DISCOVER SufRG-2020-26863 Alavi-Moghaddam M et al CT-P99.3.2 Yadollat2adeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gaynitdinova VV et al COVID-AIV Amra B et al Ribakov AR et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Poulad2adeh M et al HBDTCOVID19 RESIST CARR-COV-02 Seet SBL-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma TOGETHER Zhao H et al COSCAR	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low Some Concerns Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Concerns Low Some Concerns Come Concerns Come Concerns Some Concerns S	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low High Cow Cov High Cow Cow High Cow Cow High Cow	High High High Some Concerns High Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low High Low High Low High High High High High High High High
Mikhaylov 2GAMMACOVID-19 AAAS9924 Toloulan et al ElZein R et al PEGI 20 002 MASH-COVID INSPIRATION Zanychamski Santos PSS et al Solaymani-Dodaran M et al DISCOVER SURG-2020-26803 Alau-Moghadam M et al CT-P59 3.2 Yadollahzadeh M et al BBCovid Hanna Huang Y et al Gayntidinova VV et al Kos1-120 Beltran Gonzalez JL et al DOael S et al COVID-AIV Arma B et al Kishoria N et al CERC-002-CVID-201 Mahajan L et al PRINCIPLE Pouladzadeh M et al HEGTCOVID19 CESIST RESIST CCARR-COV-02 Seet SBU-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma TOGETHER Zhao H et al OSCAR POLYCOR Vanguard Samimagham HR et al CamoCo-19	Low High Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low Low Low Concerns Low Come Concerns Come Concerns Come Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Co	Low	Low High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Some Concerns Low Low Low High Low High Low High Low High Some Concerns High High Low Low High Low	High High High Some Concerns High High Low Low High Low High High High High High High High High



Siami Z et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CLOROTRIAL	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PROBCO	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Nesari TM et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PISCO	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
HNS-COVID-PK	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Rashad A et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Moni M et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
FACCT	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
COV-BARRIER	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
LIVE-AIR	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
PreToVid	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Mahmoudi M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
AGILE	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Hamdy Salman O et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVID-RT-01	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVID-ARB	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Perepu U et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Zarychanski-Non-critical	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
Sarilumab-COVID19 Study	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CAPSID	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CHEER	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
RECOVERY - Colchicine	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
Silvia Mendez-Flores S et al	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
SAVE-MORE	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Winchester S et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Elgohary MAS et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ARMY-1 Hamidi-Alamdari D et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low
Zarehoseinzade E et al	High High	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low Low	Some Concerns Some Concerns	Low	High High	High High
Abd-Elsalam S et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	-
Abd-Eisaiam S et al Biber et al	Low	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	High Low
Faisal et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
SOVECOD	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
ACTION	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
BLAZE-2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ProPAC-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Tian F et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
RECOVERY - ASA	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
HONEST	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
COMET-ICE	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ISMMSCCOVID19	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
SENTAD-COVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
SEV-COVID	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CATALYST	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Ali S et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
RECOVERY - REGEN-COV	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
Taher A et al	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
ACEI-COVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
Covid-19 Phase 3 Prevention Trial	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
EIDD-2801-2003	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
REMAP-CAP	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns
STOP-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Vallejos et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CONCOR-1	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
ALBERTA HOPE-Covid19	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Hamed DM et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
COUNTER-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Abdulamir AS et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
KP-DRUG-SARS-003	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Aref ZF et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Di Pierro F et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ARD-CORONA	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
ARCHITECTS	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CORIMUNO-TOCI ICU	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
COV-AID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVIDOSE-2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVIDSTORM	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVITOZ-01	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
HMO-0224-20	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
REMDACTA	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ImmCoVA Devoution N et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Davoudian N et al TOCOVID	Low	Low	Low Low	Low Low	Low	Low	Low
COVINTOC	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low High
CORIMUNO-SARI	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
CORIMUNO-SARI ICU	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
SARCOVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
SARICOR	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
SARTRE	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
COV-AID-2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
REGENERON Sari P3	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COPEP	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
RAPID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
Wang Q et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Hosseinzadeh A et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
BLAZE-1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Najmeddin F et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CAN-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Eduardo FP et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
AB-DRUG-SARS-005	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
COVID STEROID 2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
						-	



ACTION	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
Gaitan-Duarte HG et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Sabico S et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
PLACOVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	High
UAIIC	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
BISHOP	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	-
							High
Asadipooya K et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Ravichandran et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
DARE-19	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
DOXYCOV	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
PRINCIPLE	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Parikh D et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Covid-19 Phase 3 Prevention Trial - Exposed	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Three C	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVIDIT	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
KUMC-COVID-19	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Abbass S et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
C3PO	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Kosak et al	High			Some Concerns			
TOGHETER-Fluvoxamine		Some Concerns	Low		Low	High	High
	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
TOCIDEX	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Fakharian A et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
HERO-HCQ	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Alizadeh Z et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Bhushan S et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
VASCEPA COVID-19 CARDIOLINK-9	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Shinkai M et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Rodrigues C et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Mousavi SA et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Strich	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
MADRID-COVID	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
J2W-MC-PYAA DAWn-Plasma	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low	Low Some Concerns	Low High
							-
OPTIMISE-C19	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Coppola	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
ALV-020-001	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Gates MRI RESPOND-1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ACTIV-2	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	Low
CARVIN	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Buonfrate et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
McCreary M et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ghanei M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Maskin et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COL-COVID	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PRINCIPLE - Colchicine	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Hassaniazad M et al			Low		Low		
	High	Low		Low		High	High
Ramachandran R et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CPI-006-002	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Di-Domênico MB et al	High	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High	High
CT-P59 1.2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ABC-110	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CORONA	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
STARS	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ARTAN-C19	High	Low	High	Low	Low	High	High
Babalola OE et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
HESPERIDIN	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Reszinate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Azizi H et al	High	Low	High	Low	Low	High	High
FIGHT-COVID-19	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CANDIDATE	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
BEMICOP	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
HEP-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some Concerns	Some Concerns
ACTIV-4B	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COV-BARRIER-IMV	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
DEFINE	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
SEV-COVID	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
SARPAC	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Elamir YM et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Abd-Elsalam S et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PROCOV-19-2020	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Haghighi S et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
RUXCOVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ACTT-3	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ameri A et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Maghbooli Z et al	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
INTEREST	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Oliynyk O et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
EB-P12-01	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Mobarak S et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Leal Fetal	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Zhu R et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CONTAIN	-					-	-
	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COV-AID-3	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Somersan-Karakaya	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVID-19-MCS	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Yildiz E et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CYTOCOV-19	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Algahtani FD et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ALPS-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
ne o covio		Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
R10933-10987-CO\/-20145					I COW	I LOW	I LOW
R10933-10987-COV-20145	Low		Low		Low	High	High
R10933-10987-COV-20145 VCACS CVD-04-CD-001	Low High Low	Some Concerns Low	Low Low	Some Concerns Low	Low Low	High Low	High Low



<table-row></table-row> <table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-container></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row>	PennCCP2	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
	Toroghi N et al	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
MACACTMAC <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Low</td><td></td><td>Low</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			Low		Low			
maxmaxmaxmaxmaxmaxmaxmaxmaxAppender allGen CourterGen Courter				Low		Low		
BiologBiol								
induring AGAmathem <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-							
jml11jml11jml12jml21 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
<table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row>								
Second ConstraintSecond Constrai								
CAMBACOUNCMat <td>Asgardoon M et al</td> <td>High</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>High</td> <td>High</td>	Asgardoon M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ACMULTMACHMAC	Kharazmi AB et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Albah nd Makam d Makam d 	COMBAT-COVID	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
<table-row><table-row>induring Marging and Marging and</table-row></table-row>	ACPREGCOV	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
<table-row><table-row>induring Marging and Marging and</table-row></table-row>	X-Covid 19	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Name								
consistconstan								
TABAMControl of Control Of Co								
Chronie de ConstructionInde <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Replane if allReplane if allResult of allResult of allReplane if allReplane								
Suban di ASynch digSynch dig <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
CSCOM COMMONYINGNI			Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	
chandenk m é né	Shohan et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
CHICLG CHARMON PARAMEGen			Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
CHICLG CHARMON PARAMEGen	Cannellotto M et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
matrix INSTREGnnn<			low	Low		Low		
PHETREEunununununununununBACDAYGHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighBACDAYGHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighBACDAYGHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighArmed Sol alHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighArmed Sol alHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighBackALAIHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighBackALAIHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighBackALAIHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighBackALAIHighSome ConternLowSome ConternLowHighHighRelaCoUASSLowLowSome ConternLowHighHighHighRelaCoUASSLowLowSome ConternLowLowHighHighRelaCoUASSLowLowLowSome ConternLowLowLowHighRelaCoUASSLowLowLowLowLowLowLowHighRelaCoUASSLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowRelaCoUASSLowLowLowLowLowLow								
BUCAUSDMat<		Low	Low.	Low	Low	Low.	Low	Low.
ncl.db.chnpnm Coronnnm Coronnnm CoronnnmnpnpDEFIGEHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpADEFIGEHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpAParkate diHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpAParkate diHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpAParkate diHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpAParkate diHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpANegel B alHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpANegel B alHpASom CoronnLowSom CoronnLowHpAHpAHpANegel B alHpASom CoronnLowLowLowHpAHpAHpANegel B alHpASom CoronnLowLowLowHpAHpAHpANegel B alLowLowSom CoronnLowLowLowLowHpAHpANegel B alLowLowLowSom CoronnLowLowLowLowLowCO/DOLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowCO/DOLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLow <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
NPHALONCEALHighSome CovernsLowSome CovernsLowHighHighArmed B ofHighSome CovernsLowSome CovernsLowHighHighArmed B ofHighSome CovernsLowSome CovernsLowHighHighArmed B ofLowSome CovernsLowHighHighHighNACHONGYHighSome CovernsLowHighHighHighNACHONGYHighSome CovernsLowHighHighHighNACHONGYLowSome CovernsLowHighHighHighNACHONGYLowSome CovernsLowHighHighHighNACHONGYLowSome CovernsLowHighHighHighNACHONGYLowLowSome CovernsLowHighHighNACHONGYLowLowLowCovernLowHighHighNACHONGYLowLowLowLowLowLowHighNACHONGYLowLowLowLowLowLowLowHighNACHONGYLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowNACHONGYLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowNACHONGYLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowNACHONGYLowLowLowLowLowLow<								
DifferHighSene ConcernsLowBore ConcernsLowHighHighPaublad et J.HighSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowHighHighPaublad et J.HighSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowHighHighPaublad et J.Sene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowHighHighPAUCAUSDITLowSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowHighHighPAUCAUSDITHighSene ConcernsLowLowHighHighPAUCAUSDITHighSene ConcernsLowLowHighHighVINCOUDHighSene ConcernsLowLowHighHighVINFOUTDLowLowSene ConcernsLowLowLowLowVINFOUTDLowLowSene ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowVINFOUTDLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowVINFOUTDLow								
number of allimpSene ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowMpMpBader Al, et allHiphSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowMpAMpABader Al, et allHiphSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowMpAMpAReconcerts of allHiphSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowMpAMpAReconcerts of allHiphSene ConcernsLowSene ConcernsLowMpAMpANether of allLowSene ConcernsLowLowMpAMpAMpANether of allLowSene ConcernsLowLowLowMpAMpANether of allLowSene ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowCOPCOLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowCOPCOLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowCOPCORELowL								
pandade and basical A and <b< td=""><td>DEFINE</td><td>High</td><td>Some Concerns</td><td>Low</td><td>Some Concerns</td><td>Low</td><td>High</td><td>High</td></b<>	DEFINE	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
nablad Ad bath Ad Ad bath	Ahmad B et al	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
Inder Add adMaphSince ConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowMaphMaphMALCOVLOSOFHighSince ConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowHighHighKacengo of al.HighSince ConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowHighHighMarcov DorbHighSince ConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowHighHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowHighHighHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowSince ConcernsLowLowHighConcernsLowLowLowLowLowHighConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLow<	Pushkala et al.	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
PMACOUNDEDUNICSome ConcernsUNIC <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>								
networdsnghSome ConternsLowSome ConternsLowHighHighWINCOVDHighSome ConternsLowSome ConternsLowHighHighWINCOVDHighSome ConternsLowLowHighHighCOPIDLowSome ConternsLowLowHighHighCOPIDLowSome ConternsLowLowLowLowLowHighCOPIDLow						Low	-	
negati at atHighSome ConcernsSome Concerns </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
NNOODHypGond GoodGond GoodGond GoodGond GoodHypHypCORDGond							-	
netal COP20LowL								
COPCDLowSome ConcernsLowL								
Initial CorrLow </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
TOCETHER 2.CowLow </td <td>COP20</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Low</td> <td>High</td>	COP20	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
CONTROPY CONDENTALow	WHIP COVID-19	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COVIDENAL COLCUPUNLowSome ConcernsLow<	TOGETHER 2	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COCUDIDLow<	CONTAIN COVID-19	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
COCUDIDLow<	COVIDENZA	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	High
Justation of all DEPUNDEG-C19HighHighHighHighDEPUNDEG-C19LowLowLowLowLowLowLowCOVID-Oragos FLowLowLowLowLowHighHighAligia H et alKighLowLowLowHighHighCUVIRHighSome ConcernsLowLowHighHighALLMACEHighSome ConcernsLowLowHighHighALLMACELowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowUNASDAGSHighSome ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowLowUNASDAGSHighSome ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowUNASDAGSHighSome ConcernsLow <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>								-
optimises:endLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowHighMajek HalHighLowLowLowLowLowHighHighHighAllerHighSone ConcernsLowSone ConcernsLowHighHighALLINCEHighSone ConcernsLowSone ConcernsLowHighHighALLINCEHighSone ConcernsLow <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
COVIDORNDS-FLowSome ConcernsLowLowLowLowHighHighICU-NRHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighICU-NRHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighPROTECTENCLowLowLowSome ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowINAB-203HighSome ConcernsLow<		-						-
Indigit net al ICU/NFHighLowLowLowLowHighHighALLANCEHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighALLANCELowLowLowLowLowLowHighHighPROTECT-ENCLow </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
ICUARHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighPROTECTENCLow<								
ALLANCE PATTOPECTE/C UPONTECTE/CAC UPAB-003HighSome ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowUPAB-203HighSome ConcernsLow <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
PROTECTENCLow <td>ICU-VR</td> <td>High</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>High</td> <td>High</td>	ICU-VR	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
INAB-303InjhSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighTobalan R4 alLow	ALLIANCE	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
INAB.005HighSome ConcernsLowLowHighHighTobulane Ret alLow <td< td=""><td>PROTECT-EHC</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td><td>Low</td></td<>	PROTECT-EHC	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Tokan INSPIRATION/NSPIRATION-SLow <td>UNAB-003</td> <td></td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td></td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td></td> <td></td>	UNAB-003		Some Concerns		Some Concerns	Low		
INSPIRATIONISPIRATIONSLow <td>Tolouian R et al</td> <td>-</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Low</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Tolouian R et al	-	Low	Low	Low	Low	-	-
Abbasin R et alLowSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighHu Q et alHighHighSome ConcernsLow								
Hu Q et alHighSome ConcernsLowHighLowHighSome ConcernsLow								
AvAMId APLICOV-PCLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowAPLICOV-PCLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowAPLICOV-PCLowSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowLowHighIMPACTHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighAPLCTHighSome ConcernsLow <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
APLICOVPCLow		-					-	-
MARIPOSALowSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighIMPACTHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighCowidt9DP41HighSome ConcernsLowLowHighHighABB-COVID19LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowCOVID MEDLow <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
IMPACTHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighHighCovidDiPP41HighSome ConcernsLowLowLowLowLowLowABB-COVID19LowLo								
Covid19DP4iHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLow<								
ABB-CWID19Low <td>IMPACT</td> <td>High</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>Some Concerns</td> <td>Low</td> <td>High</td> <td>High</td>	IMPACT	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
ABB_COVID19LowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowCOVID MEDLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowCOVID MEDLowLowLowLowLowLowLowHighLowLowLowHighACTIV4aLow<	Covid19DPP4i	High	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	High	High
COVID MEDLowLowLowLowLowLowLowLowHighHighHighNaik MB et alLowSome ConcernsLow			Low	Low	Low	Low		
Nak NB et alHighSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLowHighHighACTTV-4aLow<								
ACTIV-4a Low Low <thlow< th=""> Low <thlow< th=""> <thlow< <="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thlow<></thlow<></thlow<>								
CATCOLowSome ConcernsLowSome ConcernsLow<		-					-	-
MEFECOVID-19 Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Mandmalli Met al De Santis Cot al Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low High Murugesan Het al High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low High Manomapibioon Act al Low Some Concerns Low								
Randaneilli Met al Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low High De Santis GC et al Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High Manomalpiboon A et al Low		Low	Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	r ngit Laur
De Santis GC et al Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High Munomanipioson At et al Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High High Munomanipioson At et al Low Low <td></td> <td>LOW</td> <td>LOW</td> <td>LOW</td> <td>LOW</td> <td>LOW</td> <td>LOW</td> <td>LOW</td>		LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW	LOW
Marugesan He tal High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low								
Manonapibioon A et al Low High DOXPREVENTLOV Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High Pourdowlaf S et al Low Low Low Some Concerns Low Magnet High Chup G et al Low								
DOXPREVENT.ICU Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High Pourdowlat Get al High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High Chupp Get al Low		High					High	
Pourdowlat G et al High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High Chup G et al Low Low<	Manomaipiboon A et al	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Pourdowlat G et al High Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High High Chup G et al Low Low<			Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	Low	
Chupp G et al Low Low <thlow< th=""> <thlow< th=""> <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></thlow<></thlow<>								
NACOVID Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low Low High MEDIC-LAUMC High Low Low Low Low High High RESCue Low Low Low Low Low Low High TAC Low		-					-	
MEDIC-LAUMC High Low Low Low Low High High RESCue Low								
RESOur Low Low <thlow< th=""> <thlow< t<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thlow<></thlow<>								
ITAC Low Low Low Low Low Low EPIC-IR Low Low<								
EPIC-HR Low Low Low Low Low Low Low LTECH Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High FORCE Low								
LTECH Low Some Concerns Low Some Concerns Low High FORCE Low Low </td <td>ITAC</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ITAC							
FORCE Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Caims DM et al Low		Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	
Caims DM et al Low Low Low Low Low Low Low							1	High
	I-TECH		Some Concerns	Low	Some Concerns	Low	LOW	riigii
	I-TECH	Low						
	I-TECH FORCE	Low Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low





Main findings

Corticosteroids

See Summary of findings Table 1, Appendix 1

We identified 15 RCTs including 8,404 participants in which systemic corticosteroids (dexamethasone, methylprednisolone, or hydrocortisone) were compared against standard of care or other treatments. Thirteen of these trials provided information on mortality for the corticosteroids against standard of care comparison. The RECOVERY trial was the biggest with 2,104 patients assigned to dexamethasone and 4,321 to standard of care. All 15 studies included patients with severe to critical disease, as shown by the fact that mortality in the control groups ranged from 14.2% to 61.4%. In the RECOVERY trial, a subgroup analysis which stratified patients by the amount of baseline respiratory support they received, showed significant differences favoring those with oxygen requirements. However, as mortality was high in the subgroup of patients that did not receive baseline oxygen treatment (14%), we decided to adopt a conservative approach and include the primary analysis considering all randomized patients. In addition, we identified five studies including 1499 patients in which different corticosteroid dosage schemes were compared and one study including 41 patients in which high dose corticosteroids was compared to tocilizimab. Our results showed:

- Corticosteroids probably reduce mortality, RR 0.90 (95%CI 0.80 to 1.01); RD -1.6% (95%CI -3.2% to 0.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 2)
- Corticosteroids probably reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirement, RR 0.87 (95%CI 0.73 to 1.04); RD -2.2% (95%CI -4.7% to 0.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Corticosteroids may improve time-to-symptom resolution, RR 1.19 (95%CI 0.95 to 1.5); RD 11.5% (95%CI -3% to 30%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- Corticosteroids may not significantly increase the risk of severe adverse events, RR 0.89 (95%CI 0.68 to 1.17); RD -1.1% (95%CI -3.3% to 1.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- Results were consistent with trials in which corticosteroids were used to treat non COVID-19 patients with ARDS. No significant differences between subgroups of studies using different corticosteroids were observed. (Figures 3 and 4)
- High-dose corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 12 mg a day) may not reduce mortality compared to standard-dose corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg a day), RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.67 to 1.34); RD -0.8% (95%CI -5.3% to 5.4%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ (Figure 5)
- High-dose corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 12 mg a day) may not increase severe adverse events compared to standard-dose corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg a day), RR 0.85 (95%CI 0.61 to 1.19); RD -1.5% (95%CI -4% to 1.9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○



49

Figure 2. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing corticosteroids with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR		Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
RECOVERY - Dexa	-0.11 0.0476			[0.81; 0.98]	63.3%	38.8%
GLUCOCOVID	0.15 0.5290			[0.41; 3.27]	0.5%	1.1%
Metcovid	-0.03 0.1299	1	0.97	[0.75; 1.25]	8.5%	14.2%
DEXA-COVID19	0.54 0.8797		1.71	[0.31; 9.61]	0.2%	0.4%
REMAP-CAP	-0.17 0.1715		0.84	[0.60; 1.18]	4.9%	9.2%
Steroids-SARI	-0.04 0.2621	<u> </u>	0.96	[0.57; 1.60]	2.1%	4.4%
COVID STEROID	1.03 0.7270		2.80	[0.67; 11.64]	0.3%	0.6%
CoDEX	-0.09 0.0968	+	0.92	[0.76; 1.11]	15.3%	21.1%
CAPE COVID	-0.64 0.3377		0.53	[0.27; 1.02]	1.3%	2.7%
Edalatifard M et al (Tehran University of Medical Science	ces) -1.99 0.7199	I	0.14	[0.03; 0.56]	0.3%	0.6%
Tang X et al	-1.10 1.6187		0.33	[0.01; 7.96]	0.1%	0.1%
Jamaati H et al	0.06 0.2217	_ -	1.07	[0.69; 1.65]	2.9%	5.9%
Ghanei M et al	-0.46 0.6316		0.63	[0.18; 2.18]	0.4%	0.8%
Fixed effect model		0		[0.83; 0.97]	100.0%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 17\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0062$, $p = 0.27$			0.90	[0.80; 1.01]		100.0%
neerogenery, r = 17,0, t = 0.0002, p = 0.21		0.1 0.51 2 10				

Figure 3. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing corticosteroids with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19 or ARDS without COVID-19



Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR		Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Population = COVID-19 pat RECOVERY - Dexamethaso GLUCOCOVID Metcovid DEXA-COVID19 REMAP-CAP Steroids-SARI COVID STEROID CoDEX CAPE COVID Edalatifard Tang Jamaati H et al Ghanei M et al Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 18\%$, $r^2 = 0$	ne -0.11 0.0476 0.22 0.4806 -0.03 0.1299 0.54 0.8797 -0.17 0.1715 -0.04 0.2621 1.03 0.7270 -0.09 0.0968 -0.64 0.3377 -1.99 0.7199 -1.10 1.6187 0.06 0.2217 -0.46 0.6316		1.24 [0. 0.97 [0. 1.71 [0. 0.84 [0. 0.96 [0. 2.80 [0.6 0.92 [0. 0.53 [0. 0.14 [0. 0.33 [0. 1.07 [0. 0.63 [0. 0.90 [0.	81; 0.98] 48; 3.19] 75; 1.25] 31; 9.61] 60; 1.18] 57; 11.64] 76; 1.11] 27; 1.02] 03; 0.56] 01; 7.96] 69; 1.65] 18; 2.18] 83; 0.97] 80; 1.01]		29.0% 1.1% 11.2% 0.3% 7.3% 3.5% 0.5% 16.4% 2.2% 0.5% 0.1% 4.8% 0.7% 77.6%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 18\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$ Population = ARDS patient Meduri 2007 Rezk 2013 Steinberg 2006 Liu 2012 Tangyuo 2016 Villar 2020 Zhao 2014 Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, l^2 Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 16\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$ Residual heterogeneity: $l^2 = 12$	s -0.58 0.3147 -2.53 2.4204 0.02 0.2330 -1.11 0.7132 -0.15 0.1831 -0.42 0.1906 -0.17 0.3368 p = 0.44		0.08 [0. 1.02 [0. 0.33 [0. 0.86 [0. 0.66 [0. 0.84 [0. 0.77 [0. 0.77 [0. 0.88 [0.]	30; 1.04] 00; 9.19] 65; 1.61] 08; 1.34] 60; 1.23] 45; 0.96] 43; 1.63] 63; 0.94] 63; 0.94] 63; 0.95] 79; 0.96]	1.3% 0.0% 2.3% 0.2% 3.8% 3.5% 1.1% 12.2% 	2.5% 0.0% 4.4% 0.5% 6.6% 6.2% 2.2% 22.4%





Figure 4. All-cause mortality by type of corticosteroids in RCTs using comparison with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19 or ARDS without COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	۱ 95%-Cl	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Drug = Dexamethasone RECOVERY - Dexamethason DEXA-COVID19 CoDEX Villar 2020 Jamaati H et al Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, p	0.54 0.8797 -0.09 0.0968 -0.42 0.1906 0.06 0.2217		1.71 0.92 0.66 1.07 0.89	$\begin{matrix} [0.81; & 0.98] \\ [0.31; & 9.61] \\ [0.76; & 1.11] \\ [0.45; & 0.96] \\ [0.69; & 1.65] \\ [0.82; & 0.96] \\ [0.82; & 0.96] \end{matrix}$	55.5% 0.2% 13.4% 3.5% 2.6% 75.2%	29.0% 0.3% 16.4% 6.2% 4.8%
Drug = Methylprednisone GLUCOCOVID Metcovid Steroids-SARI Meduri 2007 Rezk 2013 Steinberg 2006 Edalatifard Tang Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 40\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22 & 0.4806 \\ -0.03 & 0.1299 \\ -0.04 & 0.2621 \\ -0.58 & 0.3147 \\ -2.53 & 2.4204 \\ -0.02 & 0.2330 \\ -1.99 & 0.7199 \\ -1.10 & 1.6187 \end{array}$		0.97 0.96 0.56 0.08 1.02 0.14 0.33 0.90	[0.48; 3.19] [0.75; 1.25] [0.57; 1.60] [0.30; 1.04] [0.00; 9.19] [0.65; 1.61] [0.03; 0.56] [0.01; 7.96] [0.75; 1.09] [0.61; 1.13]	0.5% 7.5% 1.8% 1.3% 0.0% 2.3% 0.2% 0.0% 13.8%	1.1% 11.2% 3.5% 2.5% 0.0% 4.4% 0.5% 0.1%
Drug = Hydrocortisone REMAP-CAP COVID STEROID CAPE COVID Liu 2012 Tangyuo 2016 Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 36\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.4$	-0.17 0.1715 1.03 0.7270 -0.64 0.3377 -1.11 0.7132 -0.15 0.1831		2.80 [0.53 0.33 0.86 0.81 [[0.60; 1.18] 0.67; 11.64] [0.27; 1.02] [0.08; 1.34] [0.60; 1.23] [0.65; 1.01] [0.57; 1.10]	4.3% 0.2% 1.1% 0.2% 3.8% 9.6%	7.3% 0.5% 2.2% 0.5% 6.6%
Drug = Budesonide Zhao 2014 Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: not applicable	-0.17 0.3368		0.84 [[0.43; 1.63] [0.43; 1.63] [0.43; 1.63]	1.1% 1.1% 	2.2%
Drug = Prednisolone Ghanei M et al Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: not applicable	-0.46 0.6316		0.63 [[0.18; 2.18] [0.18; 2.18] [0.18; 2.18]	0.3% 0.3% 	0.7%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 16\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0$ Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 31\%$	0069, p = 0.25	01 0.1 1 10	-	[0.82; 0.95] 1 [0.79; 0.96]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Figure 5. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing high-dose corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 12 mg a day) with standard-dose corticosteroids (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg a day) in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Ranjbar K et al COVID STEROID 2	-0.68 0.3810 — -0.18 0.0995		0.51 [0. 0.84 [0.		4.9% 71.3%	13.9% 36.1%
Maskin et al	0.00 0.2148		1.00 [0.	66; 1.52]	15.3%	25.3%
Toroghi N et al HIGHLOWDEXA	0.75 0.3526 -0.09 0.4978		•	06; 4.23] 34; 2.42]	5.7% 2.8%	15.3% 9.4%
Fixed effect model			0.89 [0.7	75; 1.05]	100.0%	
Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 55\%$,			0.95 [0.0	67; 1.34]		100.0%
		0.5 1 2				

In addition, one study that compared high dose corticosteroids (dexamethasone 20mg a day) to tocilizumab reported higher mortality in patients treated with high dose corticosteroids.

Remdesivir

See Summary of findings Table 2, Appendix 1

We identified ten RCTs including 8,990 patients in which remdesivir was compared against standard of care or other treatments. In addition, we identified one study that compared different remdesivir dosage schemes. The WHO SOLIDARITY trial was the biggest with 2,734 patients assigned to remdesivir and 2,708 to standard of care. Five studies included patients with severe disease as shown by the fact that mortality in the control groups ranged from 8.3% to 12.6%, and three studies included non-severe patients with 2% o less mortality in the control arm. Our results showed:

- Remdesivir may not reduce mortality, RR 0.97 (95%CI 0.85 to 1.10); RD -0.5% (95%CI 2.4% to 1.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ (Figure 6)
- Remdesivir may reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirement, RR 0.79 (95%CI 0.55 to 1.14); RD -3.6% (95%CI -7.8% to 2.4%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖○ (Figure 7)
- Remdesivir may improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.1 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.28); RD 6% (95%CI -2.4% to 17%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ (Figure 8)
- Remdesivir may reduce hospitalizations in patients with recent onset mild, RR 0.28 (95%CI 0.11 to 0.75); RD -3.4% (95%CI -4.3% to -1.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- Remdesivir may not increase the risk of severe adverse events, RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.46 to 1.29); RD -2.3% (95%CI -5.5% to 3%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○





Figure 6. All-cause mortality with remdesivir use vs. standard of care in randomized control trials including COVID-19 patients

Study	TE seTI	E	Risk R	latio	R	R 95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
ACTT-1 CAP-China remdesivir 2 SIMPLE 2 WHO SOLIDARITY - remdesi Mahajan L et al Abd-Elsalam S et al Sarhan RM et al	-0.34 0.194 0.08 0.355 -0.43 0.665 vir -0.02 0.076 0.57 0.690 0.25 0.483 0.30 0.336	4 1 — 7 2 7			1.0 0.6 0.9 - 1.7 - 1.2	1 [0.49; 1.04] 9 [0.54; 2.18] 5 [0.18; 2.40] 8 [0.84; 1.14] 6 [0.46; 6.82] 9 [0.50; 3.32] 5 [0.70; 2.60]	76.6% 0.9% 1.9%	11.9% 3.6% 1.0% 76.6% 0.9% 1.9% 4.0%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, p	= 0.54	0.2	0.5 1	2		7 [0.85; 1.10] 7 [0.85; 1.10]		 100.0%

Figure 7. Invasive mechanical ventilation requirements in RCTs comparing remdesivir with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
ACTT-1 CAP-China remdesivir 2 SIMPLE 2 WHO SOLIDARITY - remdesivi	-0.55 0.1618 -0.61 0.4144 -2.26 1.0920 — r 0.03 0.0781	≣ 	0.54 0.10	[0.42; 0.79] [0.24; 1.22] [0.01; 0.89]	17.7% 2.7% 0.4% 76.1%	28.2% 15.7% 3.8%
Mahajan L et al Abd-Elsalam S et al	0.03 0.0781 0.75 0.8324 0.32 0.4426		2.12	[0.89; 1.20] [0.41; 10.82] [0.58; 3.27]	0.7% 2.4%	31.6% 6.1% 14.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: l^2 = 72%, τ^2 = 0.15	70, <i>p</i> < 0.01	0.1 0.51 2 10		[0.80; 1.05] [0.51; 1.23]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Figure 8. Symptom resolution or improvement in RCTs comparing remdesivir with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19





Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
ACTT-1	0.28 0.0829	<u>}</u>	- 1.32	[1.12; 1.55]	27.9%	27.3%
CAP-China remdesivir 2	0.05 0.1159		1.05	[0.84; 1.32]	14.3%	20.6%
SIMPLE 2	0.11 0.0671	+ <u>+</u>	1.12	[0.98; 1.28]	42.6%	30.9%
Sarhan RM et al	-0.10 0.1125		0.91	[0.73; 1.13]	15.2%	21.2%
Fixed effect model			1.12	[1.03; 1.23]	100.0%	
Random effects model			1.10	[0.96; 1.28]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 62\%$, τ	² = 0.0132, p = 0.05		7	_		
		0.75 1 1	.5			

Hydroxychloroquine and Chloroquine

See Summary of findings Table 3, Appendix 1

We identified 54 RCTs including 23,151 patients in which hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine were compared against standard of care or other treatments. The RECOVERY trial was the biggest with 1,561 patients assigned to dexamethasone and 3,155 to standard of care. In both the RECOVERY and SOLIDARITY trials, patients had severe disease as shown by the high mortality risk in control arms (24.9% and 9.2%, respectively). The remaining studies included patients with non-severe disease, as shown by the lower mortality risk in control arms, ranging from 0 to 5.2%. Additionally, we identified nine studies in which hydroxychloroquine was used in healthy persons to prevent COVID-19 infection. Our results showed:

- Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine probably increase mortality, RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.98 to 1.17); RD 1.1% (95%CI -0.3% to 2.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 9)
- Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine probably does not reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirement; RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.93 to 1.24); RD 1.2% (95%CI -1.2% to 4.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine probably does not improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.93 to 1.1); RD 0.6% (95%CI -4.2% to 6.1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine may reduce COVID-19 symptomatic infection in exposed individuals, RR 0.87 (95% CI 0.65 to 1.15); RD -2.2% (95% CI -6.1% to 2.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕◯◯ (Figure 10) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine may not significantly increase the risk of severe adverse events, RR 0.94 (95%CI 0.66 to 1.34); RD -0.6% (95%CI -3.5% to 3.5%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- It is uncertain if hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine affects hospitalizations in patients with mild COVID-19, RR 0.91 (95%CI 0.56 to 1.47); RD -0.4% (95%CI -2.1% to 2.3%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○





Figure 9. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with standard of care in patients with COVID-19

stundurd of euro in putter			, 1)				
Study	TE s	eTE	Risk Ratio	R	R 95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
RECOVERY - Hydroxychloroqui	ne 0.07 0.0	518	+	1.0	8 [0.97; 1.19]	74.6%	74.6%
Cavalcanti et al	0.42 0.5	5751		1.5	1 [0.49; 4.68]	0.6%	0.6%
COVID-19 PET	-0.00 1.4	109 —		1.0	0 [0.06; 15.81]	0.1%	0.1%
Abd-Elsalam S et al	0.18 0.5	5883	i	1.2	0 [0.38; 3.80]	0.6%	0.6%
TEACH	0.06 0.5	275		1.0	6 [0.38; 2.99]	0.7%	0.7%
WHO SOLIDARITY - HCQ	0.17 0.1	391		1.1	8 [0.90; 1.56]	10.3%	10.3%
PETAL	-0.02 0.2	2677	<u> </u>	0.9	8 [0.58; 1.65]	2.8%	2.8%
HYCOVID	-0.61 0.4	913		0.5	4 [0.21; 1.42]	0.8%	0.8%
HYDRA	-0.08 0.1	704		0.9	3 [0.66; 1.29]	6.9%	6.9%
Beltran-HCQ	-0.98 0.7	′806 ·		0.3	7 [0.08; 1.73]	0.3%	0.3%
CLOROTRIAL	0.45 0.3	3527		1.5	7 [0.79; 3.13]	1.6%	1.6%
ProPAC-COVID	-0.78 1.2	2107 —		0.4	6 [0.04; 4.92]	0.1%	0.1%
SEV-COVID	-0.62 0.6	693			4 [0.15; 2.01]		0.4%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p =$	0.77	ſ	0.1 0.5 1 2		7 [0.98; 1.17] 7 [0.98; 1.17]		 100.0%
		, c	0.1 0.3 1 2	10			

Figure 10. Symptomatic infection in RCTs comparing hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with no prophylaxis among individuals exposed to COVID-19 Weight Weight

Study	TE	seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	(fixed)	(random)
RoB = High/Some concerns BCN PEP CoV-2 COVID-19 PEP Seet et al CHEER Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: I^2 = 11%, τ^2 = 0.0075, p = 0.34	-0.43 0.40	0.2537 0.1810 0.2149 0.4144		0.83 0.65 1.49 0.82	[0.54; 1.46] [0.58; 1.18] [0.43; 0.99] [0.66; 3.37] [0.65; 1.03] [0.65; 1.06]	10.5% 20.7% 14.7% 3.9% 49.8%	10.9% 19.8% 14.7% 4.3% 49.8%
RoB = Low COVID-19 PREP PrEP_COVID PATCH COVID-19 PEP (University of Washington) HERO-HCQ WHIP COVID-19 PHYDRA Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 17\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0241$, $p = 0.300$	-1.21 0.65 0.22 -0.27 0.01 -1.74	0.2008 1.2217		0.30 1.91 1.24 0.77 1.01 0.17 0.87	[0.50; 1.10] [0.01; 7.25] [0.36; 10.03] [0.81; 1.90] [0.52; 1.13] [0.09; 11.02] [0.02; 1.41] [0.69; 1.09] [0.65; 1.15]	17.0% 0.3% 0.9% 14.2% 16.8% 0.5% 0.6% 50.2%	16.8% 0.3% 1.1% 14.3% 16.6% 0.5% 0.7%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 7\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0058$, $p = 0.38$ Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 15\%$, $p = 0.30$			0.1 0.51 2 10		[0.72; 0.99] [0.71; 1.00]	100.0% 	 100.0%





In addition, we identified a systematic review¹² that included 12 unpublished studies providing information on mortality outcome. Overall pooled estimates did not differ when including unpublished information (OR 1.08, 95%CI 0.99 to 1.18).

Lopinavir-ritonavir

See Summary of findings Table 4, Appendix 1

We identified 17 RCTs including 10,327 patients in which lopinavir-ritonavir was compared against standard of care or other treatments. The RECOVERY trial was the biggest with 1,616 patients assigned to dexamethasone and 3,424 to standard of care. Three studies provided information on mortality outcome, all of which included patients with severe disease, as shown by the mortality risk in control arms, which ranged from 10.6% to 25%. Our results showed:

- Lopinavir-ritonavir probably does not reduce mortality, RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.11); RD 0.2% (95%CI -1.3% to 1.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 11)
- Lopinavir-ritonavir does not reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirement; RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.98 to 1.17); RD 1.2% (95%CI -0.3% to 2.9%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕
- Lopinavir-ritonavir probably does not improve symptom resolution or improvement; RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.15); RD 1.8% (95%CI -4.8% to 9%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Lopinavir-ritonavir may not increase the risk of severe adverse events, RR 0.6 (95%CI 0.37 to 0.98); RD -4.1% (95%CI -6.5% to -0.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- It is uncertain if lopinavir-ritonavir increases or decreases symptomatic infections in exposed individuals, RR 1.40 (95%CI 0.78 to 2.54); RD 1.8% (95%CI -3.8% to -26.8%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- It is uncertain if lopinavir-ritonavir increases or decreases hospitalizations, RR 1.24 (95%CI 0.6 to 2.56); RD 1.2% (95%CI -1.9% to -7.5%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○

Figure 11. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing lopinavir–ritonavir with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19

Study	TE	seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
LOTUS China RECOVERY - Lopinavir-ritonavi WHO SOLIDARITY - LPV/r SEV-COVID	-0.26 0 r 0.03 0 -0.01 0 -0.18 0	.0554 .1103		1.03 0.99	[0.45; 1.30] [0.93; 1.15] [0.80; 1.23] [0.29; 2.37]	3.2% 76.6% 19.3% 0.8%	3.2% 76.6% 19.3% 0.8%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p =$	0.72		0.5 1 2		[0.92; 1.11] [0.92; 1.11]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Convalescent plasma See summary of findings Table 5 in appendix 1

We identified 32 RCTs including 21,665 patients in which convalescent plasma was compared against standard of care or other treatments. RECOVERY was the largest study including



11,588 patients. Most studies (27/32) included severely ill patients, as shown by the mortality rate in the control arms, ranging from 7.9% to 53%. The remaining studies included patients with recent onset symptoms and reported a control-arm mortality rate of 0.4% to 6.6%. Convalescent plasma was administered in one to three infusions to symptomatic patients in all cases. Our results showed:

- Convalescent plasma does not reduce mortality, RR 0.99 (95%CI 0.94 to 1.05); RD 0% (95%CI -0.2% to 0.8%); RD 0% (95%CI -1% to 0.8%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ (Figure 12) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- Convalescent plasma does not significantly reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 1.05 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.14); RD 0.8% (95%CI -0.7% to 2.4%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ (based on low risk of bias studies)
- Convalescent plasma probably does not improve symptom resolution or improvement, RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.03); RD -0.6% (95% CI -3% to 1.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Convalescent plasma may not increase severe adverse events, RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.26); RD 0.3% (95% CI -1.5% to 2.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖ (Figure 13)
- Convalescent plasma probably has no important effect on hospitalizations, RR 0.78 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.06); RD -1.1% (95%CI -2.1% to 3%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 13). The observed effect would probably be considered important in patients with very high hospitalization risk.

Figure 12. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing convalescent plasma with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19



Study	TE	seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
RoB2 = High/Moderate							
Li L et al	-0.42	0.4117	-++-	0.65	[0.29; 1.47]	0.5%	0.6%
CONCOVID	-0.61	0.4594	+		[0.22; 1.34]		0.5%
ConPlas-19		1.4740 —			[0.01; 2.26]	0.0%	0.0%
PLACID		0.2303	+		[0.68; 1.68]	1.4%	2.0%
ILBS-COVID-02		1.0933			[0.38; 27.40]	0.1%	0.1%
AlQahtani M et al		1.1832			[0.05; 5.08]	0.1%	0.1%
PICP19		0.3485			[0.36; 1.41]	0.6%	0.9%
Baklaushev VP et al		0.9635			[0.07; 2.87]	0.1%	0.1%
AAAS9924 CAPSID		0.2963			[0.29; 0.92]	0.9% 0.7%	1.2% 0.9%
PLACOVID		0.3341 0.3278	- <u>-</u>		[0.33; 1.22] [0.73; 2.63]	0.7%	0.9%
DAWn-Plasma		0.3278			[0.73, 2.03]	0.7%	1.1%
PennCCP2		0.7412			[0.05; 0.83]	0.0%	0.2%
IMPACT		0.4470			[0.37; 2.11]	0.4%	0.5%
Fixed effect model	-0.15	0.4470	0		[0.65; 0.98]	6.7%	0.070
Random effects model			0		[0.60; 0.99]		9.2%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 20\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0434$, p	= 0.23			0111	[0.00, 0.00]		012 /0
RoB2 = Low							
PLASM-AR		0.3308	+		[0.50; 1.83]		1.0%
FundacionINFANT-Plasma		0.8515	<u>+</u>		[0.09; 2.65]	0.1%	0.1%
RECOVERY-Plasma		0.0358	19 I		[0.93; 1.07]		51.2%
Pouladzadeh M et al		0.6831			[0.16; 2.29]	0.2%	0.2%
SBU-COVID19-ConvalescentPlasma			<u> </u>		[0.36; 1.86]		0.6%
REMAP-CAP		0.0578	E Contraction of the second se		[0.87; 1.09]		25.7%
CONCOR-1		0.1266			[0.88; 1.45]	4.8%	6.3% 0.5%
COVIDIT C3PO		0.4422 1.0919			[0.51; 2.89] [0.58; 42.00]	0.4% 0.1%	0.5%
TSUNAMI		0.3399			[0.39; 1.49]		0.1%
COnV-ert & CoV-Early		1.2227			[0.05; 5.52]	0.1%	0.9%
CSSC-004		1.5107			[0.03, 3.32]	0.0%	0.0%
COP20		0.8385			[0.11; 2.84]		0.2%
CONTAIN COVID-19		0.1967	1		[0.67; 1.44]	2.0%	2.7%
De Santis GC et al		0.2984			[0.48; 1.56]		1.2%
Fixed effect model	-0.14	0.2304			[0.94; 1.05]		1.2 /0
Random effects model					[0.94; 1.05]		90.8%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0.87$				0.00	[0104]		00.070
Fixed effect model				0.98	[0.93; 1.03]	100.0%	
Random effects model				0.97	[0.91; 1.04]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 2\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0008$, $\rho =$				1	-		
Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.6$	50	0.01	0.1 1 10	100			



Figure 13. Hospitalizations comparing convalescent plasma with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19



In one of the studies, 58 patients were randomized to early administration of convalescent plasma (at the time they were randomized) or late administration (only if clinical deterioration was observed). All patients in the early arm received the treatment, while just 43.3% of patients received it in the late arm. Results showed no mortality reduction (OR 4.22, 95%CI 0.33 to 53.57) nor reduction in the need for invasive mechanical ventilation requirement reduction (OR 2.98, 95%CI 0.41 to 21.57) with early infusion. However, the certainty of the evidence was very low $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ because of imprecision. In addition, no significant differences were observed in the subgroup of patients treated early (< 4 days since the beginning of symptoms) versus late (> 4 days since the beginning of symptoms) versus late (> 4 days since the beginning of symptoms) with convalescent plasma, in the RECOVERY trial.

Tocilizumab

See Summary of findings Table 6 in Appendix 1

We identified 29 RCTs including 9,265 patients in which tocilizumab was compared against standard of care or other interventions. Twenty studies reported on the mortality outcome, including the RECOVERY study that recruited 4,116 patients. All studies included severe patients, but some excluded critical patients. The proportion of critical patients in those studies that included them was 16.5% to 47.5%. Our results showed:

- Tocilizumab reduces mortality, RR 0.85 (95%CI 0.79 to 93); RD -2.4% (95%CI -3.4% to -1.1%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ (Figure 14)
- Tocilizumab reduces invasive mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 0.83 (95%CI 0.78 to 0.90); RD -2.9% (95%CI -3.8% to -1.7%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ (Figure 15)
- Tocilizumab may improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.07 (95%CI 1.01 to 1.13); RD 4.6% (95%CI 0.6% to 7.9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖○
- Tocilizumab probably does not significantly increase severe adverse events at 28-30 days, RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.86 to 1.04); RD -0.5% (95%CI -1.4% to 0.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○





Figure 14. All-cause mortality in RCTs comparing tocilizumab with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19

deadlient of patients with											Waight	Waight
Study	TE	seTE		R	isk Rati	0		RR	9	5%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
····,						-					((,
COVACTA	0.01	0.2064			+			1.01	[0.68;	1.52]	4.2%	4.2%
RCT-TCZ-COVID-19	0.79	1.2117		-	<u> </u>			2.20	[0.20; 2	23.65]	0.1%	0.1%
BACC Bay Tocilizumab Trial	0.41	0.6526			_ <u>+</u> +	_		1.51	[0.42;	5.42]	0.4%	0.4%
CORIMUNO-TOCI 1	-0.07	0.4869			-			0.93	[0.36;	2.42]	0.8%	0.8%
EMPACTA	0.19	0.3428						1.22	[0.62;	2.38]	1.5%	1.5%
REMAP-CAP - tocilizumab	-0.24	0.1090			속			0.78	[0.63;	0.97]	15.1%	15.1%
Veiga	0.83	0.4551				_		2.30	[0.94;	5.61]	0.9%	0.9%
RECOVERY-TCZ	-0.16	0.0542			+			0.85	[0.76;	0.95]	60.9%	60.9%
PreToVid	-0.45	0.2564						0.64	[0.39;	1.06]	2.7%	2.7%
Mahmoudi et al		0.5818			- <u> </u> +			1.40	[0.45;	4.37]		0.5%
Hamed DM et al	0.82	1.1908		-				2.26	[0.22; 2	23.33]	0.1%	0.1%
ARCHITECTS	-1.51	1.4863						0.22	[0.01;	4.05]	0.1%	0.1%
CORIMUNO-TOCI ICU		0.4258							[0.30;			1.0%
COV-AID		0.4772			- 				[0.45;			0.8%
COVIDOSE-2		1.4916							[0.00;			0.1%
HMO-0224-20	-0.46	0.3606			→ #				[0.31;			1.4%
REMDACTA	-0.07	0.1736			+			0.93	[0.66;	1.31]	5.9%	5.9%
ImmCoVA	++	0.9579		-					[0.19;			0.2%
COVINTOC	-0.34	0.3677						0.71	[0.34;	1.46]	1.3%	1.3%
TOCIDEX	-0.28	0.2972						0.76	[0.42;	1.35]	2.0%	2.0%
Fixed effect model					Ŷ						100.0%	
Random effects model			_		ò			0.85	[0.79;	0.93]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$,	p = 0.6	1	I	1	I	1	I					
			0.01	0.1	1	10	100					



Figure 15. Mechanical ventilation requirement in RCTs comparing tocilizumab with standard of care for treatment of patients with COVID-19

Study	TE	seTE		Ri	sk Rati	0		RR	9	5%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
											(
COVACTA	-0.27	0.1826			-			0.76	[0.53;	1.09]		4.0%
RCT-TCZ-COVID-19		0.2930			+				[0.62;	-		1.6%
BACC Bay Tocilizumab Trial				-					[0.29;			0.7%
CORIMUNO-TOCI 1		0.4905			•				[0.15;	-		0.6%
EMPACTA	-0.44	0.3173						0.64	[0.35;	1.20]	1.3%	1.3%
REMAP-CAP - tocilizumab		0.1128			+				[0.65;	_	10.5%	10.5%
Veiga		0.2990			1				[0.44;		1.5%	1.5%
RECOVERY-TCZ	-0.17	0.0454			*			0.84	[0.77;	0.92]	65.1%	65.1%
PreToVid	-0.37	0.2851						0.69	[0.39;	1.21]	1.7%	1.7%
Hamed DM et al	1.22	0.7647			÷ + +			3.39	[0.76;	15.18]	0.2%	0.2%
CORIMUNO-TOCI ICU	-0.08	0.4160			+			0.92	[0.41;	2.09]		0.8%
COV-AID	0.26	0.3306			<u></u> ++−				[0.68;			1.2%
COVIDOSE-2	-2.47	1.4908						0.08	[0.00;	1.56]	0.1%	0.1%
COVIDSTORM		0.9405			+			0.50	[0.08;	3.16]		0.2%
COVITOZ-01	0.46	1.5801					-	1.59	[0.07;	35.15]	0.1%	0.1%
HMO-0224-20	0.08	0.4067			+			1.08	[0.49;	2.39]	0.8%	0.8%
REMDACTA	-0.14	0.1465			+			0.87	[0.65;	1.16]	6.3%	6.3%
ImmCoVA	-0.49	0.6461		_				0.61	[0.17;	2.18]	0.3%	0.3%
TOCOVID	-1.11	1.1483			<u> </u>			0.33	[0.03;	3.12]	0.1%	0.1%
COVINTOC	-0.22	0.4225						0.80	[0.35;	1.83]	0.8%	0.8%
TOCIDEX	-0.16	0.2437			+			0.85	[0.53;	1.37]	2.3%	2.3%
Fixed effect model					\$			0.83	[0.78;	0.90]	100.0%	
Random effects model					0			0.83	[0.78;	0.90]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$,	p = 0.8	0	1	ſ	I	1	1					
			0.01	0.1	1	10	100					

A subgroup analysis, performed in the RECOVERY trial, comparing the effect of tocilizumab in severe and critical patients, did not suggest a subgroup modification effect according to baseline disease severity (p=0.52).

In addition, one study that compared standard dose (4 mg/kg) versus high dose (8 mg/kg) found no significant differences, however the certainty of the evidence was low because of imprecision.

Anticoagulants

See Summary of findings Table 7, Appendix 1

Thromboembolic complications in patients infected with COVID-19 are relatively frequent.¹³ As for hospitalized patients with severe medical conditions, current guidelines recommend thromboprophylaxis measures should be used for inpatients with COVID-19 infection.¹⁴ Regarding the best thromboprophylactic scheme, we identified thirteen RCTs including 6,637 patients that compared anticoagulants in intermediate (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg a day) or full dose (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg twice a day) versus prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day), or anticoagulants versus standard of care in patients with mild ambulatory disease. All studies included hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Our results showed:

• In moderate to critical patients, anticoagulants in intermediate dose or full dose may not reduce mortality in comparison with prophylactic dose, RR 0.97 (95%CI 0.79 to 1.2); RD





-0.5% (95%CI -3.4% to 3.2%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ (excluding high risk of bias studies) (Figure 16)

- In moderate to critical patients, anticoagulants in intermediate dose may reduce venous thromboembolic events in comparison with prophylactic dose, RR 0.82 (95%CI 0.33 to 2); RD -1.2% (95%CI -4.7% to 7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- In moderate to critical patients, anticoagulants in full dose reduce venous thromboembolic events in comparison with prophylactic dose, RR 0.56 (95%CI 0.44 to 0.72); RD -3.1% (95%CI -3.9% to -1.9%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕
- In moderate to critical patients, anticoagulants in intermediate dose or full dose probably increase major bleeding in comparison with prophylactic dose, RR 1.76 (95%CI 1.19 to 2.62); RD 1.4% (95%CI 0.4% to 3.1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- In mild ambulatory patients, anticoagulants in prophylactic dose may not improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.08 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.27); RD 4.8% (95%CI -4.8% to 16.4%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- In mild ambulatory patients it is uncertain if anticoagulants in prophylactic dose increase or decrease clinically important bleeding and hospitalization; Very low certainty ⊕○○○

Figure 16. All-cause mortality in RCTs using anticoagulants in therapeutic dose, intermediate dose or prophylactic dose for treatment of hospitalized patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTI	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
INSPIRATION Zarychanski-Critical Zarychanski-Non-critical ACTION RAPID	0.40 0.256 -1.47 0.544 -0.25 0.237 1.62 1.085		1.05 1.05 0.89 1.49 0.23 0.78 	[0.04; 2.69] [0.87; 1.28] [0.90; 1.23] [0.67; 1.19] [0.90; 2.46] [0.08; 0.67] [0.49; 1.23] [0.60; 42.43] [0.91; 1.13] [0.79; 1.20]	4.9%	0.8% 21.1% 22.9% 16.8% 9.5% 2.9% 10.4% 0.8%
BEMICOP	-0.34 0.330 0.66 1.199 -0.50 0.307 = 0, p = 0.63		- 1.94 0.61 0.68	[0.37; 1.37] [0.18; 20.35] [0.33; 1.11] [0.44; 1.05] [0.44; 1.05]	2.5% 0.2% 2.9% 5.6%	6.6% 0.7% 7.4%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 48\%$, τ^2 Residual heterogeneity: I^2				[0.90; 1.10] [0.76; 1.12]	100.0% 	 100.0%



Although the subgroup of noncritical patients reported by Zarychanski et al showed a trend toward less mortality in comparison with severe patients, we did not report results according to severity because we consider that the mentioned differential effect is implausible.

NSAIDs

See Summary of findings Table 8, Appendix 1

We identified seven non-RCTs including at least 100 patients in which COVID-19 mortality risk was compared between groups of patients exposed to NSAIDs and those that were not. Populations varied between studies. For example, Wong et al. included individuals exposed to COVID-19 (living in a region affected by the pandemic) while other studies included only patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection. Our results showed:

 No association between NSAID exposure and mortality, OR 0.82 (95%CI 0.66 to 1.02); Very low certainty ⊕○○○ (Figure 17)

Figure 17. All-cause mortality in non-RCTs comparing exposure to NSAIDs with no exposure in individuals exposed to or infected with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Odds Ratio	OR	Weig 95%-Cl (fix	ght Weight ed) (random)
Bruce Jeong	-0.14 0.3224 -0.39 0.6285 —		0.87 [0.4 0.68 [0.2	-,	1% 9.7% 3% 2.8%
Lund Rinott	0.02 0.3076		1.02 [0.5 — 1.21 [0.3	6; 1.86] 5.	6% 10.5% 2% 2.4%
Wong	-0.05 0.0881		0.95 0.8	0; 1.13] 68.	6% 46.8%
Imam Esba	-0.56 0.1831 -0.53 0.4867 —		0.57 [0.4 0.59 [0.2		9% 23.1% 2% 4.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects mod			0.86 [0.7 0.82 [0.6	5; 1.00] 100. 6; 1.02]	0% 100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 21\%$	$\tau = 0.0173, p = 0.27$ 0.2	0.5 1 2	5		

Interferon Beta-1a

See Summary of findings Table 9, Appendix 1

We identified six RCTs including 5,752 patients in which interferon beta-1a was compared against standard of care or other treatments and informed on mortality outcome. The WHO SOLIDARITY trial was the biggest, with 2,050 patients assigned to intervention and 2,050 to control. The studies





included severe patients, as shown by the fact that mortality in the control arms ranged from 10.5% to 45%. Our results showed:

- Interferon beta-1a (subcutaneous) probably does not reduce mortality, RR 0.98 (95%CI 0.74 to 1.29); RD -0.3% (95%CI -4.2% to 4.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 18)
- Interferon beta-1a (subcutaneous) probably does not reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 0.97 (95%CI 0.83 to 1.14); RD -0.5% (95%CI -2.9% to 2.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Interferon beta-1a (subcutaneous) probably does not increase symptom resolution or improvement; RR 0.96 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.99); RD -2.6% (95%CI -4.8% to -3.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Interferon beta-1a probably does not increase severe adverse events, RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.85 to 1.24); RD 0.3% (95%CI -1.5% to 2.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Interferon beta-1a (inhaled) may improve time to symptom resolution, HR 2.19 (95%CI 1.03 to 4.69); RD 26.4% (95%CI 1.1% to 38.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○

Figure 18. All-cause mortality with IFN beta-1a vs. standard of care in randomized studies including COVID-19 patients

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Davoudi-Monfared et al WHO SOLIDARITY - IFN COVIFERON ACTT-3 INTEREST	-0.83 0.3666		1.12 0.67 1.30	[0.21; 0.90] [0.95; 1.34] [0.22; 2.01] [0.69; 2.46] [0.74; 1.44]	4.1% 70.1% 1.7% 5.1% 19.0%	11.2% 41.3% 5.5% 13.4% 28.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 46\%$, τ^2	= 0.0394, <i>p</i> = 0.12	0.5 1 2		[0.92; 1.23] [0.74; 1.29]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab (monoclonal antibody)

See Summary of findings Table 10, Appendix 1

We identified eight RCTs including 5,464 patients in which bamlanivimab was compared against standard of care. Three studies included patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 and one included exposed individuals and assessed bamlanivimab as a prophylactic intervention. Our results showed:





- It is uncertain if bamlanivimab reduces mortality or mechanical ventilation requirements; RR 0.68 (95%CI 0.17 to 2.8); RD -5.1% (95%CI -13.2% to 2.8%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Bamlanivimab probably does not significantly improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.99 to 1.06); RD 1.2% (95%CI 3.6% to 5.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Bamlanivimab probably decreases symptomatic infection in exposed individuals, RR 0.56 (95%CI 0.39 to 0.81); RD -7.6% (95%CI -10.6% to -3.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Bamlanivimab may increase severe adverse events; RR 1.12 (95%CI 0.75 to 1.66); RD 1.2% (95%CI -2.5% to -6.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- Bamlanivimab probably reduces hospitalizations in patients with non-severe disease; RR 0.37 (95%CI 0.21 to 0.65); RD -3% (95%CI -3.8% to -1.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 19)

Figure 19. Hospitalizations with bamanivimab vs. standard of care in randomized studies including COVID-19 patients

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
BLAZE-1 BLAZE-1 ACTIV-2	-1.36 0.5485 -1.19 0.3389 -0.29 0.5283		0.30	[0.09; 0.75] [0.16; 0.59] [0.26; 2.10]	21.3% 55.8% 22.9%	24.1% 50.3% 25.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 20\%$,		0.5 1 2		[0.22; 0.59] [0.21; 0.65]	100.0% 	 100.0%

In addition, one study that compared bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab against REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) in non-severe patients with risk factors for severity reported no important differences in hospitalizations.

Favipiravir

See Summary of findings Table 11, Appendix 1

We identified 21 RCTs including 3,754 patients in which favipiravir was compared against standard of care or other treatments. Nine studies reported on favipiravir with or without HCQ versus standard of care, two studies reported on favipiravir vs HCQ or CQ, one study reported on favipiravir vs lopinavir ritonavir and the remaining studies compared favipiravir against other active interventions. As there is moderate to high certainty that HCQ and lopinavir-ritonavir are not related to significant benefits, we assumed those interventions as equivalent to standard of care. Our results showed:

Favipiravir may increase mortality; RR 1.18 (95%CI 0.83 to 1.69); RD 2.9% (95%CI - 2.7% to 11%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○



- Favipiravir may increase mechanical ventilation requirements; RR 1.27 (95%CI 0.91 to 1.76); RD 4.7% (95%CI -1.6% to 13.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- Favipiravir probably does not increase symptom resolution or improvement, RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.94 to 1.1); RD 1.2% (95%CI -3.6% to 6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 20) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- It is uncertain if favipiravir increases the risk of severe adverse events; RR 0.80 (95%CI 0.46 to 1.41); RD -2% (95%CI -5.5% to 4.2%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- It is uncertain if favipiravir affects hospitalizations in patients with non-severe disease; RR 0.89 (95%CI 0.16 to 5.05); RD -0.5% (95%CI -4% to 19.4%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○

Figure 20. Symptom resolution at 7-15 days in randomized studies comparing favipiravir with standard of care in patient with COVID-19

								Weight	Weight
Study	TE s	seTE	Ris	sk Ratio		RR	95%-Cl	(fixed)	(random)
RoB = High									
Ivashchenko AA et al	-0.07 0.	2251		-+	0	0.93	[0.60; 1.45]	2.2%	4.8%
Lou Y et al	0.11 0.	4346		-lie	1	1.11	[0.47; 2.60]	0.6%	1.5%
Ruzhentsova T et al (R-Pharm	n) 0.39 O.	2004			— 1	.48	[1.00; 2.18]	2.8%	5.8%
FAV052020 (Promomed, LLC) 0.59 0.	2893			→ 1	08.1	[1.02; 3.17]	1.3%	3.1%
Udwadia ZF et al	0.20 0.	1112		1:	1	1.22	[0.98; 1.52]	9.0%	12.3%
Balykova LA et al	0.59 0.	2893		1	→ 1	.80	[1.02; 3.17]	1.3%	3.1%
FACCT	-0.07 0.	0965	-	- 	0).93	[0.77; 1.13]	12.0%	14.1%
Shinkai M et al	0.28 0.	1353		+ <u>+</u>	- 1	1.32	[1.02; 1.73]		9.9%
FAVI-COV-US201	0.00 0.	2944					[0.56; 1.78]		3.0%
Fixed effect model							[1.04; 1.29]	36.6%	
Random effects model					1	.20	[1.03; 1.41]		57.7%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 41\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0$	213, p = 0.	10							
RoB = Low									
Solaymani-Dodaran M et al	-0.01 0.	0476		- H	0).99	[0.90; 1.09]	49.3%	21.0%
CVD-04-CD-001	0.05 0.		-				[0.79; 1.40]		9.0%
Holubar M et al	0.15 0.	1115		-lin			[0.94; 1.45]		12.3%
Fixed effect model							[0.94; 1.10]	63.4%	
Random effects model				\	1	.02	[0.94; 1.10]		42.3%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, p	= 0.39								
					-				
Fixed effect model				\$			[1.00; 1.14]	100.0%	
Random effects model				\diamond	1	.13	[1.01; 1.26]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 41\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0$		07			1				
Residual heterogeneity: I ² = 35%	o, <i>p</i> = 0.12		0.5	1	2				

Ivermectin

See Summary of findings Table 12, Appendix 1

We identified 35 RCTs including 6,347 patients in which ivermectin was compared against standard of care or other treatments. Studies included patients with mild to severe disease, as shown by the mortality rates in the control arms, which ranged from 0% to 21.7%. Most studies did not report on clinical important outcomes and most of the ones that did have important



tion World Health

....

methodological limitations including inappropriate randomization process and lack or unclear report of allocation concealment. Our results showed:

- It is uncertain if ivermectin affects mortality, RR 0.81 (95%CI 0.5 to 1.33); RD -3% (95%CI -8% to 5.2%); Very Low certainty ⊕○○○ (Figure 21) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- It is uncertain if ivermectin affects mechanical ventilation, RR 0.9 (95%CI 0.57 to 1.42); RD -1.7% (95%CI -7.4% to 7.3%); Very Low certainty ⊕○○○
- Ivermectin probably does not improve symptom resolution or improvement, RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.1); RD 1.8% (95%CI -2.4% to 6.1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 22) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- It is uncertain if ivermectin affects symptomatic infection, RR 0.22 (95%CI 0.09 to 0.53); RD -13.6% (95%CI -15.8% to -8.2%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- It is uncertain if ivermectin affects severe adverse events, RR 1.63 (95%CI 0.62 to 4.32); RD 6.4% (95%CI -3.9% to 33.8%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Ivermectin may not have an important effect on hospitalizations in non-severe patients, RR 0.67 (95%CI 0.39 to 1.14); RD -1.6% (95%CI -2.9% to 0.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○. The observed effect would probably be considered important in patients with very high hospitalization risk.

