



# THE STATE OF HEALTH in the WHO African Region

An analysis of the status of health, health services and health systems in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals

> Where we are Where we need to go







The peoples of Africa aspire to a future of good health and well-being. The health and health-related Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) build on this hope, providing guidance to ensure that no one is left behind as the continent progresses towards sustainable and equitable health. To achieve this, a coherent and logical approach to the adaptation of the SDGs is needed, to ensure that the health dividend accrued in the coming years is enjoyed equitably and plays its role in accelerating the development of the continent.

The WHO Regional Office for Africa has set up a process to ensure that countries walk together as they march towards sustainable and equitable health. This report is a recognition of the complexity of actions needed. It aims to provide guidance on where countries need to focus as they plan their work towards attaining the SDGs. It will also serve as a benchmark for future comparison of progress.

This report is not a country scorecard. Rather, its purpose is to act as a compass to guide progress towards health in the SDGs. The Regional Office aims to regularly provide such information to countries, so that they can attain their health goals in the most efficient and effective manner.



REGIONAL OFFICE FOR Africa



WHO Regional Office for Africa Cité du Djoué, Brazzaville, Congo www.aho.afro.who.int





# THE STATE OF HEALTH IN THE WHO AFRICAN REGION

An analysis of the status of health, health services and health systems in the context of the *Sustainable Development Goals* 

Where we are Where we need to go



## The state of health in the WHO African Region: an analysis of the status of health, health services and health systems in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals

#### ISBN 978-929023409-8

#### © WHO Regional Office for Africa 2018

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

**Suggested citation**. The state of health in the WHO African Region: an analysis of the status of health, health services and health systems in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals. Brazzaville: WHO Regional Office for Africa; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at http://apps.who.int/iris.

**Sales, rights and licensing**. To purchase WHO publications, see http://apps.who.int/bookorders. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see http://www.who.int/about/licensing.

**Third-party materials**. If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

**General disclaimers**. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

More information about this publication can be obtained from:



WHO Regional Office for Africa Cité du Djoué, Brazzaville, Republic of the Congo www.aho.afro.who.int

Printed and bound in the WHO Regional Office for Africa, Brazzaville, Congo

# Contents

Foreword	vii
Acknowledgments	viii
Preface	ix
Abbreviations and acronyms	
Executive summary	XI
Introduction and context	
1 The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development	1
2 The unfinished business of the Millennium Development Goals	2
3 Health within the SDGs	2
4 Expectations of the country health sectors in implementing the SDGs	3
5 Role and focus of WHO in supporting the implementation of the SDGs	4
6 Purpose, methodology and structure of this report	7
Part I – Regional report	
1 The status of health in the African Region	13
Attributes of a good state of health in the context of the SDGs	
1.1 The state of healthy life in the WHO African Region	
1.2 Morbidity and mortality causes influencing healthy life in the African Region	16
1.3 Risk factors influencing healthy life in the African Region	
2 The status of health and health-related population outcomes in the African Region	
Attributes of effective health and health-related outcomes in the context of the SDGs	
2.1 Availability of essential services across the life course	
2.2 Coverage of essential health interventions	
2.3 Levels of financial risk protection	
<ul><li>2.4 Levels of appropriate health security</li><li>2.5 Responsiveness of essential services to population needs</li></ul>	
2.6 Coverage of health-related SDG targets	
3 Health systems performance in the African Region	
Attributes of a performing health system	
3.1 Access to essential services in the African Region	
3.2 Quality of care in the African Region	
3.3 Demand for essential services in the African Region	49
3.4 Resilience of health systems for essential services provision in the African Region	51
4 The state of health system investments	55
Attributes of health system investments	
4.1 The status of the health workforce in the African Region	
4.2 The status of the health infrastructure in the African Region	
<ul> <li>4.3 The status of medical products in the African Region</li> <li>4.4 The status of health service delivery systems in the African Region</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>4.4 The status of health service delivery systems in the African Region</li><li>4.5 The status of health governance systems in the African Region</li></ul>	
4.6 The status of health financing systems in the African Region	
4.7 The status of health information and research systems in the African Region	
5 Taking forward health agenda in the African Region	
5.1 Linking health expenditures with health and well-being	
5.2 Emerging implications for 'leaving no one behind'	
5.3 WHO Regional Office for Africa priorities for supporting countries	83
Part II – Countries' report	
The state of health in the countries of the African Region	
Algeria	
Angola	
Benin	
Botswana	
Burkina Faso	

Burundi	
Cabo Verde	95
Cameroon	
Central African Republic	97
Chad	
Comoros	
Congo	
Côte d'Ivoire	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	
Equatorial Guinea	
Eritrea	
Eswatini	
Ethiopia	
Gabon	
The Gambia	
Ghana	
Guinea	
Guinea Bissau	
Kenya	
Lesotho	
Liberia	
Madagascar	
Malawi	116
Mali	117
Mauritania	118
Mauritius	119
Mozambique	
Namibia	121
Niger	
Nigeria	
Rwanda	
Sao Tome and Principe	
Senegal	
Seychelles	
Sierra Leone	
South Africa	
South Sudan	
Togo	
Uganda	
United Republic of Tanzania	
Zambia	
Zimbabwe	
exes	
	120
Annex 1: Indicators Health outcomes – health and essential services	
Health outputs – Health system performance measurements	
Health inputs – Health system investment measurements	
Annex 2: Data by indicator used to generate indices	
Health financing data	
Health investments data	
Health systems performance data	
Health and related service outcomes data	
Health impact data	

# Figures

Figure 1.	The Sustainable Development Goals1
Figure 2.	Determinants of health and well-being across the
	SDGs
Figure 3.	WHO GPW13 triple billion goals and strategic
	priorities for attainment of SDG 34
Figure 4.	Framework for health systems development
	towards universal health coverage in the context
	of the SDGs in the African Region (the Framework
	of Actions)6
Figure 5.	Dimensions analysed and their interrelationships8
Figure 6.	Process for deriving indices for each dimension9
Figure 7.	Attributes of good health and well-being in the
	context of the SDGs13
Figure 8.	Healthy life expectancy in the African Region, 201514
Figure 9.	Life expectancy and healthy life expectancy by
	WHO region, 201515
Figure 10.	Dimensions of health and health-related services
	in the African Region19
Figure 11.	Attributes of Universal Health Coverage in the
-	context of the SDGs
Figure 12.	Comparison of health and health-related
	population outcomes index by country of the
F'	African Region
Figure 13.	Contribution of dimensions of health outcomes
<b>F</b> <sup>1</sup>	indices to the overall index
Figure 14.	Comparison of health and health-related services
Figure 15	index by income group in the African Region
Figure 15.	index by health expenditures and population
	across countries of the African Region
Eiguro 16	Comparison of consolidated UHC dimensions
Figure 10.	index across Member States of the African Region22
Figure 17	Strategic shift needed in making essential
rigure i/.	services available for populations
Figure 18.	Proportion of respondents reporting none of
. iguie ioi	tracer services available for the population
Figure 19.	Comparison of availability of essential services
J	index in countries of the African Region24
Figure 20.	Comparison of access to essential services score
5	by country income groups in the African Region25
Figure 21.	Comparison of access index by health
	expenditures and population across countries in
	the African Region25
Figure 22.	SDG 3 interventions index by public health function 26
Figure 23.	Range of SDG 3 interventions utilization index
	across countries in the African Region27
Figure 24.	Comparison of SDG 3 utilization index by income
	group across public health functions in the
	African Region28
Figure 25.	Comparison of utilization by health expenditures
	and population for public health functions in the
	African Region
	Country financial risk protection index
Figure 27.	Comparison of financial risk protection score by
	income group across financing indicators in the
<b>F</b> : <b>A</b> (	African Region
Figure 28.	Comparison of financial risk protection by health
Figure 20	expenditures and population in the African Region31
rigure 29.	Average and range for IHR core capacity index of
Eiguro 20	countries in the African Region32 Health security score by domain areas
i iyure 50.	Treature security score by doffidill dieds

<b>Figure 21</b>	
Figure 31.	Comparison of health security status by JEE domain areas in the African Region
Figure 32.	Comparison of health security by health
	expenditures and population in the African Region34
Figure 33.	Scores for different attributes of service
	responsiveness for the African Region
Figure 34.	Comparison of service responsiveness index
	across countries of the African Region
Figure 35.	Comparison of responsiveness index by income
Eiguro 26	group for countries in the African Region
Figure 50.	by health expenditures and population in the
	African Region
Figure 37.	Variation of the coverage of non-SDG 3 targets
-	index across countries in the African Region
Figure 38.	Contribution of different domains to overall non-
	SDG 3 targets indices amongst African countries38
Figure 39.	Comparison of non SDG 3 health targets Index
<b>Figure 40</b>	by income groups in the African Region
Figure 40.	Comparison of non-SDG 3 health targets index by health expenditures and country categories in
	the African Region
Figure 41.	Attributes of health system performance
	Variations in health system performance
	amongst countries in the African Region
Figure 43.	Contribution of performance indices to the
	overall system performance index44
Figure 44.	Comparison of health system performance index
Figuro 4F	by country income level45 Comparison of health system performance index
Figure 45.	by health expenditures and population in the
	African Region
Figure 46.	Index of access to essential services amongst
•	countries in the African Region
Figure 47.	Comparison of access index by income level
	between countries in the African Region47
Figure 48.	Comparison of access index by health
Figure 40	expenditures and population in the African Region47 Attributes of the quality of care dimension
	Quality of care index ranges across countries in
rigure 50.	the African Region
Figure 51.	Comparison of quality of care index by country
5	income groups
Figure 52.	Comparison of quality of care index by health
	expenditures and population in the African Region49
Figure 53.	Country effective demand index for essential
<b>5</b> :	services score ranges
Figure 54.	Comparison of effective demand index by level of income between countries in the African Region50
Figure 55	Comparison of effective demand index by health
rigure 55.	expenditures and population in the African Region51
Figure 56.	Comparison of resilience index across countries
5	of the African Region51
Figure 57.	Comparison of resilience index by country
	income category
Figure 58.	Comparison of resilience index by health
Figure 50	expenditures and population in the African Region52
Figure 59.	Comparison of the performance of different elements of resilience in the African Region
Figure 60	Categorization of health system investment areas

Figure 61.	Allocation of government expenditures across
	investment area categories by year and country
	types56
Figure 62.	Comparison of health workforce index amongst
	countries of the African region57
Figure 63.	Availability of different health workers per 1000
	population57
Figure 64.	Comparison of health workforce index by income
	classification58
Figure 65.	Comparison of health workforce index by health
	expenditures and population in the African Region58
Figure 66.	Comparison of health infrastructure index
	amongst countries of the African Region
Figure 67.	Comparison of health infrastructure index across
	different country categories60
Figure 68.	
	health expenditures and population in the
	African Region60
Figure 69.	Comparison of health products index across
	countries in the African Region61
Figure 70.	Comparison of top performing country values
	across health product indicators, with regional
	average62
Figure 71.	Comparison of health products index by income
	classification62
Figure 72.	Comparison of availability of different types of
	medical products by country income level63
Figure 73.	Comparison of medical products index by health
	expenditures and population in the African Region63
Figure 74.	Regulation of medicines quality throughout
	product lifecycle for select functions (No = 29)64

Figure 75.	Regulatory capacity for medical devices through	
	product lifecycle (No = 22)6	4
Figure 76.	Conceptual linkage of service delivery systems	
	attributes and areas of action6	5
Figure 77.	Scope of engagement in a service delivery	
	system for UHC and SDGs6	5
Figure 78.	Key informant perceptions of presence of	
	different service delivery system variables	6
Figure 79.	Conceptual linkage of health governance attributes.6	8
Figure 80.	Key informant perceptions of presence of	
	different service delivery system variables	9
Figure 81.	Service users who said they had paid a bribe	
	during services received in the past 12 months7	0
Figure 82.	Schema for health financing systems in the	
	African Region7	1
Figure 83.	Proportion of health funds from different sources	
	in countries of the African Region, 20157	2
Figure 84.	Proportions of health funds managed by	
	different institutional entities in the African	
	Region, 20157	3
Figure 85.	Schema for health information and research	
	systems in the African Region7	4
Figure 86.	Comparison of birth registration completeness	
	amongst selected countries of the African Region7	6
Figure 87.	Comparison of health research barometer score	
	amongst countries of the African Region, 20167	7
Figure 88.	Comparison of 2015 per capita THE int\$ between	
	countries in the African Region7	8
Figure 89.	Association between total health expenditure,	
	and healthy life expectancy7	9
Figure 90.	Comparison of association between health and	
	well-being and consolidated scores8	0

# Tables

Table 1.	Country shifts needed for alignment with the
	Sustainable Development Agenda4
Table 2.	Countries by income group classification, 20169
Table 3.	Top ten and bottom ten country rankings, Total
	Health Expenditure (International dollars, 2014)10
Table 4.	Ten highest and lowest countries by population
	size in thousands in the African Region, 201510
Table 5.	Trends in healthy life expectancy since 201015
Table 6.	Trends in the top 10 causes of morbidity and
	mortality, 2015 and 200016
Table 7.	Comparison of crude death rates and total deaths
	across WHO regions, 2000 to 201517
Table 8.	Comparison of top 10 causes of mortality in the
	African Region against different income groups17
Table 9.	Comparison of risk factor prevalence in the African
	Region18
Table 10.	Tracer essential services for each age-cohort23

Table 11.	Critical essential interventions by public health
	functions
Table 12.	Percentage of population with coverage
	of essential health interventions related to
	reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health
	by wealth quintile in the African Region28
Table 13.	IHR areas for building core capacities for health
	security
Table 14.	Percentage of population with access to improved
	sanitation by wealth quintile in the African Region40
Table 15.	Percentage of population with access to improved
	sanitation by wealth quintile in the African Region41
Table 16.	Attributes of health system performance42
Table 17.	Results from mixed effects multiple linear
	regression of domain scores of the Framework of
	Actions
Table 18.	Comparison of country indices across the
	Framework of Actions



Since the year 2000, the people of Africa have benefitted from economic growth, with poverty largely in retreat. The health and well-being of people in Africa is improving, a result of investments targeting the most pressing health needs in a context of a changing social, economic, political and environmental landscape. The people of Africa currently share a vision for the future that is filled with optimism and hope.

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) reflect the aspirations arising from this vision. By achieving the targets of the SDGs, the people of Africa will enjoy the health dividend they aspire to and contribute to the continents' development in an equitable and sustainable manner.

At the WHO Regional Office for Africa, we recognize the need for Member States to engage with and learn from each other as important for movement towards sustainable and equitable health results. Health and wellbeing are clear aspirations whose attainment calls for the understanding and application of principles of work within complex sectors such as health. Member States have different lessons to learn from and share with each other. A process to analyse and identify areas where lessons can be shared across countries is important in guiding progress towards attainment of the SDGs in the Region.

This report aims to respond to this need.

My colleagues and I adopted a bold and ambitious Transformation Agenda in the African Region, to ensure that support to countries is built around achieving results based on shared values, smart technical focus countries' priority needs, responsive strategic operations and effective communication and partnership. This report is evidence of this transformation. It reflects two areas where change has occurred in the work of WHO in the African Region:

- Transformation in data use. In the past, our information and reports focused on documenting past events. Our transformation in this instance is aimed at providing forward looking guidance to Member States on where they should place emphasis in their health systems as they move towards the attainment of their UHC and SDG targets.
- 2. Transformation in approach to the health sector. In the past, the health sector was fragmented and operated in independent silos. Our transformation here is informed by the guidance from the Health Systems Strengthening for UHC framework for action endorsed by the Sixty-seventh Regional Committee for Africa, which provides an integrated approach to addressing disease program outcomes, health systems and determinants of health. This report follows the same logic to provide guidance in a comprehensive manner and not for select priority areas of the health sector.

This report is not a country scorecard. Its purpose is to act as a compass to show countries where they were in relation to different elements of health at the beginning of the SDG era, and where they need to place emphasis and resources to drive progress towards health and wellbeing aspirations. As a region, we intend to march towards the SDG targets together, leaving no one behind.

Childrent

Dr Matshidiso Moeti WHO Regional Director for Africa

# Acknowledgments

This analytical report is the result of a call by countries and partners on the WHO Regional Office for Africa for a more proactive approach to providing guidance towards attainment of the health and health-related Sustainable Development Goals, in contrast to the more reactive approach taken with the Millennium Development Goals. This is one of a series of products emerging from the effort to transform the WHO Regional Office in the African Region to better respond to current and future health needs of its Member States.

The compilation of this report is the result of efforts by several technical colleagues and teams. The Regional Office acknowledges the contributions and guidance provided by the directors of planning in the ministries responsible for health in all 47 countries of the WHO African Region, who convened for the *Regional Forum on Strengthening Health Systems for the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and Universal Health Coverage (UHC)* in Windhoek, Namibia in December 2016 to deliberate on how to take forward UHC and other health related SDG targets in the Region. A *Framework of Actions* emerged from these deliberations, together with the overall focus and structure of this report. As a result of their input, the report addresses the full breadth of the health sector, not only health services and systems.

The core editorial team was composed of Joseph Caboré, Director of Programme Management, Delanyo Dovlo, Director of Health Systems and Services and Humphrey Karamagi, SDG coordinator and Health Information and Knowledge Management team leader, working together with Aku Kwamie, a health policy and systems research expert and Health Systems Global board member.

Core inputs and reviews were provided by technical experts at the Regional Office, both individually and through their respective clusters. Of specific mention are Magda Robalo, Felicitas Zawaira, Ibrahima Soce Fall and Stephen Shongwe, directors of the communicable disease control, family and community health, health emergency, and noncommunicable disease control clusters, respectively. Their contribution to the design and review of the emerging report through the Management and Development Committee were critical in ensuring its alignment with current needs.

In addition, specific contributions from individual members are acknowledged. These include Prosper Tumusiime, leader of the Services Delivery Systems team, Martin Ekeke Monono, leader of the Health Policies, Strategies and Governance team, and Jean Baptiste Nikiema, leader of the Health Technologies and Innovations team. In addition, Grace Kabaniha, Benson Droti, Ogochukwu Chukwujekwu, Kevin Ousman, Hillary Kipruto, Monde Mambimongo Wangou, Anaclet Geraud Nganga Koubemba, Harris Benito Koubemba Mona, Davy Audrey Liboko Gnekabassa and Berence Relisy Ouaya Bouesso all provided invaluable guidance to respective sections of the report. Yves Turgeon is acknowledged for leading the publication process of the report.

# Preface

This report presents a comprehensive picture of the state of health and its determinants in the WHO African Region. It aims to act as a benchmark of progress, as Member States in the African Region adopt a range of actions to move their populations towards the health and well-being ideals of the *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. The report recognizes the inherent complexity of addressing the health needs of populations, which calls for actions across a multitude of actors, with results heavily driven by context. The *Framework for health systems development towards universal health coverage in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals in the African Region* (the Framework of Actions), adopted at the Sixty-seventh session of the Regional Committee for Africa (document AFR/RC67/10), provides the structure for this analysis.

This report, an in-depth analysis of health statistics, explores the different dimensions of the Framework of Actions to better understand where countries lie, and why. As such, the results of this analysis are presented by areas of the logical framework:

- state of health and well-being: the impact level;
- state of health and health-related services: the outcome level;
- performance of the health system: the output level; and
- state of investments in the health system: the input/process level.

A total of 17 dimensions are analysed, covering the four areas of the Framework: three impact (health and wellbeing); six outcome (health and related services); four output (system performance) and seven input/process dimensions (investments). The report underscores the fact that all these 17 dimensions are interconnected.

The report is structured in three sections. The first provides background and context information to better understand the other sections of the report. The second section presents the regional analysis across all areas and related dimensions of the Framework. A third and final section presents a country by country analytical summary review, again following broadly the dimensions of the Framework. The indicators, data and statistics used to generate the analysis are presented in the annexes.

It is our hope that this report will be interpreted as a single consolidated document, and not independently by section. Each chapter and area is connected to the others, for a comprehensive understanding of why health in Africa is the way it is, and what needs to be done to improve it. It is my hope that you will find the information presented here useful for addressing UHC and the health and well-being aspects of the *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.

# Abbreviations and acronyms

AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
AMR	Antimicrobial resistance
CD	Communicable disease
CFA	Compulsory Financing Arrangement
CRD	Chronic renal disease
CVD	Cardiovascular diseases
EVD	Ebola virus disease
GFA	Government Financing Arrangement
HIC	High Income Country
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HRH	Human Resources for Health
HSS	Health Systems Strengthening
ICT	Information Communication Technology
IHP+	International Health Partnership Plus
IHR	International Health Regulations
INFRA	Infrastructure
JEE	Joint External Evaluation
LIC	Low-income country
LMIC	Lower-middle-income country
MDGS	Millennium Development Goals
MIC	Middle-income country
NCD	Noncommunicable disease
NHA	National health accounts
OOPS	Out-of-pocket spending
PoE	Points of entry
PPP	purchasing power parities
SARA	Service availability and readiness assessment
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
SIDS	Small island developing states
SOPs	Standard operating procedures
ТВ	Tuberculosis
UHC	Universal Health Coverage
UMIC	Upper middle-income country
UNDAF	United Nations Development Assistance Framework
VHI	Voluntary Financing Arrangement
WB	World Bank
WHO	World Health Organization

# **Executive summary**

### Introduction and context

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development provide a different approach to the preceding Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), in being more universal in scope, with a focus on local adaptation, an emphasis on sustainability, while seeking to magnify integration across actors and domains for results. The 2030 Agenda is built around 17 SDGs containing a total of 169 targets. While a single goal is explicitly for health, SDG 3, over 50 of those 169 SDG targets have an influence on its attainment. A focus on only the 13 targets in SDG 3 will not lead to the health and well-being desired in that goal; a more comprehensive and logical approach is needed.

This approach, developed for the African Region by its Member States, is embodied in the *Framework of Actions for Strengthening Health Systems for UHC and the SDGs in Africa* adopted at the Sixty-seventh session of the Regional Committee for Africa in 2017. This *Framework of Actions* elaborates actions across different dimensions of logic that countries need to consider to lead to improvement in all the 50 targets influencing health and well-being. In contrast to the MDGs, Member States in the African Region have requested the Regional Office to provide proactive guidance on where they need to place their efforts to enable movement towards Universal Health Coverage (UHC) and other health-related SDG targets in a manner that will enable attainment of SDG 3. This report is a part of the effort.

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of health services and systems in the African Region from the context of achieving the *2030 Agenda* and the SDGs. It focuses on developing a better understanding of the Region's context: which categories of countries are achieving what, why, and how their results could be improved. The results of the analysis are organized and presented according to the levels of the logical framework:

- state of health and well-being the impact level;
- state of health and health-related services the outcome level;
- performance of the health system the output level; and
- state of investments in the health system the input/process level.

A total of 17 dimensions covering these 4 logical levels of the *Framework of Actions* are analysed in this report: 3 impact (health and well-being), 6 outcome (health and related services), 4 output (system performance) and 7 input/process (investments) dimensions. Within each dimension, a stepwise process is followed to derive the analysis. Data are identified and consolidated into an index for the dimension being analysed and then used to understand how they relates to other critical variables.

#### Dimensions analysed and their interrelationships



The report is structured in two parts: a regional overview followed by a country by country section.

### The state of health in the African Region

The state of health is analysed from three different dimensions: the state of healthy life (level and distribution); the burden of disease (by age and condition); and the burden of risk factors contributing to ill-health and death.

- The healthy life expectancy (a measure of life expectancy adjusted for years spent with disability) has been increasing in the Region, from 50.9 years to 53.8 years between 2012 and 2015, which represents the highest increase in any WHO region. Additionally, the gap in healthy life expectancy between the best and worst performing countries in the Region has reduced from 27.5 to 22 years. However, it still shows inequities, with healthy life highest in countries with better economies. The improvement is fastest in large population countries and in those with high population densities. Additionally, the levels of healthy life in the Region are still very low compared to other regions.
- The burden of disease is now driven by communicable conditions, noncommunicable conditions and violence/injuries. However, lower respiratory conditions, HIV/AIDS and diarrhoeal diseases still represent the top causes of both morbidity and mortality. Levels of morbidity and mortality are significantly reduced. DALYs due to the top 10 causes of morbidity have more than halved between 2000 and 2015, driven by reductions in malaria, HIV/AIDS and diarrhoeal diseases. The crude death rate due to the top 10 causes of mortality has also fallen, from 87.7 to 51.3 per 100 000 population in the same period. No significant reduction is seen for noncommunicable diseases (NCDs).
- However, the burden of risk factors to morbidity and mortality is not seeing commensurate reductions. A person in the Region aged between 30 and 70 years has a 20.7% chance of dying from one of the major NCDs. All the four major risk factors identified in the Global Action Plan for the prevention and control of NCDs (2013–2020) are high in the Region. These include alcohol abuse, insufficient physical activities, unhealthy diets and substance abuse.

While witnessing improvements in healthy life – seen in the relative improvements in healthy life and reductions in morbidity/mortality – the Region is coming from a very low base, with current levels still lower than the rest of the world. In addition, the high burden of risk factors prevents well-being from being assured, and the NCD burden will continue to rise to a level where the improvements in healthy life become eroded by losses in well-being.

### The state of health services

The analysis of services needed for health and well-being is done across six dimensions of outcomes.



Dimensions of health and health-related services in the African Region

The Region shows a mixed picture across the six health and health-related outcome domains of the Framework. An overall index for health services based on the average for the indices of each of the six dimensions gives a level of 0.48 out of a possible I. This implies that the population of the Region is only utilizing 48% of the possible health and health-related services needed for their health and well-being. Countries score in the Region range from 0.31 to 0.70. Only five countries have a score above 0.6, with the best country in the Region (Algeria) only able to provide 70% of the possible health and related services that its population needs – a worrying situation.

All six dimensions of service outcomes underperform, with the best only able to provide 57% of what is feasible. All Member States therefore need to be reviewing what they have available for their populations, with the aim of identifying and improving the services needed to improve each dimension. The worst performing dimensions relative to the others are service availability (36% of what is feasible), and financial risk protection (34% of what is feasible). Improving population outcomes in the Region will accordingly require relatively more effort in further enhancing these two dimensions



#### Contribution of the dimensions of health outcomes indices to the overall index

- Service availability is concerned with the range of services a country is making available to each age cohort of its population. The adolescent and the elderly age cohorts have the lowest range of services available in the Region. Countries need to plan for more comprehensive essential health packages to ensure the availability of services for all.
- Coverage of SDG 3 interventions analyses the levels of utilization achieved for 'traditional' health services: promotive, communicable and noncommunicable disease prevention, curative and rehabilitative. The coverages are lowest for noncommunicable disease and health promotion services, and highest for communicable disease control interventions.
- Financial risk protection focuses on the level of financial barriers hindering the utilization of essential services, which is driven by low levels of social security and pooling of health resources in the Region.
- Health security focuses on the level of protection populations have from the health effects of outbreaks and disasters, which is based on the compliance score with the International Health Regulations (2005, IHR) across the attributes of prevention, detection and response. The challenge is primarily related to response and recovery capacities, as detection of outbreaks has significantly improved in the Region.
- Service responsiveness focuses on how responsive the available services are to the needs of the population, using the seven attributes of dignity, autonomy, confidentiality, promptness of attention, access to social support, quality of basic amenities and provider choice. The worst attributes of responsiveness are the quality of basic amenities and the levels of autonomy in decision making. Access to social support is the best performing attribute.

- Coverage of non-SDG 3 interventions reviews the levels of coverage of other SDG targets influencing health and well-being across the social, economic, environmental and political determinants. The largest challenge in the Region lies with the economic determinants.
- The combined score for the UHC dimensions (essential services availability, essential services coverage and financial risk protection) is 0.46.

### The state of the health system performance

The analysis of health system performance is based on how well it is able to achieve across the four dimensions of access to essential services, quality of essential services, effective demand by communities for essential services, and the resilience of the system to shocks. The consolidated average system performance index in the region is 0.49, implying that systems are only performing at 49% of their possible levels of functionality. Countries' performance scores range from 0.26 and 0.70. All the indices for the performance dimensions are underperforming, with system resilience and access to essential services doing worst.



Contribution of the performance indices to the overall system performance index

- Access to essential services is low, with only three countries (Mauritius, Sao Tome and Principe and Seychelles) having an access index above 0.50. Countries in the Region are unable to provide the infrastructure, staff and commodities needed for those services.
- The quality of essential services remains a challenge in the Region. Client perceptions, safety assurance and effectiveness of provided interventions need to be addressed to improve quality.
- The effective demand for services by communities reflects the potential for households and communities to utilize essential services. Community-based interventions to improve ownership are critical. These exist in various forms in the Region, but are unable to build the needed demand.
- System resilience ensures that the provision of essential services is uninterrupted by shocks to the system. System resilience levels in the Region are low.

### The state of the health system investments

Countries need to invest across seven areas – through programmes or cross cutting system investments – to perform at the level needed to move towards UHC: health workforce, health infrastructure, medical products, service delivery, health governance, health financing and health information. Countries are spending an average of 60% of their health expenditures on tangible investments (health workforce, health infrastructure, and medical products) as compared to intangible ones. Within the tangible investments, highest spending of government funds is on medical products (39% of government spending), followed by the health workforce (14%). Only 7% of government expenditure is on infrastructure, which includes equipment, transport and ICT.

A country with a good performing health system puts more emphasis on the health workforce (40% versus 14%) and infrastructure (33% versus 7%) compared to countries with less performing systems. Finding a similar pattern in other countries with good performing systems would suggest that the investment focus should shift to health workforce and infrastructure investments.

### Implications for the achievement of the 2030 Agenda

A complex picture of the African Region emerges from the findings of this analysis. Looking at the level of funding available for countries to produce the results observed (using the 2015 per capita THE in US\$ PPP), one sees a mixed situation: only nine countries in the Region are spending above US\$ 500 per capita (all, with the exception of Eswatini, are upper middle or high-income countries), and half the countries (24) have a total health expenditure of less than US\$ 140 per capita.

Analysing the linkage between health expenditure and healthy life expenditure shows a weak association between the two areas. Further analysis of associations shows that healthy life expenditure is more strongly associated with health system performance, as opposed to all other areas of the Framework of Actions. Countries need to place monitoring of performance of their systems at the centre of their efforts to move towards SDG 3.

Countries in the Region are diverse, due to cultural, economic, governance and political differences, which makes a 'one size fits all' approach in addressing health in the 2030 Agenda not possible. To move forward, countries need to:

- Find means to extend their health services to currently unreached populations, including urban informal settlements;
- Increase their focus on improving the process of care, not only its availability;
- Proactively identify and increase services to all age cohorts, including the adolescents and the elderly;
- Anticipate and mitigate health and governance security challenges, as they have the potential to undo any progress made; and
- Develop country-specific mechanisms to engage all health-related stakeholders to ensure that social, economic, environmental and political SDG targets are on track.





## 1 The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, including its 17 Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and 169 targets, was adopted on 25 September 2015 by Heads of State and Government at a special United Nations summit. The 2030 Agenda represents an unprecedented focus of the global community to eradicate poverty and achieve sustainable development worldwide by 2030. The Agenda is global in nature with a strong focus on equity. As the *Agenda* is meant to be implemented in the context of countries' existing commitments, a translation process is required to adapt the SDGs into their national development plans. There is recognition that countries will require strengthening to build new types of capacities and meet new priorities to address old and new challenges alike.



#### Figure 1. The Sustainable Development Goals

## 2 The unfinished business of the Millennium Development Goals

The SDGs have not evolved in a vacuum, but rather in the context of 15 years of global efforts to implement the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)<sup>1</sup>. While the SDGs can be considered a continuation of global efforts towards poverty alleviation and prosperity improvement, they fundamentally differ from their predecessors in their universal scope, their focus on local adaptation and their implementation approach which seeks to magnify integration across actors and domains.

The results achieved by the MDGs in the African Region have been largely positive, considering the challenging baseline conditions that existed in many countries. However, compared to other WHO regions, the African Region experienced a rate of poverty decline of only 8.1% between 1990 and 2015, far below the MDG target of 28.25%<sup>2</sup>.

Progress on Health MDG Goal 4 (reduce child mortality), Goal 5 (improve maternal health) and Goal 6 (combat HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases) have

## 3 Health within the SDGs

In a departure from the MDGs, health is reflected across most of the SDGs. While the single health goal SDG 3 relates to direct actions that influence health, achieving health and well-being is also closely intertwined with other SDGs, including poverty reduction, the central theme of the Agenda as a whole. Including the 13 targets of SDG 3, nearly 50 of the 169 targets of the 17 SDGs have a direct effect on health and well-being. For the purpose of organization, we have classified the SDG targets influencing health into five broad areas depending on how they are reflected in the SDGs:

- Health service determinants for SDG 3. These are the targets 3.1 to 3.9, all within SDG 3 and their means of verification.
- Social determinants for SDG 3: These are targets influencing health, found within the socially oriented SDGs (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5)
- ► Economic determinants for SDG 3: These are targets influencing health, found within the economically oriented SDGs (7, 8, 9 and 10)

also been mixed: most countries in the Region were not able to achieve their targets for these goals, apart from the reduction of HIV incidence between 2000 and 2014, achieved by 39 of the 47 countries in the Region<sup>3</sup>.

Across the African Region, under-five mortality decreased by 55.5% against the target of reducing it by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015. The Region still maintains the highest proportion of under-five mortality globally, with only 12 countries in the Region having achieved the MDG target. Globally, the African Region also has the highest maternal mortality ratio. For MDG 5A, only 2 countries (Cabo Verde and Rwanda) achieved the target to reduce the maternal mortality ratio by three-quarters between 1990 and 2015.

Regarding health-related MDGs, 19 countries met the target of halving the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water by 2015, while only one country met the target of halving the proportion of people without basic sanitation by 2015.

- Environmental determinants for SDG 3: These are targets influencing health, found within the environmentally oriented SDGs (6, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15)
- Political determinants for SDG 3: These are targets influencing health, found within the politically oriented SDGs (14, 15, 16 and 17).

These SDG 3 determinants are shown in figure 2.

SDG 3 represents the goal around which all health targets in the SDGs coalesce. Conversely, all SDGs are interdependent, with SDG 3 also influencing most other SDGs. Moving towards improvements in all health and health-related targets calls for a whole of government approach and not a sectorial delegation of responsibilities. For example, effects of climate change (target 13.2) influence almost all sectors, not just the health of the people. Likewise, a reduction in substance abuse (target 3.5) influences education, economy and many other sectors beyond health.

I United Nations Development Programme. From the MDGs to sustainable development for all: lessons from 15 years of practice. New York: United Nations Environment Programme, 2016 (http://www.undp.org/content/dam/undp/library/SDGs/English/From%20the%20MDGs%20to%20 SD4All.pdf?download, accessed 15 March 2018).

<sup>2</sup> United Nations Economic Commission for Africa, African Union, African Development Bank, United Nations Development Programme. MDG report 2015: lessons learned in implementing the MDGs. Assessing progress in Africa toward the Millennium Development Goals. Addis Ababa: Economic Commission for Africa; 2015 (https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Publications/MDG\_Report\_2015.pdf, accessed 12 March 2018).

<sup>3</sup> Atlas of African Health Statistics 2016: Health situation analysis of the African Region. Brazzaville: WHO Regional Office for Africa; 2016 (http:// www.aho.afro.who.int/en/publication/5266/atlas-african-health-statistics-2016-health-situation-analysis-african-region, accessed 12 March 2018).

UHC (target 3.8) underpins all SDG 3 targets. Realizing UHC presents an opportunity for countries to align their actions towards achieving health and well-being. UHC is defined as "ensuring that all people can use the promotive, preventive, curative, rehabilitative and palliative health services they need, of sufficient quality to be effective, while also ensuring that the use of these services does not expose the user to financial hardship". Progressing towards UHC is dependent on integration, readiness and adaptability at the operational levels (districts and facilities) as well as the broader national, regional and global policy contexts, inclusive of economic, social, cultural and environmental factors.

#### Figure 2. Determinants of health and well-being across the SDGs



### 4 Expectations of the country health sectors in implementing the SDGs

For the health sector, this breadth of targets implies the need for ministries responsible for health to have a much broader approach to attaining health and well-being. A focus only on the health services determinants (SDG 3 targets) may not lead to the sustainable achievement of SDG 3 goal that the countries aspire to.

Identification of country-level actions to achieve the SDG targets should be done using a multisectoral approach. It is critical that governments coordinate the dialogue on SDGs and involve key stakeholders. Some of the changes expected in the health sector focus, arising from the need to adopt a sustainable development agenda, are shown in the table below.

