







E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

GUIDELINES FOR RISK ANALYSIS OF FOODBORNE ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE

CXG 77-2011

Adopted in 2011. Revised in 2021.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 2. SCOPE
- 3. DEFINITIONS
- 4. GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR FOODBORNE AMR RISK ANALYSIS
- 5. FRAMEWORK FOR FOODBORNE AMR RISK ANALYSIS
- 6. PRELIMINARY FOODBORNE AMR RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES
 - 6.1. Identification of an AMR food safety issue
 - 6.2. Development of a foodborne AMR risk profile
 - 6.3. Ranking of the food safety issues and setting priorities for risk assessment and management
 - 6.4. Establishment of preliminary risk management goals
 - 6.5. Establishment of a risk assessment policy
 - 6.6. Commission a foodborne AMR risk assessment

7. FOODBORNE AMR RISK ASSESSMENT

- 7.1. Sources of information
- 7.2. Process of foodborne AMR risk assessment
- 7.3. Hazard identification
- 7.4. Exposure assessment
- 7.5. Hazard characterization
- 7.6. Risk characterization

8. FOODBORNE AMR RISK MANAGEMENT

- 8.1. Consideration of the foodborne AMR risk assessment results
- 8.2. Identification of foodborne AMR RMOs
- 8.3 Evaluation of foodborne AMR RMOs
- 8.4. Selection of foodborne AMR RMOs
- 8.5. Implementation of foodborne AMR risk management decision(s)
- 8.6. Monitoring and review of foodborne AMR risk management measures

9. SURVEILLANCE OF USE OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS AND AMR MICROORGANISMS AND DETERMINANTS

10.FOODBORNE AMR RISK COMMUNICATION

10.1. Foodborne risk communication as a risk management tool

Appendix 1. Elements for consideration in a foodborne AMR risk profile

- Appendix 2. Suggested elements for consideration in a foodborne AMR risk assessment
- Appendix 3. Examples of qualitative foodborne AMR risk assessment

1. INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR – also used for "antimicrobial resistant" in this document) is a major global public health concern and a food safety issue. When pathogens become resistant to antimicrobial agents they can pose a greater human health risk as a result of potential treatment failure, loss of treatment options and increased likelihood and severity of disease. Problems related to AMR are inherently related to antimicrobial use in any environment, including human and non-human uses. The use of antimicrobial agents in food-producing animals/crops provides a potentially important risk factor for selection and dissemination of AMR microorganisms and determinants from animals/food crops to humans via the consumption of food.

In accordance with Codex principles, risk analysis is an essential tool in assessing the risk to human health from foodborne AMR microorganisms and determining appropriate risk management strategies to control those risks. Over the past decade, there have been significant developments with respect to the use of risk analysis approaches in addressing AMR. A series of FAO/OIE/WHO expert consultations on AMR have led to agreement that foodborne AMR microorganisms are potential microbiological food safety hazards. Consequently, the need for the development of a structured and coordinated approach for AMR risk analysis has been emphasized.^{1,2,3,4} WHO/FAO and OIE guidelines on risk analysis provide broad, structured approaches to address the potential public health impact of AMR microorganisms of animal/crop origin via food.^{5,6} However, a consolidated framework specific to foodborne AMR risk analysis was considered necessary, due to the biological complexity of AMR, the multidisciplinary aspects of AMR within the entire food production to consumption continuum and the need to identify appropriate risk management strategies.

More specifically, these guidelines provide a structured risk analysis framework to address the risks to human health associated with the presence in food and animal feed, including aquaculture, and the transmission through food and animal feed, of AMR microorganisms or determinants linked to non-human use of antimicrobial agents.

The initial part of the risk analysis framework consists of a group of tasks collectively referred to as "preliminary risk management activities", which are carried out by the risk managers. This allows the risk manager to decide what action to take. This may involve the establishment of a risk assessment policy and the commissioning of a risk assessment or another appropriate action. If it is decided to commission a risk assessment, the preliminary risk management activities will provide some of the basic information required by risk assessors undertaking this task. The risk analysis framework includes the identification, evaluation, selection and implementation of appropriate risk management actions to, if necessary, minimize and contain the identified risk to human health. Risk managers are responsible for verifying that the risk management measures implemented are achieving the intended results, that unintended consequences associated with the measures are limited and that the risk management goals can be achieved. Good communication among risk assessors, managers and interested parties is essential for a transparent and informed risk analysis.

These guidelines present components of foodborne AMR risk analysis in a chronological order of the risk analysis process. For better readability, the "Foodborne AMR risk communication" and "Surveillance of use of antimicrobial agents and AMR microorganisms and determinants" sections are placed at the end of the document, recognizing that the activities identified within these sections are applicable throughout the process.

This document should be read in conjunction with the Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Food Safety for Application by Governments (CXG 62-2007), the Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Assessment (CXG 30-1999), the Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Management (CXG 63-2007), the Code of Practice to Minimize and Contain Foodborne Antimicrobial Resistance (CXC 61-2005), the Guidelines on Integrated Monitoring and Surveillance of Foodborne Antimicrobial Resistance (CXC 61-2005), the Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CXC 58-2005), the Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products (CXC 57-2004) and the Code of Hygienic Practice for Eggs and Eggs Products (CXC 15-1976). Risk analysis of AMR on animal feeds may also consider the Code of Practice on Good Animal Feeding (CXC 54-2004), as well as Animal Feed Impact on Food Safety⁷ and the chapters related to the control of AMR in the OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code.⁸

¹ FAO/OIE/WHO. 2003. First Joint FAO/OIE/WHO Expert Workshop on Non-human Antimicrobial Usage and Antimicrobial Resistance: Scientific assessment, Geneva, Switzerland, 1–5 December 2003.

² FAO/OIE/WHO. 2004. Second Joint FAO/OIE/WHO Expert Workshop on Non-Human Antimicrobial Usage and Antimicrobial Resistance: Management options, Oslo, Norway, 15–18 March 2004.

³ FAO/OIE/WHO. 2006. Joint FAO/OIE/WHO Expert Meeting on Antimicrobial Use in Aquaculture and Antimicrobial Resistance, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 13–16 June 2006

⁴ FAO/OIE/WHO. 2008. Joint FAO/WHO/OIE Expert Meeting on Critically Important Antimicrobials Report of the FAO/WHO/OIE Expert meeting, FAO, Rome, Italy, 26–30 November 2007, Appendix C Glossary

 ⁵ FAO/WHO. 2006. Food safety risk analysis: A guide for national safety authorities. (FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 87).
 ⁶ OIE. Terrestrial Animal Health Code (Section Veterinary Public Health).

⁷ FAO/WHO. 2008. Animal Feed Impact on Food Safety. Report of the FAO/WHO Expert Meeting FAO Headquarters, Rome 8-12 October 2007.

⁸ See note 6 above.

2. SCOPE

The scope of these guidelines is to provide science-based guidance on processes and methodology for risk analysis and its application to foodborne AMR related to non-human use of antimicrobial agents. The guidelines aim to assess the risk to human health associated with the presence in food and animal feed, including aquaculture, and the transmission through food and animal feed, of AMR microorganisms and determinants, to provide advice on appropriate risk management activities to reduce such risk. The guidelines will further address the risk associated with different sectors of antimicrobial agent use such as veterinary applications, plant protection or food processing.

As there are existing Codex or internationally recognized guidelines, the following areas related to antimicrobial agents or AMR are outside the scope of this document: residues of antimicrobial agents in food; AMR marker genes in recombinant-DNA plants and recombinant-DNA microorganisms; ⁹ non-genetically modified microorganisms (for example, starter cultures) intentionally added to food with a technological purpose,¹⁰ and certain food ingredients, which could potentially carry AMR genes, such as probiotics.¹¹

3. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions are included to establish a common understanding of the terms used in this document. The definitions presented in the *Codex Procedural Manual* and the *Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct* of *Microbiological Risk Assessment* (CXG 30-1999) are applicable to this document.

Adverse health effect – An undesirable or unwanted outcome in humans. In this document, this refers to the human infections caused by AMR microorganisms and determinants in food or acquired from food of animal/crop origin as well as increased frequency of infections and treatment failures, loss of treatment options, and increased severity of infections manifested by prolonged duration of disease, increased hospitalization and mortality.¹²

Antimicrobial agent – Any substance of natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic origin that at *in vivo* concentrations kills or inhibits the growth of microorganisms by interacting with a specific target.¹³

Antimicrobial class – Antimicrobial agents with related molecular structures, often with a similar mode of action because of interaction with a similar target and thus subject to similar mechanism of resistance. Variations in the properties of antimicrobial agents within a class often arise as a result of the presence of different molecular substitutions, which confer various intrinsic activities or various patterns of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties.