Figure 21. Mortality in randomized studies comparing ivermectin with standard of care or other treatments in patients with COVID-19





Study	Experimental Events Total Ev	Control vents Total	Risk Ratio	Weight Weight RR 95%-Cl (fixed) (random)
RoB2 = High/Some co Mahmud et al Hashim HA et al Elgazzar et al (mild) Elgazzar et al (severe) Niaee et al Okumus et al NA Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 55\%$,	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 183 \\ 2 & 70 \\ 0 & 100 \\ 2 & 100 \\ 4 & 120 \\ 6 & 30 \\ 5 & 36 \\ & 639 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\$ \$	0.14[0.01; 2.70]3.5%2.7%0.33[0.07; 1.60]5.9%7.1%0.11[0.01; 2.04]4.4%2.8%0.10[0.02; 0.42]19.6%7.9%0.18[0.06; 0.55]14.4%10.2%0.67[0.27; 1.64]8.8%12.0%1.22[0.43; 3.45]5.3%10.7%0.32[0.20; 0.51]61.8%0.33[0.15; 0.73]53.5%
RoB2 = Low Kirti et al Shahbaznejad et al Lopez-Medina et al Bermejo Galan et al Abd-Elsalam et al Vallejos et al I-TECH Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 2\%$, τ^2		4 57 0 34 1 198 25 115 4 82 3 251 10 249 986		0.12 [0.01; 2.09] 4.3% 2.8% 2.92 [0.12; 69.14] 0.5% 2.4% 0.33 [0.01; 8.05] 1.5% 2.4% 1.04 [0.57; 1.91] 15.4% 14.8% 0.75 [0.17; 3.25] 3.9% 7.6% 1.34 [0.30; 5.92] 2.9% 7.5% 0.31 [0.09; 1.11] 9.6% 8.9% 0.74 [0.47; 1.17] 38.2% 0.81 [0.50; 1.33] 46.5%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: <i>I</i> ² = 46%, Residual heterogeneity: <i>I</i> ²	$e^2 = 0.3949, p = 0.03$	1596	0.1 1 10	0.48 [0.35; 0.66] 100.0% 0.48 [0.28; 0.81] 100.0%



Figure 22. Symptom resolution or improvement in randomized studies comparing ivermectin
with standard of care or other treatments in patients with COVID-19

Experimental				p				Weight	Weight				
Study			Events			Risk Ratio	R	R 95%-CI		(random)			
RoB2 = High/Some concerns													
Chowdhury et al	50	60	40	56		-	1.1	7 [0.95; 1.43]	5.2%	7.6%			
Mahmud et al	141	183	113	180				3 [1.07; 1.41]		9.2%			
Elgazzar et al (mild)	99	100	74	100			1.3	4 [1.19; 1.51]	9.3%	9.7%			
Elgazzar et al (severe)	94	100	50	100		-	1.8	8 [1.54; 2.30]	6.3%	7.6%			
Chachar et al	16	25	15	25			1.0	7 [0.69; 1.65]	1.9%	3.5%			
Okumus et al	22	30	16	30			— 1.3	8 [0.92; 2.05]	2.0%	3.9%			
NA	32	36	64	70			0.9	7 [0.85; 1.11]	5.4%	9.2%			
Kishoria et al	8	19	6	16			1.1	2 [0.49; 2.56]		1.3%			
Faisal et al	48		42	50		+		4 [1.00; 1.31]					
I-TECH	122	- · ·	131	249				6 [0.81; 1.14]		8.3%			
Fixed effect model		844		876				1 [1.13; 1.28]					
Random effects mode						\Rightarrow	1.2	1 [1.06; 1.37]		69.6%			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 77\%$, 1	= 0.028	1, p < 0	.01										
RoB2 = Low													
Kirti et al	46	55	51	57			0.9	3 [0.81; 1.08]		9.0%			
Mohan et al	74		39	45		- <u> =</u>		7 [0.94; 1.22]		9.4%			
Lopez-Medina et al	164		156	198				4 [0.94; 1.15]		10.1%			
Manomaipiboon A et al	15		11	36				6 [0.73; 2.55]		2.0%			
Fixed effect model		371		336		\$		4 [0.97; 1.12]					
Random effects mode							1.0	3 [0.96; 1.10]		30.4%			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	= 0, p = 0).41											
		404-							400.000				
Fixed effect model		1215		1212				5 [1.10; 1.21]					
Random effects mode	\diamond	1.1	5 [1.04; 1.27]		100.0%								
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 76\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0221$, $p < 0.01$						1	2						
Residual heterogeneity: $I^2 = 72\%$, $p < 0.01$						1	2						

Although pooled estimates suggest significant benefits with ivermectin for some critical outcomes, these are mainly driven by studies with important methodological limitations. Furthermore, results of the studies classified as low risk of bias significantly differ from those classified as high risk of bias which results in significant uncertainty about ivermectin effects. Further research is needed to confirm or discard those findings.

Baricitinib

See Summary of findings Table 13, Appendix 1

We identified three RCTs including 2,659 patients in which baricitinib was compared against standard of care. Both studies included moderate to severe hospitalized patients. Critical patients were excluded. Our results showed:

- Baricitinib reduces mortality, RR 0.64 (95%CI 0.51 to 0.8); RD -5.7% (95%CI -7.8% to 3.2%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 23)
- Baricitinib may reduce mechanical ventilation, RR 0.66 (95%CI 0.46 to 0.93); RD -5.9% (95%CI -9.2% to -1.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○




- Baricitinib probably improves time to symptom resolution, RR 1.27 (95%CI 1.13 to 1.42); RD 16.3% (95%CI 7.9% to 25.5%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Baricitinib probably does not increase severe adverse events, RR 0.78 (95%CI 0.64 to 0.95); RD -2.2% (95%CI -3.7% to -0.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○

Figure 23. Mortality in randomized studies comparing baricitinib with standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
ACTT-2 COV-BARRIER COV-BARRIER-IMV	-0.43 0.2546 -0.48 0.1533 -0.39 0.2118		0.62	[0.40; 1.07] [0.46; 0.83] [0.45; 1.02]		19.2% 53.0% 27.8%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ		0.5 1 2		[0.51; 0.80] [0.51; 0.80]		 100.0%

Azithromycin

See Summary of findings Table 14, Appendix 1

We identified ten RCTs including 10,429 patients in which azithromycin was compared against standard of care or other treatments. RECOVERY trial was the biggest study including 7,762 patients with severe disease (mortality in the control arm 19%). Our results showed:

- Azithromycin probably does not reduce mortality, RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.1); RD 0.2% (95%CI -1.3% to 1.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 24)
- Azithromycin probably does not reduce mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 0.94 (95%CI 0.78 to 1.13); RD -1% (95%CI -3.8% to 2.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Azithromycin does not improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.99 to 1.04); RD 1.2% (95%CI -0.6% to 2.4%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕
- It is uncertain if azithromycin increases severe adverse events, RR 1.23 (95%CI 0.51 to 2.96); RD 2.4% (95%CI -5% to 19.9%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Azithromycin may not reduce hospitalizations, RR 0.98 (95%CI 0.52 to 1.86); RD -0.1% (95%CI -2.3% to 4.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○



... . . .

Figure 24. Mortality in randomized studies comparing azithromycin with standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	Weight Weight RR 95%-Cl (fixed) (random)
Sekhavati E et al COALITION II RECOVERY ATOMIC2	-1.12 1.6219 — 0.05 0.1211 -0.00 0.0494 0.01 1.4094		0.33[0.01; 7.86]0.1%0.1%1.05[0.83; 1.34]14.2%14.2%1.00[0.91; 1.10]85.6%85.6%1.01[0.06; 16.05]0.1%0.1%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$,		0.1 0.51 2 10	1.01 [0.92; 1.10] 100.0% 1.01 [0.92; 1.10] 100.0%

ACEI/ARB initiation or continuation

We identified eleven RCTs including 1,766 patients in which patients with COVID-19 were randomized to initiate or continue ACEI/ARB treatment and compared to standard of care or discontinue ACEI/ARB. Our results showed:

- ACEI/ARB initiation or continuation may increase mortality, RR 1.16 (95%CI 0.79 to 1.69); RD 2.6% (95%CI -3.4% to 11%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ (Figure 25) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- ACEI/ARB discontinuation may reduce mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 0.89 (95%CI 0.66 to 1.22); RD -1.9% (95%CI -5.9% to 3.8%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○



Figure 25. Mortality in randomized studies comparing initiation or continuation vs standard of care o discontinuation of ACEI/ARB in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE se	E Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
RoB = High Duarte M et al Nouri-Vaskeh M et al COVID-ARB Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	-	2	0.38 0.94 0.28	[0.06; 0.61] [0.08; 1.85] [0.06; 13.68] [0.11; 0.68] [0.11; 0.68]	4.9%	9.8% 6.1% 2.3% 18.3%
RoB = Low REPLACE COVID BRACE CORONA ATTRACT ACEI-COVID Najmeddin F et al ALPS-COVID COVID MED Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	-	9 2	0.97 0.36 1.56 1.29 1.03 2.22 1.16	[0.51; 2.50] [0.39; 2.42] [0.04; 3.35] [0.67; 3.66] [0.39; 4.33] [0.47; 2.27] [0.33; 14.84] [0.79; 1.69] [0.79; 1.69]	2.5% 16.9% 8.4% 19.6%	17.1% 14.4% 3.3% 15.7% 9.5% 17.3% 4.4% 81.7%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 24\%$, n Residual heterogeneity: I^2	r ² = 0.1059, <i>p</i>			[0.66; 1.32] [0.59; 1.37]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Colchicine

See Summary of findings Table 15, Appendix 1

We identified ten RCTs including 17,963 patients in which colchicine was compared against standard of care or other treatments. The COLCORONA trial was the biggest including mild ambulatory patients, with 2,235 patients assigned to intervention and 2,253 to control, and the RECOVERY trial was the biggest including moderate to critical hospitalized patients, with 5,610 patients assigned to intervention and 5,730 assigned to control. Our results showed:

- Colchicine probably does not reduce mortality, RR 0.99 (95%CI 0.93 to 1.06); RD -0.2% (95%CI -1.1% to 1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 26)
- Colchicine probably does not reduce mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 0.98 (95%CI 0.89 to 1.08); RD -0.3% (95%CI -1.9% to 1.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 27)
- Colchicine does not increase symptom resolution or improvement, RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.06); RD 0.6% (95%CI -2.4% to 3.6%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕





BE AWARE. PREPARE. ACT. www.paho.org/coronavirus

....

- Colchicine does not significantly increase severe adverse events, RR 0.78 (95%CI 0.61 to 0.99); RD -2.2% (95%CI -4% to -0.1%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕
- Colchicine may not significantly increase pulmonary embolism, RR 5.55 (95%CI 1.23 to 25); RD 0.4% (95%CI 0.02% to 2.2%); Low certainty ⊕○○○
- Colchicine probably has no important effect on hospitalizations in patients with recent onset disease, RR 0.81 (95%CI 0.63 to 1.04); RD -0.9% (95%CI -1.8% to 0.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○

Figure 26. Mortality in randomized studies comparing colchicine vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE	seTE	Ris	k Ratio		RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Severity = Moderate to c GRECCO-19 Lopes et al RECOVERY - Colchicine COL-COVID COLCOVID Alsultan M et al Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0\%$	-1.29 -1.61 0.01 -1.63 -0.08 -0.44	1.1008 1.5312 0.0366 1.5366 0.1075 0.5976				0.20 1.01 0.20 0.92 0.64 0.99	[0.03; 2.38] [0.01; 4.02] [0.94; 1.08] [0.01; 3.99] [0.75; 1.14] [0.20; 2.07] [0.93; 1.06] [0.93; 1.06]	0.1% 88.7% 0.1% 10.3% 0.3%	0.1% 0.1% 88.7% 0.1% 10.3% 0.3%
Severity = Mild COLCORONA PRINCIPLE - Colchicine Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$	-1.26			<u> </u>	-	0.28 0.52	[0.19; 1.67] [0.01; 6.92] [0.19; 1.47] [0.19; 1.47]	0.4% 0.0% 0.4% 	0.4% 0.0%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 =$ Residual heterogeneity: I^2			0.1	1	10 10		[0.93; 1.06] [0.93; 1.06]	100.0% 	 100.0%



Study	TE	seTE		Risk Ratio		RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)	
Severity = Moderate to GRECCO-19 RECOVERY - Colchicine COL-COVID COLCOVID Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 46\%$, τ	-1.51 9 0.04 -1.12 -0.15	0.0986		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.04 0.33 0.86 0.99	[0.03; 1.82] [0.93; 1.16] [0.04; 3.04] [0.71; 1.05] [0.90; 1.09] [0.77; 1.15]	0.2% 75.0% 0.2% 23.0% 98.4%	1.2% 49.2% 1.1% 39.9% 91.4%	
Severity = Mild COLCORONA Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: not applicat		0.3710		100		0.53	[0.26; 1.09] [0.26; 1.09] [0.26; 1.09]		8.6% 8.6%	
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 52\%, \tau^2$ Residual heterogeneity: l^2			0.1	0.5 1 2	 10		[0.89; 1.08] [0.70; 1.11]	100.0% 	 100.0%	

Figure 27. Mechanical ventilation in randomized studies comparing colchicine vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Observed results apply mostly to hospitalized patients with moderate to critical disease. The COLCORONA trial that included patients with recent onset mild disease showed a tendency to less hospitalizations, less mortality and less mechanical ventilation requirements. However, the certainty on those potential benefits was low because of very serious imprecision because of a small number of events.

Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir, ledipasvir, or velpatasvir

See Summary of findings Table 16, Appendix 1

We identified 13 RCTs including 2,270 patients in which sofosbuvir alone or in combination with daclatasvir or ledipasvir was compared against standard of care or other treatments. One study compared sofosbuvir alone vs. standard of care, one study compared sofosbuvir + ravidasvir vs. standard of care, one study compared sofosbuvir alone vs. lopinavir-ritonavir, four studies compared sofosbuvir + daclatasvir vs. standard of care, two studies compared sofosbuvir + daclatasvir vs. lopinavir-ritonavir, and two studies compared sofosbuvir + ledipasvir vs. standard of care. As there is moderate to high certainty that lopinavir-ritonavir is not related to significant benefits, we assumed that intervention as equivalent to standard of care. The DISCOVER trial was the biggest, with 1,083 patients and the only one categorized as with low risk of bias. Studies included patients with mild to severe disease. Our results showed:





- Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir or ledipasvir may not reduce mortality, RR 1.14 (95%CI 0.83 to 1.56); RD 2.2% (95%CI -2.7% to 9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ (Figure 28) (based on low risk of bias studies)
- Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir or ledipasvir may not reduce mechanical ventilation requirements, RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.59 to 1.76); RD 0.3% (95%CI -7.1% to 13.1.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖○ (based on low risk of bias studies)
- Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir or ledipasvir probably does not improve time to symptom resolution, RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.95 to 1.08); RD 0.6% (95%CI -3% to 4.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (based on low risk of bias studies)

Figure 28. Mortality in randomized studies comparing sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir or ledipasvir vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR 95%	Weight Cl (fixed)	Weight (random)
RoB = High Abbaspour Kasgari H et al Sadeghi A et al Yakoot M et al (Pharco Corporate Khalili H et al Sali S et al Alavi-Moghaddam M et al Yadollahzadeh M et al Elgohary MAS et al El Bendary et al Abbass S et al Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0$	-0.05 0.7860 -0.03 0.8698 -1.77 0.7117 0.33 0.8931 -2.56 1.4621 -0.42 0.3409 -0.69 0.5439		0.14 [0.01; 2. 0.60 [0.16; 2. 0.41 [0.08; 2. 0.95 [0.20; 4. 0.97 [0.18; 5. 0.17 [0.04; 0. 1.40 [0.24; 8.] 0.08 [0.00; 1. 0.66 [0.34; 1. 0.50 [0.17; 1. 0.55 [0.36; 0. 0.55 [0.36; 0.	3.1] 3.4% 00] 2.5% 45] 2.6% 33] 2.1% 39] 3.2% 04] 2.0% 35] 0.8% 29] 13.9% 45] 5.4% 33] 36.6%	1.8% 7.1% 5.4% 5.7% 4.8% 6.7% 4.6% 1.9% 17.7% 10.1%
RoB = Low DISCOVER SOVECOD Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $p = 0$ Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 29\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1213$ Residual heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0$	3, p = 0.16	1	1.15 [0.83; 1. 1.00 [0.21; 4.] 1.14 [0.83; 1.] 1.14 [0.83; 1.] 0.87 [0.68; 1.] 0.68 [0.46; 1.]	2.6% 6] 2.6% 6] 63.4% 6] 2] 100.0%	28.5% 5.7% 34.2% 100.0%

REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab)

See Summary of findings Table 17, Appendix 1

We identified ten RCTs including 24,659 patients in which REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) was compared against standard of care in patients with recent onset COVID-19. RECOVERY trial was the biggest, included severe to critical patients and reported differential





effect in seronegative patients at baseline. Eight of the other nine studies included mild patients with recent onset disease or exposed individuals with negative PCR. Our results showed:

- Overall REGEN-COV may decrease mortality, RR 0.83 (95%CI 0.64 to 1.07); RD -2.7% (95%CI -5.8% to 1.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖
- In seronegative patients REGEN-COV probably decreases mortality, RR 0.79 (95%CI 0.71 to 0.89); RD -3.4% (95%CI -4.6% to -1.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 29)
- Overall REGEN-COV may decrease mechanical ventilation, RR 0.79 (95%CI 0.54 to 1.14); RD -3.6% (95%CI -8% to 2.4%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
- In seronegative patients REGEN-COV probably reduces mechanical ventilation, RR 0.82 (95%CI 0.74 to 0.9); RD -3.1% (95%CI -4.5% to -1.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Overall REGEN-COV may increase symptom resolution, RR 1.06 (95%CI 1 to 1.12); RD 3.6% (95%CI 0% to 7.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- In seronegative patients REGEN-COV probably increases symptom resolution, RR 1.1 (95%CI 1.06 to 1.14); RD 6% (95%CI 3.6% to 8.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- REGEN-COV reduces symptomatic infections in exposed individuals, RR 0.43 (95%CI 0.31 to 0.59); RD -9.9% (95%CI -12% to -7.1%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕
- REGEN-COV probably does not increase severe adverse events, RR 0.54 (95%CI 0.27 to 1.07); RD -4.7% (95%CI -7.4% to 0.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- REGEN-COV probably reduces hospitalization, RR 0.30 (95%CI 0.20 to 0.46); RD -3.4% (95%CI -3.8% to -2.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 30)

Figure 29. Mortality in randomized studies comparing REGEN-COV vs standard of care in seronegative patients with COVID-19

Study	TE	seTE	Risk Ratio	,	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
RECOVERY - REGEN-COV Somersan-Karakaya		0.0589 0.2726 -				[0.73; 0.92] [0.26; 0.76]	95.5% 4.5%	59.6% 40.4%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: l^2 = 79%, τ^2 = 0.	1453, p	= 0.03	0.5 1	2		[0.71; 0.89] [0.36; 1.14]	100.0% 	 100.0%





Figure 30. Hospitalization in randomized studies comparing REGEN-COV vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE	seTE		Ris	sk Rat	io	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Weinreich Covid-19 Phase 3 Prevention Trial - Asymptomatic Weinreich_2	-1.91	0.2251 1.5054 0.7024			•		0.15	[0.19; 0.45] [0.01; 2.84] [0.13; 2.11]	2.0%	88.9% 2.0% 9.1%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$, $\rho = 0.64$		0.	.01	0.1	1	10		[0.20; 0.46] [0.20; 0.46]		 100.0%

In addition, two studies that compared REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) against bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab and sotrovimab in non-severe patients with risk factors for severity reported no important differences in hospitalizations.

Aspirin

We identified three RCTs including 15,612 patients in which aspirin was compared against standard of care in patients with COVID-19. Our results showed:

- Aspirin probably does not reduce mortality, RR 0.96 (95%CI 0.90 to 1.03); RD -0.6% (95%CI -1.6% to 0.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 31)
- Aspirin probably does not reduce mechanical ventilation, RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.87 to 1.05); RD -0.8% (95%CI -2.2% to 0.9%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Aspirin probably does not increase symptom resolution or improvement, RR 1.02 (95%CI 1.0 to 1.04); RD 1% (95%CI -0.1% to 2.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○

Figure 31. Mortality in randomized studies comparing aspirin vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
RESIST RECOVERY - ASA	-0.86 0.6834 -0.04 0.0363	+		[0.11; 1.62] [0.90; 1.04]	0.3% 99.7%	15.4% 84.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects mod Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 30\%$		2 0.5 1 2		[0.90; 1.03] [0.48; 1.52]		 100.0%

Sotrovimab

We identified two RCT including 4141 patients with recent onset mild COVID-19 and risk factors for severe disease, in which sotrovimab was compared against standard of care. Our results showed:





- Sotrovimab probably reduces hospitalizations, RR 0.14 (95%CI 0.04 to 0.48); RD -4.1% (95%CI -4.6% to -2.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (certainty upgraded because of evidence of equipoise of sotrovimab and REGEN-COV)
- Severe adverse events, RR 0.29 (95%CI 0.12 to 0.63); RD -7.1% (95%CI -8.9% to -3.8%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○

One study that compared REGEN-COV and sotrovimab in mild to moderate patients showed similar hospitalization rates (RR 0.93 95%CI, 0.77 to 1.13)

Mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation

We identified five RCTs including 263 patients with severe to critical COVID-19, in which mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation was compared against standard of care. Our results showed:

Mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation may reduce mortality, RR 0.57 (95%CI 0.37 to 0.90); RD -6.7% (95%CI -10.1% to -1.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖○ (Figure 32)

Figure 32. Mortality in randomized studies comparing mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	3	• •
Shu L et al Lanzoni G et al ISMMSCCOVID19 Zhu R et al	-1.06 1.4724 - -0.92 0.7303 -0.47 0.2500 -1.61 1.5268		0.40 [0.10; 1.67] 10.0% 10.0% 0.62 [0.38; 1.02] 85.3% 85.3%] 10.0% 10.0%] 85.3% 85.3%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, n		0.1 0.51 2 10		-



Doxycycline

We identified three RCTs including 2,302 patients with mild COVID-19, in which doxycycline was compared against standard of care. Our results showed:

- It is uncertain if doxycycline reduce or increase mortality, RR 1.10 (95%CI 0.63 to 1.93); RD 1.6% (95%CI -5.9% to 14.9%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Doxycycline does not increase symptom resolution or improvement, RR 1 (95%CI 0.97 to 1.03); RD -0% (95%CI -91.8% to -1.8%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ (Figure 33)
- Doxycycline may not reduce hospitalizations, RR 1.13 (95%CI 0.73 to 1.74); RD 0.6% (95%CI -1.3% to 3.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○

Figure 33. Symptom resolution or improvement in randomized studies comparing doxycycline vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19



Inhaled corticosteroids

See Summary of findings Table 18, Appendix 1

We identified six RCTs including 2,695 patients with mild COVID-19, in which inhaled coticosteroids were compared against standard of care. Our results showed:

- It is uncertain if inhaled corticosteroids reduce or increase mortality, RR 0.90 (95%CI 0.46 to 1.77); RD -1.6% (95%CI -8.6% to 12.3%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- It is uncertain if inhaled corticosteroids reduce or increase mechanical ventilation, RR 0.94 (95%CI 0.44 to 1.98); RD -1% (95%CI -9.6% to 17%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Inhaled corticosteroids probably increase symptom resolution or improvement, RR 1.15 (95%CI 1.08 to 1.24); RD 9.1% (95%CI 4.8% to 14.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 34)
- It is uncertain if inhaled corticosteroids reduce or increase hospitalizations, RR 0.85 (95%CI 0.58 to 1.26); RD -0.7% (95%CI -2% to 1.2%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○

Figure 34. Symptom resolution or improvement in randomized studies comparing inhaled corticosteroids vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19





Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
STOIC PRINCIPLE KUMC-COVID-19	0.09 0.1001 0.18 0.0470 -0.06 0.2286		1.20 0.94	L	12.0% 54.4% 2.3%	12.0% 54.4% 2.3%
ALV-020-001 CONTAIN Alsultan M et al	0.10 0.0703 0.19 0.1433 -0.21 0.3174		1.11 1.21 0.81	[0.97; 1.27] [0.91; 1.60] [0.43; 1.50]	24.3% 5.8% 1.2%	24.3% 5.8% 1.2%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$,				[1.08; 1.24] [1.08; 1.24]	100.0% 	 100.0%

Fluvoxamine

See Summary of findings Table 19, Appendix 1

We identified two RCTs including 1,649 patients with COVID-19, in which fluvoxamine was compared against standard of care. Our results showed:

- It is uncertain if fluvoxamine reduces or increase mortality, RR 0.69 (95%CI 0.36 to 1.27); RD -5% (95%CI -10.2% to 4.3%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- It is uncertain if fluvoxamine reduces or increase mechanical ventilation, RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.45 to 1.3); RD -3.7% (95%CI -8.8% to 4.8%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Fluvoxamine probably does not have an important effect on hospitalizations in patients with recent onset disease, RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.58 to 1.02); RD -1.1% (95%CI -2% to 0.1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 35). The observed effect would probably be considered important in patients with very high hospitalization risk.
- Fluvoxamine may not increase severe adverse events, RR 0.81 (95%CI 0.54 to 1.22); RD -1.9% (95%CI -4.7% to 2.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○

Figure 35. Hospitalizations in randomized studies comparing fluvoxamine vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE	seTE	Ri	sk Rati	o	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Lenze E et al TOGHETER-Fluvoxamine	-2.30 1 -0.24 0						[0.01; 1.83] [0.59; 1.04]	0.9% 99.1%	24.3% 75.7%
Fixed effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 48\%$, $\tau^2 =$	= 1.0100,	p = 0.17	0.1	1	10		[0.58; 1.02] [0.08; 2.68]	100.0% 	 100.0%





Molnupiravir

See Summary of findings Table 20, Appendix 1

We identified five RCTs including 2,433 patients with COVID-19, in which molnupiravir was compared against standard of care. Our results showed:

- It is uncertain if molnupiravir reduces or increase mortality, RR 0.13 (95%CI 0.02 to 0.77); RD -13.9% (95%CI -15.7% to -3.6%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Molnupiravir probably does not have an important effect on hospitalizations in patients with recent onset disease, RR 0.56 (95%CI 0.29 to 1.07); RD -2.1% (95%CI -3.3% to 0.3%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ (Figure 36). The observed effect would probably be considered important in patients with very high hospitalization risk.
- Molnupiravir may not increase severe adverse events, RR 0.49 (95%CI 0.23 to 1.05); RD -5.2% (95%CI -7.8% to 0.5%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○

Figure 36. Hospitalizations in randomized studies comparing molnupiravir vs standard of care in patients with COVID-19

Study	TE seTE	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
EIDD-2801-2003	0.28 1.1446			[0.14; 12.52]		7.6%
MOVe-OUT HCR/III/MOLCOV/04/2021-01	-0.36 0.1808 -1.19 0.4254			[0.49; 0.99] [0.13; 0.70]		59.2% 33.2%
Fixed effect model				[0.45; 0.86]	100.0%	
Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 46\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.7$	1566, p = 0.16		0.56	[0.29; 1.07]		100.0%
		0.1 0.5 1 2 10)			

Nirmatrelvir-ribavirin

See Summary of findings Table 21, Appendix 1

We identified one RCTs including 2085 patients with COVID-19, in which nirmatrelvir-ritonavir was compared against standard of care. Our results showed:

- It is uncertain if nirmatrelvir-ritonavir reduces or increase mortality, RR 0.04 (95%CI 0.002 to 0.68); RD -15.3% (95%CI -15.9% to -5.1%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
- Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir probably reduces hospitalizations in patients with recent onset disease, RR 0.12 (95%CI 0.06 to 0.25); RD -5.2% (95%CI -7.1% to -2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
- Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir probably does not increase severe adverse events, RR 0.49 (95%CI 0.30 to 0.80); RD -5.2% (95%CI -7.8% to 0.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○





Full description of included studies

Table 5, below, lists all the identified studies that were included in this systematic review by intervention. The treatments are arranged in alphabetical order. Study or author names, publication status, patient populations, interventions, sources of bias, outcomes, effect sizes and certainty are listed for each study.





	99mTc-MDP Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (SOC) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Yuan et al; ¹⁵ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to 99mTc- MDP 5/ml once a day for 7 days and 11 assigned to standard of care.	Median age 61 ± 20, male 42.9%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information

Table 5. Description of included studies and interventions effects





	Uncerta	Adalimumab ainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT		ŀ			
Fakharian A et al trial; ¹⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to adalimumab 40 mg once and 34 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54.6 ± 12, male 58.8%, hypertension 29.4%, diabetes 27.9%, COPD 1.5%, CHD 4.4%, CKD 1.5%, cancer 1.5%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information



	Ammonium chloride Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.					
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence	
RCT						
<u>Siami et al</u> , ¹⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 60 assigned to ammonium chloride 125 mg and 60 assigned to SOC	NR	Corticosteroids 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Blinding and concealment probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information	
	Uncerta		A (inhaled) and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.		



Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>AP-014 trial</u> ; ¹⁸ Roshon et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 19 assigned to AMP5A (inhaled) four nebulization a day for 5 days and 21 assigned to SOC	Mean age 64 ± 15, male 62.5%	Corticosteroids 78%, remdesivir 40%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕⊕○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationHospitalization: No information







It is uncerta	in if anakinra improves		akinra nes. Further research is n	needed to confirm or discar	d these findings
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT		•	•	·	
<u>CORIMUNO-</u> <u>ANA-1 tria</u> l; ¹⁹ Bureau et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 59 assigned to anakinra 400 mg a day for 3 days followed by 200 mg for 1 day followed by 100 mg for 1 day and 55 assigned to SOC	Median age 66 ± 17, male 70%, diabetes 29.8%, COPD 7.9%, asthma 7%, CHD 31.6%, cancer 9.6%,	Corticosteroids 46.5%, hydroxychloroquine 5.3%, lopinavir- ritonavir 3.5%, tocilizumab 0.8%, azithromycin 24.6%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: Very
<u>SAVE-MORE</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²⁰ Kyriazopoulou et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 405 assigned to anakinra 100 mg SC a day for 7 to 10 days and 189 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61.9 ± 12.1, male 57.9%, diabetes 15.8%, COPD 4%, asthma %, CHD 3%, CKD 1.7%	Corticosteroids 86.2%, remdesivir 71.9%, azithromycin 18.7%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
<u>COV-AID-3 trial;²¹</u> Declercq et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 112 assigned to anakinra 100mg a day for 28 days and 230 assigned to SOC	Mean age 65.5, male 77.4%, hypertension 46.4%, diabetes 27.7%, COPD %, CHD 20.5%, CKD 10.8%	Corticosteroids 62.3%, remdesivir 5%, hydroxychloroquine 11.7%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information





<u>Kharazmi et al</u> ; ²² peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 15 assigned to anakinra 100mg a day for up to 14 days and 15 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54.1, male 63.3%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 36.6%, CHD 26.6%	Corticosteroids 63.3%, remdesivir 20%, lopinavir-ritonavir 63.3%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
				tensin receptor bl needed to confirm or disca Risk of bias and study limitations	
REPLACE <u>COVID trial</u> ; ²³ Cohen et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 previously treated with ACEI/ARB. 75 assigned to continuation of ACEI/ARB and 77 assigned to discontinuation of ACEI/ARB	Mean age 62 ± 12, male 55.5%, hypertension 100%, diabetes 37%, COPD 17%, asthma %, CHD 12%,	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: RR 1.16 $(95\%$ CI 0.79 to 1.69); RD 2.6% (95%CI - 3.4% to 11%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.89 (95%CI 0.66 to 1.22); RD -1.9% (95%CI - 5.9% to 3.8%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom





BRACE CORONA trial; ²⁴ Lopes et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 334 assigned to continuation of ACEI/ARB and 325 assigned to discontinuation of ACEI/ARB Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 100 assigned to continuation of ACEI/ARB and 104 assigned to discontinuation of ACEI/ARB	Median age 55.5 ± 19, male 59.6%, hypertension 100%, diabetes 31.9%, COPD %, asthma 3.9%, CHD 4.6%, CKD 1.4%, cancer 1.5%, Mean age 72 ± 11, male 63%, hypertension 98%, diabetes 33%, CHD 22%	Corticosteroids 49.5%, hydroxychloroquine 19.7%, tocilizumab 3.6%, azithromycin 90.6%, convalescent plasma %, antivirals 42% Remdesivir 6.8%	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Open label study with blinded outcome assessment. Significant number of patients excluded after randomization. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some Concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 51 assigned to C21 (ARB) 200 mg a day for 7 days and 55 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52.6 ± 10.3, male 75.5%, hypertension 30.2%, diabetes 34%	Corticosteroids 84.9%, remdesivir 67%, hydroxychloroquine 13.2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Nouri-Vaskeh et</u> <u>al;</u> ²⁷ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection and non- treated hypertension. 41 assigned to losartan	Mean age 63.5 ± 16, male 51.2%, diabetes 23.7%, COPD 15%, asthma %, CHD 18.7%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	







	50 mg a day for 14 days and 39 assigned to Amlodipine 5 mg a day for 14 days			Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>SURG-2020-28683</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²⁸ Puskarich et al; Preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 58 assigned to losartan 25 mg a day for 10 days and 59 assigned to SOC	Age (35-54) 46%, male 51.4%, hypertension 7.7%, diabetes 6%, COPD %, asthma 10.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>COVID-ARB</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²⁹ Geriak et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 16 assigned to losartan 25 mg a day for 10 days and 15 assigned to SOC	Median age 53, male %, hypertension 38.7%, diabetes 25.8%, CHD 3.2%, obesity 41.9%	Corticosteroids 22.6%, remdesivir 29%, hydroxychloroquine 9.7%, , azithromycin 16.1%, convalescent plasma 6.5%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
Duarte et al; ³⁰ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 71 assigned to Telmisartan 80 mg twice daily and 70 assigned to SOC	Mean age 66 ± 17, male 53.2%, hypertension 44.3%, diabetes 19%, chronic lung disease 11.4%, asthma 1.3%, CHD NR%, CKD 3.2%, cerebrovascular disease 6.9%, obesity 15.2%	Corticosteroids 50.6%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. Significant number of exclusions post randomization. Stop early for benefit in the context of multiple interim analysis.	
<u>Najmeddin et al</u> ; ³¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 28 assigned to continuation of	Mean age 66.3 ± 9.9, male 46.9%, diabetes 50%, COPD 1.6%, CHD 25%, CKD 1.6%,	Corticosteroids 42.2%, remdesivir 10.9%, , azithromycin 9.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection,	





	ACEI/ARB and 29 assigned to	cancer 4.7%,		and adverse events	
	discontinuation of ACEI/ARB			Notes: 10.9% lost to follow-up	
<u>ALPS-COVID</u> <u>trial;³²</u> Puskarich et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 101 assigned to ACEI/ARB losartan 100 mg a day and 104 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55, male 60%, hypertension 42%, diabetes 22.9%, COPD 11.7%, asthma 13.2%, CHD 7.8%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
COVID MED <u>trial</u> ; ³³ Freilich et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 9 assigned to losartan 25 mg and 5 assigned to SOC	Mean age 63, male 64.2%, diabetes 7.1%, COPD 42.9%, asthma %, CHD 42.9%, CKD 0%, immunosuppression 35.7%, obesity 14.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
Regarding the best 1 mg/kg twice a	t thromboprophylactic s day) may not decrease r	he use of antithrombotic a cheme, anticoagulants in i nortality in comparison w rease venous thromboeml	ntermediate (i.e., enoxap ith prophylactic dose (i.e	nylaxis in hospitalized pati arin 1 mg/kg a day) or ful 2., enoxaparin 40 mg a day increase major bleeding in	l dose (i.e., enoxaparin). Anticoagulants in
Regarding the best 1 mg/kg twice a	t thromboprophylactic s day) may not decrease r	he use of antithrombotic a cheme, anticoagulants in i nortality in comparison w rease venous thromboeml	gents ⁸ for thrombopropl ntermediate (i.e., enoxap ith prophylactic dose (i.e polic events but probably	oarin 1 mg/kg a day) or ful e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day	l dose (i.e., enoxaparin). Anticoagulants in
Regarding the best 1 mg/kg twice a intermediate o Study; publication	t thromboprophylactic so day) may not decrease r r full dose probably decr Patients and interventions	he use of antithrombotic a cheme, anticoagulants in i nortality in comparison w rease venous thromboeml prophy	gents ⁸ for thrombopropl ntermediate (i.e., enoxap ith prophylactic dose (i.e polic events but probably vlactic dose. Additional	arin 1 mg/kg a day) or ful a, enoxaparin 40 mg a day increase major bleeding in Risk of bias and study	dose (i.e., enoxaparin). Anticoagulants in n comparison with Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the
Regarding the best 1 mg/kg twice a intermediate o Study; publication status	t thromboprophylactic so day) may not decrease r r full dose probably decr Patients and interventions	he use of antithrombotic a cheme, anticoagulants in i nortality in comparison w rease venous thromboeml prophy	gents ⁸ for thrombopropl ntermediate (i.e., enoxap ith prophylactic dose (i.e polic events but probably vlactic dose. Additional interventions	arin 1 mg/kg a day) or ful a, enoxaparin 40 mg a day increase major bleeding in Risk of bias and study	dose (i.e., enoxaparin). Anticoagulants in n comparison with Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the



REMAP-CAP, ACTIV-4a, ATTACC trial; ³⁵ Zarychanski et al; peer reviewed; 2021 INSPIRATION trial; ³⁶ Sadeghipour et al; peer reviewed; 2021	critical COVID-19	Mean age 61 ± 12.5, male 70%, diabetes 32.7%, COPD 24.1%, CHD 6.9%, CKD 9.6%, Median age 62 ± 21, male 57.8%, hypertension 44.3%, diabetes 27.7%, COPD 6.9%, CHD 13.9%, CKD %, cerebrovascular disease 3%	Corticosteroids 79.3%, remdesivir 30.8%, tocilizumab 1.8%, Corticosteroids 93.2%, remdesivir 60.1%, lopinavir-ritonavir 1%, tocilizumab 13.2%	events outcomes results. Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Open-label study but outcome assessors were blinded. Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Open-label study but outcome assessors were blinded.	resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Venous thromboembolic events (intermediate dose): RR 0.82 (95%CI 0.33 to 2); RD -1.2% (95%CI -4.7% to 7%); Low $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Venous thromboembolic events (therapeutic dose): RR 0.56 (95%CI 0.44 to 0.72); RD -3.1% (95%CI - 3.9% to -1.9%); Moderate $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
Perepu et al; ³⁷ preprint; 2021		Median age 64 ± 62, male 56%, hypertension 60%, diabetes 37%, COPD 23%, CHD 31%, cancer 12%, obesity 49%	Corticosteroids 75%, remdesivir 61%, azithromycin 21%, convalescent plasma 27%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Major bleeding: RR 1.76 (95%CI 1.19 to 2.62); RD 1.4% (95%CI 0.4% to 3.1%); Moderate ⊕⊕⊕○ Hospitalization: No information
<u>REMAP-CAP,</u> <u>ACTIV-4a,</u>	Patients with moderate to severe	Mean age 59 ± 14, male 58.7%, hypertension	Corticosteroids 61.7%, remdesivir 36.4%,	Some concerns for mortality and	





	•	•	•	
<u>ATTACC trial</u> ; ³⁸ Zarychanski et al; preprint; 2021	COVID-19 infection. 1171 assigned to enoxaparin 1 mg/kg twice a day and 1048 assigned to low molecular weight heparin prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day)	51.8%, diabetes 29.7%, COPD 21.7%, CHD 10.6%, CKD 6.9%, immunosuppressive therapy 9.7%	tocilizumab 0.6%,	mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Open-label study but outcome assessors were blinded.
ACTION trial; ³⁹ Lopes et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 311 assigned to enoxaparin 1 mg/kg twice a day or rivaroxaban 20 mg a day and 304 assigned to low molecular weight heparin prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day) or unfractionated heparin prophylactic dose	Mean age 56.6 ± 14.3, male 60%, hypertension 49.1%, diabetes 24.4%, COPD 3.1%, asthma 4.7%, CHD 4.6%, cancer 2.6%,	Corticosteroids 83%	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Although patients and careers were aware of the intervention arm assigned, outcome assessors were blinded.
RAPID trial; ⁴⁰ Sholzberg et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 228 assigned to therapeutic anticoagulation (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg) twice a day and 237 assigned to low molecular weight heparin prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day) or unfractionated heparin prophylactic dose	Mean age 60 ± 14.5, male 56.8%, hypertension 43.8%, diabetes 34.4%, COPD 13.5%, asthma %, CHD 7.3%, CKD 7.1%, cerebrovascular disease 4.1%, cancer 6.9%,	Corticosteroids 69.4%	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Open-label study but outcome assessors were blinded.
HEP-COVID trial; ⁴¹ Spyropoulos et al; peer reviewed;	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 129 assigned	Mean age 66.7 ± 14, male 53.8%, hypertension 59.9%,	Corticosteroids 81%, remdesivir 70.6%,	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation;





2021	to enoxaparin 1mg/kg twice a day and 124 assigned to low molecular weight heparin prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day) or unfractionated heparin prophylactic dose	diabetes 37.3%, COPD 6.7%, CHD 8.7%, CKD 3.6%, cerebrovascular disease 3.2%, cancer 2%		some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
BEMICOP trial; ⁴² Marcos et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 33 assigned to bemiparin 115 IU/Kg once daily and 32 assigned to low molecular weight heparin prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day) or unfractionated heparin prophylactic dose	Mean age 62.7 ± 13, male 63.1%, hypertension 33.8%, diabetes 7.7%, COPD 16.9%, asthma %, CHD 6.2%, cancer 3.1%,	Corticosteroids 95.4%, remdesivir 13.8%, tocilizumab 23.1%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.
<u>Oliynyk et al</u> ; ⁴³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 84 assigned to enoxaparin 100 anti- Xa IU/kg twice a day or unfractionated heparin 80 U/kg/h intravenously, followed by a maintenance dose of 18 U/kg/h and 42 assigned to enoxaparin enoxaparin 50 anti-Xa IU/kg a day	Mean age 70.6, male 60.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.
<u>X-Covid 19 trial;</u> ⁴⁴ Morici et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection.	Mean age 59 ± 21, male 62.8%, hypertension 36.1%, diabetes 13.7%,	Corticosteroids 45.9%, remdesivir 21.8%, tocilizumab 1.1%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom





	91 assigned to enoxaparin 40 mg twice a day and 92 assigned to low molecular weight heparin prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day) or unfractionated heparin prophylactic dose	COPD 5.5%, CKD 1.6%, cerebrovascular disease 2.7%		resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>ACTIV-4B trial</u> ; ⁴⁵ Connors et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 278 assigned to apixaban 2.5 to 5mg twice a day and 136 assigned to SOC	Median age 54 ± 13, male 40.9%, hypertension 35.3%, diabetes 18.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information
Gates MRI RESPOND-1 trial; ⁴⁶ Ananworanich et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild covid-19 and risk factors for severity. 222 assigned to rivaroxaban 10mg a day and 222 assigned to SOC	Median age 49, male 39.3%, hypertension 51.8%, diabetes 27.7%, COPD 6.1%, immunosuppressive therapy 3.4%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.08 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.27); RD 4.8% (95%CI -4.8% to 16.4%); Low $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Venous thromboembolic events (intermediate dose): No information Clinically important bleeding: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$



					Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○				
	Aprepitant Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT			-		_				
Mehboob et al; ⁴⁷ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to aprepitant 80 mg once a day for 3-5 days and 8 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 54.2 ± 10.91, male 61.1%,	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information				
	Uncerta	Arte inty in potential benefits a	e misinin and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.					
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE				





					certainty of the evidence
RCT	•	•		•	•
ARTI-19 trial; ⁴⁸ Tieu et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 39 assigned to artemisinin 500 mg for 5 days and 21 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43.3 ± 11.9, male 63.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
Aspirin probably o	does not reduce mortality		S pirin tion and probably does n	ot increase symptom resol	ution or improvement.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u>RESIST trial</u> ; ⁴⁹ Ghati et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 221 assigned to aspirin 75 mg once a day for 10 days and 219	Mean age 53.1 ± 9.2, male 73.3%, hypertension 28.6%, diabetes 27.7%, CHD 1.1%, CKD 2.4%	Corticosteroids 27.3%, remdesivir 20.6%, hydroxychloroquine 9.9%, tocilizumab 0.6%, convalescent plasma 0.2%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.96 (95%CI 0.90 to 1.03); RD -0.6% (95%CI - 1.6% to 0.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖







RECOVERY - ASA trial; ⁵⁰ Horby et al; peer reviewed; 2021	assigned to SOC Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 7351 assigned to aspirin 150 mg a day and 7541 assigned to SOC Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 144 assigned to aspirin	Median age 59.2 ± 14.2, male 61.5%, diabetes 22%, COPD 19%, asthma %, CHD 10.5%, CKD 3%, Median age 54 ± 13, male 40.9%, hypertension 35.3%, diabetes 18.3%	Corticosteroids 94%	Notes: Blinding and concealment probably inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and	Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.87 to 1.05); RD -0.8% (95%CI - 2.2% to 0.9%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.02 (95%CI 1.0 to 1.04); RD 1% (95%CI -0.1% to 2.2%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection
	81mg a day and 136 assigned to SOC		ixora	adverse events	 (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
	Auxora may reduce mo			s. Further research is need	ed.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT			Ι		
CARDEA trial; ⁵¹ Bruen et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 130 assigned to Auxora initial dose 2.0	Mean age 60, male 67.4%, hypertension 62.8%, diabetes 41.8%	Steroids 100%, remdesivir 77.6%, tocilizumab 2.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and	Mortality: RR 0.68 (95%CI 0.39 to 1.17); RD -5.1% (95%CI - 9.8% to 2.7%); Low





	mg/kg (max 250 mg), followed by 1.6 mg/kg (max 200 mg) at 24 and 48 h and 131 assigned to SOC			adverse events	certainty $\bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.94 to 1.22); RD 4.2% (95%CI -3.6% to 13.3%); Low certainty $\bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.69 (95%CI 0.48 to 1); RD -3.2% (95%CI -5.3% to 0%); Low certainty $\bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
	Avdoralimab may	Avdo increase mortality and se	o <mark>ralimab</mark> evere adverse events. Fu	rther research is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
FORCE trial; ⁵² Carvelli et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 103 assigned to avdoralimab 500 mg		Corticosteroids 85%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and	Mortality: RR 1.68 (95%CI 0.87 to 3.26); RD 10.9% (95%CI - 2.1% to 36.2%); Low



	once followed by 200			adverse events	certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	mg every 48 hours and 104 assigned to SOC				Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information
					Symptom resolution or improvement: No information
					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
					Adverse events: RR 1.15 (95%CI 0.85 to 1.55); RD 1.5% (95%CI -1.5% to 5.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖
					Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	${f Av}$ inty in potential benefits a	iptadil nd harms. Further resea	urch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
COVID-AIV trial;53 Jihad et al; preprint (now retracted); 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 136 assigned to aviptadil three infusions of 50, 100 and 150pmol/kg/hr and 67 assigned to	Mean age 61 ± NR, male 69%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Blinding and	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information





	SOC	Azelasti	ne (inhaled)	concealment probably inappropriate.	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
		duce mortality or mechan	ical ventilation and does	not improve time to sympt	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>CARVIN trial;</u> ⁵⁴ Klussmann et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 56 assigned to azelastine (inhaled) 0.02 to 0.1% twice a	NR	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information
	day for 11 days and 28 assigned to SOC				Symptom





					 studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Azithromyo	in probably does not re		romycin ical ventilation and does	not improve time to symp	tom resolution.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT			•	•	•
<u>Sekhavati et al</u> , ⁵⁵ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 56 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg twice daily and 55 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 57.1 ± 15.73, male 45.9%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of	Mortality: RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.1); RD 0.2% (95%CI - 1.3% to 1.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.94
				allocation is probably inappropriate.	(95%CI 0.78 to 1.13); RD -1% (95%CI -
<u>Guvenmez et al</u> , ⁵⁶ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to lincomycin 600 mg twice a day for 5 days and 12 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg on first day followed by 250 mg a day for 5 days	Mean age 58.7 ± 16, male 70.8%,	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	3.8% to 2.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.99 to 1.04); RD 1.2% (95%CI -0.6% to 2.4%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕





COALITION II trial; ⁵⁷ Furtado et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 214 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg once a day for 10 days and 183 assigned to standard of care	Median age 59.8 ± 19.5, male 66%, hypertension 60.7%, diabetes 38.2%, chronic lung disease 6%, asthma %, coronary heart disease 5.8%, chronic kidney disease 11%, cerebrovascular disease 3.8%, immunosuppression %, cancer 3.5%, obesity %	Corticosteroids 18.1%, lopinavir-ritonavir 1%, oseltamivir 46%, ATB 85%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 1.23 (95%CI 0.51 to 2.96); RD 2.4% (95%CI -5% to
<u>RECOVERY trial</u> ⁵⁸ Horby et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 2582 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg a day for 10 days and 5182 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 65.3 ± 15.6, male 62%, diabetes 27.5%, COPD 24.5%, asthma %, coronary heart disease 26.5%, chronic kidney disease 6%	Corticosteroids 61%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	19.9%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: RR 0.98 (95%CI 0.52 to 1.86); RD -0.1% (95%CI -2.3% to 4.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
Rashad et al; ⁵⁹ preprint ; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 107 assigned to AZT 500 mg a day for 7 days, 99 assigned to Clarithromycin 1000 mg a day for 7 days and 99 assigned to SOC	Mean age 44.4 ± 18, male 29.8%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
PRINCIPLE trial; ⁶⁰ Butler et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 500 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg a day for 3 days and 629 assigned to SOC	Mean age 60.7 ± 7.8, male 43%, hypertension 42%, diabetes 18%, COPD 38%, asthma %, CHD 15%, cerebrovascular disease 6%,	NR	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have	

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization

Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE
	Uncertai	Azvinty in potential benefits a	vudine and harms. Further rese	arch is needed.	
<u>Ghanei et al;</u> 63 peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 110 assigned to Lopinavir-Ritonavir 200/50mg twice a day for 7 days and 110 assigned to azithromycin 500mg once followed by 250mg a day for 5 days	Mean age 58.1 ± 16.3, male 51.5%, hypertension 24.7%, diabetes 12.2%, asthma 4.5%, CHD 8.9%, CKD 1.2%,	Convalescent plasma 1.8%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>ACTION trial</u> ; ⁶² Oldenburg et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 131 assigned to azithromycin 1.2 g once and 70 assigned to SOC	Median age 43, male 44%, hypertension 12.2%, diabetes 3.8%, COPD 1.5%, asthma 12%, CKD 1%, cerebrovascular disease 1%, cancer 0.4%,	NR	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Significant loss to follow-up.	
<u>ATOMIC2 trial;</u> ⁶¹ Hinks et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 145 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg a day for 14 days and 147 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45.9 ± 14.8, male 51.5%, hypertension 17.6%, diabetes 8.5%, COPD 4.1%, asthma 18%, CHD 4.1%, cancer 0.3%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
				introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results. Significant loss to follow-up.	





105

					certainty of the evidence
RCT	_		_		
Ren et al; ⁶⁴ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to azvudine 5 mg once a day and 10 assigned to standard of care	Median age 52 ± 59, male 60%, hypertension 5%, diabetes 5%, coronary heart disease 5%	Antivirals 100%, antibiotics 40%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No
	Uncertai	Bal inty in potential benefits a	OXAVIT and harms. Further re	search is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	·			-	
<u>Lou et al</u> ;65 preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to baloxavir 80 mg a day on days 1, 4 and 7, 9 assigned to favipiravir and 10	Mean age 52.5 ± 12.5, male 72.4%, hypertension 20.7%, diabetes 6.9%, coronary heart disease 13.8%	Antivirals 100%, interferon 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information




Bamlanivimab ma				Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. al antibody) tain if it affects mortality, 1	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No informationHospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	ł		ł		
<u>BLAZE-1 trial</u> ; ⁶⁶ Chen et al; peer- reviewed; 2020		Mean age 45 ± 68, male 55%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or
ACTIV-3/TICO trial; ⁶⁷ Lundgren et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 163 assigned to bamlanivimab 7000 mg once and 151	Median age 71 ± 22, male 66%, hypertension 49%, diabetes 29%, COPD %, asthma 9%, CHD 4%, CKD 11%, obesity 52%	Corticosteroids 49%, remdesivir 95%,	Low for mortality and adverse events; high for symptom resolution. Notes: Significant loss to follow-up for symptom	improvement: RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.99 to 1.06); RD 1.2% (95%CI 3.6% to 5.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖





<u>Gottlieb et al;</u> 68 Peer reviewed; 2020	assigned to SOC Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 309 assigned to bamlanivimab 700- 7000 mg once, 112 assigned to bamlanivimab + etesevimab and 156 assigned to SOC	Mean age 44.7 ± 15.7, male 45.4%	NR	improvement/resolution outcome. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): RR 0.56 (95%CI 0.39 to 0.81); RD -7.6% (95%CI - 10.6% to -3.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Adverse events: RR
<u>BLAZE-2 trial</u> ; ⁶⁹ Cohen et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to SARS-CoV2. 484 assigned to bamlanivimab 4200 mg once and 482 assigned to SOC	Median age 53	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	1.12 (95%CI 0.75 to 1.66); RD 1.2% (95%CI -2.5% to - 6.7%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: RR 0.37 (95%CI 0.21 to 0.65); RD -3% (95%CI -3.8% to - 1.7%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
BLAZE-1 trial; ⁷⁰ Dougan et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 518 assigned to bamlanivimab + etesevimab 2800/2800 mg and 517 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53.8 ± 16.8, hypertension 33.9%, diabetes 27.5%, COPD %, CHD 7.4%, CKD 3.5%, immunosuppressive therapy 4.9%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
J2W-MC-PYAA trial; ⁷¹ Chen et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 18 assigned to bamlanivimab 700 to 7000 mg once and 6 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53.9, male 54.2%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 25%, asthma 25%, CHD 12.5%, CKD 4%, obesity 8.3%	Corticosteroids 29.1%, remdesivir 50%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
OPTIMISE-C19 trial; ⁷² McCreary et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection disease and risk factors for severity. 922 assigned to REGN- CoV2 (Regeneron) and 1013 assigned to bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab	Mean age 56 ± 16, male 46%, hypertension 53%, diabetes 25%, COPD 19%, asthma %, CHD 18%, CKD 6.5%, immunosuppresive therapy 27%, obesity 48%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	





ACTIV-2 trial; ⁷³ Chew et al; peer reviewed; 2021 OPTIMISE-C19 trial; ⁷⁴ Huang et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 159 assigned to bamlanivimab 700 to 7000mg and 158 assigned to SOC Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 2454 assigned to REGN- COV2 (Regeneron) one infusion and 1104 assigned to sotrovimab one infusion	Mean age 46.2 ± , male 48.9% Mean age 54 ± 18, male %, hypertension 30%, diabetes 12%, CHD 16%, CKD 4.7%	NR NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
			icitinib		
Baricitinib reduces	mortality and time to sy		nty of the evidence was r needed.	noderate because of risk of	bias. Further research
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>ACTT-2 trial</u> ; ⁷⁵ Kalil et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 515 assigned to baricitinib + remdesivir 4 mg a day for 14 days + 200 mg once followed by 100 mg a day for 10 days and 518 assigned to remdesivir	Mean age 55.4 ± 15.7, male 63.1%, comorbidities 84.4%	Corticosteroids 11.9%	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Significant loss to follow-up.	Mortality: RR 0.64 (95%CI 0.51 to 0.8); RD -5.7% (95%CI - 7.8% to -3.2%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.66 (95%CI 0.46 to 0.93); RD -5.9% (95%CI -
COV-BARRIER trial; ⁷⁶ Marconi et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 764 assigned to baricitinib 4 mg for 14 days and 761 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.6 ± 14.1, male 63.1%, hypertension 47.9%, diabetes 30%, COPD 4.6%, obesity 33%	Corticosteroids 79.3%, remdesivir 18.9%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Content in the second





COV-BARRIER- IMV trial; ⁷⁷ Wesley et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 51 assigned to baricitinib 4 mg a day for 14 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 58.6 ± 13.8, male 54.5%, hypertension 54.5%, diabetes 35.6%, COPD 3%, obesity 56.4%	Corticosteroids 86.1%, remdesivir 2%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	1.42); RD 16.3% (95%CI 7.9% to 25.5%); High certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.78 (95%CI 0.64 to 0.95); RD -2.2% (95%CI -3.7% to - 0.5%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	inty in potential benefits a		rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Padmanabhan et</u> al; ⁷⁸ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 30 assigned to BCG 0.1 ml once and 30 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 45.2 ± 36.5, male 60%, obesity 23%	Remdesivir 6.6%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies):





					No information			
					Adverse events: No information			
					Hospitalization: No information			
	Uncertai	Beta inty in potential benefits a	glucans and harms. Further rese	arch is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
Raghavan et al; ⁷⁹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 16 assigned to beta glucans 3 to 13 gr a day and 8 assigned to SOC	Mean age 41.2	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information			
<u>Pushkala et al</u> ; ⁸⁰ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 21 assigned to beta glucans 19 gr a day and assigned to SOC	Mean age 44 ± , male 65%, hypertension 10%, diabetes 37.5%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information			
	Bioven Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care)			







					and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Rybakov et al; ⁸¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 32 assigned to bioven 0.8-1 g/kg once a day for 2 days and 34 assigned to SOC	NA	NA	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Bromhexine may redu		e hydrochloride s in exposed individuals.	Further research is neede	d.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•		•		
<u>Li T et al</u> ; ⁸² peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 12 assigned to bromhexine hydrochloride 32 mf three times a day for 14 days and 6 assigned	Median age 52 ± 15.5, male 77.8%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 11.1%	Corticosteroids 22.2%, interferon 77.7%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$





<u>Ansarin et al</u> ; ⁸³ peer- reviewed; 2020	to standard of care Patients with mild to critical COVID-19. 39 assigned to bromhexine 8 mg three time a day for 14 days and 39 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 59.7 ± 14.9, male 55.1%, hypertension 50%, diabetes 33.3%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): RR 0.38 (95%CI 0.13 to 1.09); RD -10.8% (95%CI - 15.1% to 1.6%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
<u>Mikhaylov et al</u> ; ⁸⁴ Peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 25 assigned to bromhexine 12 mg a day and 25 assigned to SOC	Mean age 40.6 ± 7.6, male 42%, comorbidity 6%	NR	inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
<u>Tolouian et al</u> ; ⁸⁵ Peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 48 assigned to bromhexine 32 mg a day for 14 days and 52 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52 ± 16, male 46%, hypertension 39%, diabetes 33%, COPD 7%, asthma 6%, CHD 9%, CKD 5%, cerebrovascular disease 2%, cancer 6%,	Lopinavir-ritonavir 100%, interferon 100%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Tolouian et al</u> , ⁸⁶ preprint; 2021	Patients with exposed COVID-19 infection. 187 assigned to Bromhexine 24 mg a	Median age 40 , male 53.2%, hypertension 6.2%, diabetes 9.1%, COPD 0.5%, asthma	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and	





		Cal inty in potential benefits a Comorbidities	lcitriol and harms. Further rese Additional interventions	arch is needed. Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care)
status inter		Comorbidities			vs standard of care
RCT					and GRADE certainty of the evidence
reviewed; 2022 mod infec to ca daily	derate COVID-19 ection. 25 assigned ealcitriol 0.5 μg	Mean age 66.5, male 30%, hypertension 60%, diabetes 40%, COPD 16%, cancer 4%, obesity 20%	Corticosteroids 50%, remdesivir 52%, convalescent plasma 12%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus OInvasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: Very low certainty \oplus OHospitalization: No information

Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.



Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>CamoCO-19 trial</u> ; ⁸⁸ Gunst et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 137 assigned to camostat mesilate 200 mg a day for 5 days and 68 assigned to SOC	Median age 61 ± 23, male 60%, hypertension 34%, diabetes 17%, COPD 10%, asthma 13%, CHD 19%, cancer 14%, obesity 33%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
<u>Chupp et al</u> ; ⁸⁹ preprint; 2021		Mean age 44.1 ± 13.3, male 60%, hypertension 20%, diabetes 5.7%, CKD 2.9%, obesity 68.6%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc
	Uncertai	Canal inty in potential benefits a	kinumab nd harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					



CAN-COVID trial; ⁹⁰ Cariccchio et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 223 assigned to canakinumab 450- 750 mg/kg once and 223 assigned to SOC	Median age 59, male 58.8%, hypertension 55.7%, diabetes 36.1%, COPD 7.3%, asthma 7.7%, CHD 20.3%, CKD 8.8%, cerebrovascular disease 5.9%	Corticosteroids 36.3%, remdesivir 20.7%, hydroxychloroquine 13.2%, azithromycin 37.4%, convalescent plasma 3.5%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
Three C trial; ⁹¹ Cremer et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 29 assigned to canakinumab 300 to 600 mg once and 16 assigned to SOC	Mean age 68.8 ± 13.2, male 73.3%, hypertension 71.1%, diabetes 46.7%, COPD 17.8% CHD 22.2%, CKD 33.3%, cerebrovascular disease 4.4%	Steroids 46.7%, remdesivir 46.7%, convalescent plasma 9%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Can inty in potential benefits a	nabidiol and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>CANDIDATE</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁹² Crippa et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 49 assigned to cannabidiol 300mg a day for 14 days and 42 assigned to SOC	Mean age 39.7, male 32.7%, hypertension 4.4%, diabetes 2.2%, COPD %, asthma 3.3%, cancer 1.1%, obesity 6.6%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$





		CERC-002 (mo	noclonal antibo		Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	Uncertai	CERC-002 (mo inty in potential benefits a	noclonal antibo		
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Perlin et al</u> ; ⁹³ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 31 assigned to CERC-002 16 mg/kg once and 31 assigned to SOC	Mean age 58.5 ± 14, male 69.5%	Corticosteroids 91.5%, remdesivir 68.2%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection





www.paho.org/coronavirus

		Chlorogui			<pre>(prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information</pre>
	Uncertai	Chloroquii inty in potential benefits a	ne nasal drops and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Thakar et al</u> ; ⁹⁴ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 30 assigned to chloroquine nasal drops 0.03% six times a day for 10 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 34.9 ± 10.35, male 78.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information
					Hospitalization: No information



	CIGB-325 Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
ATENEA-Co-300 trial; ⁹⁵ Cruz et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 10 assigned to CIGB- 325 2.5 mg/kg/day during 5-consecutive days) and 10 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 45.3 ± 12, male 70%, hypertension 25%, diabetes 0%, cancer 5%, obesity 25%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%, IFN 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information			



Clarithromycin Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
Rashad et al; ⁵⁹ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 107 assigned to AZT 500 mg a day for 7 days, 99 assigned to clarithromycin 1000 mg a day for 7 days and 99 assigned to SOC	Mean age 44.4 ± 18, male 29.8%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No			
	T T / - 1		evudine					
	Uncerta	inty in potential benefits	and narms. Further	research is needed.				
Study; publication tatus	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence			



Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 41 assigned	Mean age 59.9 ± 12.8, male 49.2%, hypertension 45.9%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom	Mortality: No information
to clevudine 120 mg a	diabetes 26.2%	resolution, infection and	Invasive mechanical
day for 14 days and 20 assigned to SOC		adverse events	ventilation: No information
assigned to SOC		Notes: Non-blinded	mormation
		study. Concealment of	Symptom
		allocation probably	resolution or
		inappropriate.	improvement: No information
			Symptomatic
			infection
			(prophylaxis
			studies): No information
			Adverse events:
			Very low certainty ⊕○○○
			Hospitalization: No information





	Cofactors (L-carnitine, N-acetylcysteine, nicotinamide, serine) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT									
<u>COVID-19-MCS</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁹⁷ Altay et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 71 assigned to cofactors (L-carnitine, N-acetylcysteine, nicotinamide, serine) and 22 assigned to	Mean age 35.6 ± 47, male 60%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information				
S	standard of care			Notes: Outcome assessors not blinded. Possible reporting bias.	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very				
COVID-19-MCS <u>trial</u> ; ⁹⁸ Altay et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 229 assigned to Cofactors (L- Carnitine, N- Acetylcysteine, Nicotinamide, Serine) and 75 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36.3 , male 57.6%, hypertension 9.2%, diabetes 6.2%	Hydroxychloroquine 81.9%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events:				
<u>Hu et al;</u> 99 preprint; 2021	19 infection. 12 assigned to	Mean age 69.5, male 45.8%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 16.6%, COPD 0%, CHD 8.3%, CKD 4.2%, cerebrovascular disease 8.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information				



	Colchicine Colchicine probably does not reduce mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements nor improve time to symptom resolution; In mild ambulatory patients it may reduce hospitalizations but the certainty of the evidence is low. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care (standard of care) and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT				•					
<u>GRECCO-19</u> <u>tria</u> l; ¹⁰⁰ Deftereos et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to colchicine 1.5 mg once followed by 0.5 mg twice daily until hospital discharge or	Median age 64 ± 11, male 58.1%, hypertension 45%, diabetes 20%, chronic lung disease 4.8%, coronary heart disease 13.3%, immunosuppression 3.75%	Hydroxychloroquine 98%, lopinavir- ritonavir 31.4%, tocilizumab 3.8%, azithromycin 92%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: RR 0.99 (95%CI 0.93 to 1.06); RD -0.2% (95%CI - 1.1% to 1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.98 (95%CI 0.89 to 1.08); RD -0.3% (95%CI -				
<u>Lopes et al</u> ; ¹⁰¹ preprint; 2020		Median age 50.75 ± 26.2, male 40%, diabetes 31.4%, chronic lung disease 14.2%, coronary heart disease 40%	Corticosteroids 40%, hydroxychloroquine 100%, azithromycin 100%, heparin 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	 1.9% to 1.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.06); RD 0.6% (95%CI -2.4% to 3.6%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ 				
<u>Salehzadeh et al</u> ; ¹⁰² preprint; 2020	moderate to critical COVID-19. 50	Mean age 56, male 41%, hypertension 11%, diabetes 11%, chronic lung disease 4%, coronary heart disease 15%, chronic kidney disease 5%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.78 (95%CI 0.61 to				



Tardif et al; ¹⁰³ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients recently diagnosed mild COVID-19 and risk factors for severe disease. 2235 assigned to colchicine 1 mg a day for 3 days followed by 0.5 mg for a total of 27 days and 2253 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54.3, male 46%, hypertension 36.3%, diabetes 19.9%, COPD 26.5%, CHD 5.4%, obesity 45.7%	NR	study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	0.99); RD -2.2% (95%CI -4% to -0.1%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Pulmonary embolism: RR 5.55 (95%CI 1.23 to 25); RD 0.4% (95%CI 0.02% to 2.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖ Hospitalization: RR 0.81 (95%CI 0.63
<u>RECOVERY -</u> <u>Colchicine trial;</u> ¹⁰⁴ Horby et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 5610 assigned to colchicine 500 mg twice a day for 10 days and 5730 assigned to SOC	Mean age 63.4 ± 13.8, male 69.5%, diabetes 25.5%, COPD 21.5%, asthma %, CHD 21%, CKD 3%	Corticosteroids 94%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>COL-COVID</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹⁰⁵ Figal et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 52 assigned to colchicine 1.5 gr once followed by 1 gr a day for 7 days and 51 assigned to SOC	Mean age 51 ± 12, male 52.4%, hypertension 27.2%, diabetes 14.6%, COPD 1%, CHD 2.9%, CKD 6.8%, cerebrovascular disease 1.9%, immunosuppresive therapy %, cancer %, obesity 21.4%	Corticosteroids 74.8%, remdesivir 32%, lopinavir-ritonavir 1%, tocilizumab 9.7%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>PRINCIPLE -</u> <u>Colchicine trial</u> ; ¹⁰⁶ Dorward et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 156 assigned to colchicine 500µg a day for 14 days and 133 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61, male 50%, hypertension 19.5%, diabetes 10.9%, COPD or asthma 32.2%, CHD 8%, cerebrovascular disease, or other	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, hospitalization, and adverse events	





Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
	Uncerta	Colchicine inty in potential benefits a	+ rosuvastatin and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 89 assigned to Colchicine 0.5 mg for 3 days and then continued 1 mg/day for 12 days and 63 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55, male 56.4%, hypertension 12.7%, diabetes 14.5%, COPD %, asthma 3.6%, CHD 5.4%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>Alsultan et al</u> ; ¹⁰⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 14 assigned to Colchicine 1.5 mg once followed by 1 mg a day for 5 days and 21 assigned to SOC	age 60 to 80 65.3, male 38.8%, diabetes 53.1%, CKD 8.2%, cerebrovascular disease 4.1%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>COLCOVID</u> <u>trial;</u> ¹⁰⁷ Diaz et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 640 assigned to Colchicine 1.5 mg once followed by 1 mg a day for 14 days and 639 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62 ± 14, male 64.9%, hypertension 47.7%, diabetes 22.7%, COPD 9.6%, CHD 7.1%, CKD 2.3%, cerebrovascular disease 2%, cancer 2.3%	Corticosteroids 91.5%, hydroxychloroquine 0.3%, lopinavir- ritonavir 0.2%, convalescent plasma 7.3%	events outcomes results. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
		neurological diseases 5.2%,		Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse	





RCT					
Gaitan-Duarte et L; ¹¹⁰ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 153 assigned to colchicine + rosuvastatin 1 mg + 40 mg a day for 14 days and 161 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55.4 ± 12.8, male 68%, hypertension 28%, diabetes 12%, COPD 4%	Corticosteroids 98%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanica ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: N information
Convalescent plasma				nproves time to symptom a vere adverse events.	resolution. Convalesce
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Li et al</u> ; ¹¹¹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 52 assigned to	Median age 70 ± 8, male 58.3%, hypertension 54.3%, diabetes 10.6%, coronary heart disease	Corticosteroids 39.2%, antivirals 89.3%, ATB 81%, IFN 20.2%, IVIG 25.4%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution,	Mortality: RR 0.99 (95%CI 0.94 to 1.05) RD 0% (95%CI -0.29 to 0.8%); High





126

	convalescent plasma 4 to 13 mL/kg of recipient body weight and 51 assigned to standard of care	25%, chronic kidney disease 5.8%, cerebrovascular disease 17.45%, cancer 2.9%, liver disease 10.7%		infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 1.05 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.14); RD 0.8% (95%CI -0.7% to 2. (%) ↓↓:
<u>CONCOVID trial;</u> Gharbharan et al; ¹¹² preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 43 assigned to convalescent plasma 300 ml once or twice and 43 assigned to standard of care	Median age 62 ± 18, male 72%, hypertension 26%, diabetes 24.4%, chronic lung disease 26.7%, coronary heart disease 23.2%, chronic kidney disease 8.1%, immunosuppression 12.8%, cancer 9.3%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	2.4%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.03); RD -0.6% (95%CI -3% to 1.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptomatic
<u>Avendaño-Solá</u> et al; ¹¹³ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 38 assigned to convalescent plasma 250-300 ml once and 43 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 60.8 ± 15.5, male 54.3%, hypertension 39.5%, diabetes 20.9%, chronic lung disease 12.3%, asthma NR%, coronary heart disease 18.5%, chronic kidney disease 4.9%	Corticosteroids 56.8%, remdesivir 4.94%, hydroxychloroquine 86.4%, lopinavir- ritonavir 41.9%, tocilizumab 28.4%, azithromycin 61.7%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.26); RD 0.3% (95% CI -1.5% to 2.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Hospitalization: RR
<u>PLACID trial</u> ; ¹¹⁴ Agarwal et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 235 assigned to convalescent plasma 200 ml twice in 24 h and 229 assigned to standard of care	Median age 52 ± 18, male 76.3%, hypertension 37.3%, diabetes 43.1%, chronic lung disease 3.2%, coronary heart disease 6.9%, chronic kidney disease 3.7%, cerebrovascular disease 0.9%, cancer 0.2%, obesity 7.1%	Corticosteroids 64.4%, remdesivir 4.3%, hydroxychloroquine 67.7%, lopinavir- ritonavir 14.2%, tocilizumab 9%, azithromycin 63.8%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse	0.78 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.06); RD -1.1% (95%CI -2.1% to 0.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖





				events outcomes results.
<u>PLASM-AR trial;</u> ¹¹⁵ Simonovich et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	critical COVID-19.	Mean age 62 ± 20, male 67.6%, hypertension 47.7%, diabetes 18.3%, COPD 7.5%, asthma 4.2%, coronary heart disease 3.3%, chronic kidney disease 4.2%	Corticosteroids 93.3%, hydroxychloroquine 0.3%, lopinavir- ritonavir 3%, tocilizumab 4.2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
ILBS-COVID-02 trial; ¹¹⁶ Bajpai et al; preprint; 2020		Mean age 48.2 ± 9.8, male 75.9%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, azithromycin 100%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>AlQahtani et al</u> ; ¹¹⁷ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 20 assigned to convalescent plasma 200 ml twice and 20 assigned to standard of care	male 80%, hypertension 25%, diabetes 30%, COPD 7.5%, asthma %, coronary heart disease	Corticosteroids 12.5%, hydroxychloroquine 92.5%, lopinavir- ritonavir 85%, tocilizumab 30%, azithromycin 87.5%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Fundacion</u> <u>INFANT-Plasma</u> <u>tria</u> l; ¹¹⁸ Libster et al; preprint; 2020	moderate COVID-19.	Mean age 77.1 ± 8.6, male 47.5%, hypertension 71.2%, diabetes 22.5%, COPD 4.4%, asthma 3.8%, coronary heart disease 13.1%, chronic kidney disease 2.5%, cancer 3.8%, obesity 7.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
<u>PICP19 trial;</u> ¹¹⁹ Ray et al; peer reviewed;	Patients with severe COVID-19. 40	Mean age 61 ± 11.5, male 71.2%,	Steroids 50%, remdesivir 31.2%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation;





2020	assigned to convalescent plasma 200 ml and 40 assigned to standard of care	hypertension 43.7%, diabetes 58.7%, COPD 6.2%, CHD 10%, cerebrovascular disease 2.5%	hydroxychloroquine 37.5%	high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>RECOVERY-</u> <u>Plasma trial</u> ; ¹²⁰ Horby et al; Other; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 5795 assigned to CP 275 ml a day for two days and 5763 assigned to SOC	Median age 63.5 ± 14.7, male 64.2%, diabetes 26%, COPD 24%, CHD 22%	Corticosteroids <1%, lopinavir-ritonavir <1%, azithromycin 10%, colchicine 14%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
Baklaushev et al; ¹²¹ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 46 assigned to CP 640 ml divided in two infusions and 20 assigned to SOC	Age 56.3 ± 11, male 60.6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
O'Donnell et al; ¹²² Peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 150 assigned to CP one infusion and 73 assigned to SOC	male 65.9%,	Corticosteroids 81%, remdesivir 6%, hydroxychloroquine 6%	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Sensitivity analysis including loss to follow-up patients significantly modified	





				results. At the time mortality was measured the number of patients on IMV was significantly higher in the intervention arm.	
	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 130 assigned to CP 200 ml a day for 2 days and 60 assigned to IVIG	Mean age 58 ± 25, male 62.6%, hypertension 35.2%, diabetes 34.7%, COPD 4.7%, CHD 3.1%, CKD 3.1%, cerebrovascular disease 1.05%, cancer 0.53%, obesity 41.5%	Corticosteroids 82.6%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Pouladzadeh et al</u> ; ¹²⁴ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to CP 500 ml once or twice and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55.3 ± 13.6, male 55%, comorbidities 50%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
SBU-COVID19 - Convalescent Plasma trial; ¹²⁵ Bennett-Guerrero et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 59 assigned to CP 480 ml once and 15 assigned to SOC	Mean age 65.5 ± 16.6, male 59.5%, hypertension 68.9%, diabetes 33.7%, COPD 12.1%, CHD 17.6%, CKD 9.5%, cerebrovascular disease 14.8%, immunosuppressive therapy 8.1%	Corticosteroids 60.8%, remdesivir 24.3%, hydroxychloroquine 31%, tocilizumab 21.6%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Salman et al</u> ; ¹²⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 15 assigned to CP 250 ml once and 15	Median age 57 ± 10, male 70%, diabetes 30%, asthma 16.6%, cerebrovascular disease	Corticosteroids 76.6%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection,	





	assigned to SOC	43.3%		and adverse events
<u>CAPSID trial</u> ; ¹²⁷ Koerper et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 53 assigned to CP 850 ml in three infusions and 52 assigned to SOC	Mean age 60 ± 13, male 73.3%, hypertension 56.2%, diabetes 31.4%, COPD 16.2%, CHD 21.9%, cancer 4.7%, obesity 54.2%	Corticosteroids 89.5%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>REMAP-CAP</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹²⁸ Green et al; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 1075 assigned to CP 550-700 ml and 904 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62 ± 12.9, male 67.6%, diabetes 30.9%, COPD 23.2%, asthma 19.4%, CHD 8.1%, CKD 10.4%, immunosuppressive therapy 6.4%, cancer 1.4%	Corticosteroids 93.4%, remdesivir 45.1%, tocilizumab 2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>CONCOR-1</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹²⁹ Bégin et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 614 assigned to CP 500 ml and 307 assigned to SOC	Mean age 67.5 ± 15.6, male 59.1%, diabetes 35%, COPD 24.1%, CHD 62%	Corticosteroids 80.4%, azithromycin 44.3%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>PLACOVID</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹³⁰ Sekine et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 80 assigned to CP 300 ml twice and 80 assigned to SOC	Median age 60.5 ± 20, male 58.1%, hypertension 61.3%, diabetes 39.4%, COPD 13.8%, CHD 21.9%, obesity 56.9%	Corticosteroids 98.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events





				Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>COVIDIT trial</u> ; ¹³¹ Kirenga et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 69 assigned to CP 150 -300 ml twice and 67 assigned to SOC	Mean age 50 ± 23.5, male 71.3%, hypertension 36%, diabetes 32%, asthma 3.7%, obesity 33.3%	Corticosteroids 58.8%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>C3PO trial</u> ; ¹³² Korley et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with early mild to moderate COVID-19 infection with risk factors for severe disease. 257 assigned to CP 250 ml and 254 assigned to SOC	Median age 54 ± 21, male 46%, hypertension 42.3%, diabetes 27.8%, COPD 6.1%, CHD 10%, CKD 5.3%, cancer 0.8%, obesity %	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
DAWn-Plasma trial; ¹³³ Devos et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 320 assigned to CP 200 to 250 ml once or twice and 163 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62 ± 14, male 68.7%, hypertension %, diabetes 29.6%, COPD 9.4%, asthma 10.1%, CHD 14.1%, CKD 13.4%,	Corticosteroids 66.4%, remdesivir 14.8%, hydroxychloroquine 1.4%, lopinavir- ritonavir 0.4%, tocilizumab 0.6%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
PennCCP2 trial; ¹³⁴ Bar et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to CP two units and 39 assigned to SOC	Mean age 63, male 45.6%, hypertension 67.1%, diabetes 40.5%, COPD 29.1%, CHD 29.1%, CKD 32.9%, immunosuppression	Corticosteroids 83.5%, remdesivir 81%, hydroxychloroquine 2.5%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	





	1				
		13.9%, cancer 26.6%, obesity 45.6%		Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>TSUNAMI trial</u> ; ¹³⁵ Manichetti et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 231 assigned to CP 200ml a day for 1 to 3 days and 239 assigned to SOC	Median age 64 ± 20, male 64.3%, hypertension 37.8%, diabetes 19.2%, COPD 5.7%, CKD 4.7%, cancer 3.6%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>COnV-ert & CoV-</u> <u>Early trial</u> ; ¹³⁶ Millat- Martinez et al; other; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 390 assigned to CP 200 to 300 ml once and 392 assigned to SOC	Median age 58 ± 11, male 66.8%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
<u>CSSC-004 trial</u> ; ¹³⁷ Sullivan et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 592 assigned to CP 250 ml and 589 assigned to SOC	Median age 44, male 43%, hypertension 23.3%, diabetes 8.4%, asthma 11.2%, CHD 2%, CKD 0.9%, cerebrovascular disease 0.2%, cancer 0.5%, obesity 17.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
<u>COP20 trial</u> , ¹³⁸ Holm et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 17 assigned to CP 200 to 250 ml on three consecutive days and 14 assigned to SOC	Mean age 73.2 ± , male 61.3%, hypertension 41.9%	Corticosteroids 71%, remdesivir 10%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	





CONTAIN COVID-19 trial; ¹³⁹ Ortigoza et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 463 assigned to CP 250 ml once and 463 assigned to SOC	Median age 63, male 59.1%, hypertension 60.7%, diabetes 35.3%, COPD %, asthma 11.7%, CHD 42.9%,	Corticosteroids 76.6%, remdesivir 57.1%, hydroxychloroquine 3.5%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
		CKD 10.5%, cancer 11.3%,			
IMPACT trial; ¹⁴⁰ Baldeón et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 63 assigned to CP 5 ml/kg and 95 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55.5, male 67.7%, hypertension 22.2%, diabetes 19.6%, obesity 24.7%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
				Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>De Santis et al</u> ; ¹⁴¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 36 assigned to CP 600 ml a day for 3 days and 71 assigned to SOC	Mean age 59.8, male 62.6%, hypertension 56%, diabetes 38.3%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
				Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Balcells et al</u> ; ¹⁴² peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 28 assigned to convalescent plasma at enrolment, 200 mg twice and 30 assigned to convalescent plasma when clinical deterioration was	Mean age 65.8 ± 65 , male 50%, hypertension 67.2%, diabetes $36.2%$, chronic lung disease %, asthma 5.1% , coronary heart disease %, chronic kidney disease 8.6%, cerebrovascular disease 5.1%, immunosuppression	Corticosteroids 51.7%, hydroxychloroquine 12%, lopinavir- ritonavir 1.7%, tocilizumab 3.4%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or
	observed (43.3% received CP in this arm)	12%, cancer 7%, obesity 12%		symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	improvement: No information Symptomatic





Non-RCT					<pre>infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information</pre>
Joyner et al; ¹⁴³ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 20000 received CP	Median age 62.3 ± 79.3, male 60.8%	NR	Low for specific transfusion related adverse events	Adverse events: Transfusion related circulatory overload 0.18%; Transfusion related lung injury 0.10%; Severe allergic transfusion reaction 0.10%
	Uncerta	Criza inty in potential benefits a	nlizumab Ind harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>CRITICAL trial</u> ; ¹⁴⁴ Leucker et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID- 19 infection. 22 assigned to crizanlizumab 5 mg/kg once and 20 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56.6, male 54.5%, hypertension 70.4%, diabetes 43.1%, COPD 9.1%, asthma 6.8%, CHD 11.3%, CKD 11.3%, cerebrovascular disease 2.2%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes:	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization



					resolution orimprovement: Verylow certainty⊕○○○Symptomaticinfection(prophylaxisstudies): NoinformationAdverse events:Very low certainty⊕○○○Hospitalization: Noinformation
Dapaş	diflozin may reduce mor		gliflozin not increase symptom res	olution. Further research	is needed.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
DARE-19 trial; ¹⁴⁵ Kosiborod et al; peer reviewed; 2021	•	Mean age 61.4 ± 13.5, male 57.4%, hypertension 84.8%, diabetes 50.9%, COPD 4.6%, CHD 7.2%, CKD 6.6%, obesity 48.1%	Corticosteroids 28.4%, remdesivir 18%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.76 (95%Cl 0.51 to 1.12); RD -3.8% (95%Cl -7.8% to 1.9%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.02 (95%Cl 0.98 to 1.06); RD 1.2% (95%Cl -1.2% to

PAHO Pan American Brealth Organization American

www.paho.org/coronavirus

					 3.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Darunav inty in potential benefits a	ir-cobicistat nd harms. Further resea	urch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
DC-COVID-19 trial; ¹⁴⁶ Chen et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 15 assigned to darunavir-cobicistat 800 mg/150 mg once a day for 5 days and 15 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 47.2 ± 2.8, male NR, diabetes 6.6%, coronary heart disease 26.6%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information



137

					Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information			
		methyl sulfoxide						
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT	•	•		•	•			
Hosseinzadeh et al; ¹⁴⁷ preprint; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 116 assigned to DSMO three applications a day for one month and 116 assigned to SOC	Mean age 37.2 ± 8.7	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No			
	Doxycycline Doxycycline does not improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care			





	analyzed				and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
DOXYCOV trial; ¹⁴⁸ Sobngwi et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 92 assigned to doxycycline 200 mg a day for 7 days and 95 assigned to SOC	Mean age 39 ± 13, male 52.4%, hypertension 1.1%, asthma 1.6%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1 (95%CI 0.97 to 1.03);
<u>PRINCIPLE</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹⁴⁹ Butler et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 780 assigned to doxycycline 200 mg once followed by 100 mg a day for 7 days and 948 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61.1 ± 7.9, male 44.1%, hypertension 41.5%, diabetes 18%, COPD 37.3%, CHD 14.2%, cerebrovascular disease 6.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	RD 0% (95%CI -1.8% to 1.8%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
DOXPREVENT.I CU trial; ¹⁵⁰ Dhar et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 192 assigned to doxycycline 200 mg a day and 195 assigned to SOC	Mean age 58.6, male 63.8%, hypertension 53.2%, diabetes 35.7%, COPD 9%, asthma 7.5%, CHD 13.4%, cancer 1.3%,	Corticosteroids 81.4%, tocilizumab 1.3%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: RR 1.13 (95%CI 0.73 to 1.74); RD 0.6% (95%CI -1.3% to 3.6%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	Uncerta	Duta inty in potential benefits a	asteride and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence





RCT					
AB-DRUG-SARS- 004 trial; ¹⁵¹ Cadegiani et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 64 assigned to dutasteride (dosage not reported) and 66 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 42 ± 12, male 100 %, diabetes 11%, COPD 0%, asthma 1%, coronary heart disease 1%, cancer 0%, obesity 15.4%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or
<u>EAT-DUTA</u> <u>AndroCoV trial</u> ; ¹⁵² Cadegiani et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 43 assigned to dutasteride 0.5 mg a day for 30 days and 44 assigned to SOC	Mean age 41.9 ± 12.4, male 100%, hypertension 21.8%, diabetes 9.2%, COPD 0%, asthma 1.1%, CHD 1.1%, cancer 0%, obesity 10.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Significant lost to follow-up.	<pre>improvement: Very low certainty ①○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ①○○</pre>
	Uncerta	Electrol inty in potential benefits a	yzed saline and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>TX-COVID19</u> <u>trial;</u> ¹⁵³ Delgado- Enciso et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 45 assigned to electrolyzed saline nebulizations 4 times a day for 10 days and 39 assigned to standard of	Mean age 47 ± 14.6, male 53.5%, hypertension 18.9%, diabetes 11.9%	Corticosteroids 3.65%, hydroxychloroquine 7.5%, ivermectin 9.4%, ATB 30.6%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information





	care			Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Symptom resolution or improvement: No information
<u>ICU-VR trial;</u> <u>Gutiérrez-García et</u> <u>al</u> , ¹⁵⁴ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed COVID-19 infection. 79 assigned to electrolyzed saline nasal sprays and gargles three times a day and 84 assigned to SOC	Mean age 42 ± , male 26.4%, hypertension 6.7%, diabetes 4.9%, obesity 13.5%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
	Uncertai	Emtricital inty in potential benefits a	Dine/tenofovir and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Gaitan-Duarte et</u> al; ¹⁵⁵ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 160 assigned to emtricitabine/ tenofovir 200/300 mg once a day for 10 days and 161 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55.4 ± 12.8, male 68%, hypertension 28%, diabetes 12%, COPD 4%	Corticosteroids 98%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No



	Uncertai	Endothelial dys inty in potential benefits a	sfunction protoc nd harms. Further resea		information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
MEDIC-LAUMC trial; ¹⁵⁶ Matli et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 17 assigned to Nicorandil 20 mg a day, L-arginine 3 gr a day, Folate 5mg a day, Nebivolol 2.5 to 5mg a day, and atorvastatin 40 mg a day for 14 days, and 20 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56.6, male 81.8%, hypertension 27%, diabetes 21.6%, asthma 10.8%, CHD 5.4%, CKD 2.7%, cancer 2.7%,	Corticosteroids 91.9%, remdesivir 59.5%, tocilizumab 8.1%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Symptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationAdverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Hospitalization: No information

PAHO Pan American Brealth Organization American
Enisamium Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
Holubovska et al; ¹⁵⁷ Preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. assigned to enisamium 500 mg 4 times a day for 7 days or SOC. Number of patients in each arm not reported.	NR	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanica ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information			
	Unconto		nzalutamide efits and harms. Further	receively is readed				
		inty in potential ben		research is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			





COVIDENZA <u>trial</u> ; ¹⁵⁸ Welen et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to enzalutamide 160 mg a day for 5 days and 12 assigned to SOC	Median age 64.9, hypertension 45.2%, diabetes 19%, asthma 14.3%, CHD 9.5%, cancer 11.9%,	Corticosteroids 85.7%, remdesivir 28.6%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have	ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
				introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Symptom resolution or improvement: No information
					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
					Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
					Hospitalization: No information





	Uncerta	Fa inty in potential benefits	motidine s and harms. Further :	research is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
Non-RCT				·	
Samimagham et al; ¹⁵⁹ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to famotidine 160 mg for up to 14 days and 10 assigned to SOC	Mean age 47.5 ± 13, male 60%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information



145

Favipiravir may i	Favipiravir Favipiravir may increase mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements and it probably does not improve time to symptom resolution. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT			<u>.</u>						
<u>Chen et al;</u> preprint; ¹⁶⁰ 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 116 assigned to favipiravir 1600 mg twice the first day followed by 600 mg twice daily for 7 days and 120 assigned to umifenovir 200 mg three times daily for 7 days	Mean age not reported male 46.6%, hypertension 27.9%, diabetes 11.4%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: RR 1.18 (95%Cl 0.83 to 1.69); RD 2.9% (95%Cl -2.7% to 11%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 1.27 (95%Cl 0.91 to 1.76); RD 4.7% (95%Cl - 1.6% to 13.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○				
<u>Ivashchenko et al</u> ¹⁶¹ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to favipiravir 1600 mg once followed by 600 mg twice a day for 12 days, 20 assigned to favipiravir and 20 assigned to standard of care	Mean age not reported	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.94 to 1.1); RD 1.2% (95%CI -3.6% to 6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖ Symptomatic infection				
<u>Lou et al</u> ;65 preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to baloxavir 80 mg a day on days 1, 4 and 7, 9 assigned to favipiravir and 10 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 52.5 ± 12.5, male 72.4%, hypertension 20.7%, diabetes 6.9%, coronary heart disease 13.8%,	Antivirals 100%, IFN 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of	(prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.80 (95%CI 0.46 to 1.41); RD -2% (95%CI -5.5% to 4.2%); Very low				



Doi et al; ¹⁶² peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 44 assigned to favipiravir (early) 1800 mg on day 1 followed by 800 mg twice daily for 10 days and 45 assigned to favipiravir (late) 1800 mg on day 6 followed by 800 mg twice daily for 10 days	Median age 50 ± 26.5, male 61.4%, comorbidities 39%	Corticosteroids 2.3%, ATB 12.5%	allocation is probably inappropriate. High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: RR 0.89 (95%CI 0.16 to 5.05); RD -0.5% (95%CI -4% to 19.4%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○
Dabbous et al; ¹⁵³ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 50 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by 1200 mg a day for 10 days and 50 assigned to hydroxychloroquine + oseltamivir 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 10 days + 75 mg a day for 10 days	Mean age 36.3 ± 12, male 50%, any comorbidities 15%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Zhao et al</u> ; ¹⁶⁴ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 13 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by 600 mg twice a day for 7 days, 7 assigned to TCZ 400 mg once or twice and 5 assigned to favipiravir + TCZ	Mean age 72 ± 40, male 54%, hypertension 42.3%, diabetes 11.5%, coronary heart disease 23.1%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Khamis et al</u> ; ¹⁶⁵ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 44 assigned to favipiravir	Mean age 55 ± 14, male 58%, hypertension 54%, diabetes 45%, COPD 5.6%, coronary heart	Corticosteroids 67%, tocilizumab 35%, convalescent plasma 58%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution,	





			1	1	
	+ inhaled interferon beta-1B 1600 mg once followed by 600 mg twice a day for 10 days + 8 million UI for 5 days and 45 assigned to standard of care	disease 15%, chronic kidney disease 20%		infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Ruzhentsova et</u> <u>al;</u> ¹⁶⁶ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 112 assigned to favipiravir 1800 mg once followed by 800 mg twice a day for 10 days and 56 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 42 ± 10.5, male 47%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
Promomed; NCT04542694; Other; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19. 100 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by 600 mg twice a day for 14 days and 100 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 49.68 ± 13.09, male 48.5%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Udwadia et al</u> ; ¹⁶⁷ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 72 assigned to favipiravir 3600 mg once followed by 800 mg twice a day for 14 days and 75 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 43.4 ± 11.7, male 73.5%, comorbidities 25.9%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Balykova et al</u> ; ¹⁶⁸ peer-reviewed; 2020		Mean age 49.7 ± 13, male 50%, hypertension	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation;	

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization



www.paho.org/coronavirus

r					
	COVID-19. 100 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mf once followed by 1200 mg a day for 14 days and 100 assigned to SOC	28.5%, diabetes 9%, COPD 5%, asthma %, CHD 6%,		high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Solaymani-Dodaran</u> <u>et al;</u> ¹⁶⁹ peer- reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 190 assigned to favipiravir 1800 mg a day for 7 days and 183 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir	Mean age 57.6 ± 17.3, male 55%, hypertension 34.9%, diabetes 25.7%, COPD 3.5%, asthma 3.8%, CHD 10.7%, CKD 1.6%	Corticosteroids 27.6%, remdesivir 1.1%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Zhao et al</u> , ¹⁷⁰ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with COVID- 19 infection who were discharged from hospital. 36 assigned to Favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by 1200 mg a day for 7 days and 19 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55.7 ± 13.6, male 45.5%, hypertension 30.9%, diabetes 14.5%, CHD 7.3%, cancer 7.3%	Corticosteroids 3.6%, remdesivir 0%, hydroxychloroquine 5.5%, lopinavir- ritonavir 16.4%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>FACCT trial</u> ; ¹⁷¹ Bosaeed et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 125 assigned to favipiravir + HCQ 3600 mg + 800 mg once followed by 2400 mg + 400 mg a day for 5 days and 129 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52 ± 13, male 59%, hypertension 40.9%, diabetes 42.1%, asthma 11.8%, CKD 2.4%	Corticosteroids 88.6%, tocilizumab 9%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
Shinkai et al; ¹⁷² peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 107 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by	Mean age 46.2, any comorbidities 75.6%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	





	-				
	1600 mg a day for 14 days and 49 assigned to SOC			Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
FIGHT-COVID- 19 trial; ¹⁷³ Atipornwanich et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 320 assigned to favipiravir 6000 mg once followed by 2400 mg a day + lopinavir ritonavir 800/200 mg or lopinavir ritonavir 800/200 mg a day or HCQ 800mg a day or Darunavir ritonavir 1200/200 mg a day + HCQ 400mg a day or favipiravil 6000mg followed by 2400mg + Darunavir ritonavir 1200/200 mg a day + HCQ 400mg a day for 7 to 14 days.	Mean age 42 ± 15.7, male 47.8%, obesity 24.6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>CVD-04-CD-001</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹⁷⁴ Shenoy et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 175 assigned to favipiravir 3600mg on day 1 followed by 1600mg a day for 10 days and 178 assigned to SOC	Mean age 51.9 ± 12.5, male 67.4%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
Holubar et al; ¹⁷⁵ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 59 assigned to favipiravir 3600 mg once followed by 1600 mg a day for 10 days and 57 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43 ± 12, male 51.9%, hypertension 8.6%, diabetes 8.6%, COPD 4.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	





<u>Malaysian</u> <u>Favipiravir Study</u> <u>trial;</u> ¹⁷⁶ Chuah et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 250 assigned to favipiravir 3601 mg once followed by 1600 mg a day for 5 days and 250 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62.5 ± 8, male 48.4%, hypertension 80.2%, diabetes 49.8%, COPD 1.4%, asthma 7.4%, CHD 15%, CKD 1.4%, immunocompromised therapy 0.4%, cancer 1.4%, obesity 20.6%	Corticosteroids 24.6%, tocilizumab 2%, vaccinated 0.4%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
FAVI-COV-US201 trial; ¹⁷⁷ Finberg et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 25 assigned to favipiravir 3600mg once folowed by 2000mg a day for 14 days and 25 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.2 ± 13.14, male 60%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Avi-Mild trial</u> ; ¹⁷⁸ Bosaeed et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 112 assigned to favipiravir 3600 mg once followed by 1600 mg a day for 5 to 7 days and 119 assigned to SOC	Median age 37, male 67%, hypertension 6%, diabetes 10.8%, COPD %, asthma 3.4%, CHD 0.4%, obesity 16.8%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
	Uncertai	Feb inty in potential benefits a	uxostat and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Davoodi et al; ¹⁷⁹ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe	Mean age 57.7 ± 8.4, male 59%, hypertension	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical	Mortality: No information







	COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to febuxostat 80 mg per day and 30 assigned to HCQ	NR%, diabetes 27.8%, chronic lung disease 1.9%		ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
					Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Fina inty in potential benefits a	esteride and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•			•	
Zarehoseinzade et al; ¹⁸⁰ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to finasteride 5 mg a day for 7 days and 40 assigned to SOC	Mean age 72 ± 14, male 100%, hypertension 66.3%, diabetes 25%, COPD 12.5%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation and blinding probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or







					<pre>improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information Hospitalization: No information</pre>
Fluvoxa	mine probably reduces l		DXamine not increase severe adver	se events. Further researc	h is needed.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u>Lenze et al</u> ; ¹⁸¹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 80 assigned to fluvoxamine incremental dose to 100 mg three times a day for 15 days and 72 assigned to standard of care	Median age 45.5 ± 20.5, male 28.2%, hypertension 19.7%, diabetes 11%, asthma 17.1%, obesity 56.6%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom
TOGHETER- Fluvoxamine trial; ¹⁸² Reis et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 741 assigned to Fluvoxamine 100mg a day for 10 days and 756 assigned	Median age 50 ± 18, male 42.5%, hypertension 13.2%, diabetes 16.5%, COPD 0.6%, asthma 1.9%, CHD 1.1%, CKD 0.3%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes:	resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis





	to SOC	obesity 0.2%			studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.81 (95%CI 0.54 to) 1.22; RD -1.9% (95%CI -4.7% to) 2.2%; Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.58 to) 1.02; RD -1.1% (95%CI -2% to 0.1%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
	Uncertai	Fosta inty in potential benefits a	matinib and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Strich et al; ¹⁸³ peer- reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to fostamatinib 300 mg a day for 14 days and 29 assigned to SOC	Mean age 55.6 ± 13.7, male 79.7%, hypertension 54.2%, diabetes 37.3%, asthma 11.9%, CHD 13.6%, obesity 57.6%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 100%, convalescent plasma 42.4%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis



	GB0139 nty in potential benefits a Comorbidities	Additional	nrch is needed. Risk of bias and study	
	Comorbidities		Risk of bias and study	
		interventions	limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
DVID-19 infection. assigned to GB0139 haled) and 21	hypertension 39%, diabetes 17%, asthma 14.6%, CHD 24.4%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
DV as ha	/ID-19 infection. ssigned to GB0139 aled) and 21	VID-19 infection.hypertension 39%,asigned to GB0139diabetes 17%, asthmaaled) and 2114.6%, CHD 24.4%,	VID-19 infection.hypertension 39%,asigned to GB0139diabetes 17%, asthmaaled) and 2114.6%, CHD 24.4%,	Allowed Problemhypertension 39%, diabetes 17%, asthma aled) and 21mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably





Helium (inhaled) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT			•	•			
Shogenova et al; ¹⁸⁵ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 38 assigned to helium 50% to 79% mixed with oxygen and 32 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53.5 ± 16, male 51.4%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information		
Hesperidiı	n may not improve symp		peridin the certainty of the evide	nce was low. Further resea	arch is needed.		
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT							



	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 104 assigned to hesperidin 1000 mg once a day and 107 assigned to SOC	Mean age 41 ± 12.1, male 44.9%, hypertension 10.6%, diabetes 3.2%, COPD 0.9%, asthma 13.5%, CHD 0%, cerebrovascular disease 0%,	NR dsorption	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus ()Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus ()Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 0.87 (95%CI 0.57 to 1.34); RD -7.9% (95%CI -26.1% to 20.6%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ ()Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	Uncertai	inty in potential benefits a	nu narms. Further resea	i ch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>CYTOCOV-19</u> <u>trial;</u> ¹⁸⁷ Jarczak et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to hemadsorption and 12	Mean age 64.5 , male 75%, hypertension 66.6%, diabetes 33.3%, CHD 4%, CKD 25%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical





		Iydroxychloroqu			ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
			o COVID-19, it may rec	antly improves time to sym duce the risk of infection. H ecision. Risk of bias and study limitations	
RCT					
<u>CloroCOVID19</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹⁸⁸ Borba et al; peer-reviewed; 2020		Mean age 51.1 \pm 13.9, male 75.3%, hypertension 45.5%, diabetes 25.5%, chronic lung disease NR%, asthma 7.4%, coronary heart disease 17.9%, chronic kidney disease 7.4%, alcohol use disorder 27.5%, HIV 1.8%, tuberculosis 3.6%,	Azithromycin 100%, oseltamivir 89.7%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.98 to 1.17); RD 1.1% (95%CI - 0.3% to 2.7%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.93 to 1.24); DD 1.2% (95%CI
Huang et al; ¹⁸⁹ peer-	Patients with	Mean age 44 ± 21 , male	NR	High for mortality and	RD 1.2% (95%CI - 1.2% to 4.2%); Moderate certainty





reviewed; 2020	moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to chloroquine 500 mg twice a day for 10 days and 12 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 400/100 mg twice a day for 10 days	59.1%		invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	⊕⊕⊕○ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.93 to 1.1); RD 0.6% (95%CI -4.2% to 6.1%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
RECOVERY - Hydroxychloroquin e trial; ¹⁹⁰ Horby et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with Mild to critical COVID-19 infection. 1561 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once followed by 400 mg twice a day for 9 days and 3155 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 65.3 ± 15.3, male %, diabetes 26.9%, chronic lung disease 21.9%, asthma NR%, coronary heart disease 25.4%, chronic kidney disease 7.8%, HIV 0.4%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): RR 0.87 (95%CI 0.65 to 1.15); RD -2.2% (95%CI - 6.1% to 2.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Severe Adverse events: RR 0.94 (95%CI 0.66 to 1.34);
BCN PEP CoV-2 trial; ¹⁹¹ Mitja et al; preprint; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 1116 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once followed by 400 mg x once a day for 6 days and 1198 assigned to standard of care		NR	Some concerns for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results. Significant number of patients excluded from analysis.	(95%C1 0.66 to 1.34); RD -0.6% (95%CI - 3.5% to 3.5%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖○ Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
COVID-19 PEP trial; ¹⁹² Boulware et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 414 assigned to hydroxychloroquine	Median age 40 ± 6.5, male 48.4%, hypertension 12.1%, diabetes 3.4%, asthma	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution,	

[1	1	[1	
	800 mg once followed by 600 mg daily for a total course of 5 days and 407 assigned to standard of care	7.6%, comorbidities 27.4%		infection, and adverse events Notes: Significant loss of information that might have affected the study's results.	
<u>Cavalcanti et al</u> <u>trial;¹⁹³</u> Cavalcanti et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 159 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice a day for 7 days, 172 assigned to HCQ + AZT and 173 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 50.3 \pm 14.6, male 58.3%, hypertension 38.8%, diabetes 19.1%, chronic lung disease 1.8%, asthma 16%, coronary heart disease 0.8%, chronic kidney disease 1.8%, cancer 2.9%, obesity 15.5%	Corticosteroids 1.5%, ACE inhibitors 1.2%, ARBs 17.4%, NSAID 4.4%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Kamran SM et al</u> <u>trial</u> ; ¹⁹⁴ Kamran et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 349 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice a day once then 200 mg twice a day for 4 days and 151 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 36 ± 11.2, male 93.2%, diabetes 3%, comorbidities 7.6%	NR	High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>COVID-19 PET</u> <u>trial</u> , ¹⁹⁵ Skipper et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 212 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 1400 mg once followed by 600 mg once a day for 5 days and 211 assigned to standard of care	Median age 40 ± 9, male 44%, hypertension 11%, diabetes 4%, chronic lung disease %, asthma 11%,	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
BCN PEP CoV-2 trial, ¹⁹⁶ Mitja et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 136 assigned to hydroxychloroquine	Mean age 41.6 ± 12.6, male 49%, comorbidities 53.2%	NR	High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	





	800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 6 days and 157 assigned to standard of care			Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Tang et al</u> ; peer- reviewed; ¹⁹⁷ 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 75 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 1200 mg daily for three days followed by 800 mg daily to complete 7 days and 75 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 46.1 ± 14.7, male 54.7%, hypertension 6%, diabetes 14%, other comorbidities 31%	Corticosteroids 7%, lopinavir-ritonavir 17%, umifenovir 47%, oseltamivir 11%, entecavir 1%, ATB 39%, ribavirin 47%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcome results.
<u>Chen et al;</u> ¹⁹⁸ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 31 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 200 mg twice a day for 5 days and 31 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 44 ± 15.3, male 46.8%,	ATB 100%, IVIG 100%, antivirals 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Chen et al</u> ; ¹⁹⁹ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 18 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 200 mg twice a day for 10 days, 18 assigned to chloroquine and 12 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 47.4 ± 14.46, male 45.8%, hypertension 16.7%, diabetes 18.7%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Chen et al</u> ; ²⁰⁰ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19	Mean age 32.9 ± 10.7, male 57.6%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical



161

	infection. 21 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice on day one followed by 200 mg twice a day for 6 days and 12 assigned to standard of care			ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>HC-nCoV trial</u> ; ²⁰¹ Jun et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 15 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg once a day for 5 days and 15 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 48.6 ± 3.7, male 0.7%, hypertension 26.6%, diabetes 6.6%, chronic lung disease 3.3%	Lopinavir-ritonavir 6.6%, umifenovir 73.3%, IFN 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Abd-Elsalam et al</u> ; ²⁰² peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 97 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice on day one followed by 200 mg tablets twice daily for 15 days and 97 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 40.7 ± 19.3, male 58.8%, chronic kidney disease 3.1%, obesity 61.9%, comorbidities 14.3%, liver disease 1%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>COVID-19 PREP</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²⁰³ Rajasingham et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 989 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice in one day followed by 400 mg once weekly for 12 weeks or 400 mg twice weekly for 12 weeks and 494 assigned to standard of care	Median age 41 ± 15, male 49%, hypertension 14%, asthma 10%	NR	Low for infection, and adverse events	



				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u>TEACH trial</u> ; ²⁰⁴ Ulrich et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 67 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 800 mg on day 1 followed by 200 mg twice a day for 2 to 5 days and 61 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 66 ± 16.2, male 59.4%, hypertension 57.8%, diabetes 32%, chronic lung disease 7%, asthma 15.6%, coronary heart disease 26.6%, chronic kidney disease 7.8%, cerebrovascular disease 6.2%	Corticosteroids 10.2%, remdesivir 0.8%, lopinavir-ritonavir 0.8%, azithromycin 23.4%, convalescent plasma 13.3%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>PrEP_COVID</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²⁰⁵ Grau-Pujol et al; preprint; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 142 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg daily for four days followed by 400 mg weekly for 6 months and 127 assigned to standard of care	Median age 39 ± 20, male 26.8%, hypertension 1.8%, diabetes 0.4%, chronic lung disease 2.6%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>PATCH trial</u> ; ²⁰⁶ Abella et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 64 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 600 mg a day for 8 weeks and 61 assigned to standard of care	Median age 33 ± 46, male 31%, hypertension 21%, diabetes 3%, asthma 17%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
WHO SOLIDARITY trial; ²⁰⁷ Pan et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 947 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once followed by 200 mg twice a day for 10 days and 906 assigned to standard of care	Age < 70 years 61%, male 62%, diabetes 25%, COPD 6%, asthma 5%, coronary heart disease 21%, chronic kidney disease %	Corticosteroids 15.1%, convalescent plasma 0.5%, Anti IL6 2.1%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Davoodi et al</u> ; ¹⁷⁹ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe	Mean age 57.7 ± 8.4, male 59%, hypertension	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical	





	COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to febuxostat 80 mg per day and 30 assigned to hydroxychloroquine	NR%, diabetes 27.8%, chronic lung disease 1.9%		ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
COVID-19 PEP (University of Washington) trial; Barnabas et al; ²⁰⁸ Abstract; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 381 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 400 mg for three days followed by 200 mg for 11 days and 400 assigned to standard of care	Median age 39 ± 24, male 40%	NR	Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>PETAL trial</u> ; ²⁰⁹ Self et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 242 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 800 mg on day 1 followed for 200 mg twice a day for 5 days and 237 assigned to standard of care	Median age 58.5 ± 24.5, male 56%, hypertension 52.8%, diabetes 34.6%, COPD 8.1%, asthma %, coronary heart disease %, chronic kidney disease 8.8%,	Corticosteroids 18.4%, remdesivir 21.7%, azithromycin 19%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>HAHPS trial;²¹⁰</u> Brown et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 42 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once followed by 200 mg twice a day for 5 days and 43 assigned to azithromycin	Median age 55 ± 23, male 61%, diabetes 26%, coronary heart disease 11%, chronic kidney disease 9%, cerebrovascular disease 8%, cancer 2%	Corticosteroids 15%, remdesivir 11%, lopinavir-ritonavir 1%, tocilizumab 24%, convalescent plasma 24%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Co-interventions were not balanced between study arms	
HYCOVID trial; ²¹¹ Dubee et al; peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 124 assigned to	Median age 77 ± 28, male 48.4%, hypertension 53.4%,	Corticosteroids 9.6%, lopinavir-ritonavir 1.2%, azithromycin	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom	





			•		
	hydroxychloroquine 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 8 days and 123 assigned to standard of care	diabetes 17.3%, COPD 11.2%, cerebrovascular disease 17.3%, obesity 27.7%	8.4%	resolution, infection, and adverse events	
Q-PROTECT trial; ²¹² Omrani et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 152 assigned to hydroxychloroquine 600 mg daily for 7 days and 152 assigned to hydroxychloroquine + azithromycin	Mean age 41 ± 16, male 98.4%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
Dabbous et al; ²¹³ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 44 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by 600 mg twice a day for 10 days and 48 assigned to CQ	Mean age 35.5 ± 16.8, male 48.9%, comorbidities 18.4%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>HYDRA trial</u> ; ²¹⁴ Hernandez- Cardenas et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 106 assigned to HCQ 400 mg a day for 10 days and 108 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.6 ± 12, male 75%, hypertension 16%, diabetes 47%, CHD 11%, CKD 0%, obesity 66%	Corticosteroids 52.4%, lopinavir-ritonavir 30.4%, tocilizumab 2.5%, azithromycin 24.5%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>COVID-19 Early</u> <u>Treatment trial</u> , ²¹⁵ Johnston et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 60 assigned to HCQ 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 10 days, 65 assigned to HCQ + AZT 500 mg once followed by 250 mg a day for 5 days and 65 assigned to SOC	Median age 37 ±, male 43.3%, hypertension 20.9%, diabetes 11.6%, COPD 9.3%, asthma 1.6%, immunosuppressive therapy 0.8%, obesity 76%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	



	1	1	1	1	
<u>Purwati et al</u> ; ²¹⁶ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 128 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 500/100 a day, 123 assigned to HCQ 200 mg a day and 119 to SOC	Median age 36.5 ± NR, male 95.3%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Beltran et al</u> ; ²¹⁷ Preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 33 assigned to HCQ 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 5 days and 37 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54 ± 23.5, male 46.8%, hypertension 19.1%, diabetes 9.6%, COPD 1%, CHD 7.4%, cerebrovascular disease 5.3%	Corticosteroids 9.6%, lopinavir-ritonavir 44.7%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>PATCH 1 trial</u> ; ²¹⁸ Amaravadi et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 17 assigned to HCQ 400 mg a day and 17 assigned to SOC	Median age 53 ± 37, male 26%, hypertension 18%, diabetes 9%, , asthma 12%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Bermejo Galan et</u> <u>al</u> ; ²¹⁹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 53 assigned to ivermectin 42 mg and 115 assigned to HCQ or CQ	Mean age 53.4 ± 15.6, male 58.2%, hypertension 43.4%, diabetes 28.1%, COPD 5.3%, CKD 2.5%, cancer 3%, obesity 37.5%	Corticosteroids 98%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Seet et al</u> ; ²²⁰ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 432 assigned to HCQ 400 mg once followed	Mean age 33, male 100%, hypertension 1%, diabetes 0.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection,	





	by 200 mg a day for 42 days and 619 assigned to SOC (vitamin C)			and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
TOGETHER trial; ²²¹ Reis et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 214 assigned to HCQ 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 9 days and 227 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53, male 45%, hypertension 49.3%, diabetes 19.4%, COPD 2.5%, asthma 8.6%, CHD 3.9%, CKD 0.7%, cancer 1.2%, obesity 34.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
CLOROTRIAL <u>trial</u> ; ²²² Réa-Neto et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 53 assigned to HCQ 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 5 days and 52 assigned to SOC	Median age 53 ±, male 66.7%, hypertension 38.1%, diabetes 25.7%, COPD 8.6%, immunosuppressive therapy 5.7%	Corticosteroids 72.4%, azithromycin 89.5%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>CHEER trial</u> ; ²²³ Syed et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Health care workers exposed to COVID-19 infection. 154 assigned to HCQ 200-400 mg once a week to three weeks and 46 assigned to SOC	• •	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
ProPAC-COVID trial; ²²⁴ Sivapalan et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 61 assigned to HCQ + AZT 400 mg plus 500 to 250 mg a day and 56 assigned to SOC	Median age 65 ± 25, male 56%, hypertension 38%, diabetes 24%, COPD 9%, asthma 22%, CHD 7%, CKD 7%	Corticosteroids 32%, remdesivir 25%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	





[1	1	1		
HONEST trial; ²²⁵ Byakika-Kibwika et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 55 assigned to HCQ 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 5 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Median age 32 ± 27, male 72%, hypertension 2.8%, diabetes 2.8%, COPD %, CHD 0.9%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>ALBERTA HOPE-</u> <u>Covid19 trial</u> ; ²²⁶ Schwartz et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 111 assigned to HCQ 800 mg once followed by 400 mg for 5 days and 37 assigned to SOC	Mean age 46.8 ± 11.2, male 55.4%, hypertension 27.8%, diabetes 19.6%, asthma 13.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
HERO-HCQ trial ₃ ; ²²⁷ Naggie et al ; preprint ; 2021	Patients with exposed to COVID-19 infection. 683 assigned to HCQ 1200 mg once followed by 400 mg daily for 29 days and 676 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43.6 ± , male 44.7%, hypertension 14.6%, diabetes 4%, COPD 0.2%, asthma 9.9%, CHD 0.8%, obesity 33.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
Rodrigues et al; ²²⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 42 assigned to HCQ + azithromycin 400/500 mg a day for 7 days and 42 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36.5 ± 9.6, male 40.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Babalola et al;</u> ²²⁹ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 31 assigned to HCQ + AZT 200/500 mg a day for 3 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 40.4 ± 1.9, male 63%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of	





168

www.paho.org/coronavirus

				allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>19 trial</u> ; ¹⁷³ Atipornwanich et	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 320 assigned to favipiravir 6000 mg once followed by 2400 mg a day + lopinavir ritonavir 800/200 mg or lopinavir ritonavir 800/200 mg a day or HCQ 800mg a day or Darunavir ritonavir 1200/200 mg a day + HCQ 400mg a day or favipiravil 6000mg followed by 2400mg + Darunavir ritonavir 1200/200 mg a day + HCQ 400mg a day for 7 to 14 days.	Mean age 42 ± 15.7, male 47.8%, obesity 24.6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 37 assigned to Hydroxychloroquine 400 mg twice on first day followed by 400 mg per oral daily for 10 days + Ribavirin (1.2 g orally as a loading dose followed by 600mg orally every 12 hours) for 10 days and 40 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.1, male 75%, hypertension 32.7%, diabetes 27.7%, COPD 7.9%, asthma %, CHD 11.9%, cancer 1%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>Ahmad et al</u> ; ²³¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 100 assigned to HCQ 800 once followed by 400 mg a day for 5 days or	Mean age 37.6, male 95.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization

WHIP COVID-19 trial; ²³² McKinnon et al; peer reviewed; 2021	chloroquine 500 mg a day for 7 days and 50 assigned to SOC Patients with exposed COVID-19 infection. 398 assigned to HCQ 400 mg a week or 400 mg once followed by 200 mg a day and 200 assigned to SOC Patients with exposed	Mean age 44.9 ± 11.9, male 42% Mean age 31.1, male	NR	Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
Rojas-Serrano et al; peer reviewed; 2021	COVID-19 infection. 62 assigned to HCQ 200 mg a day for 60 days and 65 assigned to SOC	42.5%, obesity 18.5%		mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
	Uncertai	Hyperb inty in potential benefits a	aric oxygen and harms. Further rese	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
	interventions	Comorbidities			vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the
status	interventions	Median age 65.4 ± 7.8, male 60%, hypertension 72%, diabetes 60%, COPD %, asthma 8%,			vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the





	duration each) and 20 assigned to SOC	cancer 5%, obesity 35%		Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. The study was stopped early for benefit.	infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
H		ti-COVID-19 in inty in potential benefits a		Inoglobulin (C-IN arch is needed.	/IG)
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Ali et al</u> ; ²³⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to C-IVIG 0.15- 0.3 g/kg once and 10 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56.5 ± 13.1, male 70%, hypertension 52%, diabetes 36%, COPD 10%, CHD 8%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 94%, tocilizumab 6%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty
Parikh et al; ²³⁷ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to C-IVIG 30ml twice and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52 ± 10.1, male 73.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	 ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty







ITAC trial: Polizzotto et al; ²³⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 295 assigned to C- IVIG 400 mg/kg and 284 assigned to SOC	Mean age 59 ± 21, male 57%, hypertension 43%, diabetes 28%, COPD 7%, asthma 10%, CHD 5%, CKD 7%, immunosuppression 5%	Corticosteroids 56%; Vaccinated 2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	⊕ ○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Icatibal inty in potential benefits a	nt / iC1e/K and harms. Further rese	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				•	
<u>Mansour et al</u> ; ²³⁹ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to icatibant 30 mg every 8 hours for 4 days, and 10 assigned to iC1e/K	Mean age 51.6 ± 11.5, male 53.3%, hypertension 50%, diabetes 46.7%, asthma 3.3%, obesity 43.3%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationonAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Icosap inty in potential benefits a	ent ethyl and harms. Further rese	arch is needed.	



172

Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
VASCEPA COVID-19 CARDIOLINK-9 trial; ²⁴⁰ kosmopoulos et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 46 assigned to icosapent ethyl 8 g a day for three days followed 4 g a day for 11 days and 49 assigned to SOC	NR	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	I] inty in potential benefits a	F X-1 and harms. Further resea	arch is needed	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Vlaar et al;</u> ²⁴¹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 15 assigned to IFX-1	Mean age 60 ± 9, male 73%, hypertension 30%, diabetes 27%, obesity	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○



	800 mg IV with a maximum of seven doses and 15 assigned to standard of care	20%	atinib nd harms. Further resea	symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
COUNTER- COVID trial; ²⁴² Aman et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 197 assigned to imatinib 800 mg once followed by 400 mg a day for 10 days and 188 assigned to SOC	Median age 64 ± 17, male 69%, hypertension 37.6%, diabetes 25%, COPD 18.4%, asthma 18%, CHD 22%, obesity 38%	Corticosteroids 72%, remdesivir 21%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$





					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 1.05 (95%Cl 0.84 to 1.32); RD 0.5% (95%Cl -1.6% to 3.3%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Indor inty in potential benefits a	nethacin nd harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Ravichandran et al; ²⁴³ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 102 assigned to indomethacin 75 mg a day and 108 assigned to SOC	Mean age 47 ± 16, male 56.2%, hypertension 19%, diabetes 29%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information



					Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
					Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta		liximab and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				•	
CATALYST trial; ²⁴⁴ Fisher et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 29 assigned to infliximab and 34 assigned to SOC	Median age 64.5 ± 20, male 61.8%	Corticosteroids 94.3%, remdesivir 61.8%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanica ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information

INM005 may not improve symptom resolution and may not increase severe adverse events. Further research is needed.





Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Lopardo et al; ²⁴⁵ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 118 assigned to INM005 4 mg/kg in two doses on days 1 and 3 and 123 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53.8 ± 12.5, male 65.1%, comorbidities 80%	Corticosteroids 57.2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.06 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.66); RD 3.6% (95%CI -2.4% to 10.3%); Low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.66 (95%CI 0.37 to 1.18); RD -3.5% (95%CI -6.4% to 1.8%); Low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: No information
		erferon alpha-2b inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE



					certainty of the evidence
RCT					·
ESPERANZA trial; ²⁴⁶ Esquivel- Moynelo et al; preprint; 2020	moderate COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to interferon alpha-2b	Median age 38 ± 63, male 54%, hypertension 22.2%, diabetes 4.7%, asthma 6.3%, coronary heart disease 6.3%, any comorbidities 50.8%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%, antibiotics 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
FN beta-1a probabl	y does not reduce morta	lity nor invasive mechani	con beta-1a cal ventilation requirem om resolution.	ents. Inhaled interferon be	eta-1a may improve tin
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence


Davoudi-Monfared et al; ²⁴⁷ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 42 assigned to interferon beta-1a 44 µg subcutaneous, three times a week and 39 assigned to standard of care	asthma 1.2%, coronary	Corticosteroids 53%, hydroxychloroquine 97.5%, azithromycin 14.8%, ATB 81%, immunoglobulin 30.8%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: RR 0.98 (95%Cl 0.74 to 1.29); RD -0.3% (95%Cl -4.2% to 4.6%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.97 (95%Cl 0.83 to 1.14); RD 0.5%
WHO <u>SOLIDARITY</u> ; ²⁰⁷ Pan et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 2050 assigned to interferon beta-1a three doses over six days of 44 µg and 2050 assigned to standard of care	Age < 70 years 61%, male 62%, hypertension %, diabetes 25%, COPD 6%, asthma 5%, coronary heart disease 21%,	Corticosteroids 15.1%, convalescent plasma 0.5%, Anti IL6 2.1%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	1.14); RD -0.5% (95%Cl -2.9% to 2.4%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 0.96 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.99); RD -2.6% (95%CI -4.8% to - 3.2%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc$
COVIFERON <u>trial</u> ; ²⁴⁸ Darazam et al; Preprint; 2020	critical COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to interferon beta-1a	Mean age 69 ± 27, male 51.7%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 23.3%, CHD 16.3%, CKD 8.3%, cancer 1.7%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.85 to 1.24); RD 0.3% (95%CI -1.5% to 2.4%); Moderate
<u>Darazam et al</u> ; ²⁴⁹ Preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 85 assigned to interferon beta-1a 88 micrograms on days 1, 3 and 6 and 83 assigned to	Mean age 59.8 ± 16.5, male 61.9%, hypertension 37.3%, diabetes 26.8%, COPD 1.2%, asthma 1.8%, CHD 18.7%, CKD	Corticosteroids 1.1%, lopinavir-ritonavir 100%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖ Hospitalization: No information





		8.3%, cerebrovascular disease 5.4%, cancer 0.6%		Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>ACTT-3 trial</u> , ²⁵⁰ Kalil et al; peer reviewed; 2021	moderate to severe	Mean age 58.7 ± 15.9, male 58%, hypertension 58%, diabetes 37%, COPD 11%, asthma 13%, CKD 12%, obesity 58%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
INTEREST trial; ²⁵¹ Ranieri et al; peer reviewed; 2021		Mean age 58, male 65.8%,	Corticosteroids 35.1%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events



Monk P et al; ²⁵² et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19. 48 assigned to interferon beta-1a nebulized once a day for 15 days and 50 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 57.1 ± 13.2, male 59.2%, hypertension 54.7%, diabetes 22.6%, COPD 44.2%, asthma %, coronary heart disease 24.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: HR 2.19 (95%Cl 1.03 to 4.69); RD 26.4% (95%Cl 1.1% to 38.1%); Low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Interfer inty in potential benefits a	on beta-1b	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Rahmani et al</u> ; ²⁵³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 33 assigned to interferon beta-1b 250 mcg subcutaneously every	Median age 60 ± 10.5, male 59%, hypertension 40.9%, diabetes 31.8%, chronic lung disease 4.5%, asthma NR%,	Corticosteroids 21.2%, ATB 51.5%, antivirals 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very





181

	other day for two consecutive weeks and 33 assigned to standard of care	coronary heart disease 30.3%, chronic kidney disease NR%, cerebrovascular disease NR%, immunosuppression NR%, cancer 3%, obesity NR%		events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
COVIFERON <u>trial</u> ; ²⁴⁹ Darazam et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to interferon beta-1a 44 micrograms on days 1, 3 and 6, 20 assigned to interferon beta-1b 0.25 mg on days 1, 3 and 6 and 20 assigned to SOC	Mean age 69 ± 27, male 51.7%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 23.3%, CHD 16.3%, CKD 8.3%, cancer 1.7%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Interfer inty in potential benefits a	On gamma and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				•	
<u>Myasnikov et al</u> ; ²⁵⁴ Peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 18 assigned to interferon gamma 500000 IU a day for 5 days and 18 assigned to	Mean age 63 ± 12, male 44%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization



					(prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Interferon k inty in potential benefits	appa plus TFF2 and harms. Further res	2 earch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•		•	-	
Fu et al; ²⁵⁵ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19. 40 assigned to interferon kappa plus TFF2 5 mg/2 mg once a day for six days and 40 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 35.2 ± 11.2, male 63.7%, hypertension 5%, diabetes 3.7%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information





Iota-carrageenan Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT							
IVERCAR-TUC trial; ²⁵⁶ Chahla et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 117 assigned to ivermectin + iota-carrageenan 12 mg a week + 6 sprays a day for 4 weeks and 117 assigned to SOC	Median age 38 ± 12.5, male 42.7%, hypertension 9%, diabetes, 7.3%, CKD 2.1%, obesity 11.9%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information		
<u>CARR-COV-02</u> <u>trial;²⁵⁷ Figueroa et</u> al; preprint; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 196 assigned to Iota- carrageenan 1 puff four times a day for 21 days and 198 assigned	Mean age 38.6 ± 9.6, male 24.8%, hypertension 4.8%, diabetes 0.2%, COPD 3.3%, cancer 0%, obesity 5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$		

carrageenan 1 puff	diabetes 0.2%, COPD	resolution, infection,	(prophylaxis
5	1 3.3%, cancer 0%, obesity	and adverse events	studies): Very low
days and 198 assigned	5%		certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
to SOC		Notes: Non-blinded	
		study which might have	Adverse events:
		introduced bias to	Very low certainty
		symptoms and adverse	⊕ 000 Í
		events outcomes results.	
			Hospitalization:
			Very low certainty
			⊕000



	Itolizumab Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.					
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence	
RCT				·		
ITOLI-C19-02-I-00 trial; ²⁵⁸ Kumar et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 20 assigned to itolizumab 1.6 mg/kg once followed by 0.8 mg/kg weekly and 10 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 49 ± 13, male 86.6%, hypertension 20%,	Nr	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information	





Ivermectin Ivermectin probably does not improve time to symptom resolution and may not have an important effect on hospitalizations. It is uncertain if it affects mortality, mechanical ventilation requirements, symptomatic infection as prophylaxis or severe adverse events.						
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence	
RCT						
Zagazig University <u>trial</u> ; ²⁵⁹ Shouman et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 203 assigned to ivermectin 15 to 24 mg and 101 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 38.72 ± 15.94, male 51.3%, hypertension 10.2%, diabetes 8.1%, CKD 1%, asthma 2.7%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.81 (95%CI 0.5 to 1.33); RD -3% (95%CI -8% to 5.2%); Very Low certainty ⊕○○○	
				Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.9 (95%CI 0.57 to 1.42); RD -1.7% (95%CI - 7.4% to 7.3%); Very	
<u>Chowdhury et al</u> , ²⁶⁰ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 60 assigned to ivermectin plus doxycycline 200 µgm/kg single dose + 100 mg BID for 10days and 56 assigned to hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin	Mean age 33.9 ± 14.1, male 72.4%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.1); RD 1.8% (95%CI -2.4% to 6.1%); Moderate certainty \oplus \oplus \bigcirc	
Podder et al; ²⁶¹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 32 assigned to ivermectin 200 µgm/kg once and 30 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 39.16 ± 12.07, male 71%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): RR 0.22 (95%CI 0.09 to 0.53); RD -13.6% (95%CI - 15.8% to -8.2%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○	



				inappropriate.	Adverse events: RR 1.63 (95%CI 0.62 to
<u>Hashim et a</u> l; ²⁶² preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19. 70 assigned to ivermectin plus doxycycline 200 µgm/kg two or three doses + 100 mg twice a day for 5 to 10 days and 70 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 48.7 ± 8.6, male %	Corticosteroids 100%, azithromycin 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	4.32); RD 6.4% (95%CI -3.9% to 33.8%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: RR 0.67 (95%CI 0.39 to 1.14); RD -1.6% (95%CI -2.9% to 0.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
<u>Mahmud et al</u> ; ²⁶³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 183 assigned to ivermectin plus doxycycline 12 mg once + 100 mg twice a day for 5 days and 180 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 39.6 ± 13.2, male 58.8%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events. Notes: 8% of patients were lost to follow-up.	
Elgazzar et al (mild); ²⁶⁴ preprint (now retracted); 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 100 assigned to ivermectin 400 µgm/kg once for 4 days and 100 assigned to hydroxychloroquine	Mean age 55.2 ± 19.8, male 69.5%, hypertension 11.5%, diabetes 14.5%, COPD %, asthma 5.5%, coronary heart disease 4%, chronic kidney disease %	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
Elgazzar et al (severe); ²⁶⁴ preprint (now retracted); 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 100 assigned to ivermectin 400 µgm/kg once for 4 days and 100 assigned to hydroxychloroquine	Mean age 58.9 ± 19.5, male 71%, hypertension 16%, diabetes 20%, COPD %, asthma 13%, coronary heart disease 7.5%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	





Elgazzar et al (prophylaxis); ²⁶⁴ preprint (now retracted); 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 100 assigned to ivermectin 400 µgm/kg twice (second dose after one week) and 100 assigned to standard of care	NR	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Krolewiecki et al</u> ; ²⁶⁵ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 20 assigned to ivermectin 0.6 mg/kg for 5 days and 12 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 40.2 ± 12, male 55.5%, hypertension 13.3%, diabetes 15.5%, COPD 11.1%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Niaee et al</u> ; ²⁶⁶ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19. 120 assigned to ivermectin 200-800 microg/kg and 60 assigned to standard of care	Median age 67 ± 22, male 50%	NR	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation possibly inappropriate.	
<u>Ahmed et al</u> ; ²⁶⁷ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 55 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg a day for 5 days +/- doxycycline and 23 assigned to standard of care		NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	



Г				1	
<u>SAINT trial</u> ; ²⁶⁸ Chaccour et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients mild (early within 3 days of onset) COVID-19. 12 assigned to ivermectin 400 microg/kg and 12 assigned to SOC	Median age 26 ± 36, male 50%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Cachar et al</u> ; ²⁶⁹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 25 assigned to ivermectin 36 mg once and 25 assigned to SOC	Mean age 40.6 ± 17, male 62%, hypertension 26%, diabetes 40%, obesity 12%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Babalola et al</u> ; ²⁷⁰ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 42 assigned to ivermectin 12 to 24 mg a week for 2 weeks and 20 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir	Mean age 44.1 ± 14.7, male 69.4%, hypertension 14.5%, diabetes 3.2%,	Corticosteroids 3.2%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Kirti et al</u> ; ²⁷¹ Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 55 assigned to ivermectin 24 mg divided in two doses and 57 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52.5 ± 14.7, male 72.3%, hypertension 34.8%, diabetes 35.7%, COPD 0.9%, asthma 0.9%, CHD 8.9%, CKD 2.7%, cerebrovascular disease 0%, cancer 5.4%, obesity %	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 20.5%, hydroxychloroquine 100%, tocilizumab 6.3%, convalescent plasma 13.4%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>IVERCAR-TUC</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²⁵⁶ Chahla et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19. 117 assigned to ivermectin + iota-carrageenan 12 mg a week + 6 sprays a day for 4 weeks and 117 assigned to SOC	Median age 38 ± 12.5, male 42.7%, hypertension 9%, diabetes, 7.3%, CKD 2.1%, obesity 11.9%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably	





	1		1	1	
				inappropriate.	
<u>Mohan et al</u> ; ²⁷² preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 80 assigned to ivermectin 12 to 24 mg once and 45 assigned to SOC	Mean age 35.3 ± 10.4, male 88.8%, hypertension 11.2%, diabetes 8.8%, CHD 0.8%,	Corticosteroids 14.4%, remdesivir 1.6%, hydroxychloroquine 4%, azithromycin 11.2%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Shahbaznejad et</u> <u>al</u> ; ²⁷³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 35 assigned to ivermectin 0.2 mg/kg once and 34 assigned to SOC	Mean age 46.4 ± 22.5, male 50.7%	Chloroquine 75.4%, lopinavir-ritonavir 79.7%, azithromycin 57.9%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Spoorthi et al</u> ; ²⁷⁴ Unpublished; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 assigned to ivermectin 0.2 mg/kg once or SOC	NR	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. RoB assessment from secondary sources as publication not available.	
<u>Samaha et al</u> ; ²⁷⁵ peer-reviewed (now retracted); 2020	Patients with mild (asymptomatic) COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to ivermectin 9 to 12 mg or 150 µg/kg once and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 31.6 ± 7.7, male 50%, hypertension 8%, diabetes 6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Randomization process and concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	







Bukhari et al; ²⁷⁶ Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 45 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg once and 41 assigned to SOC	NR	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Okumus et al</u> ; ²⁷⁷ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19. 30 assigned to ivermectin 0.2 mg/kg for 5 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62 ± 12, male 66%, hypertension 21.6%, diabetes 45%, COPD 1.6%, CHD 1.6%, cancer 1.6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Beltran et al</u> ; ²¹⁷ Preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 36 assigned to ivermectin 12-18 mg once and 37 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54 ± 23.5, male 46.8%, hypertension 19.1%, diabetes 9.6%, COPD 1%, CHD 7.4%, cerebrovascular disease 5.3%	Corticosteroids 9.6%, lopinavir-ritonavir 44.7%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>Lopez-Medina et</u> al; ²⁷⁸ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 200 assigned to ivermectin 300 µg/kg a day for 5 days and 198 assigned to SOC	Median age 37 ± 19, male 42%, hypertension 13.4%, diabetes 5.5%, COPD 3%, CHD 1.7%, cancer %, obesity 18.9%	Corticosteroids 4.5%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
Bermejo Galan et al; ²¹⁹ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 53 assigned to ivermectin 42 mg and 115 assigned to	Mean age 53.4 ± 15.6, male 58.2%, hypertension 43.4%, diabetes 28.1%, COPD 5.3%, CKD 2.5%, cancer	Corticosteroids 98%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	





		1	1	1
	HCQ or CQ	3%, obesity 37.5%		
<u>Pott-Junior et al</u> ; ²⁷⁹ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 27 assigned to ivermectin 100 to 400 mcg/kg and 4 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.4 ± 14.6, male 45.2%	Corticosteroids 32.3%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Kishoria et al</u> ; ²⁸⁰ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 19 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg and 16 assigned to SOC	Mean age 38, male 66%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Seet et al</u> ; ²²⁰ peer- reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 617 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg once and 619 assigned to SOC (vitamin C)	Mean age 33, male 100%, hypertension 1%, diabetes 0.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Abd-Elsalam et al</u> ; ²⁸¹ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 82 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg a day for 3 days and 82 assigned to SOC	Mean age 40.8 ± 16.5, male 50%, hypertension 19.5%, diabetes 16.4%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events





	1	1	1	1	1
				Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Biber et al</u> ; ²⁸² preprint; 2021	Patients with mild recent onset COVID- 19 infection. 47 assigned to ivermectin 48 to 55 mg administered for three days and 42 assigned to SOC	Mean age 35 ± 19, male 78.4%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: 5.2% of patients lost to follow-up.	
<u>Faisal et al</u> ; ²⁸³ peer- reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg a day for 5 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 46 ± 3, male 80%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Vallejos et al</u> ; ²⁸⁴ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 250 assigned to ivermectin 24-36 mg and 251 assigned to SOC	Mean age 42.5 ± 15.5, male 52.7%, hypertension 23.8%, diabetes 9.6%, COPD 2.8%, asthma 7.2%, CHD 1.8%, cancer 1.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
COVER trial; ²⁸⁵ Buonfrate et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 61 assigned to ivermectin 600 to 1200 µg/kg once a day for 5 days and 32 assigned to SOC	Median age 47 ± 27, male 58.1%, diabetes 9.7%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
					<u> </u>





<u>Manomaipiboon et</u> <u>al</u> ; ²⁸⁶ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 36 assigned to ivermectin 12 mg a day for 5 days and 36 assigned to SOC	Mean age 48.6 ± 14.8, male 37.5%, hypertension 40.3%, diabetes 23.6%, CHD 2.8%, CKD 6.9%, cerebrovascular disease 2.8%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>I-TECH trial</u> ; ²⁸⁷ Chee Loon Lim et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 241 assigned to Ivermectin 6 to 12 mg a day for 5 days and 249 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62.5, male 49.5%, hypertension 82%, diabetes 58.2%, COPD 8.4%, CHD 12.6%, CKD 15.7%, cerebrovascular disease 4.2%, immunosuppressive therapy 0.2%, cancer 3.1%, obesity 26%	Corticosteroids 28.9%, tocilizumab 0.9%, Baricitinib 2.4%; Vaccinated 56.4%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
	Uncorta	Ivermec inty in potential benefits a	tin (inhaled)	reh is peeded	
	Uncerta	inty in potential denemits a	and narms. Further resea	irch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care
					and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					certainty of the





					infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
		Intravenous imm inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Sakoulas et al;</u> ²⁸⁹ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 16 assigned to IVIG 0.5 g/kg/day for 3 days and 17 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 54 ± NR, male 60.6%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 36.3%, chronic lung disease 12%, coronary heart disease 3%, chronic kidney disease 3%, immunosuppression 3%	Corticosteroids 78.7%, remdesivir 51.5%, convalescent plasma 15.2%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: No
<u>Gharebaghi et al</u> ; ²⁹⁰ preprint; 2020	critical COVID-19. 30	Mean age 56 ± 16, male 69.5%, hypertension 22%, diabetes 27.1%, chronic lung disease 3.3%,	NR	Some concerns for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○







<u>Tabarsi et al</u> ; ²⁹¹ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 52 assigned to IVIG 400 mg/Kg daily for three doses and 32 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 53 ± 13, male 77.4%, hypertension 20.2%, diabetes 21.4%, COPD 1.2%, asthma %, coronary heart disease %, chronic kidney disease 4.7%, cancer 1.2%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Hospitalization: No information
<u>Raman et al</u> ; ²⁹² Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 50 assigned to IVIG 0.4 g/kg for 5 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 48.7 ± 12, male 33%, hypertension 31%, obesity 16%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
	Uncerta	KB109 (microb inty in potential benefits a	biome modificate		
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				•	
<u>Haran et al</u> , ²⁹³ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 169 assigned to KB109 9-36 g twice a day for 14 days and 172 assigned to SOC		NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○





	Uncertai	<i>L-a</i> Inty in potential benefits a	<i>rginine</i> Ind harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	Symptomaticinfection(prophylaxisstudies): NoinformationAdverse events:Very low certainty⊕○○○Hospitalization: Noinformation
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Coppola et al; ²⁹⁴ peer reviewed; 2021	COVID-19 infection. 45 assigned to L-	Mean age 61.6, male 81.2%, hypertension 36.7%, diabetes 10%, CHD 14.5%, obesity 10%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 27.8%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No



					information			
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (intranasal) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT					• •			
PROBCO trial; ²⁹⁵ Endam et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild recently diagnosed COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to <i>Lactococcus lactis</i> (intranasal) two nasal irrigations a day and 11 assigned to SOC	Mean age 30.4 ± 9.1, male 30%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information			
	Uncerta	LaC inty in potential benefits :	toferrin and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the			



					evidence
RCT					
<u>Algahtani et al</u> ; ²⁹⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 36 assigned to lactoferrin 200 to 400 mg a day and 18 assigned to SOC	Mean age 48.6, male 60.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No informationInvasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Leflu inty in potential benefits a	inomide and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Hu et al</u> ; ²⁹⁷ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19 infection. 5 assigned to Leflunomide 50 mg every 12 h (three doses) followed by 20	Mean age 52.5 ± 11.5, male 30%, hypertension 60%, chronic lung disease 10%	Umifenovir 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information





Wang et al; ²⁹⁸ peer- reviewed; 2020	mg a day for 10 days and 5 assigned to standard of care Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 24 assigned to Leflunomide 100 mg on the first day followed by 20 mg a day for 8 days and 24 assigned to standard of care	Median age 55.7 ± 21.5, male 50%, hypertension 27.2%, diabetes 4.5%, chronic lung disease 4.5%, coronary heart disease 2.3%, cancer 2.3%	Corticosteroids 34.1%, hydroxychloroquine 56.8%, lopinavir- ritonavir 11.4%, umifenovir 75%, IVIG 20.4%, ATB 63.6%, IFN 100%	Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Lenz inty in potential benefits a	zilumab nd harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
LIVE-AIR trial; ²⁹⁹ Temesgen et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 236 assigned to lenzilumab 1800 mg once and 243 assigned to SOC	Mean age 60.5 ± 13.9, male 64.7%, hypertension 66%, diabetes 53.4%, COPD 7.3%, asthma 10.6%, CHD 13.6%, CKD 14%,	Corticosteroids 93.7%, remdesivir 72.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.72 (95%CI 0.44 to 1.19); RD -4.5% (95%CI - 9% to 3%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.71 (95%CI 0.48 to 1.04); RD -5% (95%CI -9% to 0.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
					Symptom resolution or improvement: No





	1	1	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					information
					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
					Adverse events: RR 0.82 (95%CI 0.62 to 1.07); RD -1.8% (95%CI -3.9% to 0.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖
					Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Lev: inty in potential benefits a	a misole Ind harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT		-		•	
<u>Roostaei et al</u> ; ³⁰⁰ Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 25 assigned to levamisole 150 mg a day for 3 days and 25 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36.6 ± 13.7, male 60%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or
<u>Asgardoon et al</u> ; ³⁰¹ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 185 assigned to levamisole 50 mg a day for 10 days and 180 assigned to SOC	Median age 40 ± 18.75, male 56.1%, hypertension 8.8%, diabetes 9.4%, CHD 1.6%	Hydroxychloroquine 11.2%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded	<pre>improvement: Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No</pre>







				study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Lincertai	Lev inty in potential benefits :	vilimab	arch is needed	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	<u> </u>				
CORONA trial; ³⁰² Lomakin et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 103 assigned to levilimab 364mg once (subcutaneous) and 103 assigned to SOC	Mean age 58.3 ± 11.8, male 52.9%, CHD 15.5%,	Corticosteroids 7.3%, hydroxychloroquine 67.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: Mortality: RR 1.48 (95%CI 1.13 to 1.93); RD 29.1% (95%CI - 7.9% to 56.4%); Low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information



					Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Lina inty in potential benefits a	agliptin and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					<u>.</u>
<u>Abuhasira et al</u> ; ³⁰³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe with diabetes COVID- 19 infection. 32 assigned to linagliptin 5 mg a day and 32 assigned to SOC	Mean age 66.9 ± 13.9, male 59.4%, diabetes 100%,	Corticosteroids 82.8%, remdesivir 50%, convalescent plasma 10.9%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanica ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: No
<u>Covid19DPP4i</u> <u>trial</u> ; ³⁰⁴ Guardado- Mendoza et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to linagliptin 5 mg a day and 35 assigned to SOC	Mean age 58.5, male 63.7%, hypertension %, diabetes 66.6%, CHD 5.8%, CKD 14.5%, cerebrovascular disease 2.9%,	Corticosteroids 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information



Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Guvenmez et al; ⁵⁶ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to lincomycin 600 mg twice a day for 5 days and 12 assigned to azithromycin 500 mg on first day followed by 250 mg a day for 5 days	Mean age 58.7 ± 16, male 70.8%,	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
Lopinavir-ritonavi		ice mortality with modera		itonavir may not be assoc risk of bias and imprecisi	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>LOTUS China</u> <u>trial</u> ; ³⁰⁵ Cao et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 99 assigned	Median age 58 ± 9.5, male 60.3%, Diabetes 11.6%, disease 6.5%,	Corticosteroids 33.7%, remdesivir NR%, IFN 11.1%, ATB 95%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for	Mortality: RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.11); RD 0.2% (95%CI -



	to lopinavir-ritonavir 400/100 mg daily for 14 days and 100 assigned to standard of care	cancer 3%		symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	1.3% to 1.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 1.07 (95%CI 0.98 to 1.17); RD 1.2% (95%CI - 0.3% to 2.9%); High
ELACOI trial; ³⁰⁶ Li et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 200/50 mg twice daily for 7-14 days, 35 assigned to umifenovir and 17 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 49.4 ± 14.7, male 41.7%	Corticosteroids 12.5%, intravenous immunoglobulin 6.3%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊕ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.92 to 1.15); RD 1.8% (95%CI -4.8% to 9%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptomatic infection
<u>RECOVERY -</u> <u>Lopinavir-ritonavir</u> <u>trial</u> ; ³⁰⁷ Horby et al; other; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19 infection. 1616 assigned to lopinavir- ritonavir 400/100 mg twice a day for 10 days and 3424 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 66.2 ± 15.9, male 60.5%, diabetes 27.5%, chronic lung disease 23.5%, coronary heart disease 26%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	(prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Severe Adverse events: RR 0.6 (95%CI 0.37 to 0.98); RD -4.1% (95%CI - 6.5% to -0.2%); Low certainty $\bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
<u>Huang et al</u> ; peer- reviewed; ¹⁸⁹ 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to CQ 500 mg twice a day for 10 days and 12 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 400/100 mg twice a day for 10 days	Mean age 44 ± 21, male 59.1%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of	⊕○○○



	7				
				allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Zheng et al;</u> preprint; ³⁰⁸ 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to novaferon 40 microg twice a day (inh), 30 assigned to novaferon plus lopinavir- ritonavir 40 mg twice a day (inh) + 400/100 mg a day and 29 assigned to lopinavir- ritonavir	Median age 44.5 ± NR, male 47.1%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Chen et al;</u> preprint; ³⁰⁹ 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 33 assigned to ribavirin 2 g IV loading dose followed by orally 400-600 mg every 8 hours for 14 days, 36 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir and 32 assigned to ribavirin plus lopinavir- ritonavir	Mean age 42.5 ± 11.5, male 45.5%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
WHO <u>SOLIDARITY -</u> <u>trial;²⁰⁷ Pan et al;</u> preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 1399 assigned to lopinavir- ritonavir 200/50 mg twice a day for 14 days and 1372 assigned to standard of care	Age 61% < 70 years, male 62%, diabetes 25%, COPD 6%, asthma 5%, coronary heart disease 21%	Corticosteroids 15.1%, convalescent plasma 0.5%, Anti IL6 2.1%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Sali et al</u> ; ³¹⁰ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe	Mean age 56.5 ± 14, male 53.7%, diabetes	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation;	





	1	1	1		r
	COVID-19. 22 assigned to sofosbuvir 400 mg a day and 32 assigned to lopinavir- ritonavir 400/100 mg every 12 hours	33%,		High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Purwati et al</u> ; ³¹¹ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 128 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 500/100 a day, 123 assigned to HCQ 200 mg a day and 119 to SOC	Median age 36.5 ± NR, male 95.3%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Kasgari et al</u> ; ³¹² peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 24 assigned to sofosbuvir/daclatasvir 400/60 mg twice daily and 24 assigned to hydroxychloroquine plus lopinavir- ritonavir	Median age 52.5 ± NR, male 37.5%, hypertension 35.4%, diabetes 37.5%, chronic lung disease 2%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Yadollahzadeh et</u> <u>al</u> ; ³¹³ Preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 58 assigned to sofosbuvir/ daclatasvir 400/60 mg a day for 10 days and 54 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 400/100 mg twice a day for 7 days	Mean age 57.4 ± 15, male 44.6%, hypertension 25%, diabetes 21.4%, COPD 3.6%, CHD 15.2%, CKD 6.2%, immunosuppression 3.6%, cancer 10.7%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>TOGETHER</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²²¹ Reis et al;	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19	Mean age 53 ± 76, male 45%, hypertension	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation;	

PAHO (Sealth Organization American American American



			1	
peer reviewed; 2021	infection. 244 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 1600 mg/400 mg once followed by 800 mg/200 mg a day for 9 days and 227 assigned to SOC	COPD 2.5%, asthma		low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
<u>COPEP trial</u> ; ³¹⁴ Labhardt et al; preprint; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 209 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 400/10 mg a day for 5 days and 109 assigned to SOC	Median age 39 ± 22, male 50.6%, hypertension 8.2%, diabetes 3.1%, COPD 7.8%, CHD 2.5%, cancer 0.6%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Ghanei et al</u> ; ⁶³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 110 assigned to Lopinavir-Ritonavir 200/50mg twice a day for 7 days and 110 assigned to azithromycin 500mg once followed by 250mg a day for 5 days	Mean age 58.1 ± 16.3, male 51.5%, hypertension 24.7%, diabetes 12.2%, asthma 4.5%, CHD 8.9%, CKD 1.2%,	Convalescent plasma 1.8%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.
FIGHT-COVID- <u>19 trial</u> ; ¹⁷³ Atipornwanich et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 320 assigned to favipiravir 6000 mg once followed by 2400 mg a day + lopinavir ritonavir 800/200 mg or lopinavir ritonavir 800/200 mg a day or HCQ 800mg a day or Darunavir ritonavir 1200/200 mg a day + HCQ 400mg a day or favipiravil 6000mg	Mean age 42 ± 15.7, male 47.8%, obesity 24.6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.



	followed by 2400mg + Darunavir ritonavir 1200/200 mg a day + HCQ 400mg a day for 7 to 14 days.				
<u>SEV-COVID</u> <u>trial</u> ; ²³⁰ Panda et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 24 assigned to Lopinavir ritonavir + ribavirin Lopinavir (200 mg) + Ritonavir (50 mg) two tablets twice daily + Ribavirin (1.2 g orally as a loading dose followed by 600 mg orally every 12 hours) for 10 days and 24 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.1, male 75%, hypertension 32.7%, diabetes 27.7%, COPD 7.9%, asthma %, CHD 11.9%, cancer 1%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
	Uncerta	Low-dose ra	diation therapy and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	-	-	-		
COVID-RT-01 trial; ³¹⁵ Papachristofilou et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 11 assigned to low- dose radiation therapy 0.5 to 1.0 Gy and 11 assigned to SOC	Mean age 75, male 77.3%, diabetes 54.6%, COPD 22.7%, asthma %, CHD 40.9%, cancer 18.2%,	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 50%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
WINCOVID trial; ³¹⁶ Ganesan et al; peer reviewed;	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to Low	Age (>56) 58.8% , male 66.6%, hypertension 35.3%, diabetes 68.6%,	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 50.9%, tocilizumab 21.6%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom	⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or





	SOC	Mavri	limumab	Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	inty in potential benefits a		nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				<u>.</u>	
MASH-COVID trial; ³¹⁷ Cremer et al; peer reviewed; 2021		Mean age 56.7 ± 23.8, male 65%, hypertension 55%, diabetes 43%, COPD 8%, CKD 8%, cerebrovascular disease 3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty



	Uncerta	Mel inty in potential benefits a	atonin Ind harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	⊕ ○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Farnoosh et al</u> ; ³¹⁸ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 24 assigned to melatonin 9 mg a day for 14 days and 20 assigned to SOC	Mean age 51.85 ± 14.25, male 59.1%, hypertension 25%, diabetes 22.7%, CHD 6.8%, cancer 6.8%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or
Davoodian et al; ³¹⁹ preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 41 assigned to melatonin 6 mg a day for 14 days and 39 assigned to SOC	Median age 56 ± 40, male 56.8%, hypertension 18.5%, diabetes 14.8%, CHD 19.8%, CKD 3.7%	Corticosteroids 12.3%, hydroxychloroquine 69%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis
Alizadeh et al; ³²⁰ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 14 assigned to melatonin 6 mg a day for 14 days and 17 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36 ± 8.2, male 64.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information





Mousavi et al; ³²¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 48 assigned to melatonin 3 mg a day for 10 days and 48 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52.9, male 44.8%, hypertension 30.2%, diabetes 28.1%, COPD 3.1%, asthma 5.2%, CHD 15.6%, CKD 5.2%,	Corticosteroids 82.3%, hydroxychloroquine 97.9%, lopinavir- ritonavir 2.1%, azithromycin 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>Hasan et al</u> ; ³²² peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 82 assigned to melatonin 10mg a day for 14 days and 76 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56.3 ± 7.7, male 72.2%, hypertension 53.2%, diabetes 29.7%, asthma 10.1%, cerebrovascular disease 15.2%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
			amic acid		
	Me	senchymal stem-cell tran	splantation may reduce r	nortality.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
MEFECOVID-19 trial, ³²³ Guzman- Esquivel et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 19 assigned to mefenamic acid 1500 mg a day for 7 days and 17 assigned to SOC	Mean age 39.5 ± 15.4, male 33.3%, diabetes 5.6%, asthma 2.8%, obesity 47.2%	Corticosteroids 2.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic





		esenchymal stem-senchymal stem			<pre>infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○</pre>
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Shu et al</u> ; ³²⁴ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to mesenchymal stem cell 2 × 10^6 cells/kg one infusion and 29 assigned to standard of care	Median age 61 ± 10, male 58.5%, hypertension 22%, diabetes 19.5%	Corticosteroids 100%, antibiotics 87.8%, antivirals 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: RR 0.57 (95%CI 0.37 to 0.90); RD -6.7% (95%CI - 10.1% to -1.6%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
<u>Shi et al</u> ; ³²⁵ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 65 assigned to mesenchymal stem cell three infusions with 4.0 × 107 cells each and 35 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 60.3 ± 8.4, male 56%, hypertension 27%, diabetes 17%, COPD 2%	Corticosteroids 22%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis
Lanzoni et al; ³²⁶	Patients with severe to	Mean age 58.7 ± 17.5,	Corticosteroids 90.4%,	High for mortality and	studies): No information



preprint; 2020	critical COVID-19. 12 assigned to mesenchymal stem cell 100±20 ×106 UC- MSC twice and 12 assigned to standard of care	coronary heart disease 12.5%, , cancer 4.2%,	remdesivir 66.7%, hydroxychloroquine 12.5%, tocilizumab 20.8%, convalescent plasma 29.1%	mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
Dilogo et al; ³²⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to mesenchymal stem cell one 100 ml infusion and 20 assigned to SOC	age >60, 45%, male 75%, hypertension 42.5%, diabetes 50%, CHD 25%, CKD 17.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Zhu et al;</u> ³²⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with Severe COVID-19 infection. 29 assigned to mesenchymal stem cell 1 × 106 cells per kilogram body weight, once and 29 assigned to SOC	Median age 65, male 37.9%, hypertension 25.8%, diabetes 13.8%, COPD 1.7%, CHD 10.3%, cerebrovascular disease 8.6%	Corticosteroids 67.2%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
		Met	formin		
	Metforn	nin may not reduce hospit	alizations. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>TOGETHER 2</u> <u>trial</u> ; ³²⁹ Reis et al; peer reviewed; 2022	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 215 assigned to MTF 1500mg a day and 203 assigned to SOC	Median age 52, male 42.8%, hypertension 40%, diabetes 14.6%, COPD 1.2%, asthma 8.1%, CHD 3%, CKD 0.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information






	Uncertai	Methy inty in potential benefits a	lene blue nd harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: RR 1.14 (95%CI 0.72 to 1.82); RD 0.7% (95%CI -1.3% to - 3.9%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Hamidi-Alamdari et al; ³³⁰ peer reviewed; 2021		Mean age 54 ± 13, male 52.5%, hypertension 17.5%, diabetes 10%	Corticosteroids 87.5%, azithromycin 92.5%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic





	Uncertai	Met inty in potential benefits	isoprinol and harms. Further re	esearch is needed.	infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Borges et al, ³³¹ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 30 assigned to metisoprinol 1500 mg/kg/day for 14 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 33.2 ± 16, male 53.3%, COPD 10%, CKD 16.6%, cancer 3.3%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No informationInvasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationAdverse events: No informationAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information

PAHO Pan American Brealth Organization American

Metoprolol Mesenchymal stem-cell transplantation may reduce mortality.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT							
MADRID-COVID trial; ³³² Clemente- Moragón et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to metoprolol 15 mg a day for 3 days and 8 assigned to SOC	Median age 60 ± 14.2, male 65%, hypertension 30%, diabetes 10%,	Corticosteroids 100%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No		
	Uncertai	Metro inty in potential benefits a	onidazole and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		





Kazempour et al; ³³³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to metronidazole 1 gr a day for 7 days and 24 assigned to SOC		Hydroxychloroquine 59%, lopinavir- ritonavir 43.2%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No informationInvasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: No informationAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Painter et al</u> ; ³³⁴ Preprint; 2020	Healthy volunteers. 64 assigned to molnupiravir 80 to 1600 mg twice a day for 5.5 days	Mean age 39.6 ± 39, male 82.8%,	NR	Low for adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.13 (95%CI 0.02 to 0.77); RD -13.9% (95%CI - 15.7% to -3.6%); Very low certainty
<u>AGILE trial</u> ; ³³⁵ Khoo et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned	Median age 56 ± 58, male 27.8%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom	⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical

Fischer et al; ³³⁶ peer reviewed; 2021 MOVe-OUT trial; et al; ³³⁷ peer reviewed; 2021 HCR/III/MOLCO V/04/2021-01 trial; Hetero et al; other; 2021	COVID-19 infection. 371 assigned to molnupiravir 1600 mg a day and 370 assigned	Age >65 6%±, male 48.6% Median age 43, male 48.7%, diabetes 15.9%, COPD 4%, asthma %, CHD 11.7%, CKD 5.9%, cancer 2%, obesity 73.7% NR	NR NR NR	resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: RR $0.49 (95\% CI 0.23 to)$ $1.05); RD -5.2\%$ $(95\% CI -7.8\% to)$ $0.5\%); Low certainty\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcircHospitalization: RR0.56 (95\% CI 0.29 to)1.07); RD -2.1\%(95\% CI -3.3\% to)0.3\%); Moderatecertainty \oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	to SOC Uncertai	Mon inty in potential benefits a	telukast nd harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Kerget et al</u> ; ³³⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19	Mean age 54.6 ± 15.3, male 42.2%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation;	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○



Mouthwash may	infection. 120 assigned to montelukast 10 to 20 mg a day and 60 assigned to SOC	diabetes 19%, asthma 1.7%, CHD 1.1%, CKD %, MOU m resolution. Uncertainty		High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	eeded. Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Mukhtar et al</u> ; ³³⁹ preprint ; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19. 46 assigned to mouthwash with hydrogen peroxide 2% and chlorhexidine gluconate mixed solution three times a day and 46 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 49, male 78.2%, hypertension 37%, diabetes 41.3%, coronary heart disease 6.5%, chronic kidney disease 12%, c obesity 31.5%	Corticosteroids 53.2%, remdesivir 26%, hydroxychloroquine 21.7%, lopinavir- ritonavir 54.3%, azithromycin 57.6%, convalescent plasma 13%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR
<u>GARGLES trial</u> ; ³⁴⁰ Mohamed et al;	Patients with COVID- 19. 10 assigned to	Median age 28.9, male 80%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation;	1.36 (95%CI 1.04 to

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization

preprint; 2020	mouthwash with povidone iodine or essential oils 3 times a day and 10 assigned to mouthwash with water or no mouthwash			high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	1.78); RD 21.8% (95%CI 2.4% to 47.3%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis
<u>KILLER trial</u> ; ³⁴¹ Guenezan et al; peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 12 assigned to mouthwash with 25 ml of 1% povidone iodine and 12 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45 ± 23, male 33%, hypertension 12.5%, diabetes 4%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
<u>Elzein et al</u> ; ³⁴² preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 52 assigned to mouthwash with povidone or chlorhexidine and 9 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45.3 ± 16.7, male 40.9%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Santos et al</u> ; ³⁴³ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to mouthwash with anionic iron tetracarboxyphthalocy anine derivative 5 times a day and 21 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53.7 ± 44.5, male 63%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>BBCovid trial</u> , ³⁴⁴ Carrouel et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 76 assigned to mouthwash with ß-	Mean age 43.8 ± 15.5, male 45.7%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection,	





		1	1	P
	cyclodextrin-citrox three times a day and 78 assigned to SOC			and adverse events
<u>Huang et al</u> , ³⁴⁵ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 66 assigned to mouthwash chlorhexidine 0.12% 15 ml twice a day for 4 days and 55 assigned to SOC	Median age 62 ± 66, male 58%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Eduardo et al</u> ; ³⁴⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to mouthwash cetylpyridinium chloride, zinc, chlorhexidine, hydrogen peroxide and 9 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54.7, male 74.4%, hypertension 30.2%, diabetes 23.2%, COPD 11.6%, CHD 18.6%, CKD 11.6%, obesity 13.9%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
<u>Di-Domênico et</u> <u>al;</u> ³⁴⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 63 assigned to mouthwash with hydrogen peroxide 1% three time a day and nasal wash with hydrogen peroxide 0.5% and 43 assigned to SOC	Age >60 17%, male 39.6%, hypertension 22.6%, diabetes 11.3%, COPD 5.7%, CHD 3.8%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Significant number of patients excluded post- randomization resulting in potential inbalances in baseline risks
ACPREGCOV <u>trial</u> ; ³⁴⁸ Damião Costa et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to Mouthwash 15 mL of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate and 50	Mean age 39 ± 12, male 50%, hypertension 17%, diabetes 4%, obesity 25%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events





	assigned to SOC				
	assigned to SOC				
BUCOSARS trial; ³⁴⁹ Ferrer et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 54 assigned to mouthwash with povidone-iodine, hydrogen peroxide, cetylpyridinium chloride or chlorhexidine and 13 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54 - 55 ± , male 67%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
<u>Poleti ML et al</u> <u>trial</u> ; ³⁵⁰ Poleti et al; ; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 59 assigned to mouthwash with antimicrobial phthalocyanine derivative and 75 assigned to SOC	Mean age 34 ± 21, male 38%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Significant loss to follow-up.	
	Uncertai	Mupa inty in potential benefits a	dolimab and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Miller et al</u> ; ³⁵¹ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 29 assigned to mupadolimab 1-2 mg/kg and 11 assigned to SOC	Median age 55, male 57.5%, any comorbidities 45%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information





			acterium w		Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	inty in potential benefits a Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT <u>ARMY-1 trial</u> ; ³⁵² Sehgal et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 22 assigned to Mycobacterium w 0.3 ml SC once a day for 3 days and 20 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56 ± 15, male 69%, hypertension 31%, diabetes 33.3%, COPD 4.8%, asthma 4.8%	Corticosteroids 100%, hydroxychloroquine 26.2%, tocilizumab 12%, convalescent plasma 7%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information



	Uncerta	N-acet inty in potential benefits a	ylcysteine and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
<u>de Alencar et al</u> ; ³⁵³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 68 assigned to NAC 21 g once and 67 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 58.5 ± 22.5, male 59.2%, hypertension 46.6%, diabetes 37.7%, cancer 12.6%,	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty			
<u>Gaynitdinova et</u> <u>al</u> ; ³⁵⁴ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 24 assigned to NAC 1200- 1500 mg once and 22 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.9 ± 12.7	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	 ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis) 			
<u>Taher et al</u> ; ³⁵⁵ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 47 assigned to NAC 40 mg/kg a day for 3 days and 45 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.6 ± 18.7, male 58.7%, diabetes 23.9%, COPD 15.2%, asthma %, CHD 28.2%,	Corticosteroids 69.6%, hydroxychloroquine 90.2%, azithromycin 51.1%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	 studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information 			
	Nafamostat Mesylate Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE			





					certainty of the evidence
RCT					
DEFINE trial; ³⁵⁶ Quinn et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 21 assigned to nafamostat 0.2 mg/kr/hr for 7 days and 21 assigned to SOC	Mean age 63.6, male 59.5%, hypertension 38.1%, diabetes 21.4%, COPD %, asthma 9.5%, CHD 14.3%, CKD 4.8%, immunosuppression 7.1%, cancer 9.5%, obesity %	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanicativentilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Nam inty in potential benefits a	nilumab and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT			•		
<u>CATALYST</u> trial; ²⁴⁴ Fisher et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 55 assigned to namilumab and 54	Median age 62.8 ± 18, male 68.5%	Corticosteroids 90.7%, remdesivir 53.7%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No





	assigned to SOC	Nano- inty in potential benefits a	curcumin nd harms. Further resea	Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	<pre>information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information</pre>
Study; publication status	Patients and	Comorbidities	Additional	Risk of bias and study	Territoria (Contra
	interventions analyzed				Interventions effects vs standard of care
	interventions analyzed		interventions	limitations	interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	interventions analyzed				vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the





					 (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information 	
	Uncertai	NaSal hyp inty in potential benefits a	ertonic saline and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.		
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence	
RCT			L	1		
peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 14 assigned to nasal hypertonic saline 250 cc twice daily, 14 assigned to nasal hypertonic saline plus surfactant and 17 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 37.9 ± 15.7, male 53.3%, hypertension 24.4%, diabetes 6.6%, chronic lung disease 15.5%, coronary heart disease 4.4%,	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty	
	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to nasal hypertonic saline and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 38.8 ± , male 58%, hypertension 12%, diabetes 6%, COPD/asthma 4%, CHD 15%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Iow certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information	
George et al; ³⁶⁰	Patients with mild	Age range 22-45		Low for mortality and	Hospitalization: No information	



preprint; 2021	COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to nasal hypertonic saline (Caclium rich hypertonic salts) and 20 assigned to SOC			mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
Baxter et al; ³⁶¹ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 37 assigned to nasal saline 240 ml + povidone-iodine twice a day for 14 days and 42 assigned to nasal saline 240 ml +2.5 mL sodium bicarbonate twice a day for 14 days	Mean age 64 ± 7.9, male 54.4%, hypertension 43.4%, diabetes 11.3%, COPD %, asthma 5.7%, immunocompromised 3.8%, obesity 45%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
	Uncerta	Neem (<i>Azadirac</i> inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Nesari et al</u> , ³⁶² other; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 70 assigned to neem 50 mg for 28 days and 84 assigned to SOC	Mean age 37, male %	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low





					certainty ⊕○○○ Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta		osamaide and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•			•	
<u>Abdulamir et al</u> ; ³⁶³ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19 infection. 75 assigned to niclosamaide 4 g once followed by 3 g a day for 7 days and 75 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.3 ± 16, male 53.3%, hypertension 12.7%, diabetes 8%, asthma 0.7%, cancer 0.7%, obesity 0.7%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or
<u>Cairns et al</u> ; ³⁶⁴ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 33 assigned to niclosamide 2 gr a day for 7 days and 34 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36.4 ± 13, male 61.2%, hypertension 7.5%, asthma 7.5%, CHD 1.5%, obesity 7%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	

PAHO (Sealth Organization American American American



230

<i>Nigella sativa</i> +/- Honey Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT					·			
<u>HNS-COVID-PK</u> <u>trial;</u> ³⁶⁵ Ashraf et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 157 assigned to honey + <i>Nigella sativa</i> 1 g + 80 mg/kg three times a day for 13 days and 156 assigned to SOC	> 60 age 52 ±, male 56.8%, hypertension 31.6%, diabetes 36.7%	Corticosteroids 26.5%, azithromycin 73.8%, ivermectin 36.4%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom			
Koshak et al; ³⁶⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 91 assigned to <i>Nigella sativa</i> 500 mg twice a day for 10 days and 92 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36 ± 11, male 53%, hypertension 9%, diabetes 8%, asthma 4%, CHD 0.5%, obesity 25%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○			
	Nirmatrelvir-r	Nirmatrel itonavir probably reduces	vir-ritonavir hospitalizations. Furthe	er research is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			





RCT	RCT							
EPIC-HR trial; ³⁶⁷ Hammond et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with COVID- 19 infection. 1039 assigned to Nirmatrelvir/ritonavir 600/200 mg a day for 5 days and 1046 assigned to SOC	Median age 46, male 51.1%, hypertension 32.9%, diabetes 12.1%, obesity 35.6%	NR; vaccinated 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes:	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: RR 0.49 (95%CI 0.30 to 0.80); RD -5.2% (95%CI -7.1% to -2%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: RR 0.12 (95%CI 0.06 to 0.25); RD -4.2% (95%CI -4.5% to - 3.5%); Moderate certainty $\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$			
	Uncertai	Nitaz inty in potential benefits a	zoxanide and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			



RCT	RCT							
<u>SARITA-2 trial</u> ; ³⁶⁸ Rocco et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 194 assigned to nitazoxanide 500 mg three times a day for 5 days and 198 assigned to standard of care	Age range 18 - 77, male 47%, comorbidities 13.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results. Significant loss to follow-up.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty			
<u>Fontanesi et a</u> l; ³⁶⁹ preprint ; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19. 25 assigned to nitazoxanide 1200 mg a day for 7 days and 25 assigned to SOC	Age > 65 46%, male 30%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation and blinding probably inappropriate.	 ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection 			
<u>Silva et al</u> ; ³⁷⁰ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 23 assigned to nitazoxanide 2-3 g a day for 14 days and 13 assigned to SOC	Male 72.2%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	• •			
<u>Vanguard trial;</u> ³⁷¹ Rossignol et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 184 assigned to nitazoxanide 600 mg a day for 5 days and 195 assigned to SOC	Mean age 40.3 ± 15.4, male 43.5%, comorbidities 34%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events				



NACOVID trial; ³⁷² Fowotade et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 31 assigned to nitazoxanide 2000 mg plus atazanavir/ritonavir 300/100 mg a day and 26 assigned to SOC	Mean age 38 ± 16, male 67%, obesity 19%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
	Uncerta	Nitr nty in potential benefits a	ic oxide and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Moni et al</u> ; ³⁷³ preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 14 assigned to iNO pulses of 30 min for 3 days and 11 assigned to SOC	Mean age 59.8 ± 10, male 72%, hypertension 44%, diabetes 56%, COPD 12%, CHD 24%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: No
Winchester et al; ³⁷⁴ peer-reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to nitric oxide nasal spray (NONS) 4 sprays 5 to 6 times a day for 9 days and 40 assigned to SOC	Mean age 44, male 36.7%, hypertension 6.3%, diabetes 6.3%, COPD 1.2%, CHD 0%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○



					Hospitalization: No information				
Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) Current best evidence suggests no association between NSAID consumption and COVID-19 related mortality. However, the certainty of the evidence is very low because of the risk of bias. Further research is needed.									
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT		Į	•						
Mobarak et al; ³⁷⁵ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 39 assigned to naproxen 1000 mg a day and 38 assigned to SOC	Mean age 47 , male 55.8%, hypertension 9%, diabetes 17%, CHD 13%, CKD 5.2%, obesity 1.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes:	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: No information				
Non-RCT									
Eilidh et al; ³⁷⁶ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection.	Age < 65 31.7%, male 56.5%, hypertension 50.3%, diabetes 27%,	NR	High for mortality Notes: Non-randomized	Mortality: OR 0.82 (95%CI 0.66 to 1.02); Very low certainty				



	54 received NSAID and 1168 received alternative treatment schemes	coronary heart disease 22.3%, chronic kidney disease 38.7%,		study with retrospective design. Regression was implemented to adjust for potential confounders (age, sex, smoking status, CRP levels, diabetes, hypertension, coronary artery disease, reduced renal function).	000
Jeong et al; ³⁷⁷ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 354 received NSAID and 1470 received alternative treatment schemes	Age >65 36%, male 41%, hypertension 20%, diabetes 12%, chronic lung disease 16%, asthma 6%, chronic kidney disease 2%, cancer 6%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation Notes: Non-randomized study with retrospective design. Propensity score and IPTW were implemented to adjust for potential confounders (age, sex, health insurance type, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, malignancy, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, atherosclerosis, chronic renal failure, chronic liver disease, rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, gastrointestinal, conditions, and use of co-medications).	
<u>Lund et al</u> ; ³⁷⁸ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 224 received NSAID and 896 received alternative treatment schemes	Median age 54 ± 23, male 41.5%, chronic lung disease 3.9%, asthma 5.4%, coronary heart disease 10.2%, cerebrovascular disease 3.4%, cancer 7.1%, obesity 12.5%	Corticosteroids 7.1%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation Notes: Non-randomized study with retrospective design. Propensity score and matching were	





	1	1	1	1	
				implemented to adjust for potential confounders (age, sex, relevant comorbidities, use of selected prescription drugs, and phase of the outbreak.	
<u>Rinott et al</u> ; ³⁷⁹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 87 received NSAID and 316 received alternative treatment schemes	Median age 45 ± 37, male 54.6%, diabetes 9.4%, coronary heart disease 12.9%,	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation Notes: Non-randomized study with retrospective design. No adjustment for potential confounders.	
Wong et al; ³⁸⁰ preprint; 2020	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 535519 received NSAID and 1924095 received alternative treatment schemes	Median age 51 ± 23, male 42.7%, hypertension 19.6%, diabetes 9.6%, chronic lung disease 2.4%, asthma %, coronary heart disease 0.5%, chronic kidney disease 2.8%, cancer 5.2%,	Corticosteroids 2.2%, hydroxychloroquine 0.6%	High for mortality Notes: Non-randomized study with retrospective design. Regression was implemented to adjust for potential confounders (age, sex, relevant comorbidities, use of selected prescription drugs, vaccination, and deprivation).	
<u>Imam et al</u> ; ³⁸¹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 466 received NSAID and 839 received alternative treatment schemes	Mean age 61 ± 16.3 , male 53.8%, hypertension 56.2%, diabetes 30.1%, chronic lung disease 8.2%, asthma 8.8%, coronary heart disease 15.9%, chronic kidney disease 17.5%, immunosuppression 1%, cancer 6.4%,	NR	High for mortality Notes: Non-randomized study with retrospective design. Regression was implemented to adjust for potential confounders (not specified).	





Esba et al; ³⁸² preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 146 received NSAID and 357 received alternative treatment schemes	Median age 41.7 ± 30, male 57.2%, hypertension 20.4%, diabetes 22.5%, chronic lung disease 5.2%, chronic kidney disease 3.2%, cancer 1.4%	NR	High for mortality Notes: Non-randomized study with retrospective design. Regression was implemented to adjust for potential confounders (age; sex; comorbidities: hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia, asthma, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cardiovascular disease (CVD), renal or liver impairment, and malignancy).	
	Uncertai	Nov inty in potential benefits a	7 aferon and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT			·	•	
<u>Zheng et al</u> , ³⁰⁸ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to novaferon 40 microg twice a day (inh), 30 assigned to novaferon plus lopinavir- ritonavir 40 microg twice a day (inh) + 400/100 mg a day and 29 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir	Median age 44.5 ± NR, male 47.1%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No



					information Adverse events: No information
					Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Nutritio	nal support and harms. Further r	research is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Leal et al</u> ; ³⁸³ preprint; 2021		Mean age 52.7 ± 10.8, male 65%, CHD 33.7%, obesity 33.7%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Ne information
	Unconto	Omega-3	3 fatty acids	rocoo rah is pended	

PAHO (Sealth Organization American American American

Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>Sedighiyan et al</u> ; ³⁸⁴ Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 15 assigned to omega-3 670 mg three times a day for 2 weeks and 15 assigned to SOC	Mean age 66.7 ± 2.5, male 60%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No
Doaei et al; ³⁸⁵ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 28 assigned to omega-3 1000 mg a day and 73 assigned to SOC	Mean age 64 ± 14, male 59.4%	NR	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Blinding is probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up.	ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
COVID-Omega-F trial, ³⁸⁶ Arnardottir et al; preprint; 2021		Mean age 81.1 ± 6.1, male 45%, hypertension 64%, diabetes 41%, COPD 13%, CHD 64%, CKD 23%, cancer 18%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information



Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
ABC-110 trial; ³⁸⁷ Winthrop et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 22 assigned to Opaganib 1000mg a day for 14 days and 18 assigned to SOC	Median age 58 ± 29.8, male 64.3%	Corticosteroids 92.8%, remdesivir 45.2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: No information			
	Otilimab Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
OSCAR trial; ³⁸⁸	Patients with severe to	Mean age 59.6 ± 12,	Corticosteroids 83%,	Low for mortality and	Mortality: Very low			





Patel et al; preprint; 2021	critical COVID-19 infection. 386 assigned to otilimab 90 mg once and 393 assigned to SOC	male 71.6%, hypertension 49.7%, diabetes 36.7%, CHD 11.9%	remdesivir 34%, tocilizumab 1.2%, convalescent plasma 6%	mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic informationHospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	O inty in potential benefits a	ZONE and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
PROBIOZOVID trial; ³⁸⁹ Araimo et al; peer-reviewed; 2020		Mean age 61.7 ± 13.2, male 50%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very





<u>SEOT trial</u> ; ³⁹⁰ Shah et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 30 assigned to ozone 150 ml rectal insufflation plus 5 ml with venous blood once a day for 10 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43.8 ± 9, male 80%, diabetes 10%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
P2Y12 in combinati	ion with full dose anticoa	ngulants may increase moi	inhibitors rtality and may not impr needed.	ove time to symptom resol	ution. Further research
		15 1	neeaea.		
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				•	
ACTIV-4a trial; ³⁹¹ Berger et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 293 assigned to P2Y12 inhibitors (ticagrelor 120mg a day or prasugrel 5 to 10 mg a day or clopidogrel 75 mg a day) in combination with full dose anticoagulants and 269 assigned to SOC in combination with full dose anticoagulants	Mean age 52.7, male 58.5%, hypertension 48.4%, diabetes 25.8%, COPD 5.4%, asthma 11.2%, CKD 3.9%, cerebrovascular disease 0.7%	Corticosteroids 64.1%, remdesivir 52%, tocilizumab 2.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 1.5 (95%CI 0.72 to 3.12); RD 2.4% (95%CI - 4.5% to 33.9%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 0.97 (95%CI 0.94 to 1.02); RD -1.8% (95%CI -3.6% to 1.2%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$



					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Peg-interfe inty in potential benefits a	ron (IFN) alfa nd harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	·				
	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to pegylated interferon alfa 1 µg/kg once and 19 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.2 ± 13.5, male 75%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very
Bushan et al; ³⁹³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 119 assigned to Peg Interferon Alfa 1 μg/kg subcutaneous [SC] injection once and 123 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.9 ± 15.3, male 70.8%	Corticosteroids 59.9%, remdesivir 21.5%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information





	Uncertai	Peg-interfer inty in potential benefits a	O n (IFN) lamda nd harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT			-		
<u>ILIAD trial</u> ; ³⁹⁴ Feld et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19. 30 assigned to peg-IFN lambda 180 µg subcutaneous injection once and 30 assigned to standard of care	Median age 46 ± 22, male 58%, comorbidities 15%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom
<u>COVID-Lambda</u> <u>tria</u> l; ³⁹⁵ Jagannathan et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 60 assigned to peg-IFN lambda 180 mcg subcutaneous injection once and 60 assigned to standard of care	Median age 36 ± 53, male 68.3%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	resolution orimprovement: Verylow certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomaticinfection(prophylaxisstudies): NoinformationAdverse events:Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization:Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$





Pentoxifylline Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
			·				
critical COVID-19. 26 assigned to pentoxifylline 400 mg	male 55.2%, hypertension 39.4%, diabetes 50%, obesity	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or			
		Corticosteroids 55.5%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up.	<pre>improvement:No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information</pre>			
	Plit	idepsin					
Uncertai	inty in potential benefits a	and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.				
Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
	Patients and interventions analyzed Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 26 assigned to pentoxifylline 400 mg three times a day while hospitalized and 12 assigned to standard of care Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to pentoxifylline 1200mg a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOC Uncertait Vancertait	Uncertainty in potential benefits :Patients and interventions analyzedComorbiditiesPatients with severe to critical COVID-19.26 assigned to pentoxifylline 400 mg three times a day while hospitalized and 12 assigned to standard of careMean age 57.5 ± 11.7, male 55.2%, hypertension 39.4%, diabetes 50%, obesity 55.2%Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to pentoxifylline 1200mg a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOCMean age 59, male 35%, hypertension 18%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5%Patients with moderate to SOCMean age 59, male 35%, hypertension 18%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5%Patients with moderate to SOCMean age 59, male 35%, hypertension 18%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5%Patients with moderate to SOCPatients with uncertainty in potential benefits at time state to severe coving a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOCPatients andComorbidities	Variants and interventions Patients and interventions analyzed Comorbidities Additional interventions Patients with severe to critical COVID-19.26 assigned to pentoxifylline 400 mg three times a day while hospitalized and 12 assigned to standard of care Mean age 57.5 ± 11.7, male 55.2%, hypertension 39.4%, diabetes 50%, obesity 55.2% NR Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to pentoxifylline 1200mg a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOC Mean age 59, male 35%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% Corticosteroids 55.5%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to pentoxifylline 1200mg a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOC Description 18%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% Corticosteroids 55.5% Patients and Comorbidities Additional	Patients and interventions analyzed Comorbidities Additional interventions Risk of bias and study limitations Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 26 assigned to pentoxifylline 400 mg three times a day while hospitalized and 12 assigned to standard of care Mean age 57.5 ± 11.7, hypertension 39.4%, diabetes 50%, obesity NR High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to gentoxifylline 1200mg a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOC Mean age 59, male 35%, hypertension 18%, diabetes 5.5% Corticosteroids 55.5%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% Corticosteroids 55.5%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% High for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to pentoxifylline 1200mg a day for 10 days and 32 assigned to SOC Mean age 59, male 35%, hypertension 18%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% Corticosteroids 55.5%, diabetes 32%, CHD 12.5%, cerebrovascular disease 5.5% Plitidepsin Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up. Plitidepsin Patients and Comorbidities Additional Risk of bias and study			





<u>APLICOV-PC</u> <u>trial</u> ; ³⁹⁸ Varona et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 45 assigned to Plitidepsin Three doses of 1.5 to 2.5 mg	Mean age 51, male 66.6%, hypertension 20%, diabetes 17.8%, COPD 6.7%, asthma 11.1%, CHD 4.4%, CKD 2.2%, obesity 22.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes:	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
					Symptom resolution or improvement:No information
					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
					Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
					Hospitalization: No information



	PNB001 (CCK-A antagonist) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
BCR-PNB-001 trial; ³⁹⁹ Lattaman et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to PNB001 200 mg a day for 14 days and 20 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52, 65% male	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information			





	Polymerized type I collagen (PT1C) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT			•	•				
Mendez-Flores et al; ⁴⁰⁰ preprint; 2021	moderate COVID-19	Mean age 48.5 ± 14.1, male 41.6%, hypertension 20.2%, diabetes 16.9%, COPD 2.3%, asthma 4.5%, CHD 0%, cancer 0%, obesity 28.1%	Corticosteroids 0%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○			





	Uncerta	Povidone inty in potential benefits a	iodine spray Ind harms. Further rese	earch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•	•			•
<u>Seet et al</u> , ²²⁰ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 735 assigned to povidone iodine spray 3 times a day for 42 days and 619 assigned to SOC (vitamin C)	Mean age 33, male 100%, hypertension 1%, diabetes 0.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Adverse events: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$


Probiotic	Probiotics Probiotics may improve time to symptom resolution. The effect on other outcomes is uncertain. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
Wang et al; ⁴⁰¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 98 assigned to probiotics 2 lozenges a day for 30 days and 95 assigned to SOC	Mean age 36 ± 8, male 29%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty			
PROCOV-19-2020 <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁰² Ivashkin et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 99 assigned to probiotics three times a day for 14 days and 101 assigned to SOC	Mean age 64 ± , male 46%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	 ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): RR 1.89 			
	Patients with exposed COVID-19 infection. 91 assigned to probiotics 1 capsule a day for 28 days and 91 assigned to SOC	Age 18-64 62%, male 36.8%, hypertension 12.1%, diabetes 3.8%, COPD 1.1%, cancer 2.7%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	(95%CI 1.4 to 2.56); RD 53.9.8% (95%CI 24.2% to 94.5%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Adverse events: No information			
<u>ABB-COVID19</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁰⁴ Gutiérrez- Castrellón et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 147 assigned to probiotics 1 capsule a day for 30 days and 146 assigned to SOC	Median age 37 ± , male 46.3%, hypertension 19.6%, diabetes 10.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Hospitalization: No information			



	Progesterone Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT	•	•							
<u>Ghandehari et al</u> ; ⁴⁰⁵ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 18 assigned to progesterone 100 mg twice a day for 5 days and 22 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 55.3 ± 16.4, male 100%, hypertension 48%, diabetes 25%, obesity 45%	Corticosteroids 60%, remdesivir 60%, hydroxychloroquine 2.5%, tocilizumab 12.5%, azithromycin 50%, convalescent plasma 5%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information				



Prolectin-M Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT	•							
Prolectin-M trial; ⁴⁰⁶ Sigamani et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 5 assigned to prolectin-M 40 g a day and 5 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 28.5 ± 3.85, male 20%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information			



Propolis Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
Bee-Covid trial; ⁴⁰⁷ Duarte Silveira et al; Preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 82 assigned to propolis 400-800 mg a day for 7 days and 42 assigned to SOC	Mean age 50 ± 12.8, male 69.4%, hypertension 45.2%, diabetes 21%, COPD 7.3%, asthma %, obesity 51.6%	Corticosteroids 80.6%, hydroxychloroquine 3.2%, azithromycin 95.2%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanica ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No			
	Uncertai	Pros nty in potential benefits a	tacyclin and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.				
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			



<u>COMBAT-</u> <u>COVID trial</u> ; ⁴⁰⁸ Johansson et al; peer	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 41 assigned to	Mean age 67, male 66.2%, hypertension 61.2%, COPD 12.5%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom	Mortality: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
reviewed; 2021	prostacyclin 1	CKD 2.5%,		resolution, infection and	
	ng/kg/min for 3 days and 39 assigned to SOC			adverse events	ventilation: No information
					Symptom
					resolution or
					improvement: No
					information
					Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
					Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
					Hospitalization: No information





	Proxalutamide Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT				·				
<u>Cadegiani et al</u> ; ⁴⁰⁹ Preprint; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 114 assigned to proxalutamide 200 mg a day for 15 days and 100 assigned to SOC	NR	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○			
				Notes: Randomization and concealment methods probably not appropriate.	Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○			
<u>AB-DRUG-SARS-</u> <u>004 trial</u> ; ⁴¹⁰ Cadegiani et al; peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 171 assigned to proxalutamide 200 mg a day for 15 days and 65 assigned to	Mean age 45.3 ± 13, male 54.2%, hypertension 22.5%, diabetes 8.9%, COPD 0%, asthma 5%, CKD 0.4%, cancer 17%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○			
	SOC	obesity 15.7%		Notes: Concealment of allocation and blinding probably inappropriate.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No			
<u>KP-DRUG-SARS-</u> <u>003 trial;</u> ⁴¹¹ Cadegiani et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 423 assigned to proxalutide 300mg a day for 14 days and	Median age 51 ± , male 59.6%, hypertension 27.6%, diabetes 12.5%, COPD 2.3%, asthma %, CHD %, CKD 0%, cerebrovascular disease	Steroids 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$			
	355 assigned to SOC	%, immunosuppresive therapy %, cancer %, obesity %		Notes: Randomization scheme was modified during the study.	Hospitalization: RR 0.07 (95%CI 0.01 to 0.52); RD -4.5%			
<u>AB-DRUG-SARS-</u> <u>005 trial;</u> ⁴¹² Cadegiani et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 75 assigned to proxalutamide	Mean age 44.2 ± 12.1, male 0%, hypertension 31.1%, diabetes 8.5%, COPD 0.6%, obesity	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection,	(95%CI -4.7% to - 2.3%); Very low certainty ⊕○○○			





	200 mg a day for 7 days and 102 assigned to SOC	18.1%		and adverse events Notes: Randomization process presented as "Blocked" but described as a cluster randomization.	
	Uncerta	Pyrid inty in potential benefits a	Ostigmine and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				·	
PISCO trial; ⁴¹³ Fragoso-Saavedra et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 94 assigned to pyridostigmine 60 mg a day for 14 days and 94 assigned to SOC	Median age 52 ± 20, male 59.6%, hypertension 35.1%, diabetes 36.2%, COPD 4.3%, asthma %, CHD 2.1%, obesity 43.1%	Corticosteroids 74.5%, tocilizumab 5.3%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation and blinding probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: No information

PAHO (Span American Organization American

	Quercetin Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
<u>Onal et al</u> ; ⁴¹⁴ peer review; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 49 assigned to Quercetin 1000 mg and 380 assigned to SOC	Age > 50 65.7%, male 56.6%, hypertension 38.7%, diabetes 28.2%, COPD 6%, asthma 13.9%, CHD 22.6%, CKD 0.2%, cancer 3.6%, obesity 0.9%	Hydroxychloroquine 97.5%, favipiravir 13.2%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Randomization and concealment process probably inappropriate. Non-blinded study.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information			
<u>Di Pierro et al</u> , ⁴¹⁵ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 21 assigned to quercetin 400- 600 mg a day for 14days and 21 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.3 ± 19.5, male 47.6%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis			
	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to quercetin 1000 mg a day for 7 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 51.8, male 56.6%, hypertension 20%, asthma 6.6%, CHD 15%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes:	studies): Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$			
<u>Rondanelli et al</u> ; ⁴¹⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with exposed COVID-19 infection. 60 assigned to quercetin 500 mg a day and 60 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.3 ± 12.9, male 52.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events				



	Uncertai	Ra inty in potential benefits a	mipril nd harms. Further resea	Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT		•	•	-			
RASTAVI trial; ⁴¹⁸ Amat-Santos et al; preprint; 2020	to 10 mg a day and 52	Mean age 82.3 ± 6.1, male 56.9%, hypertension 54.15%, diabetes 20.65%, chronic lung disease 7.35%, coronary heart disease 22.45%, chronic kidney disease 34.15%, cerebrovascular disease 11.15%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty ⊕○○○Adverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information		
	RD-X19 (light therapy) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.						
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care		



259

					and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
EB-P12-01 trial; ⁴¹⁹ Stasko et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to RD-X19 light dose of 16 J/cm2 twice a day and 11 assigned to SOC	Median age 40 ± 20.6, male 52%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
		combinant super inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•		•		
<u>Li et al</u> ; ⁴²⁰ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 46 assigned to recombinant super-	Median age 54 ± 23.5, male 46.8%, hypertension 19.1%, diabetes 9.6%, chronic lung disease 1.1%,	Corticosteroids 9.6%, ATB 22.3%, intravenous immunoglobulin 3.2%, lopinavir-ritonavir	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization

Readabiyimab may		coronary heart disease 7.4%, cerebrovascular disease 5.3%, liver disease 6.4% Regdanvimab (m		events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. ody) ical ventilation are uncerta	information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
Keguativillat illay	mprove time to sympt		eeded.		ini. Fui thei Tesearch is
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
Eom et al; ⁴²¹	Patients with mild to	Mean age 51 ± 20, male	ND	T C 1: 1	
Preprint; 2021	moderate COVID-19 infection. 204 assigned to regdanvimab 40- 80 mg/kg once and 103 assigned to SOC	44.6%, comorbidities	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$