#### Table 1. Country shifts needed for alignment with the Sustainable Development Agenda

Previous emphasis	Shift in emphasis
Mobilize and allocate resources for the provision of a basic package that is affordable	Plan and mobilize resources to facilitate a progressive improvement in the ability to provide the essential package of services needed to improve all targets influencing health and well-being
Design and focus on a single service delivery model based on the majority population's needs	Design and apply multiple service delivery models, depending on the target population, to ensure that no one is left behind.
A focus on services to mothers and children	A focus on the services needed by all age cohorts — ensuring that each person - from children to elderly — receives the services needed
A focus on interventions addressing acute, infectious diseases	A focus on interventions to address all the major causes of disease burden and risk factors across all public health functions
A focus on building capacity for the provision of services for known and expressed needs	A focus on building capacity for the provision of services for potential (such as potential health emergencies) and implicit (for example, mental health) needs
Building government capacity for the provision of essential services	Building government capacity for stewardship of the provision of services, with delivery by most suited public or private stakeholders
Focus on increasing donor funding, with prioritization of MoH-external partners coordination tools (aid effectiveness)	Focus on increasing domestic funding, with prioritization of cross-government, civil society and external partner coordination tools (overall funding effectiveness)
Interventions planned and programmed by diseases (disease-centric)	Interventions planned and programmed around the person (person-centric)
Health goals can be attained by focusing on those interventions and services that are cheap and/or cost effective	Health goals can be attained by focusing on those interventions and services that provide value for money

## 5 Role and focus of WHO in supporting the implementation of the SDGs

The World Health Organization has instituted the changes in its focus and guidance on health in the context of the SDGs. Its 13th General Programme of Work (GPW13, 2019-2023), which provides the overall focus of the organization, has provided a vision, rooted in the WHO constitution, of 'a world in which all people attain the highest possible standard of health and wellbeing' and a mission around a triple focus to: promote health; keep the world safe; and serve the vulnerable<sup>4</sup>.

In line with this mission, WHO has defined three interconnected strategic priorities to ensure that healthy

lives and well-being for all at all ages is attained by all countries. These strategic priorities are: achieving universal health coverage, addressing health emergencies and promoting healthier populations. A triple billion goal around these strategic priorities has been agreed by WHO, Member States and other partners.

This clear and ambitious set of strategic priorities have set a target for the countries to focus on, as they optimize the actions needed to attain the SDG targets influencing SDG 3.

#### Figure 3. WHO GPW13 triple billion goals and strategic priorities for attainment of SDG 3



<sup>4</sup> Resolution A71/4 of the 71st World Health Assembly on the 13th General Programme of Work. Accessed on 26 may 2018 from http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\_files/WHA71/A71\_4-en.pdf

The WHO Regional Office for Africa has defined the *Africa Health Transformation Programme* 2015–2020: *a vision for universal health coverage* as the strategic framework guiding WHO's contribution to the 2030 Agenda in the African Region<sup>5</sup>. The programme's goal is to guarantee access to a package of essential health and health-related services in all Member States and thus achieve UHC with minimal geographical, financial and social obstacles. The work of WHO in the Region is built around five strategic priorities, reflecting the unique issues influencing health and well-being for all in the region:

- i) Improving health security by tackling epidemicprone diseases, emergencies and new health threats;
- Driving progress towards equity and universal health coverage through health systems strengthening;
- iii) Pursuing the post-2015 development agenda while ensuring that the MDGs are completed;
- iv) Tackling the social and economic determinants of health; and
- v) Building a responsive and results-driven WHO secretariat

In line with this strategic focus in the Region, the WHO Regional Committee for Africa adopted a strategy for health systems development towards UHC in the context of the SDGs in August 2017<sup>6</sup>. This 'Framework of Actions' provides linkages between health system investments and health service outcomes to ensure synergies of action across system and service interventions that are also needed to reach SDG 3. It provides guidance to countries on the realignment of system investments needed to attain a comprehensive set of health and health-related outcomes critical to achieving SDG 3.

The framework follows a logical approach to elaborate the investments (inputs/processes) needed to assure the performance of health systems (outputs) in a manner that provides the health and related services needed by all persons (outcomes) to attain the level and distribution of health and well-being for all, at all ages (impact). Specific dimensions are defined at each level of the logical framework, from which a menu of options of actions are elaborated for countries to review and decide whether they add value in their efforts towards attaining their health aspirations.

<sup>5</sup> WHO Regional Office for Africa (2015). Africa Health Transformation Programme 2015–2020: a vision for Universal Health Coverage. Brazzaville: WHO Regional Office for Africa; 2015 (http://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017-06/full%20repoty.pdf accessed on 15 March 2018)

<sup>6</sup> Sixty-seventh session of the Regional Committee for Africa, Victoria Falls, Republic of Zimbabwe, 28 August–1 September 2017. Framework for health systems development towards universal health coverage in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals in the African Region. AFR/ RC67/10. Brazzaville: WHO Regional Office for Africa; 2017. (http://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017-12/UHC%20framework\_eng\_2017-11-27\_small.pdf accessed on 15 March 2018).

Figure 4. Framework for health systems development towards universal health coverage in the context of the SDGs in the African Region (the Framework of Actions)



## 6 Purpose, methodology and structure of this report

This report is a result of calls on WHO by governments and partner stakeholders to provide more in-depth analysis of health and investments to enable targeted guidance to progress towards the SDGs. Currently, most analyses within health are based on programmes or specific indicators, which creates difficulties in understanding how these contribute to the overall picture of health and wellbeing. This report is aimed at providing Member States and their partners this overall, cross cutting picture of where they lie in their efforts to attain their SDG 3 targets, and why they are where they are.

The report is a core part of the WHO Regional Office for Africa's approach to reform the use of data in guiding SDG progress. This reform is structured around three areas: statistics, information and knowledge.



- Reform in health statistics aims to rationalize the indicators and their data needed across all the dimensions of the Framework of Actions (the Framework) illustrated in figure 4 above. For each dimension, a set of indicators is defined, whose data is useful in understanding progress being made in Member States in the Region The reform focuses on ensuring that countries are identifying and building their capacity for generating data for the indicators they deem useful for each dimension of the Framework. Thus, a specific indicator is important only if it can provide guidance towards a given dimension - and not important on its own. The menu of indicators across the dimensions includes all the SDG monitoring indicators relating to health<sup>7</sup> and the WHO 100 core indicators<sup>8</sup>. As such, the country indicator set is aligned to the SDG and other health sector monitoring processes and can provide data for these.
- ► Reform in **health information** aims to provide comprehensive and scientific analyses of the available data for each dimension in the Framework. The indicators contained in each dimension are used to better understand how well that dimension is contributing to the overall health and wellbeing, and why. The data is brought together and compared against other variables that may offer an understanding of the performance (such as GDP, or other indicators).
- Reform in health knowledge aims to structure the statistics and information from analyses to generate health intelligence for decision makers. Coming from a decision-making lens, policy briefs generated

from the statistics and information are developed in response to critical decision-making questions within each dimension of the Framework. In addition, good/ best practices are identified within each dimension of the Framework for sharing across countries

Health statistics reform is reflected in the Atlas of African Health Statistics, where the trends and distribution of different health indicators are highlighted. Health information reform is reflected in this report, to be published biennially, which analyses available statistics to interpret the state of health, services and investments in countries. Finally, the health knowledge reform is reflected in policy briefs and good/best practice publications.

This report – an in-depth analysis of health statistics – analyses the different dimensions of the Framework of Actions to better understand where countries lie and why. As such, the results of the analysis are presented by areas of the logical framework:

- state of health and well-being the impact level;
- state of health and health-related services the outcome level;
- performance of the health system the output level; and
- state of investments in the health system the input/process level.

A total of 17 dimensions are analysed, covering the four areas of the Framework : three impact (health and wellbeing); six outcome (health and related services); four output (system performance) and seven input/process (investments). The report emphasizes the fact that these dimensions are all interconnected.

<sup>7</sup> SDG comprehensive monitoring indicator list accessible here: https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/Global%20Indicator%20Framework%20 after%20refinement\_Eng.pdf

<sup>8 2018</sup> Global Reference List of 100 Core Health Indicators (plus health-related SDGs). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Accessible here: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/259951/WHO-HIS-IER-GPM-2018.1-eng. pdf;jsessionid=BoB3522E7768779EC28E2B22B3A3E652?sequence=1

#### Figure 5. Dimensions analysed and their interrelationships



Within each dimension, a stepwise process is followed to derive the analysis, for consistency and transparency.

- 1. Indicators for each dimension are identified. The aim is to have as many indicators as possible, to increase the strength of the analysis the more the indicators, the stronger the inferences. Appendix 1 highlights the indicators used for each dimension.
- 2. As it was important to have comparable data for each indicator, a standard source of data has been preferred for each. No country was contacted for data only publicly available data and values were used. Use of standard sources means that the data used have been verified independently and ensures that they are comparable. For example, the use of global estimates for measles coverage is preferred over those of country reported statistics, as the data has been corrected to make it comparable. The standard data source used was the WHO Global Health Observatory<sup>9</sup>.
  - a. Where data were missing in the WHO Global Health Observatory, the UN database was consulted<sup>10</sup> and, if still unable to find the data, then the World Development Indicators<sup>11</sup> database of the World Bank was used. Appendix 2 summarizes the data values used for each indicator against the dimensions of the Framework.
  - b. For indicators for which a score is required (service responsiveness, service availability and system resilience), attributes for each indicator were derived from the literature – each of which is referenced within the report. Nine key informants were identified in each country, representing state, non-state and external stakeholders (three of each), to give different

perspectives on these attributes based on a I-5 Likert scale. Their responses were added to provide a summary of the score for each responding country.

- c. Where no data were found in the above databases, or there were no key informant responses for a country, then the indicator has been left blank and has not been used further in the analysis.
- 3. Given the fact that there are different types of indicators in each dimension, a process to make them comparable has been first carried out. This has been achieved by normalizing the data at each point to a range of 0 and 1, in line with their value relative to the other country's values. Zero represents no achievement and one represents the highest possible achievement by a country in the African Region. Where the desired trend is negative (such as Maternal Mortality ratio), the reverse of the normalized value [1 the normalized value] is applied.
- 4. The analysis generated an index for each dimension of the Framework, which is the average normalized value of the indicators used for the dimension. The average is used as all indicators are perceived to be important for the dimension. Normalization allows direct comparison of the different indicators, as they are all now unitless with values ranging from 0 to 1. Where data were missing, the given indicator was not included in the calculation of the index. However, no index was generated with only one indicator, as this would be too biased.

<sup>9</sup> WHO Global Health Observatory (http://www.who.int/gho/en/. Last accessed for data on 30 March 2018).

<sup>10</sup> UN SDG database link (https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/database/)

II https://data.worldbank.org/products/wdi

#### Figure 6. Process for deriving indices for each dimension



The derived indices represent the achievement in the Region for the given dimension. The more the indicators are available and used, the more accurate the derived index is. As the analysis is dependent on publicly available and verified data, the index represents a calculation of the status of the dimensions based on available data. Moving forward, WHO in the Africa Region is encouraging countries to make data more available for more of the indicators that are critical for assessing health and wellbeing, to make the findings more accurate.

The analysis provides a regional picture for each dimension and area of the Framework. It also provides the index values for each country that contribute to the overall regional picture. Further comparison was also done to see how the index changes for certain country groupings. These include countries with similar income, health expenditure, population and the Small Island Developing States (SIDS)<sup>12</sup>, The specific countries in each of these groupings are shown in Tables 2–4.

#### Table 2. Countries by income group classification, 2016<sup>13</sup>

High-income countries (1) HICs	Upper middle-income countries (7) UMICs	Lower middle-income countries (13) LMICs	Low-income countries (26) LICs
Seychelles	Algeria	Angola	Benin
	Botswana	Cabo Verde	Burkina Faso
	Equatorial Guinea	Cameroon	Burundi
	Gabon	Congo	Central African Republic
	Mauritius	Côte d'Ivoire	Chad
	Namibia	Eswatini	Comoros
	South Africa	Ghana	Democratic Republic of the Congo
		Kenya	Eritrea
		Lesotho	Ethiopia
		Mauritania	Gambia
		Nigeria	Guinea
		Sao Tome and Principe	Guinea-Bissau
		Zambia	Liberia
			Madagascar
			Malawi
			Mali
			Mozambique
			Niger
			Rwanda
			Senegal
			Sierra Leone South Sudan
			Togo
			Uganda United Republic of Tanzania Zimbabwe

<sup>12</sup> In the WHO African Region, SIDS are Cabo Verde, Comoros, Guinea Bissau, Mauritius, Sao Tome and Principe and Seychelles.

<sup>13</sup> http://databank.worldbank.org/data/download/site-content/OGHIST.xls

#### Table 3. Top ten and bottom ten country rankings, Total Health Expenditure (International dollars, 2014)

Bottom ten countries, THE intl\$ per capita, 2014

Top ten countries, THE intl\$ per capita, 2014

No	Country	lnt\$	No	Country	Ints
1	Central African Republic	24.96	1	Equatorial Guinea	1163.42
2	Democratic Republic of the Congo	32.28	2	South Africa	1148.37
3	Madagascar	43.70	3	Algeria	932.10
4	Eritrea	51.04	4	Mauritius	896.16
5	Niger	55.42	5	Botswana	870.84
6	Burundi	58.02	6	Seychelles	844.00
7	Guinea	68.46	7	Gabon	599.26
8	South Sudan	72.82	8	Eswatini	586.82
9	Ethiopia	72.96	9	Namibia	375.28
10	Тодо	76.25	10	Congo	322.63

#### Table 4. Ten highest and lowest countries by population size in thousands in the African Region, 2015

	Lowest population countries (1000 pc	opulation)	Highest population countries (1000 population)		
No	Country	Population	No	Country	Population
1	Seychelles	96	1	Nigeria	182 202
2	Sao Tome and Principe	190	2	Ethiopia	99 391
3	Cabo Verde	521	3	Democratic Republic of the Congo	77 267
4	Comoros	788	4	South Africa	54 490
5	Equatorial Guinea	845	5	United Republic of Tanzania	53 470
б	Mauritius	1273	б	Kenya	46 050
7	Eswatini	1287	7	Algeria	39 667
8	Gabon	1725	8	Uganda	39 032
9	Guinea-Bissau	1844	9	Mozambique	27 978
10	Gambia	1991	10	Ghana	27 410

The results of the analysis are presented in the order of the areas of the *Framework*: impact (status of health); outcomes (status of health and related services); outputs (status of the health system); and inputs/processes (status of health investments). Each area constitutes a stand-alone section, to allow comprehensive analysis of the area and its constituent dimensions. Each area starts with a description of its role in supporting health and well-being, followed by an overall analysis of its status and concludes with an analysis of each of its constituent attributes.

## **WHO African Region**



( 🗙

Lesotho

South Africa

continent into two regions: North Africa and sub-Saharan Africa, while UNICEF divides it into three regions: Eastern and South Africa, West and Central Africa, and North Africa. The three-letter ISO country codes below (ISO 3166-1

alpha-3) have been used in some of the figures and tables of the report for conciseness.

Algeria	DZA	Eswatini	SWZ	Namibia	NAM
Angola	AGO	Ethiopia	ETH	Niger	NER
Benin	BEN	Gabon	GAB	Nigeria	NGA
Botswana	BWA	Gambia	GMB	Rwanda	RWA
Burkina Faso	BFA	Ghana	GHA	Sao Tome and Principe	STP
Burundi	BDI	Guinea	GIN	Senegal	SEN
Cabo Verde	CPV	Guinea-Bissau	GNB	Seychelles	SYC
Cameroon	CMR	Kenya	KEN	Sierra Leone	SLE
Central African Republic	CAF	Lesotho	LSO	South Africa	ZAF
Chad	TCD	Liberia	LBR	South Sudan	SSD
Comoros	COM	Madagascar	MDG	Тодо	TGO
Congo	COG	Malawi	MWI	Uganda	UGA
Democratic Republic of the Congo	COD	Mali	MLI	United Republic of Tanzania	TZA
Côte d'Ivoire	CIV	Mauritania	MRT	Zambia	ZMB
Equatorial Guinea	GNQ	Mauritius	MUS	Zimbabwe	ZWE
Eritrea	ERI	Mozambique	MOZ		





## 1 The status of health in the African Region

## Attributes of a good state of health in the context of the SDGs

Good health, seen from the perspective of the SDGs, is perceived in a broader context, away from the earlier emphasis on identifying and managing specific diseases. To monitor health in the context of the SDGs, the Regional Office focuses on healthy and productive periods of life, with health and well-being seen as a function of three attributes:

- 1. The level and distribution of healthy life that individuals and communities have
- 2. The level and distribution of conditions that affect health and well-being
- 3. The level and distribution of risk factors whose presence would affect health and well-being

#### Figure 7. Attributes of good health and well-being in the context of the SDGs



### 1.1 The state of healthy life in the WHO African Region

Healthy life expectancy is defined as the years one can expect to live in full health. In the context of the SDGs, the analysis of healthy life expectancy is more useful than life expectancy because it distinguishes between simply living and living free of disease or illhealth.

 The overall average healthy life expectancy is on an increasing trend in the African Region, from 50.9 years to 53.8 years for the period 2012– 2015. This trend is also seen with the median healthy life expectancy, which has increased from 50.1 to 53.6 years between 2012 and 2015. This suggests an improving trend in overall health and well-being of the persons living in the Region. Four countries – Algeria, Cabo Verde, Mauritius and the Seychelles – have a significantly better life expectancy compared to the other countries, and nine other countries have a healthy life expectancy under 50 years, representing a large loss in healthy life.



#### Figure 8. Healthy life expectancy in the African Region, 2015

- 2. There has been a reduction in the range of healthy life expectancy across countries in the Region in the past 5 years, which went from 27.5 to 22 years. This suggests a reduction of differences between countries of the region, although the differences are still significant.
- 3. The improvement in healthy life is highest amongst upper middle-income countries, followed by lower middle income, and lastly by low income countries. High income countries paradoxically are not experiencing this improving trend – though this should be cautiously interpreted given that there is only one high income country in the African Region. Current evidence suggests this dividend is maximized when the country attains upper middle-income status.
- 4. Healthy life is improving faster amongst large population countries. This could be attributed to the overall lower healthy life expectancy

base that large population countries have – 51.1 years as opposed to 55 years. A focus on these large population countries may yield higher improvements in healthy life expectancy regionally.

- 5. Healthy life expectancy is improving marginally faster amongst countries with low population density, as opposed to those with higher population densities. Again, this may be because they are coming from a lower healthy life base (51.4 years) as opposed to countries with higher population densities (54.2 years). A focus on countries with low population density would present relatively higher improvements in healthy life and well-being regionally.
- 6. The healthy life expectancy in SIDS is only marginally improving. These states have unique demographic and health make-up and call for special approaches to accelerate improvements in their health and well-being. Many SIDS

already have high levels of healthy life, lowering the potential for higher increases compared to other countries.

7. Countries classified as in/recent post-conflict in 2010 showed significant improvements in healthy life of their populations. There is a significant healthy life dividend to be attained by focusing on these countries in the Region.

#### Table 5. Trends in healthy life expectancy since 2010

8. There does not appear to be a significant variation in the improvement of healthy life based on a country's level of spending on health. While the overall healthy life is higher in countries spending most, the rate of change is the same in countries spending least (2.9 years versus 3.1 years respectively).

Measure of healthy life expectancy (at birth)	2012	2013	2015
Average healthy life expectancy	50.9	51.4	53.8
Median value, healthy life expectancy	50.1	50.6	53.7
Regional range of healthy life expectancy	27.5	27.3	22.4
Healthy life expectancy by 2016 country income level			
Low income countries	48.0	49.6	50.6
Lower-middle ilncome countries	50.1	50.5	52.9
Upper-middle income countries	55.4	56.1	58.6
High income countries	66.6	66.7	65.5
Healthy life expectancy for special country categories			
Large population countries (top 10)	51.1	51.8	54.5
Small population countries (bottom 10)	55.0	55.2	57.6
High population dense countries (top 10)	54.2	54.8	56.3
Low population dense countries (bottom 10)	51.4	52.0	54.7
Small Island States	59.0	58.9	59.4
In-/post- conflict states, 2010 - 2016	45.6	46.1	50.0
Healthy life expectancy by health investment levels			
High total health expenditure (top 10)	54.4	54.9	57.3
Low total health expenditure (bottom 10)	49.8	50.3	52.9
Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs			

The levels of healthy life in the African Region remain much lower than the rest of the world. The Region is the only WHO region with a healthy life expectancy under 60 (52.3 years as compared to the next lowest, the Eastern Mediterranean Region, at 60.1 years). The African Region has a 16.4-year gap in healthy life as compared to the Western Pacific Region, the best performing region globally, representing a major disparity for its population.

#### Figure 9. Life expectancy and healthy life expectancy by WHO region, 2015



Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

### 1.2 Morbidity and mortality causes influencing healthy life in the African Region

Direct causes of ill health and death in the Region are varied, with eight conditions in the top 10 causes. Lower respiratory infections, HIV/AIDS and diarrhoeal diseases still represent the top three causes of both morbidity and mortality.

It should however be noted that the levels of morbidity are on a significantly reducing trend. The DALYs per 100 000 population associated with the top 10 conditions have dropped by half since the year 2000. The largest drops are associated with communicable conditions, with malaria (66% reduction), HIV/AIDS (57.9% reduction) and diarrhoeal diseases (56.5% reduction) having the highest morbidity reductions. On the other hand, the conditions associated with the least reductions are all noncommunicable: road injuries (0.9% reduction) and congenital abnormalities (7.2% reduction).

Similarly, the levels of mortality are also getting lower, with an average crude death rate due to the top 10 causes of mortality falling from 87.7 to 51.3 per 100 000 population. As with morbidity, the reductions in crude death rate were most significant in the same three communicable conditions: Malaria (66% reduction); HIV/AIDS (57% reduction) and diarrhoeal diseases (52% reduction). Again, the reductions in mortality are least with noncommunicable conditions, led by road injuries (r% reduction), ischaemic heart disease (2% reduction) and stroke (3% reduction). This reinforces the need for countries to scale up interventions to reduce mortality associated with noncommunicable conditions

#### Table 6. Trends in the top 10 causes of morbidity and mortality, 2015 and 2000

	Morbidity cause DALYs lost per 100 000 population					<b>Mortality cause</b> Crude death rate per 100 000 population			
2015 Rank	Condition	2015	2000	% change	2015 Rank	Condition	2015	2000	% change
1	Lower respiratory infections	6 546	11 360	-42.4	1	Lower respiratory infections	101.8	157.7	-35
2	HIV/AIDS	4 637	11 016	-57.9	2	HIV/AIDS	76.8	179.0	-57
3	Diarrhoeal diseases	4 497	10 336	-56.5	3	Diarrhoeal diseases	65.0	136.3	-52
4	Malaria	3 600	10 665	-66.2	4	Stroke	45.6	47.2	-3
5	Preterm birth complications	3 215	4 890	-34.3	5	Ischaemic heart disease	44.5	45.5	-2
б	Birth asphyxia and trauma	3 070	5 091	-39.7	б	Tuberculosis	44.0	58.1	-24
7	Congenital anomalies	2 006	2 162	-7.2	7	Malaria	40.8	118.8	-66
8	Tuberculosis	1 875	2 429	-22.8	8	Preterm birth complications	34.7	53.0	-34
9	Road injury	1 664	1 679	-0.9	9	Birth asphyxia and trauma	32.5	54.6	-41
10	Neonatal sepsis/ infections	1 616	2 175	-25.7	10	Road injury	27.2	26.8	1
	Total	32 726	61 803	29,077		Average	51.29	87.7	36.41

Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

The overall reduction in disease burden in the African Region is more marked than in other WHO regions. All-cause mortality in the Region has reduced by 37% since 2000, as compared to 10% globally. The African Region is also one of the only two regions registering a reduction in the total deaths (all causes); all other regions, apart from the European Region, are registering increases in total deaths. This suggests that efforts to reduce excess/avoidable mortality are bearing fruit in the African Region.

#### Table 7. Comparison of crude death rates and total deaths across WHO regions, 2000 to 2015

WHO region	<b>Crude death</b> (all causes)/100 000		<b>Total deaths</b> (all causes) ooos		
	2015	2000	2015	2000	
African	930.8	1474.1	9 207	9 793	
Americas	666.4	669.6	6 575	5 592	
South-East Asia	717.6	828.7	13 836	13 041	
European	1019.7	1088.5	9 279	9 439	
Eastern Mediterranean	624.9	726.7	4 023	3 400	
Western Pacific	717.5	634.9	13 309	10 699	
Global	768.5	851.5	56 441	52 135	

Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

#### Table 8. Comparison of top 10 causes of mortality in the African Region against different income groups

Condition		Crude death rate per 100 000 – top 10 causes							
	African Region	Low-income countries (LICs)	Lower middle- income countries (MICs)	Upper middle- income countries (UMICs)	High-income countries (HICs)				
Lower respiratory infections	101.8	84.9	51.5	26.5	38.2				
HIV/AIDS	76.8	47.7							
Diarrhoeal diseases	65	57.2	30.9						
Stroke	45.6	49.6	68.8	120.9	64.7				
Ischaemic heart disease	44.5	48.6	111.8	133.4	144.6				
Tuberculosis	44	34.5	34.5						
Malaria	40.8	34.4							
Preterm birth complications	34.7	32.1	24.1						
Birth asphyxia and birth trauma	32.5	30.5							
Road injury	27.2	28.5	19.1	19.5					
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary disease			42.7	50.4	42.6				
Diabetes Mellitus			24.2	20.6	22.6				
Liver cirrhosis			20.3						
Cancers – respiratory				33.3	49.5				
Alzheimer's & other dementias				19.5	60.1				
Cancer – liver				18.2					
Cancer - stomach				17.4					
Cancer - colorectal					27.5				
Kidney disease					18.1				
Cancer - breast					15.6				
Average	51.29	44.8	42.8	46.0	48.4				

Source: WHO Global Health Observatory, 2017

## 1.3 Risk factors influencing healthy life in the African Region

Risk factors influencing healthy life remain a key area of concern in the African Region, as they are associated with fuelling the disease burden patterns observed. The Global action plan for the prevention and control of NCDs (2013–2020)<sup>15</sup> recommends that countries focus on addressing four conditions (chronic respiratory disease, cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes) through four risk factors (alcohol abuse, insufficient physical activity, unhealthy diets and tobacco use).

At present, a person in the African Region aged between 30 and 70 years has a 20.7% chance of dying from one of these major NCDs, a probability consistent with the global pattern or 19.4%. The lowest probability of dying from these NCDs is seen in the Region of the Americas (15.4%) and the European Region (18.4%), which may be a function of the highly specialized services available to populations in some countries of these regions. Efforts to make available highly specialized services responding to these NCDs can therefore bear fruit.

There is a significant risk associated with each of the four risk factors contributing to this level of mortality:

- 1. alcohol consumption (6.3 L of pure alcohol consumption per capita per year);
- 2. insufficient physical activity (82.3% and 87.9% inactivity amongst male and female adolescents respectively);
- 3. unhealthy diets (7.7% and 15.1% children and adolescents' obesity respectively); and
- 4. tobacco use (24.2% and 2.4% tobacco use amongst 15 years old male and females respectively).

Insufficient physical activity and unhealthy diet are significantly higher amongst females, while use of tobacco products is higher amongst males. Furthermore, there is evidence from the WHO STEPwise approach to surveillance (STEPs) surveys in countries of the Region that some of the risk factors – particularly tobacco use – are increasing disproportionately more amongst females than males, especially in adolescents. These findings suggest a need for strategies focused on different sexes and age groups.

WHO Region		African	Americas	South- East Asia	European	Eastern Mediterranean	Western Pacific	Global
Probability of dying from any of CVD, cancer, diabetes, CRD between age 30 and exact age 70, 2012 (%)		20.7	15.4	24.5	18.4	20.8	18.0	19.4
Total alcohol per capita	2005	6.2	9.2	2.9	9.1	0.7	5.4	5.6
(> 15 years of age) consumption, in litres of	2010	6	8.4	3.5	10.9	0.7	6.8	6.2
pure alcohol, 2005–2015	2015	6.3	8.1	3.7	10.2	0.7	7.6	6.3
Percent of 11–17-year	Male	82.3	75.3	72.5	78.4	84.7	81	77.6
olds insufficiently active, by sex	Female	87.9	87.1	74.6	87.7	91	88.9	83.9
Prevalence of overweight	Male	7.7	34.6	9.6	28.1	20.2	30.4	19.3
among children and adolescents, 2016 by sex (%)	Female	15.1	32.6	8.1	24.2	20.7	18.8	17.5
Prevalence of smoking	Male	24.2	22.8	32.1	39	36.2	48.5	36.1
any tobacco product among persons aged ≥ 15 years by sex	Female	2.4	13.3	2.6	19.3	2.9	3.4	6.8

#### Table 9. Comparison of risk factor prevalence in the African Region

Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

<sup>15</sup> http://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd-action-plan/en/
# 2 The status of health and health-related population outcomes in the African Region

## Attributes of effective health and health-related outcomes in the context of the SDGs

The state of health and well-being is a function of the levels of attainment of the dimensions related to outcomes – the health and health-related services desired by the population. For sustainable development, these services must be broad enough to cover all the populations, irrespective of their needs and locations. The six dimensions of health outcomes provide this breadth, irrespective of where a population is within the Region.



Figure 10. Dimensions of health and health-related services in the African Region

There is recognition that UHC is an umbrella target within SDG 3. UHC is based on universality and sustainability and is underpinned by principles of efficiency, effectiveness and equity spanning health system inputs and processes (interactions across the various building blocks) and health system performance outputs as measured by access, quality, demand and resilience of essential services. It is achieved in concert with health security, service satisfaction and other (non-health) SDG interventions:

- Universality ensures that all persons are targeted without any discrimination – leaving no one behind. It denotes a shift of focus from priority services to vulnerable populations to essential services for all, at all ages.
- Sustainability, on the other hand, ensures that gains can be maintained at least over a strategic planning cycle (3–7 years). It denotes a shift from short term project-driven results, to longer term developmental gains.





To understand the current state of health and healthrelated services in the African Region, the scores of each of the 6 dimensions making up the health and related services are consolidated. The value of the consolidated score for the entire Region was 0.48. Given that a score of I represents the best possible attainment, this score is interpreted as the Region being able to provide only 48% of health and healthrelated services that could potentially be provided to its population.

This 48% of of provided services masks major disparities between countries in the Region. The figure below shows the variation across countries of the Region.





Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

The country scores in the Region range from a low of 0.31 to a high of 0.70. Only five countries in the Region have a score above 0.6: Namibia (0.62), Kenya (0.64), South Africa (0.66), Seychelles (0.68) and Algeria (0.70). Algeria, the country with the best score in the Region, is only able to provide 70% of the possible health and health-related services needed by its population – a worrying situation. All the dimensions underperform in the Region, with the best only able to provide 57% of what is feasible. All Member States therefore need to review what they have available for their populations, with the aim of identifying and improving the services needed to improve each dimension. The worst performing dimensions relative to the others are service availability (36% of what is feasible), and financial risk protection (34% of what is feasible). Improving population outcomes in the Region will require relatively more effort in improving these two dimensions.





Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

Further analysis of the health and health-related population outcomes index is accomplished by looking at the average of the countries index by income level, as defined by the World Bank. The index for countries increases as country GDP increases, showing a relationship between country income and health and health-related population outcomes. The index average ranges from 0.68 in Seychelles, the single high income country level, to 0.45 in the low income countries, a variation of over 50%. This again illustrates the levels of inequity in the Region, where populations of countries that are better off utilizing more of the health and health-related services they need for health and well-being. Innovative methods are needed to improve health and health-related outcomes in lower income countries.

Figure 14. Comparison of health and health-related services index by income group in the African Region



Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

A further analysis of the variation of the outcomes index in the Region can be done by looking at country groups based on levels of health expenditure, population size and specific focus on Small Island Developing States (SIDS) countries. Those with higher total health expenditure show a significantly higher level of utilization of health and health-related services, as compared to those with the lowest total health expenditure. There is also a marginal difference in the utilization score in large versus small countries (a 4% difference). SIDS countries show a higher index (0.55) as compared to the regional average (0.48), suggesting that populations in these countries benefit from better health and health-related service outcomes.





Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

Finally, the dimensions related to service availability, SDG 3 services coverage and financial risk protection show a mixed picture. Only four countries had a combined score above 0.6: Algeria (0.7), Seychelles (0.68), South Africa (0.66) and Kenya (0.64). Since this is just an average across the 3 dimensions, it is clear that all countries in the Region have a long way to go to attain UHC. It is most often the availability of services that scores poorly, as countries have been focusing on improving coverage and/or financial risk protection, without significant efforts towards making sure a wider range of services are available for their populations.





Source: World Health Statistics 2017: Monitoring health for the SDGs

## 2.1 Availability of essential services across the life course

The availability of essential services is a measure of which services exist for the population. Those services need to be aligned with health and well-being needs. Availability of essential services represents the 'forgotten' arm of UHC; a system that can make available services that people need at any age group is on its way to achieving UHC.

In many countries, availability of essential services is assumed though the provision of inputs that are cross-cutting. As such, deployment of medical officers assumes that the services a medical officer can provide are available. While this holds true in some instances – and assuming all other inputs a medical officer needs are available – there are some cohort-specific services which the health sector need to proactively plan to ensure their provision. These services are recognized as critical to a given life cohort for their health and well-being. The Framework of Actions proposes a set of services for each cohort.

Pregnancy and newborn	Childhood	Adolescence	Adulthood	Elderly
<ul> <li>Antenatal care services</li> <li>Perinatal care services</li> <li>Care for the new-born</li> <li>Postnatal care services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Childhood immunization</li> <li>Child nutrition (under and over)</li> <li>Integrated childhood services</li> <li>Primary school health services</li> <li>Promotion of childhood healthy lifestyles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adolescent sexual and reproductive health services</li> <li>Adolescent/youth friendly health services</li> <li>Secondary school health services</li> <li>Harm reduction services for prevention of drug and alcohol use</li> <li>Promotion of adolescent healthy lifestyles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Screening for common communicable conditions</li> <li>Screening for common non- communicable conditions and risk factors</li> <li>Reproductive health services including family planning</li> <li>Promotion of adulthood healthy lifestyles</li> <li>Adult nutrition services</li> <li>Clinical and rehabilitative health services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Annual screening and medical exams</li> <li>Elderly persons social support services</li> <li>Clinical and rehabilitative services for the elderly</li> </ul>

## Table 10. Tracer essential services for each age-cohort

Source: Leave no one behind: strengthening health systems for UHC and the SDGs in Africa. Brazzaville: WHO Regional Office for Africa; 2017

The assessment of the availability of these tracer services across African countries is based on a review by a group of key informants in each country (see Appendix 2 for attributes and emerging scores). The respondents were asked to identify which tracer services were available to the population. Their responses were converted into an overall service availability index, composed from the normalized values of each age cohort. The overall availability score for the Region of 0.36 shows that on average, the countries of the Region are only making available 36% of the essential services needed by their populations to attain health and well-being. This is a very low score, with a significant amount of effort needed by countries to increase services within their essential packages. Many countries have been defining their essential services as a basic package that is affordable. However, this package is usually not aligned with the needs of the population. A strategic shift is needed for countries to move from a budgeting process to a planning process.

#### Figure 17. Strategic shift needed in making essential services available for populations



The age cohorts for which the services are least available are the adolescents and the elderly. These two cohorts represent critical age groups for UHC: the adolescents, for whom affliction with disease or risk factors has a disproportionately high impact on the populations health and well-being, and the elderly, who possess a relatively higher disease burden. A large proportion (27%) of respondents highlighted the absence of ANY services for the elderly population in their countries.



Figure 18. Proportion of respondents reporting none of tracer services available for the population

Looking across the 29 countries that provided information on the availability of these essential services, there is a wide variation across the Region, ranging from a low of 0.06 (Tchad) to a high of 1.00 (Kenya). This overall low score, and the wide variation, are a cause for real concern. Health systems are not making available the services that their populations need for their health and well-being because health services have traditionally focused on a small select set of 'priority services'. This situation needs to be quickly addressed to move towards UHC.

Figure 19. Comparison of availability of essential services index in countries of the African Region



Even at this low level of availability of essential services, there are still variations by income. The

middle-income countries have a higher average indices as compared to other countries.





This variation in availability of essential services is marginal when looking at the availability of services by health expenditure. Level of expenditure therefore does not drive availability of services. However, countries with large populations have a higher index value as compared to other countries. This may reflect the increased probability of having more services as the health sector grows in size. SIDS countries have low essential services available, again a reflection of the difficulty in assuring a wide range of services for a small population:





## 2.2 Coverage of essential health interventions

The availability of essential services only looks at what is available for different age cohorts. However, the presence of services does not mean that they will be utilized as intended by the potential beneficiaries. The coverage of essential health interventions looks at how well the potential beneficiaries are using the services. High levels of utilization imply improved results in terms of improved health and well-being, and vice versa.

Essential health interventions need to be provided across all public health functions – health promotion, disease prevention, curative and rehabilitation/ palliative. Some of the critical essential interventions are shown in the Table II below.