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) – The ability of a microorganism to multiply or persist in the presence of an increased level of an antimicrobial agent relative to the susceptible counterpart of the same species.

Antimicrobial resistance determinant – The genetic element(s) encoding for the ability of microorganisms to withstand the effects of an antimicrobial agent. They are located either chromosomally or extrachromosomally and may be associated with mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, integrons or transposons, thereby enabling horizontal transmission from resistant to susceptible strains.

Commensal – Microorganisms participating in a symbiotic relationship in which one species derives some benefit while the other is unaffected. Generally, commensal microorganisms are considered to be non-pathogenic in their normal habitat but may, in certain circumstances, become opportunistic pathogens.

Co-resistance – The ability of a microorganism to multiply or persist in the presence of different classes of antimicrobial agents due to possession of various resistance mechanisms.

Cross-resistance – The ability of a microorganism to multiply or persist in the presence of other members of a particular class of antimicrobial agents or across different classes due to a shared mechanism of resistance.

Extra- or off-label use – The use of an antimicrobial agent that is not in accordance with the approved product labelling.

⁹ The food safety assessment on the use of antimicrobial resistance marker genes in recombinant-DNA plants is addressed in the *Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants* (CXG 45-2003).

¹⁰ The food safety assessment on the use of antimicrobial resistance marker genes in recombinant-DNA microorganisms is addressed in the *Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Produced Using Recombinant-DNA Microorganisms* (CXG 46-2003).

¹¹ The food safety assessment on the use of probiotics in foods is addressed in a Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Working Group on Drafting Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Foods (FAO/WHO, 2002).

¹² See note 1 on page 3.

¹³ See note 4 on page 3.

Foodborne pathogen – A pathogen present in food, which may cause human disease(s) or illness through consumption of food contaminated with the pathogen and/or the biological products produced by the pathogen.

Food-producing animals – Animals raised for the purpose of providing food to humans.

Interpretive criteria – These are specific values such as minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) or inhibition zone diameters based on which bacteria can be assigned to categories of either 'susceptible', 'intermediate' or 'resistant'.

Pathogen – A microorganism that can cause infection, illness or disease.

Risk management option (RMO) – A specific action that could be implemented to mitigate risk at various control points throughout the food production to consumption continuum.

4. GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR FOODBORNE AMR RISK ANALYSIS

The Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Food Safety for Application by Governments (CXG 62-2007) shall apply to all aspects of foodborne AMR risk analysis. General principles specific to foodborne AMR risk analysis are as follows.

Principle 1: Foodborne AMR risk analysis should consider the impact of foodborne AMR on human health as a result of non-human use of antimicrobial agents.

Principle 2: Foodborne AMR risk analysis should consider the selection and dissemination of foodborne AMR through the food production to consumption continuum.

Principle 3: Foodborne AMR risk analysis should consider relevant international documents (for example, recommendations of the "Joint FAO/WHO/OIE Expert Meeting on Critically Important Antimicrobials") for setting priorities for risk assessment and / or risk management activities.

Principle 4: Foodborne AMR risk analysis should consider national and regional differences in the use of antimicrobial agents, human exposure to and prevalence of foodborne AMR microorganisms and determinants, as well as available RMOs.

Principle 5: Foodborne AMR risk analysis should build on *Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Assessment* (CXG 30-1999) and *Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Management* (CXG 63-2007) and, in addition, needs to consider factors relating to the antimicrobial susceptibility of the microorganism(s) in question and related consequences to treatment of human disease resulting from exposure to AMR microorganisms.

Principle 6: Foodborne AMR risk analysis should focus on clearly defined combinations of the food commodity, the AMR microorganism and determinants and the antimicrobial agent(s) to which resistance is expressed. Co-resistance and cross-resistance should be considered in certain situations.

Principle 7: Monitoring and surveillance of the use of antimicrobial agents and prevalence of AMR microorganisms and determinants are critical to evaluating and determining the effectiveness of implemented risk management measures and informing all levels of risk analysis.

Principle 8: Evaluation of pre-harvest foodborne AMR RMOs should include, whenever appropriate, animal health aspects relevant to food safety. Foodborne AMR risk analysis when considering such animal health aspects should take into account relevant OIE standards.

CXG 77-2011

5. FRAMEWORK FOR FOODBORNE AMR RISK ANALYSIS

Figure 1 provides an overview of the framework for foodborne AMR risk analysis as presented in this document. The diagram is intended to aid risk managers by identifying decision points and placing the components of risk analysis in relation to one another, such as: i) sequencing of steps that are included in preliminary risk management activities; ii) steps for conducting risk assessment; iii) the process for identification, evaluation, selection, implementation and monitoring and review of RMOs; and iv) elements and activities used throughout the process, including risk communication and surveillance of the use of antimicrobial agents and AMR. Surveillance, while not a conventional component of risk analysis, is considered integral to each step of the foodborne AMR risk analysis.



Figure 1. Framework for Foodborne AMR Risk Analysis

Note: The boxes in grey highlight the key decision points in the framework of foodborne AMR-risk analysis.

6. PRELIMINARY FOODBORNE AMR RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

A potential food safety issue may arise when AMR microorganisms or determinants are present in, and/or transmitted to, humans from food. Foodborne exposure to these AMR microorganisms or determinants may adversely impact human health. The risk manager initiates the risk management process with the preliminary risk management activities to determine the scope and magnitude of the food safety issue and, where necessary, to commence activities to manage the identified risk.

6.1 Identification of an AMR food safety issue

This is the initial step in which risk managers identify and briefly describe the AMR food safety issue, i.e. the defined combination of the hazard(s) (AMR microorganisms and/or determinant(s)), the antimicrobial agent(s) to which resistance is expressed and the food commodity in which the hazard is identified. AMR food safety issues may be identified on the basis of information arising from a variety of sources, as described in Section 7.1.

6.2 Development of a foodborne AMR risk profile

The foodborne AMR risk profile is a description of a food safety problem and its context. This risk profile presents, in a concise form, the current state of knowledge related to the food safety issue, describes current control measures and RMOs that have been identified to date and the food safety policy context that will influence further possible actions. It is important to note that the risk profile is a scoping exercise to describe and define the pertinent factors that may influence the risk posed by the hazard. It is not intended to be an abbreviated version of a risk assessment. The risk profile is usually developed by personnel with specific scientific expertise on the food safety issue of concern and understanding of AMR risk assessment techniques. Interested parties who are familiar with the relevant food production chain and related production techniques should be consulted.

The depth and breadth of the foodborne AMR risk profile may vary depending on the needs of the risk managers and the complexity and urgency of the food safety issue. A list of elements for consideration in a foodborne AMR risk profile is described in Appendix 1 of this document. Additional risk profile elements can be found in the *Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Management* (CXG 63-2007). In addition, it is important to consider critically important antimicrobial agent lists developed by international organizations and national/regional authorities (see Joint FAO/WHO/OIE Expert Meeting on Critically Important Antimicrobials, Rome 2008).¹⁴

Consideration of the information given in the risk profile may result in options leading to a range of initial decisions, such as determining that no further action is needed, commissioning a foodborne AMR risk assessment, establishing additional information gathering pathways or implementing immediate risk mitigation management.

When there is evidence that a risk to human health exists but scientific data are insufficient or incomplete, it may be appropriate for risk managers to make a provisional decision, while obtaining additional information that may inform and, if necessary, modify the provisional decision. In those instances, the provisional nature of the decision and the time frame or circumstances under which the provisional decision will be reconsidered (e.g. after the completion of a risk assessment) should be communicated to all interested parties when the decision is initially made.

6.3 Ranking of the food safety issues and setting priorities for risk assessment and management

Given the potentially high resource costs associated with conducting risk assessments and/or implementing risk management decisions, the AMR risk profile provides the principal resource that should be used by risk managers in risk ranking or prioritization of this AMR food safety issue among numerous other food safety issues.

Beyond the description of the AMR food safety issue provided by the risk profile, other criteria may be used for ranking or prioritization. These are generally determined by the risk managers in conjunction with interested parties and in consultation with risk assessors on scientific aspects of the issues.

