Maternal Health Indicators in High-Priority Counties of Kenya

Levels and Inequities



DHS Further Analysis Reports No. 110





DHS Further Analysis Reports No. 110

Maternal Health Indicators in High-Priority Counties of Kenya: Levels and Inequities

Samwel Mbugua¹ Kerry L. D. MacQuarrie²

ICF Rockville, Maryland, USA

May 2018

¹ Department of Human Nutrition, Egerton University, Kenya ² The DHS Program, Avenir Health













unicef











Micronutrient Initiative **Acknowledgment:** The authors extend their appreciation to Washington Omwomo, USAID/Nairobi, for his encouragement and support of this analysis as well as related studies on maternal health in Kenya. Additionally, we thank Lindsay Mallick, Avenir Health, for helpful suggestions regarding Stata programming and the construction of selected maternal health indicators. We also gratefully acknowledge Erica Nybro, Johns Hopkins University, and Tom Fish, ICF, for their contributions to the graphics in this report, Bryant Robey for his editorial assistance, and Melanie Morrow and Anne Siegle, ICF, for helpful comments on an early draft.

Editor: Bryant Robey Document Production: Joan Wardell

This study was carried out with support provided by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) through The DHS Program (#AID-OAA-C-13-00095). The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

This study is a further analysis of the 2014 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (2014 KDHS). The 2014 KDHS was implemented by the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics in partnership with the Ministry of Health, the National AIDS Control Council (NACC), the National Council for Population and Development (NCPD), and the Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI). Funding for the KDHS was provided by the Government of Kenya with support from the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the United Kingdom Department for International Development (DfID), the World Bank, the Danish International Development Agency (DANIDA), the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), the German Development Bank (KfW), the Clinton Health Access Initiative (CHAI), the World Food Programme (WFP), and the Micronutrient Initiative (MI). The DHS Program provided technical assistance for the survey.

Additional information about the 2014 KDHS may be obtained from the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS), P.O. Box 30266-00100 GPO, Nairobi, Kenya; telephone (Nairobi): 3317586/8, 3317612/22, 3317623, 3317651; fax: 3315977; e-mail: directorgeneral@knbs.or.ke, info@knbs.or.ke; website: www.knbs.or.ke.

The DHS Program assists countries worldwide in the collection and use of data to monitor and evaluate population, health, and nutrition programs. Additional information about The DHS Program can be obtained from ICF, 530 Gaither Road, Suite 500, Rockville, MD 20850 USA; telephone: +1 301-572-0200, fax: +1 301-572-0999, email: info@DHSprogram.com, internet: www.DHSprogram.com.

Recommended citation:

Mbugua, Samwel, and Kerry L. D. MacQuarrie. 2018. *Maternal Health Indicators in High-Priority Counties of Kenya: Levels and Inequities*. DHS Further Analysis Reports No. 110. Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF.

CONTENTS

FIGURES vi ABSTRACT in 1 BACKGROUND 1.1 Introduction 2 METHODS 2.1 Data 2.2 Variables 2.1.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2 Variables 2.2.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 Analytical Strategy 2.4 Study Limitations 3 RESULTS 3.1 Sample Characteristics 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 3.1.2 Fertility Risk 3.1.2 Fertility Risk by county 3.1 Fertility Risk by county 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county	TABLE	ES		v
ABSTRACT	FIGUR	ES	V	Ίİ
1 BACKGROUND	ABSTR	RACT	i	X
1.1 Introduction 2 METHODS 2.1 Data 2.2 Variables 2.2.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 Analytical Strategy 2.4 Study Limitations 3 RESULTS 3.1 Sample Characteristics 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 3.1.2 Fertility Risk 3.1 Fertility risk by county	4	BACK		4
2 METHODS 2.1 Data 2.2 Variables 2.2.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 Analytical Strategy 2.4 Study Limitations 3 RESULTS 3.1 Sample Characteristics 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 3.1.2 Fertility Risk 3.1.1 Fertility Risk by county 3.1 Fertility risk by county	1	BACK	GROUND	1
2 METHODS : 2.1 Data : 2.2 Variables : 2.2.1 Maternal health indicators : 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics : 2.3 Analytical Strategy : 2.4 Study Limitations : 3 RESULTS : 3.1 Sample Characteristics : 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics : 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics : 3.1.2 Fertility Risk : 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county :		1.1	Introduction	1
2.1 Data	2	METHO		3
2.2 Variables 2.2.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 Analytical Strategy 2.3 2.3 Analytical Strategy 2.4 Study Limitations 2.4 Study Limitations 2.4 3.1 Sample Characteristics 2.3 3.1 Sample Characteristics 2.3 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 2.4 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 3.1.2 3.1.2 Fertility Risk 1.4 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	-	2.1	Data	3
2.2.1 Maternal health indicators 2.2.2 2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.3 2.3 Analytical Strategy 2.4 2.4 Study Limitations 2.4 3 RESULTS 2.3 3.1 Sample Characteristics 2.4 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 2.4 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 2.4 3.2 Fertility Risk 17 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 17		2.2	Variables	3
2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics 4 2.3 Analytical Strategy 4 2.4 Study Limitations 6 3 RESULTS 6 3.1 Sample Characteristics 6 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 6 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11			2.2.1 Maternal health indicators	3
2.3 Analytical Strategy 4 2.4 Study Limitations 6 3 RESULTS 6 3.1 Sample Characteristics 6 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 6 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 17 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 17			2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics	4
2.4 Study Limitations 6 3 RESULTS 6 3.1 Sample Characteristics 6 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 6 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11		2.3	Analytical Strategy	5
3 RESULTS 9 3.1 Sample Characteristics 9 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 9 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk. 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11		2.4	Study Limitations	6
3 RESULTS 9 3.1 Sample Characteristics 9 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 9 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11				
3.1 Sample Characteristics 9 3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 9 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11	3	RESUL	.TS	9
3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics 9 3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11		3.1	Sample Characteristics	9
3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics 10 3.2 Fertility Risk 11 3.2.1 Fertility risk by county 11			3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics	9
3.2 Fertility Risk			3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics	0
3.2.1 Fertility risk by county1		3.2	Fertility Risk1	1
			3.2.1 Fertility risk by county1	1
3.2.2 Fertility risk within counties by socio-demographic characteristics			3.2.2 Fertility risk within counties by socio-demographic characteristics	2
3.3 Distance to a Health Facility14		3.3	Distance to a Health Facility1	4
3.3.1 Distance to a health facility by county14			3.3.1 Distance to a health facility by county1	4
3.3.2 Distance to a health facility within counties by socio-demographic			3.3.2 Distance to a health facility within counties by socio-demographic	
characteristics15			characteristics1	5
3.4 ANC Use		3.4	ANC Use 1	7
3.4.1 ANC use by county17			3.4.1 ANC use by county1	7
3.4.2 ANC use within counties by socio-demographic characteristics			3.4.2 ANC use within counties by socio-demographic characteristics	9
3.4.3 Components of ANC services			3.4.3 Components of ANC services	1
3.5 Delivery Care		3.5	Delivery Care	2
3.5.1 Delivery in a health facility by county			3.5.1 Delivery in a health facility by county2	2
3.5.2 Delivery in a health facility within counties by socio-demographic			3.5.2 Delivery in a health facility within counties by socio-demographic	
characteristics			characteristics	3
3.6 Postnatal Care		3.6	Postnatal Care	.5
3.6.1 Postnatal care by county			3.6.1 Postnatal care by county2	.5
3.6.2 Postnatal care within counties by socio-demographic characteristics			3.6.2 Postnatal care within counties by socio-demographic characteristics2	6
4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	4	DISCU	SSION AND CONCLUSIONS2	9
REFERENCES	REFEF	RENCES		3
APPENDIX	APPE	NDIX		7

TABLES

Table 1. Table 2	Definitions of maternal health indicators
	a birth in the last 5 years, 10 high-priority counties in Kenya
Table 3	Sample profile: Maternal health indicators among women age 15-49
	with a birth in the last 5 years, 10 high-priority counties in Kenya
Annendix Table 1	Sample profile: Socio-demographic characteristics of women who gave
	birth in the last 5 years from 10 high-priority counties in Kenva, by county 38
Appendix Table 2	Fertility risk in Kenya counties, by socio-demographic characteristics 40
Appendix Table 3	Distance to health facility is problematic in Kenya counties, by socio-
	demographic characteristics
Appendix Table 4	ANC use in Kenyan counties, by socio-demographic characteristics
Appendix Table 5	Eight components of ANC in Kenyan counties, by socio-demographic
	characteristics
Appendix Table 6	Health facility delivery in Kenyan counties, by socio-demographic
	characteristics
Appendix Table 7	Birth attended by skilled attendant in Kenyan counties, by socio-
	demographic characteristics50
Appendix Table 8	PNC within 48 hours within Kenyan counties by socio-demographic
	characteristics

FIGURES

Figure 1	Sample selection flow diagram	3
Figure 2	USAID MCH priority counties	6
Figure 3	Fertility risk by county	12
Figure 4	Fertility risk by socio-demographic characteristics	13
Figure 5	Distance to health facility by county	15
Figure 6	Distance to health facility by socio-demographic characteristics	16
Figure 7	ANC use by county	18
Figure 8	Number and timing of ANC visits by county	19
Figure 9	ANC use by socio-demographic characteristics	20
Figure 10	Components of ANC by county	22
Figure 11	Health facility delivery by county	23
Figure 12	Health facility delivery by socio-demographic characteristics	24
Figure 13	PNC within 48 hours by county	26
Figure 14	PNC within 48 hours by socio-demographic characteristics	27

ABSTRACT

The 2014 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey is the first national survey to provide data at the county level. This study uses these data to examine regional variation and socio-demographic inequities in maternal health indicators in 10 counties designated by USAID as high-priority areas for improvements in maternal health. Using data from 3,574 women with a birth in the past five years in high-priority counties, this study analyzes the prevalence and distributional patterns of fertility risk, distance to health facilities, antenatal care, delivery in a health facility, and postnatal care.

This study shows large variations in maternal health indicators across high-priority counties in Kenya. Nairobi exceeds the national average on all maternal health indicators in this study, while other high-priority counties consistently are disadvantaged compared with Kenya as a whole in most maternal health indicators. Kisumu exceeds the national average in use of antenatal care, delivery in a health facility, and postnatal care, but not other indicators. Nakuru has fewer women with fertility risk and fewer women who report that the distance they must travel to reach a health facility is a problem. Samburu and Baringo also have better than average use of antenatal care and postnatal care, respectively.

This study identifies a number of inequities in maternal health indicators across socio-demographic characteristics in the high-priority counties—most in the distribution of delivery care and least in antenatal care. Inequities are also observed in fertility risk and postnatal care. The most common disparities at the county level are by women's education, wealth, and urban-rural residence. Turkana shows fewer disparities in maternal health indicators compared with Kilifi, Kisumu, and Kitui.

1 BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction

In 2010 Kenya adopted a new constitution, which decentralized the governance structure and service provision, with the establishment of 47 new counties as semi-autonomous governance units. Health care service delivery devolved to the counties. With devolution it was expected that county governments would design innovative interventions in line with contextual realities and community health needs. The national government retained responsibility for health policy, provision of technical assistance to the counties, and the management of national referral health facilities (Republic of Kenya 2010).

The Kenya Health Policy 2012-2030 advocates for equitable provision of health care, and policy objective 4 provides for provision of health services in an equitable manner. County health services are arranged around three pillars, namely community, primary care, and referral services. The overall aim is to ensure equitable allocation of government resources to reduce disparities in health status across the country (Ministry of Health 2012a).

Adequate maternal health care is considered essential to reduce maternal and child mortality. Global maternal mortality is estimated at 289,000 deaths that occur during pregnancy and delivery. Providing proper maternal and delivery care at health facilities could prevent 1.3 million neonatal deaths, 531,000 still births, and 113,000 maternal deaths. The recently launched global Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) aim to reduce maternal mortality worldwide to under 70 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births over the period 2016-2030 (UN General Assembly 2015). At the global level, maternal mortality has dropped by 44% since 1990 (UNICEF 2017). In sub-Saharan Africa, however, the burden of maternal mortality is more pronounced. More than half of the global maternal deaths are in sub-Saharan Africa. The African Union has also called for increased coverage in intervention programs to improve survival of women and children (African Union 2013).

Other notable global initiatives include the USAID maternal health vision for action, which aims to address the problems of inequity and poor coverage of maternal health programs. In Kenya, USAID has designated 10 counties or regional units as priority areas for action in maternal health: Baringo, Kakamega, Kilifi, Kisumu, Kitui, Migori, Nakuru, Samburu, Turkana, and the informal settlements of Nairobi. These counties are distributed across Kenya and vary significantly in their cultural norms, access to health care, coverage of health care programs, poverty levels, and education.

The USAID program has set key national level targets for select maternal and child health (MCH) indicators among partner countries; these targets aim to increase coverage by 2020, as follows: four or more antenatal care visits, 65%; use of skilled birth attendants, 60%; facility delivery, 60%; and postnatal care within two days of delivery, 55% (USAID 2014). According to the most recent Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) in Kenya, these key maternal health indicators are close to these goals at the national level (KNBS et al. 2015), though continued progress is needed in postnatal care and especially antenatal care. The national indicators, however, potentially mask regional disparities and variation by socioeconomic and demographic characteristics.

Kenya has a number of policies that support maternal health and provide strategic direction, including the Constitution of Kenya, Vision 2030, the poverty reduction strategy, the Kenya health policy (2012-2030) (Ministry of Health 2012a), the National Health Sector Strategic Plan, the Kenya Health Sector Strategic and Investment Plan 2012-2018 (Ministry of Health 2012b), and the Kenya Reproductive,

Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health (RMNCAH) Investment Framework (Ministry of Health 2016), among others.

Good-quality data are essential to identify health care coverage and to focus efforts to improve coverage. Sub-Saharan Africa is the region with the poorest indicators compared with the rest of the world (Deaton and Tortora 2015). The 2014 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS) is the sixth DHS survey in Kenya, and also the first national survey to provide data at the county level, in line with the country's devolution of health service delivery to the counties. This study exploits these data at the county level to explore regional patterns in maternal health indicators across counties and their equitable distribution within counties and across socio-demographic characteristics for 10 high-priority counties in Kenya.

2 METHODS

2.1 Data

This study uses data from the KDHS survey conducted in 2014. The survey uses a standardized questionnaire, based on a multistage cluster sampling design to acquire data that are not only nationally representative but also representative of urban-rural areas, at the regional level, and, of particular relevance for this study, at the county level. More specific information on the sampling design is available in the 2014 KDHS final report (KNBS et al. 2015).

The respondents for this study were women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey in the MCH high-priority counties (Figure 1). The 2014 KDHS consists of two subsamples. In one of every two households, eligible women were administered the full questionnaire. In the other half, eligible women were administered the short questionnaire—a subset of questions from the full questionnaire designed to produce indicators representative not only at the national, regional, and urban-rural levels but also at the county level (KNBS et al. 2015). While questions on antenatal and delivery care are included in both the full and short questionnaires, and therefore available for the full questionnaire, and therefore available only for about half of all women interviewed. For most indicators, this results in a sample size of 3,574 women in the 10 high-priority counties (19,949 nationally).



Figure 1 Sample selection flow diagram

2.2 Variables

2.2.1 Maternal health indicators

The main maternal health indicators describe antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care. We also examine fertility risk and whether distance to a health facility poses a problem to seeking care. We examine

fertility risk and distance to a health facility on their own and as they relate to antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care indicators.

Table 1 presents the definition of each maternal health indicator and the corresponding population base and the weighted sample size. Postnatal care is based on data from women with live births who had a child within the last three years, as opposed to the last five years for the other variables.

Indicator	Definition	Population base
Antenatal care (ANC)	Percentage of women with four or more ANC visits with the first ANC visit occurring within the first three months for their most recent pregnancy	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey
Components of ANC services	Percentage of women who received eight ANC services (weight taken, given information on breastfeeding, given information on iron tablets/syrup/iron-folic, blood pressure taken, urine sample taken, blood sample taken, told about pregnancy complications, given or bought iron tablet/syrup)	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey (subsample administered the long questionnaire)
Facility delivery	Percentage of women who delivered their most recent birth in a health facility	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey
Assistance at birth	Percentage of women who were assisted by a skilled birth attendant (SBA) at their most recent birth	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey
Postnatal care (PNC) for the mother	Percentage of women who received PNC check-up within 48 hours of delivering their most recent birth	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey (subsample administered the long questionnaire)
Fertility risk	Composite measure of births that meet any of the following criteria; birth to women under age 18, birth to women age 35 or older, birth interval less than 24 months, most recent birth was fourth or greater.	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey
Distance to health facility	Percent of women who respond yes to the following question: "When you are sick and want to get medical advice or treatment, is the following a big problem or not: The distance to the health facility?"	Most recent birth among women age 15-49 with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey (subsample administered the long questionnaire)

 Table 1.
 Definitions of maternal health indicators

2.2.2 Socio-demographic characteristics

We examine the maternal health indicators shown in Table 1 across a range of socio-demographic characteristics (maternal age at birth, parity, education, wealth, residence, and religion), and by 10 counties in Kenya.

Maternal age at birth is computed in complete years of age and then categorized as younger than age 20, age 20-29, and age 30-49. Parity is the number of births inclusive of the index pregnancy and is categorized as primiparous (one birth), two or three births, or four or more births. Women are categorized as having completed no education or only primary education versus secondary or higher education. Relative household wealth is based on a set of assets owned by the household (Rutstein 2008; Rutstein and Johnson 2004) and is categorized in terciles. Residence is defined as either rural or urban, according to the categorization of the enumeration area in the Fifth National Sample Survey and Evaluation Programme (NASSEP V), which is the master sampling frame used by the 2014 KDHS (KNBS et al. 2015). The religious categories are Catholic, Protestant, or other.

2.3 Analytical Strategy

The purpose of this study is to examine regional and socio-demographic disparities in maternal health indicators in counties designated as high-priority areas by USAID. The 10 USAID MCH high-priority counties examined in the analysis are Baringo, Kakamega, Kilifi, Kisumu, Kitui, Migori, Nairobi informal settlements, Nakuru, Samburu, and Turkana (Figure 2). Nairobi is an entirely urban county. Within its urban boundaries are a number of informal settlements marked by slum-like living conditions. All women in Nairobi are included in this analysis because the KDHS 2014 does not distinguish inhabitants of informal settlements versus other areas of Nairobi.

The analysis presented in this report facilitates comparison of maternal health indicators among these priority counties and between priority counties and the national average. Within each high-priority county, analysis allows comparison of maternal health indicators across socio-demographic characteristics. Chi-square tests of independence indicate whether statistically significant associations exist between county or socio-demographic characteristics and maternal health indicators.

We use the weights provided in the women's standard recode datafile to account for sampling probability and non-response. We use the *svy* set command in Stata to adjust for the complex sampling design used in the 2014 KDHS (KNBS et al. 2015). All statistical testing is performed at the 95% significance level.

Figure 2 USAID MCH priority counties



2.4 Study Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the full questionnaire was administered to women in half of the surveyed households, while the short questionnaire was administered in the other half of households. The full questionnaire was designed to produce indicators representative at the national, regional, and urban-rural levels. The short questionnaire—a subset of questions from the full questionnaire—was designed to produce indicators representative not only at these levels but also at the county level (KNBS et al. 2015). Questions on components of ANC services, postnatal care, and distance to a health facility were included only in the full questionnaire. The reduced sample size for these indicators may not be sufficiently large in some counties, particularly when disaggregated by socio-demographic characteristics, to produce reliable estimates that are fully representative of the county. We group certain

characteristics into coarser categories to mitigate this limitation, for example, using only two categories of education and using wealth terciles rather than quintiles.

Second, our variable for Nairobi does not align well with USAID's target population for this area, which is Nairobi informal settlements. The KDHS could not distinguish between residents of informal settlements areas versus other areas. That our Nairobi sample is predominantly women in middle and richer terciles emphasizes that the sample is not well representative of the target population. Our results are likely to overestimate positive maternal health indicators (such as service use) and underestimate negative ones (such as fertility risk) for this selective population, reducing the usefulness of our findings for this purpose (see, e.g., APHRC 2014).

Third, because Nairobi is entirely urban and disproportionately non-poor, this restricts our ability to assess inequities by residence and, to a lesser extent, wealth in Nairobi. This limitation does not affect any other county.

Finally, this study makes use of unique county-level data. Although the survey design intended to produce representative county-level estimates, it is possible that the sample size may not be large enough in some counties to yield reliable estimates when we further disaggregate data in some sociodemographic characteristics. While we can be confident that inequities exist in counties where statistical tests so indicate, this limits our ability to definitively declare that inequalities do not exist where statistical tests are not significant. That is to say, we likely underestimate the true number of inequalities in certain counties.

3 **RESULTS**

3.1 Sample Characteristics

3.1.1 Socio-demographic characteristics

Table 2 presents select socio-demographic characteristics among women age 15-49 in the 10 highpriority counties and compared with national averages. To best understand any inequities within and across the 10 select counties, we present the 10 counties pooled as all high-priority counties (N=3,574), and as all high-priority counties excluding Nairobi (N=3,146¹). Details of the distribution of sociodemographic characteristics within these counties are presented in Appendix Table 1.

The findings indicate that the high-priority counties are similar to the national averages with regard to the distribution of age and religion. The majority of women are Protestant, followed by Catholic and other. Women were mostly age 20-29 at recent birth. When excluding Nairobi, the distribution of residence is also similar: nearly 7 in every 10 women live in rural areas.

With Nairobi excluded, the level of inequality in priority counties is more evident compared with the national average. Women in high-priority counties are generally of higher parity, less educated, and poorer than their counterparts nationally.

The sample size of the high-priority counties varies. Nairobi accounts for about one-third of our sample. Nakuru, Kakamega, and Kilifi also contribute substantially to the study sample, while Samburu and Baringo contribute disproportionately fewer cases.

¹ While USAID prioritizes informal settlement areas, in this study, Nairobi includes both slum dwellers and nonslum dwellers.

		High-priority co (n=3,574)	unties	High-	priority counties Nairobi (n=3,	s, excluding 146)		National Aver (n=14,949	rage)
	%	95% CI	weighted n	%	95% CI	weighted n	%	95% CI	weighted n
Age of respondent at birth									
<20	19.1	(17.1-21.3)	692	19.4	(17.5-21.4)	611	18.2	(17.3-19.2)	2,664
20-29	53.3	(50.6-56.0)	1,805	48.9	(46.6-51.2)	1,546	51.9	(50.6-53.1)	7,573
30-49	27.6	(25.5-29.8)	1,077	31.7	(29.7-33.8)	989	29.9	(28.8-31.0)	4,712
Parity									
1 child	26.8	(24.0-29.8)	765	20.1	(18.4-22.0)	596	25.0	(23.8-26.2)	3,235
2-3 children	40.9	(38.2-43.7)	1,339	37.0	(34.8-39.3)	1,132	40.3	(39.1-41.5)	5,694
4+ children	32.3	(29.8-34.9)	1,470	42.9	(40.4-45.4)	1,418	34.7	(33.5-35.9)	6,020
Education									
None or primary	60.7	(57.0-64.2)	2,574	72.1	(69.4-74.6)	2,405	64.2	(62.7-65.8)	10,633
Secondary or higher	39.3	(35.8-43.0)	1,000	27.9	(25.4-30.6)	741	35.8	(34.2-37.3)	4,316
Household wealth tercile									
Poorer	27.5	(24.5-30.7)	1,572	41.6	(37.9-45.4)	1,571	29.9	(28.4-31.5)	6,062
Middle	22.7	(20.2-25.4)	880	29.8	(26.9-32.8)	839	30.7	(29.3-32.1)	4,469
Richer	49.8	(46.1-53.5)	1,122	28.7	(25.3-32.2)	736	39.4	(37.7-41.2)	4,418
Residence									
Urban	55.0	(51.9-58.1)	1,468	31.8	(29.3-34.5)	1,040	30.5	(29.1-32.0)	4,736
Rural	45.0	(41.9-48.1)	2,106	68.2	(65.5-70.7)	2,106	69.5	(68.0-70.9)	9,785
Religion									
Catholic	18.4	(16.4-20.5)	777	16.5	(14.3-19.0)	683	19.0	(17.9-20.1)	2,873
Protestant	73.6	(71.0-76.0)	2,450	73.5	(70.8-76.1)	2,139	71.3	(70.0-72.6)	9,474
Other	8.1	(6.5-10.0)	345	10.0	(8.2-12.1)	322	9.7	(8.8-10.7)	2,576
County									
Baringo	3.3	(2.6-4.1)	294	5.0	(4.0-6.1)	294			
Kakamega	10.9	(9.8-12.2)	360	16.6	(15.0-18.3)	360			
Kilifi	10.3	(8.5-12.5)	386	15.7	(13.0-18.7)	386			
Kisumu	7.8	(6.6-9.1)	329	11.7	(10.1-13.6)	329			
Kitui	6.4	(5.4-7.6)	305	9.7	(8.3-11.4)	305			
Migori	7.4	(6.5-8.5)	431	11.2	(9.8-12.8)	431			
Nairobi	34.0	(30.5-37.7)	428						
Nakuru	13.8	(12.2-15.6)	346	21.0	(18.7-23.5)	346			
Samburu	1.6	(1.3-2.0)	374	2.5	(2.0-3.0)	374			
Turkana	4.4	(2.8-6.8)	321	6.7	(4.3-10.1)	321			

Table 2Sample profile: Socio-demographic characteristics of women with a birth in the last 5 years,
10 high-priority counties in Kenya

3.1.2 Maternal health characteristics

We also analyze maternal health care seeking characteristics by pooled counties including and excluding Nairobi County. As Table 3 shows, results are roughly similar for all 10 high-priority counties compared with the national average. However, disparities emerge when Nairobi is excluded. Excluding Nairobi, high-priority counties have a higher proportion of women with high fertility risk (56% compared with 48%) and a higher proportion of women who say that distance to the health facility poses a big problem to seeking care when sick compared with the national average (33% versus 26%). Women in high-priority counties are as likely as women nationally to fail to receive the recommended number, timing, and services at ANC visits. Only about 18% have four ANC visits with one visit in the first three months and about 15% receive all eight components of ANC services. However, women in high-priority counties (excluding Nairobi) are less likely to deliver in a health facility and to receive postnatal care compared with the national average. The national average for facility delivery is 66%, but is 58% in the high-priority counties. Finally, the national average for check-up within 48 hours of delivery is 55% compared with 42% in high-priority counties. The subsequent sections of this study describe differentials in each of these maternal health indicators across high-priority counties and, within counties, across women's socio-demographic characteristics.

	High	-priority countie	s (n=3,574)	High-	priority countie: Nairobi (n=3,	s, excluding 146)	Na	tional verage (r	n=14,949)
	%	95% CI	weighted n	%	95% CI	weighted n	%	95% CI	weighted n
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	54.7 45.3	(51.9-57.6) (42.4-48.1)	1,640 1,934	43.6 56.4	(41.2-46.0) (54.0-58.8)	1,317 1,829	52.4 47.6	(51.1-53.7) (46.3-48.9)	7,056 7,893
Reported distance to health facility to be problematic [2] No Yes	75 25	(71.6-78.0) (22.0-28.4)	1,120 571	66.9 33.1	(63.0-70.6) (29.4-37.0)	939 552	74.2 25.8	(72.5-75.8) (24.2-27.5)	5,032 2,141
All ANC: 4+ visits and visit within first 3 months No Yes	79 21	(76.9-81.0) (19.0-23.1)	2,924 650	82.4 17.6	(80.4-84.3) (15.7-19.6)	2,613 533	81.9 18.1	(80.9-82.8) (17.2-19.1)	12,603 2,346
Received 8 ANC services No Yes	82.7 17.3	(81.1-84.2) (15.8-18.9)	3,054 520	84.8 15.2	(83.1-86.3) (13.7-16.9)	2,720 426	84.4 15.6	(83.5-85.2) (14.8-16.5)	12,865 2,084
Place of delivery Other Health Facility	30.9 69.1	(28.4-33.4) (66.6-71.6)	1,538 2,030	41.9 58.1	(39.0-44.8) (55.2-61.0)	1,496 1,644	33.9 66.1	(32.6-35.3) (64.7-67.4)	6,172 8,748
Assistance at birth Skilled Other	69.4 30.6	(66.8-71.9) (28.1-33.2)	2,055 1,519	58.3 41.7	(55.3-61.2) (38.8-44.7)	1,666 1,480	66.6 33.4	(65.2-67.9) (32.1-34.8)	8,891 6,058
Respondent's checkup within 48 hours [2] No Yes	48.3 51.7	(44.6-52.0) (48.0-55.4)	909 774	58.3 41.7	(54.8-61.7) (38.3-45.2)	856 628	45.1 54.9	(43.3-46.9) (53.1-56.7)	3,498 3,654

Table 3 Sample profile: Maternal health indicators among women age 15-49 with a birth in the last 5 years, 10 high-priority counties in Kenya

[1] Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or older at time of birth, birth interval <24 months, or four or more births. [2] Answered by a subsample who completed long questionnaire

3.2 **Fertility Risk**

3.2.1 Fertility risk by county

As Figure 3 shows, the magnitude of fertility risk varies substantially among counties, and the results of a chi-square test of independence shows this variation to be statistically significant ($p \le 0.001$). In only two counties, Nairobi and Nakuru, do a smaller proportion of women have high fertility risk than the national average of 48%. In the other eight study counties, the majority of women were designated as at high fertility risk for their most recent birth. The proportion of women with high fertility risk ranges from 24% in Nairobi to 66% in Migori.

Figure 3 Fertility risk by county



Note: Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or older at time of birth, birth interval <24 months, or four or more births.

3.2.2 Fertility risk within counties by socio-demographic characteristics

Figure 4 presents the within-county distribution of fertility risk across maternal age at birth, parity, education, household wealth tercile, and residence. Confidence intervals for all point estimates and the results of statistical tests of association can be found in Appendix Table 2. There was no significant variation in maternal health indicators, including fertility risk, by religious affiliation (Appendix Table 2).

Disparities in fertility risk based on maternal age at birth² and education are statistically significant in all 10 counties. The widest disparities are evident in Turkana and Samburu (about 60 percentage points). Even where disparities are narrowest, in Nairobi and Kakamega, there is a difference of about 40 percentage points among age groups. This is similar to the national average.

In general, fertility risk among the oldest age group markedly surpasses that among either the younger or middle age group. In Kilifi, Kitui, Kisumu, Turkana, Nakuru, and Kakamega the proportion of women with fertility risk is similar among women age 20-29 and women younger than age 20, while in Nairobi, Migori, Samburu, and Baringo fertility risk differs between these age groups.

² Although maternal age at birth is itself a fertility risk factor, the age categories (age <20, age 20-29, and age 30-49) differ from those that represent fertility risk, which are younger than age 18 or age 35 or older at time of birth.

Figure 4 Fertility risk by socio-demographic characteristics

Percentage of women age 15-49 with a birth in the last 5 years



O···⊙ indicates that results are not statistically significant
 O···⊙ indicates that results are statistically significant

With regard to education, women with no education or only primary education are consistently more likely to be designated as having higher fertility risk than are women with secondary or higher education. In Baringo and Nairobi only 17 percentage points separate educational groups in fertility risk. Nonetheless, in Nairobi this represents a doubling of fertility risk among less educated women compared with more educated women. Differentials are greatest in Turkana, where 63% of women with no education or only primary education have fertility risk compared with 17% of women with secondary or higher education.

Levels of fertility risk are similar for women pregnant with their first child compared with women with two or three children³, except in Migori, where fertility risk is 14 percentage points higher among women with one child, at 39% (p ≤ 0.05).