## Table 11. Critical essential interventions by public health functions

Domain area	Essential interventions			
Health promotion	Individual / family based healthy behaviours and actions			
(HPR)	Health workplace and safety			
	Behaviour change communication for healthy lifestyles in targeted environments			
	Community initiated and owned health promoting actions			
Communicable	Immunization / vaccinations			
disease prevention	Surveillance for health threats			
and control	Integrated Vector Management			
(CDC)	Environmental hygiene management			
	Prevention & control of common communicable conditions: HIV, Hepatitis, STIs, TB and Malaria			
	Control and prevention neglected tropical diseases			
Noncommunicable disease prevention and control (NCD)	Mental health services			
	Violence and injury prevention			
	Prevention of cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes and obstructive pulmonary disease			
	Food quality and safety			
	Prevention of tobacco use, unhealthy nutrition, physical inactivity and harmful use of alcohol			
	Control and prevention of drug and substance abuse			
Medical and	Outpatient care			
rehabilitative	Emergency and trauma care services			
(CUR)	Maternity services			
	Investigative / diagnostic services			
	Inpatient care			
	Operative care			
	Specialized therapies			
	Palliative and end of life care			
	Rehabilitation			

Countries should aim to maximize the coverage of these interventions to facilitate movement towards UHC. The SDG 3 utilization index value of 0.57 suggests populations in the Region are utilizing only 57% of interventions needed to attain the SDG 3 targets, which is a low level of utilization. The public health function with the highest score is communicable disease control (0.76), which implies that interventions targeting communicable diseases

have the highest rate of utilization in the Region, as compared to the other public health functions. However, as much as one quarter of the population is still not utilizing these communicable disease interventions. The NCD score is the lowest (0.44), showing the very low utilization of interventions aimed at preventing noncommunicable diseases, which is at odd with the high NCDs burden of disease of the African Region.



## Figure 22. SDG 3 interventions index by public health function

The regional score also shows variations across and within countries. In the Region, this utilization score ranges from 0.36 to 0.79, a reflection of the range of utilization that exists. Only four countries (Algeria, Mauritius, Sao Tome and Principe and the Seychelles) have a score above 0.70, a reflection of high utilization of SDG 3 interventions.



Figure 23. Range of SDG 3 interventions utilization index across countries in the African Region

There are significant inequities in the utilization of services in the Region.

- 1. There is a clear dividend in terms of utilization of services by level of income, with the utilization score increasing with the country economic ranking. The 11% higher utilization in high income countries is significant: this trend is only reversed for health promotion interventions, whose score is decreasing as the income level of the country grows. This may reflect the increasing medicalization of services in higher income countries.
- 2. Countries with the highest health expenditure have higher utilization of services – with the highest variation being with curative and rehabilitative services. Low country spending on health shows higher utilization of health promotion services, an indication of a lower focus on health promotion as countries spend more on health.
- 3. Countries with lower populations have higher utilization of interventions, compared to those with higher populations. This may be associated with the relative ease of attaining coverage interventions in lower population countries, where identifying and accessing noncovered populations may be better achieved. The variation is most pronounced with SIDS countries, which have a more than 10% higher utilization score.
- 4. Inequities in utilization of interventions are not only between countries; they can also be seen within countries. A review of population coverage with essential health interventions relating to reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health by wealth quintile across African countries shows an average of 22% reduction in coverage between the highest and lowest quintile in countries of the Region.



## Figure 24. Comparison of SDG 3 utilization index by income group across public health functions in the African Region





## Comparison by health expenditure



# Table 12. Percentage of population with coverage of essential health interventions related to reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health by wealth guintile in the African Region

Chad (2010)18.019.922.629.645.5Democratic Republic of the Congo (2010)51.353.553.460.269.5Sierra Leone (2010)57.358.461.766.770.5Eswatini (2010)74.576.481.982.684.5Togo (2010)36.944.248.658.865.5Ghana (2011)60.165.269.175.577.5Mauritania (2011)36.444.454.663.865.2Nigeria (2011)22.935.049.160.074.5Malawi (2013)76.779.481.180.983.5	Country	Quintile (Poorest)	Quintile Second	Quintile Middle	Quintile Fourth	Quintile (Richest)
Democratic Republic of the Congo (2010)51.353.553.460.269Sierra Leone (2010)57.358.461.766.770Eswatini (2010)74.576.481.982.684Togo (2010)36.944.248.658.865Ghana (2011)60.165.269.175.577Mauritania (2011)36.444.454.663.865Nigeria (2011)22.935.049.160.074Malawi (2013)76.779.481.180.983	Central African Republic (2010)	32.5	34.6	40.1	54.4	66.0
Sierra Leone (2010)       57.3       58.4       61.7       66.7       70         Eswatini (2010)       74.5       76.4       81.9       82.6       84         Togo (2010)       36.9       44.2       48.6       58.8       65         Ghana (2011)       60.1       65.2       69.1       75.5       77         Mauritania (2011)       36.4       44.4       54.6       63.8       65         Nigeria (2011)       22.9       35.0       49.1       60.0       74         Malawi (2013)       76.7       79.4       81.1       80.9       83	Chad (2010)	18.0	19.9	22.6	29.6	45.9
Eswatini (2010)74.576.481.982.684Togo (2010)36.944.248.658.865Ghana (2011)60.165.269.175.577Mauritania (2011)36.444.454.663.865Nigeria (2011)22.935.049.160.074Malawi (2013)76.779.481.180.983	Democratic Republic of the Congo (2010)	51.3	53.5	53.4	60.2	69.0
Togo (2010)36.944.248.658.865Ghana (2011)60.165.269.175.577Mauritania (2011)36.444.454.663.865Nigeria (2011)22.935.049.160.074Malawi (2013)76.779.481.180.983	Sierra Leone (2010)	57.3	58.4	61.7	66.7	70.0
Ghana (2011)60.165.269.175.577Mauritania (2011)36.444.454.663.865Nigeria (2011)22.935.049.160.074Malawi (2013)76.779.481.180.983	Eswatini (2010)	74.5	76.4	81.9	82.6	84.0
Mauritania (2011)       36.4       44.4       54.6       63.8       65         Nigeria (2011)       22.9       35.0       49.1       60.0       74         Malawi (2013)       76.7       79.4       81.1       80.9       83	Togo (2010)	36.9	44.2	48.6	58.8	65.1
Nigeria (2011)         22.9         35.0         49.1         60.0         74           Malawi (2013)         76.7         79.4         81.1         80.9         83	Ghana (2011)	60.1	65.2	69.1	75.5	77.7
Malawi (2013) 76.7 79.4 81.1 80.9 83	Mauritania (2011)	36.4	44.4	54.6	63.8	65.7
	Nigeria (2011)	22.9	35.0	49.1	60.0	74.2
Zimbabwe (2014) 75.8 78.3 80.1 83.5 85	Malawi (2013)	76.7	79.4	81.1	80.9	83.2
	Zimbabwe (2014)	75.8	78.3	80.1	83.5	85.9
Burkina Faso (2010)         52.1         57.0         63.4         67.9         78	Burkina Faso (2010)	52.1	57.0	63.4	67.9	78.3
Burundi (2010) 63.0 63.2 67.8 67.4 73	Burundi (2010)	63.0	63.2	67.8	67.4	73.0
Malawi (2010) 70.3 73.3 75.0 77.1 81	Malawi (2010)	70.3	73.3	75.0	77.1	81.0
Rwanda (2010)         68.3         70.5         73.1         74.2         79	Rwanda (2010)	68.3	70.5	73.1	74.2	79.9

Source: WHO Global Health Observatory

Country	Quintile (Poorest)	Quintile Second	Quintile Middle	Quintile Fourth	Quintile (Richest)
Senegal (2010)	46.2	54.0	62.7	64.6	74.1
United Republic of Tanzania (2010)	61.8	66.5	66.1	76.7	86.3
Zimbabwe (2010)	65.1	66.4	70.7	75.2	74.9
Benin (2011)	45.3	54.1	60.1	61.9	66.2
Cameroon (2011)	31.2	55.1	64.2	69.6	75.8
Congo (2011)	62.9	69.5	74.2	78.5	81.4
Côte d'Ivoire (2011)	43.7	50.8	53.7	62.7	69.3
Ethiopia (2011)	24.4	31.0	32.2	39.5	65.1
Mozambique (2011)	47.0	51.1	58.8	67.1	76.0
Uganda (2011)	58.0	61.0	63.1	66.4	75.7
Comoros (2012)	49.5	58.5	64.6	71.8	68.9
Gabon (2012)	59.1	67.1	68.7	76.3	73.0
Guinea (2012)	31.4	42.9	44.9	52.8	64.6
Mali (2012)	34.6	42.1	44.0	58.3	66.9
Niger (2012)	45.0	51.1	52.6	58.0	72.7
Senegal (2012)	47.4	56.0	62.7	70.3	74.7
Democratic Republic of the Congo (2013)	49.3	55.3	58.5	63.1	71.2
Gambia (2013)	60.1	60.6	62.0	66.9	68.9
Liberia (2013)	52.0	59.7	62.4	67.2	68.8
Namibia (2013)	73.4	79.2	80.1	80.4	81.1
Nigeria (2013)	14.6	27.0	44.3	57.8	75.5
Sierra Leone (2013)	63.9	63.9	64.7	69.4	73.0
Togo (2013)	49.6	50.7	53.1	62.4	71.4
Zambia (2013)	68.5	70.5	74.5	82.5	85.6
Ghana (2014)	62.5	63.4	69.4	71.3	74.2
Senegal (2014)	56.6	59.5	64.8	71.7	70.0

Source: WHO Global Health Observatory

## 2.3 Levels of financial risk protection

Financial risk protection (FRP) aims at reducing the financial barriers communities face in accessing essential services by ensuring that the financial costs of using essential services are minimized for households and individuals. Out of pocket payments are recognized as one of the major barriers to accessing essential services, as utilization is influenced by a person having the funds required to use needed services.

Financial protection is measured by monitoring the proportion of the population with large household expenditures on health as a share of total household expenditure (for example, 10% and/or 25%). This information is not available in all countries, as it requires a nationally representative survey that contains both information on household expenditure on health and household total expenditure. As a proxy, the analysis is based on a score derived from 3 commonly available indicators:

- General Government Health Expenditure (GGHE) as % of THE: Higher government spending as a proportion of total health expenditure implies a higher proportion of health expenditures are indirect (not paid at the point of use);
- Out of Pocket Expenditure (OOPS) as % of Private Health Expenditure (PvtHE): Higher out of pocket spending within private expenditures imply higher inequities as these expenditures are driven by ability to pay, not need; and
- Social Security Funds as % of General Government Health Expenditure (GGHE): Higher spending on health social security implies that more government spending is pooled for health use.

The FRP index of 0.34 suggests protection is only at 34% of what is feasible in the Region. This index varies markedly between countries, ranging from a low of 0.1 to a high of 0.7 out of a possible 1.

#### Figure 26. Country financial risk protection index



This level of the FRP Index is primarily driven by low investment in social security funding by governments (score of 0.16 versus 0.35 and 0.52 for OOPS and government spending, respectively). Many countries have not introduced social insurance mechanisms for health due to the perceived high costs governments would have to incur, subsidizing those with low ability to pay and covering at least the start-up management costs. However, for effective movement towards financial risk protection in a manner that will lead towards UHC, it is important for countries to critically look at how they can increase the focus of their funding towards social security.

The FRP Index is also dependent on the level of income of the country – the higher the income classification, the higher the Index. The financial risk protection in low income countries is less than half that in high income countries, a trend seen with all the three indicators used to derive the score..





Inequities are also seen based on the overall health expenditures. The countries with the highest health expenditures also have the highest financial risk protection Index – more than double that for the countries with the lowest health expenditures. This suggests health expenditures are increasingly spent in areas that provide better financial risk protection. Additionally, the smaller the country population, the higher the financial risk protection – though this pattern is reversed for social security funding, with higher population countries having higher spending on social security. This may be a result of a preference for government funded and managed services in smaller countries, where social security mechanisms may not provide the economies of scale needed to run them.

#### Figure 28. Comparison of financial risk protection by health expenditures and population in the African Region



Comparison by health expenditure

Comparison by country size and SIDS



## 2.4 Levels of appropriate health security

Health security is a key measure of UHC in the African Region, given the devastating effect of disease epidemics and health emergencies on health and wellbeing, as shown by the devastating 2014–2015 EVD epidemic in West Africa.

The region is particularly vulnerable to outbreak events, with an average of over 40 events being monitored at any given time. This high vulnerability calls for a need to focus on identifying and monitoring populations vulnerable to events and to respond to their needs.

Health security is assured if a country can build core capacities to prevent, detect and respond effectively to outbreak and disaster events that influence health. Such country capacities are monitored using the International Health Regulations (IHR) framework. Countries need to build core capacities across 19 areas in the three health security domain areas listed below to ensure an adequate level of health security.

Health security domain		Core capacity area
Prevention	1	National Legislation, Policy and Financing
	2	IHR Coordination, Communication and Advocacy
	3	AMR
	4	Zoonotic Disease
	5	Food Safety
	6	Biosafety and Biosecurity
	7	Immunization
	17	Points of Entry (PoE) *
Detection	8	National Laboratory Systems
	9	Real-Time Surveillance
	10	Reporting
	11	Workforce Development
Response	12	Preparedness
	13	Emergency Operations Centres
	14	Linking Public Health with Law and Multisectoral Rapid Response
	15	Medical Countermeasures and Personnel Deployment
	16	Risk Communication
Other	18	Chemical Events
	19	Radiation Emergencies

## Table 13. IHR areas for building core capacities for health security

Based on countries' feedback regarding these respective capacities, WHO has developed for each country an IHR score for 13 core capacities for which cross-country comparative data exist.

The latest value shows that only 57% of the required capacities exist in countries in the Region. This is the lowest score across all WHO regions, with the next lowest, the Eastern Mediterranean Region, having a score of 74%. The highest scores are 80% in the South-East Asia and European regions. This reflects the high global discrepancy in health security, and the need for major focus and investment in the African Region.

The score in the African Region masks a wide range of capacities, which range from 0.18 to 1.. This wide range of core capacities is being reflected in the large number of new and/protracted health security events, due for example to dengue, regular Viral Haemorrhagic Fevers (VHFs), plague or yellow fever), normalized outbreaks, such as cholera, and humanitarian crises. Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Seychelles, South Africa and Zambia have the highest health security scores, above 0.8. On the other hand, 10 countries have IHR scores under 0.3, representing critical focus countries for improvement of health security.





To better understand the root causes of the IHR scores for countries in the Region, information from the Joint External Evaluation of the core capacities for implementation of the International Health Regulations (IHR 2005) of the WHO Health Emergencies Programme was analysed. Only 22 of the 47 countries of the Region have completed their JEEs in the past two years. The average JEE score for these 22 countries is 0.73, with the highest values attributed to the detection capacity followed by prevention. The response capacity score is the lowest of the three JEE areas, reflecting the low capacity to respond to health threats, even if the countries can detect them.

#### Figure 30. Health security score by domain areas



There is also evidence of inequities in the countries health security status. There is a clear correlation between the IHR score and the level of income, with the high-income countries having the highest and the low-income ones the lowest scores. The level of health security is 64% lower in the low-income countries as compared to the high-income ones. Based on the JEE scores, this trend is mainly driven by prevention and detection capacities. The variation in detection capacity by income level does not appear significant, but the response capacity is the opposite, with higher response capacity in the lower income countries. This may reflect the focus on response in low income countries, as compared to a focus on prevention in the higher income countries.





A similar trend is observed when countries are compared by their levels of health expenditure. Those with higher health expenditures have marginally better health security than those that spend the least on health. Finally, health security is more robust across all the health security areas in large countries as compared to small or SIDS countries.

#### Figure 32. Comparison of health security by health expenditures and population in the African Region





#### Comparison by country size and SIDS

## 2.5 Responsiveness of essential services to population needs

Service responsiveness recognizes that the interaction process during care influences outcomes and use of the available services. This is important for UHC in ensuring that essential services are provided in a manner that responds to the legitimate needs of the recipients, thus improving utilization and desired health outcomes. A country where services are responsive to the needs of the population will have better health outcomes, and so accelerate its progress towards UHC.

WHO has been carrying out normative work on responsiveness since the publication of the 2000 *World Health Report*<sup>26</sup>, which recognized responsiveness as one of the goals of any health system. Many attributes for responsiveness have since then been crystallized. In this analysis, the attributes used to look at responsiveness were dignity, autonomy, confidentiality, prompt attention, access to social support, quality of basic amenities and choice of care providers. Key knowledgeable about health services Informants in countries have shared their perception of their health system's responsiveness. From these responses, responsiveness scores - representing the proportion of responses that were positive in terms of the respective attributes - were generated for each attribute. The responsiveness index was the average of these scores. The index value (0.47) is primarily driven by access to social support (0.73), while quality of basic amenities (0.27) and autonomy (0.37) represent the lowest performing areas of responsiveness.

#### Figure 33. Scores for different attributes of service responsiveness for the African Region



<sup>16</sup> World Health Organization. (2000). The World Health Report: 2000 : Health systems: improving performance. Geneva: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42281 accessed on 15 March 2018).

**Dignity**-54% of the respondents agreed that clients are treated with respect during the care process compared to 20% who disagreed. The rights of clients with potentially stigmatizing conditions were perceived to be effectively safeguarded by 43% of respondents. Only 33% of respondents believed that clients were encouraged to discuss their concerns and needs freely during the consultation process, compared to 33% who disagreed. Only 38% of respondents agreed that respect is shown for a client's desire for privacy during the consultation process as compared to 23% who disagreed. Respondents indicated that while service providers had been sensitized to issues of client rights and dignity such as privacy and stigma, there existed some contradictions in the effective implementation of policies to support approaches to dignified care. These included heavy client flows and inadequate staff numbers, preventing staff from taking their time to address client concerns, and infrastructural challenges which hindered privacy - particularly acute in public facilities as compared to private facilities. Respondents also noted the existence of stigma in some cases, and a need to increase awareness of patient charters where they exist. As one respondent noted:

## "Client dignity remains an ideal in the health sector, despite its universal appeal."

Autonomy – 46% of respondents agreed that client consent is explicitly sought before testing or starting to manage their conditions. In comparison, only 35% of respondent felt that clients are provided with information on alternative management options, as compared to 25% who disagreed. Only 3r% of respondents agreed that clients are consulted, and that their views were considered in relation to their management preferences of their conditions, as compared to 28% who disagreed. Again, respondents drew attention to the variation in care between private and public facilities. Respondents also articulated the information asymmetry between clients and providers as a challenge to client autonomy in all cases:

"The health workers' – especially clinicians' – word is taken by and large as truth. Most clients are not enlightened enough to provide their views. Health workers on the other hand take advantage of client ignorance. Due to workload, health workers seem to be in a hurry to finish their work and hence most of the time do not encourage a discussion with the clients. In rare occasions, and especially with welleducated clients who more than not have access to information, then they do provide their views and health workers do then comply."

Confidentiality - 54% of respondents agreed that consultations between clients and providers is carried out in a manner that protects confidentiality. 49% of respondents believed that confidentiality of information provided by clients is preserved, except if needed by other providers to further the care process. A lesser proportion of respondents (36%) agreed that medical records are preserved in a manner that ensures that there is limited or no chance of their leaking to unauthorized users, as compared to 20% who disagreed. Respondents pointed to challenges in filing systems, especially in public facilities, which reduces the ability to achieve maximum confidentiality. Such issues include poor medical record management and insufficient numbers of medical record assistants. Space to keep records is a challenge, and there are cases where clients can take their files home. In general, the opinion of the respondents was that while confidentiality was largely upheld, it was not totally guaranteed.

Prompt attention – Just 12% of respondents felt that clients can get to a facility offering services they need in under 30 minutes, as compared with 68% who disagreed. Furthermore, only 7% of respondents thought that clients usually spend under 30 minutes at a, facility before they received services, in contrast with 81% who disagreed. 13% of respondents agreed that clients will usually receive all the services they need within 2 hours of arriving at a health facility as compared to 62% who disagreed. That clients will usually spend an unnecessarily long time waiting for elective procedures was agreed by 71% of respondents. Respondents referred to inadequate numbers and cadres of human resources, long queues due to overcrowding and congestion, especially in public facilities (and perhaps as well in secondary and tertiary facilities), lack of health facilities near households, particularly in rural settings, and service delivery organization within facilities (such as triage capacities) as reasons for delayed attention.

Access to social support – Respondents were largely in agreement that during the care process, clients should be allowed to receive guests, that families and friends should be allowed to cater for their personal needs (both 63%), and that clients should also be allowed to be involved in religious activities (62%). Respondents pointed to the inadequate levels of staffing, which necessitated additional social support and care from family and friends. Faith-based activities were allowed where they did not interfere with patient care.

Quality of basic amenities - 49% of respondents agreed that health facilities are usually clean. However, only 31% of respondents agreed that linen and other personal items provided to clients were usually clean and appropriate. 21% of respondents believed that water and sanitation services for clients were usually adequate in health facilities and 20% of respondents agreed that food for clients was usually adequate for their nutritional needs. Respondents further commented on the differences between private and public health facilities and that, in particular, the provision of food and linens was seen as one of the social supports provided by the clients' families. Often, the lack of adequate nutrition was linked not only to its non-inclusion in a facility's budgets, but also the lack of any dietary plan, or absence of nutritionists. One respondent made the following comment:

"To the extent possible, cleanliness of buildings and surroundings is ensured, but patients are not provided with food, and water is not always sufficiently available." Choice of care providers - This was assessed by respondents as being low for clients' choice on providers in each facility (56% of respondents disagreed that this was the case, and 21% of respondents strongly disagreed). In contrast, 38% of respondents believed that clients usually did have a choice of facilities providing their required services, whereas 39% disagreed; 33% of respondents believed that clients could freely seek a second opinion without fear of penalization, compared to 26% who disagreed; and 34% of respondents viewed clients as having the opportunity to see specialists if they wished compared 21% who disagreed. Respondents noted poor staffing in health facilities which limits choice within facilities, as well as the financial aspects and payment mechanisms which limit choice options for the poor.

The overall service responsiveness varies across countries of the Region, from a high of 0.85 to a low of 0.14. The Seychelles registered a very high level of responsiveness, which is significantly different from the other countries. Eswatini also showed high levels of responsiveness.





The levels of responsiveness appear to be influenced by the country's income level: the higher the income level, the higher the level of service responsiveness. This perspective however needs to be interpreted with caution, due to a limited number of countries of higher income levels having provided information on responsiveness. For lower middle and low-income countries, for which there were many countries with information, the responsiveness score is not significantly different, varying by only .03 points.



Figure 35. Comparison of responsiveness index by income group for countries in the African Region

The variation amongst countries is more distinct when comparing countries with highest versus lowest total health expenditures. Services are perceived to be more responsive where health expenditures are higher. Additionally, services are more responsive in smaller countries than larger countries, with SIDS having the highest levels of service responsiveness.





## 2.6 Coverage of health-related SDG targets

The health-related targets across the other SDGs are classified into social, economic, environmental and political determinants. The index score of 0.57 is an average from the values of indicators constituting these targets. The African Region is only achieving 57% of what it can achieve with regard to targets across the health-related SDGs. There is clearly work to be done to improve this score. Again, the scores vary widely between countries, ranging from 0.45 to 0.8.

Figure 37. Variation of the coverage of non-SDG 3 targets index across countries in the African Region



Of these determinants, the environmental determinants contribute highest to the overall index (0.65) while the economic determinants drag down the index the most (0.40). The overall low performance

of the economies in the Region is driving the nonperformance of health-related SDG targets, with low infrastructure being the primary driver.

Figure 38. Contribution of different domains to overall non-SDG 3 targets indices amongst African countries



There are also inequities in coverage of health-related SDG targets across countries, shown across four areas.

1. Countries with higher income levels have higher levels of utilization of health-related SDG interventions. This pattern exists across all the domain areas of health-related SDG services, apart from governance where there is no significant difference between countries of different income levels. The highincome country group has also reached the environmental targets score needed for effective contribution to health and well-being.



#### Figure 39. Comparison of non SDG 3 health targets Index by income groups in the African Region

- 2. Countries with the highest health expenditure have higher coverages for health-related SDG targets, apart from those in the governance domain. This is unexpected, as it is usually assumed country health expenditures are spent on SDG 3 health targets. This finding could be suggestive of several issues:
  - i) The higher the health expenditure, the higher the chance that funds are spent on some of the health-related SDG interventions influencing health, or
- ii) The higher the health expenditure, the better the quality of stewardship leading to better influence on health-related SDG targets in other sectors.
- 3. Countries with lower populations have higher coverage of health-related SDG 3 targets, as compared to those with higher populations. This may be associated with the relative ease of attaining coverage interventions in lower population countries, where identifying and accessing uncovered populations may be better achieved. The variation is most pronounced with SIDS countries.

## Figure 40. Comparison of non-SDG 3 health targets index by health expenditures and country categories in the African Region



4. We see evidence of these inequities even within countries. Across 19 countries in 2016, over 40% of children under-5 years of age in the lowest income quintile had chronic malnutrition, compared to less than 20% in the richest quintile. The richest quintile population group in most countries had over 50% access to improved sanitation facilities, while the



poorest population had less than 30% access<sup>17</sup>. The absence and inequitable distribution of water has huge implications on sanitation and hygiene, often resulting in high burden of diseases such as cholera, typhoid fever, malaria, yellow fever which can rise to epidemic proportions<sup>18</sup>.

<sup>17</sup> WHO (2016). Atlas of African Health Statistics 2016. Health situation analysis of the African Region. African Health Observatory, World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa

<sup>18</sup> WHO (2017). Financing universal water, sanitation and hygiene under the sustainable development goals. UN-Water Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-Water (GLAAS) 2017 report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017

## Table 14. Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation by wealth quintile in the African Region.

5 1 1	First quintile	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth quintile
	(poorest)	quintile	quintile	quintile	(richest)
Algeria (2012)	12.6	12.1	11.0	11.7	10.6
Benin (2006)	49.5	48.3	47.2	39.2	28.8
Burkina Faso (2010)	41.9	37.0	37.6	33.2	18.6
Burundi (2010)	70.0	59.1	59.8	56.5	41.4
Cameroon (2011)	26.1	18.0	11.6	8.8	2.8
Central African Republic (2010)	45.3	44.7	41.4	39.4	30.3
Chad (2010)	38.3	42.7	41.3	40.8	29.7
Comoros (2012)	38.2	32.5	25.9	27.0	21.9
Congo (2012)	34.5	27.6	26.9	17.0	9.3
Côte d'Ivoire (2011)	38.5	35.5	27.7	24.2	15.5
DR Congo (2013)	49.7	48.3	45.8	41.4	22.9
Equatorial Guinea (2011)	28.4	28.7	35.2	26.5	19.0
Eritrea (2010)	56.6	57.2	59.0	46.9	26.5
Eswatini (2010)	41.9	32.3	33.4	26.3	14.0
Ethiopia (2011)	49.2	47.7	45.6	45.0	29.7
Gabon (2012)	29.9	18.8	12.3	11.9	5.8
Gambia (2013)	29.5	27.2	25.2	22.4	15.2
Ghana (2014)	24.8	25.5	17.9	14.4	8.5
Guinea (2012)	33.8	41.1	33.8	25.0	15.4
Guinea-Bissau (2010)	41.9	36.7	31.1	23.6	18.0
Kenya (2014)	36.9	30.2	25.4	20.7	13.8
Lesotho (2014)	45.6	38.1	34.8	28.2	13.4
Liberia (2013)	35.3	35.2	35.3	27.7	19.9
Madagascar (2009)	47.6	54.0	52.5	51.0	43.6
Malawi (2013)	48.7	43.9	43.6	39.1	33.6
Mali (2013)	46.4	44.4	42.4	33.9	21.2
Mauritania (2011)	33.8	29.5	25.4	19.7	13.7
Mozambique (2011)	51.1	48.0	46.4	37.4	24.1
Namibia (2013)	31.3	28.8	24.2	16.8	8.7
Niger (2012)	46.9	48.0	41.8	46.7	34.5
Nigeria (2013)	53.8	46.1	35.1	26.3	18.0
Rwanda (2014)	48.6	44.7	37.5	30.2	20.9
Sao Tome and Principe (2009)	38.2	34.9	32.2	20.5	17.6
Senegal (2014)	28.8	21.7	15.5	13.4	8.4
Sierra Leone (2013)	42.6	40.4	38.1	35.0	28.1
South Sudan (2010)	31.3	34.1	32.0	31.7	26.5
Togo (2013)	33.4	37.5	32.5	19.4	10.6
Uganda (2011)	37.3	30.9	45.0	30.5	20.8
United Republic of Tanzania (2010)	20.4	19.5	16.5	13.7	8.9
Zambia (2013)	47.3	41.7	40.2	37.6	28.4
Zimbabwe (2014)	33.4	31.3	28.3	27.0	15.0

Data source: Data from latest population survey (MICS, DHS). The databases of population-based surveys such as DHS or MICS have disaggregated data and 41 countries had fully disaggregated by wealth quintiles. Wealth quintiles are developed using social and economic indicators. Countries without disaggregated data wealth/socioeconomic indicators data were excluded from the analysis

#### (richest) (poorest) quintile quintile quintile Algeria (2012) 62.3 61.4 60.1 65.7 72.2 Benin (2011) 23.2 22.7 36.4 38.7 9.3 Burkina Faso (2015) 16.5 28.3 22.1 33.5 32.2 Burundi (2010) 40.6 36.5 39.7 49.4 49 Cameroon (2011) 28.6 52.1 57.7 40.6 55.7 Central African Republic (2010) 16.4 52.2 30.2 44.5 23.4 Chad (2010) 18.8 15.2 25.2 36.5 63.3 Congo (2012) 71.5 65.7 63.4 34.3 49.2 Côte d'Ivoire (2011) 20.8 26.4 46.3 36.3 14.3 DR Congo (2013) 48.8 31.2 39 34.6 51.2 Eswatini (2010) 75.8 58 69 57 49.9 Ethiopia (2011) 7.8 5.7 11.7 6.1 3 Gabon (2012) 40.6 47.8 54.8 52 58.1 Gambia (2013) 47.7 51.2 61.9 27 55.4 Ghana (2011) 61.7 44.7 53.1 .. ••• Guinea (2012) 36.8 68.6 12.1 32.5 56.3 Guinea Bissau (2010) 53.7 26.2 31.2 27.9 Kenya (2014) 61.6 59.3 47.9 49.3 51.7 Liberia (2013) 60.8 55 58.4 85.4 37 Madagascar (2009) 51.8 68 32.5 29.5 39.4 Malawi (2013) 38.7 39.4 51 48.9 59.3 Mali (2013) 32.8 54.8 9.9 22.7 33.3 Mauritania (2011) 16.5 28.4 33.1 43.3 42.7 Mozambique (2011) 12 12.7 11 17.1 Niger (2012) 8.1 3.8 34.8 5.5 5 Nigeria (2013) 36.5 25.2 42.5 49.9 45.9 Sao Tome and Principe (2009) 81 72 18.5 57.9 ••• Sierra Leone (2013) 63.9 37.9 50.3 34.2 53 South Sudan (2010) 28.9 17.5 23.5 41.3 53.3 Togo (2013) 27.8 46 36.8 49.1 43 Uganda (2011) 62.6 40.3 42.7 55 45.2

#### Table 15. Percentage of population with access to improved sanitation by wealth quintile in the African Region.

Second

Third

Fourth

Fifth quintile

First quintile

Data Source: Population survey data (MICS, DHS). Countries without data disaggregated by social parameters were excluded from analysis

39.7

32.5

48.1

34.5

59.9

42.3

46.2

27.4

Zambia (2013)

Zimbabwe (2014)

72.4

46.2

## 3 Health systems performance in the African Region

## Attributes of a performing health system

A performing health system is one that can ensure the delivery of essential health and health-related services to the population where and when they are needed. Health systems – investments primarily made to facilitate the organization of the people, institutions and resources needed to deliver health and health-related services – have traditionally been defined using the WHO's concept of six building blocks<sup>19</sup>. However, this approach has led in practice to the verticalization of the efforts at improving health systems, with a focus on intervention within specific blocks, as opposed to the interactions across building blocks. Examples of this verticalization abound:

Disease programmes have primarily invested in selected elements of the building blocks (mainly health products/vaccines supply or training) to attain health and health-related service outcomes, without comprehensively investing in all elements of the system needed to provide the respective service

 Systems-focus on investing in specific building blocks to make them functional, without investing in related building block interventions needed to deliver required services

The assessment of health system performance therefore needs to move from assessment of individual building blocks to measures that look holistically at the results arising from investments across different building blocks. The WHO African Region, in its Framework of actions, proposed a focus on the effect of health system investments on four areas,<sup>20</sup> as highlighted in the table below.

These represent the desired results arising from investments in the health system. By improving in these four areas, the delivery of essential health and health-related services is assured.

Attribute	Description	Measures of achievement
Access to health and health related essential services	Removal of physical barriers faced by the population that hinder their use of services. This is primarily through making available hardware needed to deliver services – health workforce, infrastructure and equipment, plus medicines and products – as close to the population as is feasible.	Health and health-related services are close to households and communities, allowing their utilization as and when needed
Quality of care during provision of essential health and health-related services	How well the services being provided are aligned to the legitimate needs of the clients. This includes the experiences during use of essential services, safety elements and effectiveness of provided interventions.	Health and health related services provision is designed in a manner to maximize possible benefits for the household and community
Effective demand for health and health-related essential services	Knowledge, attitudes and practices of households and communities that lead to their use of available essential health and health-related services.	Households and communities are utilizing available health and health- related services in a manner that maximises their health and well-being
Resilience in provision of essential health and health-related services	The inbuilt capacity of the system to sustain provision of essential health and health-related services even when challenged by outbreaks, disasters, or other shocks	Households and communities continue to access health and health- related services even when the system is responding to shocks

#### Table 16. Attributes of health system performance

<sup>19</sup> http://www.who.int/healthsystems/strategy/en/

<sup>20</sup> The areas of efficiency and equity are not considered health system performance measures. These rather are measures of health sector performance – how well the existing system can deliver sought after health outcome results.

#### Figure 41. Attributes of health system performance



As there is no cross-country data in the African Region to monitor and analyse the performance of health systems using these attributes, proxy variables are used instead.

- An access index is derived, based on availability of key inputs needed to provide services. Indicators used to derive the score are for availability of the tangible health system investments:
  - Health workforce, focusing on physicians, nursing and midwifery, dentistry, pharmaceutical, laboratory, environmental, community, support and other health workers per 1000 population
  - Health infrastructure, focusing on hospital beds, hospitals, health posts, health centres, district hospitals, provincial hospitals and specialized hospitals per 100 000 population
  - Health products, focusing on mean availability and median consumer price ratios for selected generic medicines in public and non-public sectors
- ► A quality of care index is derived, based on selected outcomes reflective of quality of care received, plus specific readiness and person-centredness indicators:
  - Tuberculosis (TB) treatment success, suicide rates and diabetes mellitus deaths are indicators used as a measure of outcomes. These should improve if the quality of care provided is improved
  - Service readiness score is based on the Service Availability and Readiness Assessment (SARA) surveys data

- Person-centredness indicators from key informants' perspectives relating to dignity, confidentiality and prompt attention
- ► A demand index is derived from the analysis of drop-out rates for services requiring repeat interventions. Demand is effective if clients come back for the repeat services. The services with most consistent data and which are used as a measure of demand are:
  - DPT 1–3 drop-out rates
  - BCG-measles drop-out rates
  - ANC 1 to ANC 4 drop-out rates
  - TB initiation to completion rate (TB completion rate)
- A **resilience index** is derived from the analysis of responses from key informants in relation to the different resilience attributes in their systems. These include:
  - Awareness
  - Diversity
  - Versatility and self-regulation
  - Mobilization, adaption and integration

Based on these indices, the consolidated health system performance score for the African Region is 0.49, which means that health systems are only functioning at a possible 49% of their achievable level of performance. There is wide variation in system performance across the Region, with the consolidated score ranging from 0.26 to 0.7. This implies that the best performing system in the African Region is only performing at 70% of what is feasible. However, most of the countries (41 out of 47) performance ranges from 0.4 to 0.6, a rather narrow performance range. Figure 42. Variations in health system performance amongst countries in the African Region



The performance of a few countries needs further elaboration and analysis:

- ► The performance of Angola is rather low (0.26). The country was in conflict for a long time, hampering comprehensive system building efforts. Following the war, system building has focused strongly on some elements, such as specialized workforce.
- ► The performance of countries with recent political challenges that are known to have negatively affected their health systems functionality (such as Burundi, South Sudan and Zimbabwe) seems

better than expected. This is most probably related to the difficulty in having reliable data from these countries, due to information system breakdown. The indicator data used to construct indices for these countries are either missing, or not reflective of their current situations.

All the indices that constitute this overall performance level are underperforming. System resilience and access to essential services are performing at the lowest levels of the attributes. Marked improvements in systems performance are required for effective movement towards health and well-being.



#### Figure 43. Contribution of performance indices to the overall system performance index

A further analysis of system performance shows that the higher the level of income of the country, the better performance is. This 'income dividend' is most likely a result of more investment available in the system as a country's level of income rises. However, system performance is not markedly different between low-income and lower middle-income countries. This similarity in performance is likely a result of the middle-income countries still having lowincome systems even though they have economically graduated. A significant variation is noted when countries become upper middle-income. This finding has implications for how countries are graded and supported by the international community. Lower middle-income countries remain disadvantaged because they lose access to international development financing, while their systems and infrastructure continue to resemble those of low-income countries.



Figure 44. Comparison of health system performance index by country income level

This variation in health system performance by income level is further illustrated when we look at the performance by total health expenditure. Countries with the highest total health expenditure clearly have systems performing at a higher level than those with the lowest total health expenditure. The final variation appears to be seen across country populations and sizes: larger countries' performance is lower than smaller countries' – with the SIDS having the best health systems performance.