6.4 Establishment of preliminary risk management goals

Following development of the risk profile and the ranking of the AMR food safety issues for risk assessment/risk management priority, risk managers should decide on the preliminary risk management goals that determine the next steps to be taken, if any, to address the identified AMR food safety issue.

6.5 Establishment of a risk assessment policy

Following a decision as to the need for a risk assessment, a risk assessment policy should be established by risk managers in advance of commissioning the risk assessment. The risk assessment policy should be developed in consultation with risk assessors and all other interested parties. This procedure aims at ensuring that the risk assessment is systematic, complete, unbiased and transparent. The mandate given by risk managers to risk assessors should be as clear as possible and provide guidance as to the scope of the risk assessment, the need to address uncertainty and what assumptions to use when the available data are inconsistent or incomplete. Where necessary, risk managers should ask risk assessors to evaluate the potential changes in risk resulting from different RMOs.

¹⁴ WHO List of Critically Important Antimicrobials (CIA) at: <u>www.who.int/foodborne_disease/resistance/cia/en;</u> OIE List of Antimicrobials of Veterinary Importance at: <u>https://www.oie.int/app/uploads/2021/03/a-oie-list-antimicrobials-may2018.pdf</u>.

6.6 Commission a foodborne AMR risk assessment

Risk managers may commission a risk assessment to provide a transparent, systematic evaluation of relevant scientific knowledge to help make an informed decision regarding appropriate risk management activities.

Information that may be documented in the commissioning of the risk assessment includes:

- a description of the specific AMR food safety issue (as defined in the AMR risk profile);
- the scope and purpose of the risk assessment;
- the specific questions to be answered by the risk assessment;
- the preferred type (e.g. quantitative or qualitative) of risk assessment to be conducted;
- the expertise and resources required to carry out the risk assessment; and
- timelines for milestones and completion of the risk assessment and its review.

7. FOODBORNE AMR RISK ASSESSMENT

The foodborne AMR risk assessment guidelines described in this section provide a transparent science-based approach to identify and assess a chain of events that affect the frequency and amount of AMR microorganisms to which humans are exposed through the consumption of food and to describe the magnitude and severity of the adverse health effects from that exposure. An AMR risk assessment addressing the specific risk to the defined population will examine the load and likelihood of contamination of all foods (domestic and imported) by AMR microorganisms and/or determinants and, to the extent possible, the factors that are relevant and could influence their prevalence in food.

7.1 Sources of information

Given the fact that multiple data sources are likely to be required for a foodborne AMR risk assessment and that these data can be limited, their strengths, limitations, discrepancies and gaps should be clearly described.

Possible sources of information:

- surveillance programmes (see Section 9);
- epidemiological investigations of outbreaks and sporadic cases associated with AMR microorganisms;
- clinical studies including case reports on the relevant foodborne infectious disease incidence, primary and secondary transmission, antimicrobial therapy and impacts of resistance on disease frequency and severity;
- national/regional treatment guidelines for foodborne microorganisms, including information on the medical importance of, and potential impacts of, increased resistance in target or other microorganisms to alternative treatments;
- studies on interaction between microorganisms and their environment through the food production to consumption continuum (e.g. litter, water, faeces and sewage);
- investigations of the characteristics of AMR microorganisms and determinants (and in vivo);
- research on properties of antimicrobial agents, including their resistance to selection potential (*in vitro* and *in vivo*), and transfer of genetic elements and the dissemination of AMR microorganisms in the environment;
- studies on the link between resistance, virulence and/or fitness (e.g. survivability or adaptability) of the microorganism;
- studies on the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics associated with selection of AMR in any given setting;
- laboratory and / or field animal/crop trials addressing the link between antimicrobial agent usage and resistance (particularly regional data);
- science-based expert opinion; and
- existing microbiological and AMR risk assessments.

7.2 Process of foodborne AMR risk assessment

At the outset, the risk assessor should consider the risk profile, information documented during commissioning the risk assessment and the risk assessment policy. In addition, risk assessors may require a preliminary investigation phase to define and map the work to be undertaken within the framework of the AMR risk assessment.

Foodborne AMR risk assessment is composed of hazard identification, exposure assessment, hazard characterization and risk characterization. Details of suggested elements for consideration of each component can be found in Appendix 2. Exposure assessment and hazard characterization can be conducted in parallel (Figure 1).

The general principles of a foodborne AMR risk analysis apply equally to both qualitative and quantitative risk assessment. While the design differences may yield different forms of output, both approaches are complementary. The selection of a qualitative or quantitative approach should be made based on the purpose or the type of questions to be answered and data availability for a specific AMR risk assessment. In accordance with the *Working Principles for Risk Analysis for Food Safety for Application by Governments* (CXG 62-2007), quantitative data should be used to the greatest extent possible without discounting the utility of available qualitative information.

7.3 Hazard identification

The purpose of hazard identification is to describe the foodborne AMR hazard of concern (Appendix 2). Risk assessors should review literature and information from surveillance programmes to identify specific strains or genotypes of foodborne microorganisms that may pose risks by a particular combination of food commodity, AMR microorganism and/or determinants and antimicrobial agents to which resistance is expressed. Additionally, the biology of AMR microorganisms and/or determinants within different environments/niches (e.g. interactions in animal feeds or aquaculture environment as well as in food matrices) and information on the susceptible strains of the same organisms or related AMR microorganisms and/or determinants will be useful. When necessary, science-based opinions on hazard identification can be sought from relevant experts.

7.4 Exposure assessment

Use of antimicrobial agents occurs in different agricultural sectors and at different stages of production, including animal feed, in food-producing animals, crop production and/or during food processing. Following antimicrobial use, selection of AMR microorganisms and determinants may occur, which then could be disseminated between these sectors, such as between animal feed and food-producing animals, or food-producing animals' waste being spread on crops, etc. Other risk/preventive factors may affect either selection or dissemination of resistance.

The fundamental activities in exposure assessment should include: (a) clear depiction or drawing of the exposure pathway; (b) detailing the necessary data requirements based on the pathway; and (c) summarizing the data. Considerations related to exposure assessment are illustrated in Figure 2a.¹⁵

¹⁵ The exposure assessment covers the release and exposure assessments of the OIE risk assessment scheme (OIE. *Terrestrial Animal Health Code* (Risk assessment for AMR arising from the use of antimicrobials in animals)).

Figure 2a. Considerations for exposure assessment in a foodborne AMR risk assessment – the exposure pathway



AMRM = antimicrobial resistant microorganism; AMRD = antimicrobial resistance determinant

Section 2.1 of Appendix 2 includes suggested pre-harvest factors for estimating the likelihood of selection and dissemination of resistance within animal or crop populations. A possible output from the pre-harvest component of exposure assessment is an estimate or probability of the influence of the use of antimicrobial agents on the prevalence of AMR microorganisms and/or determinants in the target animals or crops. Section 2.2 of Appendix 2 considers possible post-harvest factors related to the human exposure to food containing AMR microorganisms and/or determinants. A possible output from the post-harvest component of exposure assessment is an estimate of the likelihood and level of contamination of the food product with resistant microorganisms at the time of consumption.

When the hazard of interest is AMR determinants alone, including in commensal microorganisms, then an exposure assessment should consider whether these AMR determinants can transfer to human pathogens that subsequently become resistant. Assessment of the exposure through animal feed should also consider resistance selection in microorganisms present in animal feed due to exposure to in-feed antimicrobial agents and their transmission to food-producing animals, including aquaculture species (refer to the *Code of Practice on Good Animal Feeding* – CXC 54-2004). Particular environmental reservoirs of AMR determinants may need to be considered in the foodborne AMR risk assessment.

7.5 Hazard characterization

The hazard characterization step considers the characteristics of the hazard, food matrix and host in order to determine the probability of disease in humans upon exposure to the hazard. A foodborne AMR hazard characterization also includes the characteristics of the acquired resistance so as to estimate the additional consequences that can occur when humans are exposed to resistant pathogens, such as increased frequency and severity of disease. Possible factors that can have an impact on the hazard characterization are included in Section 3 of Appendix 2.

The output from the hazard characterization, including the dose–response relationship where available, assists in translating levels of exposure to a likelihood of an array of adverse health effects or outcomes. The approach for conducting hazard characterization will be guided by the risk question(s) and the risk manager's needs. Figure 2b includes examples of different options (e.g. qualitative descriptions, semi-quantitative and quantitative models) that could be used to link exposure to AMR microorganisms to infection and subsequent disease and depicts the further adverse health effects caused by an AMR pathogen.

