

# SUICIDE AND SELF-HARMING BEHAVIOUR

2018 edition

Thomas Jans, Timo D. Vloet, Yesim Taneli & Andreas Warnke



Thomas Jans PhD

Clinical psychologist,  
Department of Child and  
Adolescent Psychiatry,  
Psychosomatics and  
Psychotherapy, Centre for  
Mental Health, University  
Hospital of Würzburg, Germany

Conflict of interest: none  
reported

Timo D. Vloet MD

Child and adolescent  
psychiatrist, Department  
of Child and Adolescent  
Psychiatry, Psychosomatics  
and Psychotherapy, Centre  
for Mental Health, University  
Hospital of Würzburg, Germany

Conflict of interest: none  
reported

Yesim Taneli MD, PhD, MSc

Assistant Professor of Child  
and Adolescent Psychiatry,  
Department of Child and  
Adolescent Psychiatry, Uludag  
University School of Medicine,  
Bursa, Turkey

Conflict of interest: none  
reported

This publication is intended for professionals training or practising in mental health and not for the general public. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or IACAPAP. This publication seeks to describe the best treatments and practices based on the scientific evidence available at the time of writing as evaluated by the authors and may change as a result of new research. Readers need to apply this knowledge to patients in accordance with the guidelines and laws of their country of practice. Some medications may not be available in some countries and readers should consult the specific drug information since not all dosages and unwanted effects are mentioned. Organizations, publications and websites are cited or linked to illustrate issues or as a source of further information. This does not mean that authors, the Editor or IACAPAP endorse their content or recommendations, which should be critically assessed by the reader. Websites may also change or cease to exist.

©IACAPAP 2018. This is an open-access publication under the [Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License](#). Use, distribution and reproduction in any medium are allowed without prior permission provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. Send comments about this book or chapter to [jmreyATbigpond.net.au](mailto:jmreyATbigpond.net.au)

Suggested citation: Jans T, Vloet TD, Taneli Y, Warnke A. Suicide and self-harming behaviour. In Rey JM (ed), *IACAPAP e-Textbook of Child and Adolescent Mental Health*. Geneva: International Association for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Allied Professions 2018.

In many countries suicide is one of the leading causes of death among children and adolescents. Identifying young people at risk and offering effective treatment is a major concern of mental health policies. This chapter includes a review of suicidality in children and adolescents. Non suicidal self-injury (NSSI) will also be addressed, but the major focus will be on attempted and completed suicide. The review refers to epidemiology, risk factors and etiological features, clinical presentation, assessment, treatment and prevention. Because clinical issues are emphasized this review does not include an overview of the neuropsychobiology of suicidality.

The term “non suicidal self-injury” does not include self-mutilation or other actions causing bodily symptoms with the pure intent of eliciting medical care or advice (Munchhausen syndrome) or malingering (to achieve some benefit). Because

**Table E.4.1 Coding of non suicidal self-injury, suicide attempts and suicide as an “external cause of morbidity and mortality” according to ICD-10 (X60–X84)\***

X6..	Intentional self-poisoning by and exposure to:
X60.	Non-opioid analgesics, antipyretics and antirheumatics
X61.	Antiepileptic, sedative-hypnotic, antiparkinsonism and psychotropic drugs not elsewhere classified
X62.	Narcotics and psychodysleptics [hallucinogens] not elsewhere classified
X63.	Other drugs acting on the autonomic nervous system
X64.	Other and unspecified drugs, medicaments and biological substances
X65.	Alcohol
X66.	Organic solvents and halogenated hydrocarbons and their vapours
X67.	Other gases and vapours
X68.	Pesticides
X69.	Other and unspecified chemicals and noxious substances
X7../X8..	Intentional self-harm by:
X70.	Hanging, strangulation and suffocation
X71.	Drowning and submersion
X72.	Handgun discharge
X73.	By rifle, shotgun and larger firearm discharge
X74.	Other and unspecified firearm discharge
X75.	Explosive material
X76.	Smoke, fire and flames
X77.	Steam, hot vapours and hot objects
X78.	Sharp object
X79.	Blunt object
X80.	Jumping from a high place
X81.	Jumping or lying before moving object
X82.	Crashing of motor vehicle
X83.	Other specified means
X84.	Unspecified means

\*World Health Organisation, 1996.

Andreas Warnke MD, MA

Professor of child and adolescent psychiatry & former director of the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Centre for Mental Health, University Hospital of Würzburg, Germany

Conflict of interest: none reported

## Definitions

**Suicidality** refers to the cognitions and activities of persons seeking their own death, including thoughts, actions or omissions.

**Suicide** (from the Latin *sui caedere*, to kill oneself) means the act of a person intentionally causing his or her own death.

**Suicide attempt** denotes non-fatal acts or preparations intended to result in death. The suicidal act may have been abandoned, interrupted or was unsuccessful.

**Parasuicide** refers to a non-habitual, potentially life-threatening self-harming behavior which is performed without the intention to kill oneself. Because the behavior is non suicidal, most authors today prefer the term “non suicidal self-injury” (NSSI) (in this chapter the term non suicidal self-injury is used).

The terms “deliberate self-injury” or “deliberate self-harm” are typically used without distinguishing between NSSI and non fatal suicide attempt.

of the indirect nature of the consequences of self-harm, unprotected intercourse, high-risk behaviors (e.g., extreme forms of downhill riding, illegal car racing) or intoxication by psychoactive substances are not included, even if an intention to self-destruct may be present. For descriptive purposes, non lethal suicide attempts and non suicidal self-injury are classified using the same codes.

The mere listing of codes in Table E.4.1 does not indicate how frequent these different methods of self-harm are in children and adolescents. Methods commonly used are presented in the side box. These methods comprise non lethal self-harming behaviors – like pinching, scratching or biting – as well as highly lethal methods, which are mostly associated with clear suicidal intent (e.g., use of firearms, jumping from high places). However, from a clinical point of view, it is crucial to differentiate between suicide attempts and non suicidal self-injury (see Table E.4.2).

**Intent**

The key difference between deliberate self-injury and suicide attempt is in the *intent* to end one’s life. For example, a 14-year-old girl takes a small overdose of paracetamol intending to kill herself, although she does not succeed (*suicide attempt*). Another 14-year-old girl takes a large overdose of paracetamol because she is angry and upset. She did not want to kill herself but tried to reduce a stressful situation (*non suicidal self-injury*). Further, some non suicidal self-harming acts may actually result in death – by ignorance or miscalculation (the latter 14-year-old was not aware of the toxic effects of paracetamol and actually died as a result). It has to be kept in mind that both forms of self-harm may overlap: individuals with suicide attempts may also show non suicidal self-injuring behavior and vice versa.

Regrettably, evaluating intent is often difficult in clinical practice. This has been a major barrier in researching this topic. The Columbia Classification Algorithm for Suicide Assessment (C-CASA) – a standardized suicide rating system developed for the evaluation of suicidality in antidepressant trials – has been shown to be reliable, transportable, and the FDA has mandated that it should be used in psychotropic and other drug trials (Posner et al, 2007). Although this is a research instrument, it may also be useful in clinical practice, at least by standardizing the terminology. C-CASA definitions and selected training examples are shown in table E.4.3. Currently there is a debate about the validity of the C-CASA (Sheehan

- Methods of self-harm**  
(Non-lethal suicide attempts and non suicidal self-injury)  
(Greydanus et al, 2009)
- Drug overdose
  - Self-poisoning
  - Self-cutting
  - Other forms of self-mutilation
    - Self-hitting
    - Pinching
    - Scratching
    - Biting
    - Burning
  - Shooting oneself
  - Hanging
  - Jumping from high places
  - Jumping into wells

**Table E.4.2 Differences between suicide attempt and non suicidal self-injury**

Suicide attempt	Non suicidal self-injury (“parasuicide”)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intending to end one’s life</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No suicidal intent</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May be impulsive, but in most of the cases there is a chronic feeling of hopelessness or loneliness</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emotional state is acute anger, despair or intolerable distress</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More severe and life-threatening forms of self-destructive behaviors are typical (e.g., self-poisoning, hanging, jumping, use of firearms)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Less severe and mostly not life-threatening forms of self-destructive behaviors are typical (e.g., skin lesions by biting, cutting, burning or freezing)</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Typically, the person is aware that the behavior may cause serious injury, but is not life-threatening</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a clear risk that suicide attempts are repeated, but to a lesser frequency than non suicidal self-injuries</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recurrent self-injury is common</li> </ul>

**Table E.4.3 Columbia Classification Algorithm for Suicide Assessment (C-CASA): definitions and training examples\***

Classification/Category	Definition	Example
<b>Suicidal events</b>		
Completed suicide	A self-injurious behavior that resulted in fatality and was associated with at least some intent to die as a result of the act.	After a long argument with his girlfriend, which resulted in the end of their relationship, the patient collected a rope and rode his bike to an isolated area where he fatally hanged himself. A suicide note was later found.
Suicide attempt	A potentially self-injurious behavior, associated with at least some intent to die, as a result of the act. Evidence that the individual intended to kill him/herself, at least to some degree, can be explicit or inferred from the behavior or circumstance. A suicide attempt may or may not result in actual injury.	After a fight with her friends at school, in which they discontinued speaking with her, the patient ingested approximately 16 aspirin and eight other pills of different types on the school grounds. She said that she deserved to die, which was why she swallowed the pills.
Preparatory acts toward imminent suicidal behavior	The individual takes steps to injure him- or herself, but is stopped by self or others from starting the self-injurious act before the potential for harm has begun.	The patient had run away from home overnight because his father had gone to school and retrieved a recent "bad" report card. He was fearful of his father's reaction. Upon his return home, a 5- to 6-hour argument with his parents ensued, and he took a vegetable (broad, sharp) knife and went to his room. He reported putting the knife to his wrist but never puncturing the skin.
Suicidal ideation	Passive thoughts about wanting to be dead or active thoughts about killing oneself, not accompanied by preparatory behavior.	Active thoughts: The patient reported to the doctor that he was thinking about hanging himself in the closet. He was taken to the hospital and admitted.
<b>Non suicidal events</b>		
Self-injurious behavior, no suicidal intent	Self-injurious behavior associated with no intent to die. The behavior is intended purely for other reasons, either to relieve distress (often referred to as "self-mutilation," e.g., superficial cuts or scratches, hitting/banging, or burns) or to effect change in others or the environment.	The patient was feeling ignored. She went into the family kitchen where her mother and sister were talking. She took a knife out of the drawer and made a cut on her arm. She denied that she wanted to die at all ("not even a little"), but she just wanted them to pay attention to her.
Other, no deliberate self-harm	No evidence of any suicidality or deliberate self-injurious behavior associated with the event. The event is characterized as an accidental injury, psychiatric or behavioral symptoms only, or medical symptoms or procedure only.	The patient had a cut on the neck from shaving.
<b>Indeterminate or potentially suicidal events</b>		
Self-injurious behavior, suicidal intent unknown	Self-injurious behavior where associated intent to die is unknown and cannot be inferred. The injury or potential for injury is clear, but why the individual engaged in that behavior is unclear.	The patient cut her wrists after an argument with her boyfriend (no further information).
Not enough information	Insufficient information to determine whether the event involved deliberate suicidal behaviour or ideation. There is reason to suspect the possibility of suicidality but not enough to be confident that the event was not something other, such as an accident or psychiatric symptom. An injury sustained on a place on the body consistent with deliberate self-harm or suicidal behavior (e.g., wrists), without any information as to how the injury was received, would warrant placement in this category.	A child who "stabbed himself in [the] neck with a pencil." The event may have been deliberate as opposed to accidental, as suggested by "stabbed," but not enough information was provided to determine whether the event was deliberate.

\*Posner et al (2007); only one of several C-CASA examples is cited.

et al. 2014). This emphasizes the importance of the clinical examination beside the use of questionnaires.