Figure 45. Comparison of health system performance index by health expenditures and population in the African Region



## 3.1 Access to essential services in the African Region

The level of access that populations have to health is a major determinant of whether essential health and health-related services can be provided to support the attainment of their health and well-being. Health investments in the workforce, infrastructure/equipment and supplies<sup>21</sup> remain low in the Region, as shown by the low access index of 0.32. On average, the systems in the region are only able to assure 32% of the potentially possible access to essential services. This will continue to be a major hindrance to Member States efforts towards attaining UHC and other health related targets needed for health and well-being of their populations.

The access index varies significantly between countries, ranging from a low of 0.12 (Central African Republic) to a high of 0.70 (Mauritius)<sup>22</sup>. Only three countries – Mauritius, Seychelles and Sao Tome and Principe (All SIDS) have an access index above 0.50, highlighting the very low levels of access in the Region.

Figure 46. Index of access to essential services amongst countries in the African Region



The proxy indicators used to measure access are largely resource-dependent. As a result, we would expect to see countries investing more in health having higher values of access. In comparing countries by income level, there is a consistent improvement in access to services, the higher the GNI of the country. High

income countries have up to three times the level of access to services as the low-income countries in the Region. This has significant implications on the ability to attain UHC and the health and well-being goals, which are largely dependent on the population being able to access the essential services they need.

<sup>21</sup> These are proxies used for access, based on cross country data availability issues.

<sup>22</sup> Statistics with data for South Sudan and Rwanda were too limited to be included in the analysis





This variation in access is further seen in levels of health expenditure and country sizes. There is a two-times variation in access to essential services in countries with the highest THE, as compared to those with the lowest. Increasing THE is therefore associated with improvements in access to services. Additionally, a country's size and population matter, with access improving the smaller the country size and population are.





## 3.2 Quality of care in the African Region

The quality of care remains a key determinant of utilization and UHC in the Region. A significant amount of effort has gone into improving availability of services, with less focus on the quality of those services. For sustainable and effective utilization of services, populations need to be sure the services they receive are going to help them. Poor service quality erodes that belief. As such, it is important for a system to proactively plan and address issues that reduce quality of care to maximise the benefit of investments made. However, quality of care is a difficult dimension of performance to measure. The Who Framework of actions characterises three important attributes of quality, all related to the care process: client perceptions of the care process based on their experiences, level of safety (no harm done) during the care process; and the eventual effectiveness of care provided.

#### Figure 49. Attributes of the quality of care dimension



The indicators for which there were comparable data across countries were TB treatment success, Service readiness score (from SARA surveys), Person-centred care score (dignity, confidentiality, prompt attention scores arising from key informants responses), diabetes mellitus, deaths per 100 000 (age-standardized estimate) and suicide rates (age-standardized per 100 000 population)<sup>23</sup>. The quality of care index of 0.63 shows that quality of care in the Region is only 63% of what is feasible. This varied markedly between countries in the region, from a low of 0.25 to a high of 0.94.





Only five out of the 47 countries of the Region have a quality index above 0.75: Seychelles, Algeria, Madagascar, Malawi and Zambia in order of performance.

The quality of care score does not seem to be influenced by the level of income of the country. Comparing the average score for high, middle and low-income countries doesn't show any significant pattern. Apart from the high score for the high-income country, countries with lower levels of income indicate the same level of quality of care.

<sup>23</sup> Data were from the Global Health Observatory, apart from the person-centred care scores from the key informants.





A similar lack of trends is noted when the average quality of care scores by total health expenditures and by country sizes and populations are compared. The variations are present but are too small to be able to confidently discern a pattern.



Figure 52. Comparison of quality of care index by health expenditures and population in the African Region

These findings suggest that progress in improving quality of care can be made, irrespective of the level

of financing in a country. Efforts to improve quality of care should be universally applied in the Region.

## 3.3 Demand for essential services in the African Region

The effective demand for essential services reflects the potential for households and communities to utilize the essential preventive and curative services they need. By analysing demand based on repeat services, we can identify how well the services provided are aligned to the needs of the people. If demand is poor, it suggests services being provided are not valued by the population.

The demand score for countries in the African Region is relatively high as compared to other performance measures. This implies that health systems are providing the services that people want for their health and well-being. There is still scope for improvement though, as the score of 67% in effective demand is still low to reach effective performance. More targeted efforts at ensuring services provided are what people want and educating populations on the value of available services are needed to improve the effective demand for services.

The effective demand varies significantly across countries, with the lowest country having an effective demand that is half that of the highest countries.

Figure 53. Country effective demand index for essential services score ranges



The variations in effective demand across countries does not appear to be driven by the level of income, as seen with some of the other health system performance variables. Apart from the single country in the highincome category, the variation in the average effective demand for other income groups does not appear to be significant. This may reflect the different approaches taken to build up effective demand, which can be applicable in high or low-income settings.

Figure 54. Comparison of effective demand index by level of income between countries in the African Region



A similar lack of variation is also seen when comparing countries with high and low total health expenditure, and countries by population. The variation in effective demand does not appear to be driven by any of these variables. Only SIDS have a clearly higher level of effective demand compared to other countries.



## Figure 55. Comparison of effective demand index by health expenditures and population in the African Region

## 3.4 Resilience of health systems for essential services provision in the African Region

The low score for resilience in the African Region is a direct cause of the frequent and devastating effects on service delivery arising from outbreaks and disasters. Countries facing these shocks will usually witness significant reductions in health services outcomes because of poor resilience. Resilience levels in the Region are only at 39% of what would be needed to sustain delivery of essential services during outbreaks and disasters.

Resilience levels vary significantly across the Region. Data were available for 34 out of the 47 countries in the Region. Their relative resilience ranged from 5% to 89%. It is interesting to note that the countries most affected by EVD – Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone – all have resilience scores above the regional average, which suggests that lessons were learnt and the right investments made.

Figure 56. Comparison of resilience index across countries of the African Region



Health systems resilience appears to be influenced by the country income category. The higher the income level, the higher the level of resilience<sup>24</sup>. Resilience therefore could be looked at as a function associated with income levels, though this association is not very strong.



## Figure 57. Comparison of resilience index by country income category

In addition, countries with higher levels of total health expenditure show a higher level of resilience. This suggests a resilience dividend can be gained through better investment in health. Additionally, smaller countries appear more resilient, with SIDS showing a markedly higher level of resilience.

Figure 58. Comparison of resilience index by health expenditures and population in the African Region



<sup>24</sup> The upper-middle-income countries appear to move against this trend, though this may be a result of only having data for one of the countries in this group.

Key respondents largely agreed that there are pre-existing capacities to mobilize regional technical support (42%) and global financial and technical support (57%) in the event of shocks and stresses. In general, respondents noted there were several international frameworks and coordination mechanisms in place, such as IHP+ or UNDAF, and country assistance was continuously sought. However, the degree to which such mechanisms were country-owned and driven was uncertain. Respondents perceived legal frameworks (43%) and policy environments (62%) to be adequate and comprehensive enough to guide response recovery efforts in the wake of stress events. Respondents commented in some cases on the outdated nature of some health legislation. Several noted the changing contexts of decentralization and the need to strengthen legal enactment, coordination and oversight at sub-national levels. 44% of respondents believed that the IHR core capacities of the health sector were appropriate to facilitate prevention, detection and response to a stress event, while 26% disagreed and 26% were undecided. Emphasis was placed on strengthening the IHR sub-national focus. There was indication in some countries that though coordination structures existed, they were not operating optimally.

Respondents largely disagreed that health workforce numbers were appropriate for the delivery of the country's defined essential service (46% disagreed, 28% strongly disagreed and 15% were undecided). 36% of respondents disagreed that there exists adequate levels of health worker social capital and empathy – a level of togetherness, trust and responsibility shared with the community; 23% strongly disagreed and 18% were undecided.

On the variable of health system awareness (of events and potential shocks) there was widespread agreement that functional epidemiological surveillance networks existed and were reporting regularly (weekly) for potential disease events (56% of respondents agreed and 15% strongly agreed). In contrast, there was lower respondent agreement that (I) up-to-date (under I year) data mapping existed on health system assets (human resources, infrastructure, commodities) which could be mobilized in the event of a stress or potential shock (34% disagreed, 23% strongly disagreed and 33% were undecided); (2) up-to-date (under I year) mapping of potential health risks at local levels (26% disagreed, 33% strongly disagreed and 31% were undecided); (3) regular (at least annual) predictive modelling of major health risks (20% disagreed, 34%strongly disagreed and 34% were undecided); (4) simulation exercises to mimic logistics response to stress events of the highest occurrence (12% disagreed, 28% strongly disagreed and 36% were undecided).

On the variable of health system diversity, there was high agreement that primary healthcare facilities were providing at least 80% of the essential services they are expected to provide (43%), and that there was a clear strategy to scale up the provision of essential services not currently being provided (45%). Respondents were in lesser agreement that barriers hindering access to essential services (for example, physical, financial and/or social) were minimized (28%), that health facilities had basic capacities needed to provide a broad range of essential services (such as amenities, equipment, medicines, standard precautions for infection prevention) (28%), and that staff were appropriately skilled and supervised to identify uncommon events when they occur (29%).

In terms of mobilization, adaptation and integration, 56% of respondents agreed that functional mechanisms exist for communication and engagement with nonpublic health partners working within the areas of responsibility of primary care facilities. 57% of respondents agreed that functional mechanisms exist for communication and engagement of primary care facilities with communities they are working with. 48% of respondents agreed that there are regular mechanisms (annual, for example) to monitor health system performance and ensure its constant adaptation to changing health needs. Only 33% of respondents felt that functional communication mechanisms existed with other sectors. 23% of respondents agreed that there were pre-agreed mechanisms to share personnel, funds and capacities amongst stakeholders working within their areas of responsibility of primary care facilities.

Respondents' perceptions reflected the poor performance on the versatility and self-regulating nature of the health system: 33% agreed that primary care facilities had the capacity needed to identify and isolate a health threat, whereas 32% disagreed, 8% disagreed strongly and 26% were undecided. 23% agreed that management-level mechanisms existed to support health facilities to target local resources without need for bureaucratic authorizations (compared to 31% who disagreed, 10% who disagreed strongly and 34% who were undecided). Only 30% of respondents agreed that sources of and procedures for needed additional human resource capacities were known and agreed, and 33% of respondents agreed that protocols exist to guide absorption of mobilized resources and skills into the routine system. This contrasts with 44% of respondents who believed that health facilities were aware of, and able to put into place contingency mechanisms that allowed continued essential service provision when responding to a threat. A key issue identified by respondents was the continued centralization of health sector management.




## 4 The state of health system investments

## Attributes of health system investments

These represent the actual areas where the health sector needs to invest to perform at the level needed to move towards UHC. There are seven health system investment areas defined in the framework, broadly classified into two categories:

- i) Tangible inputs that provide the essential services needed, such as the health workforce, the health infrastructure and the medical products and technologies;
- ii) Intangible processes needed to support the *use* of the tangible inputs which include the way systems are designed for service delivery, health governance, health information and health financing.



#### Figure 60. Categorization of health system investment areas

Countries make investments across seven investment areas – whether through programmes or through cross cutting system investments – to reach the system performance needed to deliver essential services and move towards UHC. A country's health system performance is a function of level, distribution/ fairness and efficiency of investments made across these seven areas.

A review of levels of government financing in 18 countries of the African Region across these investment areas shows an average of 60% spending on the tangible input areas, and 40% spending on the intangible process areas. This trend is broadly sustained across a number of years. Within the tangible areas, highest spending of government funds is on medical products (39% of government spending), followed by the health workforce (14%). Only 7% of the government expenditure is spent on infrastructure - which includes equipment, transport and ICT. There is need for further analysis to better understand whether this apportioning of investments is efficient. This is especially so, as we see a different pattern of government expenditures in one of the countries that has a good performing health system, where expenditures on medicines, infrastructure, health workforce and intangibles are 13%, 33%, 40% and 14% respectively. More emphasis is placed on the health workforce (40%, versus 14%) and infrastructure (33%, versus 7%) in this country with a relatively better performing health system, as compared to the other countries with less performing systems. Should this pattern be consistent across the other countries with good performing health systems, then there would be a need to focus increasing government expenditures primarily on funding for health workforce and infrastructure investments.



Figure 61. Allocation of government expenditures across investment area categories by year and country types

The issues associated with each of the seven areas of the health system investment are analysed to

better understand the status and focus needed across countries of the Region.

## 4.1 The status of the health workforce in the African Region

The health workforce remains a critical input area for health systems. Inappropriate numbers, quality and/ or management constitute a major challenge to reach the level of performance required to attain UHC and the SDGs.

Several actions require investments, ranging from production, recruitment, deployment, management and motivation of the staff needed to contribute to service provision. The output from all these investments is aimed at ensuring an adequate, qualified and fit for purpose workforce in each country, able to provide the essential health and health-related services needed to attaining health and well-being. The status of the workforce in the Region is measured by a health workforce score which, ideally, would incorporate elements of adequacy, skills base and productivity. However, the only information available across countries relates to the numbers of staff. As such, the score is derived from the availability of a wide range of health workforce staff expected to be present in all countries. These are:

- Physicians density (per 1000 population)
- Nursing and midwifery personnel density (per 1000 population)
- Dentistry personnel density (per 1000 population)
- Pharmaceutical personnel density (per 1000 population)
- Laboratory health workers density (per 1000 population)
- Environmental and public health workers density (per 1000 population)
- Community and traditional health workers density (per 1000 population)
- Health management and support workers density (per 1000 population)

When scores across the different countries of the African Region are compared, there is a significantly wide range from a high of 0.74 to a low of 0.02 of the health workforce score, highlighting the major gaps across the Region.

Figure 62. Comparison of health workforce index amongst countries of the African region



Looking across the different categories of health workers, the nursing staff are the most frequently available staff, followed by community and health management staff. The variations in the number of these categories is very high between countries. For instance, the nursing staff range from 0.14 to 5.1 per 1000 persons.





There is also a significant variation in the health workforce score in countries of different income levels. The higher the income classification, the better the investment in the health workforce. This gap is widest between the upper-middle and lower middleincome countries. The lower middle-income countries health workforce is closer to that of the low-income countries than the upper middle-income countries. The low-income countries have on average one eighth of the workforce in the high-income countries of the Region.





This variation is also seen when we compare countries by health expenditure. Those having the highest total health expenditure show a six-point difference from those with the lowest health expenditure. There is however a smaller variation in countries based on population, though SIDS have a significantly better workforce.

Figure 65. Comparison of health workforce index by health expenditures and population in the African Region



## 4.2 The status of the health infrastructure in the African Region

There has been limited focus on coordinating investment in health infrastructure across the Region. As a result, many countries have a variety of types, quality and functionality of infrastructure making the assurance of efficiency and equity difficult. Infrastructure, which encompasses the physical infrastructure, equipment, transport, and ICT requirements, needs coordinated planning, maintenance and use for it to input into health system performance in a manner required to attain universal health coverage and SDGs. We assess the status of the health infrastructure in the region based on a health infrastructure score. This ideally would incorporate elements of availability, functionality and readiness for the different forms of infrastructure. However, the available information across countries relates to:

General readiness of facilities to provide essential services (presence of electricity, water, and other facilities needed to facilitate effective service provision)

- Availability of basic amenities needed for service provision
- Availability of basic equipment for general service provision

- Total density per 100 000 population: Total hospitals
- Total density per 100 000 population: Health posts
- Total density per 100 000 population: Health centres
- Total density per 100 000 population: District/ rural hospitals
- Hospital beds (per 10 000 population)

The emerging health infrastructure score is based on averaging country normalized values for these variables – normalized from o to the highest value, to a range of o to I. Countries are only included if they have information on more than one of these variables. When we compare the score across the different countries of the African Region, we see there is a significantly wide range from a high of 0.67 to 0.06 of the health infrastructure score. The highest score is seen in Guinea Bissau and is driven by high hospital density in the country.



#### Figure 66. Comparison of health infrastructure index amongst countries of the African Region

It should be noted that this overall score is too low to facilitate reaching the needed system's performance. This variation in infrastructure, however, cannot be explained fully by the countries income levels. Apart from the single high-income country, the infrastructure score does not differ significantly between the other country income groups. The lowincome countries appear to have higher levels per capita for hospitals – including rural hospitals – as compared to the other country groups. This presents risks and opportunities:

- Risks: countries may be spending a disproportionately higher cost for service provision due to the high cost nature of hospitalbased services, and
- Opportunities: these low-income countries can design public health services around their existing infrastructure

#### Figure 67. Comparison of health infrastructure index across different country categories



Country income categorizations





Further disaggregation by health expenditure and population shows a mixed picture. Countries with higher health expenditures appear to have better infrastructure, though the variation is not very marked. However, countries with smaller populations have higher infrastructure, though this pattern is not sustained amongst SIDS.

Figure 68. Comparison of health infrastructure score by health expenditures and population in the African Region

12.00



## 4.3 The status of medical products in the African Region

Health products represent a wide range of interventions provided to clients during the process of care or to facilitate that process. These range from medicines, including vaccines and other biologicals, medical devices, diagnostic and laboratory supplies, blood and other medical products of human origin, and traditional medicines. As an integral part of the healthcare process, it is critical for countries to invest in ensuring their availability and quality. To assess access to medical products in the Region, we use a medical products' score that is composed from many indicators, namely:

- Diagnostics readiness
- Essential medicines readiness
- Pharmaceutical expenditure as percentage of THE
- Density of qualified pharmacy personnel per 10 000 population
- Average number of medicines prescribed per patient contact in public health facilities

- Percentage of essential medicines prescribed in outpatient public health facilities
- Percentage of medicines prescribed in outpatient facilities by international non-propriety names
- Percentage of patients in outpatient public health facilities receiving antibiotics
- Percentage of adequately labelled medicines in outpatient public health facilities
- Blood donation rate per 1000 persons

The medicines score is the average normalized value for these different indicators in each country, normalized from 0 to the highest value, to a range of 0 to 1. Countries are only included if they have information on more than one of these variables. When health products scores are compared across the different countries of the African Region, there is a significantly wide range from a high of 0.87 to 0.1. Most countries in the Region have a score of between 0.4 and 0.55, reflecting a largely similar state of health products across many countries.

Figure 69. Comparison of health products index across countries in the African Region



Three countries – Mauritius, Namibia and Seychelles – are performing significantly better than the other countries of the Region. This unique performance appears consistent across most of the indicators that make up the health products score, with a few exceptions, such as a high antibiotic use, medicines prescribed, and readiness for diagnostics and medicines in Mauritius, and low pharmaceutical expenditure in the Seychelles.



Figure 70. Comparison of top performing country values across health product indicators, with regional average

Comparing countries further, we see that there is a variation in health products investments based on a country's income status. The high and upper middleincome countries demonstrate up to three times more investments as compared to the lower middle and low-income countries. It is especially important to note that the lower middle-income country's investments in health products are closer to the low-income bracket and much lower than the upper middle-income country groups. This suggests that investments needed in lower middle-income countries are similar to those in low-income countries, possibly because they have not yet established the institutional make up of upper middle-income countries.

Figure 71. Comparison of health products index by income classification



The single high-income country performs better on most of indicators – apart from proportion of total health expenditure spent on medicines – when compared to the other country groupings. Blood donation rates are very low in the low and lower middle-income countries, a finding which is worrying given the high demand for blood products. Innovative approaches for low and lower middle-income countries that can boost collection of blood in these settings need to be explored.





There are also clear variations in health products investments, based on the health expenditure by countries, with those having the highest total health expenditure demonstrating some five times better health products score as compared to those with the lowest total health expenditure. Additionally, the smaller the country, the better the investments in health products, with the SIDS having more than double the health products investments of the other countries in the African Region.





SDG target 3.8 highlights the quality of medical products as an integral element of improved access. Regulatory mechanisms are deployed at the national, sub-regional, regional and continental levels to assure product quality and ascertain supply chain integrity. They contribute to global and regional collaboration for preventing and counteracting proliferation of substandard and falsified medicines.

The regulatory infrastructure in the African Region consists of 45 national medicines regulatory authorities with varying levels of maturity and functionality.





Furthermore, 20 national regulatory authorities have mandate and frameworks for regulating medical

devices, including in-vitro diagnostics.





## 4.4 The status of health service delivery systems in the African Region

Service delivery consists of all the actions needed to facilitate the efficient management of inputs for delivery of services to users/clients. The scope of actions defined in the Framework for actions range across a number of key areas, as shown below.





The design and level of performance of service delivery systems has a critical impact on the levels of access, coverage and utilization of essential health and health-related services. To facilitate the achievement of the health SDG, this service delivery system should be designed, not only as a coordination and management mechanism for the provision of public services, but also a system with strong features that:

- Ensure engagement of non-state providers of services, particularly the private sector, at all levels of services provision and management
- Ensure the identification and engagement of health-related social, economic, environmental and political sectors actors at all levels of services provision and management
- Appropriately link with, and engage the communities and households in the care process in a manner that assures their needs and expectations are incorporated into the process of care





In the African Region, there is inadequate focus on designing, financing and monitoring the service delivery systems needed for the effective provision of health and health-related services. As a result, the effective use of available resources is minimized. Service delivery systems performance in the Region is explored through the perceptions of key informants of specific elements of these service delivery systems in their respective countries. Key informants were specifically asked to rate, on a scale of 1 to 5 (I strongly disagree and 5 – strongly agree), their level of agreement with the following statements, as related to different service delivery system elements:

- There exist patient service charters in all facilities, which define the services patients should expect, plus their rights and obligations during the care process
- Hospitals in the country have the required capacity to provide effective referral services
- There exist clear and well disseminated standards for the delivery of services at all levels of the

system that are guiding quality improvement initiatives

- ► There is a comprehensive and functionally supportive supervision and mentoring process that is effectively providing guidance to health service delivery
- There is a process to improve and sustain personcentredness during the provision of services

The percentage that agreed/disagreed with the statements on each element of service delivery is shown in the figure below. All key informants agreed that not all elements of service delivery were present. This is particularly worrying for service delivery systems. Most key informants agreed to the presence of service delivery standards, while the presence of person centredness in service provision was acknowledged by the smallest number of informants. Most informants disagreed with the presence of service charters in the facilities – a key communication tool between services and clients.



Figure 78. Key informant perceptions of presence of different service delivery system variables

The status of the different attributes was further explored from the perspective of each functional area of service delivery – health management teams, facility management teams and care provision.

Health management teams are expected to provide guidance, supervise administrative support and, at the primary care level, support the engagement of households and communities in health actions. ► In most countries, guidance provided is largely programme-specific, with limited cross-cutting guidance. Cross-cutting guidance includes definition of sector-wide service standards and norms to ensure common service delivery, plus systems for monitoring adherence to this guidance. Lack of up-to-date health service standards and norms and ongoing monitoring of adherence characterize many country systems in the Region

- Administrative supervision is critical to ensure that inputs are available and effectively utilized (quality of service delivery). In many countries of the Region, these systems are defined but not functional due to lack of prioritization or ineffective follow up of recommendations.
- At the primary care level, the health management teams are expected to plan, coordinate and manage community engagement processes. This ensures that all communities are being engaged with the correct messaging and services needed. Many of the sub district management teams are carrying out this engagement together with their local authorities, but with limited guidance and involvement of the sub national levels. As a result, many of the good examples of practice are not filtering to other levels of the system, with the benefits limited to local systems. Additionally, engagement of communities and management of local service delivery is in some countries done in a haphazard manner, limiting effectiveness of actions at this level.

The health facility management teams are expected to translate the guidance provided into coordination of service delivery. As the front-line managers responsible for guiding the service delivery workforce, their role is critical in any service delivery systems. They need to translate the normative functions into service delivery tasks, primarily around essential service packages, clinical governance and client centredness of care. However, within the Region, the state of the functioning of the facility management teams is still challenging.

- Most countries have defined and put in place teams to manage the facilities. However, in many countries, these teams do not have upto-date comprehensive Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to guide them in carrying out their work of translating normative guidance into operations.
- Most facility managers focus on administrative management, with limited guidance and focus on clinical management. Few countries have service charters in all service points to ensure clients are aware of services they are entitled to receive. In addition, therapeutic committees to monitor adherence to care standards are only partially functioning – and non-existent at the primary care level.

Facility managers are usually clinically oriented, and part of the service delivery team calling for extensive in-service management trainings that take up a great deal of the time of the managers.

The service delivery teams are responsible for direct engagement with clients during the provision of preventive, promotive, curative or rehabilitative health or health-related services. These persons are the face of the health system, and their actions drive the perception of the care process. It is important for the system to guide them in three key areas: essential services to be provided; quality and clinical governance; and the person-centredness of the care process.

- Many providers are not given comprehensive guidance on the scope of essential services they are expected to provide. This is usually driven by programmes, leading to the providers focusing on specific services at the expense of others. Clients are therefore unable to receive the scope of essential services the systems are expected to give. This is not only a funding issue, as some services – such as screening for some NCDs – are not universally carried out even when their costs are close to zero.
- Clinical governance is focused on ensuring that a standard quality of preventive, promotive, clinical or rehabilitative care is provided to each person, irrespective of where they access services. This requires clearly defined and comprehensive clinical standards and management guidelines, plus facility-driven mechanisms for monitoring adherence. These clinical standards and management guidelines are defined in some countries, but very few countries of the Region have in place mechanisms to monitor and enforce them. As a result, varying levels of quality of care are seen within a given system, disenfranchising the population, and leading to clustering of clients seeking services around specific providers perceived to be effective.
- Person-centredness is focused instead on the care process and aims to ensure the person is placed at the centre of decisions regarding care. However, most care in the Region is disease-centric as opposed to person-centric and, as a result, there is a high perception of poor experiences with the care process that is affecting demand for services. Many private providers in the Region are perceived to provide good services as they focus on this element of the care process.

## 4.5 The status of health governance systems in the African Region

The state of governance systems is a key determinant for how well available tangibles are translated into adequate performance needed to deliver health and health-related services. As with other intangible elements of the system, governance is constructed from many inter-dependent attributes. The Regional Office structures them as shown in the conceptual framework below.





Source: WHO Regional Office for Africa health governance briefcase (in press)

Governance results are based on the capacity of the health steward (MoH or other public sector decision maker in health) to carry out a series of actions across the different attributes, where capacity is a function of the authority and mandate that the steward holds. This authority and mandate is devolved from the elected officials in a country and represents the level of decision space a given steward has to guide the health agenda. Where this authority and mandate are low, the steward's capacity to influence the attributes is likewise reduced. Decentralized systems are, by design, expected to increase this authority and mandate for local health stewards. The level of stability of the health stewards is a good measure of the authority and mandate they hold. There is a good level of authority and mandate in a system where the steward is allowed the space to make decisions and see through their implementation.

For a perspective on the quality of governance, key informants in countries of the Region were asked for their perception of the status of selected governance issues in their countries. They were specifically asked to rate, on a scale of 1 ro 5, their level of agreement with the following statements, as they relate to different health governance elements:

- The top-level leadership (Minister, Principal/ Permanent Secretary, Director) are not frequently changed, being able to implement the policies they initiate
- There exist formal mechanisms for engaging with communities that maximise their involvement and participation in planning and monitoring service provision
- ► The health sector regularly generates evidence, such as through annual performance reports, which is used to guide decision-making
- There exist functional processes that allow for coordination of service delivery between public and private actors, and development partner engagement
- The MoH has adequate leadership and management capacity to steward the health sector, including the engagement of non-health sectors

The percentage that agreed/disagreed with each of these selected elements of health governance is

shown in the figure below. A higher proportion of informants (51%) were of the view that the national level health stewards were not stable enough to guide implementation of policies. This places limits

on the capacity for health governance in the Region – if their authority and mandate is limited, their actions influencing the governance attributes will be diminished.





A look at the state of the governance attributes shows several hindrances in countries;

- Organizational structure and culture: While all ministries (and sub-national structures) have some written or implied structure, in practice many function differently.
- Legal and regulatory systems: All countries have a legal framework guiding health that is usually defined at three levels: constitutional provisions impacting health; comprehensive health acts, and disease/area specific acts (for example, HRH act, Diabetes act, etc); and/ or decentralized health laws. These are rarely interlinked, leading to some areas with multiple legal provisions and others without. In addition, these instruments are rarely updated to reflect current legal needs. Additionally, regulations to enforce these provisions are rarely enacted or enforced. As a result, critical instruments that could facilitate movement towards appropriate health and health-related services are not effectively utilized.
- Corruption control, integrity and public confidence: this governance attribute has at times been perceived as a window into the quality of governance in the sector. All countries in the Region have normative and legal instruments to control corruption. These have not built the required level of public confidence in the integrity of the health services. However, relative to other government services, the health sector usually is perceived as one of the less corrupt. In Transparency International's Global Corruption barometer: People and Corruption, Africa Survey 201525, public health services were reported to have the least levels of reported corruption amongst government services assessed. The challenge is that even low levels of corruption, integrity and low public confidence are devastating in health, given the 'life or death' nature of the service. In addition, there are few assessments that have focused on corruption in the non-public sector, which is quite significant in many countries. Anecdotal evidence suggests this is also a major problem, particularly in relation to how priorities are selected and funded.

<sup>25</sup> https://www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/people\_and\_corruption\_africa\_survey\_2015



#### Figure 81. Service users who said they had paid a bribe during services received in the past 12 months

Adapted from: Global Corruption Barometer: People and corruption, Africa survey 2015

- Stakeholder engagement and partnerships: Only 25 of the 47 countries of the African Region have some form of instrument a compact or memorandum of understanding implying lack of management of partnerships. In addition, only 49% of key informants reported the presence of a formal mechanism for engagement of private sector or external actors, and only 51% for engagement with communities. This implies a high potential for inefficiencies in the allocation and/or use of health resources, as lack of effective coordination and engagement with partners makes a comprehensive sector approach difficult to achieve.
- ► Intelligence generation and accountability: Up to 60% of the interviewed informants were of the view that their countries had some form of regular evidence generation mechanism to facilitate informed decision making. This accountability process is however not well institutionalized. Only 16 out of the 47 countries of the African Region have some form of monitoring and evaluation (M&E) M&E plan to guide and make transparent the accountability process.
- ► Leadership skills: The management of the health agenda calls for a specific set of soft and hard

skills that stewards need to possess. These range from 'hard' technical knowledge over the areas being stewarded, through to 'soft' skills such as communication, brokering, negotiation and others. There are constant 'training' sessions carried out for ministries of health to address these skills. However, only 51% of the key informants felt the stewards had the needed skills set for managing the health agenda. Trainings is often not well coordinated, with a lack of a standard curriculum of core skills, which makes it difficult to build the required capacity in an equitable manner.

Policies, strategies and plans: These aim to provide a succinct 'roadmap' for the direction the country is taking in the long, medium and short term. They are useful not just as roadmaps, but also in the value the process of their development adds to a common understanding of the health needs and priorities amongst stakeholders. All countries have some form of policy, strategy and/ or plan. However, these are not always produced with the active involvement of the stakeholders. In addition, they are often incomplete, not covering the full scope of priorities that need to be addressed.

## 4.6 The status of health financing systems in the African Region

Health financing systems are complex, encompassing different mechanisms for mobilizing, managing and using resources. The WHO schema showing the inter-relations of the different elements and components a country needs to consider for health financing systems is shown below.





No single path can ensure that the objectives of health financing are achieved; rather, each country needs to define its processes, taking cognizance of its context, to ensure the goals of resource adequacy, equity and efficiency are attained in its financing system. Countries need to strategically think through the elements of health financing to determine the best system that would apply. A national health financing strategy is aimed at facilitating this process. However, 29 out of the 47 countries in the Region have yet to start work on elaborating their health financing strategy. Financing is therefore left as a passive process, whose structure, form and outputs are not well coordinated. Country ministries need to more proactively focus on health financing, ensuring they are driving the agenda

for better health financing systems.

The status of the different sources, management and purchasing mechanisms in the Region is explored in the following section.

#### Sources of health funds

Health funds come from government, private or external sources. They are characterized as project/ programme funds, tax revenues, pre-payment funds (mandatory/voluntary) or out-of-pocket funds. There is a wide variation in the Region in the use of different sources of health financing. These sources have different characteristics – government sources are most equitable, external sources most easily targeted and private sources most sustainable. However, government sources are difficult to augment, external sources are not sustainable and private sources inequitable, especially where incomes are low. Government funding ranges from a low of 7.4% to a high of 97% of current health evvxpenditure (2015). External funding, on the other hand, ranges from 0.5% to 71% of current health expenditure. And finally, the private (individual) expenditure ranges from 2.5% to 77% of current health expenditure.



Figure 83. Proportion of health funds from different sources in countries of the African Region, 2015

Note: GGHE – General Government Health Expenditure; PVTHE – Private Health Expenditure; CHE – Current health Expenditure; EXT – External health expenditure

#### Management of health funds

These funds are managed in different ways – either as public (MoH/district) programmes; insurance schemes (mandatory/voluntary), or directly by individuals. Each source of funds tends to focus on specific mechanisms for their management.

- ► Funds managed by governments range from 13% (Sierra Leone) to 97% (Seychelles). Eswatini, Lesotho and Seychelles have all more than 60% of health funds managed by the government, whereas the percentage in Comoros, Nigeria and Sierra Leone is under 16%. While more equitable and aligned with country ownership, there are allocative and technical efficiency challenges that need to be specifically addressed in the countries that have a high proportion of health funds channelled through government arrangements.
- Funds managed through compulsory insurance mechanisms range from 0% to 28% (Algeria). Cabo Verde and Gabon have the relative highest proportion of health funds managed through compulsory insurance. Countries that have recently been shown to have made progress with

health insurance mechanisms are still managing a low proportion of their health funds through compulsory mechanisms – these include Rwanda (9% of funds), Ghana (9%), United Republic of Tanzania (7%) and Kenya (4%). It is expected these should increase, as the benefit packages and insurance service utilization in these countries move towards UHC.

- Voluntary insurance mechanisms account for 0% to 47% (South Africa). Other countries with relatively high voluntary insurance mechanisms include Botswana (32% of health funds), Namibia (19%) and Zimbabwe (16%) – all located in Southern Africa. This presents a worrying trend, particularly for equity in these countries.
- ▶ Finally, direct out-of-pocket spending ranges from 2% (Seychelles) to 75% (Comoros). Other countries with high direct out-of-pocket management of funds include Nigeria (74% of health funds), Equatorial Guinea (72%) and Cameroon (70%). These are very high proportions of funds to be managed by out of pocket mechanisms and suggest high levels of inequities.





Note: GFA – Government Financing Arrangement; C – Compulsory Financing Arrangement; V – Voluntary Financing Arrangement; OOPS – Out-of-pocket Spending; OTHER – other

#### **Purchasing of services**

There are three distinct purchasing modalities used in the Region: input, output and outcome/results-based purchasing. Each of these have specific benefits and challenges.

- Input-based purchasing is used by most government funds, with resources used to buy inputs, for example recruiting health workers, building infrastructure and buying products. This is the most bureaucratically feasible approach to purchasing services, but it is inefficient as it unlinks funds from results. All countries in the Region use this modality to purchase services.
- Output-based purchasing is increasing as a mode of funding for health services, with good results seen in Rwanda, Kenya and other pilot countries. It is based on funding specific results

achieved, such as institutional deliveries and children immunized. While linking outputs with financing improves efficiency of resource use, it has proved difficult to scale up, due to inherent institutional hindrances that limit financing using this approach.

Outcome/results-based purchasing has been used in some countries of the Region, particularly in insurance or out-of-pocket payment arrangements. Funding is by specific results/ outputs that are usually defined by diagnoses. It allows a focus of payment based on the actual result attained from the care process. The experience in the Region is mixed – while easier to administer, it requires significant investments in audit capacity to manage diagnosis creep, which results from providers favouring diagnoses with higher financial returns.

## 4.7 The status of health information and research systems in the African Region

Health information and research systems encompass all the mechanisms for data generation and validation, analysis, dissemination and knowledge translation in relation to routine health management information systems (HMIS), vital statistics, research, surveys, surveillance and census data sources. A country health information system needs to focus on all these elements to ensure functionality. Figure 85. Schema for health information and research systems in the African Region



The African Region is specifically focused on integrating eHealth solutions across these different elements of health information and research to improve the availability and use of information for decision making. The regional status for the different systems is discussed below.

### **Routine HMIS**

HMIS are systems capturing events occurring in health facilities.

#### Routine HMIS data generation

All countries have some form of HMIS that captures these events. They are either digital, paper-based or a combination of the two.

- Paper-based systems are still predominant in the Region, capturing almost all primary patient data. While it is the least costly approach, it requires complex logistics to ensure that the required data capture tools are always available. They are prone to errors and delays, and are time consuming for the health workforce.
- Digital systems use is growing, particularly for data aggregation and transmission. Many countries use some form of electronic mechanism to transmit data, with DHIS2 currently being the

favoured system. However, digitization of primary data is still low. In addition, there are no regional standards to guide countries in deciding which digital systems work best in their environment. Being a different way of working, there are also technical, administrative and technological challenges in rolling them out.

#### Routine HMIS data analysis

In many cases, countries capacity to analyse newly collected data is low, as most of the investments have focused on data collection systems, with less focus on strengthening capacities of the health workforce to collect, understand and use the data in routine use. This is true both at the operational facility level (although there is some analysis done at the data collection points, with trend graphs produced, this is not uniformly practiced or supported), and at the strategic level, where data and evidence are required for policy formulation.