Determining the number of cases with a particular foodborne disease based on exposure is similar to non-AMR microbiological risk assessment, except that potential increased virulence of resistant microorganisms and selection effects in patients treated with the antimicrobial agents of concern should be incorporated into the assessment. The risk outcome in an AMR risk assessment, like microbiological risk assessments will focus on diseases except, in this case, the focus is specifically on disease attributed to resistant microorganisms. The risk outcome considers the subsequent risk of treatment failure or other complications as a result of infection from microorganisms that have acquired resistance. It should also be noted that hazard characterization for AMR microorganisms. Thus, compared to a non-AMR hazard characterization, these outcomes can be a series of additional consequences that occur following the initiating infection event. The hazard characterization step estimates the probability of infection and then, conditional to this event, the probability of disease. The other consequences that occur because infection is from a resistant microorganism are additional conditional probabilities, as disease is conditional on infection.

Figure 2b. Considerations for hazard characterization in a foodborne AMR risk assessment



AMRM = antimicrobial resistant microorganism

7.6 Risk characterization

Risk characterization considers the key findings from the hazard identification, exposure assessment and hazard characterization to estimate the risk. The form that the risk characterization takes and the outputs it produces will vary from assessment to assessment as a function of the risk management request. This section provides guidance on the general types of outputs that may be informative in the risk characterization but specific outputs may need to be established at the onset of the assessment process based on the risk question(s) and the risk manager's needs. Suggested elements for risk characterization are included in Section 4 of Appendix 2.

Additional outputs of risk characterization, which would have been defined in the purpose of an AMR risk assessment, may include scientific evaluation of RMOs within the context of the risk assessment.¹⁶

The adverse human health effects of concern in a foodborne AMR risk assessment include the severity and likelihood of the human infections associated with the resistant microorganisms. The risk estimate may be expressed by multiple risk measures, for example in terms of individual risk, population (including relevant subgroups) risk, per-meal risk or annual risk based on consumption. Health effects may be translated into burden of disease measurements. The selection of the final risk measures should generally have been defined

¹⁶ FAO/WHO. 2006. The use of microbial risk assessment outputs to develop practical risk management strategies: metrics to improve food safety. Report, Kiel, Germany, 3–7 April, 2006, 9,11, 27.

within the purpose of the foodborne AMR risk assessment, during the commissioning of the AMR risk assessment, in order to determine the appropriate exposure assessment and hazard characterization outcomes for risk characterization.

Other elements to consider in association with risk characterization, depending upon the purpose of the risk assessment and the details necessary to adequately characterize the risk, are:

- Sensitive sub-populations (i.e. human populations with special vulnerability) and whether the potential risks/exposures/health impacts are adequately characterized.
- Key scientific assumptions used (stated in clear and readily understandable language) and their impact on the assessment's validity.
- An explicit description of the variability and uncertainty. The degree of confidence in the final estimation of risk will depend on the variability, uncertainty and assumptions identified in all previous steps.¹⁷ Risk assessors must be sure that risk managers understand the impacts of these aspects on the risk characterization.
- Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis. Quantitative uncertainty analysis is preferred, however, it may be
 arrived at through professional and/or expert advice. In the context of quality assurance, uncertainty
 analysis is a useful tool for characterizing the precision of model predictions. In combination with
 sensitivity analysis, uncertainty analysis also can be used to evaluate the importance of model input
 uncertainties in terms of their relative contributions to uncertainty in the model outputs.
- Strengths and weaknesses/limitations of the risk assessment what parts are more or less robust. Particularly for a complex issue such as the risk posed by AMR microorganisms, discussion of the robustness of data used, i.e. weight of evidence, will enhance the credibility of the assessment. Weaknesses linked to the limited number of microbial species considered or for which resistance data are available should be made clear.
- Alternatives to be considered, i.e. to what extent are there plausible alternatives or other opinions? Does the AMR risk assessment adequately address the questions formulated at the outset of the work? What confidence do the assessors have about whether the conclusions can be relied upon for making decisions?
- Key conclusions as well as important data gaps and research needs.

Appendix 3 provides examples of the outputs from a qualitative foodborne AMR risk assessment. It is not intended to imply that a qualitative AMR risk assessment is the preferred approach but merely to illustrate ways in which qualitative findings can be presented. Quantitative risk assessments can be divided into two types, deterministic or probabilistic, which will have different forms of output.¹⁸

The AMR risk assessment may also identify areas of research needed to fill key gaps in scientific knowledge on a particular risk or risks associated with a given combination of the food commodity(ies), the AMR microorganism(s) and/or determinant(s) and antimicrobial agent(s) to which resistance is expressed. The conclusions of the risk assessment including a risk estimate, if available, should be presented in a readily understandable and useful form to risk managers and made available to other risk assessors and interested parties so that they can review the assessment.

8. FOODBORNE AMR RISK MANAGEMENT

The purpose of this section of the guidelines is to provide advice to risk managers on approaches to manage the risk of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants linked to the non-human use of antimicrobial agents.

Risk managers should consider both non-regulatory measures and regulatory controls. Risk management decisions should be proportionate to the level of risk, whether an intervention is a single RMO or a combination of RMOs.

Once a decision has been made to take action, RMOs should be identified, evaluated, selected, implemented, monitored and reviewed, with adjustments made when necessary.

It is implicit in the recommended approach to AMR risk management that good agricultural practices, good veterinary practices (GVP) and good hygienic practices (GHP) should be in place along the food production to consumption continuum and that relevant Codex codes of practices are implemented as fully as possible:

¹⁷ FAO/WHO. 1999. *Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Assessment* (CXG 30-1999).

¹⁸ FAO/WHO Kiel Report, 10.

- Code of Practice to Minimize and Contain Foodborne Antimicrobial Resistance (CXC 61-2005);
- Guidelines for the Design and Implementation of National Regulatory Food Safety Assurance Programmes Associated with the Use of Veterinary Drugs in Food Producing Animals (CXG 71-2009);
- Principles and Guidelines for the Conduct of Microbiological Risk Management (CXG 63-2007);
- Code of Practice on Good Animal Feeding (CXC 54-2004);
- Practice General Principles of Food Hygiene (CXC 1-1969);
- Code of Hygienic Practice for Meat (CXC 58-2005);
- Code of Hygienic Practice for Milk and Milk Products (CXC 57-2004);
- Code of Hygienic Practice for Eggs and Eggs Products (CXC 15-1976);
- Code of Hygienic Practice for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (CXC 53-2003); and
- Principles and Guidelines for the Establishment and Application of Microbiological Criteria Related to Foods (CXG 21-1997).

Additionally, relevant sections of the OIE *Terrestrial Animal Health Code*,¹⁹ the FAO *Responsible Use of Antibiotics in Aquaculture*²⁰ and the WHO *Global Principles for the Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance in Animals Intended for Food*²¹ should be consulted.

8.1 Consideration of the foodborne AMR risk assessment results

The risk manager should consider the strengths and weaknesses of foodborne AMR risk assessment results. The responsibility for resolving the impact of uncertainties and assumptions described in the risk assessment lies with the risk manager and not with the risk assessors.

8.2 Identification of foodborne AMR RMOs

When identifying RMOs to control an AMR food safety issue, risk managers should consider a range of points along the food production to consumption continuum, both in the pre-harvest and post-harvest stages, where control measures may be implemented and the interested parties, who have responsibility to implement such measures. In general, it is valuable to identify initially as broad a range of possible options as practicable and then select the most promising and applicable interventions for more detailed evaluation.

To identify RMOs to address an AMR food safety issue, risk managers should ensure the previously listed Codex Codes of Practice, OIE and WHO documents are considered (Section 8 above), as they may contain sources of RMOs that can be adapted to a particular AMR food safety issue. In certain instances, the RMOs therein may pertain only to specific commodities or circumstances in the food production to consumption continuum. Their applicability to foodborne AMR risks should be considered by risk managers as they may identify points at which foodborne microbiological hazards can be controlled, including those that potentially contribute to the selection and dissemination of AMR microorganisms and determinants.

Risk assessors, scientists, food policy analysts and other interested parties play important roles in identifying RMOs based on their expertise and knowledge. Specific RMOs may also be identified or developed during the process of constructing a risk profile and/or risk assessment.