Fertility risk varies with household wealth in 7 of the 10 study counties, as it does nationally. The exceptions are Migori, Turkana, and Kakamega. The level of fertility risk increases nearly monotonically with decreasing wealth tercile. Fertility risk is also concentrated among women in rural areas, in six of nine study counties⁴.

In summary, fertility risk varies by age and educational level in all 10 high-priority counties, followed by wealth tercile (seven counties) and residence (five counties). Fertility risk is highest among older, less educated, poorer, and rural women. In four counties—Kilifi, Kisumu, Samburu, and Baringo—inequities in fertility risk exist across all four of these characteristics. There are fewer inequities in Turkana, where fertility risk varies by age and education but no other factors.

3.3 Distance to a Health Facility

3.3.1 Distance to a health facility by county

Figure 5 suggests that health facility access is inequitably distributed across counties. The proportion of women reporting that distance to a health facility is a problem for seeking care varies significantly (p=0.000), from 9% in Nairobi to 15% in Nakuru and to 55% in Kitui, with a national average of 26%. Eight of the 10 study counties report levels above the national average.

³ The analysis of fertility risk by parity excludes women with four or more children since these women, by definition, are at higher fertility risk.

⁴ Nairobi is 100% urban and so no statistical test of independence could be performed for this county.

Figure 5 Distance to health facility by county



Note: Answered by a subsample who completed the long questionnaire

3.3.2 Distance to a health facility within counties by socio-demographic characteristics

Figure 6 shows the proportion of women for whom distance to a health facility is a problem by women's socio-demographic characteristics. Details of confidence intervals and statistical tests can be found in Appendix Table 3. Although there is variation at the national level ($p \le 0.001$), there are no statistically significant differences in distance to health facilities as a problem for women of different ages except in Kitui. In Kitui county women younger than age 20 are much less likely to report that distance to a health facility is a problem for seeking treatment compared with older women ($p \le 0.05$).

Distance to health facilities is inequitably distributed across women of different parities nationally $(p \le 0.001)$ and in two study counties, Kilifi and Kitui $(p \le 0.05)$. In each case, women with more children are more likely to report that distance is a problem. In Kitui the increase is monotonic with increasing parity, while in Kilifi women with two or three children and women with four or more children are similar in their increased likelihood to find distance to be a problem when seeking care.

Figure 6 Distance to health facility by socio-demographic characteristics

Percentage of women age 15-49 with a birth in the last 5 years Age





In all study counties, women with no education or only primary education appear more likely than women with more education to report distance to a health facility to be a problem, but these differences are statistically significant in just two counties, Kisumu ($p \le 0.001$) and Samburu ($p \le 0.01$). In both counties the difference between educational groups exceeds 30 percentage points.

Variation by wealth and residence is more common. In 7 of 10 counties, distance to a health facility is a greater problem for women in the poorer tercile than for women in the middle or richer terciles. In Kilifi, Kitui, Ksumu, and Kakamega, the pattern resembles the national pattern—distance as a problem in seeking care increases linearly with women's decreasing wealth. In Samburu, Baringo, and Nakuru, however, distance as a problem in seeking care is predominantly concentrated among women in the poorer tercile; there is little distinction among women in the other two terciles. Disparities are greatest in Kitui (60 points) and narrowest in Kakamega (30 points).

In half of the study counties—Kilifi, Kisumu, Turkana, Samburu, and Baringo—rural women are significantly more likely to report that distance to a health facility is a problem. Disparities are smallest in Turkana, at 26 points ($p \le 0.05$), and largest in Baringo, where 50 percentage points separate urban and rural women (p=0.000).

Women with fertility risk may have greater need to use health services than women without fertility risk. Women with higher fertility risk are significantly more likely to report that distance to a health facility is a problem getting treatment in three counties, Kilifi ($p \le 0.05$), Kitui ($p \le 0.01$), and Kisumu ($p \le 0.05$). This pattern is also observed nationally (p = 0.000).

In summary, there are few differences in distance to a health facility being a problem by maternal age at birth, parity, or education. However, inequities in distance to a health facility are commonly seen with regard to wealth tercile (seven counties) and residence (five counties). Migori and Nairobi show the most equitable access to health facilities by this measure: there are no significant differences across any of the factors examined. In contrast, Kilifi, Kitui, and Kisumu show disparities by four of six factors studied.

3.4 ANC Use

3.4.1 ANC use by county

Figure 7 presents a composite measure of adequate ANC use combining the number and timing of ANC visits, by county. This figure shows the proportion of women who attended four or more ANC visits, with the first visit occurring in the first three months of pregnancy. Figure 8 disaggregates this measure into its two constituent parts: (1) number and (2) timing.

Figure 7 ANC use by county



the first three months of pregnancy.

As Figure 7 shows, there is significant variation in ANC use across counties. The proportion of women obtaining adequate ANC ranges from 12% in Baringo to more than double that figure, 28%, in Nairobi. Figure 8 indicates that in most counties the majority of women attend the recommended four or more ANC visits. Kakamega (45%) and Turkana (49%) are the only exceptions. However, a much smaller proportion of women make their first ANC visit within the first three months of pregnancy. The timing of ANC contributes more to inadequate ANC use than does the number of visits. This pattern is consistent across all study counties.



Figure 8 Number and timing of ANC visits by county

3.4.2 ANC use within counties by socio-demographic characteristics

Figure 9 presents the composite measure of ANC use by socio-demographic characteristics, fertility risk, and whether distance to a health facility poses a problem. Details can be found in Appendix Table 4. This figure indicates that ANC use seldom varies by maternal age, and where it does vary, the differences are small. In Nairobi adequate ANC use increases with women's age ($p \le 0.05$). In contrast, in Migori women age 20-29 are more likely than either younger or older women to obtain adequate ANC.

Significant inequities in ANC use by education are found in 6 of the 10 study counties and nationally. In each of these counties, women with secondary or higher education are more likely to obtain adequate ANC compared with women with no education or primary education only. The inequities are relatively small in Nakuru (10 points, p \leq 0.05) and Kilifi (12 points, p<0.05), but widen to 25 percentage points in Kakamega (p=0.000).

Figure 9 ANC use by socio-demographic characteristics





Disparities in ANC use by the other characteristics are less common among study counties. In three counties (and nationally), women in the richer wealth tercile are more likely to use ANC. These are Kilifi ($p\leq0.05$), Nakuru (p=0.000), and Kakamega ($p\leq0.001$). In a fourth county, Samburu, ANC use among women in the middle tercile exceeds that among richer or poorer women (p<0.05). ANC use is higher among urban women than rural women only in Kilifi and Migori (p<0.05), though this pattern is observed nationally (p=0.000). Apparent differences by residence in other counties are not statistically significant. ANC use does not vary by fertility risk except in Kisumu, where 32% of women with no fertility risk obtain adequate number and timing of ANC visits compared with 20% of women with high fertility risk ($p\leq0.05$). ANC use is significantly higher among women who do not report that distance to a health facility is a big problem; only in Samburu ($p\leq0.05$) and Nakuru (p<0.01) are such differences observed.

Compared with the other maternal health indicators in this study, antenatal care is relatively equitably distributed across socio-demographic characteristics in high-priority counties. Variation in adequate number and timing of ANC visits is seen by women's education (six counties) and to a lesser extent by wealth tercile (four counties), but other disparities are less common. Three counties—Kitui, Turkana, and Baringo—show no variation across any of the seven factors studied. No county shows disparities in ANC use by more than three of these seven factors. Kilifi shows disparities by education, wealth, and residence; Migori by education, residence, and maternal age; and Nakuru by education, wealth, and whether distance to a health facility is a problem.

3.4.3 Components of ANC services

Figure 10 shows the proportion of women receiving eight named services during ANC visits. Nationally and in the 10 priority counties, this figure is relatively low but is variable (p=0.000). Seven of the 10 counties show fewer than 16% of women (the national average) receiving all eight services. Only in Nairobi (21%), Kisumu (27%), and Nakuru (18%) do more than 16% of women receive all components of ANC. Details on the components of ANC within counties by socio-demographic characteristics can be found in Appendix Table 5.

Figure 10 Components of ANC by county



3.5 Delivery Care

This study assessed two measures of delivery care: delivery in a health facility and skilled assistance at birth. These two measures are highly correlated and demonstrate the same patterns across counties and across socio-demographic characteristics within study counties. Therefore, we present here only data on health facility delivery. Data on skilled assistance at birth can be found in Appendix Table 7.

3.5.1 Delivery in a health facility by county

The proportion of women who deliver in a health facility varies significantly by county (p=0.000). Nationally, two-thirds of women deliver in a health facility (Figure 11). Among the 10 high-priority counties in this study, this figure ranges from 27% in Samburu to 91% in Nairobi. In seven counties—Kilifi, Kitui, Migori, Turkana, Samburu, Baringo, and Kakamega—a smaller percentage of women than the national average deliver in a health facility.

Figure 11 Health facility delivery by county



3.5.2 Delivery in a health facility within counties by socio-demographic characteristics

As Figure 12 shows, there are few inequities in health facility delivery by maternal age at birth. Details of statistical tests can be found in Appendix Table 6. Only Nairobi ($p \le 0.05$), Kitui (p=0.000), and Migori (p < 0.01) show disparities by women's characteristics. In these three counties, health facility delivery decreases with women's increasing age.

Figure 12 Health facility delivery by socio-demographic characteristics

Percentage of women age 15-49 with a birth in the last 5 years



In contrast, inequities are more commonly observed with regard to most other socio-demographic characteristics examined in this study. Facility delivery decreases with increasing parity in all study counties but Turkana and Baringo. In Nakuru and Nairobi, only women of highest parity differ in their use of health facilities; women with one child and women with two or three children deliver in a health facility at about the same rates. Disparities by parity are largest in Kitui (p=0.000), where 37 percentage points separate primiparous women from women with four or more children, and smallest in Migori, with a difference of 14 percentage points (p=0.000).

Disparities in delivery care by education are observed in all study counties and are generally sizable. These disparities are smallest in Nairobi, where 83% of women with no education or only primary education deliver in a health facility compared with 95% of women with secondary or higher education (p=0.000). In contrast, in Samburu only 18% of women with no education or only primary education deliver in a health facility compared with 93% of women with more education (p=0.000).

Disparities in delivery care by wealth are universal and wide in the study counties. Women in richer terciles are more likely than women in poorer terciles to deliver in a health facility, with a difference ranging from 26 percentage points in Kitui (p=0.000) to 71 points in Samburu (p=0.000). In most counties, women in the middle tercile deliver in a health facility at higher rates than poorer women but not as high as richer women. However, in Kisumu, Migori, and Kakamega, middle tercile women and poorer tercile women fall well below richer women in facility-based delivery.

Disparities in facility delivery by residence are statistically significant in all nine counties where they could be measured, except Turkana. Urban women are more likely to deliver in a health facility, ranging from a difference of 20 percentage points in Kakamega ($p \le 0.05$) to 57 points in Samburu (p=0.000). Inequities by fertility risk are also widespread, except in Turkana, which shows no difference. Women with higher fertility risk are less likely than women with no fertility risk to deliver in a health facility, with a range from 12 percentage points in Samburu (p<0.05) to 28 points in Kitui (p=0.000).

The use of health facilities for childbirth also varies by whether women perceive distance to a facility to be a problem, though not as commonly as with other characteristics. In five counties women who report distance to a health facility being a big problem in seeking care are significantly less likely to deliver in a health facility. These counties are Kilifi, Kitui, Kisumu, Samburu, and Baringo. Disparities are largest in Samburu, with a difference of 36 percentage points (p=0.000).

In summary, disparities in facility delivery are observed across numerous factors in high-priority counties. Maternal age at birth is the exception, with disparities observed in only three counties. Disparities by education and wealth are observed in all high-priority counties and by residence⁵ and fertility risk in all counties but Turkana. Turkana exhibits the most equitable use of a health facility for delivery, showing disparities only by education and wealth. In contrast, in Kitui there are disparities in facility delivery related to all seven factors: maternal age at birth, parity, education, wealth, residence, fertility risk, and distance to a health facility as a problem in seeking care.

3.6 Postnatal Care

3.6.1 Postnatal care by county

Figure 13 shows that there are significant differences by county in the proportion of women who had a postnatal exam within 48 hours of delivery (p=0.000). Four counties—Nairobi, Kisumu, Baringo, and

⁵ No statistical test for variation by residence is possible in Nairobi because it is entirely urban.

Nakuru—show levels of postnatal care at or above the national average, while the remaining six highpriority counties have below-average levels of postnatal care. Turkana has the lowest proportion of women receiving postnatal care within 48 hours, at 14%, while this figure reaches 72% in Nairobi. The survey asked about half the total sample questions about postnatal care.



Figure 13 PNC within 48 hours by county

Note: Answered by a subsample who completed long questionnaire

3.6.2 Postnatal care within counties by socio-demographic characteristics

Nationally, there is significant variation in postnatal care by all socio-demographic characteristics examined, but these same associations are less frequently evident at the county level (Figure 14). Detailed data can be found in Appendix Table 8. Maternal age, for example, is not associated with postnatal care in any high-priority county. Only in Kitui ($p \le 0.01$) and Nakuru ($p \le 0.05$) are there disparities in postnatal care by parity. In these two counties women with either two or three children or with at least four children are more likely to receive postnatal care compared with primiparous women.

Figure 14 PNC within 48 hours by socio-demographic characteristics

Percentage of women age 15-49 with a birth in the last 5 years



Disparities in postnatal care by education are more common, found in 6 of the 10 high-priority counties. These disparities are equally evident in counties with low levels of postnatal care (e.g., Turkana and Kakamega) and high levels of postnatal care (e.g. Samburu). Disparities by wealth tercile are found in just four counties but are quite sizable where they exist. At least 35 percentage points separate the terciles with the lowest and the highest levels of postnatal care in Kakamega, Nakuru, and Samburu (p=0.000). Likewise, postnatal care varies by residence in four counties, but these disparities are somewhat smaller, ranging from 21 percentage points in Kilifi (p \leq 0.05) to 33 percentage points in Samburu (p \leq 0.01).

Women with no fertility risk are more likely to receive postnatal care within 48 hours than are women with heightened fertility risk in Kitui ($p \le 0.01$), Kisumu ($p \le 0.05$), and Nakuru ($p \le 0.01$). The largest disparity—30 percentage points—is in Kitui. Only in Kisumu and Turkana ($p \le 0.05$), where timely postnatal care is 24-28 percentage points higher among women for whom distance is not a problem, does postnatal care vary significantly according to whether or not women report distance to a health facility to be a big problem. The apparent differences are not statistically significant elsewhere.

In high-priority counties there are fewer disparities in postnatal care than in health facility delivery but more than in antenatal care. Disparities in postnatal care are most common by women's education (six counties), followed by wealth and residence (four counties). Disparities are less common by fertility risk (three counties), parity (two counties), and distance to a health facility (two counties). There is no variation in postnatal care by maternal age. Postnatal care is most inequitably distributed in Nakuru, where it varies by four of the factors examined in the study and is concentrated among low parity, more educated, and wealthier women, and women with no fertility risk. In contrast, Baringo and Nairobi show no disparities in postnatal care by any of seven factors, and Turkana shows disparities only by distance to a health facility.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This study shows large variations in maternal health indicators among high-priority counties in Kenya. Nairobi exceeds the national average on all maternal health indicators in this study. When Nairobi is removed from pooled results, it is clear that high-priority counties are disadvantaged compared with Kenya as a whole in antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care, as well as fertility risk and access to health facilities.

Considered individually, high-priority counties consistently lag behind the country as a whole in most maternal health indicators (except Nairobi, as mentioned). However, Kisumu outperforms the national average in use of ANC, delivery in a health facility, and PNC. Nakuru has a smaller proportion of women with fertility risk, a smaller proportion reporting that distance to a health facility is a problem, and a higher proportion obtaining adequate ANC. Samburu has higher levels of ANC use, and Baringo has higher levels of PNC use compared with the country as a whole.

This study identified a number of inequities in maternal health indicators across socio-demographic characteristics in the high-priority counties—most in the distribution of delivery care and least in ANC. Inequities were also observed in fertility risk and PNC. The most common disparities at the county level are by women's education, wealth, and urban-rural residence. Turkana shows fewer disparities in maternal health indicators compared with Kilifi, Kisumu, and Kitui.

Fertility risk varies by maternal age at birth and women's educational level in all 10 high-priority counties. One reason may be that younger maternal age (<18) and older maternal age (>34) are themselves two of the four criteria for fertility risk (the other two are short birth interval and high parity). However, fertility risk is highest among older women (age 30-49), suggesting that older maternal age contributes more to fertility risk than does young maternal age. Older women are also more likely than younger or middle age women to have had multiple births—which may be closely spaced—and to have reached higher parity. In addition to age, fertility risk is also higher among less educated, poorer, and rural women in the majority of counties. This shows that women who are disadvantaged in their fertility risk are also disadvantaged in other ways.

Inequities in distance to a health facility cited as a problem for seeking care are common with regard to wealth tercile (seven counties) and urban-rural residence (five counties). Migori and Nairobi show the most equitable access to health facilities by this measure. There are few differences by maternal age at birth, parity, or education in the proportion of women reporting that distance to a health facility is a big problem. Distance to health facilities has been implicated in poor use of antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care services (Pell et al. 2013; LeGrand et al. 2013; Gitumu et al. 2015; Mason et al. 2015; Omollo 2016).

Although a majority of women report attending at least one ANC visit for a recent pregnancy, women are much less likely to attend the recommended four or more ANC visits and to make the first visit in the first trimester. While high-priority counties in this study perform about as well as the nation as a whole, the proportion of women who obtain both the recommended number and timing of ANC visits is relatively low and falls short of programmatic goals, as is the proportion who receive all eight components of ANC services.

Compared with the other maternal health indicators in this study, antenatal care is relatively equitably distributed across socio-demographic characteristics in the high-priority counties, but some disparities

exist in relation to education and wealth. Kitui, Turkana, and Baringo show no disparities in antenatal care. Kilifi shows disparities by women's education, wealth, and residence; Migori by education, residence, and maternal age; and Nakuru by education, wealth, and whether women consider distance to a health facility to be a problem. Antenatal care is an important entry point for the entire continuum of pregnancy care (Ikamari 2004; Gitumu et al. 2015; Mazalale et al. 2015; Belachew, Taye, and Belachew 2016; Dickson, Adde, and Amu 2016; Gitonga and Muiruri 2016; Owili et al. 2016; Mbugua and MacQuarrie 2018). A study in the informal settlements of Nairobi revealed that ANC attendance is associated with women's age, education level, and income (Mbai 2015). Similar socio-demographic associations are found in different parts of Kenya (Mungai 2015).

Disparities in facility delivery appear across numerous factors in the high-priority counties, particularly by education and wealth. Turkana has the most equitable use of a health facility for delivery, showing disparities only by education and wealth. In contrast, Kitui shows disparities in facility delivery by maternal age at birth, parity, education, wealth, residence, fertility risk, and distance to a health facility as a perceived problem.

Other studies have found some of the same demographic and socioeconomic associations, as well as cultural determinants of facility delivery. These include women's education, income, lack of male partner, knowledge of delivery complications, cultural beliefs, high fees, and uncooperative health workers (Nanjala and Wamalwa 2012). Several studies in Kenya have reviewed the factors associated with low levels of health facility delivery, including problems of access due to unpredictable timing of labor and transport, cost of facility-based delivery care, husband's preference, health facility staff attitudes, and women's previous experiences and habits (Mason et al. 2015). Omollo (2016) cites such barriers as distance, travel cost, medicine cost, poor roads, lack of nighttime travel services, verbal abuse and negative attitudes from some health workers, and long waiting hours. A study in western Kenya reported barriers associated with low levels of skilled birth attendance in the region: fear associated with HIV testing or disclosure of HIV status; gender inequalities; and negative attitudes toward facility-based care because it is associated with harsh treatment (Muckle et al. 2013). A study in eastern Kenya identified the following as factors affecting skilled birth attendance: education, ANC attendance, and living close to a health facility (1-5km) (Gitumu et al. 2015). The use of traditional birth attendants (TBAs) is also a common practice in most rural areas, especially among mothers under age 20, poor households, and women living more than 4 km from a health facility, and often reflects the influence of close family members (Magango 2013).

Having a postnatal check-up within the critical first two days after delivery is essential, as this period is one of the highest-risk times for maternal and child mortality. PNC has been reported as the neglected component in maternal health care programming (Ikamari 2004). In high-priority counties there are fewer disparities in postnatal care than in health facility delivery, but more than in antenatal care. Disparities in postnatal care are most common by women's education (six counties), followed by wealth and residence (four counties). Postnatal care is most unevenly distributed in Nakuru, where it is concentrated among low parity, more educated, and wealthier women, and women with no fertility risk. In contrast, Baringo and Nairobi show no disparities in postnatal care. Other studies in Kenya have cited factors similar to those found in this study, including education, parity, wealth, residence, fertility risk, and whether distance to a facility is a problem (Akunga et al. 2014; Mungai 2015; Ikamari 2004).

County-specific data are necessary as a first step for Kenya to achieve equitable provision of health care as promoted in the Kenya Health Policy 2012-2030 and in the SDG framework. This study reveals substantial and significant regional variation in all maternal health indicators across counties. The

comparison of high-priority counties with national figures suggests that USAID is appropriately focusing its efforts on areas most in need of better maternal health care.

As Kenya's county health authorities partner with the national government to improve service delivery under the devolved responsibility for health care, it is useful to be cognizant of the socio-demographic disparities that exist in maternal health in the respective counties. While the need to direct health interventions to disadvantaged population groups is evident, the disparities illustrated in this study also suggest a role for other agencies and sectors, including those pertaining to education and economic development, alongside or in conjunction with those in the health sector. Counties that face numerous inequities could achieve gains in antenatal, delivery, and postnatal care outcomes by focusing efforts on closing disparities. Meanwhile, counties with fewer disparities might want to be mindful that the gaps do not widen as they pursue improvements in maternal health indicators.

REFERENCES

African Population and Health Research Center [APHRC]. 2014. Population and Health Dynamics in Nairobi's Informal Settlements: Report of the Nairobi Cross-sectional Slums Survey (NCSS) 2012. Nairobi, Kenya: APHRC.

African Union. 2013. *Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn and Child Health in Africa: Progress, Opportunities, Challenges*. Africa Union Policy Brief for the International Conference on Maternal, Newborn and Child Health (MNCH) in Africa. Johannesburg, South Africa, 1-3 August, 2013.

Akunga, D., D. Menya, and M. Kabue. 2014. "Determinants of Postnatal Care in Kenya." *African Population Studies* 28(3):1447-1459.

Belachew, T., A. Taye, and T. Belachew. 2016. "Postnatal Care Services Utilization and Associated Factors among Mothers in Lemo Woreda, Ethiopia." *Journal of Women's Health Care* 5(3):1-7.

Deaton, A. S., and R. Tortora. 2015. "People in Sub-Saharan Africa Rate their Health and Health Care Among the Lowest in World." *Health Affairs (Millwood)* 34(3):519-527. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0798.

Dickson, K. S., L. S. Adde, and H. Amu. 2016. "What Influences Where They Give Birth? Determinants of Place of Delivery among Women in Rural Ghana." *International Journal of Reproductive Medicine* (7203980):1-8.

Gitonga, E., and F. Muiruri. 2016. "Determinants of Health Facility Delivery among Women in Tharaka-Nithi County, Kenya." *Pan African Medical Journal* 2016 25(suppl 2)(9):1-4. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5390067/.</u>

Gitumu, A., C. Herr, H. Oruko, E. Karijo, R. Gichuki, P. Ofware, A. Lakati, and J. Nyagero. 2015. "Determinants of Use of Skilled Birth Attendants at Delivery in Makueni, Kenya: A Cross-Sectional Study." *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 15(9):1-7.

Ikamari, L. D. E. 2004. "Maternal Health Care Utilization in Teso District." *African Journal of Health Sciences* 11(1):21-32.

Kenya National Bureau of Statistics [KNBS], Ministry of Health/Kenya, National AIDS Control Council/Kenya, Kenya Medical Research Institute, National Council for Population and Development/Kenya, and ICF International. 2015. *Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. Rockville, MD, USA: Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Health/Kenya, National AIDS Control Council/Kenya, Kenya Medical Research Institute, National Council for Population and Development/Kenya, and ICF International.

LeGrand, T., and V. Adjiwanor. 2013. "Does Antenatal Care Matter in Use of Skilled Birth Attendance in Rural Africa; A Multi-Country Analysis." *Social Science and Medicine* 86:26-34.

Magango, D. O. 2013. Factors Influencing Deliveries under Traditional Birth Attendants in Kaloleni and Rabai Districts of Kilifi County, Kenya. M.A. Dissertation, University of Nairobi, Kenya.

Mason, L., S. Dellicour, F. T. Kuile, P. Ouma, P. Phillips-Howard, F. Were, K. Laserson, and M. Desai. 2015. "Barriers and Facilitators to Antenatal and Delivery Care in Western Kenya; A Qualitative Study." *BMC Pregnancies and Childbirth* 15(26):1-10.

Mazalale, J., C. Kambala, S. Brenner, J. Chinkhumba, et al. 2015. "Factors Associated with Delivery Outside a Health Facility: Cross-Sectional Study in Rural Malawi." *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 20(5):617-626.

Mbai, C. M. 2015. *Factors Influencing the Utilization of ANC Services among Reproductive Women; A Case of Kibera, Nairobi County,* Kenya. M.A. dissertation, University of Nairobi, Kenya.

Mbugua S. and K. L. D. MacQuarrie (2018) *Determinants of Maternal Care-Seeking in Kenya*. DHS Further Analysis Reports No. 111. Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF.

Ministry of Health [Kenya]. 2012a. *Kenya Health Policy 2012-2030*. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health [Kenya].

Ministry of Health [Kenya]. 2012b. *Transforming Health: Accelerating Attainment of Health Goals. Health Sector Strategic and Investment Plan (KHSSP)*. July 2013-2017. The second medium plan for health. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health [Kenya].

Ministry of Health [Kenya]. 2016. Kenya Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health (RMNCAH) Investment Framework. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health [Kenya].

Muckle, W., A. Sprague, S. Fergus, R. R. White, and B. Dick. 2013. "Barriers to Access of Maternity Care in Kenya: A Social Perspective." *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada* 35(2):125-130.

Mungai, S. 2015. *Determinants of Maternal Health Care Services Utilization in Ganze District, Kilifi County Kenya*. M.A. Thesis, Pwani University.

Nanjala, M., and D. Wamalwa. 2012. "Determinants of Male Partner Involvement in Promoting Deliveries by Skilled Attendants in Busia Kenya." *Global Journal of Health Science* 4(2):60-67.

Omollo, J. V. 2016. "Factors and Challenges Influencing Mother's Choice of Birth Attendance in Bunyala Sub-County, Kenya." *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research* 5(7):101-105.

Owili, P. O., M. A. Muga, Y. Chou, Y. E. Hsu, N. Huang, and L. Chien. 2016. "Associations in the Continuum of Care for Maternal, Newborn and Child Health: A Population-Based Study of 12 Sub-Saharan African Countries." *BMC Public Health* 16(1):414.

Pell, C., A. Menaca, F. Were, N. A. Afrah, S. Chatio, L. Manda-Taylor, M. J. Hamel, et al. 2013. "Factors Affecting ANC Attendance; Results from Qualitative Studies in Ghana, Kenya and Malawi." *PLOS One* 8(1):e53747.

Republic of Kenya. 2010. *The Constitution of Kenya*, 27 August 2010, http://www.refworld.org/docid/4c8508822.html [accessed 24 April 2018].

Rutstein, S. O. 2008. *The DHS Wealth Index: Approaches for Rural and Urban Areas*. DHS Working Papers No. 60. Calverton, MD: Macro International.

Rutstein, S. O., and K. Johnson. 2004. *The DHS Wealth Index*. DHS Comparative Reports No. 6. Calverton, MD: ORC Macro.

UN General Assembly. 2015. *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. 21 October 2015, A/RES/70/1. New York, NY: United Nations.

UNICEF. 2017. Committing to Child Survival: A Promise Renewed - Progress Report 2017, Legacy and Lessons. New York, NY: UNICEF.

USAID. 2014. *Ending Preventable Maternal Mortality: USAID Maternal Health Vision for Action*. Washington, D. C.: USAID.

APPENDIX

Sample profile: Socio-demographic characteristics of women who gave birth in the last 5 years from 10 high-priority counties in Kenya, by county Appendix Table 1

(Continued...)

Appendix Table 1–*Continued*

	Naku	ıru (n=346)	Sambi	uru (n=374)	Turka	ina (n=321)	Natior (n=	nal Average =14,949)
	%	95% CI						
Maternal age at birth <20 20-29 30-49	18.3 51.4 30.3	(13.7-24.2) (44.3-58.3) (24.8-36.5)	22.7 48.7 28.6	(19.4-26.4) (44.7-52.7) (24.9-32.5)	14.9 47.2 37.9	(10.9-20.0) (43.1-51.3) (34.1-41.9)	18.2 51.9 29.9	(17.3-19.2) (50.6-53.1) (28.8-31.0)
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	22.1 45.6 32.2	(17.8-27.1) (38.7-52.8) (25.2-40.2)	17.1 32.2 50.6	(14.3-20.4) (27.7-37.2) (45.2-56.0)	13.6 34.4 52.0	(10.1-18.1) (29.0-40.2) (46.7-57.2)	25.0 40.3 34.7	(23.8-26.2) (39.1-41.5) (33.5-35.9)
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	55.4 44.6	(47.6-63.0) (37.0-52.4)	87.7 12.3	(80.0-92.7) (7.3-20.0)	92.0 8.0	(83.6-96.3) (3.7-16.4)	64.2 35.8	(62.7-65.8) (34.2-37.3)
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	14.5 30.6 54.9	(8.4-23.8) (22.3-40.4) (44.2-65.3)	72.3 9.3 18.4	(57.7-83.3) (5.2-16.2) (9.3-33.0)	91.9 5.8 2.4	(83.4-96.2) (2.3-13.7) (0.8-6.8)	29.9 30.7 39.4	(28.4-31.5) (29.3-32.1) (37.7-41.2)
Residence Urban Rural	49.1 50.9	(42.9-55.3) (44.7-57.1)	22.0 78.0	(13.9-33.2) (66.8-86.1)	20.5 79.5	(11.9-32.9) (67.1-88.1)	38.5 61.5	(36.8-40.2) (59.8-63.2)
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	19.2 76.8 4.0	(14.4-25.1) (70.9-81.8) (2.4-6.7)	60.4 33.5 6.1	(49.6-70.3) (24.1-44.5) (2.7-13.1)	45.3 48.2 6.4	(34.8-56.3) (37.1-59.5) (2.8-14.3)	19.0 71.3 9.7	(17.9-20.1) (70.0-72.6) (8.8-10.7)
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	53.6 46.4	(45.7-61.3) (38.7-54.3)	37.6 62.4	(32.6-42.9) (57.1-67.4)	40.9 59.1	(35.9-46.1) (53.9-64.1)	52.4 47.6	(51.1-53.7) (46.3-48.9)
Reported distance to health facility to be problematic [2] No Yes	84.6 15.4	(73.8-91.5) (8.5-26.2)	63.8 36.2	(47.3-77.6) (22.4-52.7)	52.0 48.0	(44.4-59.5) (40.5-55.6)	74.2 25.8	(72.5-75.8) (24.2-27.5)
[1] Fertility risk is defined as meet	ting one (of the following	criteria:)	vounger than a	ge 18 at i	time of birth, ag	le 35 or c	older at time of

[1] Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or older at tim birth, birth interval <24 months, or four or more births.</p>
[2] Answered by a subsample who completed long questionnaire

Appendix Table 2 Fertility risk in Kenya counties, by socio-demographic characteristics

		Nairobi (n=426	(1		Nakuru (n=346	()		Kisumu (n=329	()		Kitui (n=305)			Turkana (n=32	1)		Baringo (n=294	
	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value									
Total	23.7	(18.8-29.4)		46.4	(38.7-54.3)		50.8	(44.7-57.0)		54.1	(45.6-62.3)		59.1	(53.9-64.1)		59.5	(52.7-66.0)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	29.8 13.3 50.5	(19.3-43.0) (9.7-18.1) (39.1-61.8)	000.0	35.2 32.1 77.5	(24.1-48.3) (22.4-43.5) (65.6-86.1)	0.000	38.0 36.1 84.2	(26.0-51.7) (27.6-45.7) (71.3-91.9)	0.000	33.5 35.6 88.2	(22.5-46.6) (25.5-47.1) (79.1-93.7)	0.000	41.6 34.8 96.2	(25.3-60.0) (25.2-45.8) (90.7-98.5)	0.000	36.8 49.7 91.0	(22.5-53.9) (41.4-58.0) (82.8-95.5)	0.000
Parity 1 child 2-3 children	11.3 15.4	(6.6-18.6) (11.6-20.1)	0.304	16.9 22.8	(9.1-29.2) (16.0-31.5)	0.278	25.0 18.5	(14.0-40.6) (11.5-28.4)	0.452	19.4 15.2	(10.6-32.7) (9.2-24.2)	0.438	16.7 14.1	(5.1-43.0) (8.3-22.8)	0.740	19.3 33.2	(10.5-32.8) (24.2-43.7)	0.064
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	34.2 17.1	(27.7-41.4) (12.2-23.5)	0.000	58.3 31.6	(47.4-68.4) (23.4-41.2)	0.000	62.5 34.8	(54.6-69.8) (28.4-41.8)	0.000	58.2 32.4	(49.0-66.8) (20.0-47.8)	0.005	62.7 [*]	(56.8-68.3) (3.7-52.8)	0.010	65.0 48.0	(57.5-71.8) (37.7-58.4)	0.004
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	41.4 22.0	(25.2-59.7) (17.3-27.6)	0.038	74.4 54.1 34.8	(57.1-86.3) (44.8-63.1) (26.9-43.6)	0.000	64.1 54.5 42.0	(49.6-76.4) (46.1-62.7) (33.6-50.9)	0.016	64.3 41.4 27.8	(55.1-72.5) (30.7-53.0) (16.2-43.3)	0.000	60.0 49.6 [*]	(54.0-65.6) (35.0-64.2) (24.2-74.9)	0.347	69.7 58.6 41.6	(57.6-79.6) (47.7-68.8) (29.4-54.9)	0.007
Residence Urban Rural	23.7	(18.8-29.4)		40.6 51.9	(30.9-51.2) (39.9-63.7)	0.161	45.4 59.1	(36.9-54.2) (49.8-67.8)	0.036	44.5 55.7	(28.7-61.4) (45.8-65.2)	0.253	56.0 59.9	(47.0-64.6) (53.2-66.3)	0.473	38.8 65.3	(27.8-51.0) (56.7-73.1)	0.001
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	21.7 23.1 [*]	(14.0-32.1) (18.1-28.8) (17.8-74.3)	0.179	42.4 45.9 [*]	(29.9-55.9) (36.7-55.5) (46.6-90.6)	0.129	40.1 52.6 [*]	(24.0-58.6) (46.3-58.8) (6.9-64.9)	0.166	39.0 57.3 [*]	(26.6-52.9) (47.8-66.3) (29.5-90.4)	0.077	52.2 63.5 74.1	(38.8-65.2) (52.4-73.3) (57.0-86.0)	0.227	48.0 58.9 77.5	(29.8-66.7) (51.1-66.2) (57.8-89.6)	0.075
																	(Co	ntinued)

-
~
<u>u</u>
~
<u>u</u>
~
-
5
~
~
~
~
<u> </u>
12
5
<u> </u>
~
5
A
ω.
_
-
<u> </u>
_
co -
_
•
×
_
0
-
_
_
a
~
0
~
0
~
-
S L
-

	Ř	akamega (n=3(60)		Kilifi (n=386)		S	amburu (n=374	(1		Migori (n=431)		Nation	al Average (n=1	4,949)
	%	ō	Chi square p-value												
Total	60.3	(55.0-65.3)		61.0	(55.7-66.1)		62.4	(57.1-67.4)		66.4	(62.0-70.5)		47.6	(46.3-48.9)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	52.1 46.0 89.0	(39.0-64.9) (38.7-53.4) (79.3-94.5)	0.000	49.3 40.9 93.2	(38.2-60.5) (32.0-50.4) (87.3-96.4)	0.000	36.6 55.5 94.6	(24.6-50.6) (45.4-65.2) (87.5-97.7)	0.000	42.5 60.1 97.0	(30.5-55.5) (52.3-67.4) (91.0-99.1)	0.000	38.4 32.0 80.2	(35.9-40.9) (30.5-33.5) (78.4-81.9)	0.000
Parity 1 child 2-3 children	33.2 26.8	(21.4-47.6) (19.8-35.2)	0.437	20.5 32.4	(13.1-30.7) (24.1-42.0)	0.088	28.6 21.2	(17.5-43.0) (14.0-30.7)	0.314	38.7 25.0	(27.2-51.5) (19.3-31.7)	0.025	18.4 20.5	(16.5-20.4) (19.2-21.9)	0.079
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	68.1 44.4	(61.9-73.7) (35.5-53.7)	0.000	65.8 33.7	(59.8-71.3) (22.1-47.7)	0.000	66.6 31.8	(60.9-71.9) (19.4-47.6)	0.000	71.2 42.0	(66.3-75.7) (30.1-54.9)	0.000	58.4 28.0	(57.1-59.8) (26.1-30.0)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	62.7 63.6 50.3	(51.2-72.9) (55.4-71.0) (39.3-61.3)	0.145	71.6 52.8 36.3	(66.8-76.0) (41.5-63.9) (25.0-49.3)	0.000	67.3 57.0 45.5	(60.8-73.2) (37.5-74.6) (33.5-58.1)	0.012	67.6 68.1 55.9	(60.7-73.7) (61.4-74.0) (41.9-69.1)	0.223	65.4 51.6 31.0	(63.9-66.9) (49.7-53.4) (28.9-33.1)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	42.8 63.5	(27.7-59.4) (57.6-68.9)	0.020	43.1 67.4	(34.0-52.6) (61.7 <i>-</i> 72.7)	0.000	46.5 66.8	(32.5-61.1) (60.6-72.5)	0.012	61.5 68.4	(51.4-70.8) (63.3-73.1)	0.204	34.1 56.0	(31.9-36.4) (54.6-57.4)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	54.3 61.2 [*]	(39.5-68.4) (55.1-66.9) (37.0-78.6)	0.646	42.3 55.0 71.5	(28.0-58.0) (45.4-64.1) (62.2-79.3)	0.011	62.6 61.4 64.1	(56.5-68.2) (48.2-73.1) (38.8-83.4)	0.972	75.5 65.6 [*]	(60.5-86.1) (60.7-70.2) (7.1-92.6)	0.377	43.4 46.2 66.3	(40.9-46.1) (44.7-47.7) (63.1-69.4)	0.000

Note: Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or older at time of birth, birth interval <24 months, or four or more births. [*] An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

										0					Í		-	ć
		Nairobi (n=20	(0)		Nakuru (n=168	3)		Kilifi (n=179)			Migori (n=201	(×	akamega (n=1	(11		Samburu (n=18	(9)
	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value
Total	9.1	(5.2-15.5)		15.4	(8.5-26.2)		30.3	(18.9-44.7)		30.8	(22.6-40.4)		31.9	(25.1-39.6)		36.2	(22.4-52.7)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	14.2 5.6 14.0	(5.8-31.0) (2.6-11.5) (6.5-27.6)	0.080	11.2 15.8 18.4	(3.8-28.5) (6.7-32.9) (8.6-35.1)	0.643	20.1 30.9 35.9	(8.9-39.5) (18.6-46.8) (17.1-60.4)	0.373	23.9 29.6 36.7	(11.6-43.1) (21.6-39.2) (21.7-54.8)	0.425	21.1 36.2 29.9	(8.3-44.2) (25.9-47.9) (18.1-45.3)	0.391	36.0 34.0 39.9	(17.5-59.9) (20.3-51.1) (22.7-60.0)	0.722
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	7.1 8.6 16.1	(2.9-16.6) (4.1-17.3) (6.9-33.0)	0.303	10.7 14.7 20.3	(3.7-27.2) (7.0-28.1) (9.9-37.1)	0.355	12.4 32.5 37.4	(5.3-26.6) (19.7-48.5) (19.1-60.3)	0.042	6.8 29.0 35.9	(1.1-31.8) (18.8-41.9) (23.9-50.0)	0.083	23.0 29.4 37.4	(12.4-38.7) (16.6-46.5) (25.7-50.8)	0.378	34.1 26.9 43.2	(12.2-66.0) (14.5-44.3) (26.2-61.9)	0.215
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	12.0 7.3	(5.6-23.8) (3.8-13.6)	0.246	19.6 10.7	(9.5-36.2) (5.3-20.5)	0.119	32.8 11.6	(19.7-49.2) (3.3-33.9)	0.065	31.8 25.9	(22.9-42.3) (12.6-45.7)	0.508	33.9 27.5	(25.2-44.0) (18.1-39.4)	0.365	41.1 7.5	(25.2-59.1) (1.8-26.2)	0.002
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	8.6 9.2	(2.5-25.2) (4.8-16.9)	0.919	53.9 14.2 6.5	(24.5-80.8) (7.3-25.8) (2.9-13.7)	0.000	41.4 17.9 4.7	(24.2-61.0) (6.8-39.3) (1.1-18.5)	0.000	36.6 27.6 13.4	(27.3-47.2) (14.3-46.5) (3.6-39.1)	0.182	47.8 32.6 16.0	(32.7-63.3) (23.9-42.6) (7.2-31.8)	0.013	48.7 4.9 5.8	(30.3-67.5) (0.8-25.4) (1.2-23.3)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	9.1	(5.2-15.5)		9.8 21.2	(5.0-18.2) (8.7-43.2)	0.144	5.6 37.6	(1.9-15.4) (21.6-56.9)	0.000	34.3 29.4	(21.2-50.5) (19.2-42.0)	0.594	14.4 34.8	(4.9-35.5) (26.9-43.7)	0.057	11.4 44.3	(4.6-25.2) (26.0-64.4)	0.003
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	6.6 10.4 0.0	(2.1-18.5) (5.7-18.3)	0.539	8.0 15.1 [*]	(1.7-30.2) (8.2-26.3) (15.1-72.4)	0.042	39.2 27.5 31.1	(15.9-68.8) (15.6-43.8) (16.1-51.5)	0.681	24.7 31.0 [*]	(7.6-56.7) (22.4-41.2) (12.4-98.1)	0.434	25.7 33.3 [*]	(9.1-54.4) (25.4-42.4) (3.5-48.6)	0.468	38.8 28.3 55.4	(20.8-60.4) (16.7-43.8) (23.9-83.2)	0.271
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	7.8 12.7	(3.9-15.0) (6.5-23.3)	0.208	13.0 18.3	(6.0-25.7) (9.4-32.7)	0.310	22.1 35.6	(13.1-34.9) (20.6-54.1)	0.023	23.4 34.5	(13.3-37.9) (24.6-46.1)	0.153	29.8 33.3	(19.0-43.4) (24.2-43.9)	0.661	31.6 38.9	(16.3-52.3) (23.6-56.8)	0.340

Appendix Table 3 Distance to health facility is problematic in Kenva counties. by socio-demographic characteristics

42

(Continued...)

-
ğ
8
ž
Ξ.
ē
0
S.
1
ĉ
Φ
a
Ë.
×
÷
2
5
ă
Q
ح
-

		Kisumu (n=15	(4)						(o)				Natio	nai Average (n:	=/,1/3
	%	G	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	G	Chi square p-value	%	C	Chi square p-value	%	C	squa p-val
Total	39.8	(30.5-50.0)		46.3	(35.8-57.1)		48	(40.5-55.6)		55.3	(42.5-67.4)		25.8	(24.2-27.5)	-
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	33.6 38.4 49.6	(18.9-52.5) (26.6-51.8) (33.3-66.0)	0.351	49.2 46.4 44.1	(37.3-61.3) (31.3-62.3) (30.0-59.2)	0.871	25.6 58.6 41.4	(9.2-53.9) (39.5-75.4) (18.9-68.1)	0.276	28.4 59.0 64.1	(13.6-49.9) (42.5-73.7) (46.2-78.8)	0.018	21.1 25.3 29.5	(18.3-24.2) (23.3-27.3) (26.9-32.2)	0.00
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	24.7 38.9 53.3	(11.0-46.3) (25.7-54.0) (38.4-67.6)	0.063	42.6 39.7 54.3	(25.1-62.2) (26.1-55.0) (36.4-71.2)	0.332	32.8 61.5 43.3	(11.3-65.2) (43.7-76.6) (33.8-53.4)	0.184	34.7 49.3 71.2	(16.5-58.8) (35.0-63.7) (53.3-84.3)	0.011	15.9 24.0 34.6	(13.8-18.3) (21.8-26.4) (32.1-37.2)	0.00
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	53.6 22.5	(41.8-65.1) (12.3-37.7)	0.001	51.7 32.6	(34.2-68.9) (18.2-51.2)	0.228	48.9 [*]	(41.1-56.7) (6.5-68.9)	0.278	59.7 34.1	(44.4-73.3) (15.1-60.1)	0.110	30.9 16.6	(28.8-33.1) (14.6-18.8)	0.00
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	64.5 45.6 24.5	(48.1-78.0) (33.1-58.6) (12.7-41.9)	0.004	68.6 27.1 26.7	(50.2-82.5) (12.7-48.6) (15.8-41.4)	0.002	51.2 0.0 [*]	(42.7-59.7) (1.5-57.3)	0.082	69.8 40.0 9.8	(53.4-82.3) (29.1-52.0) (1.8-39.3)	0.000	42.6 27.2 12.2	(39.7-45.6) (24.8-29.9) (10.2-14.6)	0.00
Residence Urban Rural	26.2 59.9	(16.0-39.8) (44.9-73.2)	0.002	15.7 56.0	(10.9-22.1) (39.8-70.9)	0.000	27.2 53.5	(13.2-47.8) (43.3-63.5)	0.023	33.9 59.3	(11.4-67.2) (44.8-72.4)	0.156	13.5 33.6	(11.5-15.8) (31.5-35.8)	0.00
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	49.5 39.3 0.0	(28.4-70.8) (28.8-50.8)	0.333	24.4 46.5 71.6	(7.2-57.2) (36.8-56.4) (39.1-90.9)	0.075	63.2 35.8 38.8	(41.8-80.5) (15.3-63.2) (16.1-67.5)	0.253	46.1 56.8 [*]	(28.2-65.0) (39.4-72.6) (43.1-98.9)	0.209	25.2 24.6 35.8	(22.1-28.5) (22.9-26.5) (31.1-40.8)	0.0
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	32.5 48.3	(20.5-47.4) (37.9-58.7)	0.034	43.5 48.1	(31.8-56.0) (33.8-62.8)	0.590	50.9 46.0	(42.9-58.8) (35.6-56.8)	0.400	44.9 66.3	(31.9-58.5) (50.0-79.4)	0.005	20.5 31.6	(18.6-22.4) (29.5-33.8)	0.00

-			•				-											
		Nairobi (n=428	8)		Kisumu (n=32	6)	-	Samburu (n=37	74)		Nakuru (n=34	3)		Migori (n=431	(¥	akamega (n=36	SO)
	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	C	Chi square p-value	%	G	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value
Total	27.6	(23.4-32.4)		25.8	(19.2-33.7)		23.2	(17.2-30.5)		17.6	(12.7-23.9)		17.5	(14.1-21.5)		17.2	(12.2-23.6)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	18.4 27.1 38.0	(10.3-30.7) (21.3-33.9) (28.6-48.4)	0.045	20.4 31.1 20.8	(12.5-31.6) (21.5-42.7) (11.7-34.2)	0.180	24.2 20.9 26.4	(15.9-35.0) (13.6-30.6) (15.0-42.0)	0.649	17.2 22.3 10.1	(8.2-32.6) (15.0-31.7) (4.8-19.9)	0.117	21.4 20.3 9.4	(13.8-31.7) (15.1-26.9) (5.0-16.9)	0.049	19.9 17.0 15.8	(10.8-33.8) (10.5-26.4) (8.8-26.7)	0.832
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	30.7 25.2 27.5	(25.0-37.1) (18.9-32.6) (16.8-41.6)	0.483	36.7 25.5 20.2	(23.8-51.8) (18.5-34.0) (12.6-30.7)	0.061	21.2 22.9 24.1	(11.4-36.1) (12.9-37.5) (16.0-34.7)	0.931	21.1 21.1 10.4	(12.2-33.9) (13.6-31.1) (5.4-19.0)	0.113	20.3 19.2 15.6	(11.7-32.9) (13.4-26.8) (11.5-20.9)	0.555	26.2 15.7 14.2	(15.4-41.1) (10.3-23.4) (8.1-23.8)	0.119
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	18.2 33.5	(12.6-25.6) (28.2-39.3)	0.002	18.9 35.4	(13.4-26.0) (25.0-47.3)	0.009	21.8 33.1	(15.4-30.0) (20.9-48.1)	0.137	13.3 23.0	(7.8-21.8) (16.6-31.0)	0.049	15.1 29.7	(11.6-19.3) (19.7-42.0)	0.009	8.9 34.1	(5.7-13.5) (25.0-44.5)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	14.1 29.0	(5.4-32.0) (24.3-34.3)	0.175	19.4 22.3 31.3	(8.9-37.3) (14.9-32.0) (20.9-43.9)	0.284	19.5 41.4 28.5	(13.3-27.8) (23.6-61.8) (21.8-36.4)	0.014	1.0 12.1 25.1	(0.1-8.5) (6.2-22.1) (18.7-32.8)	0.000	18.4 16.5 16.4	(13.1-25.2) (11.7-22.8) (7.7-31.6)	0.881	12.9 12.8 31.4	(6.8-23.2) (7.5-21.2) (24.0-40.0)	0.001
Residence Urban Rural	27.6	(23.4-32.4)		26.4 25.0	(17.3-38.1) (17.0-35.1)	0.839	28.5 21.7	(21.8-36.2) (14.7-31.0)	0.226	23.5 12.0	(17.2-31.2) (5.8-23.2)	0.067	22.9 15.3	(17.4-29.4) (11.4-20.2)	0.041	24.7 15.8	(15.4-37.1) (10.4-23.3)	0.142
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	32.8 25.1 [*]	(24.0-42.9) (19.3-32.0) (19.7-72.4)	0.230	32.0 25.0 [*]	(16.3-53.3) (18.7-32.7) (6.9-64.9)	0.588	21.9 29.1 5.3	(15.4-30.0) (18.6-42.5) (0.6-33.7)	0.122	19.5 17.2 [*]	(10.3-33.7) (11.9-24.3) (3.7-50.5)	0.928	25.5 16.9 [*]	(13.8-42.4) (13.3-21.1)	0.304	24.0 15.9 [*]	(12.6-40.8) (11.0-22.5) (6.4-59.8)	0.406
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	29.0 23.2	(24.1-34.5) (16.4-31.8)	0.218	32.2 19.7	(24.3-41.2) (12.7-29.3)	0.012	18.3 26.2	(10.7-29.5) (18.9-35.1)	0.192	20.1 14.7	(12.9-30.1) (9.3-22.5)	0.317	20.5 16.0	(14.5-28.1) (12.5-20.3)	0.205	22.0 14.0	(15.0-31.1) (8.9-21.5)	0.064
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	29.0 [*]	(23.7-35.0) (5.9-42.6)	0.328	28.0 20.8	(18.4-40.1) (11.0-35.6)	0.365	33.8 14.7	(23.6-45.7) (7.2-27.8)	0.025	22.9 2.6	(14.3-34.5) (0.3-17.0)	0.006	17.8 17.9	(12.2-25.2) (10.0-30.1)	0.976	21.3 13.0	(12.9-33.1) (5.0-29.8)	0.274

Appendix Table 4 ANC use in Kenyan counties, by socio-demographic characteristics

44

(Continued...)

~
Ø
ey.
3
2
1
2
0
G
Ť
4
•
Ð
THE I
Ľ.
×
5
ž
5
ð
5
7
4

		Turkana (n=32	1)		Kilifi (n=386)			Kitui (n=305)			3arindo (n=294)		Natior	al Average (n=	14 949)
	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	C	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value
Total	16.3	(10.3-24.8)		15.7	(12.4-19.7)		13.7	(10.5-17.6)		11.6	(6.7-19.4)		18.1	(17.2-19.1)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	8.1 14.3 22.0	(2.8-20.8) (8.5-23.1) (10.7-39.8)	0.172	18.5 14.9 15.2	(8.9-34.5) (10.0-21.5) (9.7-23.1)	0.805	10.5 15.6 13.0	(5.1-20.7) (9.2-25.2) (8.7-18.9)	0.639	3.6 14.9 9.4	(0.8-15.6) (8.5-24.8) (3.8-21.3)	0.111	16.9 19.7 16.1	(14.9-19.2) (18.3-21.2) (14.5-17.8)	0.003
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	10.1 14.6 19.0	(4.0-23.6) (7.4-26.7) (11.5-29.8)	0.312	15.1 20.7 12.9	(7.9-26.9) (14.5-28.7) (7.9-20.2)	0.313	9.5 19.3 11.4	(4.1-20.3) (12.4-28.7) (7.3-17.3)	0.148	6.2 15.7 10.4	(2.1-17.0) (7.6-29.6) (6.1-17.0)	0.143	24.0 18.9 13.0	(22.0-26.2) (17.3-20.5) (11.8-14.3)	0.000
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	16.7 [*]	(9.4-27.7) (2.9-38.6)	0.702	13.9 26.1	(11.0-17.5) (16.4-38.8)	0.012	13.5 14.7	(9.6-18.6) (7.0-28.4)	0.840	10.9 13.1	(5.5-20.3) (6.9-23.5)	0.593	13.9 25.7	(12.9-14.8) (23.9-27.7)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	16.1 16.3 [*]	(9.9-25.2) (4.1-46.9) (9.5-43.8)	0.833	13.3 10.7 25.3	(9.8-17.7) (5.0-21.4) (16.6-36.5)	0.015	13.0 16.3 10.4	(8.0-20.3) (10.6-24.2) (3.7-25.8)	0.669	9.0 11.3 16.8	(3.5-21.2) (5.7-21.4) (5.7-40.2)	0.494	12.5 14.7 25.0	(11.3-13.8) (13.5-16.1) (23.2-26.9)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	18.6 15.7	(8.4-36.3) (8.8-26.3)	0.715	22.5 13.3	(14.3-33.5) (10.2-17.3)	0.047	9.8 14.3	(5.1-18.2) (10.8-18.8)	0.272	8.3 12.5	(3.3-19.4) (6.7-22.2)	0.437	23.8 14.5	(22.1-25.7) (13.6-15.5)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	13.8 17.2 25.7	(10.5-17.9) (7.8-33.7) (7.2-60.7)	0.531	28.5 15.3 13.6	(13.3-50.9) (10.8-21.1) (8.3-21.5)	0.210	15.5 13.2 [*]	(8.2-27.3) (9.7-17.7) (2.5-52.5)	0.896	13.1 12.0 6.9	(2.8-44.0) (7.1-19.4) (2.3-18.8)	0.681	19.6 18.4 13.5	(17.6-21.7) (17.2-19.6) (11.0-16.4)	0.003
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	13.7 18.1	(8.7-20.9) (10.6-29.1)	0.189	18.1 14.2	(13.2-24.4) (10.2-19.5)	0.267	15.6 12.0	(10.6-22.4) (8.3-17.1)	0.332	16.2 8.5	(7.4-31.7) (5.3-13.4)	0.066	21.8 14.1	(20.3-23.3) (13.1-15.2)	0.000
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	20.4 7.3	(10.7-35.4) (3.0-16.8)	0.073	18.3 12.2	(11.5-27.9) (5.2-26.2)	0.403	16.1 16.3	(7.8-30.3) (8.0-30.3)	0.983	15.8 10.2	(6.4-34.1) (3.2-28.2)	0.220	20.1 14.8	(18.5-21.8) (12.7-17.1)	0.000
Note: ANC use is defined as [1] Fertility risk is defined as r [2] Answered by a subsample [*] An asterisk indicates that a	four or rr meeting (∋ who coi a figure is	nore ANC visits, one of the follow mpleted long qu s based on fewe	, with the fii ving criteri <i>ɛ</i> Jestionnair ∍r than 25 u	rst visit oc a: younger e unweighte	curring in the fir r than age 18 at d cases and ha	st three mo time of birt s been sup	onths of p h, age 35 pressed.	regnancy. For older at time	e of birth, bi	rth interva	al <24 months, o	or four or n	ore birth	S	

		Kisumu (n=32	(6;		Nairobi (n=428	(1		Nakuru (n=346	(٤		Kitui (n=305)			Migori (n=431			Kilifi (n=386)	
	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value
Total	26.6	(22.5-31.2)		21.4	(18.0-25.2)		17.9	(13.6-23.2)		15.0	(11.7-19.2)		13.8	(10.6-17.7)		13.3	(9.9-17.7)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	23.2 30.2 22.8	(14.6-34.9) (23.4-38.1) (16.7-30.3)	0.297	18.2 19.4 30.6	(11.1-28.3) (14.7-25.2) (20.8-42.5)	0.125	23.9 18.0 14.0	(14.7-36.3) (13.2-24.1) (8.1-23.2)	0.230	7.8 20.4 12.3	(2.6-21.4) (13.9-28.8) (7.0-20.5)	0.122	14.3 9.0	(8.6-23.1) (11.0-23.5) (4.6-17.1)	0.278	14.3 13.7 12.2	(7.2-26.6) (9.3-19.7) (7.1-20.1)	0.891
Parity 1 chiid 2-3 children 4+ children	33.1 28.6 20.8	(24.0-43.6) (22.0-36.2) (14.4-29.1)	0.120	21.0 19.4 30.9	(15.9-27.3) (14.6-25.2) (21.2-42.7)	0.146	17.9 22.9 10.7	(11.5-26.9) (16.1-31.6) (6.3-17.4)	0.030	15.0 21.4 10.2	(6.5-30.8) (14.5-30.5) (5.7-17.6)	0.165	13.3 16.4 12.3	(7.0-23.9) (11.9-22.2) (8.2-18.2)	0.495	12.2 15.4 12.5	(6.4-21.9) (9.8-23.3) (7.4-20.5)	0.757
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	23.5 30.8	(18.0-30.1) (25.7-36.3)	0.082	16.6 24.4	(12.2-22.3) (19.4-30.2)	0.052	16.0 20.2	(11.7-21.5) (12.9-30.1)	0.372	13.5 22.9	(9.7-18.6) (14.4-34.5)	0.089	12.4 20.9	(9.2-16.5) (13.2-31.4)	0.048	12.4 18.5	(9.1-16.6) (7.7-38.0)	0.363
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	20.1 26.3 29.9	(11.9-32.0) (21.3-32.1) (22.9-38.0)	0.260	30.7 20.5	(20.3-43.7) (16.9-24.7)		10.2 12.8 22.7	(3.7-25.1) (7.1-22.0) (16.3-30.8)	0.078	13.2 16.3 22.9	(9.2-18.6) (10.4-24.5) (14.3-34.6)	0.202	12.9 14.5 15.6	(8.1-20.0) (9.7-21.2) (8.1-28.0)	0.868	11.3 20.7 14.8	(7.2-17.3) (10.1-37.6) (9.1-23.1)	0.263
Residence Urban Rural	27.8 24.7	(21.8-34.9) (20.1-29.9)	0.433	21.4	(18.0-25.2)		23.3 12.6	(18.3-29.2) (6.8-22.3)	0.050	19.2 14.3	(15.3-23.9) (10.5-19.2)	0.117	19.4 11.5	(12.2-29.4) (8.7-15.0)	0.054	16.9 12.0	(9.5-28.4) (8.5-16.7)	0.294
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	35.8 25.0 [*]	(22.2-52.2) (20.3-30.4) (22.7-80.7)	0.129	26.6 20.4 [*]	(18.3-37.0) (16.7-24.6) (5.7-22.8)	0.138	21.0 17.7 [*]	(12.4-33.3) (12.4-24.7) (0.8-37.4)	0.479	23.8 13.2 [*]	(14.2-37.0) (9.9-17.4) (1.0-37.5)	0.077	17.2 13.6 0.0	(7.1-36.1) (10.3-17.7)	0.685	12.2 17.1 9.4	(4.4-29.8) (11.7-24.3) (4.8-17.5)	0.216
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	31.3 22.1	(23.4-40.4) (17.2-27.9)	0.106	19.9 26.2	(15.9-24.6) (18.5-35.7)	0.210	22.7 12.3	(16.0-31.0) (7.8-18.9)	0.038	21.9 9.2	(16.1-29.0) (5.5-15.2)	0.005	16.6 12.4	(12.4-21.7) (8.6-17.5)	0.163	12.6 13.8	(7.3-20.9) (7.9-22.9)	0.849
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	57.2 54.8	(43.3-70.0) (44.1-65.0)	0.777	47.5 [*]	(41.8-53.2) (14.2-54.4)	0.158	37.3 40.7	(27.0-48.9) (24.7-58.9)	0.751	30.2 34.4	(22.7-39.0) (21.4-50.3)	0.617	32.5 22.2	(26.7-38.8) (11.1-39.3)	0.164	26.5 32.9	(18.6-36.1) (23.6-43.7)	0.329

Appendix Table 5 Eight components of ANC in Kenvan counties. by socio-demographic characteristics

46

(Continued...)

ð
эпı
ntir
õ
Ĩ
<u>e</u>
Tab
Xip
en.
App

		Baringo (n=29	14)		Turkana (n=32	1)	×	akamega (n=3	(0)	0,	374 (n=374	(Nation	al Average (n=1	4,949)
	6	ō	Chi square	2	ō	Chi square	6	ō	Chi square	5	ō	Chi square	5	ō	Chi square
Total	% 12.2	U (7.9-18.4)	p-value	12.0	U (5.9-23.1)	p-value	9.8	U (6.7-14.2)	p-value	8.0	U (5.1-12.4)	p-value	% 15.6	U (14.8-16.5)	p-value
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	14.7 13.4 8.6	(6.5-29.9) (7.9-21.8) (3.3-20.5)	0.554	20.1 10.0 11.4	(6.6-47.4) (5.1-18.7) (5.6-22.0)	0.125	6.6 6.9 6.9	(2.4-17.1) (7.9-19.9) (3.7-12.5)	0.149	9.1 10.1 0.3	(0.3-10.7) (6.4-15.6) (4.7-17.5)	0.060	13.6 16.4 15.6	(11.9-15.5) (15.3-17.6) (14.2-17.2)	0.048
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	11.7 14.7 10.3	(5.2-24.2) (8.6-24.0) (4.9-20.4)	0.610	20.4 5.6 14.1	(6.5-48.5) (2.2-13.3) (6.2-28.9)	0.143	14.6 10.5 7.0	(7.3-27.1) (5.4-19.5) (3.8-12.7)	0.282	9.3 8.6 7.2	(3.3-23.9) (4.7-15.2) (3.8-13.3)	0.847	18.0 16.8 12.6	(16.3-19.9) (15.5-18.2) (11.5-13.8)	0.000
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	12.1 12.4	(7.1-20.0) (7.0-20.9)	0.950	12.4 [*]	(5.4-26.2) (1.5-28.9)	0.606	7.8 13.8	(4.4-13.6) (9.0-20.7)	0.089	4.9 30.0	(2.5-9.4) (18.4-45.0)	0.000	12.6 21.2	(11.7-13.5) (19.5-22.9)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	13.8 4.3 18.2	(6.7-26.4) (1.3-13.6) (10.7-29.3)	0.097	12.1 10.6 [*]	(5.6-24.3) (4.9-21.4) (2.4-41.9)	0.929	8.5 8.7 13.7	(3.7-18.3) (4.8-15.3) (7.6-23.6)	0.464	4.4 11.3 20.4	(1.8-10.3) (4.5-25.8) (12.2-32.3)	0.003	10.5 13.7 21.0	(9.4-11.6) (12.6-15.0) (19.4-22.8)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	15.3 11.3	(10.1-22.6) (6.4-19.3)	0.371	12.3 12.0	(6.8-21.3) (4.8-26.6)	0.953	8.9 10.0	(4.1-18.0) (6.5-15.1)	0.781	17.1 5.4	(10.8-25.8) (2.6-11.0)	0.006	20.9 12.3	(19.4-22.5) (11.5-13.3)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	23.4 11.8 3.9	(10.3-44.8) (6.7-20.0) (0.4-27.0)	0.184	10.2 12.7 20.3	(5.8-17.1) (4.0-34.1) (8.9-39.8)	0.500	8.1 [*]	(2.7-22.1) (6.7-14.9) (1.0-48.1)	0.929	7.5 8.4 6.2	(4.1-13.5) (4.7-14.5) (0.7-37.7)	0.932	18.1 15.7 10.5	(16.1-20.2) (14.8-16.7) (8.7-12.6)	0.000
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	14.0 11.0	(9.3-20.6) (5.8-19.8)	0.442	9.4 13.8	(4.4-19.2) (6.6-26.8)	0.066	15.4 6.1	(9.2-24.6) (3.4-10.9)	0.015	7.8 8.1	(4.0-14.9) (5.3-12.3)	0.898	18.0 13.0	(16.8-19.3) (12.0-14.1)	0.000
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	28.5 22.7	(18.7-40.9) (12.0-38.6)	0.447	30.1 21.8	(12.9-55.8) (10.5-39.8)	0.208	21.0 17.2	(13.6-30.9) (8.6-31.5)	0.592	20.5 8.0	(14.3-28.4) (2.6-21.6)	0.046	34.8 27.4	(33.0-36.6) (25.0-30.0)	0.000
[1] Fortility rick in defined on	, politica		critor		then ago 10 m	time of hirt	30 000 4	ar oldor of tim	id dhid fo	th intonio	o odtaoa 10-	1000 100 1000	o hirtho		

DIRTN INTERVAL <24 MONTINS, OF TOUR OF MORE DIRTNS DITTN, Б at time older [1] Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or c
 [2] Answered by a subsample who completed long questionnaire
 [*] An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

		Nairobi (n=42	3)		Kisumu (n=325	(6		Nakuru (n=346	(!		Migori (n=431	(Baringo (n=294	(†		Kilifi (n=386)	
	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value
Total	90.5	(86.5-93.4)		73.4	(64.9-80.5)		72.6	(64.7-79.3)		58.5	(49.6-66.9)		57.6	(47.1-67.5)		55.9	(48.6-62.9)	
Age of respondent at birth 20-29 30-49	86.0 93.5 85.1	(75.6-92.4) (89.5-96.1) (72.7-92.5)	0.050	82.1 73.0 68.1	(70.1-90.0) (62.1-81.7) (56.3-78.0)	0.124	74.4 73.5 69.8	(61.4-84.1) (61.7-82.7) (59.4-78.5)	0.781	70.0 60.5 45.5	(59.7-78.5) (49.0-70.9) (33.5-58.0)	0.003	51.0 57.9 60.6	(35.8-66.0) (46.9-68.1) (44.9-74.4)	0.543	60.0 55.6 53.7	(47.0-71.8) (45.9-64.9) (41.8-65.2)	0.712
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	93.9 92.5 70.2	(88.9-96.8) (88.4-95.3) (55.5-81.7)	0.000	92.9 76.5 59.2	(83.9-97.0) (64.7-85.3) (48.8-68.9)	0.000	82.6 80.9 53.9	(71.8-89.8) (71.7-87.6) (43.9-63.6)	0.000	78.0 62.6 50.3	(67.5-85.8) (48.6-74.7) (40.4-60.2)	0.001	64.0 64.3 49.0	(48.7-77.0) (51.5-75.4) (34.3-63.7)	0.080	78.9 56.3 44.0	(68.0-86.9) (43.3-68.6) (30.5-58.3)	0.005
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	83.2 95.1	(76.6-88.2) (91.7-97.1)	0.000	65.6 84.2	(55.6-74.4) (74.3-90.7)	0.003	60.4 87.6	(49.7-70.2) (81.4-91.9)	0.000	53.1 85.3	(43.5-62.5) (74.4-92.1)	0.000	48.3 77.3	(36.1-60.8) (67.1-85.0)	0.001	49.1 94.5	(40.8-57.4) (83.3-98.4)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	71.6 92.5	(53.2-84.8) (88.9-95.4)	0.0001	60.7 60.1 88.4	(43.2-75.9) (48.4-70.7) (80.3-93.5)	0.000	33.2 61.1 89.4	(24.1-43.7) (52.2-69.4) (83.0-93.5)	0.000	53.8 57.2 83.4	(43.1-64.1) (44.3-69.3) (67.1-92.5)	0.011	31.5 71.9 89.9	(21.2-44.1) (50.8-86.4) (78.8-95.6)	0.000	42.2 67.9 86.8	(32.6-52.4) (54.2-79.1) (78.2-92.4)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	90.5	(86.5-93.4)		81.5 61.1	(68.2-90.1) (51.4-70.0)	0.014	84.4 61.2	(75.4-90.6) (49.1-72.0)	0.002	75.3 51.4	(64.5-83.6) (40.1-62.6)	0.003	91.0 48.3	(82.6-95.5) (35.9-60.9)	0.000	80.4 47.1	(71.2-87.2) (37.8-56.6)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	93.3 89.3 [*]	(86.1-96.9) (84.7-92.6) (81.1-99.3)	0.222	76.0 72.6 100.0	(59.8-87.1) (64.2-79.7)	0.417	75.9 72.9 [*]	(63.6-85.0) (64.5-80.0) (24.0-75.4)	0.119	69.2 57.7 [*]	(54.0-81.1) (48.6-66.2) (3.6-86.1)	0.207	63.7 61.9 13.1	(47.5-77.3) (51.0-71.8) (5.3-29.2)	0.000	87.6 63.1 41.4	(69.2-95.7) (54.7-70.8) (31.0-52.7)	0.000
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	93.5 80.8	(90.1-95.8) (70.1-88.3)	0.001	83.2 64.0	(74.8-89.2) (54.2-72.8)	0.000	83.5 60.0	(74.7-89.7) (49.5-69.6)	0.001	67.4 54.0	(54.3-78.2) (44.6-63.2)	0.033	72.9 47.2	(61.9-81.7) (33.8-61.0)	0.002	70.2 46.7	(61.4-77.7) (36.5-57.2)	0.002
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	84.9 [*]	(77.1-90.4) (73.2-99.5)	0.171	85.5 60.2	(77.1-91.2) (47.9-71.3)	0.000	78.1 61.4	(66.2-86.7) (46.0-74.8)	0.059	61.3 49.1	(50.5-71.1) (30.7-67.8)	0.189	69.8 42.7	(57.7-79.6) (28.0-58.7)	0.008	61.4 40.2	(50.6-71.1) (30.7-50.5)	0.002

Appendix Table 6 Health facility delivery in Kenvan counties. by socio-demographic characteristics

48

(Continued...)

_	
õ	1
e	
2	
ti	
2	
0	
Õ	
Ÿ	
Å	
•	
e	
at	
Ľ.	
×	
=	
2	
e	
d	
D.	
<	

	Ÿ	akamega (n=36	30)		Kitui (n=305)			urkana (n=321)		S	amburu (n=37 ⁴	(†	Nation	al Average (n=1 [,]	4,949)
	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	Ū	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value
Total	52.3	(44.7-59.8)		50.6	(39.7-61.5)		27.8	(15.4-44.9)		27.0	(18.5-37.6)		66.1	(64.7-67.4)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	55.9 52.8 49.1	(38.8-71.8) (44.4-61.1) (37.6-60.7)	0.719	74.0 51.5 37.1	(61.4-83.5) (39.2-63.6) (25.1-50.9)	0.000	30.3 25.2 30.0	(13.6-54.6) (16.0-37.4) (7.6-69.2)	0.818	31.5 26.6 23.9	(20.1-45.7) (17.1-39.0) (14.8-36.1)	0.448	68.5 69.2 59.1	(66.0-71.0) (67.4-70.9) (56.9-61.3)	0.000
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	73.0 58.9 37.4	(60.6-82.7) (47.7-69.2) (29.1-46.6)	0.000	80.4 58.0 31.0	(67.1-89.2) (46.6-68.7) (19.9-44.8)	0.000	42.5 26.9 24.5	(29.3-56.8) (18.4-37.6) (8.5-52.9)	0.312	45.5 31.0 18.0	(32.5-59.1) (20.6-43.8) (10.7-28.8)	0.000	83.8 71.8 46.6	(82.0-85.4) (70.1-73.5) (44.5-48.7)	0.000
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	42.0 73.2	(33.5-51.0) (62.8-81.5)	0.000	45.2 79.2	(33.9-57.1) (66.2-88.1)	0.000	24.7 [*]	(12.8-42.3) (42.2-80.9)	0.020	17.7 92.7	(11.4-26.4) (75.9-98.1)	0.000	54.7 86.4	(53.0-56.4) (85.1-87.7)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	38.9 48.0 75.2	(28.6-50.3) (39.4-56.8) (61.0-85.5)	0.000	39.7 64.0 79.5	(28.5-52.0) (52.6-74.0) (62.3-90.2)	0.000	24.3 62.8 [*]	(11.1-45.2) (42.5-79.4) (48.8-93.7)	0.001	10.2 48.6 81.5	(5.3-18.8) (35.5-61.9) (68.9-89.7)	0.000	38.9 63.2 88.9	(36.8-41.1) (61.2-65.2) (87.5-90.1)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	68.5 49.4	(52.9-80.8) (40.8-58.0)	0.033	73.0 46.7	(54.3-86.1) (34.7-59.1)	0.019	45.0 23.3	(25.8-65.9) (8.9-48.6)	0.157	71.5 14.3	(51.0-85.8) (7.8-24.7)	0.000	84.3 54.7	(82.6-85.8) (52.8-56.5)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	53.7 52.1 [*]	(41.5-65.5) (43.8-60.3) (31.2-72.7)	0.970	56.5 49.3 [*]	(41.2-70.6) (37.0-61.7) (15.9-81.4)	0.675	23.5 29.9 42.7	(10.6-44.1) (15.2-50.4) (22.0-66.3)	0.278	25.9 31.4 13.8	(17.1-37.3) (18.6-47.9) (3.9-39.0)	0.332	69.7 67.6 47.1	(67.3-72.0) (66.1-69.2) (42.9-51.4)	0.000
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	65.7 43.5	(54.9-75.0) (34.8-52.6)	0.000	65.7 37.8	(56.0-74.3) (25.5-52.0)	0.000	29.8 26.4	(19.9-42.1) (11.4-49.9)	0.667	34.6 22.3	(22.7-48.8) (14.7-32.3)	0.012	78.0 52.9	(76.6-79.4) (51.0-54.7)	0.000
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	54.6 48.0	(43.6-65.2) (33.3-63.1)	0.387	69.7 44.9	(58.2-79.2) (29.9-60.8)	0.008	32.9 21.9	(15.4-56.8) (10.5-40.2)	0.127	44.2 8.0	(30.5-58.8) (4.0-15.3)	0.000	71.4 51.1	(69.6-73.2) (47.8-54.5)	0.000
[1] Fostility rick in defined on	monting o	wollof off the con-	Circle oritorio.		10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	decid be comit	0 20 020 0	o only of the set of t	40014 40014 2	0.000	a odtaom to.		o himbo		

<24 months, or tour or more births of birth, birth interval time a older [1] Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or [2] Answered by a subsample who completed long questionnaire
[7] An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

		Nairobi (n=42	(8)		Kisumu (n=32	(6		Nakuru (n=34	6)		Migori (n=431	_		Baringo (n=29.	4)		Kilifi (n=386)	
	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value
Total	91.0	(87.3-93.7)		73.4	(64.8-80.6)		72.4	(64.7-79.0)		58.1	(49.1-66.5)		57.8	(47.4-67.6)		55.3	(48.0-62.3)	
Age of respondent at birth 20-29 30-49	88.1 93.7 85.1	(77.8-94.0) (89.9-96.2) (72.7-92.5)	0.070	82.1 73.5 67.5	(70.1-90.0) (62.6-82.1) (55.8-77.3)	0.089	74.4 73.3 69.8	(61.4-84.1) (61.8-82.3) (59.4-78.5)	0.793	70.4 60.4 43.9	(60.2-78.8) (48.9-70.8) (31.9-56.6)	0.001	51.0 58.3 60.6	(35.8-66.0) (47.5-68.3) (44.9-74.4)	0.532	60.2 54.7 53.1	(47.1-72.0) (45.2-63.9) (41.1-64.6)	0.637
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	95.4 92.1 71.7	(90.4-97.8) (88.1-94.8) (56.0-83.5)	0.000	92.9 77.6 58.1	(83.9-97.0) (65.8-86.3) (47.4-68.1)	0.000	83.7 80.0 53.9	(73.2-90.6) (70.7-87.0) (43.9-63.6)	0.000	78.0 62.8 49.5	(67.5-85.8) (48.8-74.9) (39.6-59.3)	0.001	64.0 64.3 49.5	(48.7-77.0) (51.5-75.4) (35.0-64.1)	0.093	77.1 55.8 44.0	(66.3-85.2) (42.9-68.0) (30.5-58.3)	0.007
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	83.7 95.6	(77.6-88.4) (92.3-97.5)	0.000	65.1 85.0	(54.9-74.1) (75.3-91.3)	0.001	60.1 87.7	(49.4-70.0) (82.1-91.8)	0.000	53.0 83.6	(43.4-62.4) (71.0-91.4)	0.000	48.7 77.3	(36.6-60.9) (67.1-85.0)	0.001	49.0 91.4	(40.6-57.4) (80.0-96.6)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	74.0. 92.9	(56.0-86.4) (89.4-95.3)	0.001	60.7 60.1 88.4	(43.2-75.9) (48.2-70.9) (80.3-93.5)	000.0	33.2 62.0 88.6	(24.1-43.7) (53.4-69.9) (82.0-93.0)	0.000	53.4 56.7 83.4	(42.7-63.8) (44.1-68.5) (67.1-92.5)	0.008	32.0 71.9 89.9	(21.8-44.3) (50.8-86.4) (78.8-95.6)	0.000	42.7 64.5 84.8	(33.2-52.8) (51.0-76.1) (76.2-90.7)	000.0
Residence Urban Rural	91.0	(87.3-93.7)		82.1 60.3	(68.6-90.6) (50.0-69.8)	0.011	83.6 61.7	(74.5-89.9) (49.9-72.2)	0.002	74.6 51.1	(63.8-83.1) (39.8-62.4)	0.004	91.0 48.6	(82.6-95.5) (36.3-61.0)	0.000	78.6 47.0	(69.1-85.8) (37.8-56.4)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	94.3 89.5 100.0	(88.4-97.3) (84.9-92.8)	0.231	76.0 72.7 100.0	(59.8-87.1) (64.1-79.9)	0.419	74.9 73.0 [*]	(62.8-84.0) (64.8-79.9) (24.0-75.4)	0.125	69.2 57.2 [*]	(54.0-81.1) (48.2-65.8) (3.6-86.1)	0.191	63.7 61.9 15.6	(47.5-77.3) (51.0-71.8) (6.9-31.5)	0.000	87.6 62.4 40.9	(69.2-95.7) (53.9-70.1) (30.4-52.3)	0.000
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	93.9 81.6	(90.9-96.0) (70.4-89.1)	0.001	84.1 63.2	(75.8-89.9) (53.2-72.1)	0.000	83.2 60.0	(74.4-89.4) (49.5-69.6)	0.001	67.5 53.3	(54.5-78.3) (43.8-62.6)	0.024	72.9 47.6	(61.9-81.7) (34.2-61.3)	0.002	69.8 46.0	(60.8-77.4) (35.7-56.7)	0.003
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	84.8 100.0	(77.1-90.3)	0.174	85.5 60.2	(77.1-91.2) (47.9-71.3)	000.0	78.1 58.8	(66.2-86.7) (45.3-71.0)	0.020	60.0 49.1	(48.9-70.1) (30.7-67.8)	0.243	69.8 42.7	(57.7-79.6) (28.0-58.7)	0.008	59.5 40.2	(47.7-70.4) (30.7-50.5)	0.006
																	00)	putinued

Appendix Table 7 Birth attended by skilled attendant in Kenyan counties, by socio-demographic characteristics

~
ğ
8
ē
5
2
\mathcal{O}
Ģ
1
-
<u>e</u>
<u>e</u>
6
5
. <u>≍</u> .
Q
Ľ.
ä
ā
Ā

	×	akameda (n=36	20)		Kitui (n=305)			Furkana (n=321		U.	amburu (n=374		Nation	al Average (n=1.	4 949)
						đ									10-01-
	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	Ū	Chi square p-value	%	ō	Chi square p-value	%	0	Chi square p-value	%	Ū	Chi square p-value
Total	53.6	(46.3-60.8)		51.4	(40.2-62.5)		26.3	(16.3-39.6)		30.8	(21.6-41.9)		66.6	(65.2-67.9)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	56.8 54.0 51.0	(39.3-72.7) (45.6-62.2) (39.6-62.4)	0.793	74.0 51.5 39.3	(61.4-83.5) (39.2-63.6) (27.1-53.0)	0.000	29.5 25.1 26.7	(13.0-53.8) (15.6-37.7) (9.3-56.3)	0.876	37.4 29.9 27.2	(22.9-54.6) (19.8-42.3) (17.4-39.8)	0.346	69.0 59.9	(66.4-71.4) (67.9-71.2) (57.8-62.1)	0.000
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	76.0 59.5 38.6	(62.6-85.6) (48.3-69.7) (31.0-46.8)	0.000	80.4 59.2 31.9	(67.1-89.2) (47.1-70.3) (20.5-45.9)	0.000	41.6 27.5 21.5	(28.4-56.1) (18.7-38.4) (9.4-42.1)	0.132	52.3 32.3 22.6	(36.7-67.6) (21.8-44.9) (14.2-34.0)	0.000	84.6 71.8 47.6	(82.8-86.2) (70.1-73.5) (45.5-49.7)	0.000
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	43.2 74.8	(35.0-51.8) (64.7-82.7)	0.000	46.1 79.2	(34.4-58.3) (66.2-88.1)	0.001	23.2 [*]	(13.9-36.2) (41.8-79.1)	0.006	21.6 96.4	(14.6-30.7) (75.6-99.6)	0.000	55.3 86.9	(53.6-56.9) (85.6-88.1)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	41.5 49.0 76.1	(30.9-52.8) (40.3-57.7) (63.4-85.4)	000.0	39.7 65.4 83.4	(28.5-52.0) (53.3-75.8) (66.4-92.8)	0.000	22.5 65.9 [*]	(11.7-38.8) (52.4-77.3) (48.8-93.7)	0.000	14.1 52.3 85.8	(7.9-23.8) (37.2-66.9) (76.2-92.0)	0.000	39.8 63.8 89.1	(37.7-41.9) (61.8-65.8) (87.7-90.3)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	68.8 50.9	(54.6-80.2) (42.6-59.1)	0.031	73.0 47.6	(54.3-86.1) (35.3-60.3)	0.024	46.8 21.0	(26.4-68.3) (9.2-41.2)	0.066	74.4 18.5	(54.5-87.6) (11.0-29.5)	0.000	84.5 55.3	(83.0-86.0) (53.5-57.1)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	55.7 53.4 [*]	(43.8-67.1) (45.3-61.3) (31.2-72.7)	0.930	58.5 49.8 [*]	(42.8-72.6) (37.4-62.3) (15.9-81.4)	0.576	20.3 29.4 45.9	(12.6-31.1) (14.4-50.7) (19.7-74.6)	0.143	29.0 37.5 13.8	(19.4-40.9) (23.0-54.6) (3.9-39.0)	0.165	70.1 68.0 49.0	(67.7-72.5) (66.4-69.5) (44.6-53.5)	0.000
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	67.3 44.7	(56.4-76.5) (36.5-53.1)	0.000	66.6 38.6	(56.4-75.4) (26.0-52.8)	0.000	29.9 23.8	(20.0-42.3) (12.4-40.8)	0.344	36.9 27.1	(24.7-51.0) (18.1-38.6)	0.085	78.3 53.7	(76.9-79.7) (51.8-55.5)	0.000
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	55.9 52.7	(45.0-66.3) (38.6-66.4)	0.670	71.6 44.9	(59.3-81.4) (29.9-60.8)	0.005	34.7 22.8	(17.1-57.8) (11.6-40.1)	0.114	47.7 9.4	(34.5-61.3) (4.9-17.2)	0.000	71.6 52.6	(69.8-73.4) (49.3-55.9)	0.000

[1] Fertility risk is defined as meeting one of the following criteria: younger than age 18 at time of birth, age 35 or older at time of birth, birth interval <24 months, or four or more births
 [2] Answered by a subsample who completed long questionnaire
 [7] An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

		Nairobi (n=19	6)		Baringo (n=13	8)		Kisumu (n=15	4)		Nakuru (n=16	6)		Kitui (n=140)	(Samburu (n₌	- μ
	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	C	Chi square p-value	%	ū	Chi square p-value	%	C	
Total	71.6	(63.0-78.8)		66.4	(56.9-74.8)		63.0	(54.0-71.2)		55.3	(44.3-65.8)		48.5	(37.5-59.6)		44.5	(36.0-53.	ŝ
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	67.8 73.3 70.2	(52.3-80.2) (62.9-81.7) (48.7-85.4)	0.821	74.0 65.5 63.2	(53.1-87.8) (52.6-76.5) (46.5-77.2)	0.630	71.0 57.7 67.3	(54.0-83.7) (44.5-69.8) (52.3-79.4)	0.296	59.2 57.4 48.8	(41.8-74.5) (44.2-69.6) (32.0-65.8)	0.534	[*] 52.8 37.3	(34.1-71.7) (38.0-67.2) (22.6-54.8)	0.267	38.2 43.7 50.6	(23.2-55.9 (32.1-56.0 (37.0-64.5	ଚିଚିକି
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	80.3 70.4 54.0	(69.2-88.1) (58.3-80.2) (30.7-75.8)	0.076	76.7 70.0 58.6	(55.5-89.7) (54.2-82.1) (39.5-75.4)	0.361	79.8 62.2 50.6	(59.6-91.3) (46.8-75.5) (37.0-64.0)	0.057	63.3 64.8 36.2	(45.3-78.3) (52.0-75.8) (21.8-53.6)	0.011	64.5 61.0 28.5	(44.6-80.4) (46.0-74.2) (15.5-46.4)	0.006	55.0 44.6 41.1	(28.6-78.9 (29.7-60.6 (31.5-51.4	222
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	63.7 76.8	(50.9-74.8) (66.1-84.9)	0.087	61.3 79.2	(49.2-72.2) (61.8-90.0)	0.091	55.4 72.5	(46.6-63.8) (53.8-85.7)	0.107	45.5 66.4	(32.2-59.4) (54.8-76.4)	0.012	42.9 75.6	(31.2-55.4) (58.4-87.2)	0.005	38.4 [*]	(31.1-46.1 (59.1-91.7	\sim
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	[*] 73.6	(28.2-78.9) (65.4-80.5)	0.145	60.9 63.8 77.7	(43.3-76.1) (49.5-76.0) (58.7-89.5)	0.294	50.5 56.0 73.9	(31.6-69.3) (43.2-68.1) (56.7-86.0)	0.095	19.8 47.3 67.8	(10.7-33.7) (30.1-65.2) (56.6-77.3)	0.000	41.6 58.6 [*]	(28.6-55.9) (42.3-73.2) (38.6-81.7)	0.124	32.5 [*] 71.1	(24.2-42.0) (62.0-89.3) (52.1-84.7)	
Residence Urban Rural	71.6	(63.0-78.8)		70.0 65.3	(55.4-81.4) (53.7-75.4)	0.587	68.4 55.1	(55.1-79.2) (42.5-67.1)	0.138	65.4 44.9	(53.8-75.4) (27.6-63.6)	0.064	57.6 46.7	(40.4-73.2) (34.4-59.5)	0.308	69.4 36.3	(51.9-82.7) (27.6-46.0)	~ ~
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	75.1 69.7 [*]	(57.7-86.9) (58.6-78.9) (52.3-98.1)	0.512	[*] 68.2 [*]	(43.6-78.0) (57.6-77.2) (30.4-82.6)	0.678	[*] 61.7 100.0	(38.6-87.5) (52.4-70.1)	0.460	56.4 [*]	(34.5-79.3) (45.6-66.7) (9.3-59.9)	0.233	55.0 46.0 [*]	(35.2-73.3) (33.1-59.3) (7.5-89.2)	0.740	45.2 48.5 [*]	(33.4-57.5) (37.4-59.7) (4.7-54.9)	
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	74.9 62.8	(66.5-81.7) (45.9-77.0)	0.117	76.9 59.4	(62.3-87.0) (43.5-73.6)	0.122	70.1 54.9	(58.3-79.7) (44.2-65.2)	0.035	67.8 40.2	(57.2-76.8) (26.2-56.1)	0.004	62.9 33.2	(52.7-72.1) (19.3-50.9)	0.005	42.6 45.6	(26.9-59.8) (36.0-55.6)	
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	73.2 [*]	(65.0-80.1) (32.1-76.1)	0.082	64.1 69.2	(51.7-74.8) (53.8-81.2)	0.575	70.1 52.3	(59.4-79.0) (39.2-65.2)	0.020	57.8 43.3	(45.4-69.3) (25.1-63.4)	0.213	54.8 43.4	(43.1-66.0) (27.8-60.3)	0.281	50.3 33.9	(40.4-60.2) (21.2-49.6)	

Appendix Table 8 PNC within 48 hours within Kenyan counties by socio-demographic characteristics

52

(Continued...)

-Continued
<u>e</u>
Tab
Appendix

		Migori (n=199	(Kilifi (n=176)		K	akamega (n=17	(2)		Turkana (n=148	(Natior	al Average (n=	7,152)
	%	0	Chi square n-value	%	5	Chi square	%	5	Chi square n-value	%	5	Chi square n-value	%	0	Chi square n-value
Total	40.8	(32.1-50.2)		27.1	(21.2-34.0)		22.9	(15.9-31.8)) 5 •	14.4	(5.3-33.4)		54.9	(53.1-56.7)	
Age of respondent at birth <20 20-29 30-49	42.3 45.5 32.9	(25.2-61.4) (36.5-54.8) (20.9-47.7)	0.265	30.9 24.9 27.8	(20.5-43.7) (15.6-37.3) (17.0-42.2)	0.716	15.5 23.5 25.7	(6.4-33.0) (14.8-35.3) (15.5-39.6)	0.501	[*] 6.3 30.5	(0.5-33.2) (2.2-17.1) (5.9-75.5)	0.119	57.0 56.2 51.7	(53.3-60.5) (53.6-58.7) (48.7-54.6)	0.030
Parity 1 child 2-3 children 4+ children	[*] 47.7 36.4	(20.7-64.6) (34.8-60.9) (26.8-47.2)	0.280	39.0 27.9 20.7	(22.5-58.5) (15.4-45.3) (12.8-31.7)	0.244	27.6 29.3 16.2	(16.4-42.6) (17.3-45.2) (9.3-26.8)	0.112	0.0 10.6 20.6	(3.9-25.8) (4.8-57.3)	0.416	66.4 58.9 42.6	(63.0-69.6) (56.2-61.6) (40.0-45.2)	0.000
Education None or primary Secondary or higher	35.3 66.7	(25.1-46.9) (49.6-80.3)	0.004	24.1 [*]	(17.9-31.6) (29.3-69.0)	0.020	17.1 35.3	(10.3-27.2) (22.6-50.6)	0.016	12.8 [*]	(3.9-35.0) (12.9-87.4)	0.064	46.5 70.1	(44.5-48.6) (67.2-72.8)	0.000
Household wealth tercile Poorer Middle Richer	33.6 50.6 41.1	(22.3-47.3) (38.9-62.1) (23.4-61.4)	0.086	18.6 37.8 45.1	(12.9-26.0) (24.9-52.8) (27.1-64.5)	0.004	17.0 14.0 51.8	(7.3-34.8) (8.2-22.9) (36.9-66.5)	0.000	12.8 [*] [*]	(3.7-35.7) (20.4-60.4) (3.6-83.5)	0.173	36.0 53.3 70.1	(33.7-38.5) (50.5-56.1) (67.3-72.9)	0.000
Residence Urban Rural	56.2 34.6	(49.2-63.0) (23.6-47.4)	0.005	43.0 22.3	(27.5-60.1) (16.5-29.4)	0.015	46.2 19.1	(30.1-63.0) (11.8-29.5)	0.004	15.0 14.2	(5.7-34.3) (4.1-39.4)	0.940	67.0 47.2	(63.9-70.0) (45.2-49.3)	0.000
Religion Catholic Protestant Other	[*] 41.3 0.0	(20.4-65.4) (31.9-51.4)	0.558	[*] 28.7 19.3	(26.4-75.7) (21.3-37.5) (11.0-31.5)	0.034	[*] 20.4 [*]	(14.3-64.4) (13.8-29.2) (18.0-77.4)	0.111	3.0 26.3 [*]	(0.9-9.6) (8.5-57.8) (0.7-20.5)	0.005	55.9 56.7 39.7	(51.9-59.8) (54.7-58.8) (35.3-44.3)	0.000
Fertility risk [1] No risk High risk	43.1 39.7	(30.3-56.9) (30.1-50.2)	0.638	31.1 24.6	(21.3-42.8) (18.0-32.7)	0.296	29.9 18.1	(18.5-44.5) (10.7-28.8)	0.108	7.0 19.5	(2.1-20.9) (4.9-53.3)	0.302	62.3 46.9	(60.0-64.6) (44.5-49.2)	0.000
Reported distance to facility as challenge [2] No Yes	41.6 39.1	(32.6-51.3) (23.9-56.6)	0.773	30.5 19.4	(23.5-38.7) (10.8-32.5)	0.124	26.1 16.0	(18.6-35.3) (7.0-32.6)	0.184	25.6 2.4	(8.6-55.7) (0.3-15.8)	0.021	59.6 41.6	(57.6-61.6) (38.7-44.6)	0.000
 [1] Fertility risk is defined as [2] Answered by a subsample [*] An asterisk indicates that: 	meeting e who cc a figure i	one of the follo mpleted long q s based on few	wing criteria uestionnaire er than 25 u	i: younge ∍ unweighte	r than age 18 at ed cases and ha	time of birt s been sup	th, age 35 pressed.	or older at tim	e of birth, b	irth interv	al <24 months,	or four or r	nore birth	S	