Information from HMIS is typically used to produce annual/quarterly health statistics in countries. There are such documents in many countries in the Region, but they do not usually give a comprehensive picture due to low timeliness and lack of accurate reporting from the facilities.

#### Routine HMIS knowledge generation and translation

There is very limited knowledge translation of HMIS information taking place in countries of the Region. As a result, decision makers are generally making decisions without using HMIS information, even when it exists in reports.

#### Health surveys and census

#### Survey data generation

Many countries carry out routine health surveys, particularly the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). In addition, countries are expected to conduct some census every 10 years and carry out other surveys, such as household utilization surveys, service availability and readiness assessments (SARA) and national health accounts (NHAs). These surveys are usually partner driven, with the execution and funding managed externally. There are few countries in the region that proactively plan for surveys, limiting the utility of this health information source. In addition, the content of the surveys is usually determined by the funding source, as opposed to the needs on the ground.

#### Survey data analysis

Countries' capacity to analyse survey information is also quite low, most being done by external partners. Survey data usually consists of large volumes of variables, requiring complex analytical tools that are often out of reach of the governments. Simpler, open source analytical tools need to be made available, as a first step towards building analytical capacity for surveys.

Most survey dissemination is through reports launched at high level stakeholder meetings. These

allow key information to be made available to the public for brief periods of time. This has been successful in reaching a wide audience.

#### Survey knowledge translation

The dissemination method usually involves policy makers. In addition, policy briefs are sometimes produced to get the information into the body politic and guide policy. This has been successful, particularly for the demographic and health surveys, in bringing issues onto the policy table for discussion and action.

#### Vital statistics systems

Vital statistics relate to birth, death and cause of death information. This is critical for understanding population events and trends and targeting interventions where they are most needed.

#### Vital statistics data generation

The process of collecting vital statistics data is only close to being complete in SIDS countries, with the completion rate being very low for the rest of the Region. The vital statistics are usually collected at facilities, and by civil registration units

- ► For vital statistics collected at facilities, the quality of vital statistics is very poor, due to inappropriate standardizations of disease classification (using the ICD for example), poor coding and certification capacities.
- ► For vital statistics collected during civil registration, the process is usually bureaucratic, with significant gaps in coverage. The process is typically manual, with data only aggregated after a few years. There is low uptake and use of automation, and limited use of verbal autopsies to help standardize cause of death information.

Figure 86. Comparison of birth registration completeness amongst selected countries of the African Region



#### Vital statistics data analysis

The analysis of vital statistics data is largely carried out by the civil registration teams in countries, with limited interlinkages with the health sector teams. As a result, the analysis is usually limited to reporting percentages and rates but with limited trend of confidence analysis.

A small number of countries have been able to produce regular (annual) reports on vital statistics. These are usually produced for immigration and registration purposes, with little input from the health sector. For these reasons, there are few instances in the Region of regular and consistent production of life tables and burden of disease estimates.

#### Vital statistics knowledge generation and translation

Information on vital statistics does not feed into the health sector decision-making processes. Many ministries make decisions without knowledge from vital statistics, as this is not made available.

#### Health research

Health research capacity and focus varies significantly within the Region. An analysis based on a health research barometer tool showed an average capacity of only 42.3% in the region, ranging from 6% to 81% amongst the countries. Capacities vary in areas of action, with gaps seen in management capabilities, research governance or technical research skills. Figure 87. Comparison of health research barometer score amongst countries of the African Region, 2016



#### Research data generation

Research data is generated in all countries of the Region. In most instances, it is commissioned by researchers, as opposed to policy makers. As a result, the data generated does not always align with the needs of decision makers. In addition, the capacity for research audit through national research committees is limited, with many countries not having the required capacities to guide research processes.

#### Research data analysis

This is usually left to the persons conducting the research, with limited input from the health sector.

Almost all research is disseminated in research publications, which range from peer reviewed journals through to research reports, conferences and study theses.

#### Research knowledge translation

The translation of research to policy remains a critical challenge in the Region. In some countries, formal research dissemination meetings are held with policy makers to share findings. In addition, some countries researchers work with policy makers to define upfront the research agendas that will guide the conduct of research. All these efforts are bearing location-specific results, due to the complex nature of decision making.

## 5 Taking forward health agenda in the African Region

## 5.1 Linking health expenditures with health and well-being

A complex picture of the African Region emerges from the findings of this analysis. Looking at the level of funding available for countries to produce the results observed (using the 2015 per capita THE in US\$ PPP), one sees a mixed situation: only nine countries in the Region are spending above US\$ 500 per capita (all, with the exception of Eswatini, are upper middle or high-income countries) and half the countries (24) have a total health expenditure of less than US\$ 140 per capita.

There is a large gap between a THE of 400-800 USs per capita, with only 2 countries, Eswatini and

Gabon, in this zone. When looking at the scores of these 2 countries, there are wide swings in their rating of systems performance, service outcomes and health impact. Eswatini, a lower middle-income country, moves from position 12 in system performance to 15 in service outcome and drops to 38 out of 47 countries in impact performance. Gabon on the other hand, moves in the opposite direction, from position 39 in system performance to 18 in service outcome and eight out of 47 at the impact level. These represent countries migrating towards increasing THE (and are also in transition between upper and lower middleincome status).





Source: WHO Global Health Observatory, 2017

We would expect a linear association between levels of funding and healthy life and well-being. However, when we compare these two variables, we see an interesting set of issues emerging:

- i) The association is not strong only 20% of the values are attributable to this relationship.
- ii) Many countries in the Region are clustered within a zone of Healthy Life Expectancy between 45 and 60 years, plus a total health expenditure between 0 and 300 Int US\$.
- iii) Countries can be grouped in four categories:

- a. Category I (bottom left quadrant): Total health expenditure and healthy life expectancies below the regional average
- b. Category 2 (top left quadrant): Total health expenditure below, but healthy life expectancy above the regional averages
- c. Category 3 (bottom right quadrant): Total health expenditure above, but healthy life expectancy below the regional averages
- d. Category 4 (top right quadrant): Total health expenditure and healthy life expectancy above the regional averages

#### Figure 89. Association between total health expenditure, and healthy life expectancy



Source: WHO Global Health Observatory, 2017

**Category 2** represents the most efficient countries in terms of production of health and well-being. The countries in this quadrant are shown below, with their respective healthy life expectancy and total health expenditure values. These countries show how to attain high levels of healtHy life and well-being, even with low resources.

**Category 3** countries are only two – Equatorial Guinea and Eswatini. These have a level of health and well-being below that expected for their level of total health expenditure.

**Category 4** countries have the highest healthy life expectancy but are achieving this with significantly more resources. They represent the high income/upper middle-income countries with the highest THE per capita (apart from Equatorial Guinea and Eswatini). These countries show how to improve healthy life and well-being, in the presence of an increased resource base. To further understand the association between health and the attainment of the SDGs, we looked at associations of the different domain areas of the Framework. Specifically, we looked for the levels of association between health and well-being – SDG 3 goal (using healthy life expectancy as a proxy), compared to the different domain areas of the Framework – the system performance and health and health-related service outcomes. This is aimed at identifying where most policy emphasis needs to be placed for the most effective progress.

When healthy life expectancy is plotted against either of health system performance and health and healthrelated outcome scores, we find the association of health and well-being is strongest with the health system performance score (R squared value of 0.4576 for health system performance score compared with 0.3707 for health and health-related service outcome score). This suggests health system performance is a better predictor of health and well-being, as compared to the levels of achievement of health and healthrelated service outcomes in the Region.



Figure 90. Comparison of association between health and well-being and consolidated scores

Health and well-being against system performance score

We further tested this finding by subjecting the country scores of health expenditures, health system performance scores, health and health-related service outcome scores and health and well-being to mixed effects multiple linear regression. This showed that only health system performance scores were significant in association with healthy life expectancy.

Healthy life expectancy	Coefficient	Standard error	z	P> z	[95% confidence interval]	
THE per capita US PPP	0.002634	0.0018635	1.41	0.158	-0.0010185	0.0062864
Health system performance	31.93221	9.848438	3.24	0.001	12.62963	51.23479
Health services outcomes	5.915346	9.102765	0.65	0.516	-11.92575	23.75644
_cons	33.92185	3.478057	9.75	0.000	27.10499	40.73872

This is further corroborated with a Procrustes analysis<sup>26</sup>. The maps showed the relationship between healthy life expectancy and health and health-related service outcomes was a poor fit compared to the health system performance.

This finding is contrary to what one would expect, as the assumption is that investment focused on health and health-related services leads to the desired outcomes. However, it could be a result of the focus of countries on specific health outcome programmes which, while improving the programme outcomes in question, had a lower effect on overall health and well-being. As countries look at how best to invest to attain the SDGs, it will be critical to re-align their focus towards health system performance, as opposed to specific programme performance.

Finally, looking at how countries ranked in the different domains of the Framework of Actions, and

<sup>26</sup> Procrustes analysis is a statistical shape analysis which seeks to analysis the distribution of a set of shapes by superimposing them together. In this case, the orthogonal transformation subject (health system performance and health/health-related outcome scores) were superimposed to healthy life expectancy to measure their 'fit'.



#### Health and well-being against service outcomes score

how these ranks changed as one progressed across the Framework, shows what kinds of lessons countries can learn from each other. Table 18 overleaf shows the country summary indices consolidated for each area of the action framework. The top 10 countries in the Region are highlighted in green and the lowest 10 in red.

There are only 4 countries out of the 47 in the region that remain in the top 10 for all areas of the Framework. These are Algeria, Mauritius, Namibia and Seychelles. Only one country is in the bottom 10 for all the areas of the Framework, the Central African Republic. This suggests high levels of inefficiencies in the production of health and well-being in the countries of the Region. Angola and South Africa deserve special mention:

- Angola is allocating a significant amount to health, but is amongst the bottom 10 countries for investments, system performance, health and related outcomes and healthy life. The available resources are not used to produce health and well-being.
- South Africa is amongst the top 10 in funding, system investments, performance and health and health-related outcomes but has a relatively

lower healthy life value. More effort is needed to translate its investments into healthy life and well-being.

We further explored the different areas of the logical framework to better understand at what level inefficiencies are greatest. This was done by exploring the R<sup>2</sup> value between any two areas of the Framework, with the assumption that the lower the value, the higher the potential inefficiencies.<sup>2</sup> is a measure of how close the data are to a fitted regression line, assuming the relationship between the different areas of the logical framework is a linear one. The highest R<sup>2</sup> value was found in the relationship between health systems performance and health and health-related outcomes (0.51) while the lowest was in the relationship between health and health-related outcomes and health impact (0.36). This suggests that countries are not adequately translating health and health-related outcome achievements into healthy life - possibly due to overemphasis on some outcomes and under-emphasis on others. Additionally, a focus on system performance by countries represents the best area of focus for moving towards healthy life and well-being, corroborating the findings from the association done previously.

#### Table 18. Comparison of country indices across the Framework of Actions

	Total health expendi- ture per capita, int \$ 2014	Investments Index	Performance Index	Outcomes Index	Impact (Healthy Life Expectancy)
Algeria	932.10	0.37	0.68	0.70	66
Angola	239.01	0.14	0.26	0.31	46
Benin	85.61	0.36	0.45	0.40	53
Botswana	870.84	0.35	0.54	0.57	57
Burkina Faso	82.31	0.31	0.46	0.45	53
Burundi	58.02	0.30	0.51	0.50	52
Cabo Verde	121.92	0.19	0.59	0.56	64
Cameroon	310.12	0.22	0.51	0.52	50
Central African Republic	24.96	0.10	0.43	0.31	46
Chad	79.02	0.28	0.41	0.33	46
Comoros	100.82	0.21	0.56	0.40	56
Congo	322.63	0.23	0.42	0.43	57
Côte d'Ivoire	187.02	0.23	0.45	0.52	47
Democratic Republic of the Congo	32.28	0.24	0.42	0.43	52
Equatorial Guinea	1163.42	0.29	0.37	0.39	51
Eritrea	51.04	0.21	0.55	0.44	56
Eswatini	586.82	0.25	0.55	0.50	51
Ethiopia	72.96	0.35	0.56	0.54	56
Gabon	599.26	0.41	0.45	0.53	57
The Gambia	118.43	0.26	0.47	0.43	54
Ghana	145.37	0.17	0.54	0.57	55
Guinea	68.46	0.15	0.46	0.37	53
Guinea-Bissau	90.96				
Kenya		0.39	0.45	0.42	52
Lesotho	168.98 276.04	0.32	0.51 0.50	0.64	56 47
Liberia	98.29	0.22		0.50	
Madagascar			0.47	0.39	53
Malawi	43.70 93.48	0.12	0.47	0.34	57
Mala	93.40 110.12	0.19	0.45	0.45	51
		0.19	0.42	0.45	51
Mauritania	148.11	0.16	0.39	0.51	55
Mauritius	896.16	0.58	0.69	0.59	67
Mozambique	79.32	0.24	0.42	0.47	50
Namibia	375.28	0.37	0.58	0.62	58
Niger	55.42	0.32	0.49	0.47	54
Nigeria	216.87	0.21	0.49	0.44	48
Rwanda	125.07	0.17	0.44	0.56	57
Sao Tome and Principe	299.73	0.49	0.68	0.53	59
Senegal	106.94	0.22	0.49	0.39	58
Seychelles	844.30	0.60	0.70	0.68	бб
Sierra Leone	223.74	0.30	0.47	0.43	44
South Africa	1148.37	0.39	0.62	0.66	54
South Sudan	72.82		0.52	0.38	50
Тодо	76.25	0.30	0.54	0.55	53
Uganda	132.59	0.29	0.45	0.46	54
United Republic of Tanzania	137.49	0.33	0.46	0.50	54
Zambia	194.68	0.32	0.52	0.53	54
Zimbabwe	108.01	0.40	0.55	0.60	52
Average	263.30	0.35	0.49	0.48	54

Green – Country amongst the top 10 in the Region for the area of the logical framework. RED – Country amongst the bottom 10 in the Region for the area of the logical framework

## 5.2 Emerging implications for 'leaving no one behind'

This report has highlighted the status of health at different levels of the 2030 Agenda and the SDGs, identifying where progress is good and drawing attention to areas that need to be accelerated. Many issues that emerge from the analysis are of importance to progress towardsUHC and the SDGs, particularly from the equity perspective.

- The Region still has a long way to go before people in Africa have a similar state of health and well-being as the rest of the world. Improvements are needed in all countries: the best performing country in the Region is only able to guarantee 66.8 years of healthy life to its people, compared with the global average of 62 years (range of 49–70 years).
- 2. The amount of healthy life lost due to disability/ disease is decreasing and is currently comparable to that of other regions.
- 3. The disease burden is getting lower, with DALYs associated with the top 10 conditions halved since year 2000, and the crude death rate due to the top 10 mortality causes reduced from 87.7 to 51.3 persons per 100 000 population.
- 4. The evidence shows significant variations across countries and is suggestive of similar variations within countries. These inequities in health are a result of inequities in investments in and outcomes from these investments.
- 5. The health and health-related services are all below the values needed for UHC, with an Index value of only 48% of utilization of what is feasible in the Region. Regarding UHC:
  - a. The UHC index (0.46) is marginally lower than that for the overall services index, showing the higher effort countries need to put in addressing UHC vis-à-vis the other SDG targets influencing health to attain the desired improvements in healthy life and well-being.
  - b. There is a wide variation in the UHC index, indicating the different 'starting points' of the

countries of the Region as the adopted the 2030 Agenda. A common approach will not be feasible, as the countries are all at different positions along the trajectory towards UHC and the SDGs.

- c. Regarding UHC actions, all 3 dimensions defined for the Region are lagging behind (0.36; 0.57 and 0.34 for service availability, coverage and financial risk protection respectively). There is urgent need to accelerate efforts addressing all the UHC dimensions if the Region is going to attain the desired health and well-being.
- 6. The economic determinants for health are having the lowest index value (0.40) followed by political (0.56), social (0.59) and environmental (0.65). Economic and political events are having the greatest effect on overall health and wellbeing in the Region. Without concerted efforts to improve these, countries will find it hard to attain the health and wellbeing they desire.
- 7. Health systems in the region are underperforming, only able to perform at 49% of what they can. This low performance is largely due to low levels of access to essential services and low system resilience (each having an index of 0.32). Health system performance can only be raised by improving these dimensions across the region
  - a. Countries with higher total health expenditure do show higher financial risk protection and significantly higher health service utilization, primarily focused on curative and rehabilitative services.
  - b. Several countries do not perform as might be expected from their total health expenditure. This may be due to inefficiencies or wastage, or even poor models of service delivery.
- 8. Countries all have different levels of achievement and challenges as compared to the overall regional picture.

## 5.3 WHO Regional Office for Africa priorities for supporting countries

Supporting health in the 2030 Agenda and the SDGs represents for WHO a fundamental shift in focus and expectations in the Region. The Framework of A ctions, which provides country guidance on realigning health system investments to health and other related outcomes is a step in synergizing health system and service interventions investments. UHC, health security, service satisfaction and other health related outcomes are underpinned by health system performance as measured by access, demand, quality and resilience of essential services, which in turn are derived from health system building blocks working holistically together. While many countries are still struggling with basic health challenges, this analysis has shown that there is a need for re-focusing the engagement with, and support to countries. For example, there is need to:

- ▶ Find means of taking services to previously unreached populations; not only those physically un-reachable but even those un-reached when in plain sight – like the urban informal settlements.
- Increase focus on improving the process of care, not only on the presence of care.
- Have a proactive approach to identify and increase the services needed for health and well-being. All age cohorts, but mostly the adolescents and the elderly, lack access to needed services.
- Health and governance security challenges, if not effectively anticipated and mitigated against, have the potential to undo any progress made.
- Country specific mechanisms of engaging with health-related stakeholders need to be planned to ensure that social, economic, environmental and political SDG targets are on track.

Countries in the African Region are very different, due to cultural, economic, governance and political peculiarities. A 'one size fits all'approach in addressing health in the SDGs era is not possible. There is a need to understand the context of each country in relation to its peers for a comprehensive and sustainable movement towards health and well-being for all at all ages. Consequently, WHO in the African Region is taking a proactive approach to supporting countries in moving towards attaining their health-related goals in the SDGs. WHO engagement is focused on the following:

- 1. Develop a common conceptualization of health in the SDGs in the Region, prioritising:
  - Mobilization of intersectoral action by multiple stakeholders to achieve the SDG targets influencing health
  - Health systems strengthening for UHC in the context of the SDGs
  - Respect for equity and human rights in the design and operationalization of health actions
  - Strengthening domestic resource mobilization
  - Leveraging scientific research and innovation to improve SDG actions, and

- Developing monitoring and evaluation systems for the SDGs
- 2. Provide up-to-date technical tools, guidelines and SOPs guiding service delivery, focusing on:
  - Planning, implementation and monitoring guidelines and tools – including disease programmes
  - Policy dialogue for the SDGs
  - Capacity building for national and district level planning for health in the SDGs
- 3. Provide targeted technical support to countries in SDG adoption/implementation activities, primarily in:
  - Involving the health sector in wider SDG discussions in country
  - Training of MOH/WHO on planning, and monitoring of SDG actions
- 4. Provide proactive support to assure availability of information on SDGs in countries, with focus on:
  - Development and management of a regional health SDGs database
  - Analysis and production of regular analytical reports on SDG status and issues across countries

Furthermore, WHO will work closely - through an implementation research approach with a group of 'flagship countries'- to plan, apply and monitor results arising from actions driving health in the 2030 Agenda. Implementation research is an approach that embeds research as an integrated, systematic part of existing policies and programmes. It allows for meaningful engagement between researchers and decision-makers to ensure locally-driven research that is socially and contextually relevant and transformed into evidence used to strengthen the health system. These flagship countries are being selected to represent the different aspects of health in sustainable development in the Region. Clear lessons on key actions to prioritise and their resultant effects on health that emerge from this work will be shared for action with peer countries in the Region.

It is also the intention of the Regional Office to update this baseline report to reflect the overall progress of the countries in the WHO African Region towards successfully achieving the health and health-related SDG targets of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.



# Part II – Countries' report

## The state of health in the countries of the African Region

In this second part, a summary of the information is presented for each country of the African Region. As with the regional overview, this summary covers the state of health, the state of health services, the state of the health system performance and the state of health investments.

- The state of health summarizes data on healthy life expectancy and on morbidity and mortality levels. A commentary on the overall country GDP, size and any other contextual information important for the SDGs is also provided.
- ► The state of health services highlights the country index against the regional average for the six dimensions of outcomes. A commentary is provided on the country status vis-à-vis the regional picture, followed by its implications for the attainment of the SDGs (where the country needs to place emphasis for improving health service outcomes). Dimensions with missing data are reflected as 'zero' and do not contribute to the average index for the state of health services, system performance or investments.
- ► The state of the health system performance and the state of investments highlight the country index against the four dimensions of performance and the three input system investment areas. A commentary is provided on the country status vis-àvis the regional results, followed by its implications for the SDGs (where the country needs to place emphasis for improving investments and system performance).

A standard approach is used for the recommendations:

- ► Where a country is performing below expectation for a given dimension, there are significant gaps, and existing strategies being employed may not move the country towards its health aspirations. The recommendations here focus on encouraging the country to identify innovations to address the dimension.
- Where a country has an average performance for a given dimension, it appears that the uptake of needed interventions has been good, but gaps still exist, most likely for hard to reach populations. The country should focus on scaling up existing interventions, concentrating efforts on identifying and targeting hard to reach populations as existing interventions have most probably reached those 'easy to reach'.
- ► Finally, where a country is performing better than expected for a given dimension, and it appears that the uptake even amongst hard to reach populations has been good. Focus for moving forward is threefold: (i) identifying remaining pockets of hard to reach populations, (ii) sharing best practices, and (iii) exploring alternative institutional service delivery models that improve sustainability, as existing approaches are close to exhausting their capacity for change.

Countries are encouraged to improve the availability of data to ensure that more comprehensive information can be provided going forward.

# Algeria

The son son	State of health and well-being						
A and a second		Country	African Region equivalent value				
Start Contraction Start		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
A A A	Healthy life expectancy	66.3	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	5.7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	271.0	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
/ TN	Due to communicable diseases	62.6	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	178.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
	Due to injuries	29.8	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

#### Comments

- An upper-middle-income country with the third largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 9% of the total GDP) and the eighth highest GDP per capita (US\$4160 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the eighth largest population in the Region (4.01% of total population), the largest land area (10.74% of the Region) and the 38th highest population density (16.74 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a high-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is marginally higher than that of the Region

#### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs<sup>\*</sup>

- Health status is commensurate with that needed to achieve the SDGs
   Country to share lessons on improving length and guality of life and
- reducing communicable diseases and injuries burdens
- Focus on identifying remaining pockets of hard to reach populations, sharing best practices and exploring alternative institutional service delivery models that improve sustainability for noncommunicable diseases

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



# Angola



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
Healthy life expectancy	45.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
Crude death rate per 1000 population	13.9	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	1 054.8	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	703.1	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	240.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	110.7	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

#### **Comments**

- ► A lower-middle-income country with the fourth largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 6.15% of the total GDP) and the ninth highest GDP per capita (US\$ 3696 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 10th largest population in the Region (2.8% of total population), the fifth largest land area (5.28% of the Region) and the 35th highest population density (22.35 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)

- Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is lower than that of the Region.

#### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status is below that needed to reach the SDGs
- Introduce innovative approaches to address low healthy life and high disease burden for the whole population
- High resource base suggests effort should be more on introducing more efficient mechanisms to attain health goals

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.


## Benin



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value					
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs	
Healthy life expectancy	52.5	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5	
Crude death rate per 1000 population	9.6	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0	
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	633.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6	
Due to communicable diseases	378.7	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0	
Due to noncommunicable conditions	190.8	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6	
Due to injuries	63.4	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5	

### Comments

► A low-income country with the 29th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.49% of the total GDP) and the 25th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 783.9 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 26th largest population in the Region (1.06% of total population), the 30th largest land area (0.48% of the region) but the 16th highest population density (93.97 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Crude mortality rate and mortality due to injuries are at the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- ► Health status is low, below that needed to reach the SDGs
- Introduce innovative approaches to tackle the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## otswana



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Region equivalent value							
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs				
hy life expectancy	56.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5				
death rate per 1000 population	7.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0				
lost per 1000 population – Total	428.5	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6				
e to communicable diseases	232.9	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0				
e to noncommunicable conditions	156.2	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6				
e to injuries	38.7	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5				

#### Comments

► An upper-middle-income country with the 16th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.86% of the total GDP) but the fifth highest GDP per capita (US\$ 6532 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

Health Crude DALYs Due Due Due

- It has the 36th largest population in the Region (0.22% of total population), the 19th largest land area (2.4% of the Region) but the second lowest population density (3.9 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country The health status is on the low side for its classification, being a lower and upper-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy; morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status is low, below that needed to reach the SDGs
- Explore lessons to be shared with keeping low the burden of disease due to iniuries and violence
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce communicable and noncommunicable diseases burdens, targeting hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.

State of health services and the health system Services dimensions System performance dimensions 1.00 0.90 0.80 0.65 0.64 0.62 0.70 0.61 0.57 0.57 0.56 0.54 0.52 0.60 0.49 0.43 0.50 0.35 0.34 0.40 0.30 0.20 0.10 Financial risk protection Service responsiveness Access Demand Health products Health Health Quality Health workforce Service Health DG 3 services coverage determinants Average Resilience Average ¥ average Average infrastructure Regional average 💴 No data Country values Service outcomes System performance and investments Comments Overall utilization of health and health-related services to An upper-middle-income country with system performance similar to other ► achieve the SDGs is 57% of what is feasible in the Region, upper-middle-income countries higher than the regional average (48%).

- Across system performance monitoring domains, relative performance is highest for effective demand and quality of care, while lowest for access
  - Tangible system investments are good compared to the regional average, but are ► particularly low for health infrastructure
  - Information is suggestive of some effective system processes (service delivery, financing, governance and information), with a high ratio of overall performance score to consolidated tangible investments scores

- Implications for the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing interventions to address all outcome areas assessed, focusing on the hard to reach populations

tion, and lower for all other outcome areas assessed

Country utilization is higher than the regional average for all

Compared with other upper-middle-income countries, the

responsiveness)

outcome domains assessed (no data for service availability and

country utilization is marginally higher for financial risk protec-

- Improve information availability, particularly for service availability and responsiveness
- Explore areas for sharing lessons in establishing effective system processes
- Accelerate strategies improving access, quality of care and service demand focused on hard to reach
- Introduce innovative approaches to improve investment in infrastructure and equipment
- Improve on data availability, particularly on system resilience

## **Burkina Faso**



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	52.6	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	9.5	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	625.5	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	386.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	168.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	69.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### **Comments**

A low-income country with the 24th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.62% of the total GDP), but only the 36th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 575 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 16th largest population in the Region (1.82% of total population), the 24th largest land area (1.16% of the region) and the 22nd highest population density (66.19 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its classification
- Increasing security threats are limiting economic growth
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are at the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is marginally lower than the regional average

#### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared to that needed to achieve the SDGs Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce noncommunicable disease
- burden, targeting hard to reach populations
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden due to communicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Burundi



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
Healthy life expectancy	52.2	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	659.2	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	407.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	173.4	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	77.5	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### **Comments**

A low-income country with the 10th smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.18 % of the total GDP) and the lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 300.7 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 27<sup>th</sup> largest population in the Region (1.03% of total population), but the 9th smallest largest land area (0.11% of the Region) resulting in the 4th highest population density (397.2 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its classification
- The country has suffered protracted civil conflict hampering sustainable development Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions marginally lower than that of the Region

#### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs
- A low-income and fragile country, whose health system has withstood socio-political and economic shocks to sustain an average level of performance
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce burden of communicable diseases and injuries
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce noncommunicable diseases burden, targeting hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



#### 94

## Cabo Verde



State of health and well-being										
	Country	African Region equivalent value								
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs				
Healthy life expectancy	64.2	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5				
Crude death rate per 1000 population	5.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0				
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	253.2	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6				
Due to communicable diseases	73.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0				
Due to noncommunicable conditions	151.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6				
Due to injuries	28.2	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5				

### **Comments**

A lower-middle-income small island state with the sixth smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.09% of the total GDP), but the 11th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 2954 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the third smallest population in the Region (0.05% of total population), the fifth smallest land area (0.02% of the region), but the 12th highest population density (132.24 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Its health status is commensurate with that of a high-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- ► Health status on track towards that needed to attain the SDGs
- Focus on identifying remaining pockets of hard to reach populations, sharing best practices, and exploring alternative institutional service delivery models that improve sustainability for health and well-being

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Cameroon



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	50.3	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	10.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	700.5	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	421.1	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	204.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	74.5	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### Comments

A lower-middle-income country with the 11th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 1.85% of the total GDP) and the 17th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1353.9 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 14th largest population in the Region (2.3% of total population), the 20th largest land area (2.00% of the region) and the 27th highest population density (48.31 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Its health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country Ongoing low level societal tensions across different portions of the country will hinder uniform
- movement towards the SDGs Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared to that needed to achieve the SDGs
- Need to explore innovative approaches to improve health and well-being

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## **Central African Republic**



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
Healthy life expectancy	45.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
Crude death rate per 1000 population	14	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	926.0	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	612.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	215.3	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	98.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

#### Comments

► A low-income country with the seventh smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.09 % of the total GDP) and the second lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 384.4 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 32nd largest population in the Region (0.46% of total population), the 15th largest land area (2.64% of the region) and the fourth lowest population density (7.30 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status very low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs
- Need to explore innovative approaches to improve health and
- well-being in the context of sustained conflict

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Chad

	Healthy life expecta
	Crude death rate pe
man	DALYs lost per 1000
5 3	Due to communic
	Due to noncomm
1 5 4	Due to injuries

State of health and well-being											
	Country	ry African Region equivalent value									
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs					
thy life expectancy	46.1	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5					
e death rate per 1000 population	13.6	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0					
s lost per 1000 population – Total	982.5	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6					
ie to communicable diseases	689.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0					
ie to noncommunicable conditions	199.2	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6					
ie to injuries	93.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5					

### Comments

- A low-income country with the 23rd largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.65% of the total GDP) and the 26t highest GDP per capita (US\$ 777 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 22nd largest population in the Region (2.8% of total population), but with the fourth largest land area (5.33% of the Region), leaving it with the sixth lowest population density (11.13 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- ► Health status is commensurate with that of its classification
- Affected negatively by a difficult Sahel environment, low grade insecurity and fluctuating global oil prices
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status very low compared to that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Need to explore innovative approaches to improve health and well-being

## Comoros

ALL ALL			State of health and well-being									
				Country		African Reg	jion equiva	lent value				
				value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs			
			Healthy life expectancy	55.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5			
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	8.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0					
			DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	497.1	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6			
		- 1	Due to communicable diseases	275.6	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0			
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	167.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6					
		Due to injuries	52.8	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5				

### Comments

- A low-income small island state with the second smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.03% of the total GDP), and the 29th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 727.6 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the fourth lowest population in the Region (0.08% of total population), the third smallest land area (0.01% of the Region), but the third highest population density (417.75 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of an upper-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional averages

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- ▶ Health status low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries burdens, targeting hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Conao



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
Healthy life expectancy	56.6	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
Crude death rate per 1000 population	10.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	494.1	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	288.9	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	153.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	51.2	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### **Comments**

- ▶ An lower-middle-income country with the 28th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.51% of the total GDP) and the 13th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1712 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 31st largest population in the Region (0.50% of total population), with the 22nd largest land area (1.45% of the Region) but the 8th lowest population density (14.63 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Health status is within the expected range for its income level

- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- Death rate is lower than regional average, at the level of a low-income country

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs<sup>\*</sup>

- Health status low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries burdens targeting hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Côte d'Ivoire

2 2 J C	State of health and well-being										
		Country	ry African Region equivalent value								
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs				
	Healthy life expectancy	47	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5				
2~	Crude death rate per 1000 population	12.6	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0				
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	840.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6				
	Due to communicable diseases	501.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0				
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	246.4	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6				
	Due to injuries	92.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5				

### Comments

- A low-income country with the 10th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 1.98% of the total GDP) and the 15th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1434.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 13th largest population in the Region (2.33% of total population), the 23rd largest land area (1.35% of the region) and the 20th highest population density (72.67 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Civil conflict in the recent past had an influence on sustainable development

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs<sup>\*</sup>

- -.....
- Health status very low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs
   Need to explore innovative approaches to improve health and well-being

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## **Democratic Republic of the Congo**

	State of health and well-being								
TRACE OF THE TRACE		Country	ntry African Region equivalent value						
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
HT I	Healthy life expectancy	51.8	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
- Share of the	Crude death rate per 1000 population	13.7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	722.7	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	Due to communicable diseases	475.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	170.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
	Due to injuries	76.2	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A low-income country with the eighth largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 2.26% of the total GDP) but the 8th lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 497.6 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the third largest population in the Region (7.67% of total population) and the second largest land area (9.60% of the region) but with only the 34th highest population density (33.61 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- However, mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is marginally higher than that of the Region

# Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\* Health status very low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs

- Need to explore innovative approaches to improve health and well-being
- Accelerate ongoing interventions addressing noncommunicable diseases targeting hard to reach populations

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## **Equatorial Guinea**



#### **Comments**

- ► An upper-middle-income country with the 20th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.75% of the total GDP), but the second highest GDP per capita (US\$ 10 717.5 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the fifth smallest population in the Region (0.12% of total population), 10th smallest land area (0.12% of the region) and the 30th highest population density (41.90 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are at the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status very low compared to that needed to reach the SDGs
- Need to explore innovative approaches to improve health and well-being

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Eritrea



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
Healthy life expectancy	55.7	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
Crude death rate per 1000 population	6.3	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	414.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	214.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	147.1	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	52.9	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### Comments

A low-income country with the 11th smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.23% of the total GDP) and the ninth lowest GDP per capita (estimated at US\$ 514 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 30th largest population in the Region (0.53% of total population), the 31st largest land area (0.43% of the region) and the 25th highest population density (51.76 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Health status is commensurate with that of an upper-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status still low for the attainment of the SDGs
- Explore lessons to share in reducing death rates (better than high income countries in the Region)
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries burdens targeting hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



### 104

## **Eswatini**

AT THE	5	State of health and well-being								
1000			Country	ntry African Region equivalent value						
A BEAN			value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
		Healthy life expectancy	50.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Real and a second secon	Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0			
		DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	589.1	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	h h	Due to communicable diseases	340.7	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	185.2	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6			
		Due to injuries	62.2	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the 36th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.24% of the total GDP) but the 10th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 3047.9 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the seventh smallest population in the Region (0.13% of total population), the seventh smallest land area (0.07% of the Region) but the 19th highest population density (76.69 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- ► Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Additionally, mortality due to communicable diseases is marginally lower than the regional average

## The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Implications for the SDGs

- Accelerate ongoing interventions to address SDG 3 services coverage, financial risk protection, and service responsiveness focusing on the hard to reach populations
- Introduce innovative approaches to improve service availability, and non-SDG 3 services coverage for all populations
- Accelerate strategies improving quality of care, and system resilience specifically targeting hard to reach populations
- Introduce innovative strategies to improve access to services and quality of care for the whole population, focusing on scaling up investments across all health system areas

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of communicable diseases, focusing on hard to reach persons
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden of noncommunicable diseases and injuries

## Ethiopia

(Bar)	L'a la	State of health and well-being								
ASSESS AN	r .		Country	African Region equivalent value						
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Horo.		Healthy life expectancy	56.1	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
	5	Crude death rate per 1000 population	7.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
		DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	483.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	7	Due to communicable diseases	269.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
2		Due to noncommunicable conditions	158.8	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
mant		Due to injuries	55.1	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A low-income country with the fifth largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 3.85% of the total GDP), but only the 33rd highest GDP per capita (US\$ 645 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the second largest population in the Region (10.05% of total population), the ninth largest land area (4.23% of the region) and the 15th highest population density (99.87 persons/ km<sup>2</sup>)
- ► Health status is commensurate with that of a middle-income country
- Country has several areas of localized civil unrest, together with frequent disease outbreaks and disaster events
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

## The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status lower than that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries burdens targeting hard to reach populations

## Gabon

1 Bess	2)		State of health and well-being								
NSTER!	5			Country	African Region equivalent value						
				value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
	5	5	Healthy life expectancy	57.2	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
and the	Y	2	Crude death rate per 1000 population	9	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
			DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	471.8	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
		m	Due to communicable diseases	254.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
		2	Due to noncommunicable conditions	170.6	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
			Due to injuries	46.7	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- An upper-middle-income country with the 17th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.85% of the total GDP), but the fourth highest GDP per capita (US\$ 7389 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the ninth smallest population in the Region (0.19% of total population), the 25th largest land area (1.09% of the region) and the fifth lowest population density (7.49 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of its classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries burdens targeting hard to reach populations

<sup>7</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## The Gambia



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg					
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
y life expectancy	53.8	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
death rate per 1000 population	8.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
ost per 1000 population – Total	576.1	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
to communicable diseases	350.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
to noncommunicable conditions	162.4	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
to injuries	62.8	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A low-income country with the second smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.05% of the total GDP) and the seventh lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 459 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 10th smallest population in the Region (0.20% of total population), the sixth smallest land area (0.04% of the region), but the ninth highest population density (195.41 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of a middle-income country
- The country has undergone recent political changes with the potential for major governance reforms
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are at/better than the regional average; however, mortality due to injuries conditions is marginally higher than that of the Region

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status very low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burdens of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## 108

## Ghana

	Healthy life expec
	Crude death rate
	DALYs lost per 100
	Due to commun
1	Due to noncom
	Due to injuries

## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
e expectancy	55.3	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
th rate per 1000 population	8.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
per 1000 population – Total	520.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
communicable diseases	275.9	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
noncommunicable conditions	190.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
njuries	54.5	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the ninth largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 2.24% of the total GDP) and the 16th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1361.1 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 11th largest population in the Region (2.78% of total population), but with only the 27th largest land area (0.96% of the region) and the 13th highest population density (121.22 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is on the upper side of its income classification, between a lower and an upper-middle-income countries
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is lower than that of the Region

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status still low compared to that needed for reaching the SDGs
   Accelerate ongoing initiatives to reduce the burden due to communi-
- cable diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations
   Introduce innovative approaches to address the burden due to noncommunicable diseases

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



## 109

## Guinea



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg	Region equivalent value			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs	
Healthy life expectancy	51.7	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5	
Crude death rate per 1000 population	10.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0	
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	697.9	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6	
Due to communicable diseases	451.7	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0	
Due to noncommunicable conditions	182.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6	
Due to injuries	64.1	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5	

### **Comments**

A low-income country with the 27th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.52% of the total GDP) and the 30th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 725.1 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 23rd largest population in the Region (1.22% of total population), the 26th largest land area (1.04% of the Region) and the 26th highest population density (49.21 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared to that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Introduce innovative approaches to address the burden due to communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Guinea Bissau



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value				
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
Healthy life expectancy	51.5	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
Crude death rate per 1000 population	12.3	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	688.0	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	451.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	173.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	63.0	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### Comments

► A low-income country with the fourth lowest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.06% of the total GDP) and the 35th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 585.2 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the eighth smallest population in the Region (0.18% of total population), the 37th largest land area (0.12% of the region) and the 23rd highest population density (62.96 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Burden of noncommunicable diseases is marginally lower than that of the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status is low compared to that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Introduce innovative approaches to address the burden due to communicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Kenya

A A	State of health and well-being								
		Country	try African Region equivalent value						
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
un	Healthy life expectancy	55.6	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	8.3	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	474.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Some and the second	Due to communicable diseases	281.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Lie with	Due to noncommunicable conditions	142.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
₩	Due to injuries	51.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the sixth largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 3.81% of the total GDP) and the 18th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1350 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the sixth largest population in the Region (4.75% of total population), the 18th largest land area (2.41% of the Region) and the 17th highest population density (83 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of that of its classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status is low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to address the communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations

<sup>7</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Lesotho

ATT THE REAL PROPERTY OF	State of health and well-being								
		Country	African Region equivalent value						
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
	Healthy life expectancy	46.6	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	14.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	771.7	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	Due to communicable diseases	528.3	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	179.1	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
	Due to injuries	63.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the ninth smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.15% of the total GDP), but the 21st highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1152.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 11th smallest population in the Region (0.22% of total population), the 12th smallest land area (0.13% of the region) and the 21st highest population density (71.63 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Explore introduction of innovative approaches to reduce the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries

\* The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018



## iberia



## State of health and well-being

	Country		African Reg			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
ealthy life expectancy	52.7	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
rude death rate per 1000 population	8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
ALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	583.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
Due to communicable diseases	374.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
Due to noncommunicable conditions	149.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
Due to injuries	59.1	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### **Comments**

► A low-income country with the eighth smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.12% of the total GDP) and the sixth lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 452 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

Healt Crude DALY

- It has the 33rd largest population in the Region (0.45% of total population), the 32nd largest land area (0.41% of the region) and the 28th highest population density (46.72 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Health status is commensurate with that of a lower-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy and communicable disease burden is lower than the regional average
- However, crude death and noncommunicable disease /injuries burden are better than that of the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status lower than that needed for the attainment of the SDGs Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of noncommunicable
- diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations Explore innovative approaches to reduce communicable diseases
- burden

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Madagascar



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value							
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs			
hy life expectancy	56.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5			
death rate per 1000 population	7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0			
lost per 1000 population – Total	439.8	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6			
e to communicable diseases	236.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0			
e to noncommunicable conditions	156.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6			
e to injuries	46.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5			

### Comments

A low-income country with the 25th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.58% of the total GDP) and the fifth lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 402.1 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

Health Crude DALYs Due Due Due

- It has the 12th largest population in the Region (2.44% of total population), the 17th largest land area (2.46% of the region) and the 31st highest population density (41.65 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of a middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- ► Health status lower than that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of communicable
- diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Malawi



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value							
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs			
expectancy	51.2	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5			
h rate per 1000 population	9	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0			
oer 1000 population – Total	568.3	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6			
ommunicable diseases	370.7	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0			
oncommunicable conditions	150.3	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6			
juries	46.7	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5			

### Comments

A low-income country with the 32nd largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.38% of the total GDP) and the third smallest GDP per capita (US\$ 362.7 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

Healthy life Crude death DALYs lost p Due to con Due to no Due to inj

- It has the 17th largest population in the Region (1.77% of total population), the 33rd largest land area (0.40% of the region) and the 10th highest population density (186 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy and communicable disease burden are lower than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs<sup>\*</sup>

- ► Health status too low for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of noncommunicable diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations
- Introduce innovative approaches to address communicable diseases burden

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Mali

	State of health and well-being								
		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
X P	Healthy life expectancy	51.1	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	767.0	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
June 1 and	Due to communicable diseases	518.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
E3 m & W	Due to noncommunicable conditions	176.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
" muss)	Due to injuries	71.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### Comments

- A low-income country with the 19th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.78% of the total GDP) and the 28th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 750 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 18th largest population in the Region (1.76% of total population), but with the sixth largest land area (5.17% of the region) and the seventh lowest population density (14.32 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- ► Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is marginally higher than that of the Region

#### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of noncommunicable diseases targeting hard to reach populations
- Introduce innovative approaches to address communicable diseases and injuries burden

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Mauritania

and a solution	State of health and well-being								
		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
	Healthy life expectancy	55.1	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	7.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	528.2	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	Due to communicable diseases	313.1	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	159.6	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
	Due to injuries	54.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the 33rd largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.29% of the total GDP) and the 20th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1158.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 34th largest population in the Region (0.42% of total population), but the eighth largest land area (4.36% of the region) leaving it with the third smallest population density (4.06 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- The country is part of the difficult Sahel region, with the associated health risks
- ► Health status is commensurate with that of its economic classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

## Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- The country needs to accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries targeting hard to reach populations

 $^{*}$  The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics.last accessed on 30 April 2018.



## Mauritius



#### **Comments**

- An upper-middle-income small island state with the 21st largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.7% of the total GDP) but the third highest GDP per capita (US\$ 9260 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the sixth smallest population in the Region (0.13% of total population), and the fourth smallest land area (0.01% of the region) leading to the highest population density (621.97 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a high-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- However, mortality due to noncommunicable diseases is higher than that of the Region and high-income countries

## 30.3 Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

HICs

65.5

6.7

309.3

43.9

234.9

UMICs

58.6

8.2

441.4

207.1

190.6

43.2

LMICs

52.9

10.1

618.4

374.8

180.3

62.7

LICs

52.5

10.0

630.6

393.0

170.6

66.5

- Health status commensurate with that needed to attain the SDGs Introduce innovative approaches to address the burden of noncommunicable diseases
- Focus on identifying remaining pockets of hard to reach populations, sharing best practices, and exploring alternative institutional service delivery models that improve sustainability for communicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



## Mozambique



## State of health and well-being

	Country	/ African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	49.6	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	701.4	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	450.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	186.2	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	64.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### Comments

► A low-income country with the 15th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.88% of the total GDP) but the 10th lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 528.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the ninth largest population in the Region (2.92% of total population), the 13th largest land area (3.33% of the region) but with only the 33rd highest population density (35.62 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its economic classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Introduce innovative approaches to address the burden of communicable diseases and injuries
- The country needs to accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce the burden of noncommunicable diseases targeting hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



## 120

## Namibia

State of health and well-being								
	Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	57.5	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	5.7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	417.4	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	230.1	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	143.1	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	43.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		
	Healthy life expectancy Crude death rate per 1000 population DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total Due to communicable diseases Due to noncommunicable conditions	Country valueHealthy life expectancy57.5Crude death rate per 1000 population5.7DALYS lost per 1000 population – Total417.4Due to communicable diseases230.1Due to noncommunicable conditions143.1	Country valueAverageHealthy life expectancy57.553.8Crude death rate per 1000 population5.79.7DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total417.4592.2Due to communicable diseases230.1352.9Due to noncommunicable conditions143.1177.6	Country valueAfrican Reg AverageHealthy life expectancy57.553.865.5Crude death rate per 1000 population5.79.76.7DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total417.4592.2309.3Due to communicable diseases230.1352.943.9Due to noncommunicable conditions143.1177.6234.9	Country valueAfrican Region equival valueValueAverageHICsUMICsHealthy life expectancy57.553.865.558.6Crude death rate per 1000 population5.79.76.78.2DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total417.4592.2309.3441.4Due to communicable diseases230.1352.943.9207.1Due to noncommunicable conditions143.1177.6234.9190.6	Country value         African Region equivalent value HICs         UMICs         LMICs           Healthy life expectancy         57.5         53.8         65.5         58.6         52.9           Crude death rate per 1000 population         5.7         9.7         6.7         8.2         10.1           DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total         417.4         592.2         309.3         441.4         618.4           Due to communicable diseases         230.1         352.9         43.9         207.1         374.8           Due to noncommunicable conditions         143.1         177.6         234.9         190.6         180.3		

### Comments

- An upper-middle-income country with the 22nd largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.69% of the total GDP) but the seventh highest GDP per capita (US\$ 4770.5 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 35th largest population in the Region (0.24% of total population), but with the 12th largest land area (3.49% of the region) leaving it with the lowest population density (2.95 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its economic classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- The country needs to accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries, targeting hard to reach persons

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Niger

ATTA L	State of health and well-being								
		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
K God	Healthy life expectancy	54.2	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	10.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	676.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	Due to communicable diseases	463.2	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	144.8	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
3	Due to injuries	68.1	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### **Comments**

- ► A low-income country with the 31st largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.43% of the total GDP), but the fourth lowest GDP per capita (US\$ 362.5 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 15th largest population in the Region (2.00% of total population), but the third largest land area (5.36% of the region) leaving it with the ninth lowest population density (15.71 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country
- Healthy life expectancy is better than, but the morbidity/mortality levels worse than the regional average
- However, mortality due to noncommunicable diseases is better than that of the Region.

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status is low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce noncommunicable diseases, targeting hard to reach populations
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce burden of communicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.

Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



erage, financial risk protection and non-SDG 3 service coverage for all populations

- tion, focusing on scaling up investments in health workforce and infrastructure

## Nigeria

(Tille)	State of health and well-being								
		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
and the second s	Healthy life expectancy	47.7	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
TTC .	Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.9	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	847.1	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
1 1	Due to communicable diseases	582.7	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
1 de l	Due to noncommunicable conditions	189.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
	Due to injuries	74.7	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 28.72% of the total GDP) but only the 12th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 2655.2 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the largest population in the Region (18.24% of total population), and the 10th largest land area (3.86% of the region), leaving it with the eighth highest population density (198.93 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a low-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional averages

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Rwanda

( The second sec	}	State of health and well-being								
	1		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value			
			value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
		Healthy life expectancy	56.6	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
~~~		Crude death rate per 1000 population	6.4	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
		DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	413.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
	and the second s	Due to communicable diseases	187.9	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
	4	Due to noncommunicable conditions	156.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
×,	m (m	Due to injuries	68.5	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

#### Comments

- A low-income country with the 30th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.49% of the total GDP) and the 31st highest GDP per capita (US\$ 710.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 25th largest population in the Region (1.17% of total population), but with the eighth smallest land area (0.10% of the Region) leaves it with the second highest population density (471.4 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- ► Health status is commensurate with that of a middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- ▶ However, mortality due to injuries is higher than that of the Region.

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared to that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of communicable diseases and noncommunicable diseases targeting hard to reach populations
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden of injuries

<sup>\*</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



## A low incon

## Sao Tome and Principe

17 Steen			State of health and well-being									
19 BER		4	Country		African Region equivalent value							
		:		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs			
			Healthy life expectancy	59	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5			
and the second s			Crude death rate per 1000 population	6.5	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0			
			DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	410.5	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6			
			Due to communicable diseases	206.7	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0			
			Due to noncommunicable conditions	159.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6			
			Due to injuries	43.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5			

#### Comments

- A lower-middle-income small island state with the smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.02% of the total GDP), but the 14th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1615.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the second smallest population in the Region (0.02% of total population), the second smallest land area (0.00% of the region) and the fifth highest population density (203.7 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of an upper-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries focusing on hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)



## Senegal



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	58.3	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	406.7	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	217.4	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	140.8	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	47.6	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### **Comments**

A low-income country with the 18th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.81% of the total GDP) and the 23rd highest GDP per capita (US\$ 910.8 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 21st largest population in the Region (1.51% of total population), the 29th largest land area (0.82% of the Region) and the 18th highest population density (77.79 persons/km<sup>2</sup>) Health status is commensurate with that of an upper-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status still low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries focused on the hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)


# Seychelles



## State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	65.5	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	6.7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	309.3	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	43.9	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	234.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	30.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### **Comments**

▶ The only high-income country that is also a small island state but with the fifth smallest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.09% of the total GDP) but the highest GDP per capita (US\$ 15 390 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the smallest population in the Region (0.01% of total population), the smallest land area (0.00% of the region) but with the sixth highest population density (203.08 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its economic classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- However, mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is marginally higher than that of the Region

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status close to that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden of noncommuni-cable diseases
- Focus on identifying remaining pockets of hard to reach populations, sharing best practices and exploring alternative institutional service delivery models that improve sustainability for the low burden of communicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



financial risk protection, health security and service responsiveness

services, specifically targeting hard to reach populations

# Sierra Leone

1977 Ban	State of health and well-being						
		Country		African Reg	jion equiva	lent value	
and the second sec		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
	Healthy life expectancy	44.4	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
the second se	Crude death rate per 1000 population	16.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	969.6	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
	Due to communicable diseases	631.3	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	243.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
here and her	Due to injuries	95.1	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### Comments

and and

- A low-income country with the 34th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.25% of the total GDP) and the 34th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 587.5 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 29th largest population in the Region (0.73% of total population), the 34th largest land area (0.31% of the region) and the 14th highest population density (100.26 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of its economic classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



# South Africa



### State of health and well-being

	Country	y African Region equivalent value							
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs			
Healthy life expectancy	54.4	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5			
Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0			
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	506.3	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6			
Due to communicable diseases	253.8	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0			
Due to noncommunicable conditions	204.2	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6			
Due to injuries	47.3	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5			

### Comments

An upper-middle-income country with the second largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 18.96% of the total GDP) and the sixth highest GDP per capita (US\$ 5744.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the fourth largest population in the Region (5.57% of total population), the seventh larg-est land area (5.14% of the Region) and the 29th highest population density (45.58 persons/ km<sup>2</sup>
- Health status is commensurate with that of a low to lower-middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy marginally better, but morbidity and mortality rates worse than regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable diseases is higher than that of the Region.

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce the burden of communicable diseases and injuries focusing on hard to reach populations
- Explore innovative approaches to reduce the burden of noncommunicable diseases

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years) Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



# South Sudan

A.			
J	E .	1	2
>	/ }	Υ,	ľ
_			
	~~~~	n north	~

### State of health and well-being

	Country	African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
Healthy life expectancy	49.9	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
Crude death rate per 1000 population	11.1	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	734.5	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
Due to communicable diseases	483.3	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
Due to noncommunicable conditions	166.8	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
Due to injuries	84.1	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

► A low-income country with the 26th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.54% of the total GDP) and the 27th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 758.7 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

- It has the 24th largest population in the Region (1.20% of total population), but the 16th largest land area (2.62% of the Region), leaving it with the 37th highest population density (19.17 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- The country has faced protracted civil unrest, straining its capacity for sustainable development
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are lower than the regional average
- However, mortality due to noncommunicable diseases is marginally higher than that of the Region.

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burden of noncommunicable diseases, focusing on hard to reach populations
- Explore innovative approaches to address the high burden of communicable diseases and injuries

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



# Togo

ATTEN	State of	health	n and	well-b	being			
		Country	y African Region equivalent value					
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs	
	Healthy life expectancy	52.8	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5	
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	9.6	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0	
<i>▶</i>	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	597.2	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6	
	Due to communicable diseases	351.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0	
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	181.6	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6	
	Due to injuries	63.8	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5	

### Comments

- A low-income country with the 35th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.24% of the total GDP) and the 37th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 551.1 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 28th largest population in the Region (0.75% of total population), the 35th largest land area (0.23% of the Region) but with the 11th highest population density (136.36 persons/ km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a lower middle-income country
- > Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are at the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status is too low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries focusing on hard to reach populations

 $^*$  The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.



# Uganda

	State of	health	n and	well-k	being		
		Country		African Reg	jion equiva	lent value	
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
	Healthy life expectancy	54	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	9.2	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
No. Contraction of the second	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	528.3	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
man	Due to communicable diseases	307.0	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
A l	Due to noncommunicable conditions	157.9	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
A	Due to injuries	63.0	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5
Ja A							

### Comments

- A low-income country with the 12th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 1.62% of the total GDP) but only the 32nd highest GDP per capita (US\$ 674 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the seventh largest population in the Region (4.04% of total population), but only the 28th largest land area (0.85% of the Region) leaving it with the seventh highest population density (200.2 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a lower middle-income country
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are marginally better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing initiatives to reduce the burdens of communicable diseases and noncommunicable diseases focusing on hard to reach persons

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



# **United Republic of Tanzania**



## State of health and well-being

	Country	ountry African Region equivalent value						
	value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs		
hy life expectancy	54.2	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5		
e death rate per 1000 population	7.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0		
s lost per 1000 population – Total	511.9	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6		
e to communicable diseases	298.9	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0		
e to noncommunicable conditions	154.5	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6		
e to injuries	58.2	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5		

### Comments

A low-income country with the seventh largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 2.72% of the total GDP) but only the 24th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 872.3 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates

Health Crude DALYs Due Due

- It has the fifth largest population in the Region (5.42% of total population), the 11th largest land area (3.75% of the Region) and the 24th highest population density (60.83 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- Health status is commensurate with that of a lower-middle-income country
   Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce burdens of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries focusing on hard to reach persons

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available.



# Zambia

A AND A	State of	healtl	n and	well-k	being		
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value	
LAND I ST		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
mili	Healthy life expectancy	53.7	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
	Crude death rate per 1000 population	9.7	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	554.2	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
	Due to communicable diseases	356.5	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	144.0	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
- July 1	Due to injuries	53.4	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

### Comments

- A lower-middle-income country with the 13th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 1.26% of the total GDP) and the 19th highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1313.9 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 19th largest population in the Region (1.62% of total population), the 14th largest land area (3.15% of the Region) and the 36th highest population density (22.35 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
   Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are similar to the regional average

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing initiatives to reduce the burden of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries focusing on hard to reach persons

<sup>^</sup> The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018



# Zimbabwe

A man	State of	f health and well-being					
A A A		Country		African Reg	gion equiva	lent value	
		value	Average	HICs	UMICs	LMICs	LICs
	Healthy life expectancy	52.1	53.8	65.5	58.6	52.9	52.5
- m	Crude death rate per 1000 population	9.8	9.7	6.7	8.2	10.1	10.0
	DALYs lost per 1000 population – Total	591.8	592.2	309.3	441.4	618.4	630.6
	Due to communicable diseases	367.4	352.9	43.9	207.1	374.8	393.0
	Due to noncommunicable conditions	160.3	177.6	234.9	190.6	180.3	170.6
	Due to injuries	63.7	61.2	30.3	43.2	62.7	66.5

#### Comments

- A low-income country with the 14th largest total GDP in the WHO African Region (representing 0.97% of the total GDP) and the 22nd highest GDP per capita (US\$ 1033.4 in current prices) based on 2015 estimates
- It has the 20th largest population in the Region (1.59% of total population), the 21st largest land area (1.64% of the Region) and the 32nd highest population density (40.78 persons/km<sup>2</sup>)
- The country has faced a protected economic contraction leading up to the SDGs
- Health status is commensurate with that of its income classification
- Overall healthy life expectancy, morbidity and mortality rates are better than the regional average
- Mortality due to noncommunicable conditions is marginally higher than that of the Region

### Implications for the attainment of the SDGs\*

- Health status low compared with that needed for the attainment of the SDGs
- Accelerate ongoing efforts to reduce the burdens of communicable diseases, noncommunicable diseases and injuries focusing on hard to reach populations

The capacity to attain the SDGs is related to how far the country's Healthy Life Expectancy is from that of the best performing income group (high income countries – 65.5 years)

Data is from the World Bank Health Population and Nutrition database for the year closest to 2015 for which data is available. Source: http://databank.worldbank.org/data/source/health-nutrition-and-population-statistics, last accessed on 30 April 2018.







### **Annex 1: Indicators**

Health outcomes – health and essential services	139
Outcome area 1: Attributes to monitor availability of essential services across age cohorts	139
Outcome area 2: Indicators or coverage of essential health interventions by public health functions	140
Outcome area 3: Indicators for financial risk protection	140
Outcome area 4: Attributes for health security	141
Outcome area 5: Attributes for service responsiveness	141
Outcome area 6: Indicators for coverage of essential non-SDG 3 targets across determinants	142
Health outputs – Health system performance measurements	144
Health inputs – Health system investment measurements	146
Annex 2: Data by indicator used to generate indices	
Health financing data	147
Health investments data	149
Health workforce	149
Health products	150
Health systems performance data	152
Access to essential services	152
Quality of Care	154
Effective demand for essential services	155
System resilience	156
Health and related service outcomes data	157
Service availability	157
Coverage with interventions addressing SDG 3 targets	159
Health security	160
Service responsiveness and satisfaction	161
Coverage with interventions addressing non-SDG 3 targets influencing health and well-being	163
Health impact data	166

# **Annex 1: Indicators**

## Health outcomes – health and essential services

# Outcome area 1: Attributes to monitor availability of essential services across age cohorts

Соногт	ESSENTIAL SERVICES
Pregnancy and newborn	Antenatal care services
	Perinatal care services
	Care for the newborn
	Postnatal care services
Childhood	Childhood immunization
	Child nutrition (under- and overweight)
	Integrated childhood services
	Primary school health services
	Promotion of childhood healthy lifestyles
Adolescence	Adolescent sexual and reproductive health services
	Adolescent/youth friendly health services
	Secondary school health services
	Harm reduction services for prevention of drug and alcohol use
	Promotion of adolescent healthy lifestyles
Adulthood	Screening for common communicable conditions
	Screening for common noncommunicable conditions and risk factors
	Reproductive health services including family planning
	Promotion of adulthood healthy lifestyles
	Adult nutrition services
	Clinical and rehabilitative health services
Elderly	Annual screening and medical exams
	Elderly persons social support services
	Clinical and rehabilitative services for the elderly

# Outcome area 2: Indicators or coverage of essential health interventions by public health functions

SDG TARGET	Indicator	RELATED PUBLIC HEALTH FUNCTION
24	Maternal mortality ratio (per 100 000 live births)	Impact level indicator
3.1	Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel (%)	Curative
	Under-five mortality rate (per 1000 live births)	Impact level indicator
3.2	Neonatal mortality rate (per 1000 live births)	Impact level indicator
	New HIV infections among adults 15–49 years old (per 1000 uninfected population)	Communicable disease prevention
	TB incidence (per 100 000 population)	Communicable disease prevention
3.3	Malaria incidence (per 1000 population at risk)	Communicable disease prevention
	Infants receiving three doses of hepatitis B vaccine (%)	Communicable disease prevention
	Reported number of people requiring interventions against NTDs	Communicable disease prevention
3.4	Probability of dying from any of CVD, cancer, diabetes, CRD between age 30 and exact age 70 (%)	Noncommunicable disease prevention
	Suicide mortality rate (per 100 000 population)	Noncommunicable disease prevention
3.5	Total alcohol per capita (> 15 years of age) consumption, in litres of pure alcohol	Health promotion
3.6	Road traffic mortality rate (per 100 000 population)	Noncommunicable disease prevention
3.7	Proportion of married or in-union women of reproductive age who have their need for family planning satisfied with modern methods (%)	Health promotion
	Adolescent birth rate (per 1000 women aged 15–19 years)	Health promotion
	Mortality rate attributed to household and ambient air pollution (per 100 000 population)	Noncommunicable disease prevention
3.9	Mortality rate attributed to exposure to unsafe WASH services (per 100 000 population)	Communicable disease prevention
	Mortality rate from unintentional poisoning (per 100 000 population)	Noncommunicable disease prevention

## Outcome area 3: Indicators for financial risk protection

	Indicator
1	General government health expenditure (GGHE) as % of Total health expenditure
2	Out of pocket expenditure (OOPS) as % of Private health expenditure (PvtHE)
3	Social security funds as % of General government health expenditure (GGHE)

# Outcome area 4: Attributes for health security

Domain		Core capacity area
	1	National legislation, policy and financing
	2	IHR coordination, communication and advocacy
	3	Antimicrobial resistance (AMR)
Prevention	4	Zoonotic disease
Flevenuoli	5	Food safety
	6	Biosafety and biosecurity
	7	Immunization
	17	Points of entry (PoE) *
	8	National laboratory systems
Detection	9	Real-time surveillance
Detection	10	Reporting
	11	Workforce development
	12	Preparedness
	13	Emergency operations centres
Response	14	Linking public health with law and multisectoral rapid response
	15	Medical countermeasures and personnel deployment
	16	Risk communication
Other	18	Chemical events
Und	19	Radiation emergencies

# Outcome area 5: Attributes for service responsiveness

Domains	Attributes
Dignity	Patients/clients are treated with respect during the care process
	The rights of patients/clients with conditions that may potentially be associated with stigma are effectively safeguarded
	Patients/clients are encouraged to discuss their concerns and needs freely, during the process of care
	Respect is shown for patients/clients desire for privacy during the examination or management process
Autonomy	Patients/clients are provided with information on alternative management options
	Patients/clients are consulted and their views considered in relation to their management preferences
	Patient consent is explicitly sought before testing or management is commenced
Confidentiality	Consultations between patients/clients and providers is carried out in a manner that protects confidentiality
	Confidentiality of information provided by patients/clients is preserved, except if needed by other providers to further the care process
	Medical records are preserved in a manner that ensures there is limited/no chance of their leaking to unauthorized users
Prompt attention	Patients/clients are able to get to a facility offering services they need in under 30 minutes
	Patients/clients will usually spend under 30 minutes at a facility before they receive services
	Patients/clients will usually complete all the services they need within 2 hours of arriving at a health facility
	Patients/clients will usually spend an unnecessarily long time waiting for elective procedures
Access to social support	Patients/clients are allowed to receive guests during the care process
networks	Families and friends of patients/clients are allowed to cater for their personal needs during the care process
	Patients/clients are allowed to involve themselves in religious activities during the care process
Quality of basic amenities	Health facilities are usually clean
	Food for Patients/clients is usually adequate for their nutrition needs
	Water and sanitation services for patients/clients are usually adequate in the health facilities
	The linen and other personal items provided to patients/clients is usually clean and appropriate
Choice of care providers	Patients/clients usually have a choice of providers in a given health facility
	Patients/clients usually have a choice of facilities providing their required services
	Patients/clients have the opportunity to freely seek a second opinion without fear of penalisation, if they desire
	Patients/clients have the opportunity to see specialists, if they desire to

## Outcome area 6: Indicators for coverage of essential non-SDG 3 targets across determinants

Domain area	115	SDG		SDG TARGET HEALTH RELATED INDICATOR USED	
	1	End poverty in all its forms everywhere	1.3	Implement nationally appropriate social protection systems and measures for all, including floors, and by 2030 achieve substantial coverage of the poor and vulnerable	Coverage (%) — All Social Assistance
	2	End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	2.2	By 2030, end all forms of malnutrition, including achieving, by 2025, the internationally agreed targets on stunting and wasting in children under 5 years of age, and address the nutrition needs of adolescent girls, pregnant and lactating mothers and older persons	Prevalence of stunting in children under 5 (%) Prevalence of wasting in children under 5 (%) Prevalence of overweight in children under 5 (%)
ants	4	Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all	4.1	By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes	Primary education, duration (years) Lower secondary completion rate, total (% of relevant age group) Primary completion rate, total (% of relevant age group) Secondary education, duration (years)
Social determinants			4.2	By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development, care and pre- primary education so that they are ready for primary education	Pre-primary education, duration (years) School enrolment, pre-primary (% gross)
õ	5	Achieve gender equality, and empower all women and girls	5.3	Eliminate all harmful practices, such as child early and forced marriage and female genital mutilation	Female genital mutilation prevalence (%)
	6	Ensure availability and sustainable management of	6.1	By 2030, achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all	Proportion of population using improved drinking-water sources v (%)
		water and sanitation for all	6.2	By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all and end open defecation, paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations	Proportion of population using improved sanitation v (%)
			6.3	By 2030, improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials, halving the proportion of untreated waste water and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse globally	People practicing open defecation (% of population)
	7	Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all	7.1	By 2030, ensure universal access to affordable, reliable and modern energy services	Annualized average growth rate in per capita real survey mean consumption or income, total population (%)
	8	Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent	8.1	Sustain per capita economic growth in accordance with national circumstances and, in particular, at least 7 per cent gross domestic product growth per annum in the least developed countries	GDP growth (annual %)
rminants		work for all	8.5	By 2030, achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value	Unemployment, total (% of total labour force) (modelled ILO estimate)
Economic determinants	9	Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation	9.1	Develop quality, reliable, sustainable and resilient infrastructure, including regional and trans-border infrastructure, to support economic development and human well-being, with a focus on affordable and equitable access	Access to electricity (% of population)
			9C	Significantly increase access to information and communications technology and strive to provide universal and affordable access to the internet in least developed countries by 2020	Individuals using the Internet (% of population)
	10	Reduce inequity within and among countries	10.2	By 2030, empower and promote the social, economic, and political inclusion of all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, race, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	Proportion of seats held by women in national parliaments (%)

## Outcome area 6: Indicators for coverage of essential non-SDG 3 targets across determinants

Domain area	Domain area SDG		SDG TARGET	Health related INDICATOR USED	
Environmental determinants	11	Make cities inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable	11.6	By 2030, reduce the adverse per capita environmental impact of cities, including by paying special attention to air quality and municipal and other waste management	Annual mean concentrations of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) in urban areas x (μg/m3)
Environ determ	13	Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts	13.1	Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate- related hazards and natural disasters in all countries	Average death rate due to natural disasters (per 100 000 population)
	16	Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels	16.1	Significantly reduce all forms of <i>violence</i> , and related deaths	Mortality rate due to homicide <sup>z</sup> (per 100 000 population)
					Estimated direct deaths from major conflicts <sup>aa</sup> (per 100 000 population)
5			16.2	End abuse, exploitation, trafficking and all forms of violence against and torture of children	Children in employment, total (% of children ages 7–14)
ninant				By 2030, provide legal identity for all, including <i>birth</i> registration	Completeness of birth registration (%)
Political determinants	17	Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable	17.1	Strengthen <i>domestic resource mobilization</i> , including through international support to developing countries, to improve domestic capacity for tax and other revenue collection	
d		development	17.16	Enhance the <i>global partnership</i> for sustainable development, complemented by multi-stakeholder partnerships that mobilize and share knowledge, expertise, technology and financial resources, to support the achievement of the SDGs in all countries, in particular developing countries	Net official development assistance received (current US\$)

# Health outputs – Health system performance measurements

Domain	Indicators used
Access to essential services	Hospital beds per 100 000 population
	Total density per 100 000 population: Hospitals
	Total density per 100 000 population: Health posts
	Total density per 100 000 population: Health centres
	Physicians density (per 1000 population)
	Nursing and midwifery personnel density (per 1000 population)
	Dentistry personnel density (per 1000 population)
	Pharmaceutical personnel density (per 1000 population)
	Laboratory health workers density (per 1000 population)
	Environmental and public health workers density (per 1000 population)
	Community and traditional health workers density (per 1000 population)
	Health management and support workers density (per 1000 population)
	Diagnostics readiness
	Essential medicines readiness
	Pharmaceutical expenditure as percentage of Total Health Expenditure
	Pharmacists per 10 000 population
	Average number of medicines prescribed per patient contact in public health facilities
	Percentage of medicines prescribed in outpatient public health facilities in the national Essential Medicines List
	Percentage of medicines prescribed in outpatient facilities prescribed by international non-propriety names
	Percentage of patients in outpatient public health facilities receiving antibiotics
	Percentage of adequately labelled medicines in outpatient public health facilities
	Blood donation rate per 1000 persons
Quality of care	TB treatment success
	Service readiness score
	Patient-centred care (PCC) score (dignity, confidentiality, prompt attention)
	Diabetes mellitus, deaths per 100 000 (age-standardized estimate) — (Data source: WHO, 2012)
	Age-standardized suicide rates (per 100 000 population)
Demand for essential services	ANC 1 – ANC 4 dropout rate
	DTP 1 – DTP 3 dropout rate
	BCG – MCV dropout rate
	TB treatment dropout rate

	<b>R</b> ESILIENCE AREA	Attributes assessed
		There is up to date (under 1 year old) data mapping the health system assets — HR, infrastructure, commodities — that can be mobilized in the event of a stress event
	less	There is an up to date (under 1 year old) mapping of potential health risks at the lowest level of the health system — health centre or community unit
1	Awareness	There is a functional epidemiological surveillance network regularly (weekly) reporting on status of potential disease events
	Aw	The health sector is conducting regular (at least annual) predictive modelling of major health risks facing different populations and sharing this information with concerned parties
		The health sector is conducting simulation exercises to mimic the logistics of the response to the 5 stress events of highest risk of occurrence
		Primary care facilities are providing at least 80% of the essential services they are expected to provide
		Physical, financial and/or social barriers hindering access to available essential services are minimized
		There is a clear strategy to scale up the provision of essential services currently not being provided
2	Diversity	<ul> <li>Health facilities have basic capacities needed for provision of a broad range of essential services:</li> <li>basic amenities: reliable power, water, sanitation,</li> <li>basic equipment,</li> <li>standard precautions for infection prevention,</li> <li>diagnostic capacity,</li> <li>essential medicines</li> </ul>
		Staff are appropriately skilled, and supervisory systems functional enough to identify rare/uncommon events when they occur
	bu	The primary care facilities have the needed capacity to identify and isolate a health threat
	Versatility and self-regulating	There are mechanisms at the management level supporting health facilities to target local resources to an identified health threat without need for bureaucratic authorizations
3	nd self-1	Health facilities are aware of, and able to put in place contingency mechanisms that allow continued essential service provision even when responding to a threat
	tility ar	Sources of additional HR capacities that may be needed to respond to the threat are identified, and procedures to bring these on board are known and agreed
	Versa	There are agreed protocols to guide absorption of resources and skills mobilized during a response to an event into the routine system
	pu	There are functional mechanisms for communication and engagement with non-public health partners working within the areas of responsibility of primary care facilities — such as private sector, NGOs, CSOs and others
	aptive a ed	There are functional mechanisms for communication and engagement of primary care facilities with communities they are working within
4	ation, adapt integrated	There are functional mechanisms for communication and engagement with health-related sectors working within the areas of responsibility of primary care facilities — such as agriculture, transport, education, and others
	Mobilization, adaptive and integrated	There are pre-agreed mechanisms for sharing of personnel, funds and capacities amongst stakeholders working within their areas of responsibility of primary care facilities
	Z	There are mechanisms to regularly (annually) monitor performance of the health system and ensure it is constantly adapting to changing health needs

# Health inputs – Health system investment measurements

Domain	Indicator
Health workforce	Physicians density (per 1000 population)
	Nursing and midwifery personnel density (per 1000 population)
	Dentistry personnel density (per 1000 population)
	Pharmaceutical personnel density (per 1000 population)
	Laboratory health workers density (per 1000 population)
	Environmental and public health workers density (per 1000 population)
	Community and traditional health workers density (per 1000 population)
	Health management and support workers density (per 1000 population)
Health products, and technologies	Diagnostics readiness
	Essential medicines readiness
	Pharmaceutical expenditure as percentage of Total Health Expenditure
	Pharmacists per 10 000 population
	Average number of medicines prescribed per patient contact in public health facilities
	Percentage of medicines prescribed in outpatient public health facilities in the national Essential Medicines List
	Percentage of medicines prescribed in outpatient facilities prescribed by international non-propriety names
	Percentage of patients in outpatient public health facilities receiving antibiotics
	Percentage of adequately labelled medicines in outpatient public health facilities
	Blood donation rate per 1000 persons
Health infrastructure and equipment	Health infrastructure readiness
	Availability of basic amenities
	Availability of basic equipment
	Total density per 100 000 population: Hospitals
	Total density per 100 000 population: Health posts
	Total density per 100 000 population: Health centres
	Total density per 100 000 population: District/rural hospitals
	Hospital beds (per 10 000 population)