The potential to combine one or more RMOs or integrate them into a comprehensive food safety approach, based on a generic system such as Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP),²² should be considered.

Table 1 provides examples of RMOs for the control of foodborne AMR risks, inclusive but not exhaustive of existing Codex codes of practice, and RMOs specific to foodborne AMR. The table is divided into pre-harvest RMOs, which include measures to reduce the risk related to the selection and dissemination of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants and post-harvest RMOs, which include measures to minimize the contamination of food by AMR microorganisms and/or determinants.

¹⁹ See note 6 on page 3.

²⁰ FAO. 2005. *Responsible Use of Antibiotics in Aquaculture*.

 ²¹ WHO. 2000. WHO *Global Principles for the Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance in Animals Intended for Food.* ²² Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) – A system which identifies, evaluates, and controls hazards which are significant for food safety.

	PRE-HARVEST OPTIONS
Animal feed production	Implement programmes to minimize the presence in feed and feed ingredients of AMR microorganisms and/or determinants and the transmission of these through feed.
	Prohibit or restrict the addition of feed ingredients containing AMR microorganisms and/or determinants identified as contributing to a specific food safety problem.
Food animal production	Examples of regulatory controls on conditions of use of veterinary antimicrobial agents and additives:
	marketing status limitation
	restrict extra-/off-label use
	extent of use limitation
	major label restriction
	 withdrawal of the marketing authorization
	Examples of non-regulatory controls on condition of use of veterinary antimicrobial agents and additives:
	Develop and implement national or regional treatment guidelines ²³ targeting a specific AMR food safety issue.
	Develop and regularly update antimicrobial responsible use guidelines ²⁴ written by professional bodies or internationally recognized entities, such as OIE.
	Promote use of and improve availability, speed, and accuracy of diagnostic microbiological tests.
	Disseminate and use international standards for:
	 bacterial culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing²⁵ interpretive criteria
	Implement biosecurity and animal health and infection control programmes to minimize the presence and transmission of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants between animals, to/from animals to humans and between flocks/herds.
Food crop production	Examples of regulatory controls on conditions of use of antimicrobial agents on crops:
	 pre-market assessment and approval
	marketing status limitation
	 restrict extra-/off-label use
	extent of use limitation
	 limit use to conditions when crops are known to be at risk of developing disease
	 withdrawal of the marketing authorization
	Evaluate the safety of viable microorganisms used in food and feed crop production for their potential to introduce and spread AMR.

Table 1. Examples of foodborne AMR risk management options

²³ National/Regional Treatment Guidelines (non-regulatory control) - An animal or crop species-specific guideline developed to address a specific disease or infection and could be implemented as a voluntary step prior to regulatory controls such as withdrawing an antimicrobial drug or making significant label restrictions.

²⁴ Responsible Use Guidelines – Judicious use, responsible use, and prudent use guidelines are all documents that contain broad principles with respect to the administration of antimicrobials; some may be species-specific. For the purposes of this document, these guidelines will be referred to as responsible use guidelines. Guidance on Responsible Use can be found in the Code of Practice to Minimize and Contain Foodborne Antimicrobial Resistance (CXC 61-2005) and OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code (Section Veterinary Public Health). ²⁵ OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (Laboratory Methodologies for Bacterial

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing).

	Examples of non-regulatory controls of use:
	Implement the use of alternative strategies for specific diseases:
	 Substitution of use of antimicrobial agent with non-antimicrobial treatments (chemical and non-chemical) and, if not feasible, use antimicrobial agents in combination with alternative treatments.²⁶
	 Treating only specific developmental stages where the treatment is likely to be most effective, rather than treating at all developmental stages.
	Develop and implement national or regional treatment guidelines targeting a specific AMR food safety issue.
	Promote the use of and improve availability, speed and accuracy of diagnostic microbiological tests.
	Develop, disseminate and use international standards for:
	 bacterial culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing
	interpretive criteria
	Implement biosecurity and infection control programmes to prevent the presence and transmission of foodborne AMR microorganisms and determinants between crops and from crops to humans.
Waste management	Implement control measures to limit the spread of AMR microorganisms and/or determinants through other sources of contamination, by assuring the appropriate use of human and animal waste (biosolids, wastewater, manure, other waste-based fertilizers) in fields for food and animal feed production.
	Design treatment procedures to control AMR microorganisms and/or antimicrobial agents that could lead to their emergence in biosolids, wastewater, manure and other waste-based fertilizers identified as contributing to a specific food safety problem.
	POST-HARVEST OPTIONS
	Prevent food containing AMR microorganisms from reaching the consumer when identified as constituting a risk to public health that requires urgent action. If already placed in the market, it may be appropriate to withdraw such food on the market for reprocessing or destruction.
	Develop and check compliance with microbiological criteria, which define the acceptability of a product or a food lot in accordance with <i>Principles and Guidelines for the Establishment and Application of Microbiological Criteria Related to Foods</i> (CXG 21-1997) and regulate action to be taken in cases of non-compliance at the level of:
	• sorting
	reprocessing
	• rejection
	further investigation
	1

8.3 Evaluation of foodborne AMR RMOs

After a range of RMOs have been identified, the next step is to evaluate one or more options with respect to their ability to reduce risk and thereby achieve an $ALOP^{27}$ or a public health goal. For AMR, an example of an ALOP might be a specific target for the incidence of cases of resistant foodborne infectious diseases. A variety of approaches to setting ALOPs or public health goals are described in FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 87 *"Food Safety risk analysis – A guide for national food safety authorities"*.²⁸ The process by which options are

²⁶ While the use of alternative treatments and those targeting specific developmental stages could be considered a non-regulatory option, the treatment products (chemical or non-chemical) are likely to require approval from regulatory authorities.

²⁷ Appropriate Level of Protection (ALOP) – The level of protection deemed as appropriate by the member establishing sanitary and phytosanitary measures to protect human, animal, or plant life or health within its territory (World Trade Organization, Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (WTO SPS)).

²⁸ See note 5 on page 3.

evaluated may vary depending on the specific RMOs and their impact on different control points in the food production to consumption continuum. The option of not taking any action should also be evaluated.

Ideally, the following information should be available for evaluating individual or combinations of possible RMOs. Risk managers may ask risk assessors to develop this information as part of the risk assessment:

- estimates of risk that would result from application of different risk management measures (either singly or in combination), expressed either qualitatively or quantitatively;
- technical information on the feasibility and practicality of implementing different options; and
- tools and resources to verify the correct implementation of the RMOs.

Any positive or negative impacts of RMOs on public health should be considered when evaluating RMOs. Risk managers should also consider whether alternatives exist, such as alternative antimicrobial agents, non-antimicrobial treatments or changes in livestock husbandry or food production practices. RMOs describing alternatives to using an antimicrobial agent should always be considered.

Consideration should be given to how cross-resistance or co-resistance will affect the outcomes of different RMOs. For example, the use of an alternative antimicrobial agent may select co-resistance to an antimicrobial agent critically important to human health.

Food safety approaches/systems, such as HACCP, include the concept of risk-based targets for control of hazards at particular steps in the food production chain. An ability to develop specific quantitative food safety metrics, such as food safety objective (FSO), performance objective (PO) and performance criterion (PC), will assist in evaluating RMOs.

RMOs for AMR should be evaluated according to their impact on the specific combination of the food commodity, the AMR microorganism and/or determinants and the antimicrobial agents to which resistance is expressed at a given control point in the entire food production to consumption continuum. Depending on the nature of the specific hazard, the RMO may be more or less effective at meeting a designated PO or FSO. The relative contribution of RMOs towards achieving a given FSO will provide criteria for risk managers to use when selecting RMOs.

8.4 Selection of foodborne AMR RMOs

Information obtained from the evaluation of RMOs (relative to the specific combination of the food commodity, the AMR microorganisms and/or determinants and the antimicrobial agent(s) to which resistance is expressed) can be used to determine the most efficient approach to achieving the desired goal or ALOP.

An important means of reducing human exposure to AMR microorganisms through the entire food production to consumption continuum is to ensure, as far as possible, that good hygienic practice and HACCP are being followed (*General Principles of Food Hygiene* – CXC 1-1969). Over and above what can be put in place as good hygienic practice, specific RMOs can address AMR issues.

8.5 Implementation of foodborne AMR risk management decision(s)

Risk managers should develop an implementation plan that describes how the decisions will be implemented, by whom and when. National/regional authorities should ensure an appropriate regulatory framework and infrastructure.