REGEN-COV prob	ably reduces mortality a		n in seronegative severe	evimab) to critical patients. In mild es symptomatic infections.	Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ patients REGEN-COV
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	ł		ł	•	
Weinreich et al; ⁴²³ preprint; 2020	Patients with recent onset mild disease with risk factors for severe COVID-19 infection. 2091 assigned to REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) 1.2 to 2.4 g single infusion and 2089 assigned to SOC	Median age 50 ± 21, male 48.7%, obesity 58%, comorbidities 100%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.83 (95%CI 0.64 to 1.07); RD -3.4% (95%CI - 5.8% to 1.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Mortality (seronegative): RR 0.79 (95%CI 0.71 to 0.89); RD -3.2% (95%CI -4.6% to - 1.8%): Moderate
<u>RECOVERY -</u> <u>REGEN-COV</u> <u>trial;</u> ⁴²⁴ Horby et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 4839 assigned to REGEN- COV (Regeneron) 8 g	Mean age 61.9 ± 14.4, male 63%, diabetes 26.5%, COPD %, CHD 21%, CKD 5%	Corticosteroids 94%, azithromycin 3%, Baricitinib 9%; Vaccinated 8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some Concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse	 1.8%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.79 (95%CI 0.54 to





	once and 4946 assigned to SOC			events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	1.14); RD -3.6% (95%CI -8% to 2.4%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation (seronegative): RR
<u>O'Brien et al;</u> ⁴²⁵ peer reviwed; 2021	Patients with early asymptomatic COVID-19 infection. 100 assigned to REGEN-COV (Regeneron) 1.2 g once and 104 assigned to SOC	Mean age 40.9 ± 18, male 45.4%, diabetes 7.8%, CKD 2.5%, immunosuppressive therapy 1.5%, obesity 13.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	0.82 (95%CI 0.74 to 0.9); RD -3.1% (95%CI -4.5% to - 1.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖ Symptom resolution or
O'Brien et al; ⁴²⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with exposed to COVID-19 infection. 753 assigned to REGN-CoV2 (Regeneron) 1200mg once and 752 assigned to SOC	Median age 42.9, male 45.9%, diabetes 6.8%, CKD 1.9%, immunosuppressive therapy 1%, obesity 13.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	improvement: RR 1.06 (95%CI 1 to 1.12); RD 3.6% (95%CI 0% to 7.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptom resolution or
OPTIMISE-C19 trial; ⁷⁴ McCreary et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection disease and risk factors for severity. 922 assigned to REGN- CoV2 (Regeneron) and 1013 assigned to bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab	Mean age 56 ± 16, male 46%, hypertension 53%, diabetes 25%, COPD 19%, asthma %, CHD 18%, CKD 6.5%, immunosuppresive therapy 27%, obesity 48%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	improvement (seronegative): RR 1.1 (95%CI 1.06 to 1.14); RD 6% (95%CI 3.6% to 8.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptomatic
<u>Somersan-Karakaya</u> <u>et al</u> ; ⁴²⁷ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 804 assigned to REGN-COV2 (Regeneron) 2.4 to 8 gr once and 393 assigned to SOC	Median age 62 ± , male 54.1%	Corticosteroids 74.8%, remdesivir 54.9%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	infection (prophylaxis studies): RR 0.43 (95%CI 0.31 to 0.59); RD -9.9% (95%CI - 12% to -7.1%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕ Adverse events: RR
<u>R10933-10987-</u>	Patients with mild	Mean age 34.6, male	NR	Low for mortality and	0.54 (95%CI 0.27 to 1.07); RD -4.7%

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization

COV-20145 trial; ⁴²⁸ Portal Celhay et al; preprint; 2021 Isa et al; ⁴²⁹ preprint; 2021 Weinreich et al; ⁴³⁰ preprint; 2021 OPTIMISE-C19 trial; ⁴³¹ Huang et al; preprint; 2021	584 assigned to REGN-COV2 (Regeneron) 300 - 2400 mg once and 77 assigned to SOC Patients with COVID- 19 infection. assigned to REGN-COV2 (Regeneron) and assigned to Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19	44.3% Median age 48 ± 22, male 55.1%, hypertension 14.7%, asthma 5.2%, CHD 0.8%, CKD 0.2%, Median age 42 ± 21, male 47.1%, obesity 37.3%, Risk factor for hospitalization 60.5% Mean age 54 ± 18, male %, hypertension 30%, diabetes 12%, CHD 16%, CKD 4.7%	NR NR NR	mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	(95%CI -7.4% to 0.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Hospitalization: RR 0.30 (95%CI 0.20 to 0.46); RD -3.4% (95%CI -3.8% to - 2.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○
		nay reduce mechanical ve		nd improve time to sympto v because of risk of bias an	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>ACTT-1 trial;</u> Beigel et al; ⁴³² peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19 infection. 541 assigned	Mean age 58.9 ± 15, male 64.3%, hypertension 49.6%,	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for	Mortality: RR 0.97 (95%CI 0.86 to 1.10); RD -0.5% (95%CI -





	to remdesivir intravenously 200 mg loading dose on day 1 followed by a 100 mg maintenance dose administered daily on days 2 through 10 or until hospital discharge or death and 522 assigned to standard of care	diabetes 29.7%, chronic lung disease 7.6%, coronary heart disease 11.6%,		symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	2.2% to 1.6%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.79 (95%CI 0.55 to 1.14); RD -3.6% (95%CI - 7.8% to 2.4%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptom
<u>SIMPLE trial;</u> Goldman et al; ⁴³³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 200 assigned to remdesivir (5 days) 200 mg once followed 100 mg for 5 days and 197 assigned to remdesivir (10 days)	Median age 61.5 ± 20, male 63.7%, hypertension 49.8%, diabetes 22.6%, asthma 12.3%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	resolution or improvement: RR 1.1 (95%CI 0.96 to 1.28); RD 6% (95%CI -2.4% to 17%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information
<u>CAP-China</u> <u>remdesivir 2 trial</u> ; ⁴³⁴ Wang et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	critical COVID-19 infection. 158 assigned	Median age 65 ± 7.5, male 60.5%, hypertension 43%, diabetes 23.7%, coronary heart disease 7.2%	Corticosteroids 65.6%, lopinavir-ritonavir 28.4%, IFN 32.2%, ATB 91.1%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Severe Adverse events: RR 0.77 (95%CI 0.46 to 1.29); RD -2.3% (95%CI - 5.5% to 3%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Hospitalization: RR 0.28 (95%CI 0.11 to 0.75); RD -3.4% (95%CI -4.3% to -
<u>SIMPLE 2 trial;</u> Spinner et al; ⁴³⁵ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 384 assigned to remdesivir 200 mg on day 1 followed by 100 mg a day for 5 to 10 days and 200 assigned to standard of care	Median age 57 ± 9, male 61.3%, hypertension 42%, diabetes 40%, asthma 14%, coronary heart disease 56%	Corticosteroids 17%, hydroxychloroquine 21.33%, lopinavir- ritonavir 11%, tocilizumab 4%	Some concerns for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Additional	1.2%); Low certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖





				treatments unbalanced between arms which suggests that patients might have been treated differently.	
WHO SOLIDARITY; ²⁰⁷ Pan et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19. 2743 assigned to remdesivir 200 mg once followed by 100 mg a day for 10 days and 2708 assigned to standard of care	Age < 70 years 61%, male 62%, hypertension %, diabetes 25%, COPD 6%, asthma 5%, coronary heart disease 21%	Corticosteroids 15.1%, convalescent plasma 0.5%, Anti IL6 2.1%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Mahajan et al</u> ; ⁴³⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to severe COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to remdesivir 200 mg once followed by 100 mg once a day for 5 days and 36 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.7 ± 13.1, male 65.5%, hypertension 45.7%, diabetes 60%, asthma 1.4%, CHD 12.9%, CKD 4.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
Abd-Elsalam et al; ⁴³⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 100 assigned to remdesivir 200mg once followed by 100mg a day for 10 days and 100 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53 ± 15, male 59.5%, hypertension 33%, diabetes 34%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>Sarhan et al</u> ; ⁴³⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 52 assigned to Remdesivir 200 mg	Mean age 57, male 72%, hypertension 61.7%, diabetes 47.6%, COPD 2.8%, asthma 13.1%,	Hydroxychloroquine 52.3%, tocilizumab 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection,	





<u>PINETREE trial</u> ; ⁴³⁹ Gottlieb et al; peer reviewed; 2021	once followed by 100 mg a day for 5 days plus tocilizumab and 56 assigned to HCQ 400mg once followed by 200mg a day for 5 days plus tocilizumab Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 279 assigned to remdesivir 200 mg once followed by 100	CHD 21.5%, CKD 4.7%, Mean age 50 ± 15, male 53.1%, hypertension 47.7%, diabetes 61.6%, COPD 24%, CKD 3.2%,	NR	and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
	mg on days two and three and 283 assigned to SOC	immunosuppresion 4.1%, cancer 5.3%, obesity 55.2%			
<u>CATCO trial</u> ; ⁴⁴⁰ Ali et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 170 assigned to Remdesivir 200 mg once followed by 100 mg a day for 10 days and 153 assigned to SOC	NR	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
	Uncertai	Rese inty in potential benefits a	veratrol and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>McCreary et al</u> ; ⁴⁴¹ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to resveratrol 4gr a day for 7 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56 ± 9, male 43%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty



Reszinate trial; ⁴⁴² Kaplan et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 14 assigned to resveratrol + Zinc 4000/150 mg once a day for five days and 16 assigned to SOC	Mean age 42.4, male 40%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes:	
		G-CSF (in patien inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects
	analyzed				vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	analyzed				and GRADE certainty of the



	Uncertai	rhG-CS inty in potential benefits a	F (inhaled) and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				·	
SARPAC trial; ⁴⁴⁴ Lambrecht et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to rhG- CSF (inhaled) 125 µg twice daily for 5 days and 41 assigned to SOC	Mean age 60 ± 20, male 61%, hypertension 17.1%, diabetes 17.1%, CHD 2.4%, CKD 2.4%, cancer 4.9%,	Corticosteroids 22%, hydroxychloroquine 63.4%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty

World Health Organization

an American



					Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Rib inty in potential benefits a	Davirin and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•		•	•	
<u>Chen et al</u> ; ³⁰⁹ preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 33 assigned to ribavirin 2 g IV loading dose followed by orally 400-600 mg every 8 h for 14 days, 36 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir and 32 assigned to ribavirin plus lopinavir- ritonavir	Mean age 42.5 ± 11.5, male 45.5%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No
	Uncertai	Ribavirin plus inty in potential benefits a	interferon beta- and harms. Further resea		
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the





270

					evidence
RCT					
Hung et al; ⁴⁴⁵ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 86 assigned to ribavirin plus interferon beta-1b 400 mg every 12 hours (ribavirin), and subcutaneous injection of one to three doses of interferon beta-1b 1 mL (8 million international units [IU]) on alternate days, for 14 days and 41 assigned to standard of care	Median age 52 ± 15, male 54%, hypertension 18.3%, diabetes 13.3%, coronary heart disease 7.9% cerebrovascular disease 1.5%, cancer 1.5%	Corticosteroids 6.2%, ATB 53.3%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information





Ruxolitinib Ruxolitinib may not improve time to symptom resolution. However the certainty of the evidence was low. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT		•	•	•				
<u>Cao et al</u> ; ⁴⁴⁶ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 22 assigned to ruxolitinib 5 mg twice a day and 21 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 63 ± 10, male 58.5%, hypertension 39%, diabetes 19.5%, coronary heart disease 7.3%,	Corticosteroids 70.7%, IVIG 43.9%, umifenovir 73%, oseltamivir 27%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanica ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○			
RUXCOVID trial; NCT04362137 other; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 287 assigned to Ruxolitinib 10 mg a day for 14 to 28 days and 145 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56.5 ± 13.3, male 54.4%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.1); RD -0.6% (95% CI -6.6% to 6%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information			
				Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: N information				





Sarilumab may re	Sarilumab Sarilumab may reduce mortality and mechanical ventilation requirements; however, the certainty of the evidence is low. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
<u>REMAP-CAP -</u> <u>tocilizumab trial;</u> ⁴⁴⁷ Gordon et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 353 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice, 48 assigned to sarilumab 400 mg once and 402 assigned to SOC	male 72.7%, diabetes 35.4%, COPD 24%, CHD 10.2%,	Corticosteroids 75.6%, remdesivir 32.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: RR 0.99 (95%CI 0.86 to 1.14); RD -0.2% (95%CI - 2.2% to 2.2%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.96 (95%CI 0.67 to 1.36); RD -0.7% (95%CI - 5.7% to 6.2%); Low			
Lescure et al; ⁴⁴⁸ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 332 assigned to sarilumab 200-400 mg once and 84 assigned to SOC	Mean age 59 ± 18, male 62.7%, hypertension 42.5%, diabetes 26.4%, COPD 4.3%, asthma 4.1%, CHD 5.3%, CKD 4.3%, cancer 10.1%, obesity 20.7%	Corticosteroids 46.4%, hydroxychloroquine 34.5%, azithromycin 46.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	certainty ⊕⊕⊖⊖ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.97 to 1.06); RD 1.2% (95%CI -1.8% to			
Sarilumab- COVID19 Study trial; ⁴⁴⁹ Sivapalasingam, et al; preprint; 2021 (two studies reported)	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 1148 assigned to sarilumab 200-400 mg once and 376 assigned to SOC	Critical patient population: Mean age 61 ± 20, male 68.4%, hypertension 52.1%, diabetes 18.7%, obesity 46.5%	Corticosteroids 34.3%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	(95%CI -1.8% to 3.6%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information			
CORIMUNO- SARI trial; ⁴⁵⁰ Mariette, et al, peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 68 assigned to sarilumab 400mg once and 76 assigned to	Median age 62, male %, hypertension 25.1%, diabetes 30.5%, COPD 6.3%, asthma 8%, CKD 11.8%, cancer 3%,	Steroids 20.1%, remdesivir 0%, hydroxychloroquine 14.6%, azithromycin 39.6%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Severe adverse events: RR 1.03 (95%CI 0.91 to 1.17); RD 0.3% (95%CI - 0.9% to 1.7%);			



	SOC				Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖
CORIMUNO- SARI ICU trial; ⁴⁵¹ Hermine et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 48 assigned to sarilumab 400mg once and 33 assigned to SOC	Median age 61, male 76.5%, diabetes 31.2%, COPD 3.7%, asthma 4.9%, CKD 13.5%, cancer 1.2%,	Steroids 19.7%, remdesivir 0%, hydroxychloroquine 4.9%, lopinavir- ritonavir 1.2%, azithromycin 2.5%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Hospitalization: No information
SARCOVID trial; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to sarilumab 400 mg once and 10 assigned to SOC	Median age 62	Corticosteroids 83.3%, remdesivir 0%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.	
SARICOR trial; ⁴⁵³ Merchante et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 76 assigned to sarilumab 200-400mg once and 39 assigned to SOC	Median age 59, male 68%, hypertension 41%, diabetes 15%, COPD 13%, CHD 4%, CKD 2%,	Steroids 90%, remdesivir 12%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
SARTRE trial; ⁴⁵⁴ Sancho-Lopez et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 99 assigned to sarilumab 200-400mg once and 102 assigned to SOC	Median age 60, male 70.2%, hypertension 40.8%, diabetes 16.4%, COPD 9.5%, CHD 12.4%, CKD 3%, cancer 3%, obesity 3.5%	Steroids 100%, remdesivir 1%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have	





Study; publication status	Uncerta Patients and interventions analyzed	Secul inty in potential benefits a Comorbidities	xinumab nd harms. Further rese Additional interventions	introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results. arch is needed. Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT BISHOP trial; ⁴⁵⁵ Gomes Resende et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 25 assigned to secukinumab 300 mg once and 23 assigned to SOC	Mean age 54 ± 21.5, male 52%, hypertension 48%, diabetes 34%, CHD 8%, obesity 48%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Symptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationSevere adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Short-way inty in potential benefits a	ve diathermy nd harms. Further rese	arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE





					certainty of the evidence
RCT	·				-
<u>Tian et al</u> ; ⁴⁵⁶ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 27 assigned to short-wave diathermy and 13 assigned to SOC	Median age 65 ± 18, male 62.5%, hypertension 30%, diabetes %, COPD 45%, CHD 30%, CKD 7.5%, cerebrovascular disease 27.5%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation and blinding probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe adverse events: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Sild inty in potential benefits a	lenafil and harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>UNAB-003 trial</u> , ⁴⁵⁷ Santamarina et al; peer reviewed; 2022	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to	Median age 57, male 82.5%, diabetes 20%, COPD 0%, asthma 5%	Corticosteroids 82.5%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical





276

	sildenafil 75 mg a day for 7 days and 20 assigned to SOC	Siltu	ıximab ınd harms. Further resea	adverse events Notes: Blinding and concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>COV-AID-2</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 77 assigned to siltuximab 11 mg/kg once and 72 assigned to SOC	Median age 64	Corticosteroids 59%, remdesivir 3.4%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No





	Uncertai	Sita inty in potential benefits a	gliptin nd harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				-	
Asadipooya et al; ⁴⁵⁸ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 66 assigned to sitagliptin 100 mg a day and 87 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.5 ±, male 51.2%, hypertension 29%, diabetes 27.1%, COPD 8.4%, asthma %, CHD 21.2%, CKD 6.4%, cancer 5.9%, obesity 18.7%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe adverse events: No information





	Cofeel		• • •	•	Hospitalization: No information
Sofosbuvir alone	e or in combination with		may not reduce mortalit	vir, or velpatasvi y or mechanical ventilation ution.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					·
<u>Kasgari et al</u> ; ³¹² peer- reviewed; 2020	moderate COVID-19	Median age 52.5 ± NR, male 37.5%, hypertension 35.4%, diabetes 37.5%, chronic lung disease 2%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: RR 1.14 (95%CI 0.83 to 1.56); RD 2.2% (95%CI - 2.7% to 9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 1.02 (95%CI 0.59 to 1.76); RD 0.3% (95%CI - 7.1% to 13.1.7%);
Sadeghi et al; ⁴⁵⁹ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 33 assigned to sofosbuvir/daclatasvir 400/60 mg once a day for 14 days and 33 assigned to standard of care	Median age 58 ± 13, male 20.21%, hypertension 34.8%, diabetes 42.4%, chronic lung disease 22.7%, asthma 3%, coronary heart disease 15.1%, cancer 4.5%, obesity 25.7%	Corticosteroids 30.2%, lopinavir-ritonavir 48.4%, antibiotics 89.4%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Only outcome assessors and data analysts were blinded. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	 7.1% to 13.1.7%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.01 (95%CI 0.95 to 1.08); RD 0.6% (95%CI -3% to 4.8%) Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptomatic
<u>Yakoot et al</u> ; ⁴⁶⁰ preprint; 2020	U U	Median age 49 ± 27, male 42.7%, hypertension 26%, diabetes 19%, COPD %,	Hydroxychloroquine 100% azithromycin 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection,	infection (prophylaxis studies): No information





<u>Roozbeh et al</u> ; ⁴⁶¹ Peer reviewed; 2020	400/60 mg once a day for 10 days and 45 assigned to standard of care Patients with moderate COVID-19. 27 assigned to sofosbuvir/daclatasvir	asthma 1%, coronary heart disease 8% Median age 53 ± 16, male 47%, comorbidities 38%	Azithromycin 100%, hydroxychloroquine 100%	and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
	400/60 mg once a day for 7 days and 28 assigned to SOC			Notes: Blinding method possibly inappropriate which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Sali et al</u> ; ³¹⁰ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 22 assigned to sofosbuvir 400 mg a day and 32 assigned to lopinavir- ritonavir 400/100 mg every 12 hours	Mean age 56.5 ± 14, male 53.7%, diabetes 33%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
DISCOVER trial; ⁴⁶² Mobarak et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 541 assigned to sofosbuvir/daclatasvir 400/60mg a day for 10 days and 542 assigned to SOC	Median age 58, male 54%, hypertension 34%, diabetes 26%, COPD 2.1%, asthma 4.8%, CHD 9.1%,	Steroids 69.9%, remdesivir 15.6%, hydroxychloroquine 12.8%, lopinavir- ritonavir 33.1%, azithromycin 22.1%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
<u>Alavi-moghaddam</u> <u>et al</u> ; ⁴⁶³ Preprint; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 27 assigned to sofosbuvir 400 mg a day and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.2 ±, male 49.1%, hypertension 21%, diabetes 29.8%, COPD 7%, CHD 19.3%, CKD 1.7%, obesity 1.7%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded	





www.paho.org/coronavirus

	1	1	1	1
				study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Yadollahzadeh et</u> <u>al;</u> ³¹³ Preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 58 assigned to sofosbuvir/daclatasvir 400/60 mg a day for 10 days and 54 assigned to lopinavir- ritonavir 400/100 mg twice a day for 7 days	Mean age 57.4 ± 15, male 44.6%, hypertension 25%, diabetes 21.4%, COPD 3.6%, CHD 15.2%, CKD 6.2%, immunosuppression 3.6%, cancer 10.7%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Khalili et al</u> ; ⁴⁶⁴ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 42 assigned to sofosbuvir/ledipasvir 400/90 mg a day for 10 days and 40 assigned to SOC	Median age 62.2 ± 23.1, hypertension 45.1%, diabetes 45.1%, COPD 4.9%, CHD 31.7%, cancer 3.6%,	Corticosteroids 8.5%, hydroxychloroquine 10.9%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Elgohary et al</u> ; ⁴⁶⁵ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate COVID-19 infection. 125 assigned to sofosbuvir/ledipasvir 400/90 mg once a day for 15 days and 125 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43 ±, male 0.4%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
SOVECOD trial; ⁴⁶⁶ Sayad et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 40 assigned to sofosbuvir/velpatasvir 400/100 mg once a day for 10 days and 40	Mean age 54.1 ± 17.8, male 55%, hypertension 30%, diabetes 20%, COPD 10%, CHD 17.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded





	assigned to SOC			study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>El-Bendari et al</u> , ⁴⁶⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	96 assigned to	Mean age 53 ± 15, male 54.6%, hypertension 21.3%, diabetes 37.3%, asthma 1.7%, CHD 10.9%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.
Abbass et al; ⁴⁶⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 80 assigned to sofosbuvir/daclatasvir 400/60 a day or sofosbuvir/ravidasvir 400/200mg a day for 10 days and 40 assigned to SOC	Mean age 44.6 ± 4.7, male 53.3%, diabetes 18.3%, asthma 1.6%, CHD 75.8%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Table 1 shows more severe patients in SOC (68% vs 59%).



Sotrovimab	probably reduces hospi		ovimab h mild recent onset COV	/ID-19 with risk factors for	severe disease.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				·	
<u>COMET-ICE</u> <u>trial;</u> ⁴⁶⁹ Gupta et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with recent onset mild to moderate COVID-19 infection, with risk factors for severity progression. 291 assigned to sotrovimab 500 mg once and 292 assigned to SOC	Median age 53 ±, male 46%, diabetes 23%, COPD 4%, asthma 16%, CKD 0.7%, obesity 63%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Stopped early for benefit.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom
<u>OPTIMISE-C19</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴³¹ Huang et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 2454 assigned to REGN- COV2 (Regeneron) one infusion and 1104 assigned to sotrovimab one infusion	Mean age 54 ± 18, male %, hypertension 30%, diabetes 12%, CHD 16%, CKD 4.7%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.29 (95%CI 0.12 to 0.63); RD -7.1% (95%CI -8.9% to - 3.8%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
					Hospitalization: RR 0.14 (95%CI 0.04 to 0.48); RD -4.1% (95%CI -4.6% to - 2.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕⊖

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization

	Spironolactone Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.						
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT							
Asadipooya et al, ⁴⁵⁸ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to spironolactone 100 mg a day and 87 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.5 ±, male 51.2%, hypertension 29%, diabetes 27.1%, COPD 8.4%, asthma %, CHD 21.2%, CKD 6.4%, cancer 5.9%, obesity 18.7%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information		



	Statins Statins may reduce mortality. Further research is needed.						
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT	•						
RESIST trial; ⁴⁹ Ghati et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 221 assigned to atorvastatin 40 mg once a day for 10 days and 219 assigned to SOC	Mean age 53.1 ± 9.2, male 73.3%, hypertension 28.6%, diabetes 27.7%, CHD 1.1%, CKD 2.4%	Corticosteroids 27.3%, remdesivir 20.6%, hydroxychloroquine 9.9%, tocilizumab 0.6%, convalescent plasma 0.2%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Blinding and concealment probably inappropriate.	Mortality: RR 0.90 (95%CI 0.72 to 1.12); RD -1.6% (95%CI - 4.5% to 2.1%); Low certainty $\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty		
INSPIRATION/I NSPIRATION-S trial; ⁴⁷⁰ Bikdeli et al; peer reviewed; 2022	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 290 assigned to atorvastatin 20 mg a day for 30 days and 297 assigned to SOC	Median age 57 ± , male 56.4%, hypertension 31.5%, diabetes 16.7%, COPD 8%	Corticosteroids 93.4%, remdesivir 66.3%, hydroxychloroquine 7.5%, lopinavir- ritonavir 0.7%, tocilizumab 14.5%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	 ⊕○○○ Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information 		
					Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information		



	Stem-cell nebulization Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT								
SENTAD-COVID trial; ⁴⁷¹ Carmenate et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 69 assigned to stem- cell nebulization twice, 24 h apart, and 70 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45.1 ± 10.4, male 46.5%, hypertension 26.6%, diabetes 22.3%, COPD %, asthma 10.7%, CHD 9.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Hospitalization: No information			


Steroids (corticosteroids) Corticosteroids reduce mortality and probably reduce invasive mechanical ventilation requirements in patients with severe COVID-19 infection with moderate certainty. Corticosteroids may not significantly increase the risk of severe adverse events. Higher doses (i.e., dexamethasone 12 mg a day) may not be more effective than standard doses (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg a day)								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT			•	•				
GLUCOCOVID trial; ⁴⁷² Corral- Gudino et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 56 assigned to methylprednisolone 40 mg twice daily for 3 days followed by 20 mg twice daily for 3 days and 29 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 69.5 ± 11.5, male 61.9%, hypertension 47.6%, diabetes 17.5%, chronic lung disease 7.9%, cerebrovascular disease 12.7%	Hydroxychloroquine 96.8%, lopinavir- ritonavir 84.1%, azithromycin 92%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably	Mortality: RR 0.90 (95%CI 0.80 to 1.01); RD -1.6% (95%CI - 3.2% to 0.2%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.87 (95%CI 0.73 to 1.04);			
<u>Metcovid trial</u> ; ⁴⁷³ Prado Jeronimo et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 194 assigned to methylprednisolone 0.5 mg/kg twice a day for 5 days and 199 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 55 ± 15, male 64.6%, hypertension 48.9%, diabetes 29.1%, chronic lung disease 0.5%, asthma 2.5%, coronary heart disease 6.9%, alcohol use disorder 27%, liver disease 5.5%	Remdesivir 0%, tocilizumab 0%, convalescent plasma 0%	inappropriate. Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	RD -2.2% (95%CI - 4.7% to 0.7%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.19 (95%CI 0.95 to 1.5); RD 11.5% (95%CI -3% to 30%);			
<u>RECOVERY -</u> <u>Dexamethasone</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁷⁴ Horby et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 2104 assigned to dexamethasone 6 mg once daily for 10 days and 4321 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 66.1 ± 15.7, male 64%, diabetes 24%, chronic lung disease 21%, asthma NR%, coronary heart disease 27%, chronic kidney disease 8%, liver disease 2%, any comorbidities 56%	Corticosteroids NA%, remdesivir 0.08%, hydroxychloroquine 1%, lopinavir-ritonavir 0.5%, tocilizumab 3%, azithromycin 25%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse	Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Severe adverse events: RR 0.89			

Storaida

ntioogtomoida



	Patients with severe to	NR	NR	events outcomes results.	(95%CI 0.68 to 1.17); RD -1.1% (95%CI - 3.3% to 1.7%); Low
DEXA-COVID19 trial; ⁴⁷⁵ Villar et al; unpublished; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. Seven assigned to dexamethasone 20 mg a day for 5 days followed by 10 mg a day for 5 days and 12 assigned to standard of care	INK	INK	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation Notes: RoB judgment from published SR.	certainty $\bigoplus \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: No information
<u>CoDEX trial</u> ; ⁴⁷⁶ Tomazini et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with critical COVID-19. 151 assigned to dexamethasone 20 mg a day for 5 days followed by 10 mg a day for 5 days and 148 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 61.4 ± 14.4, male 62.5%, hypertension 66.2%, diabetes 42.1%, coronary heart disease 7.7%, chronic kidney disease 5.3%, obesity 27%	hydroxychloroquine 21.4%, azithromycin 71.2%, ATB 87%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>REMAP-CAP</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁷⁷ Arabi et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 278 assigned to hydrocortisone 50 mg every 6 hours for 7 days and 99 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 59.9 ± 13, male 71%, diabetes 32%, chronic lung disease 20.3%, coronary heart disease 7.5%, chronic kidney disease 9.2%, immunosuppression 4.9%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
COVID STEROID trial; ⁴⁷⁵ Petersen et al; Unpublished; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 15 assigned to hydrocortisone 200 mg a day for 7 days and 14 assigned to	NR	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation Notes: Risk of bias judgment from	





	standard of care			published SR.	
<u>CAPE COVID</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁷⁸ Dequin et al; peer-reviewed; 2020		Median age 64.7 ± 19.3, male 69.8%, hypertension %, diabetes 18.1%, chronic lung disease 7.4%, immunosuppression 6%	Remdesivir 3.4%, hydroxychloroquine 46.9%, lopinavir- ritonavir 14.1%, tocilizumab 2%, azithromycin 34.2%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Corticosteroids-</u> <u>SARI trial</u> ; ⁴⁷⁵ Unpublished; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 24 assigned to methylprednisolone 40 mg twice a day for 5 days and 23 assigned to standard of care	NR	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation Notes: Risk of bias judgment from published SR.	
<u>Farahani et al</u> ; ⁴⁷⁹ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 14 assigned to methylprednisolone 1000 mg/day for three days followed by prednisolone 1 mg/kg for 10 days, and 15 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 64 ± 13.5	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%, azithromycin 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Edalatifard et al</u> ; ⁴⁸⁰ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 34 assigned to methylprednisolone 250 mg/day for 3 days and 28 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 58.5 ± 16.6, male 62.9%, hypertension 32.3%, diabetes 35.5%, chronic lung disease 9.7%, coronary heart disease 17.7%, chronic kidney disease 11.3%, cancer 4.8%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	





	1	1	1	<u>т</u>	
<u>Tang et al</u> ; ⁴⁸¹ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 43 assigned to methylprednisolone 1 mg/kg for 7 days and 43 assigned to SOC	Median age 56 ± 27, male 47.7%, hypertension 36%, diabetes 9.3%, COPD 3.5%, asthma 2.4%, CHD 7%, CKD 1.2%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Jamaati et al</u> ; ⁴⁸² Peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 25 assigned to dexamethasone 20 mg a day for 5 days followed by 10 mg a day until day 10 and 25 assigned to SOC	Median age 62 ± 16.5, male 72%, hypertension 50%, diabetes 54%, COPD 20%, CHD 14%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Rashad et al</u> ; ⁴⁸³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 75 assigned to dexamethasone 4 mg/kg a day for 3 days followed by 8 mg a day for 10 days and 74 assigned to TCZ	Mean age 62, male 56.9%, hypertension 47.7%, diabetes 28.4%, COPD 1.8%, asthma 2.7%, CHD 12.8%, CKD 8.2%, cancer 0.9%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up as patients who died in the first 3 days after randomization were excluded.	
<u>Ghanei et al</u> ; ⁶³ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 116 assigned to predninoslone 25mg a day for 5 days and 110 assigned to SOC	Mean age 58.1 ± 16.3, male 51.5%, hypertension 24.7%, diabetes 12.2%, asthma 4.5%, CHD 8.9%, CKD 1.2%,	Convalescent plasma 1.8%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	





<u>Ranjbar et al</u> ; ⁴⁸⁴ Preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 44 assigned to Methylprednisolone 2 mg/kg daily for 5 days followed by tapering using same scheme at half dose every 5 days, 42 assigned to dexamethasone 6 mg a day for 10 days	Mean age 58.7 ± 17.4, male 56.9%, hypertension 45.3%, diabetes 32.5%, CHD 30.2%, CKD 2.3%,	NR	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Unbalanced prognostic factors (age and gender).	Mortality: RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.67 to 1.34); RD -0.8% (95%CI - 5.3% to 5.4%); Low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 0.98 (95%CI 0.90 to 1.09); RD -0.2% (95%CI -1% to 0.9%); Low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 0.85 (95%CI 0.61 to
COVID STEROID 2 trial; ⁴⁸⁵ Munch et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 497 assigned to dexamethasone 12 mg a day for 10 days and 485 assigned to dexamethasone 6 mg a day for 10 days	Median age 64.5 ± 18, male 69%, diabetes 30.3%, COPD 12%, CHD 14%	Remdesivir 62.8%, tocilizumab 10.1%, convalescent plasma 2.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
<u>Maskin et al</u> ; ⁴⁸⁶ preprint; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 49 assigned to dexamethasone 16 mg a day for 5 days followed by 8 mg a day for 5 days and 49 assigned to dexamethasone 6mg a day for 10 days	Mean age 61.8 ± 13.4, male 70%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
<u>Toroghi et al</u> ; ⁴⁸⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 86 assigned to dexamethasone 16 to 24 mg a day and 47 assigned to dexamethasone 8 mg a day for up to 10 days	Mean age 58, male 60.2%, hypertension 36%, diabetes 22.5%, COPD 6%, CHD 17.3%, CKD 1.5%, cerebrovascular disease 6%, cancer 2.3%,	Remdesivir 75.2%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	 1.19); RD -1.5% (95%CI -4% to 1.9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Hospitalization: No information





HIGHLOWDEXA trial; ⁴⁸⁸ Taboada et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 98 assigned to dexamethasone 20 mg once a day for 5 days dexamethasone and	Mean age 64.3 ± 14.3, male 61.8%, hypertension 48%, diabetes 19%, COPD 7%, asthma 5%, CHD 13.5%, CKD 3.5%,	Remdesivir 10%, tocilizumab 12%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events					
	102 assigned to dexamethasone 6 mg once a day for 10 days	obesity 53%		Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.					
<u>Naik et al</u> , ⁴⁸⁹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 21 assigned to dexamethasone 20 mg a day for 3 days and 21 assigned to TCZ 6mg/kg once	Median age 50.5, male 57.1%, hypertension 57.1%, diabetes 35.7%, COPD 4.8%, asthma 2.4%, CHD %, CKD 0%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.					
	Steroids (inhaled corticosteroids) Inhaled corticosteroids probably improve symptom resolution. Further research is needed.								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT									



	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 71 assigned to inhlaed budesonide 800 µg twice a day and 69 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45 ± 56, male 42.4%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
PRINCIPLE trial; ⁴⁹¹ Yu et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 787 assigned to inhaled budesonide 800µg twice daily for 14 days and 1069 assigned to SOC	Mean age 64.2 ± 7.6, male 48%, hypertension 44.3%, diabetes 21.4%, COPD 12.6%, CHD 15.8%, cerebrovascular disease 5.6%	NR	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Significant loss to follow-up.	Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.15 (95%CI 1.08 to 1.24); RD 9.7% (95%CI 4.8% to 14.5%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No
Song et al; ⁴⁹² peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 35 assigned to inhaled ciclesonide 320 µg twice per day for 14 days and 26 assigned to SOC	Median age 53 ± 26, male 47%, hypertension 27.8%, diabetes 14.7%, cerebrovascular disease 3.3%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	information Hospitalization: RR 0.85 (95% CI 0.58 to) 1.26); RD - 0.7% (95% CI -2% to) 1.2%; Low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Adverse events: No information
ALV-020-001 trial; ⁴⁹³ Clemency et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 197 assigned to inhaled ciclesonide 640 μg a day for 30 days and 203 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43.3 ± 16.9, male 44.8%, hypertension 22.3%, diabetes 7.5%, asthma 6.5%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	





CONTAIN trial; ⁴⁹⁴ Ezer et al; peer reviewed; 2021		Median age 35 ± 19, male 46.3%, hypertension 5.9%, diabetes 2.5%, asthma 5%, CHD 0.5%, cancer 1%,	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
Alsultan et al; ¹⁰⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 14 assigned to Inhaled steroids Budesonide 200 mcg twice a day for 5 days and 21 assigned to SOC	age 60 to 80 65.3, male 38.8%, diabetes 53.1%, CKD 8.2%, cerebrovascular disease 4.1%, Steroids (nasa	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
	Uncertai	inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				<u> </u>	
<u>Yildiz et al</u> ; ³⁵⁹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to nasal steroids and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 37.8 ± , male 56%, hypertension 10%, diabetes 7%, COPD/asthma 8%, asthma %, CHD 14%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: No information Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic





					(prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: No information
	Uncertai	Sulc inty in potential benefits a	Ddexide and harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
ERSul trial; ⁴⁹⁵ Gonzalez Ochoa et al; preprint; 2020	onset) COVID-19. 124 assigned to sulodexide 500 RLU	Median age 52 ± 10.6, male 47.4%, hypertension 34.2%, diabetes 22.2%, COPD 23%, coronary heart disease 21%,	Corticosteroids 62.5%, hydroxychloroquine 33.7%, ivermectin 43%	Some concerns for mortality and mechanical ventilation; some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Significant loss to follow-up.	Mortality: Very low certainty \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: Very low certainty \bigcirc Hospitalization: Very low certainty





					000				
TD-0903 (inhaled JAK-inhibitor) Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.									
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence				
RCT	<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>		·				
Singh et al; ⁴⁹⁶ Preprint; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 19 assigned to TD-0903 1-10 mg once a day for 7 days and 6 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.1 ± 12.3, male 68%, hypertension 68%, diabetes 40%	Corticosteroids 92%, remdesivir 12%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic informationGymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Hospitalization: No information				
	Tenofovir + emtricitabine Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed								
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence				



RCT					
AR0-CORONA <u>trial;</u> ⁴⁹⁷ Parientti et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to tenofovir + emtricitabine 245/200 mg twice a day on day one followed by 245/200 mg a day for 7 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 42 ± 15, male 43%, hypertension 5%, diabetes 3.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information
<u>ARTAN-C19</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁹⁸ Lima et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 81 assigned to tenofovir +/- emtricitabine 300/200mg once a day and 41 assigned to SOC	Mean age 38 ± 14.9, male 35%, hypertension 17%, diabetes 10%, asthma 6%, CHD 3%, cancer 1%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. Significant loss to follow-up.	Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Hospitalization: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
	Uncerta	Thal inty in potential benefits a	idomide and harms. Further rese	arch is needed	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT		·			
<u>Amra et al</u> ; ⁴⁹⁹ preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 28 assigned to thalidomide 100 mg a day for 14 days and 23 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62 ± 10, male 54.9%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 37.2%, COPD 5.9%, CHD 9.8%	Corticosteroids 100%, hydroxychloroquine 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of	Mortality: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$





				allocation is probably inappropriate.	Symptom
Haghighi et al; ⁵⁰⁰ preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 25 assigned to Thalidomide 100 mg a day for 14 days and 25 assigned to SOC	Median age 51 ± 18, male 68%, hypertension 24%, diabetes 16%, CHD 8%, cancer 14%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	Uncerta	Tissue plasmino inty in potential benefits a			
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
STARS trial; ⁵⁰¹ Barret et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 25 assigned to tPa 50mg bolus with or without drip and heparin and 25 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61, male 74%, hypertension 36%, diabetes 34%, COPD 62%, asthma %, CHD 66%, immunosuppressive therapy 66%	Corticosteroids 52%, remdesivir 40%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information







					Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
Tocil	lizumab reduces mortalit		lizumab tion requirements withou	ut increasing severe advers	se events.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT		<u> </u>	ł	ł	ļ
<u>COVACTA trial;</u> Rosas et al; ⁵⁰² peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 294 assigned to tocilizumab 8 mg/kg once and 144 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 60.8 ± 14, male 70%, hypertension 62.1%, diabetes 38.1%, chronic lung disease 16.2%, coronary heart disease 28%, obesity 20.5%	Corticosteroids 42.2%, convalescent plasma 3.6%, Antivirals 31.5%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: RR 0.85 (95%CI 0.79 to 93); RD -2.4% (95%CI - 3.4% to -1.1%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕ Invasive mechanical
<u>Wang et al</u> ; ⁵⁰³ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 34 assigned to tocilizumab 400 mg once or twice and 31 assigned to standard of care	Median age 63 ± 16, male 50.8%, hypertension 30.8%, diabetes 15.4%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Invasive mechanical ventilation: RR 0.83 (95%CI 0.78 to 0.90); RD -2.9% (95%CI - 3.8% to -1.7%); High certainty ⊕⊕⊕ Symptom resolution or improvement: RR 1.07 (95%CI 1.01 to 1.13); RD 4.6% (95%CI 0.6% to
<u>Zhao et al</u> ; ¹⁷⁰ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 13 assigned to favipiravir 3200 mg once followed by 600 mg twice a day for	Mean age 72 ± 40, male 54%, hypertension 42.3%, diabetes 11.5%, coronary heart disease 23.1%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	7.9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No





RCT-TCZ- COVID-19 trial; ⁵⁰⁴ Salvarani et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	7 days, 7 assigned to tocilizumab 400 mg once or twice and 5 assigned to favipiravir plus tocilizumab Patients with severe COVID-19. 60 assigned to tocilizumab 8 mg/kg twice on day 1 and 66 assigned to standard of care	Median age 60 ± 19, male 61.1%, hypertension 44.4%, diabetes 15.1%, COPD 3.2%, obesity 32.2%	Hydroxychloroquine 91.3%, azithromycin 20.6%, antivirals 41.3%	Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	information Adverse events: RR 0.95 (95%CI 0.86 to 1.04); RD -0.5% (95%CI -1.4% to 0.4%); Moderate certainty ⊕⊕⊕○ Hospitalization: No information
<u>BACC Bay</u> <u>Tocilizumab Trial</u> <u>tria</u> l; ⁵⁰⁵ Stone et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 161 assigned to tocilizumab 8 mg/kg once and 81 assigned to standard of care	Median age 59.8 ± 15.1, male 58%, hypertension 49%, diabetes 31%, COPD 9%, asthma 9%, coronary heart disease 10%, chronic kidney disease 17%, cancer 12%,	Corticosteroids 9.5%, remdesivir 33.9%, hydroxychloroquine 3.7%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
CORIMUNO- TOCI 1 trial; ⁵⁰⁶ Hermine et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 63 assigned to tocilizumab 8 mg/kg once followed by an optional 400 mg dose on day 3 and 67 assigned to standard of care	Median age 63.6 ± 16.2, male 67.7%, diabetes 33.6%, COPD 4.7%, asthma 6.3%, coronary heart disease 31.2%, chronic kidney disease 14%, cancer 7%,	Corticosteroids 43%, remdesivir 0.7%, hydroxychloroquine 6.2%, Lopinavir- ritonavir 3%, azithromycin 15.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
EMPACTA trial; ⁵⁰⁷ Salama et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 249 assigned to tocilizumab 8 mg/kg once and 128 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 55.9 ± 14.4, male 59.2%, hypertension 48.3%, diabetes 40.6%, COPD 4.5%, asthma 11.4%, coronary heart disease 1.9%, cerebrovascular	Corticosteroids 59.4%, remdesivir 54.6%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	





		disease 3.4%, obesity 24.4%		
<u>REMAP-CAP -</u> <u>tocilizumab trial;</u> ⁴⁴⁷ Gordon et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	critical COVID-19 infection. 353 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice, 48 assigned to sarilumab 400 mg	CHD 10.2%,	Corticosteroids 75.6%, remdesivir 32.8%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Veiga et al</u> ; ⁵⁰⁸ peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 65 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once and 64 assigned to SOC	Mean age 57.4 ± 14.6, male 68%, hypertension 49.6%, diabetes 32.6%, COPD 3%, CHD 5.5%, cancer 7%,	Corticosteroids 71.3%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse
<u>trial</u> ; ⁵⁰⁹ Horby et al;	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 2022 assigned to TCZ 400-800 mg once or twice and 2094 assigned to SOC	Mean age 63.6 ± 13.6, male 67.3%, diabetes 28.5%, COPD 23%, asthma %, CHD 23%, CKD 5.5%	Corticosteroids 82%, hydroxychloroquine 2%, lopinavir-ritonavir 3%, tocilizumab %, azithromycin 9%,	events outcomes results. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>PreToVid trial;</u> 510 Rutgers et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 174 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice	Median age 66.5 ± 16.5, male 67%, comorbidities 74.3%	Corticosteroids 88.4%, remdesivir 18.4%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection,





			•	•	
	and 180 assigned to SOC			and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Talaschian et al</u> , ⁵¹¹ preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 17 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice and 19 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61.7 ± 14.2, male 52.7%, hypertension 50%, diabetes 36.1%, COPD 8.3%, asthma %, CHD 44.4%, CKD 2.8%, cancer 0%	Corticosteroids 33.3%, hydroxychloroquine 63.9%, lopinavir- ritonavir 8.3%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation and blinding probably inappropriate.	
<u>Hamed et al</u> ; ⁵¹² peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 23 assigned to TCZ 400 mg once and 26 assigned to SOC	Mean age 48 ±, male 85.5%, hypertension 36.8%	Corticosteroids 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
ARCHITECTS trial; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 10 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice and 11 assigned to SOC	Median age 61 ±	Corticosteroids 95.2%, remdesivir 90.4%, convalescent plasma 100%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.	
CORIMUNO- <u>TOCI ICU trial</u> ; ⁴⁵¹ Hermine et al; Peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with critcal COVID-19 infection. 49 assigned to TCZ 8mg/kg once or twice and 43 assigned to SOC	Mean age 64.2 ± , male 71.7%, diabetes 35.5%, COPD 7.8%, asthma 5.5%, CHD %, CKD 6.6%, cancer 2.2%,	Steroids 33.6%, remdesivir 0%, hydroxychloroquine 0%, lopinavir-ritonavir 4.3%, azithromycin 4.3%, convalescent	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	







	1	I		I
			plasma 0%	Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
COV-AID trial; et al; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 81 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once and 72 assigned to SOC	Median age 63	Corticosteroids 52.6%, remdesivir 5.8%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.
<u>COVIDOSE-2 trial;</u> <u>et al</u> ; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 20 assigned to TCZ 40-120 mg once and 8 assigned to SOC	Median age 65	Corticosteroids 30%, remdesivir 75%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.
COVIDSTORM trial, ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 26 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once and 13 assigned to SOC	Median age 66	Corticosteroids 77%, remdesivir 0%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.
<u>COVITOZ-01 trial;</u> <u>et al</u> ; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 17 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice and 9 assigned to SOC	Median age 57	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 52.9%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.







	1	1		1	~
<u>HMO-0224-20</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 37 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once and 17 assigned to SOC	Median age 63	Corticosteroids 85.2%, remdesivir 22.2%, convalescent plasma 0%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>et al;</u> ⁴¹³ Rosas et al;	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 430 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once or twice and 210 assigned to SOC	Median age 6, male 63.2%, hypertension 61.7%, diabetes 39.5%, CHD 23.4%	Corticosteroids 88.1%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
ImmCoVA trial; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 22 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg once and 27 assigned to SOC	Median age 24	Corticosteroids 96%, remdesivir 14.5%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.	
<u>TOCOVID trial</u> ; ⁴⁵² other; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 136 assigned to TCZ 400 to 600 mg once and 134 assigned to SOC	Median age 53	Corticosteroids 35%, remdesivir 0.5%, convalescent plasma 0%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Risk of bias assessment extracted from a systematic review.	
<u>COVINTOC trial;</u> <u>et al;</u> ⁵¹⁴ Soin et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection.	Median age 55 , male 85.5%, hypertension 39.4%, diabetes 41.1%,	Corticosteroids 91%, remdesivir 41.6%, convalescent plasma	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom	



304

	91 assigned to TCZ 6 mg/kg once or twice and 88 assigned to SOC	COPD 2.2%, CHD 15%, CKD 4.4%	0%	resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
TOCIDEX trial; ⁵¹⁵ Hermine et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 224 assigned to TCZ 400 mg once and 226 assigned to SOC	Median age 63 ± 21, male 68%, hypertension 37.1%, diabetes 23.8%, COPD %, asthma 8.4%, CHD 13.5%, CKD 7.2%	Corticosteroids 100%, convalescent plasma 1.3%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
MARIPOSA trial; ⁵¹⁶ Kumar et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 49 assigned to TCZ 4 mg/kg and 48 assigned to TCZ 8 mg/kg	Mean age 56.8 ± 14.3, male 58.7%	Corticosteroids 22.7%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: Very low certainty \bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc





					Hospitalization: No information
	Tofacitinib may increas		acitinib improvement and may ir	acrease severe adverse ever	nts.
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
<u>STOP-COVID</u> <u>trial</u> ; ⁵¹⁷ Guimaraes et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 144 assigned to tofacitinib 10 mg twice a day for 14 days and 145 assigned to SOC	Mean age 56 ± 14, male 65.1%, hypertension 50.2%, diabetes 23.5%	Corticosteroids 78.5%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom
	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 50 assigned to tofacitinib 20 mg a day for 14 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 46.5, male 74%, diabetes 36%, COPD 1%, CHD 5%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 98%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	resolution or improvement: RR 1.1 (95%CI 0.98 to 1.23); RD 6.1% (95%CI 1.2% to 13.9%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events: RR 3.22 (95%CI 1.12 to 8.56); RD 22.6% (95%CI 1.2% to 77.1%); Low certainty ⊕⊕○○
					Hospitalization: No



					information			
	Triazavirin Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence			
RCT	I		L					
Wu et al; ⁵¹⁹ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19. 26 assigned to triazavirin 250 mg orally three or four times a day for 7 days and 26 assigned to standard of care	Median age 58 ± 17, male 50%, hypertension 28.8%, diabetes 15.4%, chronic lung disease 5.8%, coronary heart disease 15.4%, cerebrovascular disease 7.7%	Corticosteroids 44.2%, hydroxychloroquine 26.9%, lopinavir- ritonavir 9.6%, antibiotics 69.2%, interferon 48.1%, umifenovir 61.5%, ribavirin 28.9%,	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Hospitalization: No information			



	Umifenovir Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.						
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT							
<u>Chen et al</u> ; ¹⁶⁰ preprint; 2020	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 116 assigned to favipiravir 1600 mg twice the first day followed by 600 mg twice daily for 7 days and 120 assigned to umifenovir 200 mg three times daily for 7 days	Mean age NR ± NR, male 46.6%, hypertension 27.9%, diabetes 11.4%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$		
<u>ELACOI trial</u> ; ³⁰⁶ Li et al; peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 34 assigned to lopinavir-ritonavir 200/50 mg twice daily for 7-14 days, 35 assigned to umifenovir and 17 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 49.4 ± 14.7, male 41.7%	Corticosteroids 12.5%, IVIG 6.3%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Symptom resolution or improvement: No information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information Adverse events:		
<u>Nojomi et al</u> ; ⁵²⁰ preprint; 2020	COVID-19. 50 assigned to umifenovir 100 mg two twice a day for 7 to 14 days	Mean age 56.4 ± 16.3, male 60%, hypertension 39%, diabetes 28%, asthma 2%, coronary heart disease 9%, chronic kidney disease 2%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have	Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information		



	1	1		1
				introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Yethindra et al</u> ; ⁵²¹ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 15 assigned to umifenovir 200 mg three times a day for 1 to 5 days and 15 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 35.5 ± 12.1, male 60%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>Ghaderkhani S et al</u> <u>(Tehran University</u> <u>of Medical Sciences)</u> <u>trial;⁵²²</u> Ghaderkhani et al; preprint; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 28 assigned to umifenovir 200 mg three times a day for 10 days and 25 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 44.2 ± 19, male 39.6%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.
<u>UAIIC trial</u> ; ⁵²³ Darazam et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 51 assigned to umifenovir 600 mg a day for 10 days and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61.2 ± 15.8, male 56.4%, hypertension 46.4%, diabetes 31.6%, COPD 10%, asthma 6.1%, CHD 11.2%, CKD 7.1%, cancer 1%	Corticosteroids 3%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>Ramachandran et</u> <u>al</u> ; ⁵²⁴ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 60 assigned to umifenovir 800 mg twice a day for 14 days and 63 assigned to	Mean age 46.7 ± 1.9, male 74.8%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events





	SOC						
Vitamin C Uncertainty in potential benefits and harms. Further research is needed.							
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence		
RCT				•			
Zhang et al; ⁵²⁵ preprint; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 26 assigned to vitamin C 12 g twice a day for 7 days and 28 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 67.4 ± 12.4, male 66.7%, hypertension 44.4%, diabetes 29.6%, chronic lung disease 5.6%, coronary heart disease 22.2%, chronic kidney disease 1.85%, cancer 5.6%, nervous system disease 20.4%	NR	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptom		
Kumari et al; ⁵²⁶ Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 75 assigned to Vit C 50 mg/kg a day and 75 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52.5 ± 11.5	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	symptom resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information		
<u>Jamali Moghadam</u> <u>Siahkali et a</u> l; ⁵²⁷ Preprint; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 30 assigned to Vit C 5 g a day for 5 days and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 59.2 ± 17, male 50%, hypertension 41.6%, diabetes 38.3%, COPD 10%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, lopinavir- ritonavir 100%	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably	Adverse events: No information Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○		



	-		-	
				inappropriate.
<u>COVIDAtoZ - Vit</u> <u>C trial</u> , ⁵²⁸ Thomas et al; peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 48 assigned to Vit C 8000 mg a day and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45.2 ± 14.6, male 38.3%, hypertension 32.7%, diabetes 13.6%, COPD %, asthma 15.4%	Corticosteroids 8.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events
				Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.
<u>VCACS trial</u> ; ⁵²⁹ Tehrani et al; peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 18 assigned to Vit C 8 gr a day for 5 days and 26 assigned to SOC	Mean age 59.5, male 59%, hypertension 40.9%, diabetes 34%, COPD 7%, CHD 22.7%, CKD 9.1%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events
				Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.
<u>Beigmohammadi et</u> <u>al;⁵³⁰ peer reviewed;</u> 2021	to multivitamin	Mean age 52 ± 9, male 51.6%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 18.3%, asthma 13.3%, cancer 5%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events
	UI a day, vitamin E 300 UI a day, vitamin C 2000mg a day in addition to others for 7 days. and 30 assigned to SOC			Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.
<u>Majidi et al</u> ; ⁵³¹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 31 assigned to Vit C 500 mg a day and 69 assigned to	Mean age 62.4 ± , male 60%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events



ALLIANCE trial; ⁵³² Ried et al; peer reviewed; 2021	SOC Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 162 assigned to Vit C 400 mg/kg a day for 7 days and 75 assigned to SOC	Mean age 62.3 ± 15.7, male 50%, diabetes 35%, COPD 34%, CHD 36%, cancer 4%,	Hydroxychloroquine 100%	Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate. High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
	Uncertai	Vita inty in potential benefits a	n min D nd harms. Further resea	nrch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT	•			•	
<u>COVIDIOL trial;</u> Entrenas Castillo et al; ⁵³³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19. 50 assigned to vitamin D 0.532 once followed by 0.266 twice and 26 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 52.95 ± 10, male 59.2%, hypertension 34.2%, diabetes 10.5%, chronic lung disease 7.9%, coronary heart disease 3.9%, immunosuppression 9.2%, cancer %, obesity %	Hydroxychloroquine 100%, azithromycin 100%	High for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom resolution or improvement: No
<u>SHADE trial;</u> ⁵³⁴ Rastogi et al; peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. 16 assigned to vitamin D 60000 IU a day for 7 days and 24 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 48.7 ± 12.4, male 50%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of	information Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No information





<u>Murai et al</u> ; ⁵³⁵ peer- reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe COVID-19. 117 assigned to vitamin D 200,000 IU once and 120 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 56.3 ± 14.6, male 56.3%, hypertension 52.5%, diabetes 35%, COPD %, asthma 6.3%, coronary heart disease 13.3%,	NR	allocation is probably inappropriate. Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Adverse events: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Hospitalization: No information
	stanuaru of cafe	chronic kidney disease 1%,			
<u>Lakkireddy et al</u> ; ⁵³⁶ preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate with low plasmatic vitamin D COVID-19 infection. 44 assigned to Vit D 60000 IU a day for 8 to 10 days and 43 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45.5 ± 13.3, male 75%	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	
<u>Sabico et al</u> ; ⁵³⁷ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to critical COVID-19 infection. 36 assigned to Vit D 5000 IU for 14 days and 33 assigned to Vit D 1000 IU for 14 days	Mean age 49.8 ± 14.3, male 49.3%, hypertension 55%, diabetes 51%, COPD %, asthma 4%, CHD 6%, CKD 7%, obesity 33%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Maghbooli et al</u> ; ⁵³⁸ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 infection. 53 assigned to Vit D3 25 µg a day for 30 days and 53 assigned to SOC	Mean age 49.1 ± 14.1, male 60.4%, hypertension 31.1%, diabetes 23.6%, COPD 10.3%, CHD 12.3%, CKD 2.8%	Corticosteroids 46.2%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	





Beigmohammadi et al; ⁵³⁹ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19 infection. 30 assigned to multivitamin Vitamin D 600000 UI once, vitamin A 25000 UI a day, vitamin E 300 UI a day, vitamin C 2000mg a day in addition to others for 7 days. and 30 assigned to SOC	Mean age 52 ± 9, male 51.6%, hypertension 33.3%, diabetes 18.3%, asthma 13.3%, cancer 5%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	
<u>REsCue trial;</u> ⁵⁴⁰ Bishop et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. 65 assigned to Vit D calcifediol 300 mcg a day for three days followed by 60 mcg a day for 27 days and 69 assigned to SOC	Mean age 43, male 41%, hypertension 21.6%, diabetes 6%, asthma 2.2%, CKD 3%, obesity 40%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection and adverse events	
	XAV-19 (s Uncertai	swine glyco-hum inty in potential benefits a	anized polyclon and harms. Further resea	al antibodies) arch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT					
POLYCOR trial; ⁵⁴¹ Gaborit et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with severe COVID-19 infection. 12 assigned to XAV-19 0.5 to 2 mg/kg on days 1 and 5 and 5 assigned to SOC	Mean age 71 ± 24, male 64.7%, hypertension 47.1%, diabetes 11.8%, COPD %, asthma 17.6%, CHD 29.4%, CKD 5.9%, cancer 11.8%, obesity 17.6%	Corticosteroids 100%, remdesivir 47.1%	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Invasive mechanical ventilation: No information Symptom resolution or improvement: No information







	Uncertai	Žaty in potential benefits a	Zinc and harms. Further resea	arch is needed.	Symptomaticinfection(prophylaxisstudies): NoinformationAdverse events:Very low certainty⊕○○○Hospitalization: Noinformation
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT				·	
<u>Hassan et al;</u> ⁵⁴² preprint; 2020	critical COVID-19. 49 assigned to zinc 220	Mean age 45.9 ± 17.5, male 58.2%, hypertension 10.4%, diabetes 11.2%, coronary heart disease 3%,	NR	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Concealment of allocation probably inappropriate.	Mortality: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Invasive mechanical ventilation: Very low certainty $\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ Symptom
<u>Abd-Elsalam et al</u> ; ⁵⁴³ peer-reviewed; 2020	Patients with mild to critical COVID-19. 96 assigned to zinc 220 mg twice a day for 15 days and 95 assigned to standard of care	Mean age 43 ± 14, male 57.7%, hypertension 18.4%, diabetes 12.9%	Hydroxychloroquine 100%,	High for mortality and mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	resolution or improvement: Very low certainty ⊕○○○ Symptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): Very low certainty ⊕○○○
<u>Abdelmaksoud et</u>	Patients with mild to	NR	NR	High for mortality and	Adverse events: No information

PAHO Pan American Bealth Organization



BE AWARE. PREPARE. ACT.

www.paho.org/coronavirus

al; ⁵⁴⁴ Peer reviewed; 2020	critical COVID-19. 49 assigned to Zinc 220 mg twice a day and 56 assigned to SOC			mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study. Concealment of allocation is probably inappropriate.	Hospitalization: Very low certainty ⊕○○○
<u>COVIDAtoZ -Zinc</u> <u>trial;</u> ⁵²⁸ Thomas et al; ; 2020	Patients with mild COVID-19. 58 assigned to Zinc 50 mg a day and 50 assigned to SOC	Mean age 45.2 ± 14.6, male 38.3%, hypertension 32.7%, diabetes 13.6%, COPD %, asthma 15.4%	Corticosteroids 8.4%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Some concerns for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
ZINC COVID <u>trial;</u> ⁵⁴⁵ Patel et al; Peer reviewed; 2020	Patients with severe to critical COVID-19. 15 assigned to Zinc 0.24 mg/kg a day for 7 days and 18 assigned to SOC	Mean age 61.8 ± 16.9, male 63.6%, hypertension 48.4%, diabetes 18.2%, COPD 6%, CHD 21.2%,	Corticosteroids 75.8%, remdesivir 30.3%,	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events	
Seet et al; ²²⁰ peer reviewed; 2021	Patients exposed to COVID-19 infection. 634 assigned to zinc 80 mg and 500 mg a day for 42 days and 619 assigned to SOC (vitamin C)	Mean age 33 , male 100%, hypertension 1%, diabetes 0.3%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; High for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	
<u>Reszinate trial</u> ; ⁴⁴² Kaplan et al; preprint; 2021	Patients with mild COVID-19 infection. 14 assigned to	Mean age 42.4, male 40%	NR	Low for mortality and mechanical ventilation; Low for symptom	





	resveratrol + Zinc 4000/150 mg once a day for five days and 16 assigned to SOC			resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes:	
	Uncertai	α-lip inty in potential benefits a	Oic acid nd harms. Further resea	rch is needed.	
Study; publication status	Patients and interventions analyzed	Comorbidities	Additional interventions	Risk of bias and study limitations	Interventions effects vs standard of care and GRADE certainty of the evidence
RCT		•		•	
Zhong et al; ⁵⁴⁶ preprint; 2020	Patients with critical COVID-19 infection. 8 assigned to α-lipoic acid 1200 mg infusion once daily for 7 days and 9 assigned to standard of care	Median age 63 ± 7, male 76.5%, hypertension 47%, diabetes 23.5%, coronary heart disease 5.9%	NR	Low for mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation; high for symptom resolution, infection, and adverse events Notes: Non-blinded study which might have introduced bias to symptoms and adverse events outcomes results.	Mortality: Very low certainty ⊕○○○Invasive mechanical ventilation: No informationSymptom resolution or improvement: No informationSymptomatic infection (prophylaxis studies): No informationAdverse events: No informationHospitalization: No information



317

Appendix 1. Summary of findings tables

Summary of findings Table 1.

Population: Patients with severe COVID-19 disease Intervention: Corticosteroids Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	Absolute effe Standard of care	ect estimates Steroids	Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain language summary
Mortality 28 days	Relative risk: 0.9 (CI 95% 0.8 - 1.02) Based on data from 8000 patients in 12 studies		144 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 3 more)	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ¹	Steroids probably decreases mortality
Mechanical ventilation 28 days	Relative risk: 0.87 (CI 95% 0.72 - 1.05) Based on data from 5942 patients in 6 studies Follow up 28		150 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 9 more)	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ²	Steroids probably decreases mechanical ventilation
Symptom resolution or improvement 28 days	Relative risk: 1.27 (CI 95% 0.98 - 1.65) Based on data from 646 patients in 5 studies		770 per 1000 more per 1000 ver - 394 more)	Moderate Due to serious risk of bias ³	Steroids probably increases symptom resolution or improvement
Severe adverse events 28 days	Relative risk: 0.89 (CI 95% 0.68 - 1.17) Based on data from 833 patients in 6 studies		91 per 1000 fewer per 1000 wer - 17 more)	Low Due to serious risk of bias, Due to serious imprecision ⁴	Steroids may have little or no difference on severe adverse events
Mortality (High vs standard dose) 28 to 90 days	Relative risk: 0.95 (Cl 95% 0.67 - 1.34) Based on data from 1166 patients in 3 studies		134 per 1000 Wer per 1000 wer - 54 more)	Low Due to very serious imprecision. ⁵	High dose steroids (i.e dexamethasone 12mg a day) may not decrease mortality in comparison to standard dose steroids (i.e dexamethasone 6mg a day)
	Relative risk: 0.85 (CI 95% 0.61 - 1.19)	102 per 1000	87 per 1000	Low	High dose steroids (i.e dexamethasone





Severe adverse events (High vs. standard dose) 28 days	Based on data from 982 patients in 1 study	Difference: 15 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 40 fewer - 19 more)	Due to very serious imprecision ⁶	12mg a day) may not increase severe adverse events in comparison to standard dose steroids (i.e dexamethasone 6mg a day)
---	---	---	---	---

1. **Imprecision: serious.** 95%CI includes no mortality reduction;

2. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI include no IVM reduction;

3. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias;

4. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; **Imprecision: serious.** Low number of patients;

5. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes no mortality decrease;

6. Imprecision: very serious. Low number of patients, Wide confidence intervals;





Summary of findings Table 2.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Remdesivir Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Remdesivir	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.97 (Cl 95% 0.86 - 1.1) Based on data from 8031	160 per 1000	155 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of	Remdesivir may not	
28 days	participants in 8 studies Follow up Median 28 days		fewer per 1000 ewer - 16 more)	bias, Due to serious inconsistency ¹	decrease mortality	
Mechanical ventilation	Relative risk: 0.79 (CI 95% 0.55 - 1.14) Based on data from 7125	173 per 1000	137 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of	Remdesivir may	
28 days	participants in 7 studies Follow up Median 28 days	Difference: 36 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 78 fewer - 24 more)		bias, Due to serious imprecision ²	ventilation requirements	
Symptom resolution	Relative risk: 1.1 (CI 95% 0.96 - 1.28)	606 per 1000	667 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of	Remdesivir may improve	
or improvement 28 days	Based on data from 1981 participants in 4 studies Follow up 28 days	Difference: 61 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 24 fewer - 170 more)		bias, Due to serious imprecision ³	symptom resolution or improvement	
Severe adverse	Relative risk: 0.77 (Cl 95% 0.46 - 1.29)	102 per 1000	79 per 1000	Low	Remdesivir may have	
events	Based on data from 2430 participants in 4 studies	Difference: 23 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 55 fewer - 30 more)		bias, Due to serious imprecision ⁴	little or no difference or severe adverse events	
severe disease)	Relative risk: 0.28 (CI 95% 0.11 - 0.75)	48 per 1000	13 per 1000	Low	Remdesivir may decrease hospitalizatior	
	Based on data from 562 participants in 1 study Follow up Median 28 days	Difference: 35 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 43 fewer - 12 fewer)		Due to very serious imprecision ⁵	(in patients with non- severe disease)	

1. Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Inconsistency: serious. The direction of the effect is not consistent between the included studies;

2. Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: serious. 95% included significant mechanical ventilation requirement reduction and absence of reduction;

Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, 3. Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;

4. Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: serious. 95%ci included significant severe adverse events increase;

Imprecision: very serious. 5.





Summary of findings Table 3.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection or exposed to COVID-19 Intervention: Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates	Certainty of the	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC HCQ	Evidence (Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality 15 days	Relative risk: 1.07 (CI 95% 0.98 - 1.17) Based on data from 9104 patients in 13 studies	160 171 per 1000 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious risk of bias ¹	HCQ probably increases mortality	
	Follow up Median 15 days	Difference: 11 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 3 fewer - 27 more)	Dias		
Mechanical ventilation	Relative risk: 1.07 (Cl 95% 0.93 - 1.24) Based on data from 7297	173 185 per 1000 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious risk of	Hcq probably has little or no difference on	
15 days	patients in 9 studies Follow up Median 15 days	Difference: 12 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 12 fewer - 42 more)	bias ²	mechanical ventilation	
Symptom resolution or improvement		Moderate Due to serious	Hcq probably has little or no difference on symptom		
28 days	patients in 10 studies Follow up 28 days	Difference: 6 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 42 fewer - 61 more)	inconsistency ³	resolution or improvement	
COVID-19 infection (in exposed individuals) (Low risk	Relative risk: 0.88 (CI 95% 0.72 - 1.11) Based on data from 4523	174 153 per 1000 per 1000	Low Due to serious	Hcq may reduce covid-19 infections (in exposed individuals)	
of bias studies)	patients in 6 studies	Difference: 21 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 49 fewer - 19 more)	imprecision, Due to serious inconsistency ⁴		
Hospitalizations (in patients with non-	Relative risk: 0.91 (Cl 95% 0.56 - 1.47) Based on data from 2789	48 44 per 1000 per 1000	Very low Due to serious risk of	We are uncertain whether hcq increases or	
severe disease)	patients in 7 studies	Difference: 4 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 21 fewer - 23 more)	bias, Due to very serious imprecision ⁵	decreases hospitalizations	
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 0.94 (Cl 95% 0.66 - 1.34) Based on data from 8449	102 96 per 1000 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of	Hcq may have little or no difference on severe	
0,0110	patients in 17 studies	Difference: 6 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 35 fewer - 35 more)	bias, Due to serious imprecision ⁶	adverse events	

1. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias;

2. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias;

3. **Risk of Bias: no serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; **Inconsistency: serious.** I2 82%; **Imprecision: no serious.** Secondary to inconsistency;





- 4. **Inconsistency: serious.** The direction of the effect is not consistent between the included studies; **Imprecision: serious.** 95%CI includes no infection reduction;
- 5. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;
- 6. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; **Imprecision: serious.** Low number of patients;


Summary of findings Table 4.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Lopinavir-ritonavir (LPV) Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Time frame	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the evidence (quality of evidence)	Plain text summary
		SOC	LPV	(quality of evidence)	
Mortality 28 days	Relative risk: 1.01 (CI 95% 0.92 - 1.11) Based on data from 8053	160 per 1000	162 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ¹	LPV probably has little or no difference on mortality
	patients in 4 studies Follow-up median 28 days	10	2 more per 00 wer - 18 more)		
Mechanical ventilation 28 days	Relative risk: 1.07 (CI 95% 0.98 - 1.17) Based on data from 7622	173 per 1000	185 per 1000	High	LPV does not reduce mechanical ventilation
	patients in 4 studies Follow-up median 28 days	Difference: 12 more per 1000 (CI 95% 3 fewer - 29 more)			
Symptom resolution or improvement	Relative risk: 1.03 (CI 95% 0.92 - 1.15) Based on data from 5239 patients in 2 studies Follow-up 28 days	606 per 1000	624 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious risk of bias ²	LPV probably has little or no difference on symptom resolution
28 days		Difference: 18 more per 1000 (CI 95% 48 fewer - 91 more)			or improvement
Symptomatic infection (exposed individuals)	Relative risk: 1.4 (CI 95% 0.78 - 2.54) Based on data from 318	174 per 1000	244 per 1000	Very low Due to serious risk of bias, Due to very serious	We are uncertain whether LPV increases or decreases
individuals)	patients in 1 study	Difference: 70 more per 1000 (CI 95% 38 fewer - 268 more)		imprecision ³	symptomatic infection in exposed individuals
events (CI 95% 0.3 Based on data	Relative risk: 0.6 (CI 95% 0.37 - 0.98) Based on data from 199	102 per 1000	61 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of bias, Due to serious imprecision ⁴	LPV may have little or no difference on severe adverse events
	patients in 1 study	Difference: 41 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 64 fewer - 2 fewer)			
Hospitalization	Relative risk: 1.24 (CI 95% 0.6 - 2.56)	48 per 1000	60 per 1000	Very low	We are uncertain whether LPV





|--|

1. Imprecision: Serious. 95% CI includes significant mortality reduction and increase;

 Risk of bias: Serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: No serious. Secondary to inconsistency;

3. Risk of bias: Serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; Imprecision: Very serious. 95% CI includes significant benefits and harms;

 Risk of bias: Serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: Serious. Low number of patients;

5. **Imprecision: Very serious.** 95% CI includes significant benefits and harms.



Summary of findings Table 5.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Convalescent plasma Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute eff	fect estimates	Certainty of the	Plain language
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	CP	Evidence (Quality of evidence)	summary
Mortality (Low RoB studies) 28 days	Relative risk: 0.99 (CI 95% 0.94 - 1.05) Based on data from 19260 patients in 15 studies Follow up Median 28 days		158 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 8 more)	High	Convalescent plasma has little or no difference on mortality
Mechanical ventilation (Low RoB studies) 28 days	Relative risk: 1.05 (CI 95% 0.96 - 1.14) Based on data from 11110 patients in 9 studies Follow up Median 28 days		182 per 1000 more per 1000 wer - 24 more)	High	Convalescent plasma has little or no difference on mechanical ventilation
Symptom resolution or improvement 28 days	Relative risk: 0.99 (CI 95% 0.95 - 1.02) Based on data from 14261 patients in 11 studies Follow up 28 days		600 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 18 more)	Moderate Due to serious inconsistency ²	Cp probably has little or no difference on symptom resolution or improvement
Hospitalizations	Relative risk: 0.78 (CI 95% 0.57 - 1.06) Based on data from 2474 patients in 3 studies		37 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 3 more)	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ³	Convalescent plasma probably has little or no difference on hospitalizations
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 1.03 (CI 95% 0.85 - 1.26) Based on data from 6119 patients in 12 studies		105 per 1000 more per 1000 ewer - 27 more)	Low Due to serious imprecision, Due to serious risk of bias ⁴	Convalescent may have little or no difference on severe adverse events
Specific severe adverse events	Based on data from 20000 patients in 1 study	events were: TF	of severe adverse RALI 0.1%, TACO rgic reactions 0.1%	Very low Due to very serious risk of bias ⁵	We are uncertain whether lpv increases or decreases severe adverse events

1. Inconsistency: no serious. Point estimates vary widely;

2. Inconsistency: serious. Point estimates vary widely;

3. **Imprecision: serious.** Wide confidence intervals;

4. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; **Imprecision: serious.** Wide confidence intervals;

5. **Risk of Bias: very serious.** Although adverse events were rare, we assume that some might have been missed and assumed as related to disease progression. RCT are needed to determine interventions safety.





Summary of findings Table 6.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Tocilizumab (TCZ) Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	TCZ	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.85 (CI 95% 0.79 - 0.93) Based on data from 8455	160 per 1000	136 per 1000	High	TCZ decreases mortality	
28 days	participants in 20 studies Follow up Median 28 days		fewer per 1000 wer - 11 fewer)		TCZ decreases montality	
Mechanical (ventilation Bas 28 days par	Relative risk: 0.83 (CI 95% 0.78 - 0.9)	173 per 1000	144 per 1000	High	TCZ decreases	
	Based on data from 7609 participants in 21 studies Follow up Median 28 days	Difference: 29 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 38 fewer - 17 fewer)		Ĩ	mechanical ventilation	
Symptom resolution or improvement 28 days participants in 11 st	Relative risk: 1.07 (CI 95% 1.01 - 1.2)	606 per 1000	648 per 1000	Low Due to serious	TCZ may increase	
	participants in 11 studies Follow up 28 days		more per 1000 re - 121 more)	imprecision, Due to serious risk of bias ²	symptom resolution or improvement	
	Relative risk: 0.95 (Cl 95% 0.86 - 1.04)	102 per 1000	97 per 1000	Moderate	Tcz probably has little o	
	Based on data from 5412 participants in 17 studies	Difference: 5 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 14 fewer - 4 more)		Due to serious risk of bias ³	no difference on severe adverse events	

1. Imprecision: no serious. 95% included significant and trivial reduction mechanical ventilation requirement reduction ;

2. Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ;

3. Risk of Bias: serious. Imprecision: no serious. 95%ci included significant severe adverse events increase;



Summary of findings Table 7.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection

Intervention & comparator: Anticoagulants in intermediate (i.e., enoxaparin 1 mg/kg a day); Anticoagulants in full dose (i.e., enoxaparin 1 m/kg twice a day); Anticoagulants in prophylactic dose (i.e., enoxaparin 40 mg a day); No anticoagulants

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute eff	Absolute effect estimates		Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	ACO	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality (full or intermediate dose vs. prophylactic dose in hospitalized patients) (excluding	Relative risk: 0.97 (Cl 95% 0.79 - 1.2) Based on data from 5415 patients in 8 studies	160 per 1000	155 per 1000	Low Due to very serious	Anticoagulants in intermediate or full dose may have little or no difference on mortality	
high risk of bias studies)			fewer per 1000 ewer - 32 more)	imprecision ¹	in comparison with prophylactic dose	
Venous thromboembolic events (intermediate dose	Relative risk: 0.82 (Cl 95% 0.33 - 2.0)	70 per 1000	57 per 1000	Low Due to very	Anticoagulants in intermediate dose may	
vs. prophylactic dose in hospitalized patients)	Based on data from 921 patients in 3 studies		fewer per 1000 wer - 70 more)	serious imprecision ²	slightly reduce venous thromboembolic events	
Venous thromboembolic events (full dose vs.	Relative risk: 0.56 (Cl 95% 0.44 - 0.72)	70 per 1000	39 per 1000		Anticoagulants in intermediate or full dose	
prophylactic dose in hospitalized patients)	Based on data from 4739 patients in 6 studies		fewer per 1000 wer - 20 fewer)	High	probably decreases venous thromboembolic events (full dose)	
Major bleeding (full or intermediate dose vs. prophylactic dose in	Relative risk: 1.76 (Cl 95% 1.19 - 2.62) Based on data from 5780	19 per 1000	33 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious	Anticoagulants in intermediate or full dose	
hospitalized patients)	patients in 8 studies		more per 1000 ore - 31 more)	imprecision ³	probably increases major bleeding	
Symptom resolution or improvement (prophylactic dose vs. no	Relative risk: 1.08 (CI 95% 0.92 - 1.27)	606 per 1000	654 per 1000	Moderate	Anticoagulants in prophylactic dose	
anticoagulants in mild ambulatory patients)	Based on data from 444 patients in 1 study		more per 1000 wer - 164 more)	Due to serious imprecision ⁴	probably do not improve time to symptom resolution	
Clinically important bleeding (prophylactic dose	Relative risk: 2.5 (Cl 95% 0.49 - 12.8)	9 per 1000	23 per 1000	Very low	It is uncertain if anticoagulants in	
vs. no anticoagulants in mild ambulatory patients)	Based on data from 444 patients in 1 study	Difference: 14 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 5 fewer - 106 more)		Due to very serious imprecision ⁵	prophylactic dose increase or decrease clinically important bleeding	





www.paho.org/coronavirus

Hospitalization (prophylactic dose vs. no anticoagulants in	Relative risk: 0.42 (Cl 95% 0.11 - 1.64) Based on data from 444	48 per 1000	20 per 1000	Very low Due to very	It is uncertain if anticoagulants in
mild ambulatory patients)	patients in 1 study		fewer per 1000 ewer - 31 more)	serious imprecision ⁶	prophylactic increase or decrease hospitalization

1. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes small benefits and harms;

2. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

3. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes harms and absence of harms;

4. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes harms and absence of harms;

5. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes harms and absence of harms;

6. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes harms and absence of harms;



Summary of findings Table 8.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Non-corticosteroids anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Time frame	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the evidence (quality of evidence)	Plain text summary
		SOC	NSAID		
Mortality 28 days	Odds Ratio: 0.83 (CI 95% 0.66 - 1.05) Based on data from	160 per 1000	137 per 1000	Very low Due to very serious risk of bias ¹	We are uncertain whether NSAID increases or decreases
	2465490 patients in 6 studies				mortality

1. Risk of bias: Very serious.





Summary of findings Table 9.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Interferon beta-1a (IFN-B-1a) Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	IFN	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 1.07 (Cl 95% 0.91 - 1.26) Based on data from 5210	160 per 1000	171 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious	IFN probably has little or no difference on	
28 days	patients in 4 studies Follow up Median 28 days		more per 1000 wer - 42 more)	imprecision ¹	mortality	
Mechanical ventilation	Relative risk: 0.97 (Cl 95% 0.83 - 1.14) Based on data from 4881	173 per 1000	168 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious	IFN probably has little or no difference on mechanical ventilation	
28 days	patients in 4 studies Follow up 28 days		f ewer per 1000 wer - 24 more)	imprecision ²		
Symptom resolution or improvement	Relative risk: 0.96 (CI 95% 0.92 - 0.99) Based on data from 969	606 per 1000	582 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious	Ifn probably has little on difference on	
28 days	patients in 1 study Follow up 28 days		fewer per 1000 ewer - 6 fewer)	imprecision ³	symptom resolution or improvement	
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 0.94 (CI 95% 0.65 - 1.37) Based on data from 877	102 per 1000	96 per 1000	Low Due to very serious imprecision ⁴	Ifn may have little or no difference on severe	
28 days	patients in 1 study Follow up 28 days		fewer per 1000 wer - 38 more)		adverse events	
Symptom resolution or improvement	Hazard Ratio: 2.19 (CI 95% 1.03 - 4.69)	606 per 1000	870 per 1000	Low Due to very serious imprecision ⁶	IFN (inhaled) may	
(inhaled) ⁵ 30 days	Based on data from 81 patients in 1 study Follow up 28 days		more per 1000 pre - 381 more)		increase symptom resolution or improvement	

1. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant mortality reduction and increase;

2. Risk of Bias: no serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: serious. 95% included significant mechanical ventilation requirement reduction and increase;

Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ; 3.

Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ; 4.

5. Nebulizations

Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ; 6.





Summary of findings Table 10.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	come Study results and		ffect estimates	Certainty of the		
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Bamlanivimab +/- etesevimab	Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain language summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.68 (Cl 95% 0.17 - 2.8) Based on data from 2315	160 per 1000	109 per 1000	Very low Due to serious	We are uncertain whether bamlanivimab increases or	
	patients in 3 studies		1 fewer per 1000 fewer - 288 more)	imprecision, Due to very serious imprecision ¹	decreases mortality	
Symptom resolution	Relative risk: 1.02 (CI 95% 0.99 - 1.06)	606 per 1000	618 per 1000	Moderate	Bamlanivimab probably has little or no difference on symptom resolution or improvement	
or improvement ²	Based on data from 1750 patients in 3 studies		2 more per 1000 ewer - 36 more)	Due to serious imprecision ³		
infection Ba	Relative risk: 0.56 (CI 95% 0.39 - 0.81)	174 per 1000	97 per 1000	Moderate	Bamlanivimab probably	
	Based on data from 961 patients in 1 studies Follow up 28 days	Difference: 77 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 106 fewer - 33 fewer)		Due to serious imprecision ⁴	decreases symptomatic infection	
Severe adverse	vents ⁵ Based on data from 3661 Due to v	Low	Bamlanivimab may			
events⁵				Due to very serious imprecision ⁶	increase severe adverse events	
Hospitalization ⁷ (Bas	Hazard Ratio: 0.37 (CI 95% 0.21 - 0.65)	48 per 1000	18 per 1000	Moderate	Bamlanivimab +/-	
	Based on data from 1804 patients in 3 studies	Difference: 30 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 38 fewer - 17 fewer)		Due to serious imprecision ⁸	etesevimab probably decreases hospitalization	

1. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-COV2

3. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes benefits and absence of benefits;

4. Imprecision: serious. OIS not met;

5. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-COV2

6. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

7. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-COV2

8. Imprecision: serious. Low number of patients;



Summary of findings Table 11.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Favipiravir Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Outcome Study results and		ect estimates	Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Favipravir	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 1.18 (CI 95% 0.83 - 1.69) Based on data from 1829	160 per 1000	189 per 1000	Low Due to very serious	Favipiravir may increas	
28 days	participants in 8 studies Follow up Median 28 days		more per 1000 ver - 110 more)	imprecision ¹	mortality	
Mechanical	Relative risk: 1.27 (Cl 95% 0.91 - 1.76)	173 per 1000	220 per 1000	Low	Favipravir may increase	
ventilation 28 days	Based on data from 1632 participants in 6 studies Follow up Median 28 days		more per 1000 ver - 131 more)	Due to very serious imprecision ²	mechanical ventilation	
Symptom resolution or improvement (Low		Moderate	Favipiravir probably has little or no difference or			
RoB studies) 28 days	Based on data from 842 participants in 3 studies Follow up 28 days		more per 1000 wer - 61 more)	Due to serious imprecision ³	symptom resolution or improvement	
Hospitalization (in patients with non-	Relative risk: 0.89 (CI 95% 0.16 - 5.05)	48 per 1000	43 per 1000	Very low Due to serious risk of bias, Due to very serious imprecision ⁴	We are uncertain wheth favipiravir increases or	
severe disease)	Based on data from 515 participants in 3 studies Follow up 28 days		čewer per 1000 ver - 194 more)		decreases hospitalizatio (in patients with non- severe disease)	
Severe adverse	Relative risk: 0.8	606 per 1000	485 per 1000	Very low Due to very serious	We are uncertain wheth favipiravir increases of	
events 30 days	Based on data from 1264 participants in 7 studies Follow up 28 days		fewer per 1000 wer - 248 more)	imprecision, Due to serious risk of bias ⁵	decreases severe adverse events	

1. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant mortality reduction and increase;

2. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

3. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ;

4. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias;

Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ;

5. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ;





Summary of findings Table 12.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Ivermectin Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the	Plain language
	measurements	SOC	Ivermectin	Evidence (Quality of evidence)	summary
Mortality (Low risk of bias studies)	Relative risk: 0.96 (CI 95% 0.58 - 1.59) Based on data from 1412 participants in 6 studies	160 per 1000 Difference: 6 fewer (CI 95% 67 fewer -		Very low Due to very serious imprecision ¹	Ivermectin may have little or no difference in mortality
Mechanical ventilation	Relative risk: 1.05 (CI 95% 0.64 - 1.72) Based on data from 1046 participants in 6 studies	173 per 1000 Difference: 9 more (Cl 95% 62 fewer -		Very low Due to very serious imprecision ²	Ivermectin may have little or no difference on mechanical ventilation
Symptom resolution or improvement (Low risk of bias studies)	Relative risk: 1.03 (CI 95% 0.96 - 1.1) Based on data from 707 participants in 4 studies	606 per 1000 Difference: 18 more (Cl 95% 24 fewer -		Moderate Due to serious imprecision ³	Ivermectin probably has little or no difference on symptom resolution or improvement
Symptomatic infection ⁴	Relative risk: 0.22 (CI 95% 0.09 - 0.53) Based on data from 1974 participants in 4 studies	174 per 1000 Difference: 136 fewe (Cl 95% 158 fewer		Very low Due to very serious risk of bias, Due to serious imprecision ⁵	We are uncertain whethe ivermectin increases or decreases symptomatic infection
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 1.29 (CI 95% 0.44 - 3.85) Based on data from 917 participants in 5 studies Follow up 28 days	102 per 1000 Difference: 30 more (Cl 95% 57 fewer -		Very low Due to very serious imprecision, Due to very serious risk of bias ⁶	We are uncertain whether ivermectin increases or decreases severe adverse events
Hospitalization (in non-severe patients)	Relative risk: 0.67 (CI 95% 0.39 - 1.14) Based on data from 1179 participants in 5 studies Follow up 28 days	48 per 1000 Difference: 16 fewe (Cl 95% 29 fewer		Low Due to very serious imprecision ⁷	Ivermectin may have little or no difference on hospitalization (in non- severe patients)

1. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. Imprecision: very serious. Wide confidence intervals;

3. Imprecision: serious. Wide confidence intervals;

4. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-COV2



- 5. Risk of Bias: very serious. Inadequate concealment of allocation during randomization process, resulting in potential for selection bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: serious. Few events, optimal information size not met (n=86);
- Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate concealment of allocation during randomization process, resulting in potential for selection bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;
- 7. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits ;





Summary of findings Table 13.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Baricitinib Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Outcome Study results and		ect estimates	Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Baricitinib	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.64 (CI 95% 0.51 - 0.8) Based on data from 2659	160 per 1000	102 per 1000	High	Baricitinib decreases	
	patients in 3 studies		fewer per 1000 wer - 32 fewer)		mortality	
Invasive mechanical ventilation Relative risk: 0.66 (CI 95% 0.46 - 0.93) Based on data from 922 patients in 1 studies Follow up 30 days	173 per 1000	114 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of bias,	Baricitinib may		
	patients in 1 studies	Difference: 59 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 93 fewer - 12 fewer)		Due to serious imprecision ¹	mechanical ventilation	
Symptom resolution or improvement	Relative risk: 1.27 (CI 95% 1.13 - 1.42) Based on data from 2659	606 per 1000	770 per 1000	High	Baricitinib improves symptom resolution or	
patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days	patients in 3 studies	Difference: 164 more per 1000 (CI 95% 79 more - 255 more)		, ingn	improvement	
Severe adverse events events Relative risk: 0.78 (Cl 95% 0.64 - 0.95) Based on data from 2659 patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days	102 per 1000	80 per 1000	Moderate	Baricitinib probably has little or no difference on severe adverse events		
	patients in 3 studies	Difference: 22 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 37 fewer - 5 fewer)				Due to serious risk of bias ²

1. Risk of Bias: serious. Incomplete data and/or large loss to follow up; Imprecision: serious. Low number of patients;

World Health Organization

2. Risk of Bias: serious. Incomplete data and/or large loss to follow up;





Summary of findings Table 14.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Azithromycin Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Time frame			effect estimates	Certainty of the evidence	Plain text summary	
		SOC	Azithromycin	(quality of evidence)		
Mortality	Relative risk: 1.01 (CI 95% 0.92 - 1.1) Based on data from 8272	160 per 1000	162 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ¹	Azithromycin probably has little or no difference on	
	patients in 3 studies		2 more per 1000 fewer - 16 more)		mortality	
Invasive mechanical ventilation	Relative risk: 0.94 (CI 95% 0.78 - 1.13) Based on data from 8544	173 per 1000	163 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ²	Azithromycin probably has little or no difference on	
	patients in 3 studies	Difference: 10 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 38 fewer - 22 more)			invasive mechanical ventilation	
Symptom resolution or improvement ³	Relative risk: 1.02 (CI 95% 0.99 - 1.04) Based on data from 9287	606 per 1000	618 per 1000	High	Azithromycin has little or no difference on symptom resolution or	
	patients in 4 studies		2 more per 1000 fewer - 24 more)		improvement	
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 1.23 (CI 95% 0.51 - 2.96) Based on data from 439	102 per 1000	125 per 1000	Very low Due to very serious imprecision, Due to very	We are uncertain whether azithromycin increases or decreases	
	patients in 1 study Follow-up 28 days		3 more per 1000 fewer - 200 more)	serious risk of bias ⁴	severe adverse events	
Hospitalizations	Relative risk: 0.98 (CI 95% 0.52 - 1.86) Based on data from 493	48 per 1000	47 per 1000	Low Due to serious risk of bias, Due to serious	Azithromycin may have little or no difference on	
	patients in 2 studies Follow-up 21 days	Difference: 1 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 23 fewer - 41 more)		imprecision ⁵	hospitalizations	

1. Imprecision: Serious. 95% CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. Imprecision: Serious. 95% CI includes significant benefits and harms;

3. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-CoV2;





^{4.} Risk of bias: Serious. Inadequate concealment of allocation during randomization process, resulting in potential for selection bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias; Imprecision: Very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;

5. Risk of bias: Serious. Inadequate concealment of allocation during randomization process, resulting in potential for selection bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias, Inadequate/lack of blinding of outcome assessors, resulting in potential for detection bias, Incomplete data and/or large loss to follow-up; Imprecision: Serious. 95% CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits.





Summary of findings Table 15.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Colchicine Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language summary
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Colchicine	(Quality of evidence)	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.99 (CI 95% 0.93 - 1.06) Based on data from 17711 patients in 8 studies		158 per 1000 Tewer per 1000 wer - 10 more)	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ¹	Colchicine probably has little or no difference on mortality
Invasive mechanical	Relative risk: 0.98 (Cl 95% 0.89 - 1.08)	173 per 1000	170 per 1000	Moderate	Colchicine probably has
ventilation	Based on data from 16721 patients in 5 studies Follow up 30 days	Difference: 3 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 19 fewer - 14 more)		Due to serious imprecision ²	little or no difference on invasive mechanical ventilation
Symptom resolution or improvement	Relative risk: 1.01 (CI 95% 0.96 - 1.06) Based on data from 11754 patients in 4 studies Follow up 30 days	173 per 1000	175 per 1000	High	Colchicine has little or no difference on symptom resolution or improvement
		Difference: 2 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 7 fewer - 10 more)			
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 0.78 (CI 95% 0.61 - 0.99) Based on data from 4880 patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days	102 per 1000	80 per 1000	High	Colchicine has little or no difference on severe
		Difference: 22 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 40 fewer - 1 fewer)			adverse events
Pulmonary embolism	Relative risk: 5.55 (CI 95% 1.23 - 25.0) Based on data from 4399 patients in 1 study Follow up 30 days	0.9 per 1000	5.0 per 1000	Low Due to very serious	Colchicine may have little o no difference on pulmonary
			more per 1000 ore - 21.6 more)	imprecision ³	embolism
Hospitalization (in patients with non- severe disease)	Relative risk: 0.81 (Cl 95% 0.63 - 1.04) Based on data from 4777	48 per 1000	39 per 1000	Moderate Due to serious	Colchicine probably has little or no difference on hospitalization (in patients
SEVELE UISEASE)	patients in 2 studies Follow up 30 days		fewer per 1000 ewer - 2 more)	imprecision ⁴	with non-severe disease)

1. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes benefits and harms;

3. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits , Low number of patients, Wide confidence intervals;

4. Imprecision: serious. Low number of patients;



Summary of findings Table 16.

Population: Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir, ledipasvir, or velpatasvir Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	Absolute et	ffect estimates Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir, ledipasvir or velpatasvir	Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain language summary
Mortality (Low RoB studies)	Relative risk: 1.14 (CI 95% 0.83 - 1.56) Based on data from 1163 patients in 2 studies		182 per 1000 2 more per 1000 ?ewer - 90 more)	Low Due to very serious imprecision ¹	Sofosbuvir alone or in combination may have little or no difference on mortality
Invasive mechanical ventilation (Low RoB studies)	Relative risk: 1.02 (CI 95% 0.59 - 1.76) Based on data from 1163 patients in 2 studies Follow up 30 days		176 per 1000 5 more per 1000 ewer - 131 more)	Low Due to very serious imprecision ²	Sofosbuvir +/- daclatasvir, ledipasvir or velpatasvir may have little or no difference on invasive mechanical ventilation
Symptom resolution or improvement (Low RoB studies)	Relative risk: 1.01 (CI 95% 0.95 - 1.08) Based on data from 1163 patients in 2 studies Follow up 7 days		612 per 1000 more per 1000 fewer - 48 more)	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ³	Sofosbuvir alone or in combination probably has little or no difference on symptom resolution or improvement

1. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

3. Inconsistency: serious. Imprecision: serious. Wide confidence intervals;



Summary of findings Table 17.

Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab) Comparator: Standard of care

		Absolute ef	fect estimates			
Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	SOC	REGEN-COV (casirivimab and imdevimab)	Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain language summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.83 (CI 95% 0.64 - 1.07) Based on data from 16667 patients in 4 studies		133 per 1000 7 fewer per 1000 ewer - 11 more)	Low Due to serious inconsistency, due to serious imprecision ¹	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab) may decrease mortality	
Mortality (seronegative)	Relative risk: 0.79 (CI 95% 0.71 - 0.89) Based on data from 3673 patients in 2 studies		128 per 1000 4 fewer per 1000 ewer - 18 fewer)	Moderate Due to serious indirectness ²	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab) probably decreases mortality in seronegative patients	
Invasive mechanical ventilation	Relative risk: 0.79 (CI 95% 0.54 - 1.14) Based on data from 14575 patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days	173 137 per 1000 per 1000 Difference: 36 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 80 fewer - 24 more)		Low Due to very serious imprecision ³	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab) may decrease invasive mechanical ventilation	
Invasive mechanical ventilation (seronegative)	Relative risk: 0.82 (CI 95% 0.74 - 0.9) Based on data from 3603 patients in 2 studies		142 per 1000 I fewer per 1000 ewer - 17 fewer)	Moderate Due to serious indirectness, due to serious imprecision ⁴	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab) probably decreases invasive mechanical ventilation in seronegative patients	
Symptom resolution or improvement	Relative risk: 1.06 (CI 95% 1.0 - 1.12) Based on data from 14746 patients in 3 studies		642 per 1000 6 more per 1000 ewer - 73 more)	Low Due to serious imprecision, Due to serious inconsistency ⁵	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab) may increase symptom resolution or improvement	
Symptom resolution or improvement (seronegative)	Relative risk: 1.1 (CI 95% 1.06 - 1.14) Based on data from 6277 patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days		679 per 1000 1 more per 1000 more - 85 more)	Moderate Due to serious indirectness ⁶	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab) probably increases symptom resolution or improvement in seronegative patients	
	Relative risk: 0.3 (CI 95% 0.2 - 0.46)	48 per 1000	14 per 1000	Moderate	Regen-cov (casirivimal and imdevimab)	





Hospitalization (in patients with non-severe disease)	Based on data from 5049 patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days	Difference: 34 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 38 fewer - 26 fewer)		Due to serious imprecision ⁷	probably reduces hospitalization in patients with recent onset non-severe disease
Symptomatic infection (in exposed individuals)	Relative risk: 0.43 (CI 95% 0.31 - 0.59) Based on data from 2678 patients in 3 studies Follow up 30 days		75 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 71 fewer)	High	Regen-cov (casirivimab and imdevimab) decreases symptomatic infection in exposed individuals
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 0.54 (CI 95% 0.27 - 1.07) Based on data from 9697 patients in 6 studies		55 per 1000 fewer per 1000 ewer - 7 more)	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ⁸	Regen-cov (casirivimab and imdevimab) probably has little or no difference on severe adverse events

 Risk of Bias: no serious. Incomplete data and/or large loss to follow up; Inconsistency: serious. The confidence interval of some of the studies do not overlap with those of most included studies/ the point estimate of some of the included studies.; Imprecision: serious. Wide confidence intervals;

2. Indirectness: serious. Subgroup analysis;

3. **Risk of Bias: no serious.** Incomplete data and/or large loss to follow up; **Imprecision: very serious.** Wide confidence intervals;

4. Risk of Bias: no serious. Incomplete data and/or large loss to follow up; Indirectness: serious. Subgroup analysis;

 Inconsistency: serious. The confidence interval of some of the studies do not overlap with those of most included studies/ the point estimate of some of the included studies.; Imprecision: serious. Wide confidence intervals;

- 6. Indirectness: serious. Subgroup analysis;
- 7. Imprecision: serious. Low number of events;
- 8. Imprecision: serious. Wide confidence intervals;





m World Health Organization

Summary of findings Table 18.

Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Inhaled corticosteroids Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute ef	fect estimates	Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Inhaled corticosteroids	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.9 (CI 95% 0.46 - 1.77) Based on data from 1891	160 per 1000	144 per 1000	Very low Due to serious risk of	We are uncertain whether inhaled	
	patients in 2 studies		fewer per 1000 wer - 123 more)	bias, due to very serious imprecision ¹	corticosteroids increase or decrease mortality	
Invasive mechanical	Relative risk: 0.94 (CI 95% 0.44 - 1.98) Based on data from 1560	173 per 1000	163 per 1000	Very low Due to serious risk of	We are uncertain whether inhaled	
ventilation	patients in 1 study	Difference: 10 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 97 fewer - 170 more)		bias, due to very serious imprecision ²	corticosteroids increase or decrease invasive mechanical ventilation	
Symptom resolution	Relative risk: 1.15 (CI 95% 1.08 - 1.24)	606 per 1000	697 per 1000	Moderate	Inhaled corticosteroids probably increase	
or improvement ³	Based on data from 2425 patients in 6 studies	Difference: 91 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 48 more - 145 more)		Due to serious risk of bias ⁴	symptom resolution or improvement	
Hospitalizations	Relative risk: 0.85 (Cl 95% 0.58 - 1.26)	48 per 1000	41 per 1000	Very low Due to serious risk of	We are uncertain whether inhaled	
	Based on data from 2459 patients in 3 studies	Difference: 7 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 20 fewer - 12 more)		bias, due to very serious imprecision ⁵	corticosteroids increase or decrease hospitalizations	

1. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

3. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-COV2

4. Risk of Bias: serious. Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias;

5. **Risk of Bias: serious.** Inadequate/lack of blinding of participants and personnel, resulting in potential for performance bias; **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits , Wide confidence intervals;





Summary of findings Table 19.

Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Fluvoxamine Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language	
Timeframe	measurements	SOC	Fluvoxamine	(Quality of evidence)	summary	
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.69 (CI 95% 0.36 - 1.27) Based on data from 1497	160 per 1000	110 per 1000	Very low Due to very serious	There were too few who experienced the mortality in ordern to determine	
	patients in 1 study) fewer per 1000 fewer - 43 more)	imprecision ¹	whether fluvoxamine made a difference	
Mechanical	Relative risk: 0.77 (CI 95% 0.45 - 1.3)	160 per 1000	123 per 1000	Very low	There were too few who experienced the mortality	
ventilation Bas	Based on data from 1497 patients in 1 study	Difference: 37 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 88 fewer - 48 more)		Due to very serious imprecision ²	in order to determine whether fluvoxamine made a difference	
	Relative risk: 0.77 (CI 95% 0.58 - 1.02)	48 per 1000	37 per 1000	Moderate	Fluvoxamine probably has	
	Based on data from 1649 patients in 2 studies		l fewer per 1000 fewer - 1 more)	Due to serious imprecision ³	little or no difference on hospitalizations	
Severe adverse events ⁴ (CI 95% 0.5 Based on data	Relative risk: 0.81 (Cl 95% 0.54 - 1.22)	102 per 1000	83 per 1000	Low	Fluvoxamine may not	
	Based on data from 1649 patients in 2 studies	Difference: 19 fewer per 1000 (CI 95% 47 fewer - 22 more)		Due to very serious imprecision ⁵	increase severe adverse events	

1. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

2. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms;

3. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;

World Health

4. Symptomatic infection in persons at risk or exposed to SARS-COV2

5. Imprecision: very serious. Wide confidence intervals;





Summary of findings Table 20.

Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Molnupiravir Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain language
	measurements	Standard of care	Molnupiravir	(Quality of evidence)	summary
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.13 (CI 95% 0.02 - 0.77) Based on data from 1610	160 per 1000	21 per 1000	Very low Due to very serious	We are uncertain whether molnupiravir
	patients in 2 studies	Difference: 139 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 157 fewer - 37 fewer)		imprecision ¹	increases or decreases mortality
Hospitalization (CI 95% Based on d	Relative risk: 0.56 (CI 95% 0.29 - 1.07)	48 per 1000	34 per 1000	Moderate	Molnupiravir probably
	Based on data from 2351 patients in 3 studies	Difference: 21 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 34 fewer - 3 more)		Due to serious imprecision ²	reduces hospitalizatio
Severe adverse events events Relative risk: 0.49 (CI 95% 0.23 - 1.05) Based on data from 1411 patients in 1 studies Follow up 29	102 per 1000	50 per 1000	Low	Molnupiravir may hav	
	patients in 1 studies	Difference: 52 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 79 fewer - 5 more)		Due to very serious imprecision ³	little or no difference or severe adverse events

1. **Imprecision: very serious.** 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms, Low number of patients;

2. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;

3. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;

Summary of findings Table 21.

Patients with COVID-19 infection Intervention: Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir Comparator: Standard of care

Outcome	OutcomeStudy results andTimeframemeasurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the	
Timeframe		Standard of care	Nirmatrelvir- ritonavir	Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain language summary
Mortality	Relative risk: 0.04 (CI 95% 0.0 - 0.68) Based on data from 2085 participants in 1 studies	160 per 1000 Difference: 154 1 (Cl 95% 160 fev		Very low Due to very serious imprecision ¹	We are uncertain whether nirmatrelvir-ritonavir increases or decreases mortality





Hospitalization	Relative risk: 0.12 (Cl 95% 0.06 - 0.25) Based on data from 2085 participants in 1 studies	48 per 1000 Difference: 42 fewer (CI 95% 45 fewer - 3	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ²	Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir probably decreases hospitalizations
Severe adverse events	Relative risk: 0.49 (Cl 95% 0.3 - 0.8) Based on data from 2224 participants in 1 studies Follow up 29	102 per 1000 Difference: 52 fewer (CI 95% 71 fewer - 2	Moderate Due to serious imprecision ³	Nirmatrelvir-ritonavir probably has little or no difference on severe adverse events

1. Imprecision: very serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and harms, Low number of patients;

2. Imprecision: serious. 95%CI includes significant benefits and absence of benefits;

3. Imprecision: serious. Low number of events;



References

- World Health Organization. Commentaries: Off-label use of medicines for COVID-19 (Scientific brief, 31 March 2020) [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020 [cited 7 December 2020]. Available from: https://www.who.int/newsroom/commentaries/detail/off-label-use-of-medicines-for-covid-19
- The L·OVE Platform. Methods for the special L·OVE of coronavirus infection [Internet] Santiago: Epistemonikos Foundation; 2020 [cited 7 December 2020]. Available from: https://app.iloveevidence.com/covid-19
- World Health Organization. WHO R&D Blueprint novel Coronavirus: outline of trial designs for experimental therapeutics. WHO reference number WHO/HEO/R&D Blueprint (nCoV)/2020.4. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/330694/WHO-HEO-RDBlueprintnCoV-2020.4-eng.pdf?ua=1
- 4. Schünemann HJ, Cuello C, Akl EA, Mustafa RA, Meerpohl JJ, Thayer K, et al. GRADE Guidelines: 18. How ROBINS-I and other tools to assess risk of bias in nonrandomized studies should be used to rate the certainty of a body of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2019;111(July):105–14. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.01.012.
- 5. Docherty AB, Mulholland RH, Lone NI, Cheyne CP, De Angelis D, Diaz-Ordaz K, et al. Changes in UK hospital mortality in the first wave of COVID-19: the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol prospective multicentre observational cohort study. MedRxiv 2020. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.12.19.20248559

- 6. International Severe Acute Respiratory and emerging Infections Consortium, Hall M, Pritchard M, Dankwa EA, Baillie JK, Carson G, et al. ISARIC Clinical Data Report 20 November 2020 [Internet]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.07.17.20155218
- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ, et al. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2020;395:1973-1987. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31142-9.





an World Health Organization

- 8. Hultcrantz M, Rind D, Akl EA, et al. The GRADE Working Group clarifies the construct of certainty of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2017; 87: 4–13.
- Zeng L, Brignardello-Petersen R, Hultcrantz M, et al. GRADE guidelines 32: GRADE offers guidance on choosing targets of GRADE certainty of evidence ratings. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 2021; 137: 163–75.
- Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019;366:14898. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.14898.
- 11. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008; 336: 924–26.
- 12. Axfors C, Schmitt AM, Janiaud P, van 't Hooft J, Abd-Elsalam S, Abdo EF, et al.. Mortality outcomes with hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in COVID-19: an international collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.16.20194571.
- Fontana P, Casini A, Robert-Ebadi H, Glauser F, Righini M, Blondon M. Venous thromboembolism in COVID-19: systematic review of reported risks and current guidelines. Swiss Med Wkly 2020;150:w20301. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2020.20301.
- 14. Pan-American Health Organization. Guidelines for critical care of seriously ill adult patients with coronavirus (COVID-19) in the Americas: short version v-1. Washington DC: PAHO;2020. Available from: https://iris.paho.org/handle/10665.2/52184
- 15. Yuan X, Yi W, Liu B, Tian S, Cao F, Wang R, et al. Pulmonary radiological change of COVID-19 patients with 99mTc-MDP treatment [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.07.20054767.
- 16. Fakharian A, Barati S, Mirenayat M, Rezaei M, Haseli S, Torkaman P, et al. Evaluation of adalimumab effects in managing severe cases of COVID-19: A randomized controlled trial. International Immunopharmacology. 2021 Oct;99:107961.
- 17. Siami Z, Aghajanian S, Mansouri S, Mokhames Z, Pakzad R, Kabir K, et al. Effect of Ammonium Chloride in addition to standard of care in outpatients and hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a randomized clinical trial. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Apr;S1201971221003544.





- 18. Roshon M, Lemos-Filho L, Cherevka H, Goldberg L, Salottolo K, Bar-Or D. A Randomized Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of a Novel Inhaled Biologic Therapeutic in Adults with Respiratory Distress Secondary to COVID-19 Infection. Infect Dis Ther [Internet]. 2021 Nov 14 [cited 2021 Dec 6]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40121-021-00562-z
- 19. Bureau S, Dougados M, Tibi A, Azoulay E, Cadranel J, Emmerich J, et al. Effect of anakinra versus usual care in adults in hospital with COVID-19 and mild-to-moderate pneumonia (CORIMUNO-ANA-1): a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Jan;S2213260020305567.
- 20. Kyriazopoulou E, Poulakou G, Milionis H, Metallidis S, Adamis G, Tsiakos K, et al. Early Anakinra Treatment for COVID-19 Guided by Urokinase Plasminogen Receptor [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 May [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.16.21257283
- 21. Declercq J, Van Damme KFA, De Leeuw E, Maes B, Bosteels C, Tavernier SJ, et al. Effect of anti-interleukin drugs in patients with COVID-19 and signs of cytokine release syndrome (COV-AID): a factorial, randomised, controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Oct;S2213260021003775.
- 22. Kharazmi AB, Moradi O, Haghighi M, Kouchek M, Manafi-Rasi A, Raoufi M, et al. A randomized controlled clinical trial on efficacy and safety of anakinra in patients with severe COVID-19. Immun Inflamm Dis. 2021 Nov 11;iid3.563.
- 23. Cohen JB, Hanff TC, William P, Sweitzer N, Rosado-Santander NR, Medina C, et al. Continuation versus discontinuation of renin-angiotensin system inhibitors in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: a prospective, randomised, open-label trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Jan 7.
- 24. Lopes RD, Macedo AVS, de Barros E Silva PGM, Moll-Bernardes RJ, dos Santos TM, Mazza L, et al. Effect of Discontinuing vs Continuing Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers on Days Alive and Out of the Hospital in Patients Admitted With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Jan 19;325(3):254.
- 25. Bauer A, Schreinlechner M, Sappler N, Dolejsi T, Tilg H, Aulinger BA, et al. Discontinuation versus continuation of renin-angiotensin-system inhibitors in COVID-19





(ACEI-COVID): a prospective, parallel group, randomised, controlled, open-label trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Jun;S2213260021002149.

- 26. Tornling G, Batta R, Porter JC, Williams B, Bengtsson T, Parmar K, et al. Seven days treatment with the angiotensin II type 2 receptor agonist C21 in hospitalized COVID-19 patients; a placebo-controlled randomised multi-centre double-blind phase 2 trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Nov;41:101152.
- 27. Comparison of Losartan and Amlodipine Effects on the Outcomes of Patient with COVID-19 and Primary Hypertension: A Randomized Clinical Trial. International Journal of Clinical Practice [Internet]. 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 4]; Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ijcp.14124
- 28. Puskarich M, Cummins NW, Ingraham N, Wacker DA, Reilkoff R, Driver BE, et al. Effect of Losartan on Symptomatic Outpatients with COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 24]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=378746
- 29. Geriak M, Haddad F, Kullar R, Greenwood KL, Habib M, Habib C, et al. Randomized Prospective Open Label Study Shows No Impact on Clinical Outcome of Adding Losartan to Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients with Mild Hypoxemia. Infect Dis Ther [Internet]. 2021 May 11 [cited 2021 May 18]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40121-021-00453-3
- 30. Duarte M, Pelorosso F, Nicolosi LN, Victoria Salgado M, Vetulli H, Aquieri A, et al. Telmisartan for treatment of Covid-19 patients: An open multicenter randomized clinical trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Jul;37:100962.
- Najmeddin F, Solhjoo M, Ashraf H, Salehi M, Rasooli F, Ghoghaei M, et al. Effects of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Inhibitors on Early Outcomes of Hypertensive COVID-19 Patients: A Randomized Triple-Blind Clinical Trial. American Journal of Hypertension. 2021 Jul 15;hpab111.
- 32. Puskarich MA, Ingraham NE, Merck LH, Driver BE, Wacker DA, Black LP, et al. Effect of losartan on hospitalized patients with COVID-19-induced lung injury: A randomized clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Aug [cited 2021 Nov 24]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.08.25.21262623
- 33. Freilich D, Victory J, Jenkins P, Wheeler J, Vail GM, Riesenfeld E, et al. COVID MED An Early Pandemic Trial of Losartan for Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients [Internet].



PAHOS

Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2022 Jan [cited 2022 Jan 24]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.01.12.22269095

- 34. Bertoldi Lemos AC, do Espírito Santo DA, Salvetti MC, Gilio RN, Agra LB, Pazin-Filho A, Miranda CH. Therapeutic versus prophylactic anticoagulation for severe COVID-19: a randomized phase II clinical trial (HESACOVID). Thromb Res 2020;196:359-366. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.09.026.
- 35. The REMAP-CAP, ACTIV-4a, and ATTACC Investigators. Therapeutic Anticoagulation with Heparin in Critically Ill Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Aug 4;NEJMoa2103417.
- 36. INSPIRATION Investigators, Sadeghipour P, Talasaz AH, Rashidi F, Sharif-Kashani B, Beigmohammadi MT, et al. Effect of Intermediate-Dose vs Standard-Dose Prophylactic Anticoagulation on Thrombotic Events, Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Treatment, or Mortality Among Patients With COVID-19 Admitted to the Intensive Care Unit: The INSPIRATION Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA [Internet]. 2021 Mar 18 [cited 2021 Mar 22]; Available from:

https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2777829

- 37. Perepu U, Chambers I, Wahab A, Ten Eyck P, Wu C, Dayal S, et al. Standard Prophylactic Versus Intermediate Dose Enoxaparin in Adults with Severe COVID-19: A Multi-Center, Open-Label, Randomised Controlled Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 18]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3840099
- 38. The ATTACC, ACTIV-4a, and REMAP-CAP Investigators, Lawler PR, Goligher EC, Berger JS, Neal MD, McVerry BJ, et al. Therapeutic Anticoagulation in Non-Critically Ill Patients with Covid-19 [Internet]. Intensive Care and Critical Care Medicine; 2021 May [cited 2021 May 27]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256846
- 39. Lopes RD, de Barros e Silva PGM, Furtado RHM, Macedo AVS, Bronhara B, Damiani LP, et al. Therapeutic versus prophylactic anticoagulation for patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 and elevated D-dimer concentration (ACTION): an open-label, multicentre, randomised, controlled trial. The Lancet. 2021 Jun;S0140673621012034.
- 40. Sholzberg M, Tang GH, Rahhal H, AlHamzah M, Kreuziger LB, Áinle FN, et al. Effectiveness of therapeutic heparin versus prophylactic heparin on death, mechanical



ion World Health Organization ventilation, or intensive care unit admission in moderately ill patients with covid-19 admitted to hospital: RAPID randomised clinical trial. BMJ. 2021 Oct 14;n2400.

41. Spyropoulos AC, Goldin M, Giannis D, Diab W, Wang J, Khanijo S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Therapeutic-Dose Heparin vs Standard Prophylactic or Intermediate-Dose Heparins for Thromboprophylaxis in High-risk Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19: The HEP-COVID Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med [Internet]. 2021 Oct 7 [cited 2021 Oct 15]; Available from:

https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2785004

- 42. Marcos M, Carmona-Torre F, Vidal Laso R, Ruiz-Artacho P, Filella D, Carbonell C, et al. Therapeutic vs. prophylactic bemiparin in hospitalized patients with non-severe COVID-19 (BEMICOP): an open-label, multicenter, randomized trial. Thromb Haemost. 2021 Oct 12;a-1667-7534.
- 43. Oliynyk O, Barg W, Slifirczyk A, Oliynyk Y, Dubrov S, Gurianov V, et al. Comparison of the Effect of Unfractionated Heparin and Enoxaparin Sodium at Different Doses on the Course of COVID-19-Associated Coagulopathy. Life. 2021 Sep 30;11(10):1032.
- 44. Morici N, Podda G, Birocchi S, Bonacchini L, Merli M, Trezzi M, et al. Enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: The X-COVID-19 Randomized Trial. Eur J Clin Investigation [Internet]. 2021 Dec 26 [cited 2022 Jan 7]; Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/eci.13735
- 45. Connors JM, Brooks MM, Sciurba FC, Krishnan JA, Bledsoe JR, Kindzelski A, et al. Effect of Antithrombotic Therapy on Clinical Outcomes in Outpatients With Clinically Stable Symptomatic COVID-19: The ACTIV-4B Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Oct 11;
- 46. Ananworanich J, Mogg R, Dunne MW, Bassyouni M, David CV, Gonzalez E, et al. Randomized study of rivaroxaban vs. placebo on disease progression and symptoms resolution in high-risk adults with mild COVID-19. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 Sep 15;ciab813.
- 47. Mehboob R, Ahmad F, Qayyum A, Rana MA, Tariq MA, Akram J. Aprepitant as a combinant with dexamethasone reduces the inflammation via neurokinin 1 receptor antagonism in severe to critical COVID-19 patients and potentiates respiratory recovery: a novel therapeutic approach [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.01.20166678.



- 48. Trieu V, Saund S, Rahate PV, Barge VB, Nalk KS, Windlass H, et al. Targeting TGF-β pathway with COVID-19 Drug Candidate ARTIVeda/PulmoHeal Accelerates Recovery from Mild-Moderate COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Feb 16]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.01.24.21250418
- 49. Nirmal Ghati, Siddharthan Deepti, Sushma Bhatnagar, Manjit Mahendran, Abhishek Thakur, Kshitij Prasad, et al. A Randomised Control Trial of Statin and Aspirin as Adjuvant Therapy in Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection (RESIST Trial). SSRN [Internet]. 2021; Available from: http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/c4906fcf67c193fafde08db4a6b78514f12c192
- 50. Abani O, Abbas A, Abbas F, Abbas M, Abbasi S, Abbass H, et al. Aspirin in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, openlabel, platform trial. The Lancet. 2021 Nov;S0140673621018250.
- 51. Bruen C, Al-Saadi M, Michelson E, Tanios M, Mendoza-Ayala R, Miller J, et al. Auxora Improves Outcomes in Patients With Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Dec 20]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3976177
- 52. Carvelli J, Meziani F, Dellamonica J, Cordier P-Y, Allardet-Servent J, Fraisse M, et al. Avdoralimab (anti-C5aR1 mAb) Versus Placebo in Patients With Severe COVID-19: Results From a Randomized Controlled Trial (FORCE). SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Feb 21]; Available from: <u>https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=4028533</u>
- 53. Youssef JG, Lee R, Javitt J, Lavin P, Jayaweera D. Effectiveness of ZYESAMITM (Aviptadil) in Accelerating Recovery and Shortening Hospitalization in Critically-III Patients with COVID-19 Respiratory Failure: Interim Report from a Phase 2B/3 Multicenter Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Apr 8]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3794262
- 54. Klussmann JP, Lehmann C, Grosheva M, Sahin K, Nagy E, Szijártó V, et al. COVID-19: Azelastine nasal spray Reduces Virus-load In Nasal swabs (CARVIN). Early intervention with azelastine nasal sprays reduces viral load in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. First report on a double-blind placebo-controlled phase II clinical trial. [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 21]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-864566/v1



- 55. Sekhavati E, Jafari F, SeyedAlinaghi S, Jamali Moghadam Siahkali S, Sadr S, Tabarestani M, et al. Safety and effectiveness of azithromycin in patients with COVID-19: an open-label randomized trial. Int Journal Antimicrob Ag 2020;56(4):106143. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106143.
- 56. Guvenmez O, Keskin H, Ay B, Birinci S, Kanca MF. The comparison of the effectiveness of lincocin® and azitro® in the treatment of COVID-19-associated pneumonia: a prospective study. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmacol 2020;27(S Pt1):e5–10. Available from : https://doi.org/10.15586/jptcp.v27iSP1.684.
- 57. Furtado RHM, Berwanger O, Fonseca HA, Corrêa TD, Ferraz LR, Lapa MG, et al. Azithromycin in addition to standard of care versus standard of care alone in the treatment of patients admitted to the hospital with severe COVID-19 in Brazil (COALITION II): a randomised clinical trial. Lancet 2020;396:959-67. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31862-6.
- 58. Horby PW, Roddick A, Spata E, Staplin N, Emberson JR, Pessoa-Amorim G, Peto L, et al. 2020. Azithromycin in Hospitalised Patients with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): A Randomised, Controlled, Open-Label, Platform Trial. Preprint. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS). https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.10.20245944.
- 59. Rashad A, Nafady A, Hassan M, Mansour H, Taya U, Bazeed S, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of macrolides in management of patients with mild COVID-19. ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2021
- 60. Butler CC, Dorward J, Yu L-M, Gbinigie O, Hayward G, Saville BR, et al. Azithromycin for community treatment of suspected COVID-19 in people at increased risk of an adverse clinical course in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. The Lancet. 2021 Mar;S014067362100461X.
- 61. Hinks TS, Cureton L, Knight R, Wang A, Cane JL, Barber VS, et al. A randomised clinical trial of azithromycin versus standard care in ambulatory COVID-19 – the ATOMIC2 trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 May 3]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.21.21255807
- 62. Oldenburg CE, Pinsky BA, Brogdon J, Chen C, Ruder K, Zhong L, et al. Effect of Oral Azithromycin vs Placebo on COVID-19 Symptoms in Outpatients With SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA [Internet]. 2021 Jul 16 [cited 2021 Aug 2]; Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2782166

PAHOS





- 63. Ghanei M, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Qazvini A, Ghazale AH, Setarehdan SA, Saadat SH, et al. The efficacy of corticosteroids therapy in patients with moderate to severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter, randomized, open-label trial. Respir Res. 2021 Dec;22(1):245.
- 64. Ren Z, Luo H, Yu Z, Song J, Liang L, Wang L, et al. A randomized, open-label, controlled clinical trial of azvudine tablets in the treatment of mild and common COVID-19, a pilot study. Adv Sci 2020;7:2001435. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001435.
- 65. Lou Y, Liu L, Qiu Y. Clinical outcomes and plasma concentrations of baloxavir marboxil and favipiravir in COVID-19 patients: an exploratory randomized, controlled trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085761.
- 66. Chen P, Nirula A, Heller B, Gottlieb RL, Boscia J, Morris J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody LY-CoV555 in outpatients with COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020; NEJMoa2029849. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2029849.
- 67. ACTIV-3/TICO LY-CoV555 Study Group. A Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody for Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 Dec 22;NEJMoa2033130.
- 68. Gottlieb RL, Nirula A, Chen P, Boscia J, Heller B, Morris J, et al. Effect of Bamlanivimab as Monotherapy or in Combination With Etesevimab on Viral Load in Patients With Mild to Moderate COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA [Internet]. 2021
- 69. Cohen MS, Nirula A, Mulligan MJ, Novak RM, Marovich M, Yen C, et al. Effect of Bamlanivimab vs Placebo on Incidence of COVID-19 Among Residents and Staff of Skilled Nursing and Assisted Living Facilities: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA [Internet]. 2021 Jun 3 [cited 2021 Jun 15]; Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2780870
- Dougan M, Nirula A, Azizad M, Mocherla B, Gottlieb RL, Chen P, et al. Bamlanivimab plus Etesevimab in Mild or Moderate Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jul 14;NEJMoa2102685.
- 71. Chen P, Datta G, Li YG, Chien J, Price K, Chigutsa E, et al. First in Human Study of Bamlanivimab in a Randomized Trial of Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2021 Aug 28;cpt.2405.



ion World Health Organization

- 72. McCreary EK, Bariola JR, Minnier T, Wadas RJ, Shovel JA, Albin D, et al. A Learning Health System Randomized Trial of Monoclonal Antibodies for Covid-19 [Internet]. Pharmacology and Therapeutics; 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 13]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.03.21262551
- 73. Chew KW, Moser C, Daar ES, Wohl DA, Li JZ, Coombs R, et al. Bamlanivimab reduces nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 RNA levels but not symptom duration in non-hospitalized adults with COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 30]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.17.21268009
- 74. Huang DT, McCreary EK, Bariola JR, Minnier TE, Wadas RJ, Shovel JA, et al. Effectiveness of casirivimab and imdevimab, and sotrovimab during Delta variant surge: a prospective cohort study and comparative effectiveness randomized trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2022 Jan 10]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.23.21268244
- 75. Kalil AC., Patterson TF, Mehta AK, Tomashek KM, Wolfe CR, Ghazaryan V, Marconi VC, et al. 2020. Baricitinib plus Remdesivir for Hospitalized Adults with Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine, December, NEJMoa2031994. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2031994.
- 76. Marconi VC, Ramanan AV, de Bono S, Kartman CE, Krishnan V, Liao R, et al. Efficacy and safety of baricitinib for the treatment of hospitalised adults with COVID-19 (COV-BARRIER): a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Sep;S2213260021003313.
- 77. Ely EW, Ramanan AV, Kartman CE, de Bono S, Liao R, Piruzeli MLB, et al. Baricitinib plus Standard of Care for Hospitalised Adults with COVID-19 on Invasive Mechanical Ventilation or Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation: Results of a Randomised, Placebo-Controlled Trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 18]. Available from: http://madruiu.org/loolaup/doi/10.1101/2021.10.11.21262807

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.10.11.21263897

78. Padmanabhan U, Mukherjee S, Borse R, Joshi S, Deshmukh R. Phase II clinical trial for evaluation of BCG as potential therapy for COVID-19 [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.28.20221630.



on World Health Organization

- 79. Raghavan K, Dedeepiya VD, Suryaprakash V, Rao K-S, Ikewaki N, Sonoda T, et al. Beneficial effects of novel aureobasidium pullulans strains produced beta-1,3-1,6 glucans on interleukin-6 and D-dimer levels in COVID-19 patients; results of a randomized multiple-arm pilot clinical study. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2021 Sep;112243.
- 80. Pushkala S, Seshayyan S, Theranirajan E, Sudhakar D, Raghavan K, Dedeepiya VD, et al. Efficient control of IL-6, CRP and Ferritin in Covid-19 patients with two variants of Beta-1,3-1,6 glucans in combination, within 15 days in an open-label prospective clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 30]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.14.21267778
- 81. Rybakov A.R., Zhebelenko Y.G., Dubrov S.O., Vdovenko D.V., Kavardakova N.V., Matsibokh S.V., et al. The Results of the Clinical Study: An Open-label Multicenter Randomized Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy of Bioven, Manufactured by Biopharma Plasma, LLC, in Complex Therapy of Patients with Pneumonia Induced by COVID-19/SARS-COV-2 / PE3YJbTATU KJIHIЧHOГO ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ «ВІДКРИТЕ БАГАТОЦЕНТРОВЕ РАНДОМІЗОВАНЕ ДОСЛІДЖЕННЯ 3 ОЦІНКИ ЕФЕКТИВНОСТІ ПРЕПАРАТУ БІОВЕН, ВИРОБНИЦТВА ТОВ «БІОФАРМА ПЛАЗМА», В КОМПЛЕКСНІЙ ТЕРАПІЇ ПАЦІЄНТІВ 3 ПНЕВМОНІЄЮ, ЩО ВИКЛИКАНА КОРОНАВІРУСНОЮ ІНФЕКЦІЄЮ COVID-19. Pain, Anaesthesia and Intensive Care. 2020;4(93):9–21.
- 82. Li T, Sun L, Zhang W, Zheng C, Jiang C, Chen M, et al. Bromhexine hydrochloride tablets for the treatment of moderate COVID-19: an open-label randomized controlled pilot study. Clin Transl Sci 2020;13(6):1096-1102. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.12881.
- 83. Ansarin K, Tolouian R, Ardalan M, Taghizadieh A, Varshochi M, Teimouri S, et al. 2020. Effect of bromhexine on clinical outcomes and mortality in COVID-19 patients: a randomized clinical trial. Bioimpacts 2020;10(4):209–15. Available from: https://doi.org/10.34172/bi.2020.27.
- 84. Mikhaylov EN, Lyubimtseva TA, Vakhrushev AD, Stepanov D, Lebedev DS, Vasilieva EYu, et al. Bromhexine Hydrochloride Prophylaxis of COVID-19 for Medical Personnel: A Randomized Open-Label Study. Tharmalingam J, editor. Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases. 2022 Jan 29;2022:1–7.



on World Health Organization

- 85. Tolouian R, Mulla ZD, Jamaati H, Babamahmoodi A, Marjani M, Eskandari R, et al. Effect of bromhexine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. J Investig Med. 2021 Mar 15;jim-2020-001747.
- 86. Tolouian R, Moradi O, Mulla ZD, Ziaie S, Haghighi M, Esmaily H, et al. Bromhexine, for Post Exposure COVID-19 Prophylaxis: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo Control Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Jan 11]; Available from: <u>https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3989849</u>
- 87. Elamir YM, Amir H, Lim S, Rana YP, Lopez CG, Feliciano NV, et al. A randomized pilot study using calcitriol in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Bone. 2022 Jan;154:116175.
- 88. Gunst JD, Staerke NB, Pahus MH, Kristensen LH, Bodilsen J, Lohse N, et al. Efficacy of the TMPRSS2 inhibitor camostat mesilate in patients hospitalized with Covid-19-a double-blind randomized controlled trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Apr;100849.
- 89. Chupp G, Spichler-Moffarah A, Søgaard OS, Esserman D, Dziura J, Danzig L, et al. A Phase 2 Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-controlled Trial of Oral Camostat Mesylate for Early Treatment of COVID-19 Outpatients Showed Shorter Illness Course and Attenuation of Loss of Smell and Taste [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2022 Jan [cited 2022 Feb 16]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.01.28.22270035
- 90. Caricchio R, Abbate A, Gordeev I, Meng J, Hsue PY, Neogi T, et al. Effect of Canakinumab vs Placebo on Survival Without Invasive Mechanical Ventilation in Patients Hospitalized With Severe COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Jul 20;326(3):230–9.
- 91. Cremer PC, Sheng CC, Sahoo D, Dugar S, Prada RA, Wang TKM, et al. Double-Blind Randomised Proof-of-Concept Trial of C anakinumab in Patients with C OVID-19 Associated C ardiac Injury and Heightened Inflammation. European Heart Journal Open. 2021 Jul 29;0eab002.
- 92. Crippa JAS, Pacheco JC, Zuardi AW, Guimarães FS, Campos AC, Osório F de L, et al. Cannabidiol for COVID-19 Patients with Mild to Moderate Symptoms (CANDIDATE Study): A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. Cannabis and Cannabinoid Research. 2021 Oct 7;can.2021.0093.