# Annex 2: Data by indicator used to generate indices

## Health financing data

#### **MEMBER STATE** TOTAL HEALTH EXPENDITURE (THE) PER CAPITA IN INT\$ (PURCHASING POWER PARITY) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Algeria 644.26 686.22 821.34 858.86 932.10 Angola 215.71 220.50 223.24 301.99 239.01 Benin 88.23 85.61 79.34 83.33 82.24 Botswana 697.87 878.72 893.17 870.84 779.77 **Burkina Faso** 99.92 76.01 82.40 96.72 82.31 Burundi 60.80 60.26 58.02 61.33 61.53 Cabo Verde 133.33 103.58 121.73 121.92 117.77 Cameroon 282.70 288.16 271.89 310.12 282.65 **Central African Republic** 33.67 33.36 33.66 22.60 24.96 Chad 70.80 79.02 55.58 59.01 59.90 Comoros 79.57 85.12 101.52 95.33 100.82 Congo 125.70 157.15 230.64 302.60 322.63 Côte d'Ivoire : : 176.13 187.02 Democratic Republic of the Congo 23.62 21.82 32.28 24.55 27.04 Equatorial Guinea 1237.30 1103.40 1367.39 1196.14 1163.42 Eritrea 42.10 43.19 44.80 45.63 51.04 Eswatini 586.82 486.45 526.64 597.95 503.45 Ethiopia 76.29 71.30 72.96 72.31 72.47 Gabon 538.24 556.46 671.06 599.26 523.54 The Gambia 93.24 95.65 97.88 106.83 118.43 Ghana 161.18 164.93 178.43 180.32 145.37 Guinea 67.66 52.16 56.01 65.72 68.46 Guinea-Bissau 87.16 77.15 91.52 102.48 90.96 Kenya 98.84 158.04 168.98 137.21 149.22 Lesotho 237.79 270.83 270.42 281.70 276.04 Liberia 80.06 81.88 81.13 83.66 98.29 Madagascar 66.27 58.10 58.65 48.35 43.70 Malawi 86.60 73.76 88.54 91.99 93.48 Mali 78.91 79.80 83.54 103.44 110.12 Mauritania 106.54 96.85 119.34 134.97 148.11 Mauritius 896.16 : : : : Mozambique 48.29 59.64 56.56 63.31 79.32 Namibia 321.16 337.49 323.30 321.71 375.28 Niger 51.15 53.78 51.95 54.23 55.42 Nigeria 208.46 216.87 174.19 192.85 178.31 Rwanda 102.96 107.73 115.93 120.44 125.07 Sao Tome and Principe : : 106.94 Sonoas 08 74 05 36 05 01 101 18

100.94	101.18	95.01	95.30	98.74	Sellegal
:	865.86	:	:	:	Seychelles
223.74	220.11	179.02	166.53	135.64	Sierra Leone
1148.37	1123.63	1097.43	1044.83	990.91	South Africa
72.82	52.43	50.44	:	:	South Sudan
76.25	70.22	67.31	65.38	64.66	Тодо
132.59	132.52	133.57	175.39	175.31	Uganda
137.49	130.51	127.11	122.54	106.39	United Republic of Tanzania
194.68	186.89	175.09	144.13	141.51	Zambia
108.01	117.23	113.00	90.55	71.79	Zimbabwe

## Health investments data Health workforce

Health workforce					
Member State	Year of most			PER 1000 POPULATIO	-
	RECENT DATA	Physicians density	Nursing and midwife- ry personnel density	Dentistry personnel density	Pharmaceutical personnel density
					. ,
Algeria	2007	1.192	1.924	0.321	0.24
Angola	2009	0.144	1.442		
Benin	2013	0.146	0.604		
Botswana	2012	0.384	2.727		
Burkina Faso	2012	0.047	0.63	0.002	0.021
Burundi	2004	0.026	0.176	0.002	0.01
Cabo Verde	2011	0.309	0.563	0.006	0.01
Cameroon	2010	0.083	0.52	0.003	0.002
Central African Republic	2009	0.047	0.252	0.003	0.003
Chad	2013	0.044	0.309		0.005
Comoros					
Congo	2007	0.108	0.94		0.017
Côte d'Ivoire	2008	0.143	0.479	0.014	0.021
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2009	0.091	0.961	0.001	0.008
Equatorial Guinea	2004	0.252	0.447	0.025	0.2
Eritrea	2004	0.053	0.616	0.004	0.026
Eswatini					
Ethiopia	2009	0.025	0.252		0.031
Gabon	2004	0.293	5.03	0.049	0.047
The Gambia	2008	0.11	0.889	0.03	0.047
Ghana	2008	0.112	0.988	0.006	0.072
Guinea	2004	0.104	0.466	0.006	
Guinea-Bissau	2009	0.078	0.653	0.008	0.013
Kenya	2013	0.199	0.868	0.024	0.05
Lesotho	2003	0.047	0.591	0.008	0.033
Liberia	2008	0.014	0.266	0.001	0.073
Madagascar	2012	0.143	0.218	0.008	0
Malawi	2009	0.018	0.336	0.013	0.015
Mali	2010	0.085	0.443	0.007	0.009
Mauritania	2009	0.127	0.658	0.027	0.035
Mauritius	2004	1.072	3.787	0.192	1.175
Mozambique	2013	0.055	0.401	0.016	0.056
Namibia	2007	0.372	2.76	0.043	0.18
Niger	2008	0.019	0.14	0.001	0.001
Nigeria	2008	0.374	1.489	0.025	0.124
Rwanda	2000	0.055	0.678	0.012	0.005
Sao Tome and Principe	2004	0.541	2.057	0.073	0.16
Senegal	2004	0.061	0.43	0.009	0.01
Seychelles	2000	0.984	4.433	0.148	0.042
Sierra Leone	2012	0.024	0.319	0.001	0.042
South Africa					
South Airica	2015	0.767	5.113	0.198	0.629
South Sudan Togo	2008	0.059	0.3	0.000	0.002
-		0.058	0.3	0.003 0.016	
Uganda	2005	0.12	1.342		0.027
United Republic of Tanzania	2012	0.03	0.428	0.01	0.013
Zambia	2010	0.06	0.714	0.018	0.023
Zimbabwe	2011	0.074	1.194	0.018	0.033
Regional average	0.206591	1.132568	0.035553	0.0872	0.085086

Member State	ORMALIZED SCORE (INDEX)		(PER 1000 POPULATIO		
	(INDEX)	Health management's support workers density	Community and tradi- tional health workers density	Environmental and public health workers density	boratory health vorkers density
Alg	0.477	0.028	0.029	0.071	0.289
Ang	0.201				
Be	0.176				0.098
Botsw	0.428				
Burkina F	0.052		0.127	0.005	0.034
Buru	0.043	0.272	0.086		0.019
Cabo Ve	0.104	0.032		0.002	0.105
Camer	0.046				
Central African Repu	0.069	0.008	0.393	0.052	0.009
(	0.034				
Como					
Co	0.161	0.704		0.005	0.098
Côte d'Iv	0.120	0.133		0.074	
Democratic Republic of the Co	0.116	0.793		0.003	0.038
Equatorial Gui	0.252	0.122	2.103	0.03	0.139
Erit	0.084	0.188		0.022	0.061
Eswa					
Ethio	0.070		0.363	0.015	0.035
Ga	0.378	0.107		0.111	0.205
The Gam	0.156	0.179	0.725	0.05	0.072
Gh	0.062	0.011	0.195	0.002	0.012
Gui	0.055	0.054	0.01	0.014	0.028
Guinea-Bis	0.105			0.004	0.13
Ке	0.114				-
Leso	0.083	0.003		0.029	0.077
Lib	0.040	0.014		0.011	0.031
Madaga	0.097	0.239		0.034	-
Mal	0.065			0.03	0.036
1	0.072	0.264	0.007	0.031	0.032
Maurita	0.119	0.189	0.278	0.056	0.036
Mauri	0.745	1.667	0.194	0.196	0.266
Mozambi	0.071	0.182	0.045		0.055
Nam	0.311			0.095	0.082
N	0.022	0.007		0.009	0.019
Nig	0.206		0.128	0.028	0.156
Rwa	0.080	0.101		0.012	0.078
Sao Tome and Princ	0.608	1.923	2.498	0.127	0.341
Sene	0.127	0.296	2.490	0.099	0.021
Seyche	0.547	01290			0.021
Sierra Le	0.037		0.023	0.028	0.002
South Af	0.609		0.025	0.065	0.179
South Su	0.009			0.005	0.1/9
T	0.081	0.41		0.011	0.062
Uga		0.41	0.194	0.011	0.002
	0.095		0.194		0.047
United Republic of Tanza	0.072	0.007		0.042	0.047
Zan Zimbal	0.116	0.033		0.081	0.039
	0.197	0.398		0.128	0.047

# Health investments data Health products

		hier	ICATORS AND VALUE	c	
INIEMBER STATE	Readiness	INDI Basic amenities	Basic equipment	S Total density per	Total density per
				100 000 population: Hospitals	100 000 population: Health posts
Algeria					
Angola					
Benin	65.2	64	86	0.41	
Botswana				1.29	
Burkina Faso	69.6	72	89	0.31	
Burundi	58.8	61	79	0.5	0
Cabo Verde				1	33.47
Cameroon				0.79	7.43
Central African Republic				0.48	12.17
Chad	56.6	48	82	0.65	5.88
Comoros				0.68	7.08
Congo					
Côte d'Ivoire				1.71	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	41.4	27	75	0.45	
Equatorial Guinea					
Eritrea				0.36	2.92
Eswatini					13.66
Ethiopia	42.6	44	63	0.22	15.14
Gabon				3.53	29.43
The Gambia				0.7	26.6
Ghana				1.36	1.11
Guinea				0.37	6.24
Guinea-Bissau				56.45	5.63
Kenya	66.425	83	76	1.47	7.55
Lesotho					
Liberia	58.6	57	77	0.37	9.32
Madagascar				0.47	12.96
Malawi				0.4	0.45
Mali				0.46	
Mauritania				1.03	11.65
Mauritius	56.4	61	83	0.96	8.84
Mozambique					
Namibia	(0		0.0	1.91	12.76
Niger	60	66	82	0.55	13.98
Nigeria Rwanda					
					14.51
Sao Tome and Principe				0.16	14.51
Senegal	76	26	88		6.87
Seychelles Sierra Leone	76	96		1.08	20.47
South Africa	48.8	63	75	0 (7	- 00
South Africa South Sudan				0.67	5.88
Togo	60	49	87	0.6	
Uganda	57.6	49 54	87 79		0.50
United Republic of Tanzania	42.6		79 70	0.4	9.59
Zambia	42.0 71.2	27	70 87	0.45	1.18
Zimbabwe	73.8	71 81	88	0.45 0.52	0
Regional average	59.15441	60.23529	80.35294	2.434118	10.44034

Total density per 100 000 population: Health centres	INDICATORS AND VALUES Total density per 100 000 population: District/rural hospitals	Hospital beds (per 10 000 population)	Normalized score (index)	Member State
		17	0.270	Algeria
		8	0.127	Angola
5.45	0.25	5	0.393	Benin
	0.79	18	0.113	Botswana
11.89	0.25	9	0.455	Burkina Faso
5.01	0.32	19	0.396	Burundi
3.81	0.6	21	0.298	Cabo Verde
0.63	0.67	15	0.104	Cameroon
1.99	0.28	12	0.127	Central African Republic
0	0.5	4	0.348	Chad
1.63	0.27	22	0.127	Comoros
		16	0.254	Congo
11.83	1.16	4	0.124	Côte d'Ivoire
	0.43	8	0.303	Democratic Republic of the Congo
		21	0.333	Equatorial Guinea
0.88	0.25	12	0.064	Eritrea
0.67	0.17	21	0.192	Eswatini
0	0.19	63	0.456	Ethiopia
2.21	2.45	63	0.421	Gabon
1.68	0.38	11	0.210	The Gambia
9.13	1.3	9	0.106	Ghana
3.52	0.26	3	0.071	Guinea
32.98	25.64	10	0.665	Guinea-Bissau
5.99	1.41	14	0.413	Kenya
		13	0.206	Lesotho
1.05	0.35	8	0.336	Liberia
0.27	0.33	3	0.093	Madagascar
2.3	0.23	13	0.061	Malawi
5.71	0.39	6	0.073	Mali
3.8	0.69	4	0.114	Mauritania
0.16	0.16	34	0.393	Mauritius
		8	0.127	Mozambique
2.3	1.3	27	0.193	Namibia
4.97	0.43	3	0.380	Niger
		5	0.079	Nigeria
		16	0.254	Rwanda
2.07	0	32	0.335	Sao Tome and Principe
0.54	0.16	3	0.056	Senegal
5.39		39	0.629	Seychelles
1.21		4	0.448	Sierra Leone
0.55	0.53	28	0.134	South Africa
			-	South Sudan
10.94	0.51	9	0.398	Togo
3.92	0.36	5	0.339	Uganda
		11	0.451	United Republic of Tanzania
8.33	0.3	20	0.410	Zambia
9.41	0.37	17	0.483	Zimbabwe
4.634857	1.248	15.5	0.391	Regional average

### Health systems performance data Access to essential services MEMBER STATE

MEMBER STATE	1 301 110				hipir	ATODE AND	VALUES				
	Hospital beds	Total density	Total density	Physicians	Nursing and	CATORS AND Dentistry	Pharmaceutical	Laboratory	Environmental	Community	Health manage-
	(per 100 000	(per 100 000	(per 100 000	density	midwifery	personnel	personnel	health workers	and public		ment & support
	popn)	popn): Hospitals	popn): Health posts	(per 1000 popn)	personnel density (per	density (per 1000 popn)	density (per 1000 popn)	density (per 1000 popn)	health workers density (per	health workers density (per	workers density (per 1000 popn)
			P	F - F ,	1000 popn)				1000 popn)	1000 popn)	(F-: F-F.:)
Algeria		0.52									
Angola	1.7			1.192	1.924	0.321	0.24	0.289	0.071	0.029	0.028
Benin	0.8			0.144	1.442			0			
Botswana Burking Face	0.5	0.41		0.146	0.604			0.098			
Burkina Faso	1.8	1.29		0.384	2.727						
Burundi Cabo Verde	0.9	0.31	2	0.047	0.63	0.002	0.021	0.034	0.005	0.127 0.086	0.272
Cabo verue	1.9 2.1	0.5 1	0	0.026	0.176	0.002 0.006	0.01	0.019	0.002	0.080	0.272
Central African Republic		0.79	33.47 7.43	0.309 0.083	0.563 0.52	0.000	0.01 0.002	0.105	0.002		0.032
Chad	1.2	0.79	7.45 12.17	0.005	0.32	0.003	0.002	0.009	0.052	0.393	0.008
Comoros	0.4	0.40	5.88	0.047	0.232	0.005	0.005	0.009	0.052	0.595	0.000
Congo		0.68	7.08	0.044	0.509		0.005				
Côte d'Ivoire	1.6	0.00	7.00	0.108	0.94		0.017	0.098	0.005		0.704
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.4	1.71		0.143	0.479	0.014	0.021	0.090	0.074		0.133
Equatorial Guinea	0.8	0.45		0.091	0.961	0.001	0.008	0.038	0.003		0.793
Eritrea	2.1			0.252	0.447	0.025	0.2	0.139	0.03	2.103	0.122
Eswatini	2.1					,			,	,	
Ethiopia	1.2	0.36	2.92	0.053	0.616	0.004	0.026	0.061	0.022		0.188
Gabon	6.3	0.22	15.14	0.025	0.252		0.031	0.035	0.015	0.363	
The Gambia	6.3	3.53	29.43	0.293	5.03	0.049	0.047	0.205	0.111		0.107
Ghana	1.1	0.7	26.6	0.11	0.889	0.03	0.047	0.072	0.05	0.725	0.179
Guinea	0.9	1.36	1.11	0.112	0.988	0.006	0.072	0.012	0.002	0.195	0.011
Guinea-Bissau	0.3	0.37	6.24	0.104	0.466	0.006		0.028	0.014	0.01	0.054
Kenya	1	56.45	5.63	0.078	0.653	0.008	0.013	0.13	0.004		
Lesotho	1.4	1.47	7.55	0.199	0.868	0.024	0.05				
Liberia	1.3			0.047	0.591	0.008	0.033	0.077	0.029		0.003
Madagascar	0.8	0.37	9.32	0.014	0.266	0.001	0.073	0.031	0.011		0.014
Malawi	0.3	0.47	12.96	0.143	0.218	0.008	0		0.034		0.239
Mali	1.3	0.4	0.45	0.018	0.336	0.013	0.015	0.036	0.03		
Mauritania	0.6	0.46		0.085	0.443	0.007	0.009	0.032	0.031	0.007	0.264
Mauritius	0.4	1.03	11.65	0.127	0.658	0.027	0.035	0.036	0.056	0.278	0.189
Mozambique		0.96	8.84	1.072	3.787	0.192	1.175	0.266	0.196	0.194	1.667
Namibia	0.8			0.055	0.401	0.016	0.056	0.055		0.045	0.182
Niger		1.91	12.76	0.372	2.76	0.043	0.18	0.082	0.095		
Nigeria	0.3	0.55	13.98	0.019	0.14	0.001	0.001	0.019	0.009		0.007
Rwanda	0.5			0.374	1.489	0.025	0.124	0.156	0.028	0.128	
Sao Tome and Principe				0.055	0.678	0.012	0.005	0.078	0.012		0.101
Senegal			14.51	0.541	2.057	0.073	0.16	0.341	0.127	2.498	1.923
Seychelles		0.16	6.87	0.061	0.43	0.009	0.01	0.021	0.099		0.296
Sierra Leone		1.08	20.47	0.984	4.433	0.148	0.042		0		
South Africa	0.4		- 00	0.024	0.319	0.001	0.02	0.002	0.028	0.023	
South Sudan		0.67	5.88	0.767	5.113	0.198	0.629	0.179	0.065		0.44
Togo	0.9	- 1	13.66	0.058	0.3	0.003	0.002	0.062	0.011		0.41
Uganda	0.5	0.6	0.50	0.12	1.342	0.016	0.027		0.010	0.194	0.11
United Republic of Tanzania	1.1	0.4	9.59	0.03	0.428	0.01	0.013	0.047	0.042		0.007
Zambia		0.15	1 . 0	0.06	0.714	0.018	0.023	0.039	0.081		0.033
Zimbabwe		0.45	1.18	0.074	1.194	0.018	0.033	0.047	0.128		0.398
Regional average	1.55	2.43	10.81	0.206591	1.132568	0.036	0.087	0.085	0.046	0.435	0.292

				INDICAT	ORS AND VA	LUES				Normalized	Member State
Diagnostics readiness	Essential medicines readiness	Pharma- ceutical expenditure as % of THE	Pharmacists per 10 000 population	Avg. number of medicines prescribed per patient contact in public health facilities	% of medicines prescribed in outpatient PH facilities in the national Essent. Medicines List	% of medicines prescribed in out- patient facilities prescribed by international non propriety names	% of patients in outpatient public health facilities receiving antibiotics	% of adequately labelled medicines in outpatient PH facilities	Blood donation rate per 1000 persons	SCORE (INDEX)	
									12.5	0.433	Algeria
			0.06						7.2	0.131	Angola
51.0	41.0								7.5	0.226	Benin
			0.65	2.1	98	62	41	46	10	0.338	Botswana
61.0	38.0	29.1	0.3		14.0		58.0		6	0.202	Burkina Faso
52.0	29.0		0.12	2.1	92.0		50.0	52.0	5.5	0.194	Burundi
									6.5	0.215	Cabo Verde
			0.36	3.1	93	89	63	100	0.7	0.321	Cameroon
		5.2	0.01	3.1					2.5	0.124	Central African Republic
31.0	44.0	6.0	0.1	2.4	97.0	97.0	54.0		5.4	0.252	Chad
			0.34	3	67				3.4	0.268	Comoros
									11.3	0.177	Congo
		27.2	0.6	2.8	64.0		45		6.5	0.214	Côte d'Ivoire
27.0	20.0	19.1	0.5						6.4	0.167	Democratic Republic of the Congo
										0.251	Equatorial Guinea
			0.38	2	99	86	60		1.4	0.211	Eritrea
		15.0	0.5						10.8	0.157	Eswatini
39.0	26.0	32.9	0.1	2.0	98.0	88.0	60.0		0.8	0.327	Ethiopia
		15.9	0.23	2.9	38.0		43	95	11.1	0.421	Gabon
				2.5	100		50	1	5.4	0.250	The Gambia
			0.23	4	88	60	43	7	6.2	0.199	Ghana
		19.0	0.57				60		3.6	0.133	Guinea
			0.08	4	65	75	65	90	2.8	0.350	Guinea-Bissau
24.1	73.0	36.6	0.5	3.0	93.0	32.0	77.0	5.0	3.6	0.331	Kenya
		15.3	0.49	3	88.0		53	31	3.9	0.238	Lesotho
42.0	44.0	1.2	0.1						6.2	0.150	Liberia
		15.9	0.13						1	0.131	Madagascar
			0.1	3	100		70	100	3.6	0.245	Malawi
			0.74	2.9	87		50	45	3	0.195	Mali
			0.3	3	60	20.0	75.0		2.8	0.195	Mauritania
32.0	35.0		2.36	4.0	99.0		100.0	99.0	39.7	0.704	Mauritius
			0.1	2	99		48	91	4.6	0.212	Mozambique
		18.5	1.3	2.2	100.0	86.0	50.0	67.0	12.2	0.400	Namibia
36.0	41.0	23.9	0.1	2.0	99.0		39.0	93.0	4.3	0.274	Niger
		5.4	0.87	4	87.0	48.0	53.0	43.0	0.7	0.302	Nigeria
									3.7	0.090	Rwanda
			0.12			100	40.0	88.0	4.8	0.525	Sao Tome and Principe
		28.4	0.73	3.6	83.0	63.0	46.0	57.0	4.8	0.319	Senegal
41.0	63.0	3.1	1	3	100.0	92.0	35.0	98.0	16.0	0.563	Seychelles
11.0	35.0		0.0	3.0	70.0	70.0	50.0	80.0	7.1	0.249	Sierra Leone
		11.0	1.01	3.2	93.0	45.0	68.0		18.0	0.483	South Africa
									0.2	0.300	South Sudan
40.0	39.0								6.1	0.145	Togo
45.0	41.0		0.05	2.9	97.0	72.0	67.0	15.0	5.4	0.248	Uganda
29.0	41.0		0.15	2.5	99.0	55.0	51.0	76.0	3.3	0.269	United Republic of Tanzania
66.0	43.0	3.0	0.2	2.7	98.0	41.0	55.0	29.0	7.8	0.276	Zambia
68.0	48.0		0.45	2.1	73.0	59.0	60.0	55.0	4.0	0.397	Zimbabwe
40.9	41.2	16.6	0.42	2.84	85.1	67	55.59	60.96	6.5	0.319	Regional average

# Health systems performance data Quality of Care

Member State						Normalized
	TB TREATMENT	Service	<b>INDICATORS ANI</b> PCC score (dignity,	Diabetes mellitus, deaths	Age-standardized	SCORE (INDEX)
	SUCCESS	readiness score	confidentiality,	per 100,000 (age-stand-	suicide rates (per	
			prompt attention)	ardized estimate)	100 000 population)	
Algeria	92			67.1	3.1	0.839
Angola	55		0.14	42.2	25.9	0.251
Benin	90	65.2	0.26	48.1	15	0.731
Botswana	81			60.3	12.6	0.651
Burkina Faso	78	69.6		59.9	16.5	0.637
Burundi	92	58.8		38.9	13	0.702
Cabo Verde	77		0.65	22.9	27.2	0.475
Cameroon	80		0.51	52.3	11.9	0.640
Central African Republic	68		0.43	23.5	17.5	0.593
Chad	68	56.6	0.49	44.8	19.6	0.502
Comoros	91			45.2	14.2	0.731
Congo	71		0.70	33.9	12.3	0.560
Côte d'Ivoire	78		0.54	53.9	11.9	0.616
Democratic Republic of the Congo	87	41.4	0.40	33.3	12.2	0.589
Equatorial Guinea	70			39.8	26.6	0.432
Eritrea	87		0.40	44.4	13.2	0.709
Eswatini	73		0.92	74.8	17.9	0.377
Ethiopia	90	42.6	0.52	24.5	12.8	0.587
Gabon	51		0.42	29.9	12.5	0.550
The Gambia	88		0.41	48.6	11.6	0.721
Ghana	86		0.39	39.5	10.2	0.744
Guinea	82		0.58	43.5	11.4	0.646
Guinea-Bissau	73		0.30	51.3	9.5	0.693
Kenya	88	66.425	0.52	34.9	10.5	0.721
Lesotho	74			74.1	13.6	0.558
Liberia	86	58.6	0.28	36.9	10.3	0.726
Madagascar	83		0.35	22.6	8	0.793
Malawi	85		0.39	30.1	10.8	0.748
Mali	68		0.53	54.6	10.5	0.582
Mauritania	73		0.35	40	9.8	0.695
Mauritius	90	56.4		171	8.8	0.494
Mozambique	85		0.65	33.5	12.9	0.642
Namibia	84			58.2	10.4	0.706
Niger	80	60	0.42	41.7	8.5	0.681
Nigeria	85		0.32	47	15.1	0.699
Rwanda	89		0.52	34.6	12.6	0.702
Sao Tome and Principe	72				2.6	0.714
Senegal	85		0.28	56.5	11.8	0.729
Seychelles	100	76	0.94		8.7	0.938
Sierra Leone	88	48.8	0.62	69	22.1	0.454
South Africa	79			94.3	12.3	0.565
South Sudan	73			37.6	9.6	0.688
Тодо	85	60		43.2	15.4	0.643
Uganda	77	57.6	0.32	43.1	12.6	0.646
United Republic of Tanzania	88	42.6	0.41	49.7	11	0.586
Zambia	88	71.2	0.47	39.3	11.2	0.748
Zimbabwe	81	73.8	0.52	23.1	18	0.688
Regional average	80.72					
	00.77	59.15	0.47	47.95	13.10	0.631

# Health systems performance data Effective demand for essential services

	Lilect		a for essent	iai sei vices	
Member State			AND VALUES		
	ANC 1 - ANC 4 drop out	DTP 1 - DTP 3 drop out	BCG – MCV drop out	TB treatment drop out	SCORE (INDEX)
Algeria	25.40	4	4	10.00	0.754
Angola		17	-16	66.00	0.440
Benin	24.00	13	34	12.00	0.511
Botswana	20.80	14	0	21.00	0.645
Burkina Faso	60.60	4	10	22.00	0.538
Burundi	65.50	3	0	8.00	0.640
Cabo Verde	0.50	0		11.00	0.980
Cameroon	38.80	7	-8	16.00	0.707
Central African Republic	30.10	25	-3	22.00	0.510
Chad	23.70	12	0	23.00	0.645
Comoros	43.20	5	4	9.00	0.681
Congo	14.20	5	13	29.00	0.660
Côte d'Ivoire	46.40	2	8	20.00	0.631
Democratic Republic of the Congo	40.40	6	3	11.00	0.678
Equatorial Guinea	24.40	9	17	57.00	0.441
Eritrea	31.10	2	4	10.00	0.753
Eswatini	22.40	6	8	20.00	0.683
Ethiopia	9.10	3	-1	16.00	0.826
Gabon	17.10	8	12	50.00	0.534
The Gambia	8.60	4	1	18.00	0.799
Ghana	3.20	1	5	15.00	0.843
Guinea	28.60	14	22	20.00	0.509
Guinea-Bissau	27.50	16	12	21.00	0.539
Kenya	36.10	6	11	13.00	0.646
Lesotho	20.80	3	8	26.00	0.693
Liberia	17.80	7	17	23.00	0.632
Madagascar	31.00	6	-5	18.00	0.724
Malawi	51.40	5	5	19.00	0.601
Mali	6.70	19	18	23.00	0.550
Mauritania	36.30	13	16	29.00	0.481
Mauritius	-	1	6	9.00	0.876
Mozambique	40.00	3	8	12.00	0.680
Namibia	34.10	6	9	17.00	0.646
Niger	50.00	6	9	20.00	0.572
Nigeria	9.50	6	-3	16.00	0.804
Rwanda	55.10	1	4	13.00	0.658
Sao Tome and Principe	13.90	1	-1	22.00	0.802
Senegal	46.90	3	4	14.00	0.665
Seychelles	-	2	2	12.00	0.873
Sierra Leone	21.10	13	7	12.00	0.657
South Africa	10.00	5	-6	19.00	0.814
South Sudan	44.90	13	1	20.00	0.562
Тодо	15.50	4	-8	14.00	0.835
Uganda	45.70	6	4	25.00	0.592
United Republic of Tanzania	48.60	2	9	10.00	0.661
Zambia	40.20	0	0	15.00	0.736
Zimbabwe	23.20	4	0	19.00	0.744
Regional average	28.4	6.7	5.3	19.7	0.669

# Health systems performance data

## System resilience

Member State	<b>Proportion of</b> Awareness	RESPONDENTS Diversity	REPORTING POSI Versatility and self regulating	TIVE PERCEPTION OF ATTRIBUTE Mobilisation, adaptive and integrative	Normalized score (index)
Algeria					
Angola	0.15	0.25	0.24	0.15	0.21
Benin	0.45	0.21	0.30	0.80	0.32
Botswana	(F)	0.2.1			0.92
Burkina Faso					
Burundi					
Cabo Verde	0.40	0.93	0.60	0.47	0.69
Cameroon	0.48	0.21	0.44	0.52	0.39
Central African Republic	0.60	0.40	0.40	0.75	0.49
Chad	0.33	0.11	0.22	0.80	0.22
Comoros					
Congo	0.40	0.30	0.25	0.55	0.30
Côte d'Ivoire	0.63	0.40	0.14	0.43	0.35
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.40	0.10	0.30	0.60	0.26
Equatorial Guinea					
Eritrea	0.17	0.60	0.70	0.40	0.54
Eswatini	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.75	1.00
Ethiopia	0.35	0.70	0.45	0.80	0.49
Gabon	0.28	0.52	0.08	0.24	0.31
The Gambia	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.19	0.09
Ghana	0.40	0.50	0.35	0.65	0.39
Guinea	0.70	0.35	0.55	0.70	0.56
Guinea-Bissau	0.36	0.12	-	0.24	0.20
Kenya	0.38	0.42	0.36	0.38	0.34
Lesotho	. ( .		(		
Liberia	0.60 0.28	0.38 0.08	0.36	0.55	0.37
Madagascar Malawi	0.28	0.08	0.24 0.13	0.28	0.23 0.20
Malawi	0.27	0.27	0.15	0.33	0.35
Mauritania	0.33	0.23	0.20	0.35	0.20
Mauritius	0.57	0.23	0.10	0.20	0.20
Mozambique	-	0.20	-	-	0.13
Namibia		0120			,
Niger	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.42
Nigeria	0.27	0.13	0.07	0.42	0.14
Rwanda	0.25	0.60	0.35	0.63	0.42
Sao Tome and Principe					
Senegal	0.30	0.10	0.30	0.50	0.24
Seychelles	0.20	0.80	0.40	0.50	0.41
Sierra Leone	0.60	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.51
South Africa					
South Sudan					
Тодо					
Uganda	0.35	0.25	0.30	0.56	0.30
United Republic of Tanzania	0.27	0.21	0.47	0.69	0.33
Zambia	0.23	0.40	0.37	0.63	0.30
Zimbabwe	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.50	0.37
Regional average	0.38	0.37	0.33	0.50	0.32

# Health and related service outcomes data Service availability

Member State	NUMBER OF	Normalized score (index)				
	Pregnancy / Newborn	Childhood	<b>COUNTRY</b> Adolescence	Adulthood	Elderly	- *
Algeria	0	0	0	0	0	
Angola	16	16	17	20	4	0.322
Benin	12	15	14	15	3	0.259
Botswana	0	0	0	0	0	
Burkina Faso	0	0	0	0	0	
Burundi	0	0	0	0	0	
Cabo Verde	12	15	14	16	7	0.300
Cameroon	20	24	21	30	14	0.520
Central African Republic	4	5	5	6	0	0.082
Chad	3	3	1	0	3	0.060
Comoros	0	0	0	0	0	
Congo	16	18	19	4	11	0.350
Côte d'Ivoire	19	25	25	30	11	0.507
Democratic Republic of the Congo	8	10	10	11	6	0.217
Equatorial Guinea	0	0	0	0	0	
Eritrea	8	10	10	12	6	0.220
Eswatini	4	5	5	6	3	0.110
Ethiopia	16	20	18	23	10	0.410
Gabon	20	22	21	29	10	0.471
The Gambia	16	19	19	23	8	0.391
Ghana	16	20	19	24	7	0.389
Guinea	16	20	20	23	8	0.399
Guinea-Bissau	20	22	22	27	12	0.487
Kenya	40	50	48	58	21	1.000
Lesotho	0	0	0	0	0	
Liberia	20	20	16	23	8	0.402
Madagascar	20	20	22	27	7	0.431
Malawi	12	16	14	16	0	0.238
Mali	12	15	15	18	9	0.330
Mauritania	32	38	32	44	17	0.759
Mauritius	0	0	0	0	0	
Mozambique	4	5	5	6	3	0.110
Namibia	0	0	0	0	0	
Niger	16	19	16	24	7	0.372
Nigeria	12	15	15	18	9	
Rwanda	16	18	16	23	10	0.393
Sao Tome and Principe	0	0	0	0	0	
Senegal	4	5	4	6	3	0.106
Seychelles	12	15	15	18	9	0.330
Sierra Leone	8	8	6	10	3	0.160
South Africa	0	0	0	0	0	
South Sudan	0	0	0	0	0	
Togo	0	0	0	0	0	
Uganda	12	15	15	18	6	0.302
United Republic of Tanzania	12	18	17	22	11	0.403
Zambia	20	25	24	30	7	0.470
Zimbabwe	20	35	35	30 42	14	0.470
Regional average	510	606	575	702	267	0.364

## Health and related service outcomes data Coverage with interventions addressing SDG 3 targets

Member State	Total alcohol per capita (> 15 years of age) consumption in litres of pure	H PROMOTION I Propor. married or in-union women of reproduct. age who have their need for family planning satisfied with modern methods n (%)	Adolescent birth rate o (per 1000 women aged 15-19 years)	Proportion of mother Exclusively	DTP 3 coverage		TB incidence g (per 100 000 population)		Infants receiving three doses	INTERVENTIONS Reported number of people requiring interventions against NTDs j	Mortality rate attributed to exposure to unsafe WASH services (per 100 000 population)
Algeria	0.6	77.2	12.4	6.90	95.00	0.10	78	0.10	95	-	2.4
Angola	7.6		190.9		80.00	2.1	370	145.7	80	17 668 111	111.2
Benin	2.2	24.5	94	32.50	70.00	0.6	61	303	70	4 358 651	32.2
Botswana	7.7		39	20.30	95.00	14	385	1.1	95	252 373	9.2
Burkina Faso	7.4	37.1	130	38.20	91.00	0.5	54	418.4	91	14 961 395	40.9
Burundi	9.8	32.6	85	69.30	95.00	0.1	126	269.4	95	5 332 985	68.4
Cabo Verde	7.2	73.2		59.60	95.00	0.9	138	0.7	95	135 100	4.5
Cameroon	7.7	40.2	119	20.40	87.00	3.8	220	271.8	87	19 449 659	40.9
Central African Republic	3.8	28.7	229	34.30	47.00	2.7	375	325	47	4 050 725	102.3
Chad	4.4	17.5	203.4	3.40	46.00	1.5	159	157.9	46	10 477 490	92.8
Comoros	0.2	27.8	70	12.10	80.00		35	170.6	80	523 106	28.6
Congo	3.9	38.5	147	20.50	90.00	1.4	381	187.5	90	3 568 201	48.1
Côte d'Ivoire	6.5	30.9	125	12.10	67.00	2.1	165	385.2	67	18 131 745	44.1
Democratic Republic of the Congo	3.4	15.6	138	37.00	80.00	0.6	325	295.2	80	57 568 918	107.8
Equatorial Guinea	8.1	20.5	176	7.40	24.00	2.9	162	211.1	24	465 062	57.3
Eritrea	1.4	19.6	76	68.70	94.00	0.2	78	17.4	94	976 756	34.9
Eswatini	6.4	80.6	87	44.10	98.00	18.9	733	3.6	98	597 165	22.7
Ethiopia	4.3	57.6	71.2	52.00	77.00		207	117.8	77	67 843 988	29.6
Gabon	11.8	33.7	115	6.00	70.00	1.4	444	210.6	70	1 534 672	28.1
The Gambia	3.2	23.9	88	33.50	96.00	1.1	174	233.1	96	1 200 503	21
Ghana	5.4	44.6	65	45.70	98.00	0.7	165	318.5	98	18 697 745	20
Guinea	0.7	15.7	146	20.50	51.00	1.1	177	403.4	51	8 842 314	40.7
Guinea-Bissau	4.3	37.6	136.7	38.30	80.00	2.5	369	112.1	80	1 884 916	48.9
Kenya	4	75.4	96	31.90	81.00	2.3	246	266.3	81	12 294 911	32.5
Lesotho	6.4	76.1	94	53.50	96.00	20.1	852		96	517 204	28.3
Liberia	5.2	37.2	147	55.20	50.00	0.6	308	368.8	50	3 892 705	25
Madagascar	1.9	49.6	148	41.90	73.00	0.2	235	83.3	73	20 491 358	26.6
Malawi	2.5	73.6	143	71.40	91.00	4.5	227	217.8	91	11 136 578	26.1
Mali	1	27.3	172	20.40	77.00	1.3	58	460.9	77	19 462 713	61.1
Mauritania	0.1	23.8	71	26.90	84.00	0.4	111	24.9	84	762 932	28.9
Mauritius	4	40.8	29.4	21.00	97.00	0.4	22		97	-	0.9
Mozambique	2	28.2	167	42.80	78.00	7.4	551	352.3	78	22 815 820	37.9
Namibia	11.8	75.1	82	23.90	88.00	9.1	561	5.4	88	1 049 353	9.8
Niger	0.3	40.8	206	23.30	68.00	0.10	98	317.1	68	14 885 196	69.2
Nigeria	11.3	28.8	122	17.40	66.00	2	322	342.9	66	140 381 164	50.9
Rwanda	10	65	45	84.90	99.00	1.1	63	121.1	99	4 148 711	19.4
Sao Tome and Principe	6.8	50.3	92	51.40	95.00	0.1	97	93	95	194 856	
Senegal	0.5	46.3	80	39.00	89.00	0.10	138	128.1	89	11 792 254	25.4
Seychelles	6.7		61.2		99.00		26		99	-	
Sierra Leone	8.2	37.5	125	31.60	83.00	0.7	310	406	83	7 564 272	90.4
South Africa	11.5		54	8.30	70.00	12.7	834	5	74	6 645 340	12.1
South Sudan		5.6	158	45.10	39.00	2.6	146	153.8		9 326 151	50
Togo	1.9	32.2	85	62.40	87.00	1	58	378.9	87	4 613 894	37.9
Uganda	10.5	44.7	140	63.20	78.00	6	161	231.8	78	25 344 345	30.3
United Republic of Tanzania	8.1	45.9	72.1	49.80	97.00	2.6	327	130.6	97	33 868 257	27.6
Zambia	4	63.8	145	60.90	86.00	7.5	406	214.2	86	11 466 594	24.5
Zimbabwe	4.8	86	120	31.40	91.00	9.2	278	138.9	91	7 044 670	27.1
Regional average	5.3	42.6	113.0	36.5	80.2		251.4	204.6		13 366 401.2	39.5