To effectively execute food safety control measures, parties involved in the food production chain generally implement complete food control systems using comprehensive approaches such as good agricultural practices, GVP, good manufacturing practices (GMP), GHP and HACCP systems. These approaches should be expanded to incorporate risk management measures specific to foodborne AMR.

8.6 Monitoring and review of foodborne AMR risk management measures

Risk managers should establish a process to monitor and review whether the risk management measures have been properly implemented and whether or not an outcome has been successful. This should also include the monitoring and review of provisional decisions. Effectiveness of the risk management measures should be evaluated against specific food safety metrics, the ALOP and/or public health goals. Possible end points include:

- prevalence of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants at farm level;
- prevalence of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants in food products at slaughter/harvest;
- prevalence of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants in food products at retail level;
- prevalence of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants in human clinical isolates;

- number of human cases (or incidence rates) associated with adverse health effects such as treatment failure, loss of treatment options and/or severity of infections (e.g. prolonged duration of disease, increased frequency of bloodstream infections, increased hospitalization and mortality) attributable to foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants; and
- trends in non-human use of antimicrobial agents, including critically important antimicrobial agents.

National surveillance programmes, designed to monitor the presence of AMR microorganisms and the use of antimicrobial agents, can help establish a baseline against which the effectiveness of risk management measures can be evaluated.

Monitoring/control points related to implemented risk management decisions should be measured to assess the effectiveness and need for potential adjustment. Additional monitoring/control points may be measured to identify new information on the specific food safety issue. Risk managers are responsible for verifying the effectiveness and appropriateness of the risk management measures and for monitoring potential unintended consequences.

9. SURVEILLANCE OF USE OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS, AMR MICROORGANISMS AND DETERMINANTS

Surveillance programmes on the use of antimicrobial agents and prevalence of foodborne AMR provide information including baseline data that is useful for all parts of the risk analysis process. Data can be used to explore potential relationships between antimicrobial agent use and the prevalence of AMR microorganisms in humans, food-producing animals, crops, food, feed, feed ingredients and biosolids, wastewater, manure and other waste-based fertilizers, as input for risk profiling and risk assessment, to measure the effect of interventions and to identify trends.

Methodology of surveillance programmes should be internationally harmonized to the extent possible. The use of standardized and validated antimicrobial susceptibility testing methods and harmonized interpretive criteria are essential to ensure that data are comparable.

Surveillance of use of antimicrobial agents should, to the extent possible, include all antimicrobial agents used in food-producing animal and crop production. Ideally, such surveillance should provide data per animal species or crop. National/regional authorities may use guidelines such as those described in the OIE *Terrestrial Animal Health Code*, "Monitoring of the quantities of antimicrobial agents used in animal husbandry" and relevant WHO guidance.

Surveillance of AMR in microorganisms originating from food-producing animals, crops and food should ideally be integrated with programmes that monitor resistance in humans. Consideration may also be given to inclusion of animal feed, feed ingredients and biosolids, wastewater, manure and other waste-based fertilizers in such programmes. National/regional authorities may use established guidelines such as those published in the OIE *Terrestrial Animal Health Code* "Harmonisation of national AMR surveillance and monitoring programmes" and relevant WHO guidance to describe key elements of programmes to monitor the prevalence of foodborne AMR microorganisms in animals.

10. FOODBORNE AMR RISK COMMUNICATION

To better define the food safety issue, the risk manager may need to pursue information from sources that have specific knowledge pertaining to the issue. An open process, in which the food safety issue is clearly identified and communicated by the risk managers to risk assessors as well as affected consumers and industry, is essential to promote both an accurate definition and a well-understood and common perception of the issue.

Communication with all interested parties should be promoted at the earliest opportunity and integrated into all phases of a risk analysis (see Figure 1). This will provide all interested parties, including risk managers, with a better understanding of risks and risk management approaches. Risk communication should be also well documented.

Mechanisms may be established for engaging interested parties routinely in food safety decision-making at the national/regional level. For foodborne AMR risk analysis, communication should bring industry (producer, food processor, pharmaceutical, etc.), consumer representatives, government officials and other interested parties (public health experts, medical professionals, etc.) together to discuss problems, priorities and strategies.

10.1 Foodborne AMR risk communication as a risk management tool

Information on antimicrobial agents should be made available by the pharmaceutical or other relevant industries in the form of labelling, data sheets or leaflets to ensure the safe and effective use of antimicrobial agents, in compliance with national regulations.

The food industry is responsible for developing and applying food safety control systems for effective implementation of risk management decisions. Depending on the nature of the decision, this may require risk communication activities, such as effective communication across the entire food supply chain, including consumers as appropriate, and training or instruction of its staff and internal communication.

Guideline documents, training programmes, technical bulletins and other information developed by industry (pharmaceutical, food producer, food processor, etc.) associations may assist to decrease foodborne AMR.

Training involving all relevant professional organizations, regulatory authorities, the pharmaceutical and other relevant industries, veterinary sectors, research institutes, professional associations and other approved users is of importance to ensure consumer safety and, therefore, the protection of public health.

Public education programmes, appropriate labelling and public interest messages are important tools to enable consumers to limit their health risks by following food safety-related instructions. Consumer organizations play a significant role in communicating this information to consumers.

Where risk management measure include consumer information outreach programmes are often required, for example, by enlisting health care providers in disseminating the information. Messages aimed to inform and engage specific audiences need to be presented in appropriate media.

CXG 77-2011

APPENDIX 1. ELEMENTS FOR CONSIDERATION IN A FOODBORNE AMR RISK PROFILE

The objective of a foodborne AMR risk profile is to present prerequisite scientific information on the identified food safety issue to inform risk managers prior to decision-making. A risk profile should be 'fit for purpose' and in some situations will be an elemental exercise. This list is provided for illustration and is not intended to be exhaustive and not all elements may be applicable in all situations. The risk profile should incorporate, to the extent possible, information on the following:

1. Description of the AMR food safety issue

The AMR food safety issue is a defined combination of:

- AMR hazard(s) of concern i.e. the AMR microorganism(s) and/or determinant(s);
- the antimicrobial agent(s) to which resistance is expressed; and
- the food commodity in which the AMR hazard(s) is identified.

2. Information on AMR microorganism(s) and/or determinant(s)

- Characteristics of the identified foodborne microorganism(s):
 - Sources and transmission routes.
 - Pathogenicity of particular strains.
 - Growth and survivability of foodborne AMR microorganism(s) in the food commodity production to consumption continuum.
 - Virulence and linkages to resistance.
 - o Inactivation in foods (e.g. D-value, minimum pH for growth, etc.).
 - Distribution, frequency and concentrations of the AMR hazard(s) in the food chain.
- Characteristics of the resistance expressed by the AMR microorganism(s) and/or determinant(s):
 - o Resistance mechanisms and location of AMR determinants.
 - Cross-resistance and/or co-resistance to other antimicrobial agents.
 - o Transferability of resistance determinants between microorganisms.

3. Information on the antimicrobial agent(s) to which resistance is expressed

- Class of the antimicrobial agent(s)
- Non-human uses of the antimicrobial agent(s):
 - Formulation of the antimicrobial agent(s).
 - o Distribution, cost and availability of the antimicrobial agent.
 - Purpose and use of antimicrobial agent(s) in feed, food animals, crop production and/or during food processing.
 - Methods, routes of administration of the antimicrobial agent(s) (individual/mass medication, local/systemic application) and frequency.
 - Potential extra-label/off-label, use of approved antimicrobial agent(s) and use of non-approved antimicrobial agent(s).
 - Potential role of cross-resistance or co-resistance with use of other antimicrobial agent(s) in food production.
 - Trends in the use of the antimicrobial agent(s) in the agricultural and aquaculture sectors and information on emerging resistance in the food supply.
 - Information on the relationship between the use of the antimicrobial agent(s) and the occurrence of AMR microorganisms or determinants in the food commodity of concern.
- Human uses of the antimicrobial agent(s):
 - Spectrum of activity and indications for treatment.
 - Importance of the antimicrobial agent(s) including consideration of critically important antimicrobial lists.

- Distribution, cost and availability.
- Availability of alternative antimicrobial agent(s).
- Trends in the use of the antimicrobial agent(s) in humans and information on emerging diseases due to microorganism(s) resistant to the antimicrobial agent(s) or classes.