- 93. Perlin DS, Neil GA, Anderson C, Zafir-Lavie I, Roadcap L, Raines S, et al. CERC-002, a human anti-LIGHT mAb reduces respiratory failure and death in hospitalized COVID-19 ARDS patients [Internet]. Pharmacology and Therapeutics; 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 12]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.03.21254748
- 94. Thakar A, Panda S, Sakthivel P, Brijwal M, Dhakad S, Choudekar A, et al. Chloroquine nasal drops in asymptomatic & mild COVID-19: An exploratory randomized clinical trial. Indian J Med Res. 2021;0(0):0.
- 95. Cruz LR, Baladron I, Rittoles A, Diaz PA, Valenzuela C, Santana R, et al. Treatment with an anti-CK2 synthetic peptide improves clinical response in COVID-19 patients with pneumonia: a randomized and controlled clinical trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.03.20187112</u>.
- 96. Song J-Y, Kim Y-S, Eom J-S, Kim J-Y, Lee J-S, Lee J, et al. Oral antiviral clevudine compared with placebo in Korean COVID-19 patients with moderate severity [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 29]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.09.21267566</u>
- 97. Altay O, Yang H, Aydin M, Alkurt G, Altunal N, Kim W, et al. Combined metabolic cofactor supplementation accelerates recovery in mild-to-moderate COVID-19 [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.02.20202614.
- 98. Altay O, Arif M, Li X, Yang H, Aydın M, Alkurt G, et al. Combined Metabolic Activators Accelerates Recovery in Mild-to-Moderate COVID-19. Adv Sci. 2021 Sep;8(17):2101222.
- 99. Hu Q, Zhang Q-Y, Peng C-F, Ma Z, Han Y-L. Efficiency of Nicotinamide-based Supportive Therapy in Lymphopenia for Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial [Internet]. In Review; 2022 Jan [cited 2022 Jan 18]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1173313/v1</u>
- Deftereos SG, Giannopoulos G, Vrachatis DA, Siasos GD, Giotaki SG,
 Gargalianos P, et al. Effect of colchicine vs standard care on cardiac and inflammatory
 biomarkers and clinical outcomes in patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019:
 The GRECCO-19 randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3(6):e2013136.
 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.13136.



Organization
- 101. Lopes MI, Bonjorno LP, Giannini MC, Amaral NB, Menezes PI, Dib SM, et al. Beneficial effects of colchicine for moderate to severe COVID-19: a randomised, doubleblinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. RMD Open. 2021 Feb;7(1):e001455.
- 102. Farhad S, Pourfarzi F, Ataei S. The impact of colchicine on the COVID-19 patients: a clinical trial study [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-69374/v1.
- 103. Tardif J-C, Bouabdallaoui N, L'Allier PL, Gaudet D, Shah B, Pillinger MH, et al. Colchicine for community-treated patients with COVID-19 (COLCORONA): a phase 3, randomised, double-blinded, adaptive, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 May;S2213260021002228.
- Colchicine in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Oct;S2213260021004355.
- 105. Pascual-Figal DA, Roura-Piloto AE, Moral-Escudero E, Bernal E, Albendin-Iglesias H, Pérez-Martínez MT, et al. Colchicine in Recently Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial (COL-COVID). IJGM. 2021 Sep;Volume 14:5517–26.
- PRINCIPLE Trial Collaborative Group, Dorward J, Yu L-M, Hayward G, Saville BR, Gbinigie O, et al. Colchicine for COVID-19 in adults in the community (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, adaptive platform trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 27]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.20.21263828
- 107. Diaz R, Orlandini A, Castellana N, Caccavo A, Corral P, Corral G, et al. Effect of Colchicine vs Usual Care Alone on Intubation and 28-Day Mortality in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Dec 29;4(12):e2141328.
- 108. Alsultan M, Obeid A, Alsamarrai O, Anan MT, Bakr A, Soliman N, et al. Efficacy of Colchicine and Budesonide in Improvement Outcomes of Patients with Coronavirus Infection 2019 in Damascus, Syria: A Randomized Control Trial. Lanzafame M, editor. Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Diseases. 2021 Dec 31;2021:1–7.





- 109. Pourdowlat G, Saghafi F, Mozafari A, Sahebnasagh A, Abedini A, Nabi Meybodi M, et al. Efficacy and safety of colchicine treatment in patients with COVID -19: A prospective, multicenter, randomized clinical trial. Phytotherapy Research. 2022 Feb 2;ptr.7319.
- 110. Gaitán-Duarte HG, Álvarez-Moreno C, Rincón-Rodríguez CJ, Yomayusa-González N, Cortés JA, Villar JC, et al. Effectiveness of Rosuvastatin plus Colchicine, Emtricitabine/Tenofovir and a combination of them in Hospitalized Patients with SARS Covid-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Aug 2]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.06.21260085
- 111. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, Tong X, Zheng S, Yang J, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on time to clinical improvement in patients with severe and lifethreatening COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020;324(5):460-70. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.10044.
- Gharbharan A, Jordans CCE, GeurtsvanKessel C, den Hollander JG, Karim F,
 Mollema PN, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial
 [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.01.20139857.
- 113. Avendano-Sola C, Ramos-Martinez A, Munez-Rubio E, Ruiz-Antoran B, de Molina RM, Torres F, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19: a multicenter, randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.26.20182444.
- 114. Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, Chatterjee P, Bhatnagar T, Malhotra P, et al. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate COVID-19 in India: an open-label parallel-arm phase II multicentre randomized controlled trial (PLACID Trial) [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.03.20187252.
- 115. Simonovich VA, Burgos Pratx LD, Scibona P, Beruto MV, Vallone MG, Vázquez C, et al. A randomized trial of convalescent plasma in COVID-19 severe pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2020; NEJMoa2031304. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2031304.
- 116. Bajpai M, Kumar S, Maheshwari A, Chabra K, Kale P, Gupta A, et al. Efficacy of convalescent plasma therapy compared to fresh frozen plasma in severely ill COVID-19 patients: a pilot randomized controlled trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.25.20219337.

PAHO®



- AlQahtani M, Abdulrahman A, AlMadani A, Yousif AlAli S, Al Zamrooni AM, Hejab A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of convalescent plasma therapy against standard therapy in patients with severe COVID-19 disease [Preprint]. 2020 MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.02.20224303.
- 118. Libster R, Pérez Marc G, Wappner D, Coviello S, Bianchi A, Braem V, et al. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jan 6;NEJMoa2033700.
- 119. Ray, Yogiraj, Shekhar Ranjan Paul, Purbita Bandopadhyay, Ranit D'Rozario, Jafar Sarif, Deblina Raychaudhuri, Debaleena Bhowmik, et al. 2022. "A Phase 2 Single Center Open Label Randomised Control Trial for Convalescent Plasma Therapy in Patients with Severe COVID-19." *Nature Communications* 13 (1): 383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28064-7</u>.
- 120. Horby PW, Estcourt L, Peto L, Emberson JR, Staplin N, Spata E, et al. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 11]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.09.21252736
- 121. Baklaushev V, Averyanov AV, Sotnikova AG, Perkina AS, Ivanov A, Yusubalieva GM, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Convalescent Plasma for COVID-19: The First Results of a Clinical Study. Journal of Clinical Practice [Internet]. 2020 Jul 17 [cited 2021 Feb 14]; Available from: https://journals.ecovector.com/clinpractice/article/view/35168
- 122. O'Donnell MR, Grinsztejn B, Cummings MJ, Justman JE, Lamb MR, Eckhardt CM, et al. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of convalescent plasma in adults with severe COVID-19. Journal of Clinical Investigation [Internet]. 2021 May 11 [cited 2021 May 17]; Available from: http://www.jci.org/articles/view/150646
- 123. Gonzalez JLB, González Gámez M, Mendoza Enciso EA, Esparza Maldonado RJ, Palacios DH, Campos SD, et al. Efficacy and safety of convalescent plasma and intravenous immunoglobulin in critically ill COVID-19 patients. A controlled clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Apr 5]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.28.21254507





124. Pouladzadeh M, Safdarian M, Eshghi P, Abolghasemi H, Bavani AG, Sheibani B, et al. A randomized clinical trial evaluating the immunomodulatory effect of convalescent plasma on COVID-19-related cytokine storm. Internal and emergency medicine [Internet]. 2021; Available from:

http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/1996674ceda1dbb24d8246a2f7b3b4f65135369 3

- 125. Bennett-Guerrero E, Romeiser JL, Talbot LR, Ahmed T, Mamone LJ, Singh SM, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Convalescent Plasma Versus Standard Plasma in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infected Hospitalized Patients in New York: A Double-Blind Randomized Trial. Critical Care Medicine [Internet]. 2021 Apr 16 [cited 2021 Apr 27];Publish Ahead of Print. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/CCM.00000000005066
- 126. Hamdy Salman O, Ail Mohamed HS. Efficacy and safety of transfusing plasma from COVID-19 survivors to COVID-19 victims with severe illness. A double-blinded controlled preliminary study. Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia. 2020 Jan 1;36(1):264–72.
- 127. Körper S, Weiss M, Zickler D, Wiesmann T, Zacharowski K, M.Corman V, et al. High Dose Convalescent Plasma in COVID-19: Results from the Randomized Trial CAPSID [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 May [cited 2021 May 20]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256192
- 128. Writing Committee for the REMAP-CAP Investigators, Estcourt LJ, Turgeon AF, McQuilten ZK, McVerry BJ, Al-Beidh F, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma on Organ Support-Free Days in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Oct 4;
- 129. The CONCOR-1 Study Group, CONCOR-1 writing committee, Bégin P, Callum J, Jamula E, Cook R, et al. Convalescent plasma for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and the effect of plasma antibodies: a randomized controlled, open-label trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Jul 6]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.06.29.21259427
- 130. Sekine L, Arns B, Fabro BR, Cipolatt MM, Machado RRG, Durigon EL, et al. Convalescent plasma for COVID-19 in hospitalised patients: an open-label, randomised clinical trial. Eur Respir J. 2021 Jul 8;2101471.



on World Health Organization

- 131. Kirenga B, Byakika-Kibwika P, Muttamba W, Kayongo A, Loryndah NO, Mugenyi L, et al. Efficacy of convalescent plasma for treatment of COVID-19 in Uganda. BMJ Open Resp Res. 2021 Aug;8(1):e001017.
- 132. Korley FK, Durkalski-Mauldin V, Yeatts SD, Schulman K, Davenport RD, Dumont LJ, et al. Early Convalescent Plasma for High-Risk Outpatients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Aug 18;NEJMoa2103784.
- 133. Devos T, Van Thillo Q, Compernolle V, Najdovski T, Romano M, Dauby N, et al. Early high antibody-titre convalescent plasma for hospitalised COVID-19 patients: DAWn-plasma. Eur Respir J. 2021 Aug 26;2101724.
- 134. Bar KJ, Shaw PA, Choi GH, Aqui N, Fesnak A, Yang JB, et al. A randomized controlled study of convalescent plasma for individuals hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia. Journal of Clinical Investigation [Internet]. 2021 Nov 17 [cited 2021 Dec 13]; Available from: http://www.jci.org/articles/view/155114
- 135. Menichetti F, Popoli P, Puopolo M, Spila Alegiani S, Tiseo G, Bartoloni A, et al. Effect of High-Titer Convalescent Plasma on Progression to Severe Respiratory Failure or Death in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Nov 29;4(11):e2136246.
- Millat-Martinez P, Gharbharan A, Alemany A, Rokx C, Geurtsvankessel C, Papageourgiou G, et al. Convalescent plasma for outpatients with early COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 8]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.11.30.21266810
- 137. Sullivan DJ, Gebo KA, Shoham S, Bloch EM, Lau B, Shenoy AG, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Early Outpatient COVID-19 Treatment with High-Titer Convalescent Plasma [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 22]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.10.21267485

- 138. Holm K, Lundgren MN, Kjeldsen-Kragh J, Ljungquist O, Böttiger B, Wikén C, et al. Convalescence plasma treatment of COVID-19: results from a prematurely terminated randomized controlled open-label study in Southern Sweden. BMC Res Notes. 2021 Dec;14(1):440.
- 139. Ortigoza MB, Yoon H, Goldfeld KS, Troxel AB, Daily JP, Wu Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety of COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma in Hospitalized Patients: A Randomized





Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med [Internet]. 2021 Dec 13 [cited 2022 Jan 6]; Available from: <u>https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2787090</u>

- Baldeón ME, Maldonado A, Ochoa-Andrade M, Largo C, Pesantez M, Herdoiza M, et al. Effect of convalescent plasma as complementary treatment in patients with moderate COVID -19 infection. Transfusion Medicine. 2022 Jan 9;tme.12851.
- De Santis GC, Oliveira LC, Garibaldi PMM, Almado CEL, Croda J, Arcanjo
 GGA, et al. High-Dose Convalescent Plasma for Treatment of Severe COVID-19. Emerg
 Infect Dis [Internet]. 2022 Mar [cited 2022 Feb 14];28(3). Available from:
 https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/28/3/21-2299 article.htm
- Balcells ME, Rojas L, Le Corre N, Martínez-Valdebenito C, Ceballos ME, Ferrés M, et al. Early versus deferred anti-SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma in patients admitted for COVID-19: A randomized phase II clinical trial. PLoS Med. 2021 Mar;18(3):e1003415.
- Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, Kunze KL, Johnson PW, Lesser ER, et al.
 Safety update: COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 20,000 hospitalized patients. Mayo
 Clin Proc 2020;95(9):1888–97. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.06.028
- 144. Leucker TM, Osburn WO, Reventun P, Smith K, Claggett B, Kirwan B-A, et al. Effect of Crizanlizumab, a P-Selectin Inhibitor, in COVID-19. JACC: Basic to Translational Science. 2021 Dec;S2452302X21003156.
- 145. Kosiborod MN, Esterline R, Furtado RHM, Oscarsson J, Gasparyan SB, Koch GG, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with cardiometabolic risk factors hospitalised with COVID-19 (DARE-19): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2021 Jul;S2213858721001807.
- 146. Chen J, Xia L, Liu L, Xu Q, Ling Y, Huang D, et al. Antiviral activity and safety of darunavir/cobicistat for the treatment of COVID-19. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7(7):ofaa241. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa241</u>.
- 147. Hosseinzadeh A, Emamian MH, Tavakolian A, Kia V, Ebrahimi H, Sheibani H, et al. Application of nasal spray containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DSMO) and ethanol during the COVID-19 pandemic may protect healthcare workers: A randomized controlled trials [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Jul 14]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.06.21259749



148. Sobngwi E, Zemsi S, Guewo-Fokeng M, Katte J-C, Kounfack C, Mfeukeu-Kuate L, et al. Doxycycline is a safe alternative to Hydroxychloroquine + Azithromycin to prevent clinical worsening and hospitalization in mild COVID-19 patients: An open label randomized clinical trial (DOXYCOV) [Internet]. Pharmacology and Therapeutics; 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Aug 3]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.25.21260838

- 149. Butler CC, Yu L-M, Dorward J, Gbinigie O, Hayward G, Saville BR, et al. Doxycycline for community treatment of suspected COVID-19 in people at high risk of adverse outcomes in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Jul;S2213260021003106.
- 150. Dhar R, Kirkpatrick J, Gilbert L, Khanna A, Modi MM, Chawla RK, et al. Doxycycline for the prevention of progression of COVID-19 to severe disease requiring intensive care unit (ICU) admission: a randomized, controlled, open-label, parallel group trial (DOXPREVENT.ICU) [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2022 Feb [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.01.30.22269685

- 151. Cadegiani FA, McCoy J, Wambier CG, Goren A. 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors reduce remission time of COVID-19: results from a randomized double blind placebo controlled interventional trial in 130 SARS-CoV-2 positive men [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.16.20232512</u>.
- 152. Cadegiani FA, McCoy J, Gustavo Wambier C, Goren A. Early Antiandrogen Therapy With Dutasteride Reduces Viral Shedding, Inflammatory Responses, and Timeto-Remission in Males With COVID-19: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Interventional Trial (EAT-DUTA AndroCoV Trial – Biochemical). Cureus [Internet]. 2021 Feb 1 [cited 2021 Feb 14]
- 153. Delgado-Enciso I, Paz-Garcia J, Barajas-Saucedo CE, Mokay-Ramírez KA, Meza-Robles C, Lopez-Flores R, et al. Patient-reported health outcomes after treatment of COVID-19 with nebulized and/or intravenous neutral electrolyzed saline combined with usual medical care versus usual medical care alone: a randomized, open-label, controlled trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-68403/v1</u>.





- 154. Gutiérrez-García R, De La Cerda-Angeles JC, Cabrera-Licona A, Delgado-Enciso I, Mervitch-Sigal N, Paz-michel B. Nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal rinses with neutral electrolyzed water prevents COVID-19 in front-line health professionals: A randomized, open-label, controlled trial in a general hospital in Mexico City. Biomed Rep. 2021 Dec 15;16(2):11.
- 155. Gaitán-Duarte HG, Álvarez-Moreno C, Rincón-Rodríguez CJ, Yomayusa-González N, Cortés JA, Villar JC, et al. Effectiveness of Rosuvastatin plus Colchicine, Emtricitabine/Tenofovir and a combination of them in Hospitalized Patients with SARS Covid-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Aug 2]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.06.21260085</u>
- 156. Matli K, Al Kotob A, Jamaleddine W, Al Osta S, Salameh P, Tabbikha R, et al. Managing Endothelial Dysfunction in COVID-19: A Pilot, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Clinical Trial at the Lebanese American University Medical Center - Rizk Hospital (MEDIC-LAUMCRH) [Internet]. Cardiovascular Medicine; 2022 Feb [cited 2022 Feb 16]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.02.02.22270341

- 157. Olha Holubovska, Denisa Bojkova, Stefano Elli, Marco bechtel, David Boltz, Miguel Muzzio, et al. Enisamium is an inhibitor of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA polymerase and shows improvement of recovery in COVID-19 patients in an interim analysis of a clinical trial. medRxiv [Internet]. 2021.
- 158. Welén K, Rosendal E, Gisslén M, Lenman A, Freyhult E, Fonseca-Rodríguez O, et al. A Phase 2 Trial of the Effect of Antiandrogen Therapy on COVID-19 Outcome: No Evidence of Benefit, Supported by Epidemiology and In Vitro Data. European Urology. 2021 Dec;S0302283821022247.
- 159. Samimagham H, Azad M, Haddad M, Arabi M, Hooshyar D, KazemiJahromi M.
 The Efficacy of Famotidine in improvement of outcomes in Hospitalized COVID-19
 Patients: A phase III randomised clinical trial. ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2021;
 Available from:

http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/a38a60b031b058f125e2d5572d2bc7678b6764 98



World Health Organization

- 160. Chen C, Huang J, Cheng Z, Wu J, Chen S, Zhang Y, et al. Favipiravir versus arbidol for COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.17.20037432.
- 161. Ivashchenko AA, Dmitriev KA, Vostokova NV, Azarova VN, Blinow AA, Egorova AN, et al. Interim results of a phase II/III multicenter randomized clinical trial of AVIFAVIR in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. MedRxiv 202. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.26.20154724.
- 162. Doi Y, Hibino M, Hase R, Yamamoto M, Kasamatsu Y, Hirose M, et al. A prospective, randomized, open-label trial of early versus late favipiravir in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2020; 64:e01897-20. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01897-20.
- 163. Dabbous HM, El-Sayed MH, El Assal G, Elghazaly H, Ebeid FFS, Sherief AF, et al. A randomized controlled study of favipiravir vs hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 management: what have we learned so far? [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-83677/v1.
- 164. Zhao H, Zhu Q, Zhang C, Li J, Wei M, Qin Y, et al. Tocilizumab combined with favipiravir in the treatment of COVID-19: a multicenter trial in a small sample size. Biomed Pharmacother 2021; 133:110825. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110825.
- 165. Khamis F, Al Naabi H, Al Lawati A, Ambusaidi Z, Al Sharji M, Al Barwani U, et al. Randomized controlled open label trial on the use of favipiravir combined with inhaled interferon beta-1b in hospitalized patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Int J Infect Dis 2020; 102:538-43. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.11.008</u>.
- 166. Ruzhentsova TA, Oseshnyuk RA, Soluyanova TN, Dmitrikova EP, Mustafaev DM, Pokrovskiy KA, et al. Phase 3 trial of coronavir (favipiravir) in patients with mild to moderate COVID-19. Am J Transl Res. 2021;13(11):12575–87.
- 167. Udwadia ZF, Singh P, Barkate H, Patil S, Rangwala S, Pendse A, et al. Efficacy and safety of favipiravir, an oral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inhibitor, in mild-tomoderate COVID-19: a randomized, comparative, open-label, multicenter, phase 3 clinical trial [Preprint]. Int J Infect Dis 2020. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.11.142</u>.





- 168. Ogarev Mordovia State University, Saransk, Russian Federation, Balykova LA, Govorov AV, A.I.Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Moscow, Russian Federation, Vasilyev AO, A.I.Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Moscow, Russian Federation, et al. Characteristics of COVID-19 and possibilities of early causal therapy. Results of favipiravir use in clinical practice. Infekc bolezni. 2020;18(3):30–40.
- 169. Solaymani-Dodaran M, Ghanei M, Bagheri M, Qazvini A, Vahedi E, Hassan Saadat S, et al. Safety and efficacy of Favipiravir in moderate to severe SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. International Immunopharmacology. 2021 Jun;95:107522.
- 170. Zhao H, Zhang C, Zhu Q, Chen X, Chen G, Sun W, et al. Favipiravir in the treatment of patients with SARS-CoV-2 RNA recurrent positive after discharge: A multicenter, open-label, randomized trial. International Immunopharmacology. 2021 Aug;97:107702.
- 171. Bosaeed M, Mahmoud E, Alharbi A, Altayeib H, Albayat H, Alharbi F, et al. Favipiravir and Hydroxychloroquine Combination Therapy in Patients with Moderate to Severe COVID-19 (FACCT): An Open-Label, Multicentre, Randomised, Controlled Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 5]; Available from: <u>https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3829663</u>
- Shinkai M, Tsushima K, Tanaka S, Hagiwara E, Tarumoto N, Kawada I, et al.
 Efficacy and Safety of Favipiravir in Moderate COVID-19 Pneumonia Patients without Oxygen Therapy: A Randomized, Phase III Clinical Trial. Infect Dis Ther [Internet].
 2021 Aug 27 [cited 2021 Sep 6]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40121-021-00517-4
- 173. Atipornwanich K, Kongsaengdao S, Harnsomburana P, Nanna R, Chtuparisute C, Saengsayan P, et al. Various Combinations of Favipiravir, Lopinavir-Ritonavir, Darunavir-Ritonavir, High-Dose Oseltamivir, and Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial (FIGHT-COVID-19 Study). SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 13]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3936499
- 174. Shenoy S, Munjal S, Youha SA, Alghounaim M, Almazeedi S, Alshamali Y, et al. Favipiravir In Adults with Moderate to Severe COVID-19: A Phase 3 Multicentre, Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled Trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases

PAHO®





(except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Nov 26]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.11.08.21265884

- 175. Holubar M, Subramanian A, Purington N, Hedlin H, Bunning B, Walter KS, et al. Favipiravir for treatment of outpatients with asymptomatic or uncomplicated COVID-19: a double-blind randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Dec 8]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.11.22.21266690</u>
- 176. Chuah CH, Chow TS, Hor CP, Cheng JT, Ker HB, Lee HG, et al. Efficacy of Early Treatment with Favipiravir on Disease Progression among High Risk COVID-19 Patients: A Randomized, Open-Label Clinical Trial. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 Nov 19;ciab962.
- 177. Finberg RW, Ashraf M, Julg B, Ayoade F, Marathe JG, Issa NC, et al. US201
 Study: A Phase 2, Randomized Proof-of-Concept Trial of Favipiravir for the Treatment of COVID-19. Open Forum Infectious Diseases. 2021 Dec 1;8(12):ofab563.
- 178. Bosaeed M, Alharbi A, Mahmoud E, Alrehily S, Bahlaq M, Gaifer Z, et al. Efficacy of favipiravir in adults with mild COVID-19: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial clinical trial. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2022 Jan;S1198743X21007345.
- 179. Davoodi L, Abedi SM, Salehifar E, Alizadeh-Navai R, Rouhanizadeh H, Khorasani G, Hosseinimehr SJ. Febuxostat therapy in outpatients with suspected COVID-19: a clinical trial. Int J Clin Pract 2020; 74:e13600. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13600</u>.
- 180. E. Zarehoseinzade, A. Allami, M. Ahmadi, B. Bijani, N. Mohammadi. Finasteride in hospitalized adult males with Covid-19: A risk factor for severity of the disease or an adjunct treatment: A randomized controlled clinical trial. The Medical Journal of The Islamic Republic of Iran [Internet]. 2021;35(1). Available from: <u>http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/f3b23e45ed8faff34c8ba4b500fc9bfc82d32f81</u>
- 181. Lenze EJ, Mattar C, Zorumski CF, Stevens A, Schweiger J, Nicol GE, et al. Fluvoxamine vs placebo and clinical deterioration in outpatients with symptomatic COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020 Published online November 12, 2020. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.22760</u>.





- 182. Reis G, dos Santos Moreira-Silva EA, Silva DCM, Thabane L, Milagres AC, Ferreira TS, et al. Effect of early treatment with fluvoxamine on risk of emergency care and hospitalisation among patients with COVID-19: the TOGETHER randomised, platform clinical trial. The Lancet Global Health. 2021 Oct;S2214109X21004484.
- 183. Strich JR, Tian X, Samour M, King CS, Shlobin O, Reger R, et al. Fostamatinib for the treatment of hospitalized adults with COVID-19 A randomized trial. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021 Sep 1;ciab732.
- 184. Gaughan E, Sethi T, Quinn T, Hirani N, Mills A, Bruce AM, et al. GB0139, an inhaled small molecule inhibitor of galectin-3, in COVID-19 pneumonitis: a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 2a experimental medicine trial of safety, pharmacokinetics, and potential therapeutic value [Internet]. Respiratory Medicine; 2021 Dec [cited 2021 Dec 30]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.21.21267983
- 185. Shogenova LV, Petrikov SS, Zhuravel SV, Gavrilov PV, Utkina II, Varfolomeev SD, et al. Thermal Helium-Oxygen Mixture as Part of a Treatment Protocol for Patients with COVID-19. Annals RAMS. 2020 Dec 4;75(5S):353–62.
- 186. Dupuis J, Laurin P, Tardif J-C, Hausermann L, Rosa C, Guertin M-C, et al. Fourteen-days Evolution of COVID-19 Symptoms During the Third Wave in Nonvaccinated Subjects and Effects of Hesperidin Therapy: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 13]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.10.04.21264483

- 187. Jarczak D, Roedl K, Fischer M, de Heer G, Burdelski C, Frings DP, et al. Effect of Hemadsorption in Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19 (CYTOCOV-19): A Prospective Randomized Controlled Pilot Trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Nov 23]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-704552/v1</u>
- 188. Borba MGS, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, Alexandre MAA, Melo GC, Brito M, et al. Effect of high vs low doses of chloroquine diphosphate as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open 2020;3(4):e208857. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8857.



- 189. Huang M, Tang T, Pang P, Li M, Ma R, Lu J, et al. Treating COVID-19 with chloroquine. J Mol Cell Biol 2020;12(4):322–25. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjaa014.
- 190. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Effect of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2030-40. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2022926.
- 191. Mitja O, Ubals M, Corbacho M, Alemany A, Suner C, Tebe C, et al. A clusterrandomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as prevention of COVID-19 transmission and disease [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.20.20157651.
- Boulware DR, Pullen MF, Bangdiwala AS, Pastick KA, Lofgren SM, Okafor EC, et al. A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:517-25. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2016638.
- 193. Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, Azevedo LCP, Veiga VC, Avezum A, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin in mild-to-moderate COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2041-52. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2019014.
- 194. Kamran SM, Mirza ZH, Naseem A, Saeed F, Azam R, Ullah N, et al. Clearing the fog: is HCQ effective in reducing COVID-19 progression: a randomized controlled trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.30.20165365.
- 195. Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Engen NW, Bangdiwala AS, Abassi M, Lofgren SM, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in nonhospitalized adults with early COVID-19: a randomized trial. Ann Int Med 2020;173(8):623-31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-4207.
- 196. Mitjà O, Corbacho-Monné M, Ubals M, Tebe C, Peñafiel J, Tobias A, et al. Hydroxychloroquine for early treatment of adults with mild COVID-19: a randomizedcontrolled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2020; ciaa1009. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1009.
- 197. Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, Wang Z, Chen J, Sun W, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised



PAHO®

World Health Organization

controlled trial. BMJ 2020;369:m1849. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1849.

- 198. Chen Z, Hu J, Zhang Z, Jiang SS, Han S, Yan D, et al. Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine in patients with COVID-19: results of a randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.22.20040758.
- 199. Chen L, Zhang Z-y, Fu J-g, Feng Z-p, Zhang S-z, Han Q-y, et al. Efficacy and safety of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine in moderate type of COVID-19: a prospective open-label randomized controlled study [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.19.20136093.
- 200. Chen C-P, Lin Y-C, Chen T-C, Tseng T-Y, Wong H-L, Kuo C-Y, et al. A multicenter, randomized, open-label, controlled trial to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of hydroxychloroquine and a retrospective study in adult patients with mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.08.20148841.
- 201. Chen J, Liu D, Liu L, Liu P, Xu Q, Xia L, et al. A pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19. 浙江大学学报 (医学版) (Journal of Zhejiang University. Medical Sciences) 2020; 49(2):215–19. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3785/j.issn.1008-9292.2020.03.03.
- 202. Abd-Elsalam S, Esmail ES, Khalaf M, Abdo EF, Medhat MA, Abd El Ghafar MS, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of COVID-19: a multicenter randomized controlled study. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2020; 13(4):635-39. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-0873.
- 203. Rajasingham R, Bangdiwala AS, Nicol MR, Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Axelrod ML, et al. Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a randomized trial. Clin Infect Dis 2020; ciaa1571. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1571.
- 204. Ulrich RJ, Troxel AB, Carmody E, Eapen J, Bäcker M, DeHovitz JA, et al. Treating COVID-19 with hydroxychloroquine (TEACH): a multicenter, double-blind, randomized controlled trial in hospitalized patients. Open Forum Infect Dis 2020;7(10): ofaa446. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa446.
- 205. Grau-Pujol B, Camprubí D, Marti-Soler H, Fernández-Pardos M, Carreras-Abad C, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis with hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19: initial results



an 🛞 World Healt Organizatio

PAHO®

372

of a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-72132/v1.

- 206. Abella BS, Jolkovsky EL, Biney BT, Uspal JE, Hyman MC, Frank I, et al. Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine vs placebo for pre-exposure SARS-CoV-2 prophylaxis among health care workers: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Int Med 2020 published online September 30. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6319.
- 207. WHO Solidarity Trial Consortium, Pan H, Peto R, Abdool Karim Q, Alejandria M, Henao Restrepo AM, Hernandez Garcia C, et al. Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19; interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.15.20209817.
- 208. Barnabas RV, Brown ER, Bershteyn A, Stankiewicz Karita HC, Johnston C, Thorpe LE, Kottkamp A, et al. Hydroxychloroquine as Postexposure Prophylaxis to Prevent Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection : A Randomized Trial. Annals of Internal Medicine 2020. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-6519.
- 209. Self WH, Semler MW, Leither LM, Casey JD, Angus DC, Brower RG, et al. Effect of hydroxychloroquine on clinical status at 14 days in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020;324(21):2165-76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.22240.
- Brown SM, Peltan I, Kumar N, Leither L, Webb BJ, Starr N, et al.
 Hydroxychloroquine vs. azithromycin for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (HAHPS): results of a randomized, active comparator trial. Ann Am Thor Soc 2020; published online 9 November 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.202008-940OC.
- 211. Dubée V, Roy P-M, Vielle B, Parot-Schinkel E, Blanchet O, Darsonval A, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in mild-to-moderate COVID-19: a placebo-controlled double blind trial. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2021 Apr;S1198743X21001403.
- 212. Omrani AS, Pathan SA, Thomas SA, Harris TRE, Coyle PV, Thomas CE, et al. Randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled trial of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin for virologic cure of non-severe COVID-19. EClinicalMedicine 2020;29: 100645. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100645.





- 213. Dabbous HM, El-Sayed MH, Assal GE, Elghazaly H, Ebeid FF, Sherief AF, et al. A Randomized Controlled Study Of Favipiravir Vs Hydroxychloroquine In COVID-19 Management: What Have We Learned So Far? [Internet]. In Review; 2020 Sep [cited 2020 Oct 1]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-83677/v1
- Hernandez-Cardenas C, Thirion-Romero I, Rivera-Martinez NE, Meza-Meneses P, Remigio-Luna A, Perez-Padilla R. Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of Severe Respiratory Infection by Covid-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial. medRxiv [Internet]. 2021; Available from: http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/0881ad73607247595bdf210de533bbd94651b0
- 215. Johnston C, Brown ER, Stewart J, Karita HCS, Kissinger PJ, Dwyer J, et al. Hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin for treatment of early SARS-CoV-2 infection among high-risk outpatient adults: A randomized clinical trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Feb;100773.

b4

PAHO®

- 216. Purwati, Budiono, Rachman BE, Yulistiani, Miatmoko A, Nasronudin, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Multicenter Clinical Study Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of a Drug Combination of Lopinavir/Ritonavir-Azithromycin, Lopinavir/Ritonavir-Doxycycline, and Azithromycin-Hydroxychloroquine for Patients Diagnosed with Mild to Moderate COVID-19 Infections. Huyut Z, editor. Biochemistry Research International. 2021 Feb 9;2021:1–12.
- 217. Gonzalez JLB, González Gámez M, Enciso EAM, Maldonado RJE, Hernández Palacios D, Dueñas Campos S, et al. Efficacy and safety of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine in patients with severe COVID-19. A randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Mar 1]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.02.18.21252037
- 218. Amaravadi RK, Giles L, Carberry M, Hyman MC, Frank I, Nasta SD, et al. Hydroxychloroquine for SARS-CoV-2 positive patients quarantined at home: The first interim analysis of a remotely conducted randomized clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Mar 4]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.02.22.21252228
- Galan LEB, Santos NM dos, Asato MS, Araújo JV, de Lima Moreira A, Araújo AMM, et al. Phase 2 randomized study on chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine or





ivermectin in hospitalized patients with severe manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Pathogens and Global Health. 2021 Mar 8;1–8.

220. Seet RCS, Quek AML, Ooi DSQ, Sengupta S, Lakshminarasappa SR, Koo CY, et al. Positive impact of oral hydroxychloroquine and povidone-iodine throat spray for COVID-19 prophylaxis: an open-label randomized trial. International journal of infectious diseases : IJID : official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases [Internet]. 2021; Available from:

http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/f0a6f1dede7897794397549169853a5d5c7c6c0 e

- 221. Reis G, Moreira Silva EADS, Medeiros Silva DC, Thabane L, Singh G, Park JJH, et al. Effect of Early Treatment With Hydroxychloroquine or Lopinavir and Ritonavir on Risk of Hospitalization Among Patients With COVID-19: The TOGETHER Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA network open. 2021;4(4):e216468.
- 222. Réa-Neto Á, Bernardelli RS, Câmara BMD, Reese FB, Queiroga MVO, Oliveira MC. An open-label randomized controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine in severe COVID-19 patients. Sci Rep. 2021 Dec;11(1):9023.
- 223. Syed F, Hassan M, Arif MA, Batool S, Niazi R, Laila U e, et al. Pre-exposure Prophylaxis With Various Doses of Hydroxychloroquine Among Healthcare Personnel With High-Risk Exposure to COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Cureus [Internet]. 2021 Dec 21 [cited 2022 Feb 16]; Available from: https://www.cureus.com/articles/77806-pre-exposure-prophylaxis-with-various-doses-ofhydroxychloroquine-among-healthcare-personnel-with-high-risk-exposure-to-covid-19-arandomized-controlled-trial
- 224. Sivapalan P, Suppli Ulrik C, Sophie Lapperre T, Dahlin Bojesen R, Eklöf J, Browatzki A, et al. Azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine in hospitalised patients with confirmed COVID-19–a randomised double-blinded placebo-controlled trial. Eur Respir J. 2021 Jun 3;2100752.
- 225. Byakika-Kibwika P, Sekaggya-Wiltshire C, Semakula JR, Nakibuuka J, Musaazi J, Kayima J, et al. Safety and efficacy of hydroxychloroquine for treatment of non-severe COVID-19 among adults in Uganda: a randomized open label phase II clinical trial. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Dec;21(1):1218.

PAHO®

- 226. Schwartz I, Boesen ME, Cerchiaro G, Doram C, Edwards BD, Ganesh A, et al. Assessing the efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine as outpatient treatment of COVID-19: a randomized controlled trial. cmajo. 2021 Apr;9(2):E693–702.
- 227. Naggie S, Milstone A, Castro M, Collins SP, Seetha L, Anderson DJ, et al. Hydroxychloroquine for pre-exposure prophylaxis of COVID-19 in health care workers: a randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial (HERO-HCQ) [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Aug [cited 2021 Aug 30]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.08.19.21262275
- 228. Rodrigues C, Freitas-Santos RS, Levi JE, Senerchia AA, Lopes ATA, Santos SR, et al. Hydroxychloroquine plus azithromycin early treatment of mild COVID-19 in outpatient setting: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial evaluating viral clearance. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2021 Aug;106428.
- 229. Babalola OE, Yahaya N, Ajayi AA, Ogedengbe JO, Thairu Y, Omede O. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Ivermectin Monotherapy Versus Hydroxychloroquine, Ivermectin, and Azithromycin Combination Therapy in Covid-19 Patients in Nigeria [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 12]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-950352/v1
- 230. Panda PK, Singh BO, Moirangthem B, Bahurupi YA, Saha S, Saini G, et al. Antiviral Combination Clinically Better Than Standard Therapy in Severe but Not in Non-Severe COVID-19. CPAA. 2021 Sep;Volume 13:185–95.
- 231. Ahmad B, ul Hassan N, Sehar B, Zeb F, e Nayab D, Siddiqui FA. Effect of Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine on Cytokine Release Syndrome in Patients with COVID-19. Clin Med Res. 2021 Dec;19(4):179–82.
- 232. McKinnon J, Wang D, Zervos M, Saval M, Marshall-Nightengale L, Kilgore P, et al. Safety and Tolerability of Hydroxychloroquine in healthcare workers and first responders for the prevention of COVID-19: WHIP COVID-19 Study. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Dec;S1201971221012431.
- 233. Rojas-Serrano J, Portillo-Vásquez AM, Thirion-Romero I, Vázquez-Pérez J, Mejía-Nepomuceno F, Ramírez-Venegas A, et al. Hydroxychloroquine for prophylaxis of COVID-19 in health workers: A randomized clinical trial. Triche EW, editor. PLoS ONE. 2022 Feb 9;17(2):e0261980.



- 234. Hadanny A, Finci S, Catalogna M, Abu Hamed R, Korin C, Gabriella L, et al. Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy for COVID-19 Patients: A Prospective, Randomized Controlled Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Apr 19]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3745115
- 235. Cannellotto M, Duarte M, Keller G, Larrea R, Cunto E, Chediack V, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen as an adjuvant treatment for patients with COVID-19 severe hypoxaemia: a randomised controlled trial. Emerg Med J. 2021 Dec 14;emermed-2021-211253.
- Ali S, Uddin SM, Shalim E, Sayeed MA, Anjum F, Saleem F, et al.
 Hyperimmune anti-COVID-19 IVIG (C-IVIG) treatment in severe and critical COVID-19 patients: A phase I/II randomized control trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Jun;100926.
- 237. Parikh D, Chaturvedi A, Shah N, Patel P, Patel R, Ray S. Safety and efficacy of COVID-19 hyperimmune globulin (HIG) solution in the treatment of active COVID-19 infection- Findings from a Prospective, Randomized, Controlled, Multi-Centric Trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Aug 17]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.26.21261119
- 238. Polizzotto MN, Nordwall J, Babiker AG, Phillips A, Vock DM, Eriobu N, et al. Hyperimmune immunoglobulin for hospitalised patients with COVID-19 (ITAC): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3, randomised trial. The Lancet. 2022 Feb;399(10324):530–40.
- 239. Mansour E, Palma AC, Ulaf RG, Ribeiro LC, Bernardes AF, Nunes TA, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of the kinin-kallikrein system in severe COVID-19: a proofof-concept study [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.11.20167353.
- Kosmopoulos A, Bhatt DL, Meglis G, Verma R, Pan Y, Quan A, et al. A
 Randomized Trial of Icosapent Ethyl in Ambulatory Patients with COVID-19. iScience.
 2021 Aug;103040.
- 241. Vlaar APJ, e Bruin S, Busch M, Timmermans SAMEG, van Zeggeren IE, Koning R, et al. Anti-C5a antibody IFX-1 (vilobelimab) treatment versus best supportive care for patients with severe COVID-19 (PANAMO): an exploratory, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Rheumatol 2020;2(12):E764-73. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30341-6.

PAHO®



- 242. Aman J, Duijvelaar E, Botros L, Kianzad A, Schippers JR, Smeele PJ, et al. Imatinib in patients with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, clinical trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Jun;S221326002100237X.
- 243. Ravichandran R, Mohan SK, Sukumaran SK, Kamaraj D, Daivasuga SS, Samuel Ravi SOA, et al. Use of Indomethacin for mild and moderate Covid -19 patients A Randomized Control Trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Aug 3]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.24.21261007

- 244. Fisher BA, Veenith T, Slade D, Gaskell C, Rowland M, Whitehouse T, et al. Namilumab or infliximab compared with standard of care in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 (CATALYST): a randomised, multicentre, multi-arm, multistage, open-label, adaptive, phase 2, proof-of-concept trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Dec;S2213260021004604.
- 245. Lopardo G, Belloso WH, Nannini E, Colonna M, Sanguineti S, Zylberman V, et al. RBD-specific polyclonal F(ab´)2 fragments of equine antibodies in patients with moderate to severe COVID-19 disease: A randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, adaptive phase 2/3 clinical trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Apr;100843.
- 246. Esquivel-Moynelo I, Perez-Escribano J, Duncan-Robert Y, Vazque-Blonquist D, Bequet-Romero M, Baez-Rodriguez L, et al. Effect and safety of combination of interferon alpha-2b and gamma or interferon alpha-2b for negativization of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA: preliminary results of a randomized controlled clinical trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.29.20164251
- 247. Davoudi-Monfared E, Rahmani H, Khalili H, Hajiabdolbaghi M, Salehi M, Abbasian L, et al. Efficacy and safety of interferon beta-1a in treatment of severe COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial [Preprint] MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116467.
- 248. Darazam I, Pourhoseingholi M, Shokouhi S, Irvani S, Mokhtari M, Shabani M, et al. Role of Interferon Therapy in Severe COVID-19: The COVIFERON Randomized Controlled Trial. ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2021.



Organization

378

- 249. Darazam I, Hatami F, Rabiei M, Pourhoseingholi M, Shabani M, Shokouhi S, et al. An Investigation Into the Beneficial Effects of High-Dose Interferon beta 1-a, Compared to Low-Dose Interferon Beta 1-a (the base therapeutic regimen) in moderate to severe COVID-19. ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2021.
- 250. Kalil AC, Mehta AK, Patterson TF, Erdmann N, Gomez CA, Jain MK, et al. Efficacy of interferon beta-1a plus remdesivir compared with remdesivir alone in hospitalised adults with COVID-19: a double-bind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Oct;S2213260021003842.
- 251. Ranieri VM, Pettilä V, Karvonen MK, Jalkanen J, Nightingale P, Brealey D, et al. Effect of Intravenous Interferon β-1a on Death and Days Free From Mechanical Ventilation Among Patients With Moderate to Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Feb 25;323(8):725.
- 252. Monk PD, Marsden RJ, Tear VJ, Brookes J, Batten TN, Mankowski M, et al. Safety and efficacy of inhaled nebulised interferon beta-1a (SNG001) for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Respir Med 2020; published online 12 November 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30511-7.
- Rahmani H, Davoudi-Monfared E, Nourian A, Khalili H, Hajizadeh N, Jalalabadi NZ, et al. Interferon β-1b in treatment of severe COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. Int Immunopharmacol 2020;88:106903. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106903.
- 254. Myasnikov AL, Berns SA, Talyzin PA, Ershov FI. Interferon gamma in the treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19. Voprosy virusologii. 2021 Mar 7;66(1):47–54.
- 255. Fu W, Yan L, Liu L, Hu H, Cheng X, Liu P, et al. An open-label, randomized trial of the combination of IFN-κ plus TFF2 with standard care in the treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19. EclinicalMedicine 2020;27:100547. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100547.
- 256. Chahla RE, Medina Ruiz L, Ortega ES, Morales MF, Barreiro F, George A, et al. A Randomized Trial - Intensive Treatment Based in Ivermectin and Iota-carrageenan as Pre-exposure Prophylaxis for COVID-19 in Healthcare Agents [Internet]. Infectious



on World Health Organization

Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Apr 2]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.26.21254398

- 257. Figueroa JM, Lombardo M, Dogliotti A, Flynn LP, Giugliano RP, Simonelli G, et al. Efficacy of a nasal spray containing Iota-Carrageenan in the prophylaxis of COVID-19 in hospital personnel dedicated to patients care with COVID-19 disease A pragmatic multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (CARR-COV-02) [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 20]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.13.21255409
- 258. Kumar S, de Souza R, Nadkar M, Guleria R, Trikha A, Joshi SR, Loganathan S, Vaidyanathan S, Marwah A, and Athalye S. A Two-Arm, Randomized, Controlled, Multi-Centric, Open-Label Phase-2 Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Itolizumab in Moderate to Severe ARDS Patients Due to COVID-19. [Preprint]. Allergy and Immunology 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.01.20239574.
- 259. Shouman W., Nafae M., Awad Hegazy A., et al. Use of Ivermectin as a potential chemoprophylaxis for COVID-19 in Egypt : A Randomised clinical trial Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, doi:10.7860/JCDR/2020/46795.0000
- 260. Chowdhury ATMM, Shahbaz M, Karim MR, Islam J, Guo D, He S. A randomized trial of ivermectin-doxycycline and hydroxychloroquine-azithromycin therapy on COVID19 patients [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-38896/v1.
- 261. Podder C, Chowdhury N, Sina M, Haque W. Outcome of ivermectin treated mild to moderate COVID-19 cases: a single-centre, open-label, randomised controlled study [Internet]. IMC J Med Sci 2020;14(2):002. Available from: http://www.imcjms.com/registration/journal_abstract/353
- 262. Hashim HA, Maulood MF, Rasheed AM, Fatak DF, Kabah KK, Abdulamir AS. Controlled randomized clinical trial on using ivermectin with doxycycline for treating COVID-19 patients in Baghdad, Iraq [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.26.20219345.
- 263. Mahmud R, Rahman MdM, Alam I, Ahmed KGU, Kabir AKMH, Sayeed SKJB, et al. Ivermectin in combination with doxycycline for treating COVID-19 symptoms: a randomized trial. J Int Med Res. 2021 May;49(5):030006052110135.



World Healt

- Elgazzar A, Hany B, Youssef SA, Hafez M, Moussa H. Efficacy and safety of ivermectin for treatment and prophylaxis of COVID-19 pandemic [Preprint].
 ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-100956/v1</u>.
- 265. Krolewiecki A, Lifschitz A, Moragas M, Travacio M, Valentini R, Alonso DF, et al. Antiviral effect of high-dose ivermectin in adults with COVID-19: A proof-of-concept randomized trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Jul;37:100959.
- 266. Niaee MS, Gheibi N, Namdar P, Allami A, Zolghadr L, Javadi A, Amin Karampour, et al. 2020. Ivermectin as an adjunct treatment for hospitalized adult COVID-19 patients: a randomized multi-center clinical trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-109670/v1.
- 267. Sabeena A, Karim MM, Ross ag, Hossain ms, Clemens jd, Sumiya MK, Phru CS, et al. A Five Day Course of Ivermectin for the Treatment of COVID-19 May Reduce the Duration of Illness. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 2020. S1201971220325066. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.11.191</u>.
- 268. Chaccour C, Casellas A, Blanco-Di Matteo A, Pineda I, Fernandez-Montero A, Ruiz-Castillo P, et al. The effect of early treatment with ivermectin on viral load, symptoms and humoral response in patients with non-severe COVID-19: A pilot, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Jan;100720.
- Zeeshan Khan Chachar A, Ahmad Khan K, Asif M, Tanveer K, Khaqan A, Basri R. Effectiveness of Ivermectin in SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 Patients. ijSciences. 2020;9(09):31–5.
- 270. Babalola OE, Bode CO, Ajayi AA, Alakaloko FM, Akase IE, Otrofanowei E, et al. Ivermectin shows clinical benefits in mild to moderate COVID19: a randomized controlled double-blind, dose-response study in Lagos. QJM: An International Journal of Medicine. 2021 Feb 18;hcab035.
- 271. Kirti R, Roy R, Pattadar C, Raj R, Agarwal N, Biswas B, et al. Ivermectin as a potential treatment for mild to moderate COVID-19: A double blind randomized placebo-controlled trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jan [cited 2021 Jan 11]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.01.05.21249310</u>
- 272. Mohan A, Tiwari P, Suri T, Mittal S, Patel A, Jain A, et al. Ivermectin in mild and moderate COVID-19 (RIVET-COV): a randomized, placebo-controlled trial [Internet]. In





Review; 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Jun 5]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-191648/v1

- 273. Shahbaznejad L, Davoudi A, Eslami G, Markowitz JS, Navaeifar MR, Hosseinzadeh F, et al. Effect of ivermectin on COVID-19: A multicenter double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. Clinical Therapeutics. 2021 May;S0149291821002010.
- 274. Hill A, Abdulamir A, Ahmed S, Asghar A, Babalola OE, Basri R, et al. Metaanalysis of randomized trials of ivermectin to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Jan [cited 2021 Jan 29]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-148845/v1
- 275. Samaha AA, Mouawia H, Fawaz M, Hassan H, Salami A, Bazzal AA, et al. Effects of a Single Dose of Ivermectin on Viral and Clinical Outcomes in Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infected Subjects: A Pilot Clinical Trial in Lebanon. Viruses. 2021 May 26;13(6):989.
- 276. Efficacy of Ivermectin in COVID-19 Patients with Mild to Moderate Disease [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Mar 9]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.02.02.21250840</u>
- 277. Okumuş N, Demirtürk N, Çetinkaya RA, Güner R, Avcı İY, Orhan S, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness and safety of adding ivermectin to treatment in severe COVID-19 patients. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Dec;21(1):411.
- 278. López-Medina E, López P, Hurtado IC, Dávalos DM, Ramirez O, Martínez E, et al. Effect of Ivermectin on Time to Resolution of Symptoms Among Adults With Mild COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA [Internet]. 2021 Mar 4 [cited 2021 Mar 9]; Available from: <u>https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2777389</u>
- Pott-Junior H, Bastos Paoliello MM, de Queiroz Constantino Miguel A, da Cunha AF, de Melo Freire CC, Neves FF, et al. Use of ivermectin in the treatment of Covid-19: a pilot trial. Toxicology Reports. 2021 Mar;S2214750021000445.
- 280. Kishoria N, Mathur SL, Parmar V, Kaur RJ, Agarwal H, Parihar BS, et al. Ivermectin as Adjuvant to Hydroxycholoroquine in Patients Resistant to Standard Treatment for SARS-CoV-2: Results of an Open-label Randomized Clinical Study. PIJR. 2020 Aug 15;1–4.



- 281. Abd-Elsalam S, Noor RA, Badawi R, Khalaf M, Esmail ES, Soliman S, et al. Clinical Study Evaluating the Efficacy of Ivermectin in COVID-19 Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Study. J Med Virol. 2021 Jun 2;jmv.27122.
- 282. Biber A, Mandelboim M, Harmelin G, Lev D, Ram L, Shaham A, et al. Favorable outcome on viral load and culture viability using Ivermectin in early treatment of non-hospitalized patients with mild COVID-19 – A double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 May [cited 2021 Jun 4]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.31.21258081

- 283. Faisal R, Shah SFA, Hussain M. Potential use of azithromycin alone and in combination with ivermectin in fighting against the symptoms of COVID-19. TPMJ. 2021 May 10;28(05):737–41.
- 284. Vallejos J, Zoni R, Bangher M, Villamandos S, Bobadilla A, Plano F, et al. Ivermectin to prevent hospitalizations in patients with COVID-19 (IVERCOR-COVID19) a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Dec;21(1):635.
- 285. Buonfrate D, Chesini F, Martini D, Roncaglioni MC, Fernandez MLO, Alvisi MF, et al. High dose ivermectin for the early treatment of COVID-19 (COVER study): a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase II, dose-finding, proof of concept clinical trial. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2022 Jan;106516.
- 286. Manomaipiboon A, Pholtawornkulchai K, Pupipatpab S, Suraamornkul S, Maneerit J, Ruksakul W, et al. Efficacy and safety of ivermectin in the treatment of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 infection: A randomized, double blind, placebo, controlled trial [Internet]. In Review; 2022 Feb [cited 2022 Feb 15]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1290999/v1</u>
- 287. Lim SCL, Hor CP, Tay KH, Mat Jelani A, Tan WH, Ker HB, et al. Efficacy of Ivermectin Treatment on Disease Progression Among Adults With Mild to Moderate COVID-19 and Comorbidities: The I-TECH Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Intern Med [Internet]. 2022 Feb 18 [cited 2022 Feb 22]; Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/2789362
- 288. Aref ZF, Bazeed SEES, Hassan MH, Hassan AS, Rashad A, Hassan RG, et al. Clinical, Biochemical and Molecular Evaluations of Ivermectin Mucoadhesive

PAHO®



Nanosuspension Nasal Spray in Reducing Upper Respiratory Symptoms of Mild COVID-19. Int J Nanomedicine. 2021;16:4063–72.

- 289. Sakoulas G, Geriak M, Kullar R, Greenwood K, Habib M, Vyas A, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) significantly reduces respiratory morbidity in COVID-19 pneumonia: a prospective randomized trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.20.20157891.
- 290. Gharebaghi N, Nejadrahim R, Mousavi SJ, Sadat-Ebrahimi S-R, Hajizadeh R. The use of intravenous immunoglobulin gamma for the treatment of severe coronavirus disease 2019: a randomised placebo-controlled double-blind clinical trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-40899/v2.
- 291. Tabarsi P, Barati S, Jamaati H, Haseli S, Marjani M, Moniri A, et al. Evaluating the effects of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) on the management of severe COVID-19 cases: a randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Int Immunopharmacol 2020:107205. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107205</u>.
- 292. R S R, Barge VB, Darivenula AK, Dandu H, Kartha RR, Bafna V, et al. A Phase II Safety and Efficacy Study on Prognosis of Moderate Pneumonia in COVID-19 patients with Regular Intravenous Immunoglobulin Therapy. The Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Feb 15;jiab098.
- 293. Haran JP, Zheng Y, Knobil K, Palma NA, Lawrence JF, Wingertzahn MA. Targeting the Microbiome With KB109 in Outpatients with Mild to Moderate COVID-19 Reduced Medically Attended Acute Care Visits and Improved Symptom Duration in Patients With Comorbidities [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Apr 5]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.26.21254422

- 294. Fiorentino G, Coppola A, Izzo R, Annunziata A, Bernardo M, Lombardi A, et al. Effects of adding L-arginine orally to standard therapy in patients with COVID-19: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group trial. Results of the first interim analysis. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Sep;101125.
- 295. Endam LM, Tremblay C, Filali A, Desrosiers MY. Intranasal Application of Lactococcus Lactis W 136 Bacteria Early in SARS-Cov-2 Infection May Have a Beneficial Immunomodulatory Effect: A Proof-of-concept Study [Internet]. Infectious





Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 May 3]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.18.21255699

- Algahtani FD, Elabbasy MT, Samak MA, Adeboye AA, Yusuf RA, Ghoniem ME. The Prospect of Lactoferrin Use as Adjunctive Agent in Management of SARS-CoV-2 Patients: A Randomized Pilot Study. Medicina. 2021 Aug 19;57(8):842.
- 297. Hu K, Wang M, Zhao Y, Zhang Y, Wang T, Zheng Z, et al. A small-scale medication of leflunomide as a treatment of COVID-19 in an open-label blank-controlled clinical trial [Internet]. Virol Sin 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12250-020-00258-7.
- 298. Wang M, Zhao Y, Hu W, Zhao D, Zhang Y, Wang T, et al. Treatment of COVID-19 patients with prolonged post-symptomatic viral shedding with leflunomide -- a singlecenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial [Internet]. Clin Infect Dis 2020; ciaa1417. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1417</u>.
- 299. Temesgen Z, Burger CD, Baker J, Polk C, Libertin CR, Kelley CF, et al. Lenzilumab in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 pneumonia (LIVE-AIR): a phase 3, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Dec;S221326002100494X.
- 300. Roostaei A, Meybodi Z, Mosavinasab S, Karimzadeh I, Sahebnasagh A, Gholinataj M, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Levamisole Treatment in Clinical Presentations of Patients With COVID-19: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Trial. ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2021.
- 301. Asgardoon MH, koochak HE, Kazemi-Galougahi MH, Dehnavi AZ, Khodaei B, Behkar A, et al. Efficacy of Levamisole with Standard Care Treatment vs Standard Care in Clinical Presentations of Non-Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Dec 6]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-964097/v1</u>
- 302. Lomakin NV, Bakirov BA, Protsenko DN, Mazurov VI, Musaev GH, Moiseeva OM, et al. The efficacy and safety of levilimab in severely ill COVID-19 patients not requiring mechanical ventilation: results of a multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-controlled phase III CORONA clinical study. Inflamm Res [Internet]. 2021 Sep 29 [cited 2021 Oct 12]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00011-021-01507-5





- 303. Abuhasira R, Ayalon-Dangur I, Zaslavsky N, Koren R, Keller M, Dicker D, et al. A Randomized Clinical Trial of Linagliptin vs. Standard of Care in Patients Hospitalized With Diabetes and COVID-19. Front Endocrinol. 2021 Dec 22;12:794382.
- 304. Guardado-Mendoza R, Garcia-Magaña MA, Martínez-Navarro LJ, Macías-Cervantes HE, Aguilar-Guerrero R, Suárez-Pérez EL, et al. Effect of linagliptin plus insulin in comparison to insulin alone on metabolic control and prognosis in hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Sci Rep. 2022 Dec;12(1):536.
- 305. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, Liu W, Wang J, Fan G, et al. A trial of lopinavirritonavir in adults hospitalized with severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 382(19): 1787–99. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001282.
- 306. Li Y, Xie Z, Lin W, Cai W, Wen C, Guan Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir or arbidol in adult patients with mild/moderate COVID-19: an exploratory randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Clin Advance 2020, published online 4 May 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medj.2020.04.001.
- 307. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Lopinavir–ritonavir in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. Lancet 2020; 396 (10259): 1345-52. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32013-4.
- 308. Zheng F, Zhou Y, Zhou Z, Ye F, Huang B, Huang Y, et al. A novel protein drug, novaferon, as the potential antiviral drug for COVID-19 [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.24.20077735.
- 309. Chen Y-K, Huang Y-Q, Tang S-Q, Xu X-L, Zeng Y-M, He X-Q, et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of ribavirin plus interferon-alpha, lopinavir/ritonavir plus interferon-alpha and ribavirin plus lopinavir/ritonavir plus interferon-alpha in patients with mild to moderate novel coronavirus pneumonia: results of a randomized, open-labeled prospective study [Preprint]. 2020. Available from SSRN: <u>https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3576905</u>.
- 310. Shahnaz Sali, Davood Yadegarinia, Sara Abolghasemi, Shabnam Tehrani, Babak Gharaei, Neda Khabiri, et al. Comparison of the Efficacy of Sofosbuvir and Kaletra on Outcome of Covid-19. Is Sofosbuvir A Potential Treatment For COVID-19? Novelty in Biomedicine [Internet]. 2021



Morid Health Organization

- 311. Purwati, Budiono, Rachman BE, Yulistiani, Miatmoko A, Nasronudin, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Multicenter Clinical Study Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of a Drug Combination of Lopinavir/Ritonavir-Azithromycin, Lopinavir/Ritonavir-Doxycycline, and Azithromycin-Hydroxychloroquine for Patients Diagnosed with Mild to Moderate COVID-19 Infections. Huyut Z, editor. Biochemistry Research International. 2021 Feb 9;2021:1–12.
- 312. Kasgari HA, Moradi S, Shabani AM, Babamahmoodi F, Badabi ARD, Davoudi L, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of sofosbuvir plus daclatasvir in combination with ribavirin for hospitalized COVID-19 patients with moderate disease compared with standard care: a single-centre, randomized controlled trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020; 75(11):3373-78. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa332</u>.
- 313. Yadollahzadeh M, Eskandari M, Roham M, Zamani F, Laali A, Kalantari S, et al. Evaluation of Sovodak (Sofosbuvir/Daclatasvir) Treatment Outcome in COVID-19 Patient's Compared with Kaletra (Lopinavir/ritonavir): a Randomized Clinical Trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 25]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-257762/v1</u>
- Labhardt ND, Smit M, Petignat I, Perneger T, Marinosci A, Ustero P, et al.
 Efficacy of Lopinavir-Ritonavir Prophylaxis for Individuals Exposed to SARS-CoV-2: The COPEP Pragmatic Open-Label, Cluster Randomized Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet].
 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 14]; Available from: <u>https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3878828</u>
- 315. Papachristofilou A, Finazzi T, Blum A, Zehnder T, Zellweger N, Lustenberger J, et al. Low-Dose Radiation Therapy for Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Randomized Double-Blind Study. International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics. 2021 Mar;S036030162100239X.
- 316. Ganesan G, Ponniah S, Sundaram V, Kumar Marimuthu P, Pitchaikannu V, Chandrasekaran M, et al. Whole lung Irradiation as a Novel treatment for COVID-19: Final Results of the Prospective Randomized trial (WINCOVID trial). Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2021 Dec;S0167814021090721.
- 317. Cremer PC, Abbate A, Hudock K, McWilliams C, Mehta J, Chang SY, et al. Mavrilimumab in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and systemic hyperinflammation (MASH-COVID): an investigator initiated, multicentre, double-blind,



World Health Organization

randomised, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2021 Mar;S2665991321000709.

- 318. Farnoosh G, Akbariqomi M, Badri T, Bagheri M, Izadi M, Saeedi-Boroujeni A, et al. Efficacy of a Low Dose of Melatonin as an Adjunctive Therapy in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Randomized, Double-blind Clinical Trial. Archives of Medical Research. 2021 Jun;S0188440921001417.
- 319. Davoodian N, Sharifimood F, Salarbashi D, Elyasi S, Baniasad A, Bejestani FS. The Effect of Melatonin as an Adjuvant Therapy on COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Jul 14]; Available from: <u>https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3878090</u>
- 320. Alizadeh Z, Keyhanian N, Ghaderkhani S, Dashti-Khavidaki S, Shokouhi Shoormasti R, Pourpak Z. A Pilot Study on Controlling Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Inflammation Using Melatonin Supplement. IJAAI [Internet]. 2021 Aug 11 [cited 2021 Aug 30]; Available from: https://publish.knepublishing.com/index.php/IJAAI/article/view/6959
- 321. Mousavi SA, Heydari K, Mehravaran H, Saeedi M, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Hedayatizadeh-Omran A, et al. Melatonin effects on sleep quality and outcomes of COVID-19 patients: An open-label, randomized, controlled trial. J Med Virol. 2021 Sep 8;jmv.27312.
- 322. Hasan ZT, Atrakji DrMQYMAA, Mehuaiden DrAK. The Effect of Melatonin on Thrombosis, Sepsis and Mortality Rate in COVID-19 Patients. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Oct;S1201971221007980.
- 323. Guzman-Esquivel J, Galvan-Salazar HR, Guzman-Solorzano HP, Cuevas-Velazquez AC, Guzman-Solorzano JA, Mokay-Ramirez KA, et al. Efficacy of the use of mefenamic acid combined with standard medical care vs. standard medical care alone for the treatment of COVID-19: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Int J Mol Med. 2022 Mar;49(3):29.
- 324. Shu L, Niu C, Li R, Huang T, Wang Y, Huang M, et al. Treatment of severe COVID-19 with human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cell Res Ther 2020;11(1):361. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-020-01875-5.
- 325. Shi L, Huang H, Lu X, Yan X, Jiang X, Xu R, et al. Treatment with human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells for COVID-19 patients with lung



damage: a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled phase 2 trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.15.20213553.

- 326. Lanzoni G, Linetsky E, Correa D, Cayetano SM, Marttos AC, Alvarez RA, et al. Umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells for COVID-19 ARDS: a double blind, phase 1/2a, randomized controlled trial [Preprint]. 2020. Available from SSRN: <u>https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3696875</u>.
- 327. Dilogo IH, Aditianingsih D, Sugiarto A, Burhan E, Damayanti T, Sitompul PA, et al. Umbilical cord mesenchymal stromal cells as critical COVID -19 adjuvant therapy: A randomized controlled trial. STEM CELLS Transl Med. 2021 Jun 8;sctm.21-0046.
- 328. Zhu R, Yan T, Feng Y, Liu Y, Cao H, Peng G, et al. Mesenchymal stem cell treatment improves outcome of COVID-19 patients via multiple immunomodulatory mechanisms. Cell Res [Internet]. 2021 Oct 26 [cited 2021 Nov 4]; Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41422-021-00573-y
- 329. Reis G, dos Santos Moreira Silva EA, Medeiros Silva DC, Thabane L, Cruz Milagres A, Ferreira TS, et al. Effect of early treatment with metformin on risk of emergency care and hospitalization among patients with COVID-19: The TOGETHER randomized platform clinical trial. The Lancet Regional Health - Americas. 2022 Feb;6:100142.
- 330. Hamidi-Alamdari D, Hafizi-Lotfabadi S, Bagheri-Moghaddam A, Safari H, Mozdourian M, Javidarabshahi Z, et al. Methylene Blue for Treatment of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Randomized, Controlled, Open-label Clinical Trial, Phase 2. Rev Invest Clin. 2021;73(3):190–8.
- 331. Borges M, Borges M, Borges J, Bastidas R. Estudio Experimental: Manejo del Metisoprinol en Pacientes con COVID-19. uct. 2020 Aug 10;24(103):41–50.
- 332. Clemente-Moragón A, Martínez-Milla J, Oliver E, Santos A, Flandes J, Fernández I, et al. Metoprolol in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2021 Sep;78(10):1001–11.
- 333. Kazempour M, Izadi H, Chouhdari A, Rezaeifard M. Anti-inflammatory Effect of Metronidazole in Hospitalized Patients with Pneumonia due to COVID-19. Iran J Pharm Res. 2021;20(3):532–40.
- 334. Painter WP, Holman W, Bush JA, Almazedi F, Malik H, Eraut NCJE, et al. Human Safety, Tolerability, and Pharmacokinetics of a Novel Broad-Spectrum Oral





Antiviral Compound, Molnupiravir, with Activity Against SARS-CoV-2 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2020 Dec [cited 2020 Dec 30]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.12.10.20235747</u>

335. Khoo SH, FitzGerald R, Fletcher T, Ewings S, Jaki T, Lyon R, et al. Optimal dose and safety of molnupiravir in patients with early SARS-CoV-2: a phase 1, doseescalating, randomised controlled study [Internet]. Pharmacology and Therapeutics; 2021 May [cited 2021 May 14]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.03.21256309

- 336. Fischer WA, Eron JJ, Holman W, Cohen MS, Fang L, Szewczyk LJ, et al. A Phase 2a clinical trial of Molnupiravir in patients with COVID-19 shows accelerated SARS-CoV-2 RNA clearance and elimination of infectious virus. Sci Transl Med. 2021 Dec 23;eabl7430.
- 337. Jayk Bernal A, Gomes da Silva MM, Musungaie DB, Kovalchuk E, Gonzalez A, Delos Reyes V, et al. Molnupiravir for Oral Treatment of Covid-19 in Nonhospitalized Patients. N Engl J Med. 2021 Dec 16;NEJMoa2116044.
- 338. Kerget B, Kerget F, Aydın M, Karaşahin Ö. Effect of montelukast therapy on clinical course, pulmonary function, and mortality in patients with COVID-19. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021 Dec 27;jmv.27552.
- 339. Mukhtar K, Qassim S, DanJuma MI, Mohamedali M, Al Farhan H, Khudair MF, El Tayeh AR, et al. On the Possible Beneficial Role for the Regular Use of Potent Mouthwash Solutions as a Preventive Measure for COVID19 Transmission; Invoking the Evolutionary Biology and Game Theory. [Preprint] 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.27.20234997.
- 340. Azmawati MN, Baharom N, Wan Sulaiman W, Rashid ZZ, Wong KK, Ali UK, Othman SN, et al. Early viral clearance among COVID-19 patients when gargling with povidone-iodine and essential oils: A pilot clinical trial. [Preprint] 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.07.20180448</u>.
- 341. Guenezan J, Garcia M, Strasters D, Jousselin C, Lévêque N, Frasca D, et al. Povidone Iodine Mouthwash, Gargle, and Nasal Spray to Reduce Nasopharyngeal Viral Load in Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg [Internet]. 2021 Feb 4 [cited 2021 Feb 14]; Available from: <u>https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamaotolaryngology/fullarticle/2775984</u>



- 342. Elzein R, Abdel-Sater F, Fakhreddine S, Hanna PA, Feghali R, Hamad H, et al. In vivo evaluation of the virucidal efficacy of Chlorhexidine and Povidone-iodine mouthwashes against salivary SARS-CoV-2 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 22]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.07.21252302
- 343. Santos PS da S, Orcina B da F, Machado RRG, Vilhena FV, Alves LM da C, Zangrando MSR, et al. Beneficial effects of a mouthwash containing an antiviral phthalocyanine derivative on the length of hospital stay for COVID-19 [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 23]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-330173/v1</u>
- 344. Carrouel, Valette, Gadea, Esparcieux, Illes, Langlois, et al. Use of an antiviral mouthwash as an additional barrier measure in the SARS-CoV-2 transmission in adults with asymptomatic to mild COVID-19: A multicenter, randomized, double-blind controlled trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 25]. Available from: <u>https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-315468/v1</u>
- 345. Huang YH, Huang JT. Use of chlorhexidine to eradicate oropharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol. 2021 Apr; jmv.26954.
- 346. Eduardo F de P, Corrêa L, Heller D, Daep CA, Benitez C, Malheiros Z, et al. Salivary SARS-CoV-2 load reduction with mouthwash use: A randomized pilot clinical trial. Heliyon. 2021 Jun;7(6):e07346.
- 347. Di Domênico MB, Collares K, dos Santos RB, Lenz U, Antunes VP, Godinho V, et al. Hydrogen peroxide as auxiliary treatment for COVID-19: A randomized doubleblind clinical trial. Epidemiol Health. 2021 Aug 3;e2021051.
- 348. Damião Costa D, Brites C, Nunes Vaz S, Souza de Santana D, Dos Santos JN, Cury PR. Chlorhexidine mouthwash reduces the salivary viral load of SARS-CoV-2: a randomized clinical trial. Oral Dis. 2021 Nov 26
- 349. Ferrer MD, Barrueco ÁS, Martinez-Beneyto Y, Mateos-Moreno MV, Ausina-Márquez V, García-Vázquez E, et al. Clinical evaluation of antiseptic mouth rinses to reduce salivary load of SARS-CoV-2. Sci Rep. 2021 Dec;11(1):24392.
- 350. Poleti ML, Gregório D, Bistaffa AGI, Fernandes KBP, Vilhena FV, Santos PS da S, et al. The use of a mouthwash and a dentifrice containing antimicrobial phthalocyanine derivative on the reduction of clinical symptoms of COVID-19: A randomized triple-

PAHO®



blinded clinical trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Dec [cited 2022 Jan 5]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1139111/v1

- 351. Miller RA, Guru P, Bauer P, Robles J, Tomaszewski C, Overcash JS, et al. Clinical Results with a B Cell Activating Anti-CD73 Antibody for the Immunotherapy of COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 29]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.13.21263406
- 352. Sehgal IS, Guleria R, Singh S, Siddiqui MS, Agarwal R. A randomised trial of *Mycobacterium w* in critically ill patients with COVID-19: ARMY-1. ERJ Open Res. 2021 Apr;7(2):00059-2021.
- 353. Alencar JCG de, Moreira CdL, Müller AD, Chaves CE, Fukuhara MA, Silva EA da, Miyamoto MdFS, et al. Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial with Nacetylcysteine for treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome caused by COVID-19. Clin Infect Dis 2020: ciaa1443. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1443.
- 354. Gaynitdinova VV, Avdeev SN, Merzhoeva ZM, Berikkhanov ZG-M, Medvedeva IV, Gorbacheva TL. N-acetylcysteine as a part of complex treatment of moderate COVID-associated pneumonia. Pul'monologiâ (Mosk). 2021 Feb 19;31(1):21-9.
- 355. Taher A, Lashgari M, Sedighi L, Rahimi-bashar F, Poorolajal J, Mehrpooya M. A pilot study on intravenous N-Acetylcysteine treatment in patients with mild-to-moderate COVID19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome. Pharmacol Rep [Internet]. 2021 Jun 10 [cited 2021 Jun 21]; Available from:
- https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s43440-021-00296-2 356. Quinn TM, Gaughan EE, Bruce A, Antonelli J, O'Connor R, Li F, et al. Randomised Controlled Trial of Intravenous Nafamostat Mesylate in COVID pneumonitis: Phase 1b/2a Experimental Study to Investigate Safety, Pharmacokinetics
 - and Pharmacodynamics [Internet]. Respiratory Medicine; 2021 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 18]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.10.06.21264648
- 357. Hassaniazad M, Eftekhar E, Inchehsablagh BR, Kamali H, Tousi A, Jaafari MR, et al. A triple-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial to evaluate the effect of curcumin-containing nanomicelles on cellular immune responses subtypes and clinical outcome in COVID -19 patients. Phytotherapy Research. 2021 Sep 19;ptr.7294.
- 358. Kimura KS, Freeman MH, Wessinger BC, Gupta V, Sheng Q, Huang LC, et al. Interim analysis of an open-label randomized controlled trial evaluating nasal irrigations

PAHOS



in non-hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 2020;10(12):1325-28. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22703.

- 359. Yildiz E, Koca Yildiz S, Kuzu S, Günebakan Ç, Bucak A, Kahveci OK. Comparison of the Healing Effect of Nasal Saline Irrigation with Triamcinolone Acetonide Versus Nasal Saline Irrigation alone in COVID-19 Related Olfactory Dysfunction: A Randomized Controlled Study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg [Internet]. 2021 Jul 10 [cited 2021 Nov 23]; Available from: <u>https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12070-021-02749-9</u>
- 360. George C, Scheuch G, Seifart U, Inbaraj L, Chandrasingh S, Nair I, et al. COVID-19 symptoms reduce with targeted hydration of the nose, larynx and trachea [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Dec 8]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1089497/v1
- 361. Baxter AL, Schwartz KR, Johnson RW, Kuchinski A-M, Swartout KM, Srinivasa Rao ASR, et al. Rapid initiation of nasal saline irrigation to reduce severity in high-risk COVID+ outpatients: a randomized clinical trial compared to a national dataset observational arm [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Aug [cited 2022 Jan 3]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.08.16.21262044

- 362. Nesari TM, Bhardwaj A, ShriKrishna R, Ruknuddin G, Ghildiyal S, Das A, et al. Neem (Azadirachta Indica A. Juss) Capsules for Prophylaxis of COVID-19 Infection: A Pilot, Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial. Altern Ther Health Med. 2021 Apr 23;
- 363. Abdulamir AS, Gorial FI, Saadi SJ, Maulood MF, Hashim HA, abdulrrazaq MK. Effectiveness and Safety of Niclosamaide as Add-on Therapy to the Standard of Care Measures in COVID-19 Management: Randomized controlled clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jun [cited 2021 Jul 9]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.06.10.21258709
- 364. Cairns DM, Dulko D, Griffiths JK, Golan Y, Cohen T, Trinquart L, et al. Efficacy of Niclosamide vs Placebo in SARS-CoV-2 Respiratory Viral Clearance, Viral Shedding, and Duration of Symptoms Among Patients With Mild to Moderate COVID-19: A Phase 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Feb 9;5(2):e2144942.





- 365. Ashraf S, Ashraf S, Ashraf M, Imran MA, Kalsoom L, Siddiqui UN, et al. Honey and Nigella sativa against COVID-19 in Pakistan (HNS-COVID-PK): A multi-center placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2020 Nov [cited 2021 May 4]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.10.30.20217364
- 366. Koshak AE, Koshak EA, Mobeireek AF, Badawi MA, Wali SO, Malibary HM, et al. Nigella sativa for the treatment of COVID-19: An open-label randomized controlled clinical trial. Complementary Therapies in Medicine. 2021 Sep;61:102769.
- 367. Hammond J, Leister-Tebbe H, Gardner A, Abreu P, Bao W, Wisemandle W, et al.
 Oral Nirmatrelvir for High-Risk, Nonhospitalized Adults with Covid-19. N Engl J Med.
 2022 Feb 16;NEJMoa2118542.
- 368. Rocco PRM, Silva PL, Cruz FF, Junior MACM, Tierno PFGMM, Moura MA, et al. Early use of nitazoxanide in mild COVID-19 disease: randomized, placebo-controlled trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.21.20217208.
- 369. Vinicius Fontanesi Blum, Sérgio Cimerman, James R. Hunter, Paulo Tierno, Acioly Lacerda, Alexandre Soeiro, et al. Nitazoxanide In Vitro Efficacy Against SARS CoV-2 and In Vivo Superiority to Placebo to Treat Moderate COVID-19 – A Phase 2 Randomized Double-Blind Clinical Trial. SSRN [Internet]. 2021
- 370. Silva M, Espejo A, L Pereyra M, Lynch M, Thompson M, Taconelli H, et al. Efficacy of Nitazoxanide in reducing the viral load in COVID-19 patients. Randomized, placebo-controlled, single-blinded, parallel group, pilot study. [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 8]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.03.21252509
- 371. Rossignol J-F, Bardin MC, Oaks JB, Bostick BG, Vora KN, Fulgencio J, et al. Early treatment with nitazoxanide prevents worsening of mild and moderate COVID-19 and subsequent hospitalization [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 29]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.19.21255441

PAHO®

Fowotade A, Bamidele F, Egbetola B, Fagbamigbe AF, Adeagbo BA, AdefuyeBO, et al. Efficacy and safety of nitazoxanide combined with ritonavir-boosted atazanavirfor the treatment of mild to moderate COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except




HIV/AIDS); 2022 Feb [cited 2022 Feb 16]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.02.03.22270152

- 373. Moni M, Madathil T, Sathyapalan DT, Menon V, Gutjahr G, Edathadathil F, et al. A Feasibility Trial to Evaluate the Composite Efficacy of Inhaled Nitric Oxide in the Treatment of Covid 19 Pneumonia : Impact on Viral Load and Clinical Outcomes [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 May 5]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.15.21255300
- 374. Winchester S, John S, Jabbar K, John I. Clinical Efficacy of Nitric Oxide Nasal Spray (NONS) for the Treatment of Mild COVID-19 Infection. Journal of Infection. 2021 May;S0163445321002516.
- 375. Mobarak S, Salasi M, Hormati A, Khodadadi J, Ziaee M, Abedi F, et al. Evaluation of the Effect of Sofosbuvir and Daclatasvir in Hospitalised COVID-19 Patients: A Randomized Double-Blind Clinical Trial (DISCOVER). SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 24]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3792895
- 376. Eilidh B, Barlow-Pay F, Short R, Vilches-Moraga A, Price A, McGovern A, et al. Prior routine use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and important outcomes in hospitalised patients with COVID-19. J Clin Med 2020;9(8):2586. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9082586.
- 377. Jeong HE, Lee H, Shin HJ, Choe YJ, Filion KB, Shin J-Y. Association between NSAIDs use and adverse clinical outcomes among adults hospitalised with COVID-19 in South Korea: a nationwide study [Preprint] MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.01.20119768.
- 378. Lund LC, Kristensen KB, Reilev M, Christensen S, Thomsen RW, Christiansen CF, et al. Adverse outcomes and mortality in users of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2: a Danish nationwide cohort study. PLOS Med 2020;17(9):e1003308. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003308.
- 379. Rinott E, Kozer E, Shapira Y, Bar-Haim A, Youngster I. Ibuprofen use and clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020;26(9):1259.e5-1259.e7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.06.003.



on World Healt Organization

- 380. Wong AYS, MacKenna B, Morton C, Schultze A, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. OpenSAFELY: do adults prescribed non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have an increased risk of death from COVID-19? [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.12.20171405.
- 381. Imam Z, Odish F, Gill I, O'Connor D, Armstrong J, Vanood A, et al. Older age and comorbidity are independent mortality predictors in a large cohort of 1305 COVID-19 patients in Michigan, United States. J Intern Med 2020;288(4):469–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13119.
- 382. Esba LCA, Alqahtani RA, Thomas A, Shamas N, Alswaidan L, Mardawi G. Ibuprofen and NSAIDs use in COVID-19 infected patients is not associated with worse outcomes [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-85148/v1.
- 383. Leal F, Garcia A, Abarca L del C, Gonzalez D, Cruz G, Montell M, et al. Effect of a Nutritional Support System to Increase Survival and Reduce Mortality in Patients with COVID-19 in Stage III and Comorbidities: A Blinded Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 4]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3949424
- 384. Mohsen Sedighiyan, Hamed Abdollahi, Elmira Karimi, Mostafa Badeli, Reza Erfanian, Shima Raeesi, et al. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids supplementation improve clinical symptoms in patients with covid-19: A randomized clinical trial. Authorea [Internet]. 2021.
- 385. Doaei S, Gholami S, Rastgoo S, Gholamalizadeh M, Bourbour F, Bagheri SE, et al. The effect of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on clinical and biochemical parameters of critically ill patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. J Transl Med. 2021 Dec;19(1):128.
- 386. Arnardottir H, Pawelzik S-C, Sarajlic P, Quaranta A, Kolmert J, Religa D, et al. Immunomodulation by intravenous omega-3 fatty acid treatment in older subjects hospitalized for COVID-19: a single-blind randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Respiratory Medicine; 2021 Dec [cited 2022 Jan 10]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.27.21268264</u>
- 387. Winthrop KL, Skolnick AW, Rafiq AM, Beegle SH, Suszanski J, Koehne G, et al. Opaganib in COVID-19 pneumonia: Results of a randomized, placebo-controlled Phase



2a trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Aug [cited 2021 Oct 12]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.08.23.21262464

- 388. Patel J, Beishuizen A, Ruiz XB, Boughanmi H, Cahn A, Criner GJ, et al. A Randomized Trial of Otilimab in Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia (OSCAR) [Internet]. Intensive Care and Critical Care Medicine; 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 28]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.14.21255475
- 389. Araimo F, Imperiale C, Tordiglione P, Ceccarelli G, Borrazzo C, Alessandri F, et al. Ozone as adjuvant support in the treatment of COVID-19: a preliminary report of probiozovid trial [Preprint] J Med Virol 2020: jmv.26636. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26636.
- 390. Shah M, Captain J, Vaidya V, Kulkarni A, Valsangkar K, Nair PMK, et al. Safety and efficacy of ozone therapy in mild to moderate COVID-19 patients: A phase 1/11 randomized control trial (SEOT study). International Immunopharmacology. 2021 Feb;91:107301.
- 391. Berger JS, Kornblith LZ, Gong MN, Reynolds HR, Cushman M, Cheng Y, et al. Effect of P2Y12 Inhibitors on Survival Free of Organ Support Among Non–Critically Ill Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2022 Jan 18;327(3):227.
- 392. Pandit A, Bhalani N, Bhushan BLS, Koradia P, Gargiya S, Bhomia V, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Pegylated Interferon alfa-2b in Moderate COVID-19: A phase II, randomized, controlled, open-label study. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Mar;S1201971221002320.
- 393. Bushan S, Wanve S, Koradia P, Bhomia V, Soni P, Chakraborty S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Pegylated Interferon-α2b in Moderate COVID-19: A phase 3, randomized, comparator-controlled, open-label study. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Aug;S1201971221006779.
- 394. Feld JJ, Kandel C, Biondi MJ, Kozak RA, Zahoor MA, Lemieux C, et al. Peginterferon-lambda for the treatment of COVID-19 in outpatients [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.09.20228098.
- 395. Jagannathan P, Andrews J, Bonilla H, Hedlin H, Jacobson K, Balasubramanian V, et al. Peginterferon lambda-1a for treatment of outpatients with uncomplicated COVID-





www.paho.org/coronavirus

BE AWARE. PREPARE. ACT.

19: a randomized placebo-controlled trial [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.18.20234161.

- 396. Maldonado V, Hernandez-Ramírez C, Oliva-Pérez EA, Sánchez-Martínez CO, Pimentel-González JF, Molina-Sánchez JR, Jiménez-Villalba YZ, Chávez-Alderete J, and Loza-Mejía MA. Pentoxifylline Decreases Serum LDH Levels and Increases Lymphocyte Count in COVID-19 Patients: Results from an External Pilot Study. International Immunopharmacology 2020. 90 (January): 107209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107209.
- 397. Azizi H, Rouhani N, Shaki F, Karimpour-razkenari E, Ghazaeian M, Salehifar E, et al. Pentoxifylline effects on hospitalized patients with COVID19: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. International Immunopharmacology. 2021 Oct;108227.
- 398. Varona JF, Landete P, Lopez-Martin JA, Estrada V, Paredes R, Guisado-Vasco P, et al. Preclinical and randomized phase I studies of plitidepsin in adults hospitalized with COVID-19. Life Sci Alliance. 2022 Apr;5(4):e202101200.
- 399. Lattmann E, Bhalerao P, ShashiBhushan B, Nargundkar N, Lattmann P, Pillai KS, et al. Randomized, Comparative, Clinical Trial to Evaluate Efficacy and Safety of PNB001 in Moderate COVID-19 Patients [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 May 3]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.16.21255256
- 400. Méndez-Flores S, Priego-Ranero Á, Azamar-Llamas D, Olvera-Prado H, Rivas-Redondo KI, Ochoa-Hein E, et al. Effect of polymerized type I collagen in hyperinflammation of adult outpatients with symptomatic COVID-19: a double blind, randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 May [cited 2021 May 21]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.12.21257133
- 401. Wang Q, Lin X, Xiang X, Liu W, Fang Y, Chen H, et al. Oropharyngeal Probiotic ENT-K12 Prevents Respiratory Tract Infections Among Frontline Medical Staff Fighting Against COVID-19: A Pilot Study. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2021 Jun 24;9:646184.
- Ivashkin V, Fomin V, Moiseev S, Brovko M, Maslennikov R, Ulyanin A, et al.
 Efficacy of a Probiotic Consisting of Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus PDV 1705,
 Bifidobacterium bifidum PDV 0903, Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis PDV 1911,
 and Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum PDV 2301 in the Treatment of Hospitalized



Patients with COVID-19: a Randomized Controlled Trial. Probiotics & Antimicro Prot [Internet]. 2021 Oct 13 [cited 2021 Oct 20]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12602-021-09858-5

- 403. Wischmeyer PE, Tang H, Ren Y, Bohannon L, Ramirez ZE, Andermann TM, et al. Daily Lactobacillus Probiotic versus Placebo in COVID-19-Exposed Household Contacts (PROTECT-EHC): A Randomized Clinical Trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2022 Jan [cited 2022 Jan 11]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.01.04.21268275</u>
- 404. Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, Gandara-Martí T, Abreu Y Abreu AT, Nieto-Rufino CD, López-Orduña E, Jiménez-Escobar I, et al. Probiotic improves symptomatic and viral clearance in Covid19 outpatients: a randomized, quadruple-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. Gut Microbes. 2022 Dec 31;14(1):2018899.
- 405. Ghandehari S, Matusov Y, Pepkowitz S, Stein D, Kaderi T, Narayanan D, et al. Progesterone in addition to standard of care versus standard of care alone in the treatment of men admitted to the hospital with moderate to severe COVID-19: a randomised control phase 1 trial [Preprint]. 2020. Available from SSRN: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3709835.
- 406. Sigamani A, Shetty Madhavi S, Sudhishma RM, Chugani A, Chen-Walden H, Kutty T, and Platt D. Galectin Antagonist Use in Mild Cases of SARS-CoV-2 Cases; Pilot Feasibility Randomised, Open Label, Controlled Trial. [Preprint] 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.03.20238840.
- 407. Marcelo Augusto Duarte Silveira, David De Jong, Erica Batista dos Santos Galvao, Juliana Caldas Ribeiro, Thiago Cerqueira Silva, Andresa Aparecida Berretta, et al. Efficacy of propolis as an adjunct treatment for hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. medRxiv [Internet]. 2021.
- 408. Johansson PI, Søe-Jensen P, Bestle MH, Clausen NE, Kristiansen KT, Lange T, et al. Prostacyclin in Mechanically Ventilated Patients with COVID-19 and Severe Endotheliopathy: A Multicenter, Randomized, Clinical Trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021 Nov 23;
- 409. Cadegiani F, McCoy J, Wambier C, Kovacevic M, Shapiro J, Sinclair R, et al. Proxalutamide (GT0918) Reduces the Rate of Hospitalization and Death in COVID-19





399

Male Patients: A Randomized Double-Blinded Placebo-Controlled Trial. ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2020.

- 410. Cadegiani FA, McCoy J, Gustavo Wambier C, Vaño-Galván S, Shapiro J, Tosti A, et al. Proxalutamide Significantly Accelerates Viral Clearance and Reduces Time to Clinical Remission in Patients with Mild to Moderate COVID-19: Results from a Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Cureus [Internet]. 2021 Feb 22 [cited 2021 Mar 4]
- 411. Cadegiani FA, Zimerman RA, Fonseca DN, Correia MN, Muller MP, Bet DL, et al. Final Results of a Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Two-Arm, Parallel Clinical Trial of Proxalutamide for Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Multiregional, Joint Analysis of the Proxa-Rescue AndroCoV Trial. Cureus [Internet]. 2021 Dec 25 [cited 2022 Jan 12]; Available from: <u>https://www.cureus.com/articles/80171-final-results-of-arandomized-placebo-controlled-two-arm-parallel-clinical-trial-of-proxalutamide-forhospitalized-covid-19-patients-a-multiregional-joint-analysis-of-the-proxa-rescueandrocov-trial</u>
- 412. Cadegiani FA, Zimerman RA, do Nascimento Fonseca D, do Nascimento Correia M, McCoy J, Wambier CG, et al. Proxalutamide (GT0918) Reduces the Rate of Hospitalization in mild-to-moderate COVID-19 Female Patients: A Randomized Double-Blinded Placebo-Controlled Two-Arm Parallel Trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Jul 29]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.06.21260086
- 413. Fragoso-Saavedra S, Núñez I, Audelo-Cruz BM, Arias-Martínez S, Manzur-Sandoval D, Quintero-Villegas A, et al. Pyridostigmine in adults with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: the PISCO trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 May 4]. Available from:

http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.28.21255834

- 414. Önal H, Arslan B, Üçüncü Ergun N, Topuz Ş, Yilmaz Semerci S, Kurnaz ME, et al. Treatment of COVID-19 patients with quercetin: a prospective, single center, randomized, controlled trial. Turk J Biol. 2021;45(4):518–29.
- 415. Di Pierro F, Iqtadar S, Khan A, Ullah Mumtaz S, Masud Chaudhry M, Bertuccioli A, et al. Potential Clinical Benefits of Quercetin in the Early Stage of COVID-19: Results



ion World Healt www.www.awericas

of a Second, Pilot, Randomized, Controlled and Open-Label Clinical Trial. Int J Gen Med. 2021;14:2807–16.

- 416. Shohan M, Nashibi R, Mahmoudian-Sani M-R, Abolnezhadian F, Ghafourian M, Alavi SM, et al. The therapeutic efficacy of quercetin in combination with antiviral drugs in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: A randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Pharmacology. 2022 Jan;914:174615.
- 417. Rondanelli M, Perna S, Gasparri C, Petrangolini G, Allegrini P, Cavioni A, et al. Promising Effects of 3-Month Period of Quercetin Phytosome® Supplementation in the Prevention of Symptomatic COVID-19 Disease in Healthcare Workers: A Pilot Study. Life. 2022 Jan 4;12(1):66.
- 418. Amat-Santos IJ, Santos-Martinez S, López-Otero D, Nombela-Franco L,
 Gutiérrez-Ibanes E, Del Valle R, et al. Ramipril in high risk patients with COVID-19. J
 Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76(3):268–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.05.040.
- 419. Stasko N, Cockrell AS, Kocher JF, Henson I, Emerson D, Wang Y, et al. A randomized, controlled, feasibility study of RD-X19 in subjects with mild-to-moderate COVID-19 in the outpatient setting. Clin Transl Sci. 2022 Feb 8;
- 420. Li C, Luo F, Liu C, Xiong N, Xu Z, Zhang W, et al. Effect of a genetically engineered interferon-alpha versus traditional interferon-alpha in the treatment of moderate-to-severe COVID-19: a randomised clinical trial. Annals of Medicine. 2021 Jan 1;53(1):391–401.
- 421. Eom JS, Ison M, Streinu-Cercel A, Săndulescu O, Preotescu L-L, Kim Y-S, et al. Efficacy and safety of CT-P59 plus standard of care: a phase 2/3 randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial in outpatients with mild-to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 infection [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 24]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-296518/v1
- 422. Kim JY, Jang YR, Hong JH, Jung JG, Park J-H, Streinu-Cercel A, et al. Safety, Virologic Efficacy, and Pharmacokinetics of CT-P59, a Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody Against SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Protein: Two Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Phase I Studies in Healthy Individuals and Patients With Mild SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Clinical Therapeutics. 2021 Aug;S0149291821003088.



- 423. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, Ali S, Gao H, Bhore R, et al. REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 Dec 17;NEJMoa2035002.
- 424 Abani O, Abbas A, Abbas F, Abbas M, Abbasi S, Abbass H, et al. Casirivimab and imdevimab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. The Lancet. 2022 Feb;399(10325):665–76.
- 425. O'Brien MP, Forleo-Neto E, Sarkar N, Isa F, Hou P, Chan K-C, et al. Effect of Subcutaneous Casirivimab and Imdevimab Antibody Combination vs Placebo on Development of Symptomatic COVID-19 in Early Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA [Internet]. 2022 Jan 14 [cited 2022 Jan 18]; Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2788256
- 426. O'Brien MP, Forleo-Neto E, Musser BJ, Isa F, Chan K-C, Sarkar N, et al. Subcutaneous REGEN-COV Antibody Combination to Prevent Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Aug 4;NEJMoa2109682.
- 427. Somersan-Karakaya S, Mylonakis E, Menon VP, Wells JC, Ali S, Sivapalasingam S, et al. REGEN-COV for the Treatment of Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Nov 9]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.11.05.21265656
- Portal-Celhay C, Forleo-Neto E, Eagan W, Musser BJ, Davis JD, Turner KC, et 428. al. Phase 2 dose-ranging study of the virologic efficacy and safety of the combination COVID-19 antibodies casirivimab and imdevimab in the outpatient setting [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Dec 13]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.11.09.21265912
- Isa F, Forleo-Neto E, Meyer J, Zheng W, Rasmussen S, Armas D, et al. Repeat 429. Subcutaneous Administration of REGEN-COV[®] in Adults is Well-Tolerated and Prevents the Occurrence of COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Nov [cited 2021 Dec 1]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.11.10.21265889
- 430. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, Ali S, Gao H, Bhore R, et al. **REGEN-COV** Antibody Cocktail Clinical Outcomes Study in Covid-19 Outpatients



BE AWARE. PREPARE. ACT.

402

www.paho.org/coronavirus

[Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 May [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.19.21257469</u>

- Huang DT, McCreary EK, Bariola JR, Minnier TE, Wadas RJ, Shovel JA, et al. Effectiveness of casirivimab and imdevimab, and sotrovimab during Delta variant surge: a prospective cohort study and comparative effectiveness randomized trial [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Dec [cited 2022 Jan 10]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.12.23.21268244
- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, Mehta AK, Zingman BS, Kalil AC, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19 — final report. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1813-26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2007764.
- 433. Goldman JD, Lye DCB, Hui DS, Marks KM, Bruno R, Montejano R, et al. Remdesivir for 5 or 10 days in patients with severe COVID-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1827-37. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2015301.
- Wang Y, Zhang D, Du G, Du R, Zhao J, Jin Y, et al. Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. Lancet 2020;395(10236):1569–78. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31022-9.
- 435. Spinner CD, Gottlieb RL, Criner GJ, Arribas López JR, Cattelan AM, Viladomiu AS, et al. Effect of remdesivir vs standard care on clinical status at 11 days in patients with moderate COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020;324(11):1048-57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.16349.
- 436. Mahajan L, Singh A, Gifty. Clinical outcomes of using remdesivir in patients with moderate to severe COVID-19: A prospective randomised study. Indian J Anaesth. 2021;65(13):41.
- 437. Abd-Elsalam S, Ahmed OA, Mansour NO, Abdelaziz DH, Salama M, Fouad MHA, et al. Remdesivir Efficacy in COVID-19 Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Trial. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene [Internet]. 2021 Sep 10 [cited 2021 Oct 19]; Available from:

https://www.ajtmh.org/view/journals/tpmd/aop/article-10.4269-ajtmh.21-0606/article-10.4269-ajtmh.21-0606.xml

438. Sarhan RM, Harb HS, Abou Warda AE, Salem-Bekhit MM, Shakeel F, Alzahrani SA, et al. Efficacy of the early treatment with tocilizumab-hydroxychloroquine and



tocilizumab-remdesivir in severe COVID-19 Patients. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2021 Nov;S1876034121003452.

- Gottlieb RL, Vaca CE, Paredes R, Mera J, Webb BJ, Perez G, et al. Early
 Remdesivir to Prevent Progression to Severe Covid-19 in Outpatients. N Engl J Med.
 2021 Dec 22;NEJMoa2116846.
- 440. Ali K, Azher T, Baqi M, Binnie A, Borgia S, Carrier FM, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of patients in hospital with COVID-19 in Canada: a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ. 2022 Jan 19;cmaj.211698.
- 441. McCreary MR, Schnell PM, Rhoda DA. Randomized Double-blind Placebocontrolled Proof-of-concept Trial of Resveratrol for Outpatient Treatment of Mild Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 24]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-861831/v1
- 442. Kaplan HG, Wang K, Reeves KM, Scanlan JM, Nunn CC, Kieper DA, et al. Resveratrol and Zinc in the Treatment of Outpatients With COVID-19 – The Reszinate Study - A Phase 1/2 Randomized Clinical Trial Utilizing Home Patient-Obtained Nasal and Saliva Viral Sampling. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 13]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3934228
- 443. Cheng L-l, Guan W-j, Duan C-y, Zhang N-f, Lei C-l, Hu Y, et al. Effect of recombinant human granulocyte colony–stimulating factor for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and lymphopenia: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med 2020; published online 10 September 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.5503.
- Bosteels C, Damme KV, De Leeuw E, Declercq J, Maes B, Bosteels V, et al.
 Early treatment with inhaled GM-CSF improves oxygenation and anti-viral immunity in COVID-19 induced lung injury a randomized clinical trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021
 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 21]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-959220/v1
- 445. Hung IF, Lung KC, Tso EY, Liu R, Chung TW, Chu MY, et al. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. Lancet 2020;395(10238):1695–1704. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31042-4.



- 446. Cao Y, Wei J, Zou L, Jiang T, Wang G, Chen L, et al. Ruxolitinib in treatment of severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a multicenter, single-blind, randomized controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020;146(1):137-46.E3. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.05.019.
- 447. The REMAP-CAP Investigators. Interleukin-6 Receptor Antagonists in Critically Ill Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 25;NEJMoa2100433.
- 448. Lescure F-X, Honda H, Fowler RA, Lazar JS, Shi G, Wung P, et al. Sarilumab in patients admitted to hospital with severe or critical COVID-19: a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Mar;S2213260021000990.
- 449. Sivapalasingam S, Lederer DJ, Bhore R, Hajizadeh N, Criner G, Hossain R, et al. A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial of Sarilumab in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 May [cited 2021 May 20]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.13.21256973
- 450. Mariette X, Hermine O, Tharaux P-L, Resche-Rigon M, Porcher R, Ravaud P, et al. Sarilumab in adults hospitalised with moderate-to-severe COVID-19 pneumonia (CORIMUNO-SARI-1): An open-label randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2022 Jan;4(1):e24–32.
- 451. Hermine O, Mariette X, Porcher R, Resche-Rigon M, Tharaux P-L, Ravaud P.
 Effect of Interleukin-6 Receptor Antagonists in Critically Ill Adult Patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia: two Randomised Controlled Trials of the CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative Group. Eur Respir J. 2022 Feb 3;2102523.
- 452. The WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group, Domingo P, Mur I, Mateo GM, Gutierrez M del M, Pomar V, et al. Association Between Administration of IL-6 Antagonists and Mortality Among Patients Hospitalized for COVID-19: A Meta-analysis. JAMA [Internet]. 2021 Jul 6 [cited 2021 Jul 13]; Available from: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2781880
- 453. Merchante N, Cárcel S, Garrido-Gracia JC, Trigo-Rodríguez M, Esteban Moreno MÁ, León-López R, et al. Early Use of Sarilumab in Patients Hospitalised with COVID-19 Pneumonia and Features of Systemic Inflammation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2021 Dec 13;AAC.02107-21.





- 454. Sancho-López A, Caballero-Bermejo AF, Ruiz-Antorán B, Múñez Rubio E, García Gasalla M, Buades J, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Sarilumab in patients with COVID19 Pneumonia: A Randomized, Phase III Clinical Trial (SARTRE Study). Infect Dis Ther [Internet]. 2021 Oct 17 [cited 2021 Nov 2]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40121-021-00543-2
- 455. Resende GG, da Cruz Lage R, Lobê SQ, Medeiros AF, Costa e Silva AD, Nogueira Sá AT, et al. Blockade of Interleukin Seventeen (IL-17A) with Secukinumab in Hospitalized COVID-19 patients – the BISHOP study [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Aug 3]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.21.21260963
- 456. Tian F, Wang J, Xi X, He M, Zhao C, Feng F, et al. Efficacy and safety of shortwave diathermy treatment for moderate COVID-19 patients: a prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled clinical study. European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine [Internet]. 2021; Available from:

http://www.epistemonikos.org/documents/356ba654e07f6231b50fd2a20e44ae587685ad9

- 457. Santamarina MG, Beddings I, Lomakin FM, Boisier Riscal D, Gutiérrez Claveria M, Vidal Marambio J, et al. Sildenafil for treating patients with COVID-19 and perfusion mismatch: a pilot randomized trial. Crit Care. 2022 Dec;26(1):1.
- 458. Asadipooya K, Abbasi F, Adatorwovor R, Davarpanah MA, Mansoori Y, Hajiani M, et al. A Randomized Single Blind Controlled Trial of Combination Therapy (Spironolactone and Sitagliptin) in Hospitalized Adult Patients with Covid-19. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Aug 3]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3889411
- 459. Sadeghi A, Asgari AA, Norouzi A, Kheiri Z, Anushirvani A, Montazeri M, et al. Sofosbuvir and daclatasvir compared with standard of care in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with moderate or severe coronavirus infection (COVID-19): a randomized controlled trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020;75(11):3379-85. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa334.
- 460. Yakoot M, Eysa B, Gouda E, Hill A, Helmy SA, Elsayed MR, et al. Efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir/daclatasvir in the treatment of COVID-19: a randomized, controlled study [Preprint]. 2020. Available from SSRN: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3705289.

PAHO®



406

- 461. Roozbeh F, Saeedi M, Alizadeh-Navaei R, Hedayatizadeh-Omran A, Merat S, Wentzel H, et al. Sofosbuvir and daclatasvir for the treatment of COVID-19 outpatients: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2020 Dec 18;dkaa501.
- 462. Mobarak S, Salasi M, Hormati A, Khodadadi J, Ziaee M, Abedi F, et al. Evaluation of the Effect of Sofosbuvir and Daclatasvir in Hospitalised COVID-19 Patients: A Randomized Double-Blind Clinical Trial (DISCOVER). SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 24]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3792895
- Alavi-moghaddam M, Haghighi M, Sabaghian T, Soroureddin Z, Chaboki BG.
 Safety and Efficacy of Sofosbuvir in Hospitalized Adult Patients with SARS-CoV-2: A
 Preliminary Report. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 24]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3790463
- 464. Khalili H, Nourian A, Ahmadinejad Z, Emadi Kouchak H, Jafari S, Dehghan Manshadi SA, et al. Efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir/ ledipasvir in treatment of patients with COVID-19; A randomized clinical trial. Acta Biomed. 2020 Nov 10;91(4):e2020102.
- 465. Elgohary MA-S, Hasan EM, Ibrahim AA, Ahmed Abdelsalam MF, Abdel-Rahman RZ, Zaki AI, et al. Efficacy of Sofosbuvir plus Ledipasvir in Egyptian patients with COVID-19 compared to standard treatment: Randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Epidemiology; 2021 May [cited 2021 May 26]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.05.19.21257429
- 466. Sayad B, Khodarahmi R, Najafi F, Miladi R, Mohseni Afshar Z, Mansouri F, et al. Efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir/velpatasvir versus the standard of care in adults hospitalized with COVID-19: a single-centre, randomized controlled trial. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2021 May 25;dkab152.
- El-Bendary M, Abd-Elsalam S, Elbaz T, El-Akel W, Cordie A, Elhadidy T, et al.
 Efficacy of combined Sofosbuvir and Daclatasvir in the treatment of COVID-19 patients with pneumonia: a multicenter Egyptian study. Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy. 2021 Jul 15;1–5.





- 468. Abbass S, Salama M, Salman T, Sabry A, Abdel-Razek W, Kamal E, et al. fficacy and safety of Sofosbuvir plus Daclatasvir or Ravidasvir in patients with COVID-19, A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Med Virol. 2021 Aug 11;jmv.27264.
- 469. Gupta A, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Juarez E, Crespo Casal M, Moya J, Falci DR, et al. Early Treatment for Covid-19 with SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Sotrovimab. N Engl J Med. 2021 Oct 27;NEJMoa2107934.
- 470. INSPIRATION-S Investigators. Atorvastatin versus placebo in patients with covid-19 in intensive care: randomized controlled trial. BMJ. 2022 Jan 7;376:e068407.
- 471. Carmenate YV, Alkaabi FM, Aleman YMC, Valverde CAV, Ahmed YM, Sanna P, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Autologous Non-Hematopoietic Enriched Stem Cell Nebulization in Covid-19 Patients. A Randomized Clinical Trial, Abu Dhabi 2020. [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Jun [cited 2021 Jun 18]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-558653/v1
- 472. GLUCOCOVID investigators, Corral-Gudino L, Bahamonde A, Arnaiz-Revillas F, Gómez-Barquero J, Abadía-Otero J, et al. Methylprednisolone in adults hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia: An open-label randomized trial (GLUCOCOVID). Wien Klin Wochenschr [Internet]. 2021 Feb 3 [cited 2021 Feb 11]; Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00508-020-01805-8
- 473. Jeronimo CMP, Farias MEL, Almeida Val FF, Sampaio VS, Alexandre MAA, Melo GC, et al. Methylprednisolone as adjunctive therapy for patients hospitalized with COVID-19 (metcovid): a randomised, double-blind, phase IIb, placebo-controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2020: ciaa1177. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1177.
- Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson J, Mafham M, Bell J, Linsell L, et al. Effect of dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: preliminary report [Preprint] MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.22.20137273.
- 475. The WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group. Association between administration of systemic Corticosteroids and mortality among critically ill patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2020;324:1330-41. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.17023.
- 476. Tomazini BM, Maia IS, Cavalcanti AB, Berwanger O, Rosa RG, Veiga VC, et al. Effect of dexamethasone on days alive and ventilator-free in patients with moderate or severe acute respiratory distress syndrome and COVID-19: the CoDEX randomized

PAHOS



clinical trial. JAMA 2020; 324(13):1307-16. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.17021.

- 477. The Writing Committee for the REMAP-CAP Investigators, et al. Effect of hydrocortisone on mortality and organ support in patients with severe COVID-19: the REMAP-CAP COVID-19 corticosteroid domain randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020; 324(13):1317-29. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.17022.
- 478. Dequin P-F, Heming N, Meziani F, Plantefève G, Voiriot G, Badié J, et al. Effect of hydrocortisone on 21-day mortality or respiratory support among critically ill patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020;324(13):1298-1306. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.16761.
- 479. Farahani RH, Mosaed R, Nezami-Asl A, Chamanara N, Soleiman-Meigooni S, Kalantar S, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of methylprednisolone pulse therapy in treatment of Covid-19 adult patients with severe respiratory failure: randomized, clinical trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-66909/v1.
- 480. Edalatifard M, Akhtari M, Salehi M, Naderi Z, Jamshidi A, Mostafaei S, et al. Intravenous methylprednisolone pulse as a treatment for hospitalised severe COVID-19 patients: results from a randomised controlled clinical trial [Preprint]. Eur Respir J 2020; published online 17 September 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.02808-2020.
- 481. Tang X, Feng Y-M, Ni J-X, Zhang J-Y, Liu L-M, Hu K, et al. Early Use of Corticosteroid May Prolong SARS-CoV-2 Shedding in Non-Intensive Care Unit Patients with COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Multicenter, Single-Blind, Randomized Control Trial. Respiration. 2021 Jan 22;1–11.
- 482. Jamaati H, Hashemian SM, Farzanegan B, Malekmohammad M, Tabarsi P, Marjani M, et al. No clinical benefit of high dose corticosteroid administration in patients with COVID-19: A preliminary report of a randomized clinical trial. European Journal of Pharmacology. 2021 Apr;897:173947.
- 483. Rashad A, Mousa S, Nafady-Hego H, Nafady A, Elgendy H. Short term survival of critically ill COVID-19 Egyptian patients on assisted ventilation treated by either Dexamethasone or Tocilizumab. Sci Rep. 2021 Dec;11(1):8816.



- 484. Ranjbar K, Shahriarirad R, Erfani A, Khodamoradi Z, Saadi MHG, Mirahmadizadeh A, et al. Methylprednisolone or Dexamethasone, Which One Is the Superior Corticosteroid in the Treatment of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: A Triple-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Feb 14]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-148529/v1
- 485. Munch MW, Myatra SN, Tirupakuzhi Vijayaraghavan BK, Saseedharan S, Benfield T, Wahlin RR, et al. Dexamethasone 12 mg versus 6 mg for patients with COVID-19 and severe hypoxia: an international, randomized, blinded trial [Internet]. Intensive Care and Critical Care Medicine; 2021 Jul [cited 2021 Jul 30]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.07.22.21260755
- 486. Maskin LP, Bonelli I, Olarte GL, Palizas F, Velo AE, Lurbet MF, et al. High-Versus Low-Dose Dexamethasone for the Treatment of COVID-19-related Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Multicenter and Randomized Open-label Clinical Trial [Internet]. Intensive Care and Critical Care Medicine; 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 24]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.15.21263597
- 487. Toroghi N, Abbasian L, Nourian A, Davoudi-Monfared E, Khalili H, Hasannezhad M, et al. Comparing efficacy and safety of different doses of dexamethasone in the treatment of COVID-19: a three-arm randomized clinical trial. Pharmacol Rep [Internet]. 2021 Nov 27 [cited 2021 Dec 1]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s43440-021-00341-0
- 488. Taboada M, Rodríguez N, Varela PM, Rodríguez MT, Abelleira R, González A, et al. Effect of high *versus* low dose of dexamethasone on clinical worsening in patients hospitalised with moderate or severe COVID-19 Pneumonia: an open-label, randomised clinical trial. Eur Respir J. 2021 Dec 16;2102518.
- 489. Naik NB, Puri GD, Kajal K, Mahajan V, Bhalla A, Kataria S, et al. High-Dose Dexamethasone Versus Tocilizumab in Moderate to Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Cureus [Internet]. 2021 Dec 11 [cited 2022 Jan 24]; Available from: <u>https://www.cureus.com/articles/78251-high-dose-dexamethasoneversus-tocilizumab-in-moderate-to-severe-covid-19-pneumonia-a-randomized-controlledtrial</u>
- 490. Ramakrishnan S, Nicolau DV, Langford B, Mahdi M, Jeffers H, Mwasuku C, et al. Inhaled budesonide in the treatment of early COVID-19 (STOIC): a phase 2, open-



label, randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 Apr;S2213260021001600.

- 491. Yu L-M, Bafadhel M, Dorward J, Hayward G, Saville BR, Gbinigie O, et al. Inhaled budesonide for COVID-19 in people at high risk of complications in the community in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. The Lancet. 2021 Aug;S014067362101744X.
- 492. Song J-Y, Yoon J-G, Seo Y-B, Lee J, Eom J-S, Lee J-S, et al. Ciclesonide Inhaler Treatment for Mild-to-Moderate COVID-19: A Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 2 Trial. JCM. 2021 Aug 12;10(16):3545.
- 493. Clemency BM, Varughese R, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Morse CG, Phipatanakul W, Koster DJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial of inhaled ciclesonide for outpatient treatment of symptomatic COVID-19 infections [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Sep 13]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.07.21261811
- 494. Ezer N, Belga S, Daneman N, Chan A, Smith BM, Daniels S-A, et al. Inhaled and intranasal ciclesonide for the treatment of covid-19 in adult outpatients: CONTAIN phase II randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2021 Nov 2;e068060.
- 495. Gonzalez Ochoa AJ, Raffetto JD, Hernandez AG, Zavala NA, Gutierrez O, Vargas A, and Loustaunau J. Sulodexide in the Treatment of Patients with Early Stages of COVID-19: A Randomised Controlled Trial. MedRxiv 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.04.20242073.
- 496. Singh D, Bogus M, Moskalenko V, Lord R, Moran EJ, Crater GD, et al. A phase
 2 study of the inhaled pan-JAK inhibitor TD-0903 in severe COVID-19: Part 1 [Internet].
 Respiratory Medicine; 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Mar 24]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.03.09.21252944
- 497. Parienti J-J, Prazuck T, Peyro-Saint-Paul L, Fournier A, Valentin C, Brucato S, et al. Effect of Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and Emtricitabine on nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 viral load burden amongst outpatients with COVID-19: A pilot, randomized, open-label phase 2 trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Jun;100993.
- 498. Arruda EAG, Pires-Neto RJ, Medeiros MS, Quirino-Filho J, Clementino M, Gondim RNDG, et al. Clinical Trial of Efficacy and Toxicity of Disoproxil Tenofovir Fumarate and Emtricitabine for Mild to Moderate SARS-CoV-2 Infections [Internet].

PAHO®





Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Sep [cited 2021 Oct 12]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.28.21264242

- 499. Amra B, Ashrafi F, Soltaninejad F, Feizi A, Salmasi M. Thalidomide for the treatment of severe Covid-19: A randomized clinical trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 8]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-379635/v1
- 500. Shirin Haghighi, Soodeh Ramezaninejad, Atousa Hakamifard, et al. The Effects of Thalidomide as an Adjuvant Treatment Besides of Dexamethasone and Remdesivir on Patients with Moderate COVID-19. Available online at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3941711
- 501. Barrett CD, Moore HB, Moore EE, Wang DJ, Hajizadeh N, Biffl WL, et al. STudy of Alteplase for Respiratory failure in SARS-Cov2 COVID-19 (STARS): A Vanguard Multicenter, Rapidly Adaptive, Pragmatic, Randomized, Controlled Trial. Chest. 2021 Sep;S0012369221040630.
- 502. Rosas IO, Bräu N, Waters M, Go RC, Hunter BD, Bhagani S, et al. Tocilizumab in Hospitalized Patients with Severe Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 25;NEJMoa2028700.
- 503. Wang D, Fu B, Peng Z, Yang D, Han M, Li M, et al. Tocilizumab ameliorates the hypoxia in COVID-19 moderate patients with bilateral pulmonary lesions: a randomized, controlled, open-label, multicenter trial [Preprint]. 2020. Available from SSRN: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3667681.
- 504. Salvarani C, Dolci G, Massari M, Merlo DF, Cavuto S, Savoldi L, et al. Effect of tocilizumab vs standard care on clinical worsening in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia: a randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. JAMA Int Med 2020; published online 20 October 2020. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6615.

PAHO®

- 505. Stone JH, Frigault MJ, Serling-Boyd NJ, Fernandes AD, Harvey L, Foulkes AS, et al. Efficacy of tocilizumab in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 [Preprint]. N Engl J Med 2020; published online 21 October 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2028836.
- 506. Hermine O, Mariette X, Tharaux P-L, Resche-Rigon M, Porcher R, Ravaud P, and the CORIMUNO-19 Collaborative Group. Effect of tocilizumab vs usual care in



adults hospitalized with COVID-19 and moderate or severe pneumonia: a randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. JAMA Int Med 2020; published online 20 October 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6820.

- 507. Salama C, Han J, Yau L, Reiss WG, Kramer B, Neidhart JD, et al. Tocilizumab in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020 Dec 17;NEJMoa2030340.
- 508. Veiga VC, Prats JAGG, Farias DLC, Rosa RG, Dourado LK, Zampieri FG, et al. Effect of tocilizumab on clinical outcomes at 15 days in patients with severe or critical coronavirus disease 2019: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2021 Jan 20;n84.
- 509. Horby PW, Campbell M, Staplin M, et al. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. The Lancet. 2021 May;397(10285):1637–45.
- 510. Rutgers A, Westerweel PE, van der Holt B, Postma S, van Vonderen MGA, Piersma DP, et al. Timely Administration of Tocilizumab Improves Survival of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 12]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3834311
- 511. Talaschian M, Akhtari M, Mahmoudi M, Mostafaei S, Jafary M, Husseini AS, et al. Tocilizumab Failed to Reduce Mortality in Severe COVID-19 Patients: Results From a Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial [Internet]. In Review; 2021 May [cited 2021 May 14]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-463921/v1
- 512. Hamed DM, Belhoul KM, Al Maazmi NA, Ghayoor F, Moin M, Al Suwaidi M, et al. Intravenous methylprednisolone with or without tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring oxygen support: A prospective comparison. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2021 Aug;14(8):985–9.
- 513. Rosas IO, Diaz G, Gottlieb RL, Lobo SM, Robinson P, Hunter BD, et al. Tocilizumab and remdesivir in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia: a randomized clinical trial. Intensive Care Med [Internet]. 2021 Oct 5 [cited 2021 Oct 12]; Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00134-021-06507-x
- 514. Soin AS, Kumar K, Choudhary NS, Sharma P, Mehta Y, Kataria S, et al. Tocilizumab plus standard care versus standard care in patients in India with moderate to severe COVID-19-associated cytokine release syndrome (COVINTOC): an open-label,



on World Health Organization

multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2021 May;9(5):511–21.

- 515. Hermine O, Mariette X, Tharaux PL, Resche-Rigon M, Madjlessi Simon T, Porcher R, et al. Tocilizumab Plus Dexamethasone in Patients with Moderate-to-Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia: a Randomized Clinical Trial of the CORIMUNO-19 Study Group. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Aug 30]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3909736
- 516. Kumar PN, Hernández-Sánchez J, Nagel S, Feng Y, Cai F, Rabin J, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Tocilizumab 4 or 8 mg/kg in Hospitalized Patients With Moderate to Severe Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Open Forum Infectious Diseases. 2022 Jan 1;9(1):ofab608.
- 517. Guimarães PO, Quirk D, Furtado RH, Maia LN, Saraiva JF, Antunes MO, et al. Tofacitinib in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jun 16;NEJMoa2101643.
- 518. Murugesan H, Cs G, Nasreen HS, Santhanam S, M G, Ravi S, et al. An Evaluation of Efficacy and Safety of Tofacitinib, A JAK Inhibitor in the Management of Hospitalized Patients with Mild to Moderate COVID-19 - An Open-Label Randomized Controlled Study. J Assoc Physicians India. 2022 Dec;69(12):11–2.
- 519. Wu X, Yu K, Wang Y, Xu W, Ma H, Hou Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of triazavirin therapy for coronavirus disease 2019: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Engineering 2020;6(10):1185-91. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2020.08.011.
- 520. Nojomi M, Yasin Z, Keyvani H, Makiani MJ, Roham M, Laali A, et al. Effect of arbidol on COVID-19: a randomized controlled trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-78316/v1.
- 521. Yethindra V, Tagaev T, Uulu MS, Parihar Y. Efficacy of umifenovir in the treatment of mild and moderate COVID-19 patients. Int J Res Pharm Sci 2020;11(SPL1):506–09. Available from: https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11iSPL1.2839.
- 522. Ghaderkhani S, Khaneshan AS, Salami A, Alavijeh PE, Kouchak HE, Khalili H, et al. Efficacy and safety of arbidol in treatment of patients with COVID-19 infection: a randomized clinical trial [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-91430/v1.

PAHO®





- 523. Alavi Darazam I, Shokouhi S, Mardani M, Pourhoseingholi MA, Rabiei MM, Hatami F, et al. Umifenovir in hospitalized moderate to severe COVID-19 patients: A randomized clinical trial. International Immunopharmacology. 2021 Oct;99:107969.
- 524. Ramachandran R, Bhosale V, Reddy H, Atam V, Faridi M, Fatima J, et al. Phase III, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo Controlled Trial of Efficacy, Safety and Tolerability of Antiviral Drug Umifenovir vs Standard Care of Therapy in Non-Severe Covid-19 Patients. SSRN Journal [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 Sep 29]; Available from: https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3919585
- 525. Zhang J, Rao X, Li Y, Zhu Y, Liu F, Guo G, et al. High-dose vitamin C infusion for the treatment of critically ill COVID-19 [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-52778/v1.
- 526. Kumari P, Dembra S, Dembra P, Bhawna F, Gul A, Ali B, et al. The Role of Vitamin C as Adjuvant Therapy in COVID-19. Cureus [Internet]. 2020 Nov 30 [cited 2021 Jan 11]; Available from: https://www.cureus.com/articles/45284-the-role-ofvitamin-c-as-adjuvant-therapy-in-covid-19
- 527. Jamali Moghadam Siahkali S, Zarezade B, Koolaji S, Alinaghi S, Zendehdel A, Tabarestani M, et al. Safety and Effectiveness of High-Dose Vitamin C in Patients with COVID-19; A Randomized Controlled open-label Clinical Trial . ResearchSquare [Internet]. 2021.
- 528. Thomas S, Patel D, Bittel B, Wolski K, Wang Q, Kumar A, et al. Effect of High-Dose Zinc and Ascorbic Acid Supplementation vs Usual Care on Symptom Length and Reduction Among Ambulatory Patients With SARS-CoV-2 Infection: The COVID A to Z Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2021 Feb 12;4(2):e210369.
- 529. Tehrani S, Yadegarynia D, Abrishami A, Moradi H, Gharaei B, Rauofi M, et al. An investigation into the Effects of Intravenous Vitamin C on Pulmonary CT Findings and Clinical Outcomes of Patients with COVID 19 Pneumonia A Randomized Clinical Trial. Urology Journal. 2021 Nov 8;(Instant 2021):6863.
- 530. Beigmohammadi MT, Bitarafan S, Hoseindokht A, Abdollahi A, Amoozadeh L, Soltani D. The effect of supplementation with vitamins A, B, C, D, and E on disease severity and inflammatory responses in patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. Trials. 2021 Dec;22(1):802.



- 531. Majidi N, Rabbani F, Gholami S, Gholamalizadeh M, BourBour F, Rastgoo S, et al. The Effect of Vitamin C on Pathological Parameters and Survival Duration of Critically Ill Coronavirus Disease 2019 Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Front Immunol. 2021 Dec 15;12:717816.
- 532. Ried K, BinJemain T, Sali A. Therapies to Prevent Progression of COVID-19, Including Hydroxychloroquine, Azithromycin, Zinc, and Vitamin D3 With or Without Intravenous Vitamin C: An International, Multicenter, Randomized Trial. Cureus [Internet]. 2021 Nov 25 [cited 2022 Jan 10]; Available from: <u>https://www.cureus.com/articles/76496-therapies-to-prevent-progression-of-covid-19-including-hydroxychloroquine-azithromycin-zinc-and-vitamin-d3-with-or-without-intravenous-vitamin-c-an-international-multicenter-randomized-trial</u>
- 533. Castillo ME, Costa LME, Barrios JMV, Díaz JFA, Miranda JL, Bouillon R, Gomez JMQ. Effect of calcifediol treatment and best available therapy versus best available therapy on intensive care unit admission and mortality among patients hospitalized for COVID-19: a pilot randomized clinical study [Preprint]. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2020;203:105751. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2020.105751.
- 534. Rastogi A, Bhansali A, Khare N, Suri V, Yaddanapudi N, Sachdeva N, et al. Short term, high-dose vitamin D supplementation for COVID-19 disease: a randomised, placebo-controlled, study (SHADE Study) [Preprint]. Postgrad Med J 2020; published online 12 November 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-139065.
- 535. Murai IH, Fernandes AL, Sales LP, Pinto AJ, Goessler KF, Duran CSC, et al. Effect of a Single High Dose of Vitamin D3 on Hospital Length of Stay in Patients With Moderate to Severe COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Feb 17
- 536. Lakkireddy M, Gadiga SG, Malathi RD, Karra ML, Raju ISSVPM, Ragini, et al. Impact of Pulse D Therapy on The Inflammatory Markers in Patients With COVID-19. [Internet]. In Review; 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Mar 8]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-152494/v1
- 537. Sabico S, Enani MA, Sheshah E, Aljohani NJ, Aldisi DA, Alotaibi NH, et al. Effects of a 2-Week 5000 IU versus 1000 IU Vitamin D3 Supplementation on Recovery



an World Health Organization

of Symptoms in Patients with Mild to Moderate Covid-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Nutrients. 2021 Jun 24;13(7):2170.

- 538. Maghbooli Z, Sahraian MA, Jamalimoghadamsiahkali S, Asadi A, Zarei A, Zendehdel A, et al. Treatment With 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3 (Calcifediol) Is Associated With a Reduction in the Blood Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio Marker of Disease Severity in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19: A Pilot Multicenter, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blinded Clinical Trial. Endocrine Practice. 2021 Oct;S1530891X21012593.
- 539. Gaborit B, Dailly E, Vanhove B, Josien R, Lacombe K, Dubee V, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of XAV-19, a swine glyco-humanized polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody, for COVID-19-related moderate pneumonia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase IIa study [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 28]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.15.21255549
- 540. Bishop CW, Ashfaq A, Melnick JZ, Vazquez-Escarpanter E, Fialkow JA, Strugnell SA, et al. Results From the REsCue Trial: A Randomized Controlled Trial with Extended-Release Calcifediol in Symptomatic Outpatients with COVID-19 [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2022 Feb [cited 2022 Feb 17]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.01.31.22270036
- 541. Gaborit B, Dailly E, Vanhove B, Josien R, Lacombe K, Dubee V, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of XAV-19, a swine glyco-humanized polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody, for COVID-19-related moderate pneumonia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase IIa study [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2021 Apr [cited 2021 Apr 28]. Available from: <u>http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.04.15.21255549</u>
- 542. Hassan M, Abdelmaksoud A, Ghweil A, Rashad A, Aref Z, Khodeary A, et al. Olfactory disturbances as presenting manifestation among Egyptian patients with COVID-19: possible role of zinc [Preprint]. ResearchSquare 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-107577/v1.
- 543. Abd-Elsalam S, Soliman S, Esmail ES, Khalaf M, Mostafa EF, Medhat MA, Ahmed OA, El Ghafar MSA, Alboraie M, and Hassany SM. Do Zinc Supplements Enhance the Clinical Efficacy of Hydroxychloroquine?: A Randomized, Multicenter



Trial. Biological Trace Element Research 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-020-02512-1.

- 544. Abdelmaksoud AA, Ghweil AA, Hassan MH, Rashad A, Khodeary A, Aref ZF, et al. Olfactory Disturbances as Presenting Manifestation Among Egyptian Patients with COVID-19: Possible Role of Zinc. Biol Trace Elem Res [Internet]. 2021 Jan 7 [cited 2021 Jan 11]; Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12011-020-02546-5
- 545. Patel O, Chinni V, El-Khoury J, Perera M, Neto AS, McDonald C, et al. A pilot double-blind safety and feasibility randomised controlled trial of high-dose intravenous zinc in hospitalised COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol. 2021 Feb 25;jmv.26895.
- 546. Zhong M, Sun A, Xiao T, Yao G, Sang L, Zheng X, Zhang J, et al. A randomized, single-blind, group sequential, active-controlled study to evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of α-lipoic acid for critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [Preprint]. MedRxiv 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.15.20066266.