## Health and related service outcomes data Coverage with interventions addressing SDG 3 targets

			CONTROL INTE			MEDICAL				Normalized score (index)	Member State
Probability of dying from any of CVD, cancer, diabetes, CRD wetween age 30 nd exact age 70 k (%)	Suicide mortality rate k (per 100 000 popula- tion)	Road traffic mortality rate m (per 100 000 population)	Mortality rate attributed to household and ambient air pollution p (per 100 000 population)	Mortality rate from uninten- tional poisoning (per 100 000 population)	Proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel (%)	Caeserian section rate (%)	TB treatment success rate	HIV mortal- ity rate per 100,000	Deaths due to malaria (per 100,000 population)	SLUKE (INDEX)	
22.1	1.8	23.8	31.5	1.1	97.00	16.30	88.00	0.50	0.00	0.789	Algeria
24.2	10.6	26.9	104.4	5.5	47.00		34.00	47.96	101.00	0.364	Angola
22.1	3.7	27.7	92	2.2	77.00	5.40	89.00	25.74	80.00	0.594	Benin
20.9	3.2	23.6	38.1	2.7	100.00		77.00	141.44	0.10	0.607	Botswana
23.8	2.9	30	96.4	2.7	66.00	1.90	81.00	19.88	103.00	0.541	Burkina Faso
24.3	16.4	31.3	106	7	60.00	4.00	91.00	26.84	32.00	0.524	Burundi
15.1	3.9	26.1	58.2	0.3	92.00		79.00	141.36	55.00	0.727	Cabo Verde
19.9	4.9	27.6	89.6	2.7	65.00	3.80	92.00	38.42	0.00	0.577	Cameroon
18.5	7.9	32.4	95.9	4.7	40.00	4.50	84.00	159.17	115.00	0.417	Central African Republic
23.2	2.7	24.1	121.8	4.6	24.00	1.50	70.00	60.55	137.00	0.409	Chad
23.5	10.5	28	63.4	3.5	82.00	9.60	68.00		68.00	0.603	Comoros
19.8	7.8	26.4	90.2	2.8	94.00	5.80			104.00	0.521	Congo
23.3	5.4	24.2	89.8	3.2	56.00	2.70	69.00	110.12	71.00	0.492	Côte d'Ivoire
23.6	8	33.2	116.4	6.2	80.00	7.20	89.00	28.47	105.00	0.469	Democratic Republic of the Cong
23.4	13.9	22.9	98.3	5	68.00	6.60	58.00	130.17	69.00	0.406	Equatorial Guinea
24.2	8.3	24.1	75.8	4.7	34.00	2.80	91.00	9.56	3.10	0.651	Eritrea
21.4	5.3	24.2	62.7	2.5	88.00	12.30	78.00	295.27	0.20	0.627	Eswatini
15.2	7.5	25.3	56.8	3.5	16.00	1.50	89.00		16.00	0.628	Ethiopia
15	7	22.9	47	1.8	89.00	10.00	58.00	75.35	67.00	0.539	Gabon
19.1	3.2	29.4	70.9	1.8	57.00	2.50	88.00	50.23	84.00	0.610	The Gambia
20.3	2.3	26.2	80.8	2.2	71.00	11.40	85.00	47.43	67.00	0.641	Ghana
20.9	3.3	27.3	87.9	3.1	45.00	2.40	83.00	36.48	105.00	0.509	Guinea
22.4	3.1	27.5	105.2	3	45.00	2.30	81.00		96.00	0.551	Guinea-Bissau
18.1	10.8	29.1	57.1	3.8	62.00	6.20	87.00	78.18	28.00	0.611	Kenya
23.9	5.4	28.2	74.5	2.2	78.00	6.70	70.00	463.70		0.572	Lesotho
21.2	2.6	33.7	69.9	1.9	61.00	3.50	74.00	42.19	69.00	0.552	Liberia
23.4	7.3	28.4	84.4	4.1	44.00	1.90	83.00	13.20	27.00	0.591	Madagascar
18.7	8.6	35	72	3.3	87.00	5.10	85.00	156.84	63.00	0.628	Malawi
25.6	2.8	25.6	116	4.1	57.00	2.70	73.00	36.93	88.00	0.504	Mali
15.8	1.8	24.5	64.5	1.8	65.00	9.60	70.00	24.58	50.00	0.672	Mauritania
24	8.5	12.2	21.2	0.3	100.00	47.00	90.00	39.27		0.787	Mauritius
17.3	17.3	31.6	65.1	8.1	54.00	3.90	89.00	139.40	71.00	0.463	Mozambique
20	2	23.9	47.9	1.1	88.00	14.40	87.00	126.08	0.10	0.654	Namibia
19.6	1.8	26.4	109.7	4	29.00	1.40	79.00	18.09	111.00	0.511	Niger
19.8	4.3	20.5	90.4	2.4	35.00	2.00	87.00		107.00	0.450	Nigeria
19.1	7.6	32.1	68.3	3.3	91.00	7.10	86.00	24.98	33.00	0.686	Rwanda
		31.1			93.00	a 0a	74.00		43.00	0.750	Sao Tome and Principe
16.7	3.2	27.2	43.2	1.5	59.00	3.80	87.00	14.54	58.00	0.684	Senegal Sovehallos
77.5	r 6	8.6	142.2		99.00	2.00	69.00 85.00	20 74	100.00	0.746	Seychelles Sierra Leone
27.5 26.8	5.6	27.3	142.3	5.7	60.00	2.90	85.00	38.74	109.00	0.455	South Africa
20.8 19.8	2.7	25.1	44.2	2.5	94.00	25.00	78.00	330.33	0.20	0.529 0.446	South Sudan
20.2	13.6	27.9 31.1	95 81	6.9 2.8	17.00 45.00	8.80	71.00 88.00	97.25 69.82	55.00 83.00	0.440	Togo
20.2	3.7 11.9	27.4	70	5.1	45.00 58.00	5.30	75.00	09.02 71.74	83.00 55.00	0.523	Uganda
16.1	15.1	32.9	50.5	6.6	49.00	4.50	90.00	67.33	44.00	0.523	United Republic of Tanzania
18.1	9.6	32.9 24.7	50.5 64.1	7.9	49.00 64.00	3.00	90.00 85.00	123.37	44.00 78.00	0.561	Zambia
19.3	16.6	24.7	52.6	4.4	80.00	6.00	81.00	123.37	8.70	0.501	Zimbabwe
19.5	10.0	20.2	2.0	4.4	50.00	0.00	01.00	100.00	0.70	0.390	

## Health and related service outcomes data Health security

Member State	<b>A</b> VERAGE OF		JOINT EXTERNAL	VALUATION SCORE		Normalized
	<b>13 IHR</b> CORE CAPACITY SCORES	JEE prevention score	JEE detection score	JEE response score	JEE average score	SCORE (INDEX)
	2010-2015		2016-	-2017		
Algeria	73					0.73
Angola	18					0.18
Benin	44	28.0	53.8	31.6	34.4	0.44
Botswana	62					0.62
Burkina Faso	50					0.5
Burundi	56					0.56
Cabo Verde	58					0.58
Cameroon	91					0.91
Central African Republic	24					0.24
Chad	43	28.3	40.0	22.8	29.3	0.43
Comoros	29	32.3	46.3	27.4	34.0	0.29
Congo	28					0.28
Côte d'Ivoire	87	39.3	54.2	37.0	41.8	0.87
Democratic Republic of the Congo	75					0.75
Equatorial Guinea	27					0.27
Eritrea	73	43.5	57.9	43.0	46.4	0.73
Eswatini	56					0.56
Ethiopia	78	49.5	59.2	49.8	51.6	0.78
Gabon	48				-	0.48
The Gambia	33					0.33
Ghana	69	47.5	53.3	35.6	45.6	0.69
Guinea	57	33.5	52.1	25.4	35.3	0.57
Guinea-Bissau	50	55.5	<b>J</b>	-9.1	55.5	0.5
Kenya	69	46.7	57.5	37.2	46.5	0.69
Lesotho	63	35.0	45.4	37.0	37.7	0.63
Liberia	26	36.5	47.5	63.8	46.0	0.26
Madagascar	29	33.3	50.8	32.6	36.8	0.29
Malawi	40			2.00	-	0.4
Mali	55	29.0	53.8	34.0	35.5	0.55
Mauritania	29	37.0	55.0	30.2	39.0	0.29
Mauritius	68	57.4			55.0	0.68
Mozambique	69	44.5	50.8	50.0	47.3	0.69
Namibia	66	44.3	59.6	31.0	44.0	0.66
Niger	79	11.5	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		11.0	0.79
Nigeria	67	38.0	51.3	31.6	39.1	0.67
Rwanda	46			51.0		0.46
Sao Tome and Principe	18					0.18
Sub Tome and Emergal	30	40.0	58.8	43.6	44.9	0.3
Seychelles	87	40.0	50.0	45.0	44.9	0.87
Sierra Leone	64	36.5	48.8	51.0	42.9	0.64
South Africa	100	50.5	40.0	51.0	42.9	1
South Sudan	50					
Togo	74					0.5 0.74
Uganda		46.7	64.2	48.6	50.0	
United Republic of Tanzania	73				50.9	0.73
Zambia	67	50.7	53.3	40.0	48.4	0.67
Zanibia Zimbabwe	92	44.3	52.1	30.8	42.4	0.92
	68					0.68
Regional average	57	39 Data	53 Source: WHO Glob	38 Dal Health Observat	42 orv. accessed 1 D	0.565532

## Health and related service outcomes data Service responsiveness and satisfaction

Member State	Dignity	Autonomy	Confidentiality	<b>P</b> ROMPT ATTENTION	Access to social support	QUALITY OF BASIC AMENITIES	Choice of care Providers	Normalized score (index)
Algeria								
Angola	0.17	0.11	-	0.17	0.33	-	0.08	0.14
Benin	-	0.25	0.42	0.25	0.75	0.13	0.25	0.32
Botswana								
Burkina Faso								
Burundi								
Cabo Verde	0.63	0.25	0.75	0.38	0.42	0.81	0.69	0.63
Cameroon	0.55	0.47	0.67	0.20	0.73	-	0.25	0.4
Central African Republic	0.25	0.67	0.67	0.25	1.00	-	0.50	0.5
Chad	0.63	0.33	0.25	0.40	0.33	0.20	-	0.3
Comoros								
Congo	0.69	0.58	0.67	0.50	0.78	0.19	0.63	0.6
Côte d'Ivoire	0.46	0.33	0.67	0.33	-	0.25	0.29	0.3
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.50	0.33	0.33	0.25	0.83	-	0.13	0.3
Equatorial Guinea								
Eritrea	0.50	0.33	0.50	0.13	0.83	0.50	0.13	0.4
Eswatini	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	-	0.75	0.50	0.7
Ethiopia	0.56	0.25	0.58	0.27	1.00	0.44	0.31	0.5
Gabon	0.60	0.47	0.13	0.35	0.60	0.55	0.60	0.5
The Gambia	0.44	0.17	0.50	0.19	0.75	0.13	0.38	0.3
Ghana	0.40	0.40	0.33	0.30	0.67	0.30	0.40	0.4
Guinea	0.50	0.33	0.50	0.50	0.58	0.56	0.50	0.4
Guinea-Bissau	0.20	0.20	0.33	0.25	0.73	0.05	0.12	0.2
Kenya	0.55	0.43	0.67	0.23	0.80	0.31	0.33	0.4
Lesotho								
Liberia	0.20	0.13	0.33	0.20	0.87	0.30	0.30	0.3
Madagascar	-	0.33	0.60	0.30	0.47	0.10	0.75	0.4
Malawi	0.25	0.33	0.56	0.25	1.00	0.42	0.25	0.4
Mali	0.42	0.44	0.67	0.33	0.78	0.17	0.50	0.5
Mauritania	0.44	0.13	0.42	0.13	0.88	0.16	0.58	0.4
Mauritius								
Mozambique	0.57	-	1.00	0.25	0.67	-	-	0.3
Namibia								
Niger	0.50	0.57	0.58	0.13	1.00	0.19	0.50	0.4
Nigeria	0.25	0.22	0.33	0.25	0.78	0.08	0.50	0.
Rwanda	0.69	0.75	0.42	0.31	0.92	0.56	0.55	0.0
Sao Tome and Principe								
Senegal	0.25	-	0.40	0.13	0.67	0.13	0.38	0.3
Seychelles	0.83	0.67	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.33	0.8
Sierra Leone	0.63	0.67	0.67	0.38	1.00	0.25	0.63	0.0
South Africa								
South Sudan								
Togo								
Uganda	0.25	0.22	0.33	0.25	1.00	-	0.33	0.3
United Republic of Tanzania	0.63	0.17	0.42	0.13	0.92	0.25	0.13	0.3
Zambia	0.20	0.33	0.72	0.33	1.00	0.13	0.17	0.4
Zimbabwe	0.54	0.67	0.71	0.21	0.62	0.36	0.32	0.5
Regional average	0.45	0.37	0.53	0.28	0.73	0.27	0.36	0.4
negional average	0.45	0.3/	0.53	0.20	0.73	0.27	0.30	0.2

## Health and related service outcomes data

## Coverage with interventions addressing non-SDG 3 targets influencing health and well-being MEMBER STATE

Member State	Social determinants							•			
	1.3	2.2					.1		4.2 5.3		
	Coverage	Prevalence of	Prevalence	Prevalence of	Primary	Lower secondary	Primary comple-	Secondary	Pre-primary	School	Female genital
	(%) — All Social	stunting in	of wasting in children under	overweight in	education,	completion rate, total (% of relevant	tion rate, total (% of relevant age	education,	education,	enrolment,	mutilation prevalence
	Assistance	5 u (%)	5 u (%)	5 u (%)	duration (years)	age group)	group)	duration (years)	duration (years)	pre-primary (% gross)	(%)
	rissistance	5 4 (70)	5 ( ( ) )	5 4 (70)	() curs)	age group,	9100p)	() curs)	(Jears)	(70 91055)	(70)
Algeria		11.7	4.1	12.4	5.0	79.4	108.6	7.0	1.0		-
Angola		29.2	8.2		6.0			6.0	1.0		-
Benin		34.0	4.5	1.7	6.0	41.9	76.3	7.0	2.0	20.6	9.2
Botswana		31.4	7.2	11.2	7.0			5.0	3.0		-
Burkina Faso	2.3	32.9	10.9	2.8	6.0	24.7	60.5	7.0	3.0	4.2	-
Burundi		57.5	6.1	2.9	6.0	25.6	66.6	7.0	2.0	10.3	-
Cabo Verde					6.0	75.7	99.8	6.0	3.0	70.3	-
Cameroon	0.9	31.7	5.2	6.7	6.0	35.7	72.2	7.0	2.0	34.4	-
Central African Republic		40.7	7.4	1.8	6.0			7.0	3.0		-
Chad		39.9	13.0	2.5	6.0			7.0	3.0		-
Comoros		32.1	11.1	10.9	6.0	47.7	76.4	7.0	3.0	20.5	-
Congo		21.2	8.2	5.9	6.0		, ,	7.0	3.0	-	-
Côte d'Ivoire		29.6	7.6	3.2	6.0	32.5	56.9	7.0	3.0	6.6	-
Democratic Republic of the Congo		42.6	8.1	4.4	6.0	48.2	J0.J	6.0	3.0	4.2	-
Equatorial Guinea		26.2	3.1	9.7	6.0	70.2		6.0	3.0		-
Eritrea		50.3	15.3	1.9	5.0			7.0	2.0	14.5	-
Eswatini		25.5	2.0	9.0	7.0	41.2		5.0	3.0	14.5	-
Ethiopia							52.7			25.2	
Gabon		40.4	8.7	2.6	6.0	29.4	53.7	6.0	3.0	25.2	-
		17.5	3.4	7.7	5.0	(	( ) (	7.0	3.0	a0 a	-
The Gambia		25.0	11.1	3.2	6.0	63.7	69.6	6.0	4.0	38.3	-
Ghana		18.8	4.7	2.6	6.0	69.1	96.5	7.0	2.0	115.1	-
Guinea		31.3	9.9	3.8	6.0	35.1	61.8	7.0	3.0		-
Guinea-Bissau		27.6	6.0	2.3	6.0	0		6.0	3.0	0	44.9
Kenya		26.0	4.0	4.1	6.0	83.1	103.5	6.0	3.0	73.8	21.0
Lesotho	51.6	33.2	2.8	7.4	7.0	42.9	75.7	5.0	3.0	31.2	-
Liberia		32.1	5.6	3.2	6.0	37.2	58.8	6.0	3.0	157.9	-
Madagascar		49.2			5.0	37.0	68.8	7.0	3.0	13.9	-
Malawi		42.4	3.8	5.1	6.0		79.3	6.0	3.0		-
Mali		38.5	15.3	4.7	6.0	32.9	53.1	6.0	4.0	3.9	-
Mauritania	45.2	22.0	11.6	1.2	6.0	28.8	67.5	7.0	3.0	3.3	-
Mauritius					6.0	84.8	97.5	7.0	2.0	102.2	-
Mozambique		43.1	6.1	7.9	7.0	21.7	47.6	5.0	3.0		-
Namibia		23.1	7.1	4.1	7.0			5.0	2.0		-
Niger		43.0	18.7	3.0	6.0	12.6	58.6	7.0	3.0	7.1	-
Nigeria		32.9	7.9	1.8	6.0			6.0	1.0		-
Rwanda		37.9	2.2	7.7	6.0	34.6	60.5	6.0	3.0	15.7	-
Sao Tome and Principe		17.2	4.0	2.4	6.0	73.7	90.4	6.0	3.0	42.3	-
Senegal		19.4	5.8	1.3	6.0	40.3	59.0	7.0	3.0	14.7	24.7
Seychelles		7.9	4.3	10.2	6.0	109.5	112.1	7.0	2.0	93.0	-
Sierra Leone		37.9	9.4	8.9	6.0			7.0	3.0		-
South Africa		23.9	4.7		7.0			5.0	1.0	77.4	-
South Sudan		31.1	22.7	6.0	6.0			6.0	3.0		-
Тодо		27.5	6.7	2.0	6.0	37.9	85.1	7.0	3.0	15.0	4.7
Uganda		34.2	4.3	5.8	7.0	29.8	59.0	6.0	3.0	10.8	-
United Republic of Tanzania		34.7	3.8	5.2	7.0			6.0	2.0	32.0	-
Zambia		40.0	6.3	6.2	7.0			5.0	4.0		-
Zimbabwe		27.6	3.3	3.6	7.0			6.0	2.0		-
Regional average	25.0	31.6	7.4	5.0	6.1	46.8	74.1	6.3	2.7	37.8	2.2
				Data	source:	WHO Global I	Health Obser	vatory, a	ccessed 1	Decembe	r 2017

MEMBER STATE

	ENVIRON	IMENTAL DE	ETERMINANTS								
6.1	6.2	6.3	11.6	13.1	7.1	8.1	8.5	9.1	9.C	10.2	
Proportion of population us- ing improved drinking-water sources v (%)	Propor. of pop- ulation using improved sanitation v (%)	People practicing open defe- cation (% of population)	Annual mean con- centrations of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) in urban areas x (µq/m3)	Average death rate due to nat- ural disasters y (per 100 000 population)	Annualized avg growth rate in per capita real survey mean con- sumption or income, total population (%)	GDP growth (annual %)	Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) (modeled ILO estimate)	Access to electricity (% of pop- ulation)	Individuals using the Internet (% of popula- tion)	Proportion of seats held by women in national parlia- ments (%)	
84.0	88.0	1.1	26.00	0.09	100.0	3.8	10.6	100.0	29.5	31.6	Algeria
49.0	52.0	34.1	42.80	0.10	47.6	4.8	6.8	32.0	10.2	36.8	Angola
78.0	20.0	56.0	27.90	0.09	6.6	6.4	1.0	34.1	6.0	8.4	Benin
96.0	63.0	16.9	19.30	0.10	62.5	4.1	17.1	56.5	36.7	9.5	Botswana
82.0	20.0	49.5	36.90	0.09	7.0	4.2	3.3	19.2	9.4	18.9	Burkina Faso
76.0	48.0	3.1	49.40	0.20	2.1	4.7	1.6	7.0	1.4	30.5	Burundi
92.0	72.0	28.5	12.1	-	70.9	0.6	10.4	90.2	40.3	20.8	Cabo Verde
76.0	46.0	7.1	64.00	0.09	17.6	5.9	4.1	56.8	16.2	31.1	Cameroon
69.0	22.0	24.0	56.20	-	2.0	1.0	6.6	12.3	3.6		Central African Republic
51.0	12.0	67.9	61.80	0.09	3.6	6.9	5.8	8.0	2.9	14.9	Chad
90.0	36.0	0.6	7.00	0.10	7.0	2.1	19.6	73.8	7.0	3.0	Comoros
77.0	15.0	8.1	57.60	0.09	17.6	6.8	10.1	43.2	7.1	7.4	Congo
82.0	23.0	24.4	19.30	0.09	18.5	8.8	9.4	61.9	19.3	9.4	Côte d'Ivoire
52.0	29.0	12.0	63.20	0.09	5.9	9.5	3.7	13.5	3.0	10.6	Democratic Republic of the Congo
48.0	75.0	4.4	32.00	-	21.5	(0.7)	6.7	67.6	18.9	24.0	Equatorial Guinea
58.0	16.0	76.3	35.70	-	13.8		7.0	45.8	1.0	22.0	Eritrea
74.0	58.0	11.8	19.90	0.20	35.3	4.2	26.7	65.0	26.2	6.2	Eswatini
57.0	28.0	30.6	36.70	-	2.0	10.3	5.0	27.2	7.7	27.8	Ethiopia
93.0	42.0	2.9	35.90	-	73.2	4.3	20.2	89.5	38.1	15.0	Gabon
90.0	59.0	0.9	43.00	0.09	4.0	0.9	29.6	47.2	15.6	9.4	The Gambia
89.0	15.0	18.9	22.20	0.20	20.8	4.0	5.1	78.3	25.5	10.9	Ghana
77.0	20.0	16.1	19.40	-	5.6	0.4	7.0	27.6	6.4	21.9	Guinea
79.0	21.0	17.2	28.90	-	3.0	2.5	6.6	17.2	3.3	13.7	Guinea-Bissau
63.0	30.0	12.3	16.90	0.10	6.2	5.4	11.8	36.0	16.5	19.1	Kenya
82.0	30.0	31.1	21.70	0.20	31.8	2.3	24.6	27.8	22.0	26.7	Lesotho
76.0	17.0	43.0	6.10	-	2.0	0.7	3.6	9.1	5.4	11.0	Liberia
52.0	12.0	43.6	32.40	0.20	2.0	3.3	1.4	16.8	3.7	20.5	Madagascar
90.0	41.0	7.1	25.60	0.20	3.2	5.7	6.4	11.9	5.8	16.7	Malawi
77.0	25.0	8.9	34.80	0.09	2.0	7.0	8.2	27.3	7.0	9.5	Mali
58.0	40.0	31.3	86.20	0.09	44.7	5.6	10.1	38.8	10.7	25.2	Mauritania
100.0	93.0	0.1	14.30	0.20	99.3	3.7	7.7	99.2	44.8	18.8	Mauritius
51.0	21.0	37.4	22.40	0.20	4.4	7.4	25.3	21.2	9.2		Mozambique
91.0	34.0	50.2	18.80	0.90	45.9	6.5	29.6	49.6	14.8		Namibia
58.0	11.0	72.1	51.80	0.20	3.1	7.0	2.5	14.3	2.0	13.3	Niger
69.0	29.0	25.4	38.90	0.09	2.3	6.3	4.8	57.7	21.0	6.7	Nigeria
76.0	62.0	2.3	50.60	0.09	2.0	7.6	3.4	19.8	10.6	63.8	Rwanda
97.0	35.0	51.2		-	30.4	6.2	13.5	68.6	24.4	18.2	Sao Tome and Principe
79.0	48.0	15.7	43.70	0.09	35.8	4.3	10.4	61.0	17.7	43.3	Senegal
96.0	98.0	-	5.00	-	99.9	3.3		99.5	51.3	43.8	Seychelles
63.0	13.0	19.3	16.80	0.09	2.0	4.6	2.8	13.1	6.1	12.1	Sierra Leone
93.0	66.0	2.8	32.60	0.09	81.8	1.7	24.9	86.0	49.0	41.5	South Africa
59.0	7.0	63.2	32.50	0.30	3.1	3.4		4.5	4.5	26.5	South Sudan
63.0	12.0	51.2	25.90	0.09	6.3	5.9	6.8	45.7	5.7	17.6	Togo
79.0	19.0	6.8	80.30	0.09	2.0	5.2	1.9	20.4	16.9	35.0	Uganda
56.0	16.0	11.2	24.10	0.09	2.0	7.0	2.1	15.5	7.0	36.0	United Republic of Tanzania
65.0	44.0	15.8	29.60	-	16.1	4.7	7.7	27.9	19.0	10.8	Zambia
77.0	37.0	26.7	24.10	0.20	31.3	2.8	5.1	32.3	16.4	31.5	Zimbabwe
74.2	36.6	24.3	34.2	0.1	23.6	4.6	9.7	42.1	15.5	21.2	Regional average

## Health and related service outcomes data

## Coverage with interventions addressing non-SDG 3 targets influencing health and well-being

Member State	a6 a		POLITICAL DETERMI			
	<b>16.1</b> Mortality rate due to Homicide Z (per 100 000 Population)	Estimated direct deaths from major conflicts aa (per 100 000 population)	<b>16.2</b> Children in employment, total (% of children ages 7–14)	<b>16.9</b> Completeness of birth registration (%)	<b>17.16</b> Net official development assistance received (current US\$)	
Algeria		1.00			160 720 000	
Angola		-			160 720 000 235 390 000	
Benin		-		84.8	599 320 000	
Botswana	-	-		04.0	99 370 000	
Burkina Faso		0.09			1 123 510 000	
Burundi	-	0.10			515 400 000	
Cabo Verde		-			231 390 000	
Cameroon		1.20		66.1	856 170 000	
Central African Republic		25.60		0011	611 010 000	
Chad		0.10			391 930 000	
Comoros		-			74 950 000	
Congo		-			106 210 000	
Côte d'Ivoire		0.50			925 130 000	
Democratic Republic of the Congo		1.80	41.4	24.6	2 400 120 000	
Equatorial Guinea		-	41.4	24.0	520 000	
Equatorial Guinea						
Eswatini		0.09		53.5	82 070 000	
		-		53.5	86 370 000	
Ethiopia		0.20			3 584 720 000	
Gabon		-			111 270 000	
The Gambia		-			101 530 000	
Ghana		-		70.5	1 123 720 000	
Guinea		0.20			563 180 000	
Guinea-Bissau		0.09	63.9	23.7	110 260 000	
Kenya		0.60		66.9	2 661 320 000	
Lesotho		-		43.3	107 170 000	
Liberia		-			749 610 000	
Madagascar		0.09			586 240 000	
Malawi		-	47.6	5.6	931 450 000	
Mali		3.70			1 235 920 000	
Mauritania		0.30			260 710 000	
Mauritius		-			44 520 000	
Mozambique		0.09			2 106 010 000	
Namibia		-			226 220 000	
Niger		0.20			917 780 000	
Nigeria		3.10			2 479 020 000	
Rwanda		0.70	5.9		1 035 030 000	
Sao Tome and Principe	7.20			95.2	41 380 000	
Senegal	7.90	0.10		72.7	1 108 750 000	
Seychelles	9.50				12 000 000	
Sierra Leone	13.00	-			914 140 000	
South Africa	35.70	0.09			1 077 220 000	
South Sudan	4.80	21.10			1 964 120 000	
Тодо	9.30	-	35.2	78.1	210 960 000	
Uganda	12.00	1.90			1 634 660 000	
United Republic of Tanzania	8.00	0.09	34.7		2 648 730 000	
Zambia		-		11.3	997 730 000	
Zimbabwe		0.09		32.3	760 590 000	
Regional average		1.4	38.1	52.0	825 649 787.2	

### NORMALIZED SCORE (INDEX)

MEMBER STATE

Social determinants. Index

Economic determinants

onmental determi- Po nants index

Environmental determi- Political determinants index Overall determinants index

0.73	0.68	0.80	0.63	0.709	Algeria
0.58	0.47	0.70	0.39	0.535	Angola
0.59	0.37	0.79	0.63	0.595	Benin
0.68	0.48	0.83	0.35	0.584	Botswana
0.50	0.34	0.74	0.68	0.565	Burkina Faso
0.58	0.33	0.60	0.65	0.542	Burundi
0.75	0.57	1.00	0.41	0.685	Cabo Verde
0.57	0.50	0.58	0.64	0.572	Cameroon
0.67	0.22	0.35	0.27	0.377	Central African Republic
0.54	0.31	0.59	0.62	0.517	Chad
0.60	0.25	0.90	0.40	0.540	Comoros
0.69	0.36	0.62	0.38	0.512	Congo
0.59	0.48	0.84	0.64	0.636	Côte d'Ivoire
0.56	0.37	0.58	0.57	0.521	Democratic Republic of the Congo
0.70	0.48	0.63	0.45	0.565	Equatorial Guinea
0.43	0.34	0.59	0.60	0.492	Eritrea
0.68	0.35	0.77	0.36	0.540	Eswatini
0.54	0.35	0.57	0.93	0.624	Ethiopia
					Gabon
0.75	0.56	0.58	0.39	0.569	The Gambia
0.71	0.17	0.70	0.39	0.493	
0.72	0.48	0.76	0.60	0.639	Ghana
0.63	0.27	0.77	0.64	0.578	Guinea
0.63	0.25	0.66	0.40	0.487	Guinea-Bissau
0.69	0.36	0.85	0.81	0.676	Kenya
0.63	0.31	0.76	0.16	0.464	Lesotho
0.64	0.22	0.93	0.46	0.561	Liberia
0.47	0.31	0.70	0.65	0.533	Madagascar
0.71	0.31	0.74	0.38	0.535	Malawi
0.56	0.33	0.75	0.64	0.568	Mali
0.61	0.44	0.45	0.59	0.522	Mauritania
0.84	0.71	0.81	0.47	0.707	Mauritius
0.52	0.26	0.76	0.83	0.592	Mozambique
0.64	0.37	0.39	0.27	0.419	Namibia
0.44	0.34	0.59	0.66	0.505	Niger
0.62	0.43	0.72	0.77	0.634	Nigeria
0.62	0.51	0.66	0.75	0.634	Rwanda
0.68	0.48	1.00	0.61	0.693	Sao Tome and Principe
0.65	0.51	0.70	0.71	0.642	Senegal
0.80	0.80	0.94	0.38	0.729	Seychelles
0.59	0.30	0.85	0.45	0.550	Sierra Leone
0.71	0.60	0.76	0.45	0.630	South Africa
0.47	0.18	0.64	0.53	0.458	South Sudan
0.60	0.37	0.80	0.52	0.575	Togo
0.59	0.42	0.48	0.69	0.547	Uganda
0.59	0.42	0.48	0.09	0.641	United Republic of Tanzania
0.59	0.36	0.81		0.518	Zambia
			0.37		Zimbabwe
0.69	0.42	0.75	0.54	0.601	
0.59	0.40	0.72	0.56	0.570	Regional average

# Health impact data

Member State	Crude death rate	Healthy Life		DALYS PER 1000 P	DALYS PER 1000 POPULATION		POPULATION (1000)	
	(per 1000 popula- tion), 2013	Expectancy, 2015	All Causes	Communicable, maternal, perinatal and nutritional conditions	Noncom- municable diseases	Injuries		
Algeria	5.7	66.3	271	63	178	30	39 667	
Angola	13.9	45.9	1,055	703	240	111	25 022	
Benin	9.6	52.5	634	379	191	63	10 880	
Botswana	7.2	56.9	429	233	156	39	2 262	
Burkina Faso	9.5	52.6	625	387	168	70	18 106	
Burundi	11.1	52.2	659	407	173	77	11 179	
Cabo Verde	5.2	64.2	253	73	151	28	521	
Cameroon	10.8	50.3	700	421	204	74	23 344	
Central African Republic	14	45.9	926	612	215	98	4 900	
Chad	13.6	46.1	983	689	199	94	14 037	
Comoros	8.2	55.9	497	276	168	53	788	
Congo	10.1	56.6	494	289	154	51	4 620	
Côte d'Ivoire	12.6	47	841	501	246	93	22 702	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	13.7	51.8	723	475	171	76	77 267	
Equatorial Guinea	11.5	51.3	686	388	223	74	845	
Eritrea	6.3	55.7	415	214	147	53	5 228	
Eswatini	11.8	50.9	589	341	185	62	1 287	
Ethiopia	7.2	56.1	484	269	159	55	99 391	
Gabon	9	57.2	472	254	171	47	1 725	
The Gambia	8.2	53.8	576	350	162	63	1 991	
Ghana	8.1	55.3	521	276	190	54	27 410	
Guinea	10.1	51.7	698	452	182	64	12 609	
Guinea-Bissau	12.3	51.5	688	451	174	63	1 844	
Kenya	8.3	55.6	475	281	142	51	46 050	
Lesotho	14.1	46.6	772	528	179	63	2 135	
Liberia	8	52.7	584	374	150	59	4 503	
Madagascar	7	56.9	440	236	156	47	24 235	
Malawi	9	51.2	568	371	150	47	17 215	
Mali	11.2	51.1	767	518	177	72	17 600	
Mauritania	7.8	55.1	528	313	160	55	4 068	
Mauritius	7.4	66.8	309	28	259	22	1 273	
Mozambique	11.8	49.6	701	450	186	64	27 978	
Namibia	5.7	57.5	417	230	143	43	2 459	
Niger	10.2	54.2	677	463	145	68	19 899	
Nigeria	11.9	47.7	847	583	189	75	182 202	
Rwanda	6.4	56.6	414	188	157	69	11 610	
Sao Tome and Principe	6.5	59	411	207	160	44	190	
Senegal	7	58.3	407	217	141	48	15 129	
Seychelles	6.7	65.5	309	44	235	30	96	
Sierra Leone	16.8	44.4	970	631	243	95	6 453	
South Africa	11.1	54.4	506	254	204	47	54 490	
South Sudan	11.1	49.9	734	483	167	84	12 340	
Тодо	9.6	52.8	597	352	182	64	7 305	
Uganda	9.2	54	528	307	158	63	39 032	
United Republic of Tanzania	7.8	54.2	512	299	154	58	53 470	
Zambia	9.7	53.7	554	356	144	53	16 212	
Zimbabwe	9.8	52.1	592	367	160	64	15 603	
Africa	9.7	53.8	592	353	178	61	21 046	
	2.7				.,			