4. Information on food commodity(ies)

- Source(s) (domestic or imported), production volume, distribution and per capita consumption of foods or raw materials identified with the AMR hazard(s) of concern:
 - Characteristics of the food product(s) that may impact risk management (e.g. further processed, consumed cooked, pH, water activity, etc.).
 - Description of the food production to consumption continuum (e.g. primary production, processing, storage, handling, distribution and consumption) and the risk factors that affect the microbiological safety of the food product of concern.

5. Information on adverse public health effects

- Characteristics of the disease caused by the identified foodborne AMR microorganism(s) or by pathogens that have acquired resistance determinants via food:
 - Trends in AMR foodborne disease.
 - Frequency and severity of effects including case-fatality rate, hospitalization rate and long-term complications.
 - Susceptible populations and risk factors.
 - Epidemiological pattern (outbreak or sporadic).
 - Regional, seasonal and ethnic differences in the incidence of foodborne disease due to the AMR hazard(s).
 - Additional information on the relationship between the presence of the AMR microorganisms or determinants in the food commodity and the occurrence of the adverse health effect(s) in humans.
- Consequences of AMR on the outcome of the disease:
 - Loss of treatment options and treatment failures.
 - Increased frequency and severity of infections, including prolonged duration of disease, increased frequency of bloodstream infections, hospitalization and mortality.

6. Risk management information

- Identification of risk management options to control the AMR hazard along the production to consumption continuum, both in the pre-harvest and post-harvest stages:
 - Measures to reduce the risk related to the selection and dissemination of foodborne AMR microorganism(s).
 - Measures to minimize the contamination and cross-contamination of food by AMR microorganism(s).
- Effectiveness of current management practices in place based on surveillance data or other sources of information.

7. Evaluation of available information and major knowledge gaps

- Uncertainty of available information.
- Areas where major gaps of information exist that could hamper risk management activities, including, if warranted, the conduct of a risk assessment.

APPENDIX 2. SUGGESTED ELEMENTS FOR CONSIDERATION IN A FOODBORNE AMR RISK ASSESSMENT

This appendix lists suggested elements to include in an AMR risk assessment; the level of detail of the data may vary on a case-to-case basis. This list is for illustration and is not intended to be exhaustive and not all elements may be applicable in all situations.

1. Hazard identification

- 1.1 Identification of hazard of concern: foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants
- **1.2** Microorganisms and resistance related information
 - Potential human pathogens (phenotypic and genotypic characterization) that are likely to acquired resistance in non-human hosts.
 - Commensals with AMR determinants (phenotypic and genotypic characterization) and the ability to transfer them to human pathogens.
 - Mechanisms of AMR, location of AMR determinants, frequency of transfer and prevalence among human and non-human microflora.
 - Co- and cross-resistance and importance of other antimicrobial agents whose efficacy is likely to be compromised.
 - Pathogenicity, virulence and their linkage to resistance.
- **1.3** The antimicrobial agent and its properties
 - Description of the antimicrobial agent name, formulation, etc.
 - Class of antimicrobial agent.
 - Mode of action and spectrum of activity.
 - Pharmacokinetics of the antimicrobial agent.
 - Existing or potential human and non-human uses of the antimicrobial agents and related drugs.

2. Exposure assessment

- 2.1 Pre-harvest factors affecting prevalence of hazard
 - Resistance selection pressure:
 - Attributes of antimicrobial agent use at the population level:
 - Number of animals or extent of crops exposed to the antimicrobial agent in the defined time period.
 - Geographical distribution of antimicrobial agent use and/or number of farms using the antimicrobial agent.
 - Prevalence of infection/disease that the antimicrobial agent is indicated for in the target (animal/crop) population.
 - Potential extra-label/off-label and use of approved antimicrobial agent(s) and use of nonapproved antimicrobial agent(s).
 - Data on trends in antimicrobial agent use and information on emerging diseases, changes in farm production system or other changes that are likely to impact antimicrobial agent use.
 - Attributes of antimicrobial agent use at the individual level
 - Methods and routes of administration of the antimicrobial agent (individual/mass medication, local/systemic application).
 - Dosing regimen and duration of use.
 - Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in animals.
 - Time from antimicrobial agent administration to harvest of animal or crop products.
 - Cumulative effects of use of other antimicrobial agents in the defined time period.
 - Target animal or crop and microbial factors affecting resistance development and spread
 - Temporal and seasonal changes in foodborne AMR microorganism prevalence.

- o Duration of infection/shedding of foodborne AMR microorganism(s) (zoonotic and / or commensal).
- Rate of resistance development in commensal and zoonotic microorganisms in targets after administration of an antimicrobial agent.
- Resistance mechanisms, location of and occurrence of AMR determinants and resistance transfer rates between microorganisms.
- Cross-resistance and/or co-resistance to other antimicrobial agents based on phenotypic or genotypic characterization.
- Prevalence of commensals and zoonotic microorganisms in targets and proportion resistant to the antimicrobial agent.
- Transmission of AMR microorganisms and/or determinants between target animals/crops and from animals/crops to environment and back to target animals/crops.
- Animal management factors.
- Food crop production/management factors.
- Other possible sources of foodborne AMR microorganisms for the target animal/crop
 - Non-target animal/plant species.
 - Animal feed and feed ingredients.
 - Soil, water, animal and human waste products (biosolids, wastewater, manure and other wastebased fertilizers).
- 2.2 Post-harvest factors affecting frequency and concentration of the AMR microorganism in food
 - Initial level of contamination of the food product
 - Frequency and concentration of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants at harvest of animal or crop products.
 - Frequency and concentration of foodborne AMR microorganisms and/or determinants present in retail food.
 - Food matrix factors (food product formulation).
 - Food processing factors
 - \circ The level of sanitation and process control in food processing and likely environmental contamination.
 - Methods of processing (including sanitation and process controls such as GMP, GHP and HACCP).
 - Cross-contamination points.
 - Probable use of additives and preservatives (due to their activities or impacts on growth or numbers of microorganisms).
 - o Packaging.
 - Distribution and storage.
 - o Catering and food services.
 - Consumer factors
 - Human demographic data.
 - Storage, cooking and handling of food.
 - o Overall human per capita consumption of the food identified with the hazard.
 - o Patterns of consumption and socioeconomic, cultural, ethnic and regional differences.
 - o Place of food consumption (home, commercial establishment or elsewhere).
 - Microbial factors
 - Capacity of foodborne AMR microorganisms to transfer resistance to human commensal and / or pathogenic microorganisms.

- Growth and survival characteristics and fate of AMR microorganisms along the food production to consumption continuum.
- Microbial ecology of food: survival capacity and redistribution of foodborne AMR microorganism in the food production to consumption continuum.

3. Hazard characterization

- 3.1 Human host and adverse health effects
 - Host factors and susceptible population.
 - Nature of the infection, disease.
 - Diagnostic aspects.
 - Epidemiological pattern (outbreak or sporadic).
 - Antimicrobial therapy and hospitalization.
 - Importance of the antimicrobial agents in human medicine.
 - Increased frequency of infections and treatment failures.
 - Increased severity of infections, including prolonged duration of disease, increased frequency of bloodstream infections, increased hospitalization and increased mortality.
 - Persistence of hazards in humans.
- **3.2** Food matrix related factors that can influence the survival capacity of the microorganisms while passing through the gastrointestinal tract
- **3.3** Dose–response relationship: mathematical relationship between the exposure and probability of adverse outcome (e.g. infection, disease and treatment failure)

4. Risk characterization

- **4.1** Factors for consideration in risk estimation
 - Number of people falling ill and the proportion of that number with AMR microorganisms attributable to a foodborne source.
 - Effects on sensitive subpopulations.
 - Increased frequency of infections, frequency of treatment failures, severity or duration of infectious disease, rates of hospitalization and mortality with AMR microorganisms compared to susceptible microorganisms due to resistance.
 - Number of person-days of disease per year.
 - Deaths (total per year, probability per year or lifetime for a random member of the population or a member of a specific more-exposed or more-vulnerable subgroup) linked to AMR microorganisms attributable to a foodborne source.
 - Importance of pathology caused by the target microorganisms.
 - Existence or absence of therapeutic alternatives.
 - Potential impact of switching to an alternative antimicrobial agent (e.g. alternatives with potential increased toxicity).
 - Methods to allow weighted summation of different risk impacts including consequences (e.g. disease and hospitalization).
- **4.2** Evaluation of RMOs
 - Comparison of public health burden before and after interventions.
 - Potential effect on animal health relevant to food safety.
- **4.3** Sensitivity analysis
 - Effect of changes in model input values and assumption on model output.
 - Robustness of model results (output).