## EPIDEMIOLOGY

According to World Health Organization (WHO) statistics about 800,000 people worldwide die from suicide every year (mean mortality rate in 2012: 11,4 per 100,000; 15 for males and 8 for females (WHO 2014), that is, one death every 40 seconds. In some countries suicide is the second leading cause of death in the 10 to 24 years age group. For example, in Germany only accidents – mainly fatal traffic injuries – are a more frequent cause of death in adolescents aged between 15 to 19 years (Elsässer, 2010). The relative weight of suicide as a cause of death varies between countries and regions according to the impact of a variety of factors (see Table E.4.4). Nevertheless, suicide remains an important cause of death among adolescents and young adults worldwide.

As an example of nation specific variations in suicide rates, European and world data are presented in Figures E.4.1 and E.4.2. Suicide rates varied widely from 0 (Lichtenstein) to 16.7 (Latvia). In Europe, the average suicide rate for boys declined significantly from 2.02 per 100 000 in the 1990s to 1.48 in the subsequent decade (Kólvcs & De Leo 2014). Out of 36 European countries in this analysis, 14 demonstrated significantly decreasing suicide rates for boys. However, France and Romania showed a significant increase, although the average rate for girls did not change: 0.67 in the 1990s and 0.64 in the 2000s. No country reported a significant decline for girls; instead, a significant rise was measured in

**Table E.4.4 Main causes of death in adolescents and young adults in decreasing order of frequency\***

<p><b>Africa:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. AIDS</li> <li>2. Other infections</li> <li>3. Homicide/War</li> <li>4. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>5. Suicide</li> </ol>	<p><b>Southeast Asia:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>2. Other Infections</li> <li>3. AIDS</li> <li>4. Suicide</li> <li>5. Homicide/War</li> </ol>	<p><b>South America/Caribbean:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Homicide/War</li> <li>2. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>3. Suicide</li> <li>4. Other Infections</li> <li>5. AIDS</li> </ol>
<p><b>Western Pacific:<sup>1</sup></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>2. Suicide</li> <li>3. Other Infections</li> <li>4. Homicide/War</li> <li>5. AIDS</li> </ol>	<p><b>Europe:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>2. Suicide</li> <li>3. Homicide/War</li> <li>4. Other Infections</li> <li>5. AIDS</li> </ol>	<p><b>Eastern Mediterranean:<sup>2</sup></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>2. Other Infections</li> <li>3. Homicide/War</li> <li>4. AIDS</li> <li>5. Suicide</li> </ol>
<p><b>North America:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unintended Injuries</li> <li>2. Suicide</li> <li>3. Homicide</li> <li>4. Cancer</li> <li>5. Other Infections</li> <li>6. AIDS</li> </ol>	<p><sup>1</sup>Australia, New Zealand, China, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Micronesia, Philippines, Singapore, Vietnam, and others in this area</p> <p><sup>2</sup>Afghanistan, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Israel, and other Middle East Countries</p>	

\*Adapted from Greydanus et al (2009).






Figure E.4.1 Suicide death rate in Europe in 2014: Adolescents aged 15 to 19 years (crude death rate per 100000 persons). Source: Eurostat

Figure E.4.2 Age-standardized rates (per 100000 population, both genders, 2015. Source: WHO





Figure E.4.3 Suicide rates (per 100000) by gender and age



Data from 2000; Source: WHO




Figure E.4.4 Global suicides by age and income level of country, 2012. Source: WHO.

Belgium, Russia, Slovakia and Romania. Analysis of the European regions showed the highest rates occurred in eastern European countries with a non-significant average decline for boys from 3.49 per 100 000 in the 1990s to 2.76 in the 2000s.

Because of unreliable allocation – e.g., inaccurate ascertainment, religious or cultural attitudes about suicide – reported suicide rates are likely to underestimate the problem. Moreover, these figures do not include suicide attempts, which occur 10 to 20 times more frequently than completed suicides. Serious suicide attempts are estimated to occur in about 3% of adolescents in Western societies. Keeping these variations in mind, general statements on the epidemiology of suicide may be invalid for specific populations, regions and time periods.

Qualifications need to be made with respect to:

- Age
- Gender
- Specific regions and countries
- Ethnicity, and
- Secular trends.

### Age

Globally, during the last few decades suicide rates have been highest in the elderly (see Figure E.4.3). However, according to [World Health Organization's Suicide Prevention Program \(SUPRE\)](#) website, in some countries suicide rates among young people have increased so much that adolescents and young adults represent the group at highest risk for suicide. This refers to a third of all countries and holds for more and less industrialized nations. Therefore, clearly elevated suicide rates in the elderly seem not to be present worldwide (see Figure E.4.4). In pre-pubertal children, completed suicide is very rare. However, Kazakhstan had the highest rate in the world for boys aged 10-14 years. Children become able to understand the concept of suicide from the age of 8 years and become capable of carrying it out, leading to increasing rates of completed suicide in pubertal children and young adolescents. Relatively low suicide rates in children may be accounted for by the age-dependent absence of, or lower exposure to major risk factors (e.g., mental illness, substance-related disorders) or the protection offered by a close relationship with the family.

### Gender

Overall, rates of completed suicide are higher in males than in females. This holds true for all age groups, with a male to female ratio of about 4:1 in adolescents and young adults. However, gender ratios vary between countries. There are regions in which the gender distribution of completed suicides is similar (e.g., China). Suicide among young girls is alarmingly high in the west coastal region of India (Kanchan et al, 2009). Reasons for the relatively high suicide rates among young females, especially in rural areas of China, may partly be due to the easy access and use of pesticides, very lethal, and the limited access to emergency medical services (by contrast, in Western European countries the use of the less lethal analgesics is far more prevalent in suicidal females) (for a review see Turecki & Brent, 2016) .

Globally higher rates of completed suicide in males are associated with more violent means (e.g., guns, hanging) and the pattern of risk factors in males (e.g., impulsive aggression, alcohol misuse). However, with respect to gender ratio the



World Health Organization

Click on the picture to access WHO suicide statistics.



opposite is true for suicide attempts, which are far more common in females than in males (sometimes referred to as the “gender paradox”).

### Specific Regions and Countries

Regional variations in suicide rates reflect varying environmental and social risk factors. Reported suicide rates vary widely between countries; even within quite homogenous states there are regional differences (e.g., relatively high rates in Saxony, Germany). The highest rates worldwide are reported for Eastern European countries, among them countries with a traditionally high suicide rate since the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century (e.g., Estonia). Generally, in the last few years there has been a shift in the prevalence of suicide from Eastern Europe to Asia. Relatively low rates are still reported for the Gulf States, e.g. Kuwait (Värnik, 2012).

Besides differences in the recording of suicides, variations suggest country-specific patterns of risk and protective factors (e.g., socioeconomic disadvantage, rapid cultural change), geography and climate (e.g., light exposure and depression), access to lethal means (e.g., poisons or firearms), use of alcohol and drugs, ethnicity, and religious beliefs.

Low suicide rates in the Gulf States may reflect religious norms and low alcohol use. In general, a gradient has been described whereupon agnostics show the highest suicide rates, Muslims have the lowest, while Christians, Buddhists and Hindus are in the middle (Bertolote & Fleischman, 2009).

Suicide rates are high among minority groups, particularly those who have undergone rapid social change, acculturation, or have become disenfranchised as a result of colonization (e.g., American Indians, Indigenous Australians, Inuit). For a comprehensive review the reader may refer to Turecki and Brent (2016).

### Secular Trends

An increase in the rate and absolute number of suicides has been described in the last decades (Curtin et al, 2016; review from Dilillo et al, 2015). However, this effect is not consistent. For example, across OECD countries (the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD, comprises 32 industrialized



nations) there has been a slight decline in suicide rates overall. Some countries with traditionally high rates (e.g., Hungary) have shown a sharp decline while others with low rates (e.g., Korea, Japan) have shown a sharp increase (Jeon et al, 2016). The reasons for these changes are not well understood but may include rapid social or economic changes (e.g., unemployment). However, major burdens for a population may also have the opposite effect. For example, in Germany suicide rates decreased considerably in the years following the First and Second World Wars. For a circumscribed period, the urge of a society to concentrate on the basic needs of daily life may partly protect from suicide.

Time trends appear not to be stable. For example, in many Western countries a reduction in youth suicide rates was observed in the last two decades (e.g. Laido et al, 2017). This decline may be explained by the more prevalent and effective treatment of psychiatric disorders (e.g., pharmacological treatment of depression). However, data from the National Vital Statistics System (2014) indicated a 24%-increase of age-adjusted suicide rate in the US (10.5 to 13.0 per 100 000 population). The percent increase in suicide rates for females was greatest for those aged 10-14 (Curtin et al, 2016). Factors speculatively blamed for this increase might be the influence of online social networks or higher rates of untreated depression following FDA warnings on suicidality associated with the use of antidepressants.

### Suicidal Thoughts and Suicide Attempts

Recent data from the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance (2012) reported students between the age of 14 and 18 years to have:

- Seriously considered attempting suicide (15.8%)
- Attempted suicide (7.8%,)
- Attempted suicide resulting in injury, poisoning, or an overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (2.4%).

The Youth Risk Behavior Survey data illustrate that suicidal ideation is very common among adolescents and that a significant percentage is carrying out serious suicide attempts. Fortunately, rates for completed suicide are comparatively low (US data for 2013: prevalence of death by suicide among adolescents: 0.006%). However, data indicate a progression in the development of acute suicidality from suicidal ideation, to planning, and to a suicide attempt. Thus, suicidal thoughts always have to be considered a significant warning sign requiring professional advice. With respect to suicidal ideation and suicide attempts, there is a female preponderance in most countries (more than twice as common among females).

### Means of Suicide

In the last years there is a trend that hanging has replaced self-poisoning as the most common method of suicide in 10-19-year-olds in the US, England and other countries (e.g., Redmore et al, 2016). In the US, the most frequent suicide method in 2014 was firearms (55.4%) for males and poisoning for females (34.1%). In Western Europe, by contrast, firearms play a minor role; jumping from high places or before a train is the main method. Poisoning by pesticides is prevalent in agricultural regions in low and middle-income countries such as India. In Turkey, in 2015 the five most common methods of suicide were in decreasing frequency: hanging, firearms, jumping from a high place, chemicals, and using



Recent US data on suicidal behavior among 9<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> grade students are available from the [Youth Risk Behavior Survey \(YRBS\)](#), Centers for Disease Control.

a sharp instrument (TurkStat; Suicide Statistics 2010, [www.tuik.gov.tr](http://www.tuik.gov.tr), 2015). Carbon monoxide poisoning is becoming widespread in some Western Pacific countries (e.g., Taiwan). In Western Europe and North America, over-the-counter pharmaceuticals (mainly analgesics) and psychotropic drugs are also common.

### Risk Factors

Major risk factors for suicide in adolescence are summarized in Table E.4.5. As stated, there is a lack of research on suicide in preadolescents. No specific pattern of risk factors for this age group has been found yet (Turecki & Brent, 2016). In preadolescents, family-related stressors may play a more significant role than in adolescents, while age-dependent psychopathology (e.g., alcohol use, bipolar disorder, psychosis) may have less influence.

The effects of age and gender have already been described. However, it should be kept in mind that statistical risk refers to averages in a community and has limited relevance for the assessment and treatment of individual patients. For example, a female adolescent is statistically at lower risk for completed suicide after a suicide attempt than a male counterpart. However, *assessment and treatment are not gender-specific!*

### Previous Suicide Attempts

A previous suicide attempt is one of the most important predictors of further attempts and of completed suicide (Hoertel et al, 2015):

- About 30% of completed suicides have a history of suicide attempts
- After a suicide attempt the risk of a further attempt is 20 times higher than in individuals without a history of attempted suicide
- The risk of a serious suicide attempt is strongly associated with the number of previous suicide attempts.

**Table E.4.5 Major risk factors for suicide among adolescents\***

- Previous suicide attempt
- Psychopathology
  - Especially major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, conduct disorder, and substance use disorders
  - Psychiatric co-morbidity, especially the combination of mood, disruptive, and substance use disorders
  - Dysfunctional personality traits (especially antisocial, borderline, histrionic, and narcissistic traits)
  - Feelings of hopelessness and worthlessness
  - Impulsive aggression: the tendency to react to frustration or provocation with hostility or aggression
- Family factors
  - A family history of depression or suicide
  - Loss of a parent through death or divorce
  - Family discord
- Physical and sexual abuse
- Lack of a support network, poor relationships with peers and feelings of social isolation
- “Coming out” or dealing with homosexual feelings or gender identity in an unsupportive family, community or school environment
- Availability of lethal means
- Having been exposed to suicide (e.g., suicide or suicide attempt in family members or friends; media reporting)

\*Adapted from Cash and Bridge (2009).

### ***Psychiatric Disorder***

Psychopathology is another major risk factor for suicide in children and adolescents. Psychiatric disorders are present in 80% to 90% of suicides (Hoertel et al, 2015, Nock et al, 2013). *Mood, anxiety, conduct* and *substance use disorders* are the most important conditions. School dropout and co-occurring mental disorders as a consequence of specific learning disorders also play a role (APA, 2013). Besides co-morbidity the *severity of impairment* caused by psychiatric symptoms as well as the *total symptom load* is of importance. The severity of symptom-related impairment explained most of the risk for suicidality associated with current psychiatric disorders in the Great Smoky Mountains Study (Foley et al, 2006). Sleep problems also seem to be closely associated with suicidality (Wong et al, 2016). Within this national survey, two of the three insomnia symptoms had a significant association with suicide thoughts and plan even after controlling for psychiatric disorders that were known to affect suicidality. Having trouble falling sleeping or staying asleep had both direct and indirect relationships (via substance use, mood and anxiety disorders) on suicidal behavior.

Apart from the presence of manifest psychiatric disorders, *health risk behaviors* (disruptive, sexual, and substance use behaviors) are associated with higher suicidal risk in young adolescents (Seguin et al, 2014). Feelings of *hopelessness and worthlessness* as well as *impulsive aggression* are also linked with suicidality. Although these states often occur within the context of depression or conduct disorder, they may also be significant in individuals without a psychiatric disorder. This also refers to the disinhibiting effects of *acute intoxication*, which may account for suicidal acts in individuals without manifest substance dependence.


Suicidal behavior can also occur in youngsters without current psychiatric disorder. In the Great Smoky Mountains Study, youth suicide in the absence of a psychiatric disorder was related to prior suicidal behavior, legal and disciplinary problems, and psychiatric disorders in the family.

### ***Family Environment***

*Parental psychopathology* and history of *suicidal behavior in the family* are associated with higher suicide risk in the offspring. The liability to suicide in families may be independent of a family history of psychiatric illness. Children of parents with mood disorders show more suicide attempts when there is a history of parental suicidality, as compared to the offspring of parents without suicide attempts. Family psychopathology – especially suicide attempts in mothers and substance misuse in both parents – and family discord predict higher suicidality in adolescence even when psychiatric morbidity is controlled for (Björkenstam et al, 2017, review from Turecki & Brent, 2016).

### ***Adversity***

In the Great Smoky Mountains Study, poverty was associated with suicidal behavior irrespective of the psychiatric profile or the severity of psychopathology. Stability of the psychosocial network is also relevant. In a Danish study, frequent changes of residence were associated with an increased risk of attempted and completed suicide in children and adolescents (Qin et al, 2009). Younger subgroups of suicides often present a high burden of adversity and a history of childhood abuse/neglect (Seguin et al, 2014). The severity of sexual abuse correlated with the



Émile Durkheim (1858-1917), French sociologist, sought to explain suicide as a social phenomenon.

### Modeling suicidal behavior – The “Werther effect”

There has been much discussion about the influence of media depictions of suicidal acts on the frequency of suicide. A classic example is what happened in Germany after the publication of Goethe's popular book “The Sorrows of Young Werther” in 1774. Publication was followed by a number of suicides of young people. This was attributed to the vivid description of the young protagonist romantically killing himself after being rejected by the woman he loved. The book was subsequently banned in most of Europe.

Suicide clustering following media reporting and fictional presentation of suicide on television does occur (“Werther-effect”). According to a German naturalistic study (Schmidtke & Hafner, 1988), broadcast of a soap opera showing the railway suicide of a 19 year-old male resulted in an increase (175%) in railway suicides among 15 to 19 year-old males. Factors associated with imitation are: time (suicides peak in the first few days after publication), amount and prominence of media coverage, similarity between victim and teenager, celebrity status of the victim, specific description of the suicide (method, time, place), romanticized and sensationalized reporting, suggestions that there is an epidemic, and simplifying the reasons for suicide (World Health Organization, 2008).



Suicide attempts and suicides among peers (Hazell & Lewin, 1993) also increase the risk. Different pathways can lead to a clustering of suicides. Apart from posttraumatic stress symptoms, bereavement and assortative friendships with vulnerable peers, mere imitation is a significant factor.

risk of suicide attempts in a Canadian sample (Brezo et al, 2008). This is observed even in preadolescents. In a study of maltreated 8-year-old children, 10% reported suicidal ideation (Thompson et al, 2005).

### Stressors

*Bullying* is associated with suicidal attempts and self-harming behavior. In a two-year longitudinal study bullying and victimization was associated with suicidal ideation. Interestingly, cyber bullying was not associated with suicidal ideation after controlling for baseline suicidal ideation (Bannink et al, 2014). In a Finnish study, frequent victimization among girls was associated with later suicide attempts and completed suicide even after controlling for psychopathology (Klomek et al, 2009).

Other relevant stressors include *legal or disciplinary crises, incarceration* and *school difficulties*. Highly competitive placement examinations for high schools may contribute to suicide. For example, in 2010 in Turkey, 13 young people aged up to 19 years committed suicide, which was hypothesized to be associated with recent academic failure (TurkStat; Suicide Statistics, 2010, 2011).

### Sexuality

There is good evidence that gay, lesbian, and bisexual young people are at increased risk of suicidal behavior (Haas et al, 2011). This may be more so when “coming out” or when families are not supportive (Ryan et al, 2009).

### Internet and Social Networks

A broad spectrum of suicide websites is available on the Internet. There are also helpful “anti-suicide” websites, mostly maintained by self-help groups, governments, or international organizations. On the other hand, there are sites clearly celebrating suicide, giving advice on lethal means, offering chat groups in which users can announce their intention to suicide or fix a date for a joint



suicide (for a review see Marchant et al, 2017). Some of these websites are linked to specific subcultures (e.g., Emo). A [German service](#) aiming to protect youths from harmful web sites reported about 450 critical sites, about 40% of them promoting or trivializing suicide.

## SUICIDAL BEHAVIOR


### Stages of Suicidal Behavior

Suicidality can be conceptualized as a continuum with thoughts about death at one end and serious suicidal acts at the other (Figure E.4.5; Bronisch & Hegerl, 2011). Acute suicidality often develops in a stepwise fashion, with increasing and more specific ideation and planning overcoming ambivalence and the individual becoming more and more determined.

As suicidal ideation becomes more specific, a characteristic “pre-suicidal syndrome” may develop (Ringel, 1959; Ringel, 1976). According to Warnke (2008) it is characterized by:

- Feelings of hopelessness, self-blame, of being alone and misunderstood
- Negative ruminations, self-pity
- Inactivity and social withdrawal
- Inhibited aggression turned toward the self (auto-aggression)
- Suicidal fantasies and planning
- Dysphoria
- Somatic symptoms, sleep problems, fatigue, and loss of appetite.

Once the decision to commit suicide is made, the suicidal person may be less agitated and may appear more stable, leading clinicians to underestimate



the suicide risk. Presence of the pre-suicidal syndrome should be a warning sign. However, this may be less so in children and adolescents in whom impulsive suicide is more common than in adults. Therefore, it has to be kept in mind that suicide attempts may occur during any of these stages.

Suicide usually occurs in a crisis and it rarely happens in the absence of other important factors. For this crisis to take place it usually requires a predisposition, a trigger, a facilitator, and availability of a method to carry it through. Being aware of this allows possible interventions to decrease the risk at various stages of the crisis.

- *Predisposition.* As already discussed, youth who attempt suicide have a predisposition to do so, in many cases because of a mental health problem, often depression.
- *Trigger.* Most suicides or suicide attempts take place after something happened that made adolescents feel unhappy, afraid or angry. Conflicts with other people (parents, friends, school, police) are frequent triggers. Examples are disciplinary crises (when teenagers are found to have done something wrong such as stealing and are awaiting punishment), a public humiliation, the threat of separation from a girlfriend or boyfriend, and disclosure of sexual abuse. These are usually perceived as more catastrophic by the teenager than by other people.
- *Facilitator.* The strong emotions produced by crises are more likely to lead to suicide if the young person's judgment is impaired by alcohol or drugs. Other facilitators include identification with someone well known or admired who had committed suicide, suicides among family members or friends, or if the teenager lacks firm religious beliefs that forbid suicide.
- *Opportunity.* Finally, adolescents need to have access to the means of committing suicide in an acceptable way for them. Although it may appear strange, people often have a clear idea about the way they would like to kill themselves.

As an example, features of the development of suicidality which are relevant for suicide prevention are shown in figure E.4.6 (American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, AACAP, 2001).


### Course

In most studies about the natural history of self-harming behavior no distinction is made between non suicidal self-injury and suicide attempts. This is partly due to research relying on data gathered in non-psychiatric routine clinical care (without thorough examination with respect to suicidal intent). Moreover, in a significant proportion of patients non suicidal and suicidal self-harm co-occur. As stated above, the risk for completed suicide is considerably increased in individuals with previous suicide attempts. A recent naturalistic, prospective study of adolescents followed after psychiatric hospitalization into adulthood, with multiple assessments per participant, provided an opportunity to examine different developmental trajectories of suicidal thoughts and behaviors, as well as associated demographic variables, risk factors, protective factors and non suicidal outcomes (Goldston et al. 2016). The authors analyzed data from 180 adolescents (ages 12–18 at recruitment) who were repeatedly assessed over an average of 13.6 years (2,273 assessments) since their psychiatric hospitalization. The results indicated four classes that were associated with distinct patterns of correlates



Click on the picture to hear a powerful anti-suicide message ("If you're contemplating suicide, this is for you...") by the gifted rapper Angel Haze. Warning: coarse language. (04:12)

Figure E.4.6 Model of the development of suicidality with types of targeted preventive interventions



Reproduced with permission from Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with suicidal behavior. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry. 40 (sup):24S-51S. ©2001 American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.

in risk and protective factors and non suicidal outcomes. The *highest risk class* corresponded to chronically anxious and hopeless individuals, with fewer reasons for living over time, and increasing impulsivity during adulthood. This was the only class with a higher likelihood of sexual trauma, and a higher proportion of time experiencing episodes of major depression and general anxiety disorder. The *increasing risk class* was marked by greater impulsivity and self-reported aggression, as well as impairment in role performance throughout adulthood and elevated trait anxiety. The *decreasing and lowest risk classes* showed high levels of trait anxiety and fewer reasons for living, but were able to develop greater skills in emotional and behavioral regulation as they matured, or benefited from changes in circumstances as they transitioned into living more independently. These data highlight the heterogeneity of developmental patterns of suicidal thoughts and behaviors among different groups of at-risk youths and young adults.

In a large British sample of children and adolescents aged less than 15 years who presented to general hospitals because of deliberate self-harm, long-term follow-up (11 years) pointed to death by suicide in 1% of the patients (Hawton & Harris, 2008). This reflects a relatively low individual risk of completed suicide in self-harming patients even in the long term. On the other hand, the data suggest that people who self-harm have a risk of suicide 100 times higher than in the general population.

## ASSESSMENT


There are questionnaires to screen for suicide risk in children and adolescents but will not be discussed here in detail because most are only available in English and data on their psychometric properties and specific translations are often supported by too few studies to allow for evaluation of accuracy (Runeson et al, 2017; Horowitz et al, 2009; the interested reader may also refer to AACAP, 2001).

Because of its availability owing to its translation into more than 100 languages, the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS; Posner et al, 2011) is described. The C-SSRS was designed to distinguish between suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior measuring four constructs:

1. Severity of ideation (wish to be dead, nonspecific active suicidal thoughts, suicidal thoughts including methods, suicidal intent, and suicidal intent with a plan)
2. Intensity of ideation (frequency, duration, controllability, deterrents, and reason for ideation)
3. Behavior (actual, aborted, and interrupted attempts; preparatory behavior; and non suicidal self-injurious behavior)
4. Lethality.

Different forms of this scale have been developed, including versions for children. Forms are available on the [C-SSRS website](#). Psychometric properties appear to be good (Posner et al, 2011), and administration time is only a few minutes. A training program is available on the website, which is required for clinical trials and strongly recommended for clinical practice before administering the C-SSRS.

Rating scales can be helpful for screening purposes – e.g., in prevention projects to detect individuals at risk. However, a clinical assessment by a trained professional is required to assess suicide risk. This assessment should comprise a



Click on the picture to access the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) training program.

**Table E.4.6 Examples of questions to screen for suicide risk (DGPPN, 2009)**

- "Have you been thinking that you don't want to live anymore or that life is not worth living?"
- "Does this happen often?"
- "Do you think about it unintentionally? That is: Have you been bothered by unwanted suicidal thoughts?"
- "Have you been able to push these thoughts aside?"
- "Do you have specific ideas about how you would do it?"
- "Did you make any plans or preparations?"
- "Is there anything that prevents you from doing it?"
- "Did you talk to anyone about your suicidal ideas?"
- "Did you ever try to kill yourself?"
- "Has anyone in your family or among your friends or acquaintances committed suicide?"

**Table E.4.7 Indicators of acute suicide risk - current individual factors (mental state examination)***Suicidal ideation:*

- No disassociation from suicidality even after a long conversation
- Pressing suicidal thoughts, emerging spontaneously
- Precise suicide plan (method, place, time, or other specifications)
- Preparation for suicide, especially in the case of a violent method; preparations to say goodbye
- Altruistic (pseudo-altruistic) ideas of suicide; ideas of self-sacrifice

*Symptoms and co-morbidities:*

- Marked hopelessness (missing perspectives for the future; low academic achievement; failing on the job; the conflict leading to suicidal ideation remains unsolved)
- Recent social withdrawal
- High agitation, irritability, aggression, emotional instability or panic
- Intoxication (alcohol, drugs)
- Symptoms of acute psychosis
- Impaired impulse control and disinhibition (e.g., in the case of alcohol intoxication, acute delirium or mania)
- Symptoms of severe depression
- Prominent symptoms of personality disorder or conduct disorder, most notably in combination with alcohol abuse
- Severe self-injuring behavior

*Other important factors:*

- A stable rapport cannot be established
- Patient making the effort to be admitted to inpatient-treatment
- Time of examination within a one-year period after the last suicide attempt

The co-occurrence of various risk factors should be taken into account. This is exemplified in the following case vignettes:

- A 14 year-old girl was unsure about her sexual orientation; she had romantic feelings towards a female classmate who had harshly rejected her recently. The girl performed poorly academically and had become increasingly depressed three months prior to examination. Psychiatric assessment was prompted by her posting a suicide note in a chat room. Her parents reported that her uncle had died by suicide two years earlier.
- A 16 year-old was referred for psychiatric assessment by the emergency unit where self-inflicted skin cuts on his wrists had been treated; he was also inebriated. The young man had been stigmatized by a congenital haemangioma on his left cheek. He had been brought up by his single mother on her own, she had become pregnant with him at the age of 17; she had not had a stable relationship. The boy had talked about recent suicide attempts with his classmates.



**Table E.4.8 Indicators of acute suicide risk - individual factors in the past (patient history) and in the family (family history)**

**Patient history**

- Previous suicide attempts
- Suicides in the surroundings

*Social stresses*

- Loss of a loved one - most notably a parent - by suicide, natural or accidental death, separation, divorce or long-standing absence
- End of a close relationship (romantic or friendship)
- Missing social contacts and poor integration in the peer group leading to feelings of being lonely and rejected, most notably in the case of migration or relocation

*Symptoms and co-morbidities*

- Aggressive behaviour and delinquency
- Psychiatric disorders, most notably depression and substance misuse/dependency
- Children: poor discipline, school absenteeism

*Other important factors*

- No religious or similar attachments
- Seemingly unsolvable conflicts due to unwanted pregnancy
- Conflicts due to homosexuality or gender identity

**Family history**

- Suicides and suicide attempts in family members
- Paternal psychopathology
- A family history of violence, misuse and substance abuse
- Unstable family relations and unresolved conflicts in the family, missing social support by family members



Access to lethal means increases suicide risk.

**Table E.4.9 Indicators of acute suicide risk - characteristics of prior suicide attempt**

- Suicide attempts or suicidal thoughts referring to a “hard method” (e.g., hanging, shooting, jumping from a high place, jumping or lying before moving vehicle)
- The suicide attempt is well-thought-out with a clear planning
- Low chance of help and intervention (specific arrangements were made to prevent being found, e.g., suicide attempt in isolation, far from others, time of suicide chosen to prevent being found, not alerting others after the suicidal act)
- Others have been informed of the suicide intention, a suicide note was written
- A clear wish to die is expressed without relief about being still alive

**Table E.4.10 Specific issues in the assessment of pre-pubertal children – example of questions\***

Interviewing children whose grasp of the concepts of time, causality and death may be limited:

- “Do you think about killing yourself more than once or twice a day?”
- “Have you tried to kill yourself since last summer/since school began?”
- “What do you think would happen when you tried to jump out of the window?”
- “What would happen if you died; what would that be like?”

Interviewing parents about suicidal ideation and behavior in their children

- “What exactly happened (step by step) on the day your child spoke of wanting or tried to hurt himself?”
- “How did you find out that your child was thinking about or trying to hurt himself?”
- “What were you doing when your child was thinking about or trying to hurt himself?”
- “What happened after your child thought about or tried to hurt himself?”


\*Jacobsen et al (1994)

physical and psychiatric examination including a comprehensive history (with information from patient, parents, and significant others) to obtain information about acute psychosocial stressors, psychiatric diagnoses, current mental status and circumstances of prior suicide attempts.

Suicidality should be addressed directly and openly in a supportive atmosphere. There is no risk to cause suicidality by talking about it; there is a risk of ignoring suicidality if the topic is avoided. Assessment should be stepwise, from general to specific questions. Examples of questions to be asked when screening for suicidality are given in table E.4.6. Further assessment may be skipped if acute suicide risk can be ruled out. On the other hand, exploration will be more detailed if risk factors for suicide become apparent.

A sophisticated exploration will comprehensively cover all known suicide risk factors; these are summarized in tables E.4.7 to E.4.9 (Warnke, 2008), comprising:

- Current individual factors (mental state examination)
- Individual factors in the past (patient’s history) and in the family (family history)
- Specific characteristics of prior suicide attempts.



Click on the picture to view the NICE guideline “Self-harm: The Short-Term Physical and Psychological Management and Secondary Prevention of Self-Harm in Primary and Secondary Care”

[Click here to access the NICE guideline “Self-harm: Longer-Term Management”](#)

**Table E.4.11 Suicide risk assessment\***

	High risk	Medium risk	Low risk
<p>'At risk' mental state</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Depressed</li> <li>Psychotic</li> <li>Hopelessness, despair</li> <li>Guilt, shame, anger, agitation</li> <li>Impulsivity</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Severe depression</li> <li>Command hallucinations or delusions about dying</li> <li>Preoccupied with hopelessness, despair, feelings of worthlessness</li> <li>Severe anger, hostility.</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Moderate depression</li> <li>Some sadness</li> <li>Some symptoms of psychosis</li> <li>Some feelings of hopelessness</li> <li>Moderate anger, hostility.</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil or mild depression, sadness</li> <li>No psychotic symptoms</li> <li>Feels hopeful about the future</li> <li>None/mild anger, hostility.</li> </ul>
<p>Suicide attempt or suicidal thoughts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intentionality</li> <li>Lethality</li> <li>Access to means</li> <li>Previous suicide attempts</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continual/specific thoughts</li> <li>Evidence of clear intention</li> <li>An attempt with high lethality (ever).</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Frequent thoughts</li> <li>Multiple attempts of low lethality</li> <li>Repeated threats.</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil or vague thoughts</li> <li>No recent attempt or one recent attempt of low lethality and low intentionality.</li> </ul>
<p>Substance disorder (current misuse of alcohol and other drugs)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Current substance intoxication, abuse or dependence.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk of substance intoxication, abuse or dependence.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil or infrequent use of substances.</li> </ul>
<p>Corroborative history</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Family, carers</li> <li>Medical records</li> <li>Other service providers and sources</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unable to access information, unable to verify information, or there is a conflicting account of events to that of those of the person at risk.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Access to some information</li> <li>Doubts about plausibility of person's account of events.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Able to access or verify information and account of events of person at risk (logic, plausibility).</li> </ul>
<p>Strengths and supports (coping &amp; connectedness)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expressed communication</li> <li>Availability of supports</li> <li>Willingness and capacity of support persons</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient is refusing help</li> <li>Lack of supportive relationships or hostile relationships</li> <li>Relatives or friends not available, unwilling or unable to help</li> <li>Parental mental illness</li> <li>Violence or substance misuse in the family.</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient is ambivalent</li> <li>Moderate connectedness</li> <li>Few relationships, may be available but unwilling or unable to help consistently</li> <li>Moderate mental symptoms in family members</li> <li>Some instability or dysfunctional parenting.</li> </ul>	<p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient is accepting help</li> <li>Therapeutic alliance forming</li> <li>Highly connected, good relationships and supports</li> <li>Relationships willing and able to help consistently</li> <li>Supporting family environment.</li> </ul>
<p>Reflective practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Level &amp; quality of engagement</li> <li>Changeability of risk level</li> <li>Assessment confidence in risk level</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Low assessment confidence or high changeability or no rapport</li> <li>Poor engagement</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High assessment confidence and low changeability</li> <li>Good rapport, engagement.</li> </ul>

**No (foreseeable) risk:** Following comprehensive suicide risk assessment, there is no evidence of current risk to the person. No thoughts of suicide or history of attempts, has a good social support network.

\*Modified from NSW Department of Health (2004, p20)

**Table E.4.12 Acute management of suicidal behaviour\***

<b>High risk or high changeability or low assessment confidence: Re-assess within 24 hours</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure the patient is in an appropriately safe and secure environment</li> <li>• Organise re-assessment within 24 hours</li> <li>• Organise ongoing management and close monitoring</li> <li>• Make contingency plans for rapid re-assessment if distress or symptoms escalate.</li> </ul>
<b>Medium risk (significant but moderate risk): Re-assess within one week</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organise re-assessment within one week</li> <li>• Make contingency plans for rapid re-assessment if distress or symptoms escalate</li> </ul>
<b>Low risk (definite but low suicide risk): Re-assess within one month</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organise re-assessment within one month (timeframe for review should be determined based on clinical judgment)</li> <li>• Reassess within one week after discharge from an in-patient unit</li> <li>• Provide written information on 24-hour access to suitable clinical care</li> </ul>

\*NSW Department of Health (2004a, p21).

Table E.4.10 gives examples of the type of issues that need to be explored when evaluating suicide risk in prepubertal children. Apart from the topics already mentioned, specific aspects refer to the difficulties interviewing children whose understanding of time, causality, and death may be inadequate.

## RISK ASSESSMENT

### Acute Suicide Risk is Low if:

- There is no major psychiatric disorder present requiring treatment
- The patient is able to form an adequate therapeutic alliance with the clinician
- The suicide attempter did not intend to die
- The patient clearly and believably states that a re-attempt will not take place
- The patient is hopeful about the future and has concrete goals with respect to his engagement in everyday activities
- Stable social and family support is available
- No significant life stressors are present.

Often suicide risk cannot be excluded definitively and an estimation of the level of risk is needed. Table E.4.11 presents a summary of factors that need to be considered for categorizing patients as being at high, medium, low, or no foreseeable risk of suicide (NSW Department of Health, 2004a).

Special attention has to be paid to the *changeability of risk* (e.g., suicide risk is not static, it varies due to changes in mental state, relationships or stressors) and to the *confidence* on the assessment. Low assessment confidence can be attributed to (1) factors within the person (e.g., mental illness, the patient is intoxicated); (2) factors within the social environment (e.g., a divorce with a custody battle causing one parent to remain silent about problems), or (3) factors within the assessment procedure (e.g., incomplete assessment, the patient refuses to give information) (NSW Department of Health, 2004a).

## MANAGEMENT OF SUICIDAL BEHAVIOR

Optimal treatment requires a continuum of services including emergency intervention, outpatient, home-based, day, and inpatient treatment (Steele & Doey, 2007). In practice, however, this is often not possible due to lack of resources.

The first step in managing suicidality is to make sure that the child or adolescent at risk is safe and receives support. The management strategy depends on the level and changeability of risk and assessment confidence (see Table E.4.11).

At the emergency department, young persons who have made a suicide attempt should be assessed by an experienced mental health professional, including interview with parents or relatives and a thorough risk assessment. Staff should be trained to provide care for suicidal youths, who are often disliked by emergency room staff. Suicidal youth need to be treated with the same attention and respect

A 14 year old boy (Michael) was meandering on the railway tracks when he was removed by railway workers. The police were called and initiated a referral to the child psychiatry service. During assessment Michael admitted that he had repeatedly falsified school report cards to hide his poor grades. A meeting between his parents and his teacher was to take place soon and the truth would inevitably be unearthed. Michael was afraid of his parents' reaction and had no idea about how to deal with this situation. He thought that getting injured or killed on the tracks was the only way to avoid the confrontation with his parents. Besides his poor achievement at school no other major risk factors for suicide could be determined. He was able to discuss his wrongdoings with his parents who accepted his apologies. Since there were no symptoms of other mental disorders and he did not intend harming himself any longer, he was discharged from the child psychiatric unit one day after admission. An appointment with the child psychiatrist had been scheduled for three days after discharge. Michael and his parents agreed that involving the school should also be part of the management plan. Poor grades and the impending meeting with the schoolmaster were still a significant worry for him.

The contingency plan worked out with Michael and his parents was as follows:

1. **For Michael:** *If I'm feeling desperate and have a strong urge to harm myself, I will openly talk to my parents. We will contact <name of the mental health professional>. <name of the mental health professional> will offer an appointment on the same or the following day.*
2. **For Michael:** *If my parents are not present at that time, I will address a person I trust <names of persons>. If no such person is available, I will contact <name of the mental health professional> myself.*
3. **For Michael and his parents:** *If <name of the mental health professional> cannot be contacted, we will phone <emergency number of the child psychiatric unit> and request an immediate appointment. The <child psychiatric unit> will offer this appointment within a few hours.*
4. **For Michael's parents:** *If Michael feels as described above, one of us will stay with him until the appointment takes place. If this cannot be ensured, we will call for an immediate emergency appointment as specified above.*
5. *If, for some reason, <name of the mental health professional> cannot offer an appointment, an appointment will be organised with a colleague instead.*

**Contingency planning** (NSW Department of Health, 2004a, p23).

Contingency planning requires the clinician and the person at risk and their family to anticipate likely escalations of risk such as:

- Deterioration of family relationships
- Increase in symptoms (depression, insomnia, hallucinations, suicidal feelings)
- Temporary unavailability of the clinician or acute care team.

Contingency planning may be framed, communicated and documented in the following manner:

- If the patient <e.g., has a worsening of suicidal thoughts>, then the patient will <actions to be performed, e.g., phone doctor NN, speak with parents about it>
- The family will <actions to be performed by the family, e.g., ensure the patient is not left alone, phone doctor NN, give prescribed medication>
- The service will <actions to be performed by the service, e.g., organise an urgent review, do a home visit>



as any other patient, avoiding either blaming them for endangering their life or making well-meant attempts to cheer them up while dismissing the severity of their predicament. Before discharge, it is essential to have prepared a plan to deal with the crisis, making sure that supporting contact (e.g., telephone) is available 24 hours a day. “To-do-steps” of the contingency plan will depend on the intensity of the emotional crisis and should be worked out in cooperation with the patient and caregivers (NSW Department of Health, 2004a). Before discharging a suicidal patient from an emergency unit or crisis center it is always necessary to (AACAP, 2001):

- Counsel patient and family about the disinhibiting effects of drugs or alcohol
- Check that firearms and lethal medications and substances can be effectively secured or removed
- Check that there is a supportive person at home
- Check that a follow-up appointment has been scheduled (see Table E.4.12).

In addition, a no-suicide contract may be helpful (formulated as a commitment by the patient and not as an insurance for the mental health professional since there is no empirical evidence that no-suicide contracts are actually effective). A no-suicide contract usually includes (1) confirmation that the patient is not to endanger his life during a defined short period (e.g., the next day, until the next session with the therapist); (2) a commitment by the patient to adhere to the therapy; (3) a commitment by the patient to comply with the contingency plan.

The management of suicidality in youth requires clear communication with the young person and family. This also holds for contact with the school, which should always be attempted after obtaining permission from the young person and family.

### **Important Messages to the Suicidal Youth, His Parents and Teachers**

It is essential that clinicians inspire confidence and give the impression that they are familiar with this type of problem and in control, avoiding fueling unnecessary drama but calming, reassuring and soothing everyone involved. However, one needs to be careful about not deceiving patients or promising things that one cannot deliver.

#### ***Messages to the Suicidal Youth:***

- My main concern is your safety and I will try to ensure that the best way I can
- Your treatment will be kept confidential unless you give permission to discuss it with other professionals or unless there is immediate risk to your or other people’s life
- Many young people think about death or suicide and some try to kill themselves at one time or another
- Almost every decision you make can be changed. However, death is final and irrevocable. It’s worth taking enough time to balance the pros and cons
- We would like to know more about you. Together we may get to understand the circumstances that placed you in this crisis

- Every suicidal youth I have met had reasons for their self-destructive ideas but in most cases reasons changed over time
- I am sure that together we can find a way out of your predicament – even if this seems out of reach for you right now
- As a first step we will try to formulate a contingency plan with you and your parents.

***Messages to parents and caregivers:***

- Suicidal thoughts and attempts are not uncommon in young people. In most of cases, this is an acute crisis that is overcome within a short period of time. We are familiar with managing suicidal crises and will frankly discuss every step with you
- Like with every medical treatment we are bound by confidentiality. In the course of treatment, it may be useful to establish contact with other professionals (e.g., teachers, psychologists). However, contact will only be established with your child's consent or if there is immediate risk to your child's life
- The first priority is to ensure your child's safety. Close supervision is needed until we can estimate the suicide risk. Depending on the estimated risk, we will decide after consultation with you and your child on further treatment options
- As a first step we will formulate a contingency plan for you, your child and us
- Dealing with suicidal behavior is only effective if there is a close cooperation between you, your child and treatment team.

Parents should receive specific information on the setting and therapeutic options for the treatment of their child. They should have the opportunity to express their opinions and feelings. Unscheduled contact (by phone or face to face) should be offered to deal with suicidal behavior, or if they have worrying questions or complaints about the management.

***Messages to Teachers and School Staff:***

- When the suicidal youth return to school, a thorough risk estimation will have been made which will have concluded they are stable enough to engage in daily school activities
- A contingency plan to deal with potential issues will have been worked out. This includes specific advice about what school staff can do and who to contact in case of concern about the student
- Because school is an important part of a young person's life, teachers and other school staff can be of assistance in helping students settle after a suicidal crisis. Specific strategies will be discussed with school staff, the family and health professionals
- Teachers should regularly talk to the student and parents to keep track of his progress
- Suicidality is a dynamic rather than a static phenomenon. Hence, students may experience a crisis again. Teachers should feel free to talk openly to the young persons if they have concerns that they may be deteriorating. Avoid arguing or giving hasty advice but speak with the treating clinician instead.
- General strategies in case of a suicidal crisis may include:



Click on the picture to view eighth grader Jonah Mowry share his story of years of bullying and self-injury, and fears for the future (04:36)

- Do not leave the suicidal student alone, even for a short time; escort the student away from other students to a safe place
- Ask whether they are in possession of potentially dangerous objects or medications. If students have dangerous items, try to persuade them to give them to you but do not engage in a physical struggle
- If a student keeps dangerous items, clearly makes suicidal statements or is agitated, call an ambulance, the police and the student's parents
- If students are cooperative, contact the parents and ask them to pick up their child
- Tell students and their parents that you would like to contact the patient's treating mental health service to inform them of the situation
- School staff should document all actions taken.

### **Hospital Admission or Not**

Not all persons at risk of suicide can or should be admitted to hospital. Hospitalization may have little benefit and even increase risk for some people. Many issues are involved in the decision to hospitalize – availability of resources, hospital beds, informal support networks, and cultural traditions may all play a role – and there are no hard and fast rules but such a decision should be made on clinical grounds and involving the patient and family. If in doubt, one should consult with a colleague. In general, hospitalization may be needed if:

- Suicide risk is high, particularly if no alternative ways of ensuring the patient's safety are available
- There has been a recent suicide attempt requiring intensive medical care
- It is not possible to reliably estimate suicide risk.

If hospitalization is required, treatment should ideally take place in a secure child psychiatry ward. If this is not possible – e.g., surgical or medical care is needed – close supervision of the patient has to be assured. When acute suicidality occurs together with high agitation, short-term medication may be considered (e.g., benzodiazepines, neuroleptics). Inpatient treatment can be life-saving, provides relief from acute stressors and overwhelming demands, and may enable patients to gradually regain control of their lives as well as to start treatment of concurrent psychiatric illnesses.

Inpatient units treating suicidal patients should establish protocols to maximize safety. This includes routine search on admission and further searches when there are grounds for suspicion. Special attention has to be paid to the physical surroundings (e.g., hanging points, blind spots, exits, design of windows, storage of poisonous substances). An "Access to Means of Suicide and Deliberate Self-Harm Facility Checklist" can be found in the NSW Mental Health Service (2004b).

### **General Principles for the Management of Suicidality**

Management should be individually tailored to target the risk factors for a specific patient. Interventions should not be restricted to specific

psychopharmacological or psychotherapeutic interventions but involve a comprehensive treatment plan including other strategies to achieve relief from acute stressors. This will largely depend on the services available. In low income countries this comprehensive management may be unrealistic. However, in most places, informal supports can be marshalled to ensure patients safety by enlisting the support of the extended family, community elders and religious figures. These principles may include:

- Strategies to improve adherence to treatment (e.g., offering short interventions that are acceptable to the youth; sometimes focusing on support is more effective than insight-oriented psychotherapy)
- Active involvement of the patient and parents in the planning and implementation of interventions
- Protecting the patient if major adversities threatening the patient's mental and physical health are present
- Offering support to the family (e.g., in case of marital conflict, problems arising from divorce, parental mental illness, poor parenting practices, parental substance misuse)
- Enlisting support from child welfare agencies if indicated
- Organizing for relief from excessive demands (e.g., school demands exceeding patients' current capacity)
- Treating underlying psychiatric disorders
- Regular monitoring for recurrence of suicidal behavior
- An emergency plan for acute suicidal crises
- Offering flexible treatment sessions, time and frequency adapted to the patient's needs
- Establishing an effective communication between all the professionals involved (social worker, psychotherapist, child psychiatrist, pediatrician).

### **Psychosocial Interventions**

Psychosocial interventions comprise:

- *Interventions to foster help-seeking behavior and to improve follow-up* are important because of the low treatment compliance that has repeatedly been described for youths who attempted suicide: motivating youths and parents by providing psychoeducation during the attendance to the emergency department. Moreover, compliance is fostered by actively contacting the families immediately after discharge from the emergency department (review from Luxton et al, 2013).
- *Organizing support for the suicidal youth*— e.g., by social workers assisting the youth's aftercare. Other approaches involve identifying and coaching support persons in the patient's environment (parents, extended family members, individuals at school or the religious community).
- *Strategies to improve parent-child relationships*. These include improving problem solving within the family, parenting, and communication skills.
- *Cognitive behavior therapy (CBT)*
- *Multisystemic therapy (MST)*
- *Dialectic behavioral therapy (DBT)* (see Chapter H.4). Originally

developed for the treatment of borderline personality disorder, DBT involves training in mindfulness, interpersonal skills, emotion regulation, and stress tolerance and it has been adapted for adolescents with suicidal behavior.

Most effective treatments for suicidal behavior share a number of common elements: using exploratory interventions to understand the suicidal behavior and change-oriented interventions to encourage positive and discourage negative behaviors.

Empirical data on the effectiveness of interventions are sparse and, currently, no intervention can be recommended over another (Robinson et al, 2011; Turecki & Brent 2016). In respect to engagement in therapy, specific psychological treatments seem not to be superior to treatment as usual (Ougrin & Latif, 2011). DBT is probably the most commonly investigated psychotherapy for recurrent suicidal behavior. DBT has repeatedly been shown to reduce the recurrence of suicidal behavior relative to treatment as usual, with more modest differences relative to expert community care (Cochrane review from Stoffers et al, 2013). However, with respect to reducing recurrence of suicidal behavior, larger effects are found in adults compared to adolescents, and in individual vs. group treatment when suicidality is an explicit treatment focus (Turecki & Brent 2016). For adolescents, a meta-analysis of studies addressing self-harm found an overall effect of treatment vs. therapy as usual, with some of the most promising interventions being CBT, DBT, and family therapy. Successful interventions were more likely to have a family component and be offered as multiple sessions (Ougrin et al, 2015).

### **Psychopharmacological Treatment**

There are no specific medications for suicidality. However, medication may be needed to treat underlying psychiatric disorders. Risk of suicidality with SSRI use has been extensively discussed (see Chapters A.8 and E.1). The implication is that depressed adolescents treated with medication, and their families, need to know about this risk, and what to do if suicidal behavior emerges. They require careful follow up. For further details on suicide treatment please see also Wasserman et al, (2012).

### **Problems and Risks in Treatment**

Pitfalls and lapses in the management of suicidal youth may occur if:

- There is not enough cooperation or communication between the agencies and people involved
- Collateral information is not obtained
- Comorbid conditions are not detected and treated
- Demands and stressors are overlooked
- Suicidal behavior is labeled as manipulative or not serious
- Minimization (“flight to health”) by the patient is uncritically accepted (e.g., “I am OK”, “There is nothing wrong with me”)
- There is too much focus on therapy, overburdening the patient
- Confrontation strategies are too hard
- There is not enough validation of the patient’s predicament
- There is too much use of clichés



World Health Organization

The World Health Organization has useful guides for suicide prevention targeting:

- Physicians
- Teachers and school staff
- Media professionals



- Termination of treatment or non-adherence is accepted without scrutiny
- Signs of suicide risk are overlooked
- Suicidality is not monitored during the course of treatment
- Dependence on the therapist is overlooked at the end of treatment
- Therapists neglect their own supervision.

## PREVENTION

The World Health Organization suicide prevention program (SUPRE) emphasizes reducing mortality, morbidity and other consequences of suicidal behaviors by:

- Minimizing access to means of suicide (e.g., toxic substances, firearms)
- Early detection and treatment of mental disorders, and
- Responsible media reporting of suicide.

Risk factors are universal but their nature and relative importance differ from region to region. The WHO is running [suicide prevention programs](#) taking

**Table E.4.13 Preventing suicide: recommendations for teachers and school staff** (WHO, 2000; p16)

Suicide is not an incomprehensible bolt from the blue: suicidal students give people around them enough warnings and scope to intervene. In suicide prevention work, teachers and other school staff face a challenge of great strategic importance, in which it is fundamental to:

- Identify students with personality disturbances and offer them psychological support
- Forge closer bonds with young people by talking to them and trying to understand and help
- Alleviate mental distress
- Be observant of and trained in the early recognition of suicidal communication whether through verbal statements and/or behavioral changes
- Help less skillful students with their school work, to be observant of truancy
- De-stigmatize mental illness and help to eliminate misuse of alcohol and drugs, to refer students for treatment of psychiatric disorders and alcohol and drug abuse
- Restrict students' access to means of suicide - toxic and lethal drugs, pesticides, firearms and other weapons, etc.
- Give teachers and other school personnel on-the-spot access to means of alleviating their stress at work.

**Table E.4.14 Preventing suicide: recommendations for media professionals** (WHO, 2008; p3)

- Take the opportunity to educate the public about suicide
- Avoid language which sensationalizes or normalizes suicide, or presents it as a solution to problems
- Avoid prominent placement and undue repetition of stories about suicide
- Avoid explicit description of the method used in a completed or attempted suicide
- Avoid providing detailed information about the site of a completed or attempted suicide
- Word headlines carefully
- Exercise caution in using photographs or video footage
- Take particular care in reporting celebrity suicides
- Recognize that media professionals themselves may be affected by stories about suicide
- Show due consideration for people bereaved by suicide
- Provide information about where to seek help

culture-specific issues into account. For example, enhancing early recognition and treatment of mental disorders may be more important in low- than high-income countries; controlling access to firearms is more important in the US than in Western Europe where building physical barriers (e.g., in bridges, railways) to make jumping difficult is more relevant. Restricting access to pesticides and education about their effects are especially important in the countryside in low- and middle-income countries. Reducing harmful alcohol consumption is a major concern in Western and Eastern Europe, but of minor importance in the Middle East. Programs addressing the disadvantage of minority indigenous peoples are also necessary (e.g., Indigenous Australians, Maori in New Zealand, American Indians, and Inuit in Canada and Greenland).

Recommendations for schools and media professionals are summarized in Tables E.4.13 and E.4.14. For an overview of preventive strategies please see figure E.4.6.

Empirical evidence for the effectiveness of suicide-preventive interventions in reducing suicidal behavior is still rather poor but has strengthened during the past decade (Katz et al, 2013; Zalsman et al, 2016; AACAP, 2001). The following strategies have been investigated in interventional studies:

- Restricting access to lethal means, e.g., firearms, analgesics, or hot-spots for suicide by jumping (effective and recommended)
- Pharmacological and psychological treatments of depression (effective and recommended; anti-suicidal effects of clozapine and lithium have been demonstrated in adults)
- Direct case-finding among students or among the patients of primary care practitioners by screening for conditions that place teenagers at risk for suicide (inconsistent data on effectiveness but recommended)
- Media counseling to minimize imitative suicide (inconsistent data on effectiveness but recommended)
- School-based awareness programs (were shown to reduce suicide attempts; however, there are also data showing that indirect case-finding by educating the public, e.g., teachers, parents, peers, to identify warning signs may also increase suicides)
- Gatekeeper training, education of physicians, and internet and crisis helpline support (inconsistent data on effectiveness but recommended).

## NON SUICIDAL SELF-INJURY

This section deals with non suicidal self-injury (NSSI) in emotionally unstable patients acting out their inner distress. However, self-harming behavior may also occur in other psychiatric conditions such as in psychosis, mental retardation, pervasive development disorders, and severe deprivation. For management of self-harm in these disorders please refer to the respective chapter in this textbook. In addition to being dangerous in its own right, NSSI may be a risk factor for future suicidal behavior.

Non suicidal self-injury mainly involve skin lesions (e.g., cutting or carving the skin; picking at a wound; scraping, erasing or picking the skin until it bleeds; self-biting; inserting objects under the skin; self-tattooing; burning or freezing the skin; pulling hair out; self-hitting). Swallowing sharp or non-edible objects and non suicidal self-poisoning also occur. Refusal to take prescribed medication in

### IS SUICIDE PREDICTABLE?

Two meta-analyses may help to clarify this. [Large et al \(2016\)](#) reviewed longitudinal cohort studies on psychiatric patients or people with suicide attempts stratified into high-risk and lower-risk groups for suicide. After a mean follow-up of six years, 5.5% of the subjects in the high-risk group vs. 1% of those in the lower-risk group committed suicide. However, the accuracy of prediction was low and the combination of multiple risk factors for suicide did not substantially exceed the predictive power of individual risk factors. [Chan et al \(2016\)](#) examined prospective studies on risk factors and rating scales to predict suicide following self-harm. Again, the accuracy of prediction was low and the statistically significant risk factors (repeated self-harm, suicidal intent, male gender, physical health problems) are quite common in clinical populations and not specific for suicidality. It can be concluded that there are no reliable statistical strategies available to allow an accurate prediction of suicide. In clinical practice, a categorization into high or low suicide risk based on rating scales may be misleading and cannot be recommended. A thorough clinical assessment of the patient covering individual risks and needs is indispensable.

the case of severe illness or risky behaviors as a symptom of a specific psychiatric disorder are usually not subsumed under the concept of NSSI (e.g., refusing to eat in the case of anorexia nervosa, self-mutilation in the context of psychosis, auto-aggressive behavior in mental retardation). The relationship between culturally sanctioned self-mutilation (e.g., in the Goth scene in some Western societies, initiation rituals) and NSSI is not well understood.

### Epidemiology

Non suicidal, deliberate self-injury is very frequent in high-income countries. Lifetime prevalence of these behaviors range from 5.5–17% in community samples (among teens and adults respectively) and 50% in clinical samples (meta-analysis from Fox et al, 2015). Although there is little knowledge about the prevalence of NSSI before the early 2000s, prevalence rates have been rather stable across publications from different countries within the past 15 years when controlling for methodological differences (review from Brown & Plener, 2017). Prevalence is higher in late adolescence than early adolescence or childhood. The peak age of onset is early adolescence, between 12 and 14 years of age.

### Risk Factors

NSSI in adolescents is associated with high psychiatric morbidity, especially mood disorders, substance use disorders, and externalizing disorders (Nitkowski & Petermann, 2011). However, data on psychiatric morbidity in self-injuring individuals are limited because they mainly refer to clinical samples. According to a recent meta-analysis among specific NSSI risk factors, prior history of NSSI, cluster B personality disorder, and perceived hopelessness yielded the strongest predictive values (odds ratio > 3) (Fox et al, 2015). However, these results have to be interpreted with care since standardized NSSI measurement is still not available.

Besides psychopathology, other risk factors associated with NSSI are adolescent age, female gender, being bullied, negative life events, and symptoms often linked with psychiatric morbidity (e.g. depression, dissociation, anxiety poor self-esteem, deficits in emotion regulation) (for a review see Bresin & Schoenleber, 2015; Brunstein Klomek et al, 2016; Rasmussen et al, 2016; Jacobson & Gould, 2007). Stressful life events often involve interpersonal conflict, losses, family discord, difficulties with friends, problems in romantic relationships, and school

**Table E.4.15 Hypothesized functions of non suicidal self-injury and support by empirical evidence.\***

Function	Description	Evidence
Affect-regulation	To diminish acute negative affect or aversive affective arousal	Strong
Anti-dissociation	To end feelings of depersonalization or other dissociative states	Modest
Anti-suicide	To replace, compromise with, or avoid suicide attempts	Modest
Interpersonal boundaries	To assert one's autonomy or a distinction between self and others	Modest
Interpersonal-influence	To seek help from or manipulate others	Modest
Self-punishment	To punish oneself or express anger towards oneself	Strong
Sensation-seeking	To generate excitement	Modest

\*Klonsky (2007)

**Table E.4.16 Functional analysis of the consequences of non suicidal self-injury\***

	Intrapersonal	Interpersonal
<b>Positive reinforcement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· To punish oneself</li> <li>· To feel relaxed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· To get attention or help from significant others</li> <li>· To make others angry, punish them or to exert control</li> </ul>
<b>Negative reinforcement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· To distract from negative thoughts and feelings (affect-regulation)</li> <li>· To feel something, even if it is pain (anti-dissociation)</li> <li>· Injuries may prevent from engaging in serious self-harm (anti-suicide)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· To avoid doing something unpleasant (this should be differentiated from malingering)</li> </ul>

\*Nock and Prinstein (2004)

problems (de Kloet et al, 2011).

Jacobson et al (2008) in a chart review compared four groups of adolescent outpatients: (1) no NSSI, (2) NSSI alone, (3) suicide attempt, and (4) suicide attempt plus NSSI. They found that NSSI patients had similar rates of suicidal ideation as those without deliberate self-harm, supporting the validity of the distinction between suicide attempts and NSSI. Other results point to the following additional conclusions (Jacobson & Gould, 2007):

- Depression seems not to be a specific risk factor for NSSI (as compared to suicide attempt)
- The co-occurrence of NSSI and suicide attempts points to a more severe psychopathology
- All risk factors empirically found for NSSI are not specific and are also risk factors for suicidal behavior
- Suicidal behavior and NSSI are related: engaging in one behavior increases the likelihood of engaging in the other (Lofthouse & Yager-Schweller, 2009).

Table E.4.15 summarizes the different psychological functions that may be fulfilled by NSSI. According to a review by Klonsky (2007) all these models are at least moderately supported by empirical evidence. A framework to analyze NSSI behavior is provided by operant learning theory and summarized in Table E.4.16 (Nock & Prinstein, 2004, see also Brown & Plener, 2017). Self-injuring behavior has positive and negative reinforcement aspects and meets both intrapersonal and interpersonal (social) functions.

### Clinical Course

Most NSSI in adolescents resolves spontaneously by the time they become young adults. A series of surveys that followed 1800 adolescents from the age of 14-15 years found that about one in ten had self-harmed (Moran et al, 2011). Self-harm was more frequent in girls and the most common method was cutting or burning. However, self-harm declined significantly by the age of 17, with nine out of ten of those who initially reported self-harm reporting not self-harming in young adulthood. Self-harm was more likely to persist in females and it was



associated with depression and anxiety, antisocial behavior, high risk alcohol use, cannabis use, and cigarette smoking.

### Assessment

Every child or adolescent who has self-harmed should be assessed for risk of repetition and suicide risk. According to the NICE guideline (2011), assessment should take into account:

- Methods and frequency of current and past self-harm
- Current and past suicidal intent
- Depressive symptoms and their relationship to self-harm
- Psychiatric illness and its relationship to self-harm
- The personal and social context and any other specific factors preceding self-harm such as specific unpleasant affective states or emotions and changes in relationships
- Specific risk factors and protective factors (social, psychological, pharmacological, and motivational) that may increase or decrease the risks associated with self-harm
- Coping strategies that the person has used to either successfully limit or avert self-harm or to contain the impact of personal, social or other factors preceding episodes of self-harm
- Significant relationships that may either be supportive or represent a threat (such as abuse or neglect) and may lead to changes in the level of risk
- Immediate and longer-term risks.

Parents and other important caregivers should be included in the assessment. Individual assessment is essential and should take into account the psychological needs met by the self-harm described in Table E.4.15; in each individual patient one or more of them may predominate (please see also Bresin & Gordon, 2013). The use of risk assessment tools and scales to predict future suicide or repetition of self-harm is not recommended by the NICE guideline.

### Treatment

For detailed information please refer to the NICE clinical guideline (NICE, 2013). This quality standard covers the initial management of self-harm and the

A 16 year-old girl was called by her boyfriend who cancelled their date scheduled for that evening because his soccer (football) mates were having a meeting he preferred to go to (antecedent). She agreed during the phone call but afterwards she felt hurt and negative thoughts proliferated ("he doesn't love me", "I will lose him", "I am not attractive to him or to anybody else"). The girl felt increasingly desperate, angry with herself; urges to harm herself began to emerge. Finally, she began cutting her arms superficially with a razor, watching the blood seeping from the wounds (cognitive, emotional and behavioral reactions). While watching her arms bleed she felt relieved (reinforcing consequence). When her boyfriend found out what had happened, he apologized and comforted her (reinforcing consequence) and promised never to do anything similar again (reinforcing consequence). Together with her therapist, she explored alternative ways of coping with this situation: planning dates more carefully; voicing her disappointment and her wishes instead of silently accepting; examining alternative interpretations of her boyfriend's behavior instead of devaluing herself; finding alternative activities for the evening; considering the long-term consequences of self-harm (e.g., scarring); rewarding herself for alternative behaviors.

provision of longer-term support for children and young people (aged 8 to 18) who self-harm. It describes high-quality care in priority areas for improvement.

Hospitalization is needed if:

- NSSI co-occurs with high suicide risk
- NSSI coexists with severe comorbid disorders requiring inpatient treatment
- NSSI severely endangers the physical health or social functioning of the patient (e.g., repeated surgery is needed, self-harming behavior at school)
- Outpatient treatment has not been successful,
- A reliable assessment is not possible in an outpatient setting.

Patients severely harming themselves may need the same close supervision as patients with high or moderate suicide risk. Following the NICE (2011) guideline, long-term treatment of self-harm involves *psychoeducation* of patients and their families. Treatment goals may include:

- Preventing escalation of self-harm
- Reducing harm arising from self-harm
- Reducing or stopping self-harm
- Reducing or stopping other risk-related behavior
- Improving social or occupational functioning
- Improving quality of life
- Improving any associated mental health condition.

A risk management plan should be developed covering strategies to deal with the risks identified (psychological, pharmacological, social, and relational). This plan should include self-management strategies and ways of accessing services and receiving support during a crisis when self-management strategies fail.

The NICE guideline also recommends considering *short-term psychological interventions* that specifically target the self-harm. Interventions could include cognitive-behavioral, psychodynamic, or problem-solving elements – therapists should be specifically trained in the treatment they use in the management of self-harm. Strategies may involve interventions already described earlier in the section on management of suicidal behavior. Individual treatment should be based on a functional analysis of the self-harming behavior that takes into account (1) antecedents, (2) type of self-harming behavior and associated cognitions, emotions, and sensations, and (3) the consequences of self-harm, mainly in terms of the psychological needs met by NSSI, described in Table E.4.15.

Alternative behaviors that can be helpful instead of self-injuring comprise:

- *Low urge to self-harm: distracting activities* such as playing with a pet, listening to music and singing, reading, writing, painting, calling a friend, counting breaths, taking a warm bath, taking a walk to be near other people, using meditation or relaxation techniques, listening to a comedy tape or video, repeating 5 things one sees, smells, touches, tastes in the present surroundings
- *Medium urge to self-harm: highly activating behavior, high sensory or low painful non-harming stimulation*, such as eating a lemon, snapping a



rubber band on the wrist, running, biking, sit-ups, hitting pillows, dancing, using a red felt tip pen to mark regions on the skin where cutting usually occurs, squeezing a stress ball, making some noise, screaming into a pillow, tearing up paper (old phone books, newspapers)

- *High urge to self-harm: moderate painful non-harming stimulation*, e.g. chewing a jalapeño pepper, taking a very cold shower, placing hands in freezing cold water, walking with dried peas in the shoes, rubbing ice across the skin where cutting usually occurs

In severe cases, advice on *damage limitation* techniques should be given (using a clean and sharp blade, avoiding cutting areas near major veins and arteries, not sharing instruments used for self-harm, ensuring tetanus protection, having access to first aid and a basic knowledge of medical care, avoiding alcohol/drug use in association with self-harm)

Despite encouraging results from pilot studies, empirical evidence for the efficacy of psychosocial interventions, including dialectic behavior therapy, in the treatment of self-injuring behavior in adolescents still is limited (Wilkinson & Goodyer, 2011; Plener et al, 2016). There is also no evidence supporting the use of medication to reduce self-harm, although medication may be indicated to treat comorbid disorders.



Ophelia (detail), Sir John Everett Millais, 1851-52 (Tate Britain, London)

## REFERENCES

- AACAP, American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (2001). Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with suicidal behavior. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40 (sup): 24S-51S.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th edition; DSM-5)*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association.
- Bannink R, Broeren S, van de Looij-Jansen PM (2014). Cyber and traditional bullying victimization as a risk factor for mental health problems and suicidal ideation in adolescents. *PLoS One*, 9(4): e94026.
- Bertolote JM, Fleischman A (2009). A global perspective on the magnitude of suicide mortality. In D Wasserman & C Wasserman (eds) *Oxford Textbook of Suicidology and Suicide Prevention. A Global Perspective*, New York: Oxford University Press, pp91–98.
- Björkenstam C, Kosidou K, Björkenstam E (2017). Childhood adversity and risk of suicide: cohort study of 548 721 adolescents and young adults in Sweden. *British Medical Journal*, 357:j1334 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j1334>.
- Bresin K, Schoenleber M (2015). Gender differences in the prevalence of nonsuicidal self-injury: a meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 38:55–64.
- Bresin K, Gordon KH (2013). Changes in negative affect following pain (vs. nonpainful) stimulation in individuals with and without a history of nonsuicidal self-injury. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 4:62–66.
- Brezo J, Paris J, Vitaro F et al (2008). Predicting suicide attempts in young adults with histories of childhood abuse. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 193:134–139.
- Bridge J, Greenhouse J, Weldon A et al (2008). Suicide trends among youths aged 10 to 19 years in the United States, 1996–2005. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 300:1025–1026.
- Bronisch T, Hegerl U (2011). *Suizidalität*. In H Möller, G Laux & H Kapfhammer (eds) *Psychiatrie, Psychosomatik, Psychotherapie. Band 1: Allgemeine Psychiatrie*. Berlin: Springer Medizin, pp1469–1501.
- Brown RC, Plener PL (2017). Non-suicidal Self-Injury in Adolescence. *Current Psychiatry Report*, 19(3):20.
- Brunstein Klomek A, Snir A, Apter A et al (2016). Association between victimization by bullying and direct self-injurious behavior among adolescence in Europe: a ten-country study. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 25:1183–1193.
- Cash S, Bridge J (2009). Epidemiology of youth suicide and suicidal behaviour. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 21:613–619.
- Chan MKY, Bhatti H, Meader N et al (2016). Predicting suicide following self-harm: systematic review of risk factors and risk scales. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 209: 277–283.
- Crawford M, Thomas O, Khan N et al (2007). Psychosocial interventions following self-harm: systematic review of their efficacy in preventing suicide. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 190:11–17.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Centers for Injury Prevention and Control (2013). Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS). *Leading Causes of Death, United States 2013*.
- Curtin SC, Warner M, Hedegaard H (2016). Increase in Suicide in the United States, 1999–2014. *NCHS Data Brief*, 241:1–8.
- Dilillo D, Mauri S, Mantegazza C et al (2015). Suicide in pediatrics: epidemiology, risk factors, warning signs and the role of the pediatrician in detecting them. *Italian Journal of Pediatrics*, 7:41–49.
- Elsässer G (2010). [Unfälle, Gewalt, Selbstverletzungen bei Kindern und Jugendlichen - Ergebnisse der amtlichen Statistik zum Verletzungsgeschehen 2008].
- Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Beautrais AL (1999). Is sexual orientation related to mental health problems and suicidality in young people? *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 56:876–880.
- Foley D, Goldston D, Costello E et al (2006). Proximal psychiatric risk factors for suicidality in youth: the The Great Smoky Mountains Study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 63:1017–1024.
- Fox KR, Franklin JC, Ribeiro JD, Kleiman EM, Bentley KH, Nock MK (2015). Meta-analysis of risk factors for nonsuicidal self-injury. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 42:156–167.
- Goldston DB, Erkanli A, Daniel SS et al (2016). Developmental trajectories of suicidal thoughts and behaviors from adolescence through adulthood. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 55:400–407.
- Haas AP, Eliason M, Mays VM et al. (2011). Suicide and suicide risk in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender populations: review and recommendations. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 58:10–51.
- Hawton K, Harris L (2008). Deliberate self-harm by under-15-year-olds: characteristics, trends and outcome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 49:441–448.
- Hazell P, Lewin T (1993). Friends of adolescent suicide attempters and completers. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32:76–81.
- Hoertel N, Franco S, Wall MM et al. (2015). Mental disorders and risk of suicide attempt: a national prospective study. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20:718–726.
- Horowitz LM1, Ballard ED, Pao M (2009). Suicide screening in schools, primary care and emergency departments. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 21:620–627.

- Jacobsen LK, Rabinowitz I, Popper MS et al (1994). Interviewing prepubertal children about suicidal ideation and behavior. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 33:439–452.
- Jacobson C, Gould M (2007). The epidemiology and phenomenology of non-suicidal self-injurious behavior among adolescents: a critical review of the literature. *Archives of Suicide Research*, 11:129–147.
- Jacobson C, Muehlenkamp J, Miller A et al (2008). Psychiatric impairment among adolescents engaging in different types of deliberate self-harm. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 37:363–375.
- Jeon SY, Reither EN, Masters RK (2016). A population-based analysis of increasing rates of suicide mortality in Japan and South Korea, 1985-2010. *BMC Public Health*, 23;16:356.
- Kanchan T, Menon A, Menezes R (2009). Methods of choice in completed suicides: gender differences and review of literature. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 54:938–942.
- Katz C, Bolton SL, Katz LY, Isaak C, Tilston-Jones T, Sareen J (2013). Swampy Cree Suicide Prevention Team. A systematic review of school-based suicide prevention programs. *Depression and Anxiety*, 30:1030-1045.
- Kloet L de, Starling J, Hainsworth C et al (2011). Risk factors for self-harm in children and adolescents admitted to a mental health inpatient unit. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 45:749–755.
- Klomek A, Sourander A, Niemela S et al (2009). Childhood bullying behaviors as a risk for suicide attempts and completed suicides: a population-based birth cohort study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 48:254–261.
- Klonsky E (2007). The functions of deliberate self-injury: a review of the evidence. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27:226–239.
- Kloos A, Collins R, Weller R et al (2007). Suicide in preadolescents: who is at risk? *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 9:89–93.
- Kólvcs K, De Leo D (2014). Suicide rates in children aged 10-14 years worldwide: changes in the past two decades. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 205:283-285.
- Laido Z, Voracek M, Till B, Pietschnig J, Eisenwort B, Dervic K, Sonneck G, Niederkrotenthaler T et al (2017). Epidemiology of suicide among children and adolescents in Austria, 2001-2014. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, 129:121-128.
- Large M, Kaneson M, Myles N et al (2016). Meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies of suicide risk assessment among psychiatric patients: heterogeneity in results and lack of improvement over time. *PLoS one*, 11(6), e0156322.
- Lofthouse N, Yager-Schweller J (2009). Nonsuicidal self-injury and suicide risk among adolescents. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 21:641–645.
- Luxton DD, June JD, Comtois KA (2013). Can postdischarge follow-up contacts prevent suicide and suicidal behavior? A review of the evidence. *Crisis*, 34:32–41.
- Marchant A, Hawton K, Stewart A, Montgomery P, Singaravelu V, Lloyd K, Purdy N, Daine K, John A et al (2017). A systematic review of the relationship between internet use, self-harm and suicidal behaviour in young people: The good, the bad and the unknown. *PLoS One*, Aug 16;12(8):e0181722.
- Moran P, Coffey C, Romaniuk H et al (2012). The natural history of self-harm from adolescence to young adulthood: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet* 379(9812):236-243
- Nitkowski D, Petermann F (2011). [Selbstverletzendes Verhalten und komorbide psychische Störungen: ein Überblick]. *Fortschritte der Neurologie-Psychiatrie*, 79:9–20.
- Nock MK, Prinstein MJ (2004). A functional approach to the assessment of self-mutilative behavior. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 72:885–890.
- Nock MK, Green JG, Hwang I, McLaughlin KA, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC et al (2013). Prevalence, correlates, and treatment of lifetime suicidal behavior among adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 70:300-310.
- NSW Department of Health (2004). *Framework for Suicide Risk Assessment and Management for NSW Health Staff*.
- NSW Department of Health (2004). *Suicide Risk Assessment and Management Protocols - Mental Health In-Patient Unit*.
- Ougrin D, Latif S (2011). Specific psychological treatment versus treatment as usual in adolescents with self-harm: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crisis*, 32:74–80.
- Ougrin D, Tranah T, Stahl D et al (2015). ‘Therapeutic interventions for suicide attempts and self-harm in adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 54:97-107.
- Owens D (2002). Fatal and non-fatal repetition of self-harm: Systematic review. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 181:193–199.
- Plener PL, Brunner R, Fegert JM, et al. Treating nonsuicidal self-injury (NSSI) in adolescents: consensus based German guidelines. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*. 2016;10:46.
- Posner K, Brown G, Stanley B et al (2011). The Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale: initial validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 168:1266–1277.
- Posner K, Oquendo M, Gould M et al (2007). Columbia Classification Algorithm of Suicide Assessment (C-CASA): classification of suicidal events in the FDA’s pediatric suicidal risk analysis of antidepressants. *American journal of psychiatry*, 164:1035–1043.
- Qin P, Mortensen P, Pedersen C (2009). Frequent change of residence and risk of attempted and completed suicide among children and adolescents. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 66:628–632.
- Rasmussen S, Hawton K, Philpott-Morgan S et al (2016). Why do adolescents self-harm? *Crisis*, 37:176–183.

- Redmore J, Kipping R, Trickey A et al (2016). Analysis of trends in adolescent suicides and accidental deaths in England and Wales, 1972-2011. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 209:327-333.
- Runeson B, Odeberg J, Pettersson A et al (2017). Instruments for the assessment of suicide risk: A systematic review evaluating the certainty of the evidence. *PLoS One*, 12(7):e0180292.
- Ringel E (1976). The presuicidal syndrome. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 6:131-149.
- Robinson J, Hetrick S, Martin C (2011). Preventing suicide in young people: systematic review. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 45:3-26.
- Russell ST, Joyner K (2001). Adolescent sexual orientation and suicide risk: evidence from a national study. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91:1276-1281.
- Ryan C, Huebner D, Diaz R et al (2009). Family rejection as a predictor of negative health outcomes in white and Latino lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. *Pediatrics*, 123:346-352.
- Schmidtke A, Hafner H (1988). The Werther effect after television films: new evidence for an old hypothesis. *Psychological Medicine*, 18:665-676.
- Seguin M, Beauchamp G, Robert M et al (2014). Developmental model of suicide trajectories. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 205:120-126.
- Sheehan DV, Alphas LD, Mao L et al. (2014). Comparative validation of the S-STS, the ISST-Plus, and the C-SSRS for assessing the Suicidal Thinking and Behavior FDA 2012 Suicidality Categories. *Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience*, 11:32-46.
- Spirito A, Esposito-Smythers C (2006). Attempted and completed suicide in adolescence. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 2:237-266.
- Steele M, Doey T (2007). Suicidal behavior in children and adolescents. Part 2: treatment and prevention. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52(sup6):35S-45S.
- Stoffers JM, Vollm BA, Rucker G et al (2012). Psychological therapies for people with borderline personality disorder. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review*, 8:CD005652, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD005652. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005652.pub2
- Thompson R, Briggs E, English D et al (2005). Suicidal ideation among 8-year-olds who are maltreated and at risk: findings from the LONGSCAN studies. *Child Maltreatment*, 10:26-36.
- Turecki G, Brent DA (2016). Suicide and suicidal behavior. *Lancet*, 387:1227-1239.
- Värnik P (2012). Suicide in the world. *International Journal of Environment of Environment Research and Public Health*, 9:760-771.
- Warnke A (2008). *Suizid und Suizidversuch – Suizidalität*. In B Herpertz-Dahlmann, F Resch, MJ Schulte-Markwort & A Warnke (eds) [*Entwicklungspsychiatrie. Biopsychologische Grundlagen und die Entwicklung psychischer Störungen*]. Stuttgart: Schattauer, pp1006-1023.
- Wasserman D, Carli V, Wasserman C et al (2010). Saving and empowering young lives in Europe (SEYLE): a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public Health*, 10:192.
- Wasserman D1, Rihmer Z, Rujescu D et al (2012). The European Psychiatric Association (EPA) guidance on suicide treatment and prevention, *European Psychiatry*, 27:129-141.
- Wilkinson P, Goodyer I (2011). Non-suicidal self-injury. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 20:103-108.
- Wong MM, Brower KJ, Craun EA (2016). Insomnia symptoms and suicidality in the National Comorbidity Survey - Adolescent Supplement. *Journal of Psychiatry Research*, 81:1-8.
- World Health Organization 1996, *Multiaxial classification of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioral disorders in children and adolescents*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York.
- World Health Organization (2008). *Preventing Suicide: A Resource for Media Professionals*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2014). *Preventing Suicide: A Global Imperative*. Geneva, WHO Press.
- Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D et al (2016). Suicide prevention strategies revisited. 10-year systematic review. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 3:646-659.



# Appendix A.4.1

## SELF-ASSESSMENT EXERCISES

### **A.4.1** *These factors are indicators of suicide risk in the family history except:*

- A) Suicides and suicide attempts in family members
- B) Paternal psychopathology
- C) A family history of violence
- D) Unstable family relations and unresolved conflicts in the family
- E) A large number of siblings

### **A.4.2** *The effect of media depictions of suicidal acts*

- A) Is called “Papageno” effect.
- B) Is called “Werther” effect.
- C) Does not occur in adolescence.
- D) Is not influenced by adapted reporting.
- E) Only occurs in adulthood.

### **A.4.3** *These are all characteristic of the “pre-suicidal syndrome” except:*

- A) Feelings of hopelessness, self-blame, of being alone and misunderstood
- B) Negative ruminations, self-pity
- C) Inactivity and social withdrawal
- D) Obsessions
- E) Suicidal fantasies and planning

### **A.4.4** *These are all major psychopathological risk factors for suicide among adolescents except:*

- A) Severe major depressive disorder
- B) The combination of mood, disruptive, and substance use disorders
- C) Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder
- D) Feelings of hopelessness and worthlessness
- E) Impulsive aggression

### **A.4.5** *These are all symptoms and co-morbidities that may indicate acute suicide risk except:*

- A) Tourette’s disorder
- B) Marked hopelessness
- C) Recent social withdrawal
- D) Intoxication (alcohol, drugs)
- E) Symptoms of severe depression

### **A.4.6** *Acute suicide risk is high if.*

- A) There is no major psychiatric disorder present
- B) The patient is able to form an adequate therapeutic alliance with the clinician.
- C) The patient is hopeful about the future
- D) Stable social and family support is available.
- E) The patient has a history of previous suicide attempts.

### **A.4.7** *All these are helpful messages to a suicidal youth except:*

- A) “My main concern is your safety and I will try to ensure that the best way I can”
- B) “Many young people think about death or suicide and some try to kill themselves at one time or another”
- C) “I cannot help you”
- D) “Almost every decision you make can be changed. However, death is final and irrevocable. It’s worth taking enough time to balance the pros and cons”
- E) “I would like to know more about you”. Together we may get to understand the circumstances that placed you in this situation”

**A.4.8 These are helpful messages to parents or caregivers of a suicidal youth except:**

- A) "Suicidal thoughts and attempts are not uncommon in young people. In most of cases, this is an acute crisis that is overcome in a short period of time"
- B) "We are familiar with managing suicidal crises and will frankly discuss every step with you"
- C) "As a first step we will formulate a contingency plan for you, your child and us"
- D) "It is not important to supervise your child closely"
- E) "Dealing with suicidal behavior is only effective if there is a close cooperation between you, your child and the treatment team"

**A.4.9 What is the specific psychopharmacological treatment for suicidal youth?**

- A) Neuroleptics
- B) SSRIs
- C) Stimulants
- D) There are no specific medications for suicidality.
- E) Benzodiazepines

**A.4.10 Non-suicidal self-injury differs from a suicide attempt in that it:**

- A) Occurs predominantly in pre-pubertal youth
- B) Does not have suicidal intent
- C) Is carried out impulsively
- D) Usually happens in a group context
- E) Is more common in males.



**ANSWERS**

A.4.1: E. See page 18, Table E.4.8

A.4.2: B. Page 12

A.4.3: D. Page 13

A.4.4: C. Page 10, Table E.4.5

A.4.5: A. Page 17, Table E.4.7

A.4.6: E. Pages 18, 21

A.4.7: C. Page 23

A.4.8: D. Page 24

A.4.9: D. Page 27

A.4.10: B. Pages 31, 32