4.4 Uncertainty and variability analysis

- Range and likelihood of model predictions.
- Characterize the precision of model prediction.
- Relative contributions of uncertainties in model input to uncertainty in the model output.

APPENDIX 3. EXAMPLES OF QUALITATIVE FOODBORNE AMR RISK ASSESSMENT

Although quantitative risk assessments are encouraged, qualitative risk assessments are often preferred due to their potential lower data demands. The level of scrutiny, review and standards of logic and reasoning to which a qualitative approach should be held are, however, no less than those to which a quantitative approach is subjected.

The following examples illustrate potential approaches that can be used to conduct a qualitative risk assessment. However, these should not be viewed as recommended or accepted default approaches for adoption. The thought process and discussions that surround the development of categories for the exposure or the hazard characterization (e.g. "rare," "high," etc.), as well as how these categories translate into the ultimate risk outcome, are a key part of the decision-making and risk management process. The essential parts of developing a qualitative risk assessment can be grouped into three basic tasks:

- the development of qualitative statements or scores to describe the exposure assessment (e.g. "high," "medium", etc.) with careful consideration given to the implications and interpretation of these categorizations;
- the development of qualitative statements or scores to describe the hazard characterization (e.g. "mild", "moderate", "severe", etc.) with careful consideration given to the implications and interpretation of these categorizations; and
- the process through which the different exposure and hazard characterization categories or scores are combined and integrated into overall risk levels (e.g. what does a "low" in exposure and a "high" in hazard characterization translate to and is it different from a "medium" in both).

There are currently no pre-defined hazard characterization or exposure assessment categories that can be used and different categories may be more suitable for certain situations. The approach used to integrate the exposure assessment and hazard characterization can also vary.

Example 1

Illustrative exposure assessment scoring

Typically, in a qualitative risk assessment, the probability of the population being exposed to the hazard is translated into a series of qualitative statements. The qualitative risk assessment requires expert opinions or other formalized, transparent and documented process to take the existing evidence and convert it into a measure of the probability of exposure. To illustrate, the probability has been converted into the following categories and scores:

- negligible (0) Virtually no probability that exposure to the hazard can occur;
- moderate (1) Some probability for exposure to occur; and
- high (2) Significant probability for exposure to occur.

The assignment of both a statement reflecting the exposure probability as well as a corresponding score is done in this example to facilitate the process through which the exposure and hazard characterization will subsequently be combined. The description of the categorical statements includes an assessment providing greater detail as to the interpretation behind each of the categories.

Illustrative hazard characterization scoring

The hazard characterization translates the outcomes of this step into qualitative statements that reflect the implications of exposure to a hazard. The following is an example of categories that might be useful in the case of foodborne zoonotic disease:

- negligible (0) probability of disease upon exposure to AMR microorganisms is the same as for susceptible organisms and the outcomes as a result of disease are not different;
- mild (1) probability of disease upon exposure to AMR microorganisms is the same as for susceptible organisms, but the outcomes following disease are more serious requiring hospitalization;
- moderate (2) probability of disease upon exposure to AMR microorganisms is higher and outcomes following disease are more serious requiring hospitalization; and
- severe (3) probability of disease upon exposure to AMR microorganisms is higher and outcomes following disease are very serious requiring hospitalization as well as creating the potential for treatment failures requiring lengthy hospitalization.

Illustrative risk characterization output

Ultimately, the exposure assessment and hazard characterization need to be integrated in the risk characterization in order to estimate the risk. By assigning each of the qualitative categories (e.g. "high," "medium," etc.) with a numerical score (e.g. 0, 1, 2), the results can be produced in a transparent way by simply multiplying the scores. The resulting risk characterization score can then be translated into meaningful qualitative risk categories. In this example, the products of the exposure assessment and hazard characterization are assigned the following categories:

No additional risk:	Value of 0
Some additional risk:	Value between 1 and 2
High additional risk:	Value between 3 and 4
Very high additional risk:	Value between 5 and 6

The results could also be presented graphically as shown below, providing a clear picture of how outcomes are judged to be "very high additional risk" or "no additional risk," for example.

		Exposure assessment		
		Negligible	Moderate	High
Hazard characterization	Negligible	0	0	0
	Mild	0	1	2
	Moderate	0	2	4
	Severe	0	3	6

Legend	
Negligible	0 = No additional risk
Mild	1–2 = Some additional risk
Moderate	3–4 = High additional risk
Severe	6 = Very high additional risk

Example 2

Illustrative exposure assessment scoring

The rankings of "Negligible," "Low," "Medium," "High" and "Not Assessable" may be used for qualitative determination of the probability of human exposure to a given AMR microorganism in a given food or feed commodity, animal species or plant. The different ranking is defined below:

- negligible the probability of exposure for susceptible people is extremely low;
- low (unlikely) the probability of exposure for susceptible people is low but possible;
- medium (likely/probable) the probability of exposure for susceptible people is likely;
- high (almost certain) the probability of exposure for susceptible people is certain or very high; and
- not assessable the probability of exposure for susceptible people cannot be assessed.

Illustrative hazard characterization scoring

The AMR-related adverse human health effects (i.e. risk end-points) may be ranked qualitatively as below.²⁹ In this example, it is considered that adverse health effects associated with the microorganisms that are resistant to critically important antimicrobials in human medicine ³⁰ are likely to have a more severe consequence than those with microorganisms resistant to other antimicrobial agents:

²⁹ Modified after National Cancer Institute, 2006. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0. <u>http://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic applications/docs/ctcaev3.pdf</u>.

³⁰ See note 4 on page 3.

- negligible no adverse human health consequences or within normal limits;
- mild symptoms are minimally bothersome and no therapy is necessary;
- moderate symptoms are more pronounced or of a more systemic nature than mild symptoms but not life threatening; some form of treatment is usually indicated;
- severe symptoms are potentially life threatening and require systematic treatment and/or hospitalization; increase severity may occur due to the foodborne AMR microorganism; and
- fatal directly or indirectly contributes to the death of the subject; treatment failure is likely expected due to the foodborne AMR microorganism.

Illustrative risk characterization scoring

In a qualitative risk assessment, the risk estimate may be integrated into the qualitative (descriptive) considerations of "Negligible," "Low," "Medium," "High," and "Very High" from the outputs of the Exposure Assessment and Hazard Characterization steps. An example of integration is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Integration of the outputs of hazard	d characterization and exposure assessment into
the qualitative risk characterization	

Exposure Assessment	Hazard Characterization	Qualitative Risk Characterization
Probability of Exposure	Severity of Adverse Health Effect	
Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Low (Unlikely)	Negligible	Negligible
Medium (Possible)	Negligible	Low
High (Almost Certain)	Negligible	Low
Negligible	Low (Mild)	Low
Low (Unlikely)	Low (Mild)	Low
Medium (Possible)	Low (Mild)	Medium
High (Almost Certain)	Low (Mild)	Medium
Negligible	Medium (Moderate)	Low
Low (Unlikely)	Medium (Moderate)	Low
Medium (Possible)	Medium (Moderate)	High/Medium
High (Almost Certain)	Medium (Moderate)	High
Negligible	High (Severe)	Low
Low (Unlikely)	High (Severe)	Medium
Medium (Possible)	High (Severe)	High
High (Almost Certain)	High (Severe)	Very High
Negligible	Very High (Fatal)	Medium/Low
Low (Unlikely)	Very High (Fatal)	High
Medium (Possible)	Very High (Fatal)	Very High
High (Almost Certain)	Very High (Fatal)	Very High

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ALOP	appropriate level of protection
AMR	antimicrobial resistance/antimicrobial resistant
CXG	Codex Alimentarius Commission/Guidelines
CXC	Codex Alimentarius Commission/Code of Practice
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FSO	food safety objective
GHP	good hygiene practices
GMP	good manufacturing practices
GVP	good veterinary practices
HACCP	hazard analysis and critical control point
MICs	minimal inhibitory concentrations
OIE	World Organisation for Animal Health
PC	performance criterion
PO	performance objective
RMO	risk management option
WHO	World Health Organization
WTO/SPS	World Trade Organization